# RAMSEY COUNTY CORRUPTION

# FACTUAL CHRONOLOGICAL TIMELINE

---

*This document is a neutral, factual chronological record compiled from personal journals, correspondence, and other written materials provided by Timothy Hutchinson. All entries represent events and communications as described in the source materials. Nothing herein constitutes legal advice or constitutes a legal filing.*

---


| **Part I: Background (2020 — Early 2021) — Entries 1–11** | **Section 1** |
|---|---|
| Part II: Reconciliation Attempts and Communications (February 2021) — Entries 12–25 | Section 2 |
| Part III: Church Outreach and Escalation (March–April 2021) — Entries 26–40 | Section 3 |
| Part IV: First Harassment Restraining Order (HRO #1) — Spring 2021 — Entries 41–44 | Section 4 |
| Part V: Post-HRO Correspondence and Civil Outreach (June–September 2021) — Entries 45–52 | Section 5 |
| Part VI: Second HRO Filing and Trial (Summer 2023 — Late 2023/Early 2024) — Entries 53–60 | Section 6 |
| Part VII: Complaints, Appeals, and Legal Outreach (2023–2025) — Entries 61–66 | Section 7 |

| Part I: Background (2020 — Early 2021) — Entries 1–11 | Section 1 |
|---|---|
| Part VIII: Sunnie's HRO Trial (March 21, 2025) — Entries 67–69 | Section 8 |
| Part IX: Current Status (As of Source Document Date) — Entries 70–73 | Section 9 |
| Key Parties Reference Table | Below |

---

**KEY PARTIES REFERENCE**

| Name | Role |
|---|---|
| Timothy Hutchinson | Father / Subject of HROs |
| Jennifer Hutchinson | Tim's wife (later divorced); mother of Brittany |
| Brittany Hutchinson (now Anderson) | Tim's daughter; filed HRO against Tim |
| Steven Anderson | Brittany's husband; Director of Youth Services, Calvary Church; filed HRO against Tim |
| Sunnie | Tim's youngest sister; subject of separate HRO (2024–2025) |
| Steve (brother) | Tim's only living brother; shared materials with Sunnie |
| Mary Sanders | Brittany's therapist; conducted counseling sessions with Tim |
| Dan Van Loh | Attorney representing Steven Anderson and Brittany |

| Name | Role |
|---|---|
| David A. Lutz | Attorney representing Calvary Church (Lutz Law Firm, 120 South 6th Street, Suite 1550, Minneapolis, MN 55402) |
| Referee Elizabeth Clydesdale | Court Referee for second HRO trial |
| Pastor Shawn White | Senior Pastor, Calvary Church |
| Sergeant Jim Reed | Ramsey County Sheriff's Office investigator contacted post-trial |

---

**PART I: BACKGROUND (2020 — EARLY 2021)**

---

**1. 2020** — Brittany Hutchinson began dating Steven Anderson, described in source materials as Director of Youth Services at Calvary Church in Minnesota.

**2. 2020** — Brittany told her parents she considered ending the relationship, citing feeling she "couldn't be herself" around Steven Anderson.

**3. 2020** — Brittany and Steven Anderson resumed the relationship following a meeting between the two of them.

**4. 2020** — Steven Anderson approached Timothy Hutchinson (hereinafter "Tim") requesting financial support for his ministry, stating the church could not afford to pay him a salary. Tim and his wife Jennifer began providing monthly financial support on the 20th of each month (Brittany's birthday). This continued for approximately 2.5 years.

**5. 2020** — Steven Anderson asked Tim what it would take to receive his blessing for marriage to Brittany. Tim outlined three conditions:

- **(Promise 1)** Steven would respect Brittany's structured personal injury settlement money, which Tim and Jennifer had sacrificed their own legal claim to increase. Tim asked Steven to encourage Brittany to use it for something special rather than routine household expenses.
- **(Promise 2)** Steven would never do anything to interfere in Tim's relationship with Brittany.
- **(Promise 3)** Steven would not be "cheap" with Brittany.

Steven Anderson agreed to all three conditions. Tim gave his blessing. Tim and Jennifer helped provide a temporary ring.

**6. 2020** — Brittany and Steven Anderson completed pre-marital counseling. Results showed compatibility.

**7. 2020** — Following the engagement, Tim's son Alex and several friends of Tim reported that Steven Anderson was unfriendly or rude toward them at a family bonfire — the first formal introduction between Steven Anderson and Alex Hutchinson.

**8. 2020–Early 2021** — Guest list dispute: Tim asked Steven Anderson three times how the guest list for the wedding honored the mother and father of the bride. Steven Anderson never provided an answer. Tim reported being limited to inviting only 3 family members and no friends.

**9. Early 2021** — During a phone call in which Tim offered advice as a future father-in-law, Steven Anderson became agitated and stated: "I don't need to listen to you," "I'm going to tell Brittany what you're doing," and "I don't need this advice." Tim ended the call.

**10. Early 2021** — Brittany stated at the breakfast table that she was "expected to take on a new attitude and way of thinking." She did not elaborate and left the room.

**11. Early 2021** — Steven Anderson and Brittany presented Tim and Jennifer with a document titled "Rules of Engagement" at a meeting. Tim later sent an email requesting clarification. Steven Anderson's reply referenced "triangulating."

## PART II: RECONCILIATION ATTEMPTS AND COMMUNICATIONS (FEBRUARY 2021)

---

**12. February 20, 2021** — Tim sent an email to Steven Anderson, Brittany, and Jennifer with an attached letter, giving a 10-day window (until March 2) before sending the letter to Calvary Church leadership. This is documented as Attempt #6 at reconciliation.

**13. February 20, 2021** — Tim sent a second email the same day, framed as an open apology to Brittany and an offer to step back from the dispute. (Attempt #7.)

**14. February 20, 2021** — Jennifer replied positively, stating the words "really touched my heart."

**15. February 20, 2021** — Brittany replied via email, stating that "rules of engagement" had been violated, that the apology "dismissed core issues," and that "significant changes" were needed. She indicated a mediated conversation was "the appropriate next step."

**16. February 20, 2021 (following days)** — Brittany confirmed mediation for Saturday at 10 AM. Tim replied confirming availability with three conditions: focus on moving forward, communication in both directions, and no expectations violating First Amendment rights.

**17. February 20–27, 2021** — Steven Anderson replied by email, stating he could not comply with Tim's conditions, that things could not be ignored, and that Tim would need to "lay anything down" to move forward.

**18. February 27, 2021** — Second mediation session was scheduled for 10 AM. It was canceled without explanation.

**19. Early-to-mid February 2021 —** Laundry incident: Steven Anderson's laundry was being done at the Hutchinson home. Brittany asked Tim to leave the laundry outside for Steven Anderson due to stated COVID concerns. Tim wrote a letter regarding COVID and clothing, placed it in the laundry basket. Steven Anderson came to pick up laundry, read the letter at the front door, carried the basket to his car, slammed the car door, stood glaring at the house, ran a stop sign, and sped away. A neighbor witnessed this and provided a verbal account to Tim. Two days later, Steven Anderson spent hours inside the home with Brittany.

**20. Mid-February 2021 —** Tim raised his voice at home in the presence of his wife regarding frustrations with the situation. Brittany overheard from her bedroom and went to a friend's house for several days.

**21. Mid-February 2021** — Brittany canceled a scheduled lunch date with Tim, which Tim described as the first time she had ever canceled plans with him.

**22. Mid-February 2021** — Tim sent a text to Steven Anderson stating he was not welcome in the home until mediation took place.

**23. Late February 2021** — Brittany moved out of the family home into an apartment with a roommate. The evening she moved out, she returned to retrieve a forgotten item. Tim was in the bedroom with Jennifer. Brittany gave Tim a hug and said "I love you so much dad." Tim responded in kind.

**24. Late February 2021** — During a final phone call, Brittany told Tim she shares all texts, emails, and phone conversations with Steven Anderson, citing "two shall become one." She also said she hoped they could go to counseling in the future and whispered: "Dad, I love, love, love, love you a lot." Communication from Brittany to Tim then ceased.

**25. Late February 2021** — Tim sent an email to Steven Anderson: "No conflict is over until a solution or a side concedes. This is the calm before the storm. I will always fight for my love. I am relentless."

## PART III: CHURCH OUTREACH AND ESCALATION (MARCH–APRIL 2021)

---

**26. March 1, 2021 —** Tim emailed Senior Pastor Shawn White at Calvary Church requesting help resolving the dispute. No response was ever received.

**27. Approximately March 6, 2021 —** Tim emailed Executive Pastor (Peter Morgan/Jeff Morgan) the same materials. No response received.

**28. March 2021 —** Tim printed the letter, placed it in a sealed envelope marked "Confidential," and hand-delivered it to Calvary Church, requesting a staff member receive it personally. No response was received. Tim later learned the Senior Pastor shared the contents with the entire administrative staff.

**29. March 18, 2021 —** Tim sent an email to Brittany with subject line "I had to decide..." stating he was choosing to "walk away" from the dispute. He reaffirmed commitments to pay Brittany's phone and car insurance through year-end and provide previously promised wedding money.

**30. March 18, 2021 —** Brittany replied by email suggesting Tim speak with her counselor (Mary Sanders) alone first, then jointly.

**31. March 19, 2021 —** Tim emailed Mary Sanders (Brittany's therapist) to arrange a session.

**32. Approximately March 22, 2021 —** Tim participated in an approximately one-hour solo video counseling session with Mary Sanders.

**33. March 24, 2021 —** Joint video counseling session with Tim, Brittany, and Mary Sanders. Tim left the session abruptly before it concluded. He states he was overcome by the visible distress on Brittany's face.

**34. Evening of March 24, 2021** — Tim drove alone to Polar Park in the area. He sat in his car in the dark. He reached into the center console, retrieved a .40 caliber handgun, and pressed it against the right side of his head for approximately 30 seconds. He did not fire. He then called his son, did not disclose the incident, and returned home.

**35. Approximately 2:00 AM, March 25, 2021** — Tim woke with thoughts of self-harm. He states he resisted acting on them.

**36. Morning of March 25, 2021** — Tim disclosed to his wife what occurred the night at Polar Park. They traveled to Regions Hospital, St. Paul, MN. Tim was admitted to the psychiatric ward on a voluntary basis. He was evaluated by Dr. Brown and other clinicians. He participated in journaling and a coping skills class.

**37. March 25–27, 2021** — Tim was released from Regions Hospital following voluntary admission. Released with agreement to pursue outpatient care. An outpatient appointment was scheduled with a PsyD for April 13 at 9:00 AM. Tim was given the Urgent Care for Mental Health number: 651-266-7900.

**38. March 28, 2021 (10:44 AM)** — Tim composed a letter to Brittany and forwarded it through Jennifer for delivery. Brittany did not respond.

**39. April 7, 2021** — Tim sent an email to Steven Anderson and Brittany referencing a website he had created (DirtySteven.com) and stating it would go live and all actions listed therein would be taken if Steven Anderson "tried anything" with other family members. Tim stated all actions would be accomplished through legal means. The website was not publicly launched at this time and was coded to avoid search engine indexing.

**40. April 13, 2021 (1:07 PM CST)** — Tim made a journal entry describing ongoing estrangement.

## PART IV: FIRST HARASSMENT RESTRAINING ORDER (HRO #1) — SPRING 2021

**41. Spring 2021** — Brittany and Steven Anderson filed for a Harassment Restraining Order (HRO) against Tim.

**42. Spring 2021** — Prior to the HRO hearing, Tim cancelled the hosting for DirtySteven.com. The hosting had been paid through the first week of July 2021, when the website went fully offline.

**43. Spring 2021** — HRO hearing held via Zoom. Attorney Dan Van Loh represented Steven Anderson and Brittany.

- Van Loh told Tim that if he contested the HRO and prevailed, it would negatively affect Brittany's background checks and ability to continue overseas missionary volunteer work as an RN. Van Loh stated this would come up in any future background check. (Tim states Van Loh later recanted this claim in a subsequent email, stating the proceedings would remain private unless Tim disclosed them.)
- Van Loh stated: "This is what Brittany wants."
- Tim agreed to accept the 2-year HRO without a hearing and without a finding of fact.
- Conditions of the HRO included Tim removing the DirtySteven.com website.
- Tim was permitted to send one letter to Brittany through her attorney.

**44. Spring 2021** — Tim told Van Loh during the first HRO meeting that the website was a private journal, not a public site, and that the only person he had invited to view it was Steven Anderson. Van Loh replied: "That's what pen and paper are for." Tim notes the website was coded to prevent search engine indexing.

## PART V: POST-HRO CORRESPONDENCE AND CIVIL OUTREACH (JUNE–SEPTEMBER 2021)

**45. June 4, 2021** — Tim emailed a letter to Brittany through her attorney per HRO terms. Brittany did not respond.

**46. June 7, 2021** — Tim emailed Calvary Church's attorney (David A. Lutz, Lutz Law Firm, 120 South 6th Street, Suite 1550, Minneapolis, MN 55402) requesting a meeting to present his side of the matter and clear his name.

**47. June 8, 2021** — Attorney Lutz replied by email: "I have advised my client not to meet and discuss due to the threat of litigation. My client has received and reviewed your written communication."

**48. June 9, 2021** — Tim replied to Lutz reiterating his desire to avoid legal proceedings and attaching the letter he had sent to Brittany. He asked Lutz to forward it to Calvary Church. He also noted via P.S. that two of Brittany's three siblings would not attend the wedding and no one from Tim's side of the family would attend. No further response received.

**49. June 11, 2021** — Brittany sent word through Jennifer to cancel the phone plan. Tim and Jennifer had been paying for Brittany's phone, car insurance, and medical insurance.

**50. Summer 2021** — Brittany and Steven Anderson were married. Tim was not permitted to attend. He did not walk Brittany down the aisle. The night before the wedding, Tim assisted Jennifer in ironing tablecloths for the reception for approximately three hours.

**51. August 22, 2021** — Tim sent a personal letter to Brittany through her attorney. Brittany did not respond.

**52. September 2021** — Tim emailed attorney Dan Van Loh to inquire whether he still represented Steven Anderson and to propose arbitration as a resolution pathway.

- Van Loh confirmed he still represented Steven Anderson.
- Tim proposed splitting arbitration costs; if ruled in his favor, he requested the HRO be dismissed so he and Brittany could pursue counseling.

- Van Loh replied that reconciliation of a father-daughter relationship with an adult child is not something that can be arbitrated, and asked Tim to confirm the website had been removed per the HRO.
- Tim confirmed the website was offline before the HRO hearing concluded and that he had cancelled hosting prior to being served.
- Tim outlined his claimed damages: loss of relationship with Brittany, the missed wedding, the suicide attempt and hospitalization, affected relationships and career.
- Tim stated Van Loh's attorney's claims had not been adjudicated because Tim accepted the HRO without a hearing.
- Van Loh replied he would consult with Steven Anderson.
- Van Loh subsequently communicated that Steven Anderson did not want reconciliation.

**PART VI: SECOND HRO FILING AND TRIAL (SUMMER 2023 — LATE 2023/EARLY 2024)**

---

**53. Spring 2023** — Tim visited the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office and met with an investigator. Tim presented his evidence. The investigator stated that Tim had done nothing wrong. The investigator stated that if the civil court referred the matter to them, they would complete an investigation and "likely put Steven in jail." Tim was given a card containing a case number. Tim subsequently informed his wife of this meeting.

**54. Summer 2023** — Tim's youngest sister (Sunnie) proposed organizing a peaceful protest at Calvary Church to pressure the church into conducting an investigation or mediation. Tim initially declined. Sunnie researched applicable laws and outlined plans to remain on public property, act peacefully, and be courteous if approached. Tim ultimately agreed on the condition the protest be peaceful and that he would not participate personally.

**55. Summer 2023** — Tim sent a letter to Calvary Church's attorney requesting an investigation, stating a protest would follow if they declined. Tim sent a separate letter to

Steven Anderson through his attorney advising of the planned protest and inviting Steven Anderson to propose a resolution. Calvary Church declined the investigation request.

**56. Summer 2023** — Steven Anderson and Brittany filed for an emergency extension of the HRO, claiming imminent fear for their lives and alleging Tim was a threat to hundreds of church children. Tim asked Sunnie to cancel the protests. She agreed and did so.

**57. Summer–Fall 2023** — HRO extension hearing took place. Tim requested a trial. Agreement was made between both attorneys and the Referee (Elizabeth Clydesdale) that no evidence from the first HRO would be admissible at the second HRO trial.

**58. Pre-Trial** — Tim submitted his evidence to the state's online evidence system and emailed a list of the evidence items to opposing counsel's office. Tim did not also email the actual evidence documents to opposing counsel; he believed they would access it through the state system. Opposing counsel's office confirmed receipt of the list by email.

**59. Trial** — Conducted via Zoom. The following occurred, as documented in source materials:

- (a) At the opening of the trial, Referee Clydesdale berated Tim in front of all parties for his failure to transmit evidence directly to opposing counsel.
- (b) Opposing attorney Van Loh questioned Tim at length about Tim's private online journal — the same evidence that had been agreed would not be admitted from the first HRO proceedings. The referee allowed this evidence.
- (c) Tim was not informed by the court that he had the right to refuse to answer opposing counsel's questions. Tim answered questions throughout the session.
- (d) Tim presented several witnesses. Opposing counsel questioned each witness harshly. Tim's objections were overruled; opposing counsel's objections were sustained.
- (e) Tim's sister Sunnie (a witness) had recently lost her only daughter suddenly and unexpectedly. Tim requested she be permitted to read a prepared written statement as part of her testimony. The request was denied.

- (f) When Tim indicated he had finished presenting witnesses and would testify himself, the referee ended the witness phase of the proceedings and announced only brief closing statements would be allowed.
- (g) Tim was not permitted to present his own evidence or personal testimony.
- (h) Opposing counsel asked the court to extend the HRO for life and called Tim's witnesses liars in closing remarks.
- (i) The referee found Tim in violation of the first HRO based on a password-protected, hidden video Tim shared with five immediate family members (his wife, three children, and one brother). Tim maintains that Brittany accessed the video through her sister Vanessa, not through Tim, and that Brittany and Steven Anderson admitted in their court filings that Vanessa told Brittany about the video.
- (j) No transcript of the video was presented. The video was not shown in court. The referee ruled it a violation without reviewing content.

**60. Result of Second HRO Trial —** HRO extended 10 years for Steven Anderson; 2 years for Brittany.

**PART VII: COMPLAINTS, APPEALS, AND LEGAL OUTREACH (2023–2025)**

---

**61. Post-Trial —** Tim filed a complaint against attorney Dan Van Loh with the Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility (File #48066). The complaint was assigned to the Hennepin County Bar Association Ethics Committee. Van Loh submitted a multi-page response. The Ethics Committee subsequently closed the investigation.

**62. Post-Trial —** Tim filed a complaint against Referee Elizabeth Clydesdale with the Board of Judicial Standards. The Board replied that the referee has authority over her own court and declined to investigate.

**63. Post-Trial —** Legal Outreach:

- Four individuals contacted Minnesota attorneys on Tim's behalf; none agreed to represent him, citing "court corruption."
- Tim called the MN State Bar Association; was told he would not find a lawyer in Minnesota willing to oppose court corruption.
- Tim called the MN State Attorney General's Office; was told to have the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office investigate, as perjury is a felony.
- Tim called the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office and spoke with Sergeant Jim Reed; Sergeant Reed stated perjury is not a criminal act, stated Tim was lying, and ended the call.
- Tim called the Ramsey County Attorney's Office; was told they were uncertain whether perjury could be prosecuted unless reported during the actual trial, and referred Tim to a lawyer referral service.
- Tim contacted the lawyer referral service; once the subject of court corruption was raised, the service declined to assist.

**64. Post-Trial —** Tim's brother Steve shared Tim's private journal and some evidence with their sister Sunnie. Sunnie created a website (iaminnocent.net) and uploaded videos to TikTok and a Facebook group she created. These actions were taken by Sunnie without Tim's direction.

**65. Post-Trial —** Tim became aware of Sunnie's website and videos through a Facebook post. He joined the Facebook group to monitor what was being said about him. He "liked" one post, then left the group. He viewed approximately 1.5 TikTok videos. He subsequently left Facebook and has not returned.

**66. Post-Trial —** Steven Anderson and Brittany filed for an HRO against Sunnie. A Harassment Restraining Order was issued ex parte. Sunnie requested a hearing. She also requested a different referee (not Referee Clydesdale); that request was granted.

## PART VIII: SUNNIE'S HRO TRIAL (MARCH 21, 2025)

**67. March 21, 2025, 10:00 AM** — Sunnie's HRO trial conducted by Zoom. The following occurred, as documented in source materials:

- (a) Sunnie was not permitted to make an opening statement or testify on her own behalf.
- (b) Tim was called as a witness.
- (c) Sunnie had prepared approximately 30 questions to ask Tim. The referee did not allow most of them.
- (d) Tim answered approximately 10 questions before being interrupted or cut off.
- (e) The referee frequently interrupted Tim mid-answer and instructed Sunnie to ask the next question.
- (f) Opposing counsel (Steven Anderson and Brittany's attorney) was permitted approximately one hour of uninterrupted questioning.
- (g) Brittany testified that she feels Tim is manipulating her and that the situation causes her headaches and affects her work. Tim notes in source materials that Brittany has suffered from headaches since approximately age 12, at which time a medical evaluation revealed an AVM on her brain stem.
- (h) Result: HRO against Sunnie was upheld.

**68. March 21, 2025** — Sunnie's website (iaminnocent.net) was already offline due to unpaid hosting. Tim helped Sunnie delete her TikTok account and Facebook group the same day.

**69. March 21, 2025** — Sunnie was served the final restraining order papers at her townhouse in North Branch, MN.

**PART IX: CURRENT STATUS (AS OF SOURCE DOCUMENT DATE)**

---

**70.** Tim has filed the following complaints, both of which were closed without action:

- Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility against Dan Van Loh (File #48066)

- Board of Judicial Standards against Referee Elizabeth Clydesdale

**71.** Tim has been unable to retain Minnesota legal counsel; multiple attorneys contacted on his behalf declined to take the case.

**72.** Tim states his claimed damages include: loss of father-daughter relationship with Brittany, inability to attend Brittany's wedding or walk her down the aisle, psychiatric hospitalization (voluntary), divorce from Jennifer after approximately 31 years of marriage, loss of family home of 24 years, termination of a 30-year career, and various health impacts.

**73.** Tim states he was last in voice contact with Brittany on **February 22, 2021**, at approximately **11:20 AM**. He states the last words she said to him were: "Dad, I love you so so so so much."

---

*This timeline was prepared for the purpose of attorney consultation and legal review. It reflects events as documented and described by Timothy Hutchinson in personal journals, correspondence, and other written records. It does not represent findings of fact by any court and has not been independently verified. Dates marked "approximately" reflect Tim's own statements where exact dates were not specified in source materials.*

---

END OF DOCUMENT — HUTCHINSON MATTER: FACTUAL CHRONOLOGICAL TIMELINE — FOR ATTORNEY USE ONLY

Compiled: June 21, 2026 | White Bear Lake, MN | Total Entries: 73

# Table of Contents

Table of Contents ..... 1

2023 Court Docs ..... 25

001. 2023.05.17 - Affidiavit (Britt w exhibits) (signed).pdf ..... 25

002. 2023.05.17 - Affidiavit (Steve w exhibits) (signed).pdf ..... 52

003. 2023.05.18 - Aff of Service (Brittany).pdf ..... 78

004. 2023.05.18 - Aff of Service (Steve).pdf ..... 78

005. 2023.05.18 - L - Tim w enc (service).pdf ..... 79

006. 2023.05.18 - Petitioner's NMM (Brittany).pdf ..... 79

007. 2023.05.18 - Petitioner's NMM (Steven).pdf ..... 81

008. Affadavit - Steven with Exhibits.pdf ..... 83

009. Calvary April 2023 Letter.txt ..... 109

010. David Lutz - Calvary Church.pdf ..... 110

011. Evidence - Scanned/Affadavit - Steven with Exhibits.pdf ..... 112

012. Evidence - Scanned/Laundry Letter.docx ..... 138

013. Evidence - Scanned/Trial - Answers - Shortened 2.docx ..... 138

014. Evidence for Timothy Hutchinson.docx ..... 149

015. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/Book Covers/Painful Secrets.pdf ..... 149

016. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/Calvary Church Letter Compilation.docx ..... 150

017. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/Exhibit List for Timothy Hutchinson.docx ..... 153

018. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/MNDES - Exhibit successfully submitted.pdf ..... 154

019. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/MyChart - Test Details 1.pdf ..... 155

020. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/Van Loh Emails/Gmail - ARBITRATION INQUIRY.pdf ..... 155

021. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/Van Loh Emails/Gmail - DAN VAN LOH CONTACT INFORMATION.pdf ..... 160

022. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/Van Loh Emails/Gmail - Letter to Brittany Hutchinson (Anderson).pdf ..... 162

023. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/Van Loh Emails/Gmail - Please forward to Brittany.pdf ..... 162

024. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/Winessess.docx ..... 163

025. Sonnie Statement.docx ..... 164
Attorney and Court Complaint ..... 165
026. Archive/Hutchinson Complaint - Original.docx ..... 165
027. Attorney/2024.05.29 - L - David Hashmall - Timothy Hutchinson Complaint Response (Dan Van Loh).PDF ..... 175
028. Attorney/Dan Van Loh emails.txt ..... 181
029. Attorney/Investigstor 2nd response.txt ..... 187
030. Attorney/Letter sent with filing.docx ..... 189
031. Attorney/Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility.txt ..... 189
032. Attorney/Questions from Lawyers Professional Responsibility.txt ..... 190
033. Attorney/The Reasons.txt ..... 192
034. Attorney/Van Loh Complaint Results.pdf ..... 192
035. Attorney/Van Loh Response/1.0 - 2021.05.27 - HRO following hearing (Brittany).PDF ..... 192
036. Attorney/Van Loh Response/2.0 - 2021.05.27 - HRO following hearing (Steven).PDF ..... 194
037. Attorney/Van Loh Response/2024.05.29 - L - David Hashmall - Timothy Hutchinson Complaint Response (Dan Van Loh).PDF ..... 197
038. Attorney/Van Loh Response/3.0 - 2023.07.13 - HRO Following Hearing 62-HR-CV-21-362 EC (Brittany).PDF ..... 203
039. Attorney/Van Loh Response/4.0 - 2023.07.13 - HRO Following Hearing 62-HR-CV-21-361 EC (Steven).PDF ..... 207
040. Attorney/Van Loh Response/5.0 - Sept 14 - Oct 14 2021 Emails with Tim H.PDF ..... 210
041. Attorney/Van Loh Response/6.0 - 2021.06.04 - LF Tim to Brittany.PDF ..... 216
042. Attorney/Van Loh Response/7.0 - 5-27-2021 HRO Hearing Transcript.PDF ..... 226
043. Chief Judge Response/AI Rewrite to the Chief Judge.docx ..... 228
044. Chief Judge Response/Chief Judge Response.docx ..... 230
045. Referee/Hutchinson Complaint - Reformatted.docx ..... 232
046. Referee/Hutchinson Complaint.docx ..... 241
047. Referee/Hutchinson Complaint.pdf ..... 251
048. Referee/The Reasons.txt ..... 258
Business Items ..... 259

049. DirectNic Domain Registration.pdf ..... 259
050. Website Hosting/Web Hosting invoice-9281479.pdf ..... 259
Civil RIghts Complaint ..... 259
051. Civil Rights Complaint Statement.txt ..... 259
Documentary ..... 260
052. ChatGPT - Documentary - James Project.docx ..... 260
053. Sources Contacted.txt ..... 261
History ..... 264
054. Calvary April 2023 Letter.txt ..... 264
055. David Lutz - Calvary Church.pdf ..... 264
056. History.docx ..... 265
057. Hutchinson Matter - Factual Chronological Timeline.docx ..... 401
058. Laundry Letter.docx ..... 413
059. Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/BH Letter.pdf ..... 414
060. Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/BH Response.docx ..... 420
061. Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Brittany 12-7-2021.pdf ..... 426
062. Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Brittany August 22 2021.pdf ..... 426
063. Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Brittany Letter.txt ..... 426
064. Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Brittany.pdf ..... 429
065. Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Dear Brittany 3-28-2021.docx ..... 429
066. Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Letter to Britt.docx ..... 430
067. Transcripts - Numbered/1.txt ..... 436
068. Transcripts - Numbered/10.txt ..... 441
069. Transcripts - Numbered/11.txt ..... 442
070. Transcripts - Numbered/12.txt ..... 444
071. Transcripts - Numbered/13.txt ..... 448
072. Transcripts - Numbered/14.txt ..... 450
073. Transcripts - Numbered/15.txt ..... 452
074. Transcripts - Numbered/16.txt ..... 455

075. Transcripts - Numbered/17.txt ..... 457
076. Transcripts - Numbered/18.txt ..... 459
077. Transcripts - Numbered/19.txt ..... 462
078. Transcripts - Numbered/2.txt ..... 464
079. Transcripts - Numbered/20.txt ..... 465
080. Transcripts - Numbered/21.txt ..... 470
081. Transcripts - Numbered/22.txt ..... 473
082. Transcripts - Numbered/23.txt ..... 477
083. Transcripts - Numbered/24.txt ..... 479
084. Transcripts - Numbered/25.txt ..... 481
085. Transcripts - Numbered/26.txt ..... 484
086. Transcripts - Numbered/27.txt ..... 485
087. Transcripts - Numbered/28.txt ..... 486
088. Transcripts - Numbered/3.txt ..... 490
089. Transcripts - Numbered/30.txt ..... 491
090. Transcripts - Numbered/31.txt ..... 495
091. Transcripts - Numbered/32 - Rewrite.txt ..... 497
092. Transcripts - Numbered/32.txt ..... 501
093. Transcripts - Numbered/33.txt ..... 505
094. Transcripts - Numbered/34.txt ..... 509
095. Transcripts - Numbered/4.txt ..... 513
096. Transcripts - Numbered/5.txt ..... 513
097. Transcripts - Numbered/6.txt ..... 515
098. Transcripts - Numbered/7.txt ..... 517
099. Transcripts - Numbered/8.txt ..... 519
100. Transcripts - Numbered/9.txt ..... 521
101. 📜 Timeline of Events.docx ..... 525
Lawsuit Against Steven ..... 529
102. 2024.11.08 - Stipulation for Dismissal.pdf ..... 529

103. a The Back Story.docx ..... 529
104. All correspondence concerning this matter should be directed to Steve Hutchinson.docx ..... 644
105. Archive/2 Video Overview - Dad.docx ..... 644
106. Archive/Civil Complaint.pdf ..... 653
107. Archive/Complaint - another copy.docx ..... 676
108. Archive/Complaint - First Served.docx ..... 715
109. Archive/Complaint - First Served.pdf ..... 749
110. Archive/Complaint part of lawsuit.docx ..... 770
111. Archive/HRO 2 UPDATES.txt ..... 802
112. Archive/Painful Secrets Feedback.docx ..... 803
113. Archive/Steven lawsuit.docx ..... 805
114. Evidence/Church/Calvary Elder Board.txt ..... 807
115. Evidence/Church/Calvary Envelope Addresses.docx ..... 807
116. Evidence/Church/Dear Calvary Church Elders.docx ..... 808
117. Evidence/Church/Elders Calvary Church Intro Letter (2).docx ..... 809
118. Evidence/Church/Elders Calvary Church Intro Letter.docx ..... 810
119. Evidence/Evidence list.docx ..... 810
120. Evidence/W-letter-formatted.docx ..... 811
121. Exhibits List.docx ..... 822
122. Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/2 Video Overview - Dad.docx ..... 824
123. Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Civil Complaint.pdf ..... 833
124. Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Complaint - another copy.docx ..... 856
125. Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Complaint - First Served.docx ..... 895
126. Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Complaint - First Served.pdf ..... 929
127. Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Complaint part of lawsuit.docx ..... 950
128. Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/HRO 2 UPDATES.txt ..... 982
129. Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Painful Secrets Feedback.docx ..... 983
130. Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Steven lawsuit.docx ..... 985
131. Reasons to sue .docx ..... 987

132. Sunnie Statement.docx ..... 989
133. Talking Points.docx ..... 990
134. Van Loh Letters.docx ..... 992
135. Witnesses.docx ..... 996
Letters to Brittany included in Back Story ..... 997
136. BH Letter.pdf ..... 997
137. BH Response.docx ..... 1002
138. Brittany 12-7-2021.pdf ..... 1009
139. Brittany August 22 2021.pdf ..... 1009
140. Brittany Letter.txt ..... 1009
141. Brittany.pdf ..... 1012
142. Dear Brittany 3-28-2021.docx ..... 1012
143. Letter to Britt.docx ..... 1013
Media ..... 1019
144. Archive/AI Media Contacts - editing needed.docx ..... 1019
145. Archive/Media Campaign.docx ..... 1025
146. Archive/RCC Press Release.docx ..... 1028
147. Media Contact List.docx ..... 1030
148. Media Kit.pdf ..... 1039
149. Press Release.pdf ..... 1040
Sunnie ..... 1040
150. Archive/Brittany Evidence.docx ..... 1040
151. Archive/Brittany Witness List.docx ..... 1043
152. Archive/Evidence List.txt ..... 1044
153. Archive/How I am going to respond.txt ..... 1044
154. Archive/Steven Evidence.docx ..... 1044
155. Archive/Steven Witness List.docx ..... 1046
156. Archive/Trail Questions 2.docx ..... 1046
157. Archive/Trial - Answers - Original.docx ..... 1048

158. Archive/Trial - Answers - Shortened 2.docx ..... 1062
159. Archive/Trial - Answers - Shortened.docx ..... 1074
160. Archive/Trial - Questions.docx ..... 1087
161. I Am Innocent/Notice to Remove Judge/Request for New Referee - Not Used.docx ..... 1093
162. I Am Innocent/Passwords.txt ..... 1094
163. I Am Innocent/Sunnie Phone Script.docx ..... 1094
164. Sonnie Statement.docx ..... 1094
165. Sunnie Statement.docx ..... 1095
166. Trial - Answers - Shortened 2.docx ..... 1097
167. Trial Evidence/Brittany/204.pdf ..... 1107
168. Trial Evidence/Brittany/62HRCV2575 Witness List.pdf ..... 1108
169. Trial Evidence/Brittany/62HRCV275 Evidence List.pdf ..... 1108
170. Trial Evidence/Steven/104.pdf ..... 1108
171. Trial Evidence/Steven/62HRCV276 Evidence List.pdf ..... 1108
172. Trial Evidence/Steven/62HRCV276 WItness List.pdf ..... 1108
Transcripts - Numbered ..... 1108
173. 1.txt ..... 1108
174. 10.txt ..... 1113
175. 11.txt ..... 1114
176. 12.txt ..... 1117
177. 13.txt ..... 1120
178. 14.txt ..... 1122
179. 15.txt ..... 1124
180. 16.txt ..... 1127
181. 17.txt ..... 1130
182. 18.txt ..... 1131
183. 19.txt ..... 1134
184. 2.txt ..... 1136
185. 20.txt ..... 1137

186. 21.txt ..... 1142
187. 22.txt ..... 1145
188. 23.txt ..... 1149
189. 24.txt ..... 1151
190. 25.txt ..... 1153
191. 26.txt ..... 1156
192. 27.txt ..... 1157
193. 28.txt ..... 1158
194. 3.txt ..... 1162
195. 30.txt ..... 1163
196. 31.txt ..... 1167
197. 32 - Rewrite.txt ..... 1169
198. 32.txt ..... 1173
199. 33.txt ..... 1176
200. 34.txt ..... 1181
201. 4.txt ..... 1185
202. 5.txt ..... 1185
203. 6.txt ..... 1187
204. 7.txt ..... 1189
205. 8.txt ..... 1191
206. 9.txt ..... 1193

# 2023 Court Docs

## 001. 2023.05.17 - Affidiavit (Britt w exhibits) (signed).pdf

STATE OF MINNESOTA COUNTY OF RAMSEY DISTRICT COURT SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT CASE TYPE: HARASSMENT In Re the Matter of: Brittany Marie Anderson, Petitioner. Vs. Timothy Allyn Hutchinson, Respondent. Court File No. 62-HR-CV-21-362 Affidavit in Support Motion for Extension of Harassment Restraining Order STATE OF MINNESOTA ) ) ss. COUNTY OF RAMSEY ) Brittany Anderson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: 1. I am the Petitioner in the above-entitled matter, and I submit this affidavit in support of my motion to modify and extend the terms of the May 27, 2021 Harassment Restraining Order ("HRO") against the Respondent, Timothy Hutchinson based on his violations of the terms of the HRO, threatening and harassing communication sent to my husband and I, as well as my husband's employer. 2. I am the Respondent's daughter, and am married to Steven Anderson, who also has an HRO against my father arising out of the same acts of harassment, largely targeted by my father towards him, but directed through and to me as well. While many of the acts are separately directed towards Steven and I individually, the harassment has affected both Steven and I collectively. 3. I am employed part-time as a nurse at the University of Minnesota Medical Center – East Bank. I am also a full-time student at the University of Minnesota. BACKGROUND--INCIDENTS GIVING RISE TO MAY 27, 2021 HARASSMENT RESTRAINING ORDER. 4. By way of background, my father's previous harassment gives context to my present fear of communicated threats to resume harassment once the current HRO expires. Previous incidents of harassment outlined in my original Petition for HRO include: DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

a. My father reminded both my husband (Steven, then fiancé) and I on several occasions of a story where he insinuated that in a dating previous relationship involving one of my sisters ended with him shoving the boyfriends head through the drywall of his apartment. My father subsequently gave Steven two books, including an autobiographical work, Painful Secrets , wherein he claims to have almost committed a mass shooting as a teenager. b. My father was excessively controlling and intrusive to my wedding planning where he would make multiple calls interrogating us (usually Steven) on various wedding planning issues. Although we asked him to stop calling, the calls only increased. c. I moved out of my father's home in February 2021 after he got excessively angry when he realized I had done Steven's laundry at the house and told me that he was banned from the property. His response was noticeably heightened compared to his previous rages and he even left the house to collect his firearm and returned inside still visibly angry. I felt unsafe to stay at the house any longer, so I left to stay at a friend's house for a few nights before I moved into an apartment which we tried to keep the address a secret from the respondent for fear of our safety. d. My father commenced an intentional and intense campaign of defamation and threats against Steven and his employer, Calvary Church in Roseville, MN.

(Calvary Church has a congregation of 1,000+ with two campuses, one in Roseville and one in White Bear Township.) On March 1, 2021, the respondent appeared unannounced and uninvited at Calvary Church, 2120 Lexington Ave., Roseville, MN 55112, to deliver a defamatory letter addressed to the elder board and senior pastor of the church, Dr. Shawn Winters. The letter made false and defamatory claims about Steven's character with the intent to have Calvary terminate his employment. I also attend services at Calvary Church where Steven works. e. Based on the insinuated threats and potential endangerment of church staff and parishioners, Calvary Church increased security at both campuses to protect against any physical harm based on the respondent's threats to Steven and potential threats to the staff and congregation, including me. Due to the potential threat to the property, pastoral staff and potential attendees, Steven and I were unable to have our wedding ceremony at the church as we had planned and had to find a different officiant to perform the ceremony not employed by the church. f. Respondent hand-delivered a 15-page letter ( Exhibit A ) making false and slanderous reports about Steven to Calvary Church. g. On April 28, 2021, Respondent appeared at Steven's then residence uninvited at 7:50am while Steven and his roommates were all sleeping. After gaining access to Steven's building, Respondent knocked on Steven's apartment door repeatedly, but Steven did not answer. The respondent eventually left, and appeared at my apartment at 8:22am, standing outside the door. After buzzing a few times, he left, asking my sister to tell me that he had visited and left flowers on top of my car. DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

h. On February 26, 2021, Respondent sent Steven a threatening email in German that read: Kein Konflikt ist vorbei, bis eine Lösung oder eine Seite einräumt. Dies ist die Ruhe vor dem Sturm. Ich werde immer um meine Liebe kämpfen.Ich bin unerbittlich Translation: No conflict is over until a solution or a side concedes. This is the calm before the storm. I will always fight for my love. I am Relentless i. In April 2021, Respondent created a public defamatory website defaming and threatening Steven. The website referred to Steven as a "narcissistic monster who captured [his] daughter and dragged her away from [respondent] through [Steven's] web of lies" a "[fake] youth pastor from hell" makes false claims that "Others see the truth but won't say anything. They are concerned Steven will attack them as he has done to me." j. In March of 2021, my father reported suicide ideation having held a gun to his head, as a result of the deterioration with the relationship with me, something his emails, letters and other communications clearly indicates he believes Steven had a significant role in. He was eventually checked in to a psych ward because of this incident. He seems to be unable to regulate his emotions, is willing to bring a firearm into the mix and is fixated on Steven being the cause of his broken relationship with me. I still fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include Steven or the church where Steven works, and I attend service. VIOLATIONS OF MAY 27, 2021 HARASSMENT RESTRAINING ORDER. 5. With the pending dismissal of the HRO on May 27, 2023, the Respondent has stated his intent to resume the same level of harassment which includes picketing at the place of Steven's employment any my place of worship, Calvary Church, Roseville and White Bear

Township. The Respondent violated Paragraph 1A of the HRO by sending threatening emails to Steven's employer, Calvary Church, a threatening letter to Steven, and by sending a letter threatening Steven to me. a. May 5, 2023—Letter to Calvary Church : Respondent contacted Steven's employer, Calvary Church, by way of their legal counsel, David Lutz, via email (see Exhibit B ). In his emailed letter to Calvary Church, the Respondent threatens that he will be going to both the White Bear Township and Roseville locations of Calvary Church to picket and protest Steven, along with friends and family, despite the church having a trespass notice against the Respondent. This action makes me feel threatened to attend Calvary Church with my husband, causes me to worry about my husband's safety at this place of employment, and continues the same harassment patterns from the original Harassment Restraining Order. DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

b. May 4, 2023 – Threatening Letter to Steven: Respondent sent a letter to Steven through my attorney similar to the threatening letter he sent to legal counsel for Calvary Church threatening again to protest Steven at his place of employment (See Exhibit C ). In his letter, Respondent threatens that the only way to prevent this from occurring is to, "… Speak up now. Once [respondent]'s plan goes into action, there is no stopping or turning back " Respondent also accuses Steven of telling, " bullshit lies " and ends his letter " There is one question you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out? Tick- tock ." [Emphasis Added] His letter to Steven made me fear for my husband and I's safety, especially at his place of employment, which is also our place of worship. c. December 7, 2021 Letter: The respondent wrote a letter to me delivered through my attorney ( Exhibit F ). In it, he mentions that he has contracted a heart condition. In the letter, he blames the events of the past year for his bad sleep, eventually becoming the cause of his heart condition. In the letter, he claims that he doesn't blame me for the events of the past year, but indirectly blaming my husband for his poor mental health and newly acquired heart condition. This letter is in violation of Paragraph 1f of the Harassment Restraining Order . d. June 4, 2021 Letter: The week after the May 27, 2021, HRO was granted, the Respondent wrote to me and continued to accuse Steven of lying and blaming him for the broken relationship between my father and I (See Exhibit D ). This made me feel as though his patterns of harassment would not end, even though the Harassment Restraining Order had just been issued. e. June 2021 YouTube Video . At some point following the May 27, 2021 HRO, my father created a 90+ minute YouTube video "responding" to the HRO, but specifically speaking out and challenging the allegations in the Petition, even though he agreed to an entry of an HRO voluntarily without the court making findings of the alleged harassment. My father enlisted the help of my sister Vanessa to film it who then forwarded the video to me. The video was originally posted on a password protected webpage on his site, his "ministry" site, not the website he was required to take down after the HRO. He has since taken down his ministry website. Attached are screenshots of the video ( Exhibit E ) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary. 6. Respondent has resumed and appears to be prepared to intensify the harassment against me and my husband,

Steven upon the expiration of the HRO on May 27, 2023. Although directed towards Steven, his threats of harassment involve and endanger 1,000+ congregants, including hundreds of children, at both campuses as well as the full and part time staff of both campuses. I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me, my husband Steven or those around him. 7. Respondent's threatening letter sent to Calvary's legal counsel is not only shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against Steven. His letters to me demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue unwelcome reconciliation for DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

the relationship he himself has damaged, but for which he now blames Steven, and which he intends to resume his harassment on May 27, 2023. 8. I am requesting that the court extend the harassment restraining order for another 10 years. In addition, I am requesting that the respondent be prohibited from appearing at my place of employment, but all subsequent places of employment and residences. I also request that the respondent be prohibited from appearing at my current residence at 725 4 th Street E., St. Paul, MN 55016 and any future residences. I declare under penalty of perjury, pursuant to Minn. Stat. §358.116, that everything I have stated in this document is true and correct. Dated: May 17, 2023__ _____ Brittany Anderson, Petitioner Signed in Ramsey County, MN DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

Background My daughter, Brittany Hutchinson began dating Steven Anderson, youth pastor @ Calvary Church. Within a few months Brittany came to me and said she was breaking up with him. The reason is because she felt as though she couldn't be herself around him – almos t like he was too controlling. She later said that she had another meeting with him, during which time he "shared his heart" with her. Because of that she decided to again date him. Shortly thereafter Steven approached myself to help support his ministry – which his full - time job. He says the church cannot afford to pay him a salary, so he fundraises as a substitute . Since then, my wife and I supported his endeavor financially. Along the way I have even worked with him to improve his presentation to find others who would be supportive. Within months S teven approached me and asked what it would take to have my blessing for him to marry my daughter. One of the things I mentioned was spending more time with our family - we barely knew him. Afterwards he mad e an effort to be at our house almost on a weekly basis, and things seemed to be going well. When he asked for my blessing on marrying my daughter, I said there were three things he needed to promise me. He agreed and I gave him the blessing. My wife and I even helped with a temporary ring until he could buy one. Promise #1 : Brittany was involved in an accident and we sued on her behalf. She is getting a structured settlement. My wife and I gave up our right to sue for our pain & suffering in exchange for Brittany to receive a larger settlement. Because of that, she ended up with a settlement 4 times larger than she normally would have. I told S teven to not expect Brittany to use the settlement money for typical household needs - that money was given to he r so she could do something special (bucket list!) I said he should respect and encourage that.

Though she has the right to do as she wishes with this money, let's remember we gave it to her to do something special with - anything other than that is not al igned with our original intentions. (Brittany later said she had turned control of this money over to Steven by including it in their budget. Then another time said she hadn't. She seemed confused. ) EXHIBIT A DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

Promise #2 : He would never do anything to interfere in my relationship with Brittany. (As you'll find out later, this promise was broken many times!) Promise #3 : He would not be cheap with her. Frugal is OK, cheap is not. We discussed the difference with examples. First Signs of Trouble I learned that Brittany and S teven went to pre - marital counseling. During that time they took some tests which showed they were compatible. Things seemed OK. But shortly after they were engaged, things changed. H e rarely came to the house and almost never made an effort to be a part of our lives. My son shared with me that one time, before their engagement, at a family bonfire he had tried to start a conversation with him, but Steven only said "whatever", and brus hed past him . My son said that S teven acted rudely towards him the rest of the evening . This was literally the first time those two had been formally introduced. I had several friends there as well who both tried to start a conversation with Steven, and they said he was very unfriendly, almost to the point of being rude. One day Brittany was sp ea k ing to her mom and I about the guest list. It sounded as if S teven had already invited so many people there was barely any room for our side of the family. At least that was the impression I got from that conversation. At one point I heard the number of guests was already at 376. And that was before I was able to invite a nyone from my family or friends. (I ended up being able to invite only 3 family members and no friends.) Brittany seemed stressed by this process, so I called S teven and asked the question: "How does the guest list honor the mother and father of the bride? " H is reply was all about his family and never directly answered my question. When I brought this to his attention, he stated he would need some time to think about the answer and would let me know. This to me really was the beginning of where I noticed things going downhill. Tensions began to run high and he avoided talking to me. I also noticed changes in my daughter - she seemed unusually stressed and was smiling less than normal. DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

One time at the breakfast table she said that she was expected to take on a new attitude and way of thinking. When I asked her what she meant, she got up from the table and walked away, saying she had somewhere to go. Brittany and I have always enjoyed a very close relationship and could talk about literally anything. Her re sponse to me was completely out of the ordinary. I asked for an answer about the guest list a 2 nd time, but he did not have an answer. Then, within days they came up the " Rules of Engagement " which they presented to my wife and I at a meeting. (See attach ed.) You'll notice in section 3 it says; "Our desire in those disagreements is to maintain, and even deepen our relationships with out stakeholders, in particular our parents." As you read further it will become clearly obvious this

is not the truth. Th e question about the guest list ended up being asked 3 times. I never received an answer. During one of my (few) phone conversations with Steven, I offered some friendly advice from future father - in - law to future son - in - law, the same as my father - in - law di d 30 - years ago. He became agitated and said things such as, “I don’t need to listen to you” , and “I’m going to tell Brittany what you’re doing” , and “ I don’t need this advice” . Due to his r ude behavior I ended the call. A nother time I called just to ask if he wanted to get together and hang - out. He replied “No”, then said he had to go and hung up. Fast - forward 30 - days. During that time, Steven has made no efforts to be a part of our family or join any activities. Since I gave my blessing for him to marry our daughter, it’s like a switch was flipped and he became cold towards us. He has spoken rudely to myself, guests at our house and has acted this ways towards my other children. It seems as though he was only being nice to obt ain my blessing, then his real personality came out. Had I known any of this I would have never said yes to him marrying our daughter. I have tried 7 times to make peace and preserve a relationship with my daughter. Each time has been met with either sto newalling (ignoring) and/or false accusations on the part of Steven. This period of time has been and continues to be emotionally traumatizing for myself. The ripple effect for my family has been noticeable. The pain and suffering have taken their toll . I’ ve EXHIBIT A DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

gone from being a normally peaceful loving person who has done a tremendous amount of volunteer work (hospice and preventing teen suicides @ schools), to becoming withdrawn and even crying at times . Dad Tries to Make Peace 7 Times (ATTEMPT #1) Before being presented with rules of engagement, (which I did not know they were going to do), in an effort to make peace, I offered an apology for any of my wrongdoings. I truly did not feel as though I had actually done anything wrong, but rather have a lways put my family first, and am willing to swallow my pride and apologize, even when not necessary or when I feel as though I've not done anything wrong, in order for my family to be happy. I grew up in unfortunate situations, devoid of any love. I lived that way for many years until I met an amazing lady. We married and extended our love into having children, whom I love and cherish more than life itself. I have always put my family first. Always. I challenge anyone to tell of a time when I have not done this 100%. Steven never clearly accepted the apology, and never showed any appreciation or gratitude. Basically, he ignored it and stonewalled me. The "Rules of Engagement" seemed to contradict each other. When I emailed to ask for clarification Steven's response d id not clearly answer my question. In that email he referenced "triangulating" without realizing that I studied psychology and know that word infers manipulation. I wasn't sure if he was attempting to insult my intelligence, insinuate I was being manipulat ive, or he really doesn't understand what the word triangulation means when used in the realm of psychology. My email response was short and a bit pointed; however, by now I am agitated the guest list question had not been answered and it seems all effor ts to resolve things have been met with him stonewalling me, ignoring us and being rude.

(ATTEMPT #2) Phone call; shortly afterwards I called Steven and told him that I do not hate him nor am I trying to stop the wedding. I have a good relationship DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

with my other daughters' boyfriends and hoped to have the same with him. His only response was; "thank you for sharing that" in a monotone voice. There was no appreciation or gratitude - no saying anything like, wow I'm hoping for that too. Nothing. Just anoth er stonewall. Later I was talking with Brittany and my frustration boiled over, and I raised my voice about how Steven would not answer this seemly simple question and has made no effort to be a part of our family. I was also terribly upset that Brittany is showing signs of stress and I haven't seen her smile in days. I am very concerned and even heartbro ken that she should have to go through this during a time when she should be celebrating. My being agitated certainly did not help matters and I felt truly awful afterwards. A few hours later she came to me and we discussed the guest list. I accepted her explanation , apologized for raising my voice, and asked that we move forward. The following day I went to her and asked if we could do a reset, one where we could together enjoy the journey of her getting married. She agreed and we spent some enjoyable time together. (ATTEMPT # 3) I knew there was still some healing that needed to take place between S teven and I. Though previous attempts had been met with no response, I d ecided to try again. I suggested mediation to Brittany a nd she said she would ta lk to Steven about it . (ATTEMPT #4) I saw increased sadness and pain in my daughter's eyes and felt incredibly horrible for her. So, I told Brittany and her mom that I would speak with S teven and offer to let bygones be bygones and to set simple expect ations of how we communicate. He refused to meet and asked for mediation, which I had previously suggested. I asked if he was sure he wanted to do that and said we could work things out. He said no, that our next talk should be mediated. I suggested he tak e a screenshot of that comment as a reminder that he asked for this – meaning that mediation would include going over past hurt and DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

painful events. Mediation was set for Saturday, Feb. 20 th . Steven insisted we not talk about the past and only focus on how my wife and I were to speak to him and Brittany. I insisted we bring everything out in the open and resolve matters. F or that reason , (according to Brittany), Steven canceled mediation. (ATTEMPT #5) Brittany does Stevens laundry at our house, which I honestly don't mind. He makes it clear that he is concerned about Covid, so when I discovered some information about that virus and fabric, I wanted to share this with him. Soon afterwards a s Brittany was leaving the house, she said Stevens laundry was in the dryer and asked that once done I place it outside the front door for him to pick up. I figured this was out of concern for Covid, so decided to write him the following letter, and placed in in the laundry basket along with his clean clothes. Hi Steven, I did some research and was surprised to learn the Covid virus can live for up to 48 - hours on our clothing. I can understand your concern over this virus, so much so that Brittany asked me to leave your clothes outside the front

door for you to pick up. I can fully appreciate that. When one considers how long t he virus can live on clothing, and the fact they are inside our house - a place you're concerned about being exposed to, perhaps it would be a safer option for you to wash your own clothes at home. Something to think about. Would have been nice to see yo u today - it's been a while. We could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just yesterday for Covid. But, we can't be too careful! Well, perhaps another time. Hope you have a wonderful day. Mr. Hutchinson He has ne ver said anything about this letter. Nothing. No thank you for your concern, maybe we can meet up another time, etc. DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

Then just two days later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for an extended period. (He can 't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell, yet two days later he can spend hours inside our home?) The following day his laundry was again being done at our house - all without any acknowledgment of the letter. Nothing. Crickets. T his to me was yet another stonewall, and quite honestly, seemed very disrespectful. Several hours later my wife came home and I was admittedly fuming about this and even a bit emotionally charged. After all, he had a history of being rude to my family an d myself. I spoke loudly about how upset I was. Brittany was in her bedroom and overheard what I said. This upset her so she went to stay at a friend's place for a few days. Brittany later cancelled a lunch date we had set for that week. This is the first time in her life she has ever cancelled anything with me because of how she felt. We've always had an amazing, open, honest and loving father - daughter relationship. Since the engagement I've seen that erode away, and I am honestly extremely hurt by this. I texted Steven to let him know that he was not welcome in our home and could not do laundry there until mediation. [I later discovered that Brittany had requested his laund ry be put outside due to her concern there might be a conflict between he and I, and not because of Covid. But i t is still concerning that he never made any comment about the letter nor showed any appreciation.] To make things a bit worse on my end is th e fact that cancer runs strong in my family; my grandmother, mom and 2 older brothers all died from it. I am now the eldest living male - my brothers died at an age younger than I currently am. Recently I've been experiencing some very familiar and concern ing symptoms and my bloodwork is not normal. I have several upcoming tests to verify what I already believe to be true. To avoid undue stress on my family I've not shared this information, until now. Comment: When S teven learned of this in an email, he n ever reached out to DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

offer prayer or show any type of concern. Considering that he is a youth pastor, is this really Christian behavior? Seeing what my daughter is going through is horrible and adds to my desire to fix things so she can be happy. We had m ediation scheduled but Steven cancelled it. He says it’s because he doesn’t want to talk about previous events, and only wants to focus on how my wife and I should be talking to him and Brittany. [Seems a bit controlling!] To date Steven has not suggeste d even one single thing to help get our relationship back on - track. Thus far I’ve come up with 100% of the

idea's and have offered 100% of the apologies, and have made 100% of the efforts, even though he cannot name one thing that I've done to offend him. Not one. I've been offering blanket apologies just to have a relationship with my daughter. Apparently he doesn't want that? Why?? Th e day after I was upset about him not responding to the letter, Brittany told her mom that she and S teven were in the process of renting an apartment and would be moving out soon . The plan is for her to live in that apt. with another roommate until after t he wedding. This, to me, just seems like another wedge is being unfairly driven between my daughter and myself . Why did Steven not try to make peace during this time? To me it seems as though he used this as an opportunity to further move Brittany away f rom our family (both physically and emotionally). Attempt 6: I sent everything listed above in an email to Steven, Brittany and my wife as an attachment, with this in the body of the email on February 20 th : Hello Steven, Attached is a letter I wrote explaining my perception of how things have been going, my recollection of the events, and where it potentially went off - track. My intention is to reconcile broken relationships. You will notice the letter is addressed to the e lders @ Calvary Church. The reason for this is because if we DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

cannot work things out between us, then I will approach church leaders and ask them to intervene. I have no set preference as to whether we involve a mediator or not. This conversation will be a bout setting the record straight and moving forward. Any talks about how to communicate, etc. can come after. I will wait ten (10) days for a meeting. After that time (March 2nd) this letter will be sent to the leadership of Calvary Church. Sincerely, Tim Hutchinson CC: Brittany & Jennifer To date I have made 6 attempts at reconciliation – t he number of attempts I've seen S teven make is exactly zero. My question is how is this acceptable among Christians? Especially with him being a youth pastor. Are t hey not held to a higher standard as the bible mandates? Aren't we all supposed to follow Matthew 18:22? Jesus says we should forgive each other "seventy times seven times" a number that symbolizes boundlessness. That clearly is not happening. I will also add that during the past few months Brittany has become depressed, has several counseling sessions scheduled and has lost 20 lbs. unintentionally . This should be obvious to S teven . Turning this around could have been accomplished by accepting one of my nu merous attempts to move our relationship in a better direction , or offered an alternative. Why he did not do any of th e se. Where is the compassion we should have for one another? Attempt 7: I emailed the above letter to Brittany, S teven and my wife on February 20 th . Later that day, feeling so overwhelmed at the diminished relationship with my daughter, I then sent the following email, striving for peace , and once again apologizing for things I haven't done ; This email is an open apology to my daughter, Brittany. I have failed you. Not just a little, but a lot. During the past weeks I have been so focused on being right, about winning a disagreement, that I lost sight of something far more DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

im portant; our relationship and your happiness. I have always put you first in my life, and now just months before your wedding I have fallen far short of what I should have been doing. You have every

reason to be mad at me, hurt, disappointed and feeling le ss than loved by me. For that I apologize - profusely. I love you more than life itself and literally live in daily agony over the state of our current relationship. In response I'll say this: I resign. Completely and fully resign. Not from being your dad of course, but rather from the disagreement that caused this. The [previous] letter I emailed states my position and stands as the truth as I know it. But that's as far as it goes. I'm done with any disagreement with S teven . My time and energy is now going to be focused on you, Brittany. To work hard restoring our precious relationship and ensuring this never happens again. Ever. And, to help celebrate the upcoming wedding and make these months before the wedding as enjoyable as possible. With that i n mind, I am inviting Steven to neutral ground. A place where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen, mi casa es tu casa. I don't want battles anymore - I just want to love my family and others with all my heart. To fully enjoy what time I have left on this planet. The past few weeks/months somehow turned ugly and I don't want that - never did. I ended up feeling ugly inside and that's not who I am. At all. I repent for my misdeeds and missteps. Peace, Tim/Dad Comment: Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things S teven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again. DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

My wife replied: Tim, I want you to know those words really touched my heart. They were absolutely beautiful and honest. I appreciate you putting Britt first and your highest priority. Im proud of you and very h opeful we can begin celebrating this engagement and wedding! This makes me very happy. I love you all so much. With Love, Jen Our daughter replied; Dad, Steven and I read your emails. We have found ourselves in the same position that we have felt trapped into for the past few weeks, unsure how to respond. We have set clear boundaries, laid out in our Rules of Engagement, and those have been violated repeatedly. The way Steven and I have been treated by you has been inappropriate and out of line. The last email we received was an apology directed towards me, and while I appreciate that I feel as if it dismissed core issues. This puts us into a difficult position o f being perceived as the ones continuing conflict if we don't accept a "blank slate." As Steven and I prepare to start our new life together, we are concerned that these patterns of behavior will continue. We believe that there are significant changes that need to occur in order to move forward in a healthy manner. We desire growing towards healthie r relationships with you. Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far, so we believe a mediated conversation is the appropriate next step. We are confirming with our mediator, but does Saturday at 10 am work for you and mom? It's important that all 4 of us will be there. Thanks, Britt DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

Comment: I violated the rules of engagement?? Um, no…I asked a simple question of S teven , which he never answered. Concerned about " patterns " – what patterns? I've been apologizing for things I never did!!! " Significant changes " ? Should I then become an uncaring, unloving father? That's

ridiculous and clearly not my daughters voice. That has 'Steven' written all over. "Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far…" What conversations??? I am litera lly the ONLY person trying to move things forward in a healthy way. Th is is a problem between Steven and I, and he ha s not done ANYTHING to help . Period. Before meeting Steven, my daughter would never have written an email reply such as this. Never. Clearly he is manipulating her. My reply: Yes, mom and I can be available at that time. I am willing to attend based upon three conditions; 1) This is about moving forward, not digging up the past. There were clearly missteps on the part of several of us, not just myself. Going over those details will serve no useful purpose other than igniting hurt feelings. 2) The meeting will be focused on ways to co mmunicate in both directions; engaged couple to the parents, and vice - versa. 3) No expectations will be made that violate our First Amendment rights. While I agree there are acceptable ways to communicate, anything that prevents one of us from speaking at all to another person is something I won't consider. This is America - brave heroic people fought for our rights, and that should be respected. S teven replied; Hi all, DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

I agree with everything Britt said. As for your response, Mr. Hutchinson, I don't b elieve we can comply with them all of them the way you have requested. 1. There are things that have been done and said over the last two weeks that cannot be ignored, and it is not enough to ask for a "blank slate" and move forward. Some of this will be dis cussed in our conversation. 2. We agree that communication moving forward should be an element of this conversation. 3. We don't believe it's helpful for us to set expectations for the communication plan moving forward before our conversation. I have to admit, these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining for Britt and I as we have interacted with you and we desire to move forward in a healthier direction and would appreciate your willingness to enter into that being willi ng to lay anything down in order to make that happen. You have emphasized how important your relationship with Britt is, and if that is really true, we believe that you will listen to what Britt and I have to say about how we can all move forward in a heal thy way, even if that means that interaction with one or both of us moves forward differently than your personal preference. Comment: "Things have been done and said that cannot be ignored". Such as?? To date neither of them can name even one single thin g. Not one! "…these past few weeks have been incredible challenging and emotionally draining for Brittany and I as we have interacted with you…" Here again, name one thing, just ONE that I've done, other than ask a question, raise my voice (to Brittany o nce, and to my wife once), apologize for things I never did, and strive for peace. Name one. They literally cannot. Notice how Steven interjected himself into the equation with his comment? Other than a few emails and a letter trying to make peace, I've had ZERO interaction with Steven in the previous few weeks. None. But he's trying to pretend to be a victim here. " We desire to move forward in a healthier direction…" How are we going to move forward if Steven puts zero effort in to anything and my daughter will not even speak to me?? "….being willing to lay down everything in

order to make that happen." Umm… I have done EXACTLY that, and Steven has done NOTHING. DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

It seems to me that Steven is manipulating our daughter. Clearly, I am the one trying to make peace and Steven is avoiding that. The question is why? My reply; S teven , Mrs. Hutchinson and I have also been directly/indirectly impacted in a negative w ay by some of your words & actions. We will also be presenting a list of expectations on how we will be communicated with. We look forward to the meeting. See you then. Mr. Hutchinson Conclusion The second attempt @ mediation was scheduled for Saturday, 2/27/2021 @ 10 AM. The previous weeks mediation was cancelled by Steven (through Brittany) because he did not want to discuss previous events. As you can see in the above emails, this time I agreed to no previous events be discussed, but now suddenly Steven wants them. That's a 180 - degree turn - around. Days later they cancelled mediation, again, without reason. What is being hidden? Why can we not come together as Christians and discuss things in an open and honest way? Something is very, very wrong here. Steven has somehow convinced my daughter that I h ave done things that are wrong, without any evidence or proof whatsoever. In fact, it has been Steven who is the one causing problems. Again, how is this Christian behavior? And from a pastor?? In my last phone call with her she said that she shows Steve n all the text message s and email s from me and tells him everything we talk about on the phone, because that's how Steven says the 2 shall become 1. She also said that she hoped in the future we could go to counseling and figure out how to have a relatio nship again. She then whispered, "Dad, I love, love, love, love, love you a lot!" DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

Since then, my daughter has completely broken off communication with me. No calls, no texts, no visits, nothing. Other than a brief text reply where I invited her to her m om's birthday party she will not respond to any attempts at contacting her. (She would not come to the party – this is the first time she's ever missed something like this. ) I am concerned about both her mental and physical health. She and I have always ha d an amazing father - daughter relationship – almost like 2 peas in pod – and now, only weeks after becoming engaged she will not even speak to me, says we need counseling, and I am being repeatedly accused of doing things which they will not specify, speak about, or go to mediation to resolve. Seems this gives Steven plenty of opportunities to make more false accusations about me without my ever having a chance to defend myself. More and deeper wedges being driven between my daughter and I. This, to me, lite rally sounds like the actions of a narcissist. In what other way can anyone explain how in my daughters eyes I go from being a father she loves and adores, to being treated almost like a monster in only 30 - days?? I sent Steven one final email which state d: "No conflict is over until a solution or a side concedes. This is the calm before the storm. I will always fight for my love. I am relentless." This was to serve as a reminder that even though he would not discuss the things mentioned above and is now refusing to have any conversation with my wife and I to bring a resolution, there is still a conflict between us. The upcoming "storm" is when I release

the truth (this document) about his actions. It is also a reminder that I will never give up on our dau ghter. Steven twisted the truth (again) and told Brittany that I was threatening him. The wedge grew deeper due to this lie. This is where things stand right now. Though this is only one - side of the equation, it shows enough for you to understand why I am concerned. Kindest regards, Tim Hutchinson DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

Follow - up Note I redacted the names of people and church, then sent this file over to several mental health professionals, via a trusted 3 rd party (to further protect all of our identities.) Their responses were similar: This is clearly a troubling situation and Steven has acted inappropriately. Every one of their re sponses has encouraged me to continue making this truth known and to never give up on my daughter. DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

From: Tim Hutchinson To: david@lutzlawfirm.com Subject: Re: Calvary Church Date: Friday, May 5, 2023 11:18:35 AM The banners have been ordered. My family and friends are ready. The protest will happen. There is only one way to stop this, and that is for them to do the proper investigation they should've done already. Mr. Timothy Hutchinson On Mon, May 1, 2023 at 2:10 PM <david@lutzlawfirm.com> wrote: Mr. Hutchinson, I am in receipt of your request below and I have spoken with my client about the same and they respectfully decline your request. Please note that the trespass notice previously provided to you attached hereto remains in effect. David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1515 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: 612-424-2110 Cell: 651-230-2110 david@lutzlawfirm.com www.lutzlawfirm.com NOTICE: The foregoing message (including all attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by the Attorney-Client privilege or other Privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or EXHIBIT B DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. In the event you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. NOTICE: This office sometimes acts as a debt collector for consumer debts. Accordingly the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act requires attorneys engaged in consumer debt collection to advise consumers that this communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2023 3:30 PM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com Subject: Re: Calvary Church Hello Mr. Lutz, I am contacting you about an unresolved matter involving Calvary Church, and someone who works there; Steven Anderson. Steven is married to my daughter and has told many terrible lies about me. As a result, I've not had a relationship with my daughter for several years. In fact, there is a harassment restraining order against me (which I

allowed) that is set to expire in approximately 30 days. Previously I contacted Calvary Church for help in resolving this. Their response was to have an attorney (you) contact me and ask that I stay off their property and have no contact with them. I have honored this request. It is my belief that a lot of the problems Steven caused could have been avoided if Calvary Church had done a proper investigation. I am writing you to ask they perform an investigation - which is long overdue! This investigation would include interviewing myself in a public hearing so my family could attend. I want Calvary to hear the truth directly from me. There are witnesses and documents that would be beneficial for them to hear from and see. No one other than Steven and Brittany (whom he lied to) believe any of the allegations against me. In short, Calvary has a monster working for them, and I can 100% prove it. If Calvary Church is willing to move forward with this, there will be no further action against them. I will walk away and my business with them will be done. If they refuse, they should fully expect protests at both of their locations once the HRO has expired. Let me be very clear; I don't want to do anything against a church, I am a good Christian man. However, their failure to properly investigate this matter I brought to their attention has led to a lot of harm and difficulties in my family. This is their opportunity to correct a wrong. Regards, Timothy Hutchinson 651.724.1325 DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

On Mon, Apr 24, 2023 at 5:11 PM <david@lutzlawfirm.com> wrote: Tim, I am counsel to Calvary Church. David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1515 Minneapolis, MN 55402 612-424-2110 david@lutzlawfirm.com www.lutzlawfirm.com NOTICE: The foregoing message (including all attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by the Attorney-Client privilege or other Privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. In the event you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. NOTICE: This office sometimes acts as a debt collector for consumer debts. Accordingly the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act requires attorneys engaged in consumer debt collection to advise consumers that this communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, April 24, 2023 9:33 AM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com Subject: Re: Calvary Church Hello Mr. Lutz, DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

Checking to see if you still represent Calvary Church? Regards, Tim Hutchinson On Wed, Jun 9, 2021 at 12:19 PM Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> wrote: P.S. In the attachment there are the names Jake and James. It would be helpful to know that Jake is engaged to my oldest daughter, and James is dating my youngest daughter. The church might also be interested in knowing that 2 of Brittany's 3 siblings won't attend the wedding, and no one from my side of the family will be there

either. The reasons are the same; they are shocked at the lies Steven told about me. Regards, Tim Hutchinson On Wed, Jun 9, 2021 at 12:03 PM Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> wrote: David, All along I've stated my desire to avoid any legal proceedings. Sad day when Christians cannot come together and resolve an issue. Attached is a letter I wrote to my daughter in response to a Restraining Order. Would appreciate you reviewing it and forwarding it to your client, Calvary Church. I'd like them to know these things, My goal has always been to have the truth told. Regards, DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

Tim Hutchinson On Tue, Jun 8, 2021 at 12:29 PM <david@lutzlawfirm.com> wrote: Tim, I have advised my client not to meet and discuss due to the threat of litigation. My client has received and reviewed your written communication. David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1550 Minneapolis, MN 55402 612-424-2110 david@lutzlawfirm.com www.lutzlawfirm.com NOTICE: The foregoing message (including all attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by the Attorney-Client privilege or other Privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. In the event you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. NOTICE: This office sometimes acts as a debt collector for consumer debts. Accordingly the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act requires attorneys engaged in consumer debt collection to advise consumers that this communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, June 7, 2021 10:02 AM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

Subject: Re: Calvary Church Dear Mr. Lutz, I have received the notice, have honored it and will continue to do so. I recently became aware of the allegations being made against me which are horrible and unfounded. Is there a possibility of presenting my side of this ordeal? If your client, Calvary Church, would be open to a meeting with me, it can be at a place and time of their choice. The only goal is to clear my name and I come in a spirit of peace and truth. The Bible says we should choose a good name rather than riches, and I would appreciate an opportunity to prove to your client the truth. Respectfully, Tim Hutchinson 651-724-1325 On Fri, May 7, 2021 at 8:41 AM <david@lutzlawfirm.com> wrote: Dear Mr. Hutchinson, Please see attached correspondence which is also being sent via U.S. Mail. David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1550 Minneapolis, MN 55402 612-424-2110 david@lutzlawfirm.com www.lutzlawfirm.com DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

NOTICE: The foregoing message (including all attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by the Attorney-Client privilege or other Privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. In the event you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. NOTICE: This office sometimes acts as a debt collector for consumer debts. Accordingly the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act requires attorneys engaged in consumer debt collection to advise consumers that this communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

Steven, May 27th is almost here. Then the HRO will expire, and you will quickly realize that your lies are going to be exposed. I have plans, including protests at the church. I feel bad for them being dragged into this mess, however, they failed to do a proper invesƟgaƟon. So, this is on them not me. I have the banners ordered and have family / friends who are going to protest the church every week – both locaƟons. There's not been one person in the past 2 years who has told me they believe any of the bullshit lies you've told. Now we get to expose you. If you have a suggesƟon on how to resolve this otherwise, you beΣer speak up now. Once my plan goes into acƟon, there is no stopping or turning back. This is your one and only chance to get ahead of this. Just don't waste my Ɵme with stupid self-centered ideas. In the words of Janis Joplin; "Freedom is just another word for nothing leŌ to lose." There is one quesƟon you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out? Tick-tock, Mr. Timothy Hutchinson EXHIBIT C DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

EXHIBIT D Dear Brittany, Amazing how he has you believing that after 22 years of being an amazing father, that somehow, I have become a monster. Apparently, he has super-powers because only he can see that…and he has convinced you that his fantasy is real. I am so grateful and thankful for my children who know my heart and have stood by my side, unwavering during these difficult times. They will always have a special place in my heart. I have proven to them, over the decades, that I always put my family first. Always. They will not just give up on me when someone tells a lie. They came to me and asked what my side of the story was. I shared my heart and truth as best as possible, which again confirmed that I have put this family first, even when it caused me tremendous pain (and even resulted in my being hospitalized.) They are my family, and I am so proud of them! All I have been doing has been to try and resolve things through making the truth known. His response has been to escalate his lies and twisting of the truth to cover his previous lies. He has done so by making even the slightest ant hill seem like a ginormous mountain. He has scrutinized every single step I have taken and found fault with it - even if that means twisting the truth, or outright lying. Quick example: For weeks I had been coming

home and placing my handgun on the top railing for a few minutes (since the riots, doing this made your mom feel safe), entering the kitchen and unpacking my lunch bag, then taking the handgun and putting it away in the bedroom. For weeks this was a daily routine (unless someone was walking down the street towards the park when I got home - in that case I waited until later to bring it into the house, when no one was around.) One day when he was over, he noticed the gun on the railing, and automatically assumed it was there to intimidate him. That is what he stated in the Restraining Order. Again, just a small example of how he has twisted things to fit his agenda. An agenda to strip us out of each other's lives. An d you just caved him and let him get away with this. That makes me feel like I really do not matter to you - it seems you just gave up so easily. Here I am fighting to get you back and you are blindly going along with his evil plan. He has been avoiding the truth being told. He does not want mediation because he knows the truth will come out and his evil deeds with be exposed. That is also the reason why he continues to keep you isolated from me. He DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

is afraid of the truth. That also explains why he blatan tly lied to Calvary about me; so they would not help with mediation, and instead have a lawyer ask me to stay away. I am no threat to them whatsoever. Yet he has them thinking I am such a threat they have supposedly hired additional security. That is how f ar he will go to cover his lies. They are a CHURCH - I am a PASTOR. They are my brothers and sisters in Christ. I would never go there and commit any sort of act of violence. Not ever. I only went to them because previously when we were going to have med iation, someone from the church was going to be the mediator. This was in no way an attempt to have him fired - they are a church; they are supposed to help people. I went there seeking their help in resolving things. Had he worked at any other place besid es a church I would have never contacted them. But he twisted this also to make it seem I was going there to somehow attack him. More lies on top of the other lies. Remember when I said let us take a 6 - month break. That took control away from him, Once I took control away, he felt a need to take it back. That is the real reason for the Restraining Order. Why file that if I am going away for 6 months? Control. The only thing I did during that time was to come over and attempt to tell you both that you are loved and forgiven. Just one time and you would never hear from me again for at least 6 months. This is about control - he wants to control, and I am in his way. Even worse; he has you believing that I am a threat and/or danger to you. Above all else that especially troubles me!! Let me be perfectly clear on this one point: I love you. More than life itself. There is no way, and I mean literally zero chance I would ever physically hurt you. Ever. Never. You are absolutely one of the greatest things to eve r happen in my life. Period. Even the mere thought of any type of harm to you is so repulsive it makes me ill to my stomach. Literally. I know that you have been emotionally hurt - some of that is likely my fault. For that I wholeheartedly apologize. I tru ly do. It was never my intention in any way, shape, or form to cause you any kind of pain. None whatsoever. That is a promise. A solid promise from a father to his precious daughter. I pinky swear. I think this has been

tremendously difficult for you. Af ter all, it is not normal to become engaged, then need therapy. What's next, medication? He has painted DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

a picture of a fairy tale, but after the wedding he will slowly turn it into somethin g else . There are literally thousands of stories exactly like this o n the internet about guys like him. Everything seemed almost magical. No way could he ever turn out like all the others, he special, he different, he really loves me - then they changed. And the ladies who were lucky and escaped, ended up just another stat istic with a sad story to tell and deep emotional scars. Sadly, I beli eve you will see this firsthand. Once you walk down the aisle and I am not next to you, the door of opportunity to resolve things with him closes . After that time, I will never ack nowledge him as my son - in - law. He will never call me "dad". I will never have any type of relationship with him . Something else is going to happen after the wedding: my response. I t will then be my turn, an d I will expose his lies and evil ways. You see, I have been patiently waiting to see how far he would take things – and all the while I have been trying to resolve this (apologizing, offering forgiveness, seeking mediation, etc.) I've been patient, very p atient, for a good reason; I want to be sure that I've given every chance possible to resolve this another way. I don't want to think about the "What if's" afterwards, wondering if there was another chance to settl e this. Filing the Restraining Order was enough to show me there isn't another way – I'm left with literally no choice. This entire time he has only done one thing: continue playing his game. So, remember this phrase: "He Treated Me Like a Game - Now I'm Going to Show Him How It's Played." I am fairly certain your lawyer is also reading this, so here's the disclaimer (as I've stated over and over and over again, repeatedly since this conflict began...) Nothing stated here is meant to infer or condone any illegal activities, or anything of a violent nature. I am a law - abiding citizen and will comply with all laws, including the Restraining Order. DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

Step #1 is to file a lawsuit against St ev en, and possibly Calvary. He will have to answer questi ons during th e lawsuit proceedings, and the truth will come out! T here will be other ( le gal ) events that will happen as well. Again, all fully legal and in co mpliance wi th the Re straining Order. But that does not make them any less effective. My enti re goal is to show you, my beau tifu l daught er , the lies he has been telling. As I ' ve stated so many times before; I just want t he truth revealed! I will not stop until t ha t happens. For th e rest of my life I will not rest until you k now the truth. I promise you this. As these events unfold, you will reach a point in time when you realize that you joined the wrong team. When that happens, come home. No judgement, no 'I told you so' comments, no holding a grudge. Nothing but love is waiting for you here. That is a real father to daughter promise. We all make mistakes in life (myself included), and it is comforti ng to know we have a family that will take us back, no matter what. Because that's how real families roll. His real battle is not with me, it is with himself. He clearly does not know how to express himself or his emotions in a healthy way and as a resul t, pushed me to my limits – then blamed me for the outcome ; all the

while lying about what he has done to cause the problem(s) to begin with. Then, in order for you to remain in a relationship with him, you had to hurt someone; me. Are you happy with that? Seems fair, right? I mean all you have to do is treat your dad like crap after he made you a priority in his life for 23 years. And did anything and everything he possibly could for you. Here's a hint: if you have to give that up to be with someone, then you're with the wrong guy! Especially if he's lying to keep you away from your own dad. During all of this time he has not once shown any care or compassion towards me. Zip. Zilch. Nada. Nothing. How is this Christian behavior? Answer: it's not! I've off ered love, forgiveness and multiple opportunities to resolve this. He's offered nothing except lies in an at tempt to exacerbate things. Again, that is not Christian. At all. But somehow, he just turns things around in your mind to make me the bad guy. Again, it's amazing how awesome of a relationship we had, until he came along. DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

I now present to you some answers to the false claims made in the Restraining Order. In the interest of brevity, I've not covered everything. However, if there is something you would like to know, sh are that with your attorney and I'll answer through him. Love, Dad DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

I have a challenge for you. Read this alone and do not let S teven read this. It was agreed upon in court that I got to share a letter with you. But just you. I want you to read this with your heart and meditate on it. My Response to Your Order The Kyle Situation Kyle was Vanessa's ex, who assaulted her. After he assaulted her, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because sh e was embarrassed. I called Kyle, and he started to verbally assault me so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified my self as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. At that point I felt the need to defen d myself, and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I responded, " Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left. He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical – and I learned that in the future I would insist on calling the police and letting them handle the situation. The Phone Calls to Steven It was alleged that I made an increasing number of harassing calls to Steven. But I have checked my phone records, and they show that I have called Steven a total of 4 times ever. Not in a week, a month, but ever. 4 times. Acting Out? It's claim ed that I, at one time, were stomping, slamming cabinets, and slamming doors, and raising my voice while talking about steven. I only raised my voice to you once about Steven, but only after he treated me several times very disrespectfully. I was so disapp ointed in myself for having raised my voice to you (something I've not done before) that I slapped the doorway as I walked out of the kitchen. I cannot slam the doors in our

kitchen, there are none. I cannot slam the kitchen cabinets as they have easy clos e stoppers. I never stomp my feet as I am not a child. Inappropriate Use of My Firearm? You said I "placed the firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment towards Steven." The truth of the matter is that I have been placing my firearm there for weeks, based on a request from your mom. It made her feel safer. Placing my firearm on the banister became an everyday routine for me. After what happened in Minneapolis with George Floyd and the protests and then the protests threatening to go to the suburbs, it made your mom feel safer to have it visible at times when I got home from work. Again, she literally asked me to do DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

this! One day while Steven is over he sees the handgun in its usual place, and made an incorrect assumption. Later it's claim ed that I went to the garage to retrieve my firearm and returned looking very angry. This is not true . I am very careful when retrieving my firearm from the car. In fact, for 20+ years, you didn't even know I had one . It was only after the events in Minneapolis that I started to display my firearm – and only at the specific request of your mom. Over the years, I have been so discreet, that when ever there are people anywhere around (going to the park, out walking, working in their yard, etc. ) , I will wait until later to retrieve the firearm from the car so I can be as to be as safe and discreet as possible. We have lived in that house for 22 years and our neighbors ha ve never seen me carrying it. I only have it out in the open inside the house, and only for a short period of time. I have since asked your mom if she was okay with me being completely discreet and she said yes. I have never waved this gun around; I have never used this firearm to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie and crazy. I made it to 60 without a criminal record, but Steven want s to paint me a s this crazy man with a gun. The second time that I raised my voice, I did not know you were even home, I thought you had left with Steven. And when I did raise my voice, it lasted maybe 15 seconds. Your mom was at the top of the stairs and I was by the do or. I raised my voice towards your mom about the frustrations I was feeling with this situation. And I was shouting towards her, but not at her. After the 15 seconds had passed, your mom came and gave me a hug and said "I can see that this is clearly hurti ng you" She said "Let's go make supper together and have a glass of wine"; to which I responded with "Okay, the things Steven are doing and saying are bothering me." Within 10 minutes, your mom and I were in the kitchen laughing and joking about Facebook m emes. You then claim I went to the car and returned with the gun, still visibly angry. That's a lie. (It should also be noted that I have not raised my voice at all since then. Not once, under any circumstances. Again, just trying to make me appear to be a bad person, which I am not.) In the order it says, "The presence of his firearm in several incidences, along with his emotional instability, and refusal to respect boundaries that I've set in our relationship concern me that this will continue to escalat e and that the result may involve his firearm and Steven or I" To that I say: First of all to talk about boundaries - the only way I have

stepped over boundaries is to try to resolve this. That's all that I have been doing for months. The display of my hand gun, again, was because of your mom's request. That has nothing to do with the situation between you, Steven and I. Nothing. Other than raising my voice twice, there has been no outward signs of aggression or anything being escalated. This is all a complet e fabrication. Yes, this is a bold face lie. All I've been trying to do, repeatedly, is to have the truth come out. I believe the truth doesn't mind being questioned, whereas lies want to hide. (Sound familiar?) DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

\
EXHIBIT E DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790\-5ECB\-4EF3\-8EF3\-874626E5641C
DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790\-5ECB\-4EF3\-8EF3\-874626E5641C

DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790\-5ECB\-4EF3\-8EF3\-874626E5641C
DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

There's a reason Steven does not want mediation or to resolve things; because his lies will be exposed. So, he pretends to be afraid and paint me as a violent person to avoid the truth coming out. The Night You Moved Out You went to a friend's house and a few days later moved out. T he night you moved, you came back because you forgot something. I was in the bedroom with your mom. I heard something moving around in the house, so I went out into the hallway to see what was going on. When I noticed it was you I said hi. You gave me a hug and said "I love you so much dad." I said "I love you too." I asked if you were okay, and you said yes. I then said, "It's kind of weird because this is the first time you have moved and I didn't help you." You smiled and said , "Yeah, it is kind of weird." YOU SMILED AND SAID YEAH IT'S KINDA WEIRD. You said you had to go, and I said, "Okay, have a good night." This is the day you moved out because you were supposedly afraid of me. How does that say you are afraid of me? The La st Page It's interesting that when Steven came up with this order, in his evidence, he left out the last page of my website where it talks about you guys being loved and forgiven. LOVED AND FORGIVEN . But that would go against his claim that I am a crazed, violent man. That doesn't fit his agenda to make you think I am this horrible violent person. What's interesting is that no one other than Steven thinks I am a violent person. Sadly, he has convinced you this lie is real. I spend time with both Jake and James and there's literally no problems between us at all. None. In fact, they have both said they would write letters in support of me. They both h eard the Kyle story and are not afraid of me at all – because there's literally no reason to be. None. That's all a fabrication of Steven's. I now have over 15 people who are close to me, all willing to write letters stating they have never seen any of the behavior Steven is alleging, and that they simply do not believe any of it is true. How many do you have? I'm not a betting man, but if I was, my bet would be there are zero people to back up his claim(s). Because they are lies. The Therapy Session You a pproached me to go to therapy with you. Before you, your therapist, and I got together for a meeting, the therapist wanted to get together with me alone in a zoom type meeting. She was rude, condescending, and I really did not feel as though she cared abou t me or resolving anything . It was very frustrating talking to her. Nonetheless, I said any therapy sessions I was involved in I would pay for and gave her my credit card number so you would not be burdened

with that. What no one, not even the therapist kn ows is that I was not alone in that room. When the session with m yself and the therapist was scheduled, I asked your mom to be in the room with me. She sat off to one side, out of view to the camera. She witnessed how stressful this was for me. Then, at th e time you and I had our session with the therapist, it was immediately stressful DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

again. I felt as though the therapist was condescending from the beginning. My only goal for that session was to tell you that I loved you and to validate your pain . I know you've been hurt, and it's incredibly painful to see you go through this . T he t herapist interrupted me repeatedly . When I didn't recite things back exactly, it seemed she was a bit condescending . Half the time I didn't look at the screen as it was too hard to see the pain on your face. I didn't even get to say anything what I really wanted to say; that I lo ve you and to validate your feelings. At one point in time, I muted the microphone then I leaned forward to cry. You may have thought I got up and w alked around the room, but I was bent over forward crying into my hands. I truly and sincerely hurt throughout this session. Having you out of my life hurts more than my brothers dying – and still does to this very day. At this point it was clear that my message of love was not going to be conveyed to you, I became frustrated , rambled on about something and left the meeting abruptly. I think I mentioned not knowing if I wanted a relationship with you, but what I really meant was for a temporary amount of t ime. ( P.S. I did not slam my laptop close d – this laptop is too expensive to be slammed shut. ) With the thought of that session fresh in my mind. I ended up in a park later that night with a gun to my head. That's the reason I had suicidal ideations; the pain on your face was more than I could handle. Word Choices I notice that throughout the order, words were used to misdescribe the situations. "RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP, SCREAMING". This is noth ing more than character assassination. Can someone name even one person who's actually witnessed me doing anything like that? I didn't think so - because it's not true. Trying to Get an Answer I have been trying repeatedly for months to hear what Steven and your complaints/problems/concerns were, what the problems were. And no one has told me anything. It was only when I got the restraining order that I was informed of the problems. Not once before that did I know truly what the problems were as n either o f you had told me. I had called for a 6 month break, and immediately, the 2 of you filed for a restraining order. Was this because Steven thought he was losing control? The only thing I did within that 6 months was to come to you to tell you were loved and forgiven. And now I hear that Steven thought about jumping out his 2 nd story window and call 911 all because he heard the story of Kyle. Meanwhile, Jake, James and I are hanging out having a good time. Clarifications So let's talk about that story again, it was told twice in one year. Both times Vanessa started to tell the story. Both times I finished it quickly. After the second time, I leaned over to Vanessa and said "Please let's not tell this story again" And she res ponded with DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

“but I like to brag about you” And I said “okay thank you but let's leave this story in the past” and she said “okay dad I love you” and I said “I love you too”. Both of these times, James and Jake were present. And neither of them felt threate ned by this. I did not tell this one on one with Steven. It was told in a group setting. I have since hung out m any times with Jake and James. James has even shared his working schedule with me so I can call before/after he is off work to chat. Neither of them feel threatened by me at all. You say that on February 16 th , I came home and discovered Steven’s laundry, I immediately responded with anger and rage. That is not true. It was an hour later, and it was in the presence of your mom, lasting about 15 se conds, as mentioned before. I was upset, but there was mo “rage”. Again, character assassination. My Military Record Charlie was the name given to me in the military as a result of ha ving an elevated security clearance. I served courageously, and sometime s heroically. I was honorably discharged. My military records are now sealed. That’s all anyone need s to know. As I made it clear over the years, I really don’t want to talk about my service to our country and I avoid the topic . Owatonna There is a solid, logical reason behind this event. If you heard the reason you would immediately realize it’s not what it seem ed at first glance. However, I am unable to write about this, and can only share this with you verbally. Perhaps some day down the road when we can speak again. I only ask that you trust me on this. I Have Doubts Did you even write this yourself? There are at least 5 times that it is cop ied and pasted from Steven’s order. Also, one thing I find interesting is that when the question is asked “Do you believe that the harassment will continue?” You respond with “The respondent has made several claims that he ‘resigns’ or is done with the ‘conflict’ yet he has continued to produce more emails, the website, etc. . There seems to be no indication this will end. He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany’s on 4/28/21. ” This is word for word copied from Steven’s order. How much of any of this did you even write yourself? Do you even know what half your order against me says? And up until now, all I have been doing is trying to resolve this. At least I’m trying. That’s more than Steven and you have done collectively. Yet every single time I try to resolve things, Steven turns it around to make it seem like I am a violent person and a threat to him. What’s even worse, is because of his lies, you think that I am a threat to yourself. As a father I would never be violent towards any one of my kids, ever. That’s nonnegotiable in my mind. DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

I’m Not Violent I was going to take the restraining order to trial, until your attorney told me that this is what you wanted personally. At that point in time, I asked myself “Why am I trying to fix anything?” I just want to be able to go to bed at night, knowing I have d one everything I possibly could to resolve this matter peacefully. And the only reason it hasn’t been, is because of Steven’s lies and twisting of the truth. To date, you have not seen me respond to the damage he has done, but you will. What’s especially t roubling is the fact I have to add a disclaimer to everything I write now which states “Everything I do will be accomplished by legal means” all because of Steven's lies. You know I’m not a

violent person. Again, 60 years old, no criminal record. That woul dn't be possible if I was such a violent person. I'm this amazing father until Steven comes around and it's like Dr. Jekyll & Mr. Hyde that only he can see. As it only exists in his mind. And he has you convinced it's real. No one else can see it. Why? It' s not real or true. There is literally no one else that I know who thinks this of me or believes any of these lies being told. That alone speaks volumes. How I Have Handled this Emotionally You say in your order "The respondent has shown an inability to c ontrol and regulate his emotions. He has lived out delusions of a violent nature." (Again, this is copy and pasted right from Steven's order) This has been a very emotional time for me, which it would be for any father that has their daughter unfairly stri pped from their life. Considering the depth of this tragedy, aside from the regrettable evening that I held a gun to my head, I feel as though I have handled this quite well. As far as the reference of violence, this is only an illusion in Steven's mind, t hat he has gotten you to believe. No one else in my life believes this whatsoever. They have seen no signs of violence in me. Why? It is simply not real, it is just lies. How else do you explain that I went from an amazing dad, who has done a tremendous am ount of work preventing bullying in schools, has chaperoned dances and prom for more than 25 years, and even done volunteer work at a hospice center sitting with dying people who have no family or friends to sit by their side, just so that they feel loved and cared for in their last moments, to a crazy homicidal maniac who threatens violence all in just a few months? And only Steven can see it. Maybe there's another answer? Maybe he's jealous of our relationship and set out to ruin it? That's a more likely answer in my eyes. An Epic Storm Let's talk about some of the things I've mentioned - starting with an epic storm. This is a real thing. I've done two so far in my life. Everything I do is completely legal in nature. We have a wonderful legal system in this country that helps defend innocent people like myself. Without going into too many details, suffice it to say, the first person ended up in prison. After which time I started dating his wife, and every month for a year, we would mail him a picture of us t ogether. How do you know this is true? I was living with her when I met your mom. For the second time, a man threatened our family. He was well respected in the community, had a 6 figure job, a nice home and a family. In a very short period of time, again using all legal means, he lost all of that. Afterwards, sitting

DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

alone in a motel outside of town, he called me and said "It's over, you won!" I said "No, it's not over. There are 3 more steps left." He begged, "Please, no. I have 2 daughters." I responded, "If you ever come near my family again, or come near our home again, I will finish what I started." He responded , "I'm gone." Want to know if this is true? Ask your mom, she knows. You see here again that Steven is twisting things to seem like I'm this c razy, violent man. But I know how to defend my family using legal means. I prefer to live in peace, which is why I use the phrase "If you want peace, prepare for war." This just means to be prepare d to defend yourself against people who want to take that p eace away, which I am fully prepared to do through legal means. Steven has been rude to me, disrespectful, twisting my words, and pulling you out of my life. "Prepare for war" simply meant to stop what he was

doing or prepare for legal consequences. The “E pic Storm'' and “Prepare for war” was a deterrent to stop him from doing what he was doing, which he didn’t. My Books Let's set the record straight. Steven had heard that I had written several books. I said I had. He said he would be interested in reading them. So , I went into the other room and g ot a copy of each for him. He said “Oh okay.” And we changed the subject. It was after that we hung out and he mentioned he read my books. He only wanted to talk about the miracles in ‘Casting Out Doubt’, not my memoir. You know all the good things that have come from writing this book. I have given out hundreds of copies and no one has ever felt threatened by it. Final Thought About Your Order And lastly, your restraining order was centered around a claim of domestic violence. I (regrettably) raised my voice around you, but how is that violent? The a nswer ; it is not. It doesn’t even rise to the level of bullying. Therefore, your restraining orde r was based around a false premise to begin with. I think it was filed that way just for dramatic effect. That rises to the level of bearing false witness against your neighbor, just like Steven's. My Response to Steven’s Order He says that I am unable to control my emotional response. Unable to control my emotional response? He was trying to talk down to me, and I was telling him to not talk down to me. He was being disrespectful to me. He is a 25 - year - old man and I am a 60 - year - old father. He does not ha ve the right to talk down to me. From the beginning, all along was to control me. If he can’t control me, he wants to make me look like a terrible person. Every time I have tried to stand up for myself, he has turned it around to seem like I am aggressive or violent. He was also rude to Alex, Vanesaa, and my friends Heather and Henry. They all told me independently that Steven acted very rudely towards them, and all 4 are willing to make written statements about how poorly he treated them. Interestingly eno ugh, Alex and Vanessa do not know Heather and Henry, so there was not, nor could be, any collusion. DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

My Letters to the Church About my letter to the church and that it was a threat to him. I went to the church to ask them politely and calmly to ask if they would help resolve this peacefully and without conflict. Along with the letter, I included the history of the challenges we have faced in our relationship. This documentation included emails and texts we had sent between each. Nowhere in any of the docume ntation did it reference any violence or threats. This was sent to the senior pastor only, in confidentiality, and he never responded. Anyone reading this letter would ask “How is this defamatory?” Steven twisted things around, again. As for the second letter, the senior pastor did not respond, so this was addressed to the elders at the church. Nowhere in any communication do I ask to terminate his employment. All I have ever asked was that they get involved in the conflict. As far as showing up “ unannounced”, I left 2 voicemails for the senior pastor the week before. As far as me being “uninvited”, I went into the lobby, rang the buzzer, and asked if I could leave the package there. I left the package in the “Leave Deliveries Here” area (the same as UPS or FedEx would) . I then went back out to my car peacefully. Also “uninvited”? How can someone not be invited to a church?” P.S. At the time I wrote this letter, there were markers that were off that led doctors to believe that I might

have cancer. I had to go through numerous tests, including a colonoscopy. Along the way, the real problem was discovered after I was taken to the emergency room by my wife after being in excruciating pain one night. Once there, after going through some more tests, they discovered the real problem. For privacy reasons I will not disclose what the problem is. I have since been undergoing treat ment (and as of June 4 th , 2021 continue to be under the care of doctors for this condition) . In conclusion, there was a serious conc ern that I had cancer. Fortunately, it was not cancer, but is still of great concern, and I am thankful for the medical community in helping through this difficult time. I say in the letter that I am prepared to make some bold moves. I went to the church to ask them to help. I wanted to get mediation. Bold moves - asking you over to have cheesesteaks and talk about what each other needs to resolve the issues. Nowhere have I threatened anyone. The Website The website was intended as a warning. Nothing more t han a warning. Yet again he has twisted things around to seem that I am going to use violence against him, even though I clearly state that everything will be done in a legal manner and not a violent one. I also had the website coded so that search engines will not pull it up or catalog it. I did that intentionally to protect privacy. It was a warning that if he continues or tries to pull anything else like what he has done to me, the site would go live. Even the screenshot Steven used in the filing says, " To be perfectly clear, everything listed above will be accomplished through legal means, I do not condone violence or any illegal activities. I DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

am an otherwise peaceful person who has been unfairly put in a position of having to fight back for his family." How does that say that I am a violent man? It doesn't! His Claim about My Gun His whole claim about me, my gun, and him - it is baseless. All these allegations of me using my gun for a violent purpose is something he invented in his own mind. And he has ca used undue concern to that church, both campuses, because of his lies. Name one time that he has seen the handgun in my hand. He has never! He is doing this to get my daughter out of my life, precisely. FYI A bout a week before we had our court meeting, I spoke to David (your ex) . He said when you and Steven first broke up, you started texting and calling him trying to get back with him. Does this mean Steven is forever your second choic e? He also mentioned the issue with Alex Rodriguez . But the bottom line here is that David clearly said that I treated him wonderfully and it was truly a sad day when we said good - bye for the last time. I honestly wish you were marrying David, or someone like him. He is awesome. DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

Brittany, I have a heart condition. This isn't exactly the way I wanted to tell you but thought you should know. I first became aware after having chest pains that radiated both into my left arm as well as my left shoulder. After a trip to the ER, a cardiologist visit and testing (including a heart scan) they discovered the problem. About a year ago I had some routine tests done just to check where I was at as a 60-year-old, and my heart was perfectly fine. Since then, the results are 247% worse. They are telling me this is stress related. At this level it's treatable. If I do nothing and it progresses to the next level, well, I don't

want to think about that. If you have any doubts about the authenticity of what I am saying, ask your mom. She was at the ER with me; she met the cardiologist; was there during the testing and read the results. It's real. This past year has been the worst year of my life. By far. I sleep, at most, 3 hours per night. Most nights I lay awake in bed between 1 - 4 AM thinking sad thoughts. But none of that is your fault. Not any of it. In fact, and I want you to know this; you don't owe me an apology or any explanation for your actions. Not at all. You've not done anything wrong. You are truly a wonderful person, and I am still very proud of you. I've been patient, peaceful and prayerful. My reward has been a heart condition. Sorry I had to tell you this way. Love you, Dad EXHIBIT F DocuSign Envelope ID: D072F790-5ECB-4EF3-8EF3-874626E5641C

## 002. 2023.05.17 - Affidiavit (Steve w exhibits) (signed).pdf

STATE OF MINNESOTA COUNTY OF RAMSEY DISTRICT COURT SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT CASE TYPE: HARASSMENT In Re the Matter of: Steven James Anderson, Petitioner. Vs. Timothy Allyn Hutchinson, Respondent. Court File No. 62-HR-CV-21-361 Affidavit in Support Motion for Extension of Harassment Restraining Order STATE OF MINNESOTA ) ) ss. COUNTY OF RAMSEY ) Steven Anderson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: 1. I am the Petitioner in the above-entitled matter and I submit this affidavit in support of my motion to modify and extend the terms of the May 27, 2021 Harassment Restraining Order ("HRO") against the Respondent, Timothy Hutchinson based on his violations of the terms of the HRO, threatening and slanderous communication sent to my employer and threats to resume and intensify harassment of me, including at my place of employment. 2. I am married to the Respondent's daughter, Brittany, who also has a HRO arising out of the same acts of harassment, largely targeted towards me, but directed through and to her as well. Brittany and I were married on June 19, 2021. 3. I am employed full-time as a Young Adults Pastor at Calvary Church in Roseville Minnesota through a nonprofit called Reliant. 4. Prior to my marrying Brittany, the Respondent reminded me on several occasions of a story where he insinuates that a previous relationship one of his other daughters had, ended with him shoving the boyfriends head through the drywall of his apartment. When I shared with the respondent my desire to marry his daughter, months prior to asking for his blessing, he gave me two of his books, including an autobiographical work, Painful Secrets , wherein he claims to have almost committed a mass shooting as a teenager. 5. These uncomfortable encounters culminated into an intentional and intense campaign of defamation and threats about me to my employer, Calvary Church in Roseville, MN. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

(Calvary Church has a congregation of 1,000+ with two campuses, one in Roseville and one in White Bear Township.) On March 1, 2021, the respondent appeared unannounced and uninvited at Calvary Church, 2120 Lexington Ave., Roseville, MN 55112, to deliver a defamatory letter addressed to my supervisor and senior pastor of the church, Dr. Shawn Winters. The letter made false and defamatory claims about me and my character with the intent to have Calvary terminate my employment. 6. Based

on the insinuated threats and potential endangerment of church staff and parishioners, Calvary Church increased security at both campuses to protect against any physical harm based on the respondent's threats to me and potential threats to the staff and congregation. Due to the potential threat to the property, pastoral staff and potential attendees, Brittany and I were unable to have our wedding ceremony at the church as we had planned and had to find a different officiant to perform the ceremony not employed by the church. 7. Respondent then hand delivered a 15-page letter ( Exhibit A ) making false and slanderous reports about me to my employer. 8. On April 28, 2021, Respondent appeared at my then residence uninvited at 7:50am while me and my roommates were all sleeping. After gaining access to my building, Respondent knocked on my apartment door repeatedly but I did not answer. My heart was racing and I was unsure if he would leave before I had to go to work. I began to think about having to jump from my second story balcony or calling the police just to get away from the apartment. After some time of knocking, it stopped and Brittany texted me a few minutes later that he was now at her apartment , so I felt safe to leave. 9. On February 26, 2021, Respondent sent me a threatening email in German that read: Kein Konflikt ist vorbei, bis eine Lösung oder eine Seite einräumt. Dies ist die Ruhe vor dem Sturm. Ich werde immer um meine Liebe kämpfen.Ich bin unerbittlich Translation: No conflict is over until a solution or a side concedes. This is the calm before the storm. I will always fight for my love. I am Relentless 10. In March 2021, Respondent sent me threatening voice messages and emails. 11. In April 2021, Respondent created a public defamatory website defaming and threatening me. The website referred to me as a "narcissistic monster who captured [his] daughter and dragged her away from [Tim] through [my] web of lies" a "[fake] youth pastor from hell" makes false claims that "Others see the truth but won't say anything. They are concerned Steven will attack them as he has done to me." VIOLATIONS OF MAY 27, 2021 HARASSMENT RESTRAINING ORDER. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

12. With the pending dismissal of the HRO on May 27, 2023, the Respondent has stated his intent to resume the same level of harassment which includes picketing at the place of my employment, Calvary Church, Roseville and White Bear Township. The Respondent violated Paragraph 1A of the HRO by sending threatening emails to my employer, Calvary Church, a threatening letter to me, and by sending a letter threatening me to my wife, Brittany Anderson, who also has a Harassment Restraining Order against the Respondent. a. May 5, 2023—Letter to Calvary Church : Respondent contacted my employer, Calvary Church, by way of their legal counsel, David Lutz, via email (see Exhibit B ). In his emailed letter to Calvary Church, the Respondent threatens that he will be going to both the White Bear Township and Roseville locations of Calvary Church to picket and protest me, along with friends and family, despite the church having a trespass notice against the Respondent. This action makes me feel threatened in my position with Calvary Church and continues the same harassment patterns from the original Harassment Restraining Order, specifically Paragraph C1 from the Petition . If this action were to take place, it would violate Paragraph 1E of the Harassment Restraining Order as it

stands. b. May 4, 2023---Threatening Letter to Petitioner: Respondent contacted me through my attorney threatening again to protest me at my place of employment (See Exhibit C ). In his letter, Respondent threatens that the only way to prevent this from occurring is to, "… Speak up now. Once [respondent]'s plan goes into action, there is no stopping or turning back " Respondent also accuses me of telling, " bullshit lies " and ends his letter " There is one question you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out? Tick-tock ." [Emphasis Added] His letter to me is a violation of Paragraph 1A of the Harassment Restraining Order . c. June 4, 2021: The week after the May 27, 2021 HRO was granted, the Respondent wrote to Brittany and continued to accuse me of lying and blaming me for the broken relationship between father and daughter (See Exhibit D ). This made me feel as though his patterns of harassment would not end, even though the Harassment Restraining Order had been issued. d. June 2021 Youtube Video . At some point following the May 27, 2021 HRO, Respondent created a 90+ minute YouTube video "responding" to the HRO, but specifically speaking out and challenging the allegations in the Petition, even though he agreed to an entry of an HRO voluntarily without the court making findings of the alleged harassment. Respondent enlisted the help of my wife Brittany's sister, Vanessa to film who he then had it forwarded the video to my wife. The video was originally posted on a password protected webpage on his site, his "ministry" site, not the website he was required to take down after the HRO. He has since taken down his ministry website. Attached are screenshots of the video ( Exhibit E ) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

13. Respondent has resumed and appears to be prepared to intensify the harassment against me and my wife, Brittany upon the expiration of the HRO on May 27, 2023. Although directed towards me, his threats of harassment involve and endanger 1,500+ congregants, including hundreds of children, at both campuses as well as the full and part time staff of both campuses. I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me or those around me. 14. In March of 2021, Respondent reported suicide ideation having held a gun to his head, as a result of the deterioration with the relationship with his daughter, something his emails, letters and other communications clearly indicates he believes I had a significant role in. He was eventually checked in to a psych ward because of this incident. He seems to be unable to regulate his emotions, is willing to bring a firearm into the mix and is fixated on me being the cause of his broken relationship with his daughter. I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me or the church where I work. 15. Respondent's threatening letter sent to Calvary's legal counsel is not only shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. His letters to Brittany demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me, and which he intends to resume his harassment on May 27, 2023. 16. I am requesting that the court extend the harassment restraining

order for another 10 years. In addition, I am requesting that he be prohibited from appearing at my place of employment, but all subsequent places of employment and residences. I also request that the respondent be prohibited from appearing at my current residence at 725 4 th Street E., St. Paul, MN 55016 and any future residences. I declare under penalty of perjury, pursuant to Minn. Stat. §358.116, that everything I have stated in this document is true and correct. Dated: May 17, 2023__ _____ Steven Anderson, Petitioner Signed in Ramsey County, MN DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Background My daughter, Brittany Hutchinson began dating Steven Anderson, youth pastor @ Calvary Church. Within a few months Brittany came to me and said she was breaking up with him. The reason is because she felt as though she couldn't be herself around him – almos t like he was too controlling. She later said that she had another meeting with him, during which time he "shared his heart" with her. Because of that she decided to again date him. Shortly thereafter Steven approached myself to help support his ministry – which his full - time job. He says the church cannot afford to pay him a salary, so he fundraises as a substitute . Since then, my wife and I supported his endeavor financially. Along the way I have even worked with him to improve his presentation to find others who would be supportive. Within months S teven approached me and asked what it would take to have my blessing for him to marry my daughter. One of the things I mentioned was spending more time with our family - we barely knew him. Afterwards he mad e an effort to be at our house almost on a weekly basis, and things seemed to be going well. When he asked for my blessing on marrying my daughter, I said there were three things he needed to promise me. He agreed and I gave him the blessing. My wife and I even helped with a temporary ring until he could buy one. Promise #1 : Brittany was involved in an accident and we sued on her behalf. She is getting a structured settlement. My wife and I gave up our right to sue for our pain & suffering in exchange for Brittany to receive a larger settlement. Because of that, she ended up with a settlement 4 times larger than she normally would have. I told S teven to not expect Brittany to use the settlement money for typical household needs - that money was given to he r so she could do something special (bucket list!) I said he should respect and encourage that. Though she has the right to do as she wishes with this money, let's remember we gave it to her to do something special with - anything other than that is not al igned with our original intentions. (Brittany later said she had turned control of this money over to Steven by including it in their budget. Then another time said she hadn't. She seemed confused. ) EXHIBIT A DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Promise #2 : He would never do anything to interfere in my relationship with Brittany. (As you'll find out later, this promise was broken many times!) Promise #3 : He would not be cheap with her. Frugal is OK, cheap is not. We discussed the difference with examples. First Signs of Trouble I learned that Brittany and S teven went to pre - marital counseling. During that time they took some tests which showed they were compatible. Things seemed OK. But shortly after they were engaged, things

changed. H e rarely came to the house and almost never made an effort to be a part of our lives. My son shared with me that one time, before their engagement, at a family bonfire he had tried to start a conversation with him, but Steven only said “whatever”, and brus hed past him . My son said that S teven acted rudely towards him the rest of the evening . This was literally the first time those two had been formally introduced. I had several friends there as well who both tried to start a conversation with Steven, and they said he was very unfriendly, almost to the point of being rude. One day Brittany was sp ea k ing to her mom and I about the guest list. It sounded as if S teven had already invited so many people there was barely any room for our side of the family. At least that was the impression I got from that conversation. At one point I heard the number of guests was already at 376. And that was before I was able to invite a nyone from my family or friends. (I ended up being able to invite only 3 family members and no friends.) Brittany seemed stressed by this process, so I called S teven and asked the question: "How does the guest list honor the mother and father of the bride? " H is reply was all about his family and never directly answered my question. When I brought this to his attention, he stated he would need some time to think about the answer and would let me know. This to me really was the beginning of where I noticed things going downhill. Tensions began to run high and he avoided talking to me. I also noticed changes in my daughter - she seemed unusually stressed and was smiling less than normal. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

One time at the breakfast table she said that she was expected to take on a new attitude and way of thinking. When I asked her what she meant, she got up from the table and walked away, saying she had somewhere to go. Brittany and I have always enjoyed a very close relationship and could talk about literally anything. Her re sponse to me was completely out of the ordinary. I asked for an answer about the guest list a 2 nd time, but he did not have an answer. Then, within days they came up the “ Rules of Engagement ” which they presented to my wife and I at a meeting. (See attach ed.) You’ll notice in section 3 it says; “Our desire in those disagreements is to maintain, and even deepen our relationships with out stakeholders, in particular our parents.” As you read further it will become clearly obvious this is not the truth. Th e question about the guest list ended up being asked 3 times. I never received an answer. During one of my (few) phone conversations with Steven, I offered some friendly advice from future father - in - law to future son - in - law, the same as my father - in - law di d 30 - years ago. He became agitated and said things such as, “I don’t need to listen to you” , and “I’m going to tell Brittany what you’re doing” , and “ I don’t need this advice” . Due to his r ude behavior I ended the call. A nother time I called just to ask if he wanted to get together and hang - out. He replied “No”, then said he had to go and hung up. Fast - forward 30 - days. During that time, Steven has made no efforts to be a part of our family or join any activities. Since I gave my blessing for him to marry our daughter, it’s like a switch was flipped and he became cold towards us. He has spoken rudely to myself, guests at our house and has acted this ways towards my other children. It seems as though he

was only being nice to obt ain my blessing, then his real personality came out. Had I known any of this I would have never said yes to him marrying our daughter. I have tried 7 times to make peace and preserve a relationship with my daughter. Each time has been met with either sto newalling (ignoring) and/or false accusations on the part of Steven. This period of time has been and continues to be emotionally traumatizing for myself. The ripple effect for my family has been noticeable. The pain and suffering have taken their toll . I’ ve EXHIBIT A DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

gone from being a normally peaceful loving person who has done a tremendous amount of volunteer work (hospice and preventing teen suicides @ schools), to becoming withdrawn and even crying at times . Dad Tries to Make Peace 7 Times (ATTEMPT #1) Before being presented with rules of engagement, (which I did not know they were going to do), in an effort to make peace, I offered an apology for any of my wrongdoings. I truly did not feel as though I had actually done anything wrong, but rather have a lways put my family first, and am willing to swallow my pride and apologize, even when not necessary or when I feel as though I've not done anything wrong, in order for my family to be happy. I grew up in unfortunate situations, devoid of any love. I lived that way for many years until I met an amazing lady. We married and extended our love into having children, whom I love and cherish more than life itself. I have always put my family first. Always. I challenge anyone to tell of a time when I have not done this 100%. Steven never clearly accepted the apology, and never showed any appreciation or gratitude. Basically, he ignored it and stonewalled me. The "Rules of Engagement" seemed to contradict each other. When I emailed to ask for clarification Steven's response d id not clearly answer my question. In that email he referenced "triangulating" without realizing that I studied psychology and know that word infers manipulation. I wasn't sure if he was attempting to insult my intelligence, insinuate I was being manipulat ive, or he really doesn't understand what the word triangulation means when used in the realm of psychology. My email response was short and a bit pointed; however, by now I am agitated the guest list question had not been answered and it seems all effor ts to resolve things have been met with him stonewalling me, ignoring us and being rude. (ATTEMPT #2) Phone call; shortly afterwards I called Steven and told him that I do not hate him nor am I trying to stop the wedding. I have a good relationship DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

with my other daughters' boyfriends and hoped to have the same with him. His only response was; "thank you for sharing that" in a monotone voice. There was no appreciation or gratitude - no saying anything like, wow I'm hoping for that too. Nothing. Just anoth er stonewall. Later I was talking with Brittany and my frustration boiled over, and I raised my voice about how Steven would not answer this seemly simple question and has made no effort to be a part of our family. I was also terribly upset that Brittany is showing signs of stress and I haven't seen her smile in days. I am very concerned and even heartbro ken that she should have to go through this during a time when she should be

celebrating. My being agitated certainly did not help matters and I felt truly awful afterwards. A few hours later she came to me and we discussed the guest list. I accepted her explanation , apologized for raising my voice, and asked that we move forward. The following day I went to her and asked if we could do a reset, one where we could together enjoy the journey of her getting married. She agreed and we spent some enjoyable time together. (ATTEMPT # 3) I knew there was still some healing that needed to take place between S teven and I. Though previous attempts had been met with no response, I d ecided to try again. I suggested mediation to Brittany a nd she said she would ta lk to Steven about it . (ATTEMPT #4) I saw increased sadness and pain in my daughter's eyes and felt incredibly horrible for her. So, I told Brittany and her mom that I would speak with S teven and offer to let bygones be bygones and to set simple expect ations of how we communicate. He refused to meet and asked for mediation, which I had previously suggested. I asked if he was sure he wanted to do that and said we could work things out. He said no, that our next talk should be mediated. I suggested he tak e a screenshot of that comment as a reminder that he asked for this – meaning that mediation would include going over past hurt and DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

painful events. Mediation was set for Saturday, Feb. 20 th . Steven insisted we not talk about the past and only focus on how my wife and I were to speak to him and Brittany. I insisted we bring everything out in the open and resolve matters. F or that reason , (according to Brittany), Steven canceled mediation. (ATTEMPT #5) Brittany does Stevens laundry at our house, which I honestly don't mind. He makes it clear that he is concerned about Covid, so when I discovered some information about that virus and fabric, I wanted to share this with him. Soon afterwards a s Brittany was leaving the house, she said Stevens laundry was in the dryer and asked that once done I place it outside the front door for him to pick up. I figured this was out of concern for Covid, so decided to write him the following letter, and placed in in the laundry basket along with his clean clothes. Hi Steven, I did some research and was surprised to learn the Covid virus can live for up to 48 - hours on our clothing. I can understand your concern over this virus, so much so that Brittany asked me to leave your clothes outside the front door for you to pick up. I can fully appreciate that. When one considers how long t he virus can live on clothing, and the fact they are inside our house - a place you're concerned about being exposed to, perhaps it would be a safer option for you to wash your own clothes at home. Something to think about. Would have been nice to see yo u today - it's been a while. We could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just yesterday for Covid. But, we can't be too careful! Well, perhaps another time. Hope you have a wonderful day. Mr. Hutchinson He has ne ver said anything about this letter. Nothing. No thank you for your concern, maybe we can meet up another time, etc. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Then just two days later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for an extended period. (He can 't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the

doorbell, yet two days later he can spend hours inside our home?) The following day his laundry was again being done at our house - all without any acknowledgment of the letter. Nothing. Crickets. T his to me was yet another stonewall, and quite honestly, seemed very disrespectful. Several hours later my wife came home and I was admittedly fuming about this and even a bit emotionally charged. After all, he had a history of being rude to my family an d myself. I spoke loudly about how upset I was. Brittany was in her bedroom and overheard what I said. This upset her so she went to stay at a friend's place for a few days. Brittany later cancelled a lunch date we had set for that week. This is the first time in her life she has ever cancelled anything with me because of how she felt. We've always had an amazing, open, honest and loving father - daughter relationship. Since the engagement I've seen that erode away, and I am honestly extremely hurt by this. I texted Steven to let him know that he was not welcome in our home and could not do laundry there until mediation. [I later discovered that Brittany had requested his laund ry be put outside due to her concern there might be a conflict between he and I, and not because of Covid. But i t is still concerning that he never made any comment about the letter nor showed any appreciation.] To make things a bit worse on my end is th e fact that cancer runs strong in my family; my grandmother, mom and 2 older brothers all died from it. I am now the eldest living male - my brothers died at an age younger than I currently am. Recently I've been experiencing some very familiar and concern ing symptoms and my bloodwork is not normal. I have several upcoming tests to verify what I already believe to be true. To avoid undue stress on my family I've not shared this information, until now. Comment: When S teven learned of this in an email, he n ever reached out to
DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

offer prayer or show any type of concern. Considering that he is a youth pastor, is this really Christian behavior? Seeing what my daughter is going through is horrible and adds to my desire to fix things so she can be happy. We had m ediation scheduled but Steven cancelled it. He says it's because he doesn't want to talk about previous events, and only wants to focus on how my wife and I should be talking to him and Brittany. [Seems a bit controlling!] To date Steven has not suggeste d even one single thing to help get our relationship back on - track. Thus far I've come up with 100% of the idea's and have offered 100% of the apologies, and have made 100% of the efforts, even though he cannot name one thing that I've done to offend him. Not one. I've been offering blanket apologies just to have a relationship with my daughter. Apparently he doesn't want that? Why?? Th e day after I was upset about him not responding to the letter, Brittany told her mom that she and S teven were in the process of renting an apartment and would be moving out soon . The plan is for her to live in that apt. with another roommate until after t he wedding. This, to me, just seems like another wedge is being unfairly driven between my daughter and myself . Why did Steven not try to make peace during this time? To me it seems as though he used this as an opportunity to further move Brittany away f rom our family (both physically and emotionally). Attempt 6: I sent everything listed above in an email to Steven, Brittany and my wife as an attachment, with this in the body of the email on February 20 th :

Hello Steven, Attached is a letter I wrote explaining my perception of how things have been going, my recollection of the events, and where it potentially went off - track. My intention is to reconcile broken relationships. You will notice the letter is addressed to the e lders @ Calvary Church. The reason for this is because if we DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

cannot work things out between us, then I will approach church leaders and ask them to intervene. I have no set preference as to whether we involve a mediator or not. This conversation will be a bout setting the record straight and moving forward. Any talks about how to communicate, etc. can come after. I will wait ten (10) days for a meeting. After that time (March 2nd) this letter will be sent to the leadership of Calvary Church. Sincerely, Tim Hutchinson CC: Brittany & Jennifer To date I have made 6 attempts at reconciliation – t he number of attempts I've seen S teven make is exactly zero. My question is how is this acceptable among Christians? Especially with him being a youth pastor. Are t hey not held to a higher standard as the bible mandates? Aren't we all supposed to follow Matthew 18:22? Jesus says we should forgive each other “seventy times seven times” a number that symbolizes boundlessness. That clearly is not happening. I will also add that during the past few months Brittany has become depressed, has several counseling sessions scheduled and has lost 20 lbs. unintentionally . This should be obvious to S teven . Turning this around could have been accomplished by accepting one of my nu merous attempts to move our relationship in a better direction , or offered an alternative. Why he did not do any of th e se. Where is the compassion we should have for one another? Attempt 7: I emailed the above letter to Brittany, S teven and my wife on February 20 th . Later that day, feeling so overwhelmed at the diminished relationship with my daughter, I then sent the following email, striving for peace , and once again apologizing for things I haven’t done ; This email is an open apology to my daughter, Brittany. I have failed you. Not just a little, but a lot. During the past weeks I have been so focused on being right, about winning a disagreement, that I lost sight of something far more DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

im portant; our relationship and your happiness. I have always put you first in my life, and now just months before your wedding I have fallen far short of what I should have been doing. You have every reason to be mad at me, hurt, disappointed and feeling le ss than loved by me. For that I apologize - profusely. I love you more than life itself and literally live in daily agony over the state of our current relationship. In response I'll say this: I resign. Completely and fully resign. Not from being your dad of course, but rather from the disagreement that caused this. The [previous] letter I emailed states my position and stands as the truth as I know it. But that's as far as it goes. I'm done with any disagreement with S teven . My time and energy is now going to be focused on you, Brittany. To work hard restoring our precious relationship and ensuring this never happens again. Ever. And, to help celebrate the upcoming wedding and make these months before the wedding as enjoyable as possible. With that i n mind, I am inviting Steven to neutral ground. A place where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a

snack from the kitchen, mi casa es tu casa. I don't want battles anymore - I just want to love my family and others with all my heart. To fully enjoy what time I have left on this planet. The past few weeks/months somehow turned ugly and I don't want that - never did. I ended up feeling ugly inside and that's not who I am. At all. I repent for my misdeeds and missteps. Peace, Tim/Dad Comment: Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things S teven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

My wife replied: Tim, I want you to know those words really touched my heart. They were absolutely beautiful and honest. I appreciate you putting Britt first and your highest priority. Im proud of you and very h opeful we can begin celebrating this engagement and wedding! This makes me very happy. I love you all so much. With Love, Jen Our daughter replied; Dad, Steven and I read your emails. We have found ourselves in the same position that we have felt trapped into for the past few weeks, unsure how to respond. We have set clear boundaries, laid out in our Rules of Engagement, and those have been violated repeatedly. The way Steven and I have been treated by you has been inappropriate and out of line. The last email we received was an apology directed towards me, and while I appreciate that I feel as if it dismissed core issues. This puts us into a difficult position o f being perceived as the ones continuing conflict if we don't accept a "blank slate." As Steven and I prepare to start our new life together, we are concerned that these patterns of behavior will continue. We believe that there are significant changes that need to occur in order to move forward in a healthy manner. We desire growing towards healthie r relationships with you. Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far, so we believe a mediated conversation is the appropriate next step. We are confirming with our mediator, but does Saturday at 10 am work for you and mom? It's important that all 4 of us will be there. Thanks, Britt DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Comment: I violated the rules of engagement?? Um, no…I asked a simple question of S teven , which he never answered. Concerned about " patterns " – what patterns? I've been apologizing for things I never did!!! " Significant changes " ? Should I then become an uncaring, unloving father? That's ridiculous and clearly not my daughters voice. That has 'Steven' written all over. "Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far…" What conversations??? I am litera lly the ONLY person trying to move things forward in a healthy way. Th is is a problem between Steven and I, and he ha s not done ANYTHING to help . Period. Before meeting Steven, my daughter would never have written an email reply such as this. Never. Clearly he is manipulating her. My reply: Yes, mom and I can be available at that time. I am willing to attend based upon three conditions; 1) This is about moving forward, not digging up the past. There were clearly missteps on the part of several of us, not just myself. Going over those details will serve no useful purpose other than igniting hurt feelings. 2) The meeting will be focused on ways to co mmunicate in both directions; engaged couple to the parents, and vice - versa. 3) No expectations will be made that violate our First Amendment rights. While I agree there are

acceptable ways to communicate, anything that prevents one of us from speaking at all to another person is something I won't consider. This is America - brave heroic people fought for our rights, and that should be respected. S teven replied; Hi all, DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

I agree with everything Britt said. As for your response, Mr. Hutchinson, I don't b elieve we can comply with them all of them the way you have requested. 1. There are things that have been done and said over the last two weeks that cannot be ignored, and it is not enough to ask for a "blank slate" and move forward. Some of this will be dis cussed in our conversation. 2. We agree that communication moving forward should be an element of this conversation. 3. We don't believe it's helpful for us to set expectations for the communication plan moving forward before our conversation. I have to admit, these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining for Britt and I as we have interacted with you and we desire to move forward in a healthier direction and would appreciate your willingness to enter into that being willi ng to lay anything down in order to make that happen. You have emphasized how important your relationship with Britt is, and if that is really true, we believe that you will listen to what Britt and I have to say about how we can all move forward in a heal thy way, even if that means that interaction with one or both of us moves forward differently than your personal preference. Comment: "Things have been done and said that cannot be ignored". Such as?? To date neither of them can name even one single thin g. Not one! "…these past few weeks have been incredible challenging and emotionally draining for Brittany and I as we have interacted with you…" Here again, name one thing, just ONE that I've done, other than ask a question, raise my voice (to Brittany o nce, and to my wife once), apologize for things I never did, and strive for peace. Name one. They literally cannot. Notice how Steven interjected himself into the equation with his comment? Other than a few emails and a letter trying to make peace, I've had ZERO interaction with Steven in the previous few weeks. None. But he's trying to pretend to be a victim here. " We desire to move forward in a healthier direction…" How are we going to move forward if Steven puts zero effort in to anything and my daughter will not even speak to me?? "….being willing to lay down everything in order to make that happen." Umm… I have done EXACTLY that, and Steven has done NOTHING. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

It seems to me that Steven is manipulating our daughter. Clearly, I am the one trying to make peace and Steven is avoiding that. The question is why? My reply; S teven , Mrs. Hutchinson and I have also been directly/indirectly impacted in a negative w ay by some of your words & actions. We will also be presenting a list of expectations on how we will be communicated with. We look forward to the meeting. See you then. Mr. Hutchinson Conclusion The second attempt @ mediation was scheduled for Saturday, 2/27/2021 @ 10 AM. The previous weeks mediation was cancelled by Steven (through Brittany) because he did not want to discuss previous events. As you can see in the above emails, this time I agreed to no previous events be discussed, but now suddenly Steven wants them. That's a 180 -

degree turn - around. Days later they cancelled mediation, again, without reason. What is being hidden? Why can we not come together as Christians and discuss things in an open and honest way? Something is very, very wrong here. Steven has somehow convinced my daughter that I h ave done things that are wrong, without any evidence or proof whatsoever. In fact, it has been Steven who is the one causing problems. Again, how is this Christian behavior? And from a pastor?? In my last phone call with her she said that she shows Steve n all the text message s and email s from me and tells him everything we talk about on the phone, because that's how Steven says the 2 shall become 1. She also said that she hoped in the future we could go to counseling and figure out how to have a relatio nship again. She then whispered, "Dad, I love, love, love, love, love you a lot!" DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Since then, my daughter has completely broken off communication with me. No calls, no texts, no visits, nothing. Other than a brief text reply where I invited her to her m om's birthday party she will not respond to any attempts at contacting her. (She would not come to the party – this is the first time she's ever missed something like this. ) I am concerned about both her mental and physical health. She and I have always ha d an amazing father - daughter relationship – almost like 2 peas in pod – and now, only weeks after becoming engaged she will not even speak to me, says we need counseling, and I am being repeatedly accused of doing things which they will not specify, speak about, or go to mediation to resolve. Seems this gives Steven plenty of opportunities to make more false accusations about me without my ever having a chance to defend myself. More and deeper wedges being driven between my daughter and I. This, to me, lite rally sounds like the actions of a narcissist. In what other way can anyone explain how in my daughters eyes I go from being a father she loves and adores, to being treated almost like a monster in only 30 - days?? I sent Steven one final email which state d: "No conflict is over until a solution or a side concedes. This is the calm before the storm. I will always fight for my love. I am relentless." This was to serve as a reminder that even though he would not discuss the things mentioned above and is now refusing to have any conversation with my wife and I to bring a resolution, there is still a conflict between us. The upcoming "storm" is when I release the truth (this document) about his actions. It is also a reminder that I will never give up on our dau ghter. Steven twisted the truth (again) and told Brittany that I was threatening him. The wedge grew deeper due to this lie. This is where things stand right now. Though this is only one - side of the equation, it shows enough for you to understand why I am concerned. Kindest regards, Tim Hutchinson DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Follow - up Note I redacted the names of people and church, then sent this file over to several mental health professionals, via a trusted 3 rd party (to further protect all of our identities.) Their responses were similar: This is clearly a troubling situation and Steven has acted inappropriately. Every one of their re sponses has encouraged me to continue making this truth known and to never give up on my daughter. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

From: Tim Hutchinson To: david@lutzlawfirm.com Subject: Re: Calvary Church Date: Friday, May 5, 2023 11:18:35 AM The banners have been ordered. My family and friends are ready. The protest will happen. There is only one way to stop this, and that is for them to do the proper investigation they should've done already. Mr. Timothy Hutchinson On Mon, May 1, 2023 at 2:10 PM <david@lutzlawfirm.com> wrote: Mr. Hutchinson, I am in receipt of your request below and I have spoken with my client about the same and they respectfully decline your request. Please note that the trespass notice previously provided to you attached hereto remains in effect. David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1515 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: 612-424-2110 Cell: 651-230-2110 david@lutzlawfirm.com www.lutzlawfirm.com NOTICE: The foregoing message (including all attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by the Attorney-Client privilege or other Privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or EXHIBIT B DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. In the event you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. NOTICE: This office sometimes acts as a debt collector for consumer debts. Accordingly the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act requires attorneys engaged in consumer debt collection to advise consumers that this communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2023 3:30 PM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com Subject: Re: Calvary Church Hello Mr. Lutz, I am contacting you about an unresolved matter involving Calvary Church, and someone who works there; Steven Anderson. Steven is married to my daughter and has told many terrible lies about me. As a result, I've not had a relationship with my daughter for several years. In fact, there is a harassment restraining order against me (which I allowed) that is set to expire in approximately 30 days. Previously I contacted Calvary Church for help in resolving this. Their response was to have an attorney (you) contact me and ask that I stay off their property and have no contact with them. I have honored this request. It is my belief that a lot of the problems Steven caused could have been avoided if Calvary Church had done a proper investigation. I am writing you to ask they perform an investigation - which is long overdue! This investigation would include interviewing myself in a public hearing so my family could attend. I want Calvary to hear the truth directly from me. There are witnesses and documents that would be beneficial for them to hear from and see. No one other than Steven and Brittany (whom he lied to) believe any of the allegations against me. In short, Calvary has a monster working for them, and I can 100% prove it. If Calvary Church is willing to move forward with this, there will be no further action against them. I will walk away and my business with them will be done. If they refuse, they should fully expect protests at both of their

locations once the HRO has expired. Let me be very clear; I don't want to do anything against a church, I am a good Christian man. However, their failure to properly investigate this matter I brought to their attention has led to a lot of harm and difficulties in my family. This is their opportunity to correct a wrong. Regards, Timothy Hutchinson 651.724.1325 DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

On Mon, Apr 24, 2023 at 5:11 PM <david@lutzlawfirm.com> wrote: Tim, I am counsel to Calvary Church. David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1515 Minneapolis, MN 55402 612-424-2110 david@lutzlawfirm.com www.lutzlawfirm.com NOTICE: The foregoing message (including all attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by the Attorney-Client privilege or other Privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. In the event you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. NOTICE: This office sometimes acts as a debt collector for consumer debts. Accordingly the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act requires attorneys engaged in consumer debt collection to advise consumers that this communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, April 24, 2023 9:33 AM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com Subject: Re: Calvary Church Hello Mr. Lutz, DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Checking to see if you still represent Calvary Church? Regards, Tim Hutchinson On Wed, Jun 9, 2021 at 12:19 PM Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> wrote: P.S. In the attachment there are the names Jake and James. It would be helpful to know that Jake is engaged to my oldest daughter, and James is dating my youngest daughter. The church might also be interested in knowing that 2 of Brittany's 3 siblings won't attend the wedding, and no one from my side of the family will be there either. The reasons are the same; they are shocked at the lies Steven told about me. Regards, Tim Hutchinson On Wed, Jun 9, 2021 at 12:03 PM Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> wrote: David, All along I've stated my desire to avoid any legal proceedings. Sad day when Christians cannot come together and resolve an issue. Attached is a letter I wrote to my daughter in response to a Restraining Order. Would appreciate you reviewing it and forwarding it to your client, Calvary Church. I'd like them to know these things, My goal has always been to have the truth told. Regards, DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Tim Hutchinson On Tue, Jun 8, 2021 at 12:29 PM <david@lutzlawfirm.com> wrote: Tim, I have advised my client not to meet and discuss due to the threat of litigation. My client has received and reviewed your written communication. David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1550

Minneapolis, MN 55402 612-424-2110 david@lutzlawfirm.com www.lutzlawfirm.com NOTICE: The foregoing message (including all attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by the Attorney-Client privilege or other Privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. In the event you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. NOTICE: This office sometimes acts as a debt collector for consumer debts. Accordingly the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act requires attorneys engaged in consumer debt collection to advise consumers that this communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, June 7, 2021 10:02 AM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Subject: Re: Calvary Church Dear Mr. Lutz, I have received the notice, have honored it and will continue to do so. I recently became aware of the allegations being made against me which are horrible and unfounded. Is there a possibility of presenting my side of this ordeal? If your client, Calvary Church, would be open to a meeting with me, it can be at a place and time of their choice. The only goal is to clear my name and I come in a spirit of peace and truth. The Bible says we should choose a good name rather than riches, and I would appreciate an opportunity to prove to your client the truth. Respectfully, Tim Hutchinson 651-724-1325 On Fri, May 7, 2021 at 8:41 AM <david@lutzlawfirm.com> wrote: Dear Mr. Hutchinson, Please see attached correspondence which is also being sent via U.S. Mail. David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1550 Minneapolis, MN 55402 612-424-2110 david@lutzlawfirm.com www.lutzlawfirm.com DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

NOTICE: The foregoing message (including all attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by the Attorney-Client privilege or other Privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. In the event you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. NOTICE: This office sometimes acts as a debt collector for consumer debts. Accordingly the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act requires attorneys engaged in consumer debt collection to advise consumers that this communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Steven, May 27th is almost here. Then the HRO will expire, and you will quickly realize that your lies are going to be exposed. I have plans, including protests at the church. I feel bad for them being dragged into this mess, however, they failed to do a proper invesƟgaƟon. So, this is on them not me. I have the banners ordered and have family / friends who are going to protest the church every week – both locaƟons. There's not been one person in the past 2 years who has told me they believe any of the bullshit lies you've told. Now we get to expose you. If you have a suggesƟon on how to resolve this otherwise, you beΣer speak up now. Once my plan goes into acƟon, there is no stopping or turning back. This is your one and only chance to get ahead of this. Just don't waste my Ɵme with stupid self-centered ideas. In the words of Janis Joplin; "Freedom is just another word for nothing leŌ to lose." There is one quesƟon you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out? Tick-tock, Mr. Timothy Hutchinson EXHIBIT C DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Dear Brittany, Amazing how he has you believing that after 22 years of being an amazing father, that somehow, I have become a monster. Apparently, he has super - powers because only he can see that…and he has convinced you that his fantasy is real. I am so grateful and thankful for my children who know my heart and have stood by my side, unwavering during these difficult times. They will always have a special place in my heart. I have proven to them, over the decades, that I always put my family first. Alway s. They will not just give up on me when someone tells a lie . They came to me and asked what my side of the story was. I shared my heart and truth as best as possible, which again confirmed that I have put this family first, even when it caused me tremendo us pain (and even resulted in my being hospitalized.) They are my family, and I am so proud of them! All I have been doing has been to try and resolve things through making the truth known. His response has been to escalate his lies and twisting of the t ruth to cover his previous lies. He has done so by making even the slightest ant hill seem like a ginormous mountain. He has scrutinized every single step I have taken and found fault with it - even if that means twisting the truth, or outright lying. Qu ick example: For weeks I had been coming home and placing my handgun on the top railing for a few minutes (since the riots, doing this made your mom feel safe), entering the kitchen and unpacking my lunch bag, then taking the handgun and putting it away in the bedroom. For weeks this was a daily routine (unless someone was walking down the street towards the park when I got home - in that case I waited until later to bring it into the house, when no one was around.) One day when he was over, he noticed th e gun on the railing, and automatically assumed it was there to intimidate him. That is what he stated in the Restraining Order. Again, just a small example of how he has twisted things to fit his agenda. An agenda to strip us out of each other's lives. An d you just caved him and let him get away with this. That makes me feel like I really do not matter to you - it seems you just gave up so easily. Here I am fighting to get you back and you are blindly going along with his evil plan. He has been avoiding the truth being told. He does not want mediation because he knows the truth will come out and his evil deeds with be

exposed. That is also the reason why he continues to keep you isolated from me. He EXHIBIT D
DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

is afraid of the truth. That also explains why he blatan tly lied to Calvary about me; so they would not help with mediation, and instead have a lawyer ask me to stay away. I am no threat to them whatsoever. Yet he has them thinking I am such a threat they have supposedly hired additional security. That is how f ar he will go to cover his lies. They are a CHURCH - I am a PASTOR. They are my brothers and sisters in Christ. I would never go there and commit any sort of act of violence. Not ever. I only went to them because previously when we were going to have med iation, someone from the church was going to be the mediator. This was in no way an attempt to have him fired - they are a church; they are supposed to help people. I went there seeking their help in resolving things. Had he worked at any other place besid es a church I would have never contacted them. But he twisted this also to make it seem I was going there to somehow attack him. More lies on top of the other lies. Remember when I said let us take a 6 - month break. That took control away from him, Once I took control away, he felt a need to take it back. That is the real reason for the Restraining Order. Why file that if I am going away for 6 months? Control. The only thing I did during that time was to come over and attempt to tell you both that you are loved and forgiven. Just one time and you would never hear from me again for at least 6 months. This is about control - he wants to control, and I am in his way. Even worse; he has you believing that I am a threat and/or danger to you. Above all else that especially troubles me!! Let me be perfectly clear on this one point: I love you. More than life itself. There is no way, and I mean literally zero chance I would ever physically hurt you. Ever. Never. You are absolutely one of the greatest things to eve r happen in my life. Period. Even the mere thought of any type of harm to you is so repulsive it makes me ill to my stomach. Literally. I know that you have been emotionally hurt - some of that is likely my fault. For that I wholeheartedly apologize. I tru ly do. It was never my intention in any way, shape, or form to cause you any kind of pain. None whatsoever. That is a promise. A solid promise from a father to his precious daughter. I pinky swear. I think this has been tremendously difficult for you. Af ter all, it is not normal to become engaged, then need therapy. What's next, medication? He has painted DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

a picture of a fairy tale, but after the wedding he will slowly turn it into somethin g else . There are literally thousands of stories exactly like this o n the internet about guys like him. Everything seemed almost magical. No way could he ever turn out like all the others, he special, he different, he really loves me - then they changed. And the ladies who were lucky and escaped, ended up just another stat istic with a sad story to tell and deep emotional scars. Sadly, I beli eve you will see this firsthand. Once you walk down the aisle and I am not next to you, the door of opportunity to resolve things with him closes . After that time, I will never ack nowledge him as my son - in - law. He will never call me "dad". I will never have any type of relationship with him . Something else is going to happen after the wedding: my response. I t will then be my turn, an d I will expose his lies and evil ways. You see, I

have been patiently waiting to see how far he would take things – and all the while I have been trying to resolve this (apologizing, offering forgiveness, seeking mediation, etc.) I've been patient, very p atient, for a good reason; I want to be sure that I've given every chance possible to resolve this another way. I don't want to think about the "What if's" afterwards, wondering if there was another chance to settl e this. Filing the Restraining Order was enough to show me there isn't another way – I'm left with literally no choice. This entire time he has only done one thing: continue playing his game. So, remember this phrase: "He Treated Me Like a Game - Now I'm Going to Show Him How It's Played." I am fairly certain your lawyer is also reading this, so here's the disclaimer (as I've stated over and over and over again, repeatedly since this conflict began...) Nothing stated here is meant to infer or condone any illegal activities, or anything of a violent nature. I am a law - abiding citizen and will comply with all laws, including the Restraining Order. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Step #1 is to file a lawsuit against St ev en, and possibly Calvary. He will have to answer questi ons during th e lawsuit proceedings, and the truth will come out! T here will be other ( le gal ) events that will happen as well. Again, all fully legal and in co mpliance wi th the Re straining Order. But that does not make them any less effective. My enti re goal is to show you, my beau tifu l daught er , the lies he has been telling. As I ' ve stated so many times before; I just want t he truth revealed! I will not stop until t ha t happens. For th e rest of my life I will not rest until you k now the truth. I promise you this. As these events unfold, you will reach a point in time when you realize that you joined the wrong team. When that happens, come home. No judgement, no 'I told you so' comments, no holding a grudge. Nothing but love is waiting for you here. That is a real father to daughter promise. We all make mistakes in life (myself included), and it is comforti ng to know we have a family that will take us back, no matter what. Because that's how real families roll. His real battle is not with me, it is with himself. He clearly does not know how to express himself or his emotions in a healthy way and as a resul t, pushed me to my limits – then blamed me for the outcome ; all the while lying about what he has done to cause the problem(s) to begin with. Then, in order for you to remain in a relationship with him, you had to hurt someone; me. Are you happy with that? Seems fair, right? I mean all you have to do is treat your dad like crap after he made you a priority in his life for 23 years. And did anything and everything he possibly could for you. Here's a hint: if you have to give that up to be with someone, then you're with the wrong guy! Especially if he's lying to keep you away from your own dad. During all of this time he has not once shown any care or compassion towards me. Zip. Zilch. Nada. Nothing. How is this Christian behavior? Answer: it's not! I've off ered love, forgiveness and multiple opportunities to resolve this. He's offered nothing except lies in an at tempt to exacerbate things. Again, that is not Christian. At all. But somehow, he just turns things around in your mind to make me the bad guy. Again, it's amazing how awesome of a relationship we had, until he came along. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

I now present to you some answers to the false claims made in the Restraining Order. In the interest of brevity, I've not covered everything. However, if there is something you would like to know, sh are that with your attorney and I'll answer through him. Love, Dad DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

I have a challenge for you. Read this alone and do not let S teven read this. It was agreed upon in court that I got to share a letter with you. But just you. I want you to read this with your heart and meditate on it. My Response to Your Order The Kyle Situation Kyle was Vanessa's ex, who assaulted her. After he assaulted her, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because sh e was embarrassed. I called Kyle, and he started to verbally assault me so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified my self as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. At that point I felt the need to defen d myself, and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I responded, " Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left. He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical – and I learned that in the future I would insist on calling the police and letting them handle the situation. The Phone Calls to Steven It was alleged that I made an increasing number of harassing calls to Steven. But I have checked my phone records, and they show that I have called Steven a total of 4 times ever. Not in a week, a month, but ever. 4 times. Acting Out? It's claim ed that I, at one time, were stomping, slamming cabinets, and slamming doors, and raising my voice while talking about steven. I only raised my voice to you once about Steven, but only after he treated me several times very disrespectfully. I was so disapp ointed in myself for having raised my voice to you (something I've not done before) that I slapped the doorway as I walked out of the kitchen. I cannot slam the doors in our kitchen, there are none. I cannot slam the kitchen cabinets as they have easy clos e stoppers. I never stomp my feet as I am not a child. Inappropriate Use of My Firearm? You said I "placed the firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment towards Steven." The truth of the matter is that I have been placing my firearm there for weeks, based on a request from your mom. It made her feel safer. Placing my firearm on the banister became an everyday routine for me. After what happened in Minneapolis with George Floyd and the protests and then the protests threatening to go to the suburbs, it made your mom feel safer to have it visible at times when I got home from work. Again, she literally asked me to do DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

this! One day while Steven is over he sees the handgun in its usual place, and made an incorrect assumption. Later it's claim ed that I went to the garage to retrieve my firearm and returned looking

very angry. This is not true . I am very careful when retrieving my firearm from the car. In fact, for 20+ years, you didn't even know I had one . It was only after the events in Minneapolis that I started to display my firearm – and only at the specific request of your mom. Over the years, I have been so discreet, that when ever there are people anywhere around (going to the park, out walking, working in their yard, etc. ) , I will wait until later to retrieve the firearm from the car so I can be as to be as safe and discreet as possible. We have lived in that house for 22 years and our neighbors ha ve never seen me carrying it. I only have it out in the open inside the house, and only for a short period of time. I have since asked your mom if she was okay with me being completely discreet and she said yes. I have never waved this gun around; I have never used this firearm to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie and crazy. I made it to 60 without a criminal record, but Steven want s to paint me a s this crazy man with a gun. The second time that I raised my voice, I did not know you were even home, I thought you had left with Steven. And when I did raise my voice, it lasted maybe 15 seconds. Your mom was at the top of the stairs and I was by the do or. I raised my voice towards your mom about the frustrations I was feeling with this situation. And I was shouting towards her, but not at her. After the 15 seconds had passed, your mom came and gave me a hug and said "I can see that this is clearly hurti ng you" She said "Let's go make supper together and have a glass of wine"; to which I responded with "Okay, the things Steven are doing and saying are bothering me." Within 10 minutes, your mom and I were in the kitchen laughing and joking about Facebook m emes. You then claim I went to the car and returned with the gun, still visibly angry. That's a lie. (It should also be noted that I have not raised my voice at all since then. Not once, under any circumstances. Again, just trying to make me appear to be a bad person, which I am not.) In the order it says, "The presence of his firearm in several incidences, along with his emotional instability, and refusal to respect boundaries that I've set in our relationship concern me that this will continue to escalat e and that the result may involve his firearm and Steven or I" To that I say: First of all to talk about boundaries - the only way I have stepped over boundaries is to try to resolve this. That's all that I have been doing for months. The display of my hand gun, again, was because of your mom's request. That has nothing to do with the situation between you, Steven and I. Nothing. Other than raising my voice twice, there has been no outward signs of aggression or anything being escalated. This is all a complet e fabrication. Yes, this is a bold face lie. All I've been trying to do, repeatedly, is to have the truth come out. I believe the truth doesn't mind being questioned, whereas lies want to hide. (Sound familiar?) DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

There's a reason Steven does not want mediation or to resolve things; because his lies will be exposed. So, he pretends to be afraid and paint me as a violent person to avoid the truth coming out. The Night You Moved Out You went to a friend's house and a few days later moved out. T he night you moved, you came back because you forgot something. I was in the bedroom with your mom. I heard something

moving around in the house, so I went out into the hallway to see what was going on. When I noticed it was you I said hi. You gave me a hug and said “I love you so much dad.” I said “I love you too.” I asked if you were okay, and you said yes. I then said, “It’s kind of weird because this is the first time you have moved and I didn’t help you.” You smiled and said , “Yeah, it is kind of weird.” YOU SMILED AND SAID YEAH IT’S KINDA WEIRD. You said you had to go, and I said, “Okay, have a good night.” This is the day you moved out because you were supposedly afraid of me. How does that say you are afraid of me? The La st Page It’s interesting that when Steven came up with this order, in his evidence, he left out the last page of my website where it talks about you guys being loved and forgiven. LOVED AND FORGIVEN . But that would go against his claim that I am a crazed, violent man. That doesn’t fit his agenda to make you think I am this horrible violent person. What’s interesting is that no one other than Steven thinks I am a violent person. Sadly, he has convinced you this lie is real. I spend time with both Jake and James and there’s literally no problems between us at all. None. In fact, they have both said they would write letters in support of me. They both h eard the Kyle story and are not afraid of me at all – because there’s literally no reason to be. None. That’s all a fabrication of Steven’s. I now have over 15 people who are close to me, all willing to write letters stating they have never seen any of the behavior Steven is alleging, and that they simply do not believe any of it is true. How many do you have? I’m not a betting man, but if I was, my bet would be there are zero people to back up his claim(s). Because they are lies. The Therapy Session You a pproached me to go to therapy with you. Before you, your therapist, and I got together for a meeting, the therapist wanted to get together with me alone in a zoom type meeting. She was rude, condescending, and I really did not feel as though she cared abou t me or resolving anything . It was very frustrating talking to her. Nonetheless, I said any therapy sessions I was involved in I would pay for and gave her my credit card number so you would not be burdened with that. What no one, not even the therapist kn ows is that I was not alone in that room. When the session with m yself and the therapist was scheduled, I asked your mom to be in the room with me. She sat off to one side, out of view to the camera. She witnessed how stressful this was for me. Then, at th e time you and I had our session with the therapist, it was immediately stressful DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

again. I felt as though the therapist was condescending from the beginning. My only goal for that session was to tell you that I loved you and to validate your pain . I know you’ve been hurt, and it’s incredibly painful to see you go through this . T he t herapist interrupted me repeatedly . When I didn’t recite things back exactly, it seemed she was a bit condescending . Half the time I didn’t look at the screen as it was too hard to see the pain on your face. I didn’t even get to say anything what I really wanted to say; that I lo ve you and to validate your feelings. At one point in time, I muted the microphone then I leaned forward to cry. You may have thought I got up and w alked around the room, but I was bent over forward crying into my hands. I truly and sincerely hurt throughout this session. Having you out of my life hurts more than my brothers dying – and still does to this very day.

At this point it was clear that my message of love was not going to be conveyed to you, I became frustrated , rambled on about something and left the meeting abruptly. I think I mentioned not knowing if I wanted a relationship with you, but what I really meant was for a temporary amount of t ime. ( P.S. I did not slam my laptop close d – this laptop is too expensive to be slammed shut. ) With the thought of that session fresh in my mind. I ended up in a park later that night with a gun to my head. That's the reason I had suicidal ideations; the pain on your face was more than I could handle. Word Choices I notice that throughout the order, words were used to misdescribe the situations. "RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP, SCREAMING". This is noth ing more than character assassination. Can someone name even one person who's actually witnessed me doing anything like that? I didn't think so - because it's not true. Trying to Get an Answer I have been trying repeatedly for months to hear what Steven and your complaints/problems/concerns were, what the problems were. And no one has told me anything. It was only when I got the restraining order that I was informed of the problems. Not once before that did I know truly what the problems were as n either o f you had told me. I had called for a 6 month break, and immediately, the 2 of you filed for a restraining order. Was this because Steven thought he was losing control? The only thing I did within that 6 months was to come to you to tell you were loved and forgiven. And now I hear that Steven thought about jumping out his 2 nd story window and call 911 all because he heard the story of Kyle. Meanwhile, Jake, James and I are hanging out having a good time. Clarifications So let's talk about that story again, it was told twice in one year. Both times Vanessa started to tell the story. Both times I finished it quickly. After the second time, I leaned over to Vanessa and said "Please let's not tell this story again" And she res ponded with DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

"but I like to brag about you" And I said "okay thank you but let's leave this story in the past" and she said "okay dad I love you" and I said "I love you too". Both of these times, James and Jake were present. And neither of them felt threate ned by this. I did not tell this one on one with Steven. It was told in a group setting. I have since hung out m any times with Jake and James. James has even shared his working schedule with me so I can call before/after he is off work to chat. Neither of them feel threatened by me at all. You say that on February 16 th , I came home and discovered Steven's laundry, I immediately responded with anger and rage. That is not true. It was an hour later, and it was in the presence of your mom, lasting about 15 se conds, as mentioned before. I was upset, but there was mo "rage". Again, character assassination. My Military Record Charlie was the name given to me in the military as a result of ha ving an elevated security clearance. I served courageously, and sometime s heroically. I was honorably discharged. My military records are now sealed. That's all anyone need s to know. As I made it clear over the years, I really don't want to talk about my service to our country and I avoid the topic . Owatonna There is a solid, logical reason behind this event. If you heard the reason you would immediately realize it's not what it seem ed at first glance. However, I am unable to write about this, and can only share this with you verbally. Perhaps some day down the road when we

can speak again. I only ask that you trust me on this. I Have Doubts Did you even write this yourself? There are at least 5 times that it is cop ied and pasted from Steven's order. Also, one thing I find interesting is that when the question is asked "Do you believe that the harassment will continue?" You respond with "The respondent has made several claims that he 'resigns' or is done with the 'conflict' yet he has continued to produce more emails, the website, etc. . There seems to be no indication this will end. He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany's on 4/28/21. " This is word for word copied from Steven's order. How much of any of this did you even write yourself? Do you even know what half your order against me says? And up until now, all I have been doing is trying to resolve this. At least I'm trying. That's more than Steven and you have done collectively. Yet every single time I try to resolve things, Steven turns it around to make it seem like I am a violent person and a threat to him. What's even worse, is because of his lies, you think that I am a threat to yourself. As a father I would never be violent towards any one of my kids, ever. That's nonnegotiable in my mind. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

I'm Not Violent I was going to take the restraining order to trial, until your attorney told me that this is what you wanted personally. At that point in time, I asked myself "Why am I trying to fix anything?" I just want to be able to go to bed at night, knowing I have d one everything I possibly could to resolve this matter peacefully. And the only reason it hasn't been, is because of Steven's lies and twisting of the truth. To date, you have not seen me respond to the damage he has done, but you will. What's especially t roubling is the fact I have to add a disclaimer to everything I write now which states "Everything I do will be accomplished by legal means" all because of Steven's lies. You know I'm not a violent person. Again, 60 years old, no criminal record. That woul dn't be possible if I was such a violent person. I'm this amazing father until Steven comes around and it's like Dr. Jekyll & Mr. Hyde that only he can see. As it only exists in his mind. And he has you convinced it's real. No one else can see it. Why? It' s not real or true. There is literally no one else that I know who thinks this of me or believes any of these lies being told. That alone speaks volumes. How I Have Handled this Emotionally You say in your order "The respondent has shown an inability to c ontrol and regulate his emotions. He has lived out delusions of a violent nature." (Again, this is copy and pasted right from Steven's order) This has been a very emotional time for me, which it would be for any father that has their daughter unfairly stri pped from their life. Considering the depth of this tragedy, aside from the regrettable evening that I held a gun to my head, I feel as though I have handled this quite well. As far as the reference of violence, this is only an illusion in Steven's mind, t hat he has gotten you to believe. No one else in my life believes this whatsoever. They have seen no signs of violence in me. Why? It is simply not real, it is just lies. How else do you explain that I went from an amazing dad, who has done a tremendous am ount of work preventing bullying in schools, has chaperoned dances and prom for more than 25 years, and even done volunteer work at a hospice center sitting with dying people who have no family or friends to sit by

their side, just so that they feel loved and cared for in their last moments, to a crazy homicidal maniac who threatens violence all in just a few months? And only Steven can see it. Maybe there's another answer? Maybe he's jealous of our relationship and set out to ruin it? That's a more likely answer in my eyes. An Epic Storm Let's talk about some of the things I've mentioned - starting with an epic storm. This is a real thing. I've done two so far in my life. Everything I do is completely legal in nature. We have a wonderful legal system in this country that helps defend innocent people like myself. Without going into too many details, suffice it to say, the first person ended up in prison. After which time I started dating his wife, and every month for a year, we would mail him a picture of us t ogether. How do you know this is true? I was living with her when I met your mom. For the second time, a man threatened our family. He was well respected in the community, had a 6 figure job, a nice home and a family. In a very short period of time, again using all legal means, he lost all of that. Afterwards, sitting

DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

alone in a motel outside of town, he called me and said "It's over, you won!" I said "No, it's not over. There are 3 more steps left." He begged, "Please, no. I have 2 daughters." I responded, "If you ever come near my family again, or come near our home again, I will finish what I started." He responded , "I'm gone." Want to know if this is true? Ask your mom, she knows. You see here again that Steven is twisting things to seem like I'm this c razy, violent man. But I know how to defend my family using legal means. I prefer to live in peace, which is why I use the phrase "If you want peace, prepare for war." This just means to be prepare d to defend yourself against people who want to take that p eace away, which I am fully prepared to do through legal means. Steven has been rude to me, disrespectful, twisting my words, and pulling you out of my life. "Prepare for war" simply meant to stop what he was doing or prepare for legal consequences. The "E pic Storm'' and "Prepare for war" was a deterrent to stop him from doing what he was doing, which he didn't. My Books Let's set the record straight. Steven had heard that I had written several books. I said I had. He said he would be interested in reading them. So , I went into the other room and g ot a copy of each for him. He said "Oh okay." And we changed the subject. It was after that we hung out and he mentioned he read my books. He only wanted to talk about the miracles in 'Casting Out Doubt', not my memoir. You know all the good things that have come from writing this book. I have given out hundreds of copies and no one has ever felt threatened by it. Final Thought About Your Order And lastly, your restraining order was centered around a claim of domestic violence. I (regrettably) raised my voice around you, but how is that violent? The a nswer ; it is not. It doesn't even rise to the level of bullying. Therefore, your restraining orde r was based around a false premise to begin with. I think it was filed that way just for dramatic effect. That rises to the level of bearing false witness against your neighbor, just like Steven's. My Response to Steven's Order He says that I am unable to control my emotional response. Unable to control my emotional response? He was trying to talk down to me, and I was telling him to not talk down to me. He was being disrespectful to me. He is a 25 - year - old man and I am a 60 - year - old

father. He does not ha ve the right to talk down to me. From the beginning, all along was to control me. If he can't control me, he wants to make me look like a terrible person. Every time I have tried to stand up for myself, he has turned it around to seem like I am aggressive or violent. He was also rude to Alex, Vanesaa, and my friends Heather and Henry. They all told me independently that Steven acted very rudely towards them, and all 4 are willing to make written statements about how poorly he treated them. Interestingly eno ugh, Alex and Vanessa do not know Heather and Henry, so there was not, nor could be, any collusion. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

My Letters to the Church About my letter to the church and that it was a threat to him. I went to the church to ask them politely and calmly to ask if they would help resolve this peacefully and without conflict. Along with the letter, I included the history of the challenges we have faced in our relationship. This documentation included emails and texts we had sent between each. Nowhere in any of the docume ntation did it reference any violence or threats. This was sent to the senior pastor only, in confidentiality, and he never responded. Anyone reading this letter would ask "How is this defamatory?" Steven twisted things around, again. As for the second letter, the senior pastor did not respond, so this was addressed to the elders at the church. Nowhere in any communication do I ask to terminate his employment. All I have ever asked was that they get involved in the conflict. As far as showing up " unannounced", I left 2 voicemails for the senior pastor the week before. As far as me being "uninvited", I went into the lobby, rang the buzzer, and asked if I could leave the package there. I left the package in the "Leave Deliveries Here" area (the same as UPS or FedEx would) . I then went back out to my car peacefully. Also "uninvited"? How can someone not be invited to a church?" P.S. At the time I wrote this letter, there were markers that were off that led doctors to believe that I might have cancer. I had to go through numerous tests, including a colonoscopy. Along the way, the real problem was discovered after I was taken to the emergency room by my wife after being in excruciating pain one night. Once there, after going through some more tests, they discovered the real problem. For privacy reasons I will not disclose what the problem is. I have since been undergoing treat ment (and as of June 4 th , 2021 continue to be under the care of doctors for this condition) . In conclusion, there was a serious conc ern that I had cancer. Fortunately, it was not cancer, but is still of great concern, and I am thankful for the medical community in helping through this difficult time. I say in the letter that I am prepared to make some bold moves. I went to the church to ask them to help. I wanted to get mediation. Bold moves - asking you over to have cheesesteaks and talk about what each other needs to resolve the issues. Nowhere have I threatened anyone. The Website The website was intended as a warning. Nothing more t han a warning. Yet again he has twisted things around to seem that I am going to use violence against him, even though I clearly state that everything will be done in a legal manner and not a violent one. I also had the website coded so that search engines will not pull it up or catalog it. I did that intentionally to protect privacy. It was a warning that if he continues or tries to pull anything else like what he has done to me, the site would go live. Even the screenshot Steven

used in the filing says, " To be perfectly clear, everything listed above will be accomplished through legal means, I do not condone violence or any illegal activities. I DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

am an otherwise peaceful person who has been unfairly put in a position of having to fight back for his family." How does that say that I am a violent man? It doesn't! His Claim about My Gun His whole claim about me, my gun, and him - it is baseless. All these allegations of me using my gun for a violent purpose is something he invented in his own mind. And he has ca used undue concern to that church, both campuses, because of his lies. Name one time that he has seen the handgun in my hand. He has never! He is doing this to get my daughter out of my life, precisely. FYI A bout a week before we had our court meeting, I spoke to David (your ex) . He said when you and Steven first broke up, you started texting and calling him trying to get back with him. Does this mean Steven is forever your second choic e? He also mentioned the issue with Alex Rodriguez . But the bottom line here is that David clearly said that I treated him wonderfully and it was truly a sad day when we said good - bye for the last time. I honestly wish you were marrying David, or someone like him. He is awesome. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

\
EXHIBIT E DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192\-484F\-4CB2\-9912\-149D8A8A8036
DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192\-484F\-4CB2\-9912\-149D8A8A8036

DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192\-484F\-4CB2\-9912\-149D8A8A8036

DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

# 003. 2023.05.18 - Aff of Service (Brittany).pdf

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE VIA U.S. MAIL STATE OF MINNESOTA ) ) SS. COUNTY OF RAMSEY ) Re: The Matter of Brittany Anderson against Timothy Allyn Hutchinson Ramsey County Court File No. 62-HR-CV-21-362 Becan Schindler, of the City of Minneapolis, County of Minneapolis, in the State of Minnesota, being duly sworn, says that on the 18th day of May 2023, he served the following documents on behalf of Daniel J. Van Loh: • Affidavit in Support Motion for Extension of Harassment Restraining Order • Petitioner's Notice of Emergency Motion and Motion by placing a true and correct copy of the same in the United States mail, addressed to the Respondent as follows and providing a copy of the same through courtesy copy e-filing and email: Timothy Allyn Hutchinson 1773 Garden Lane White Bear Lake, MN 55110 mrhutchinson@gmail.com I declare under penalty of perjury that everything I have stated in this document is true and correct, and that the date of signing and the county and state where the document was signed are as stated herein. Dated: May 18, 2023 /s / Becan Schindler Signed in Hennepin County, MN

# 004. 2023.05.18 - Aff of Service (Steve).pdf

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE VIA U.S. MAIL STATE OF MINNESOTA ) ) SS. COUNTY OF RAMSEY ) Re: The Matter of Steven James Anderson against Timothy Allyn Hutchinson Ramsey County Court File No. 62-HR-CV-21-361 Becan Schindler, of the City of Minneapolis, County of Minneapolis, in the State of Minnesota, being duly sworn, says that on the 18th day of May 2023, he served the following documents on behalf of Daniel J. Van Loh: • Affidavit in Support Motion for Extension of Harassment Restraining Order • Petitioner's Notice of Emergency Motion and Motion by placing a true and correct copy of the same in the United States mail, addressed to the Respondent as follows and providing a copy of the same through courtesy copy e-filing and email: Timothy Allyn Hutchinson 1773 Garden Lane White Bear Lake, MN 55110 mrhutchinson@gmail.com I declare under penalty of perjury that

everything I have stated in this document is true and correct, and that the date of signing and the county and state where the document was signed are as stated herein. Dated: May 18, 2023 /s / Becan Schindler Signed in Hennepin County, MN

## 005. 2023.05.18 - L - Tim w enc (service).pdf

THERESA A. CAPISTRANT DANIEL J. VAN LOH JERRY A. BURG – Of Counsel One Main Street SE • Suite 206 • Minneapolis, MN 55414 www. CapVanLoh.com • Tel 612.827.6300 • Fax 612.295.0035 Dan@CapVanLoh.com • Paralegal: Heidi Nelson • Heidi@CapVanLoh.com May 18, 2023 via U.S. MAIL Timothy Allyn Hutchinson 1773 Garden Lane White Bear Lake, MN 55110 Re: The Matter of Steven James Anderson/Brittany Anderson against Timothy Allyn Hutchinson Ramsey County Court File No. 62-HR-CV-21-361 and 62-HR-CV-21-362 Dear Mr. Hutchinson: Enclosed herewith and served upon you via U.S. Mail is a copy of the Petitioner's Notice of Emergency Motion and Motion and of the Affidavit in Support Motion for Extension of Harassment Restraining Order which was filled with the court on May 18, 2023. I am also requesting that you not contact Stephen or Britney, directly, indirectly, through third parties or go to their residence or respective places of employment. Your threat of picketing and protesting Stephen at Calvary Church is unwelcome, potentially endangers church congregants, and may be in violation of Minnesota Law. More importantly, it only stands to further exacerbate the already strained relationship between you and your daughter Brittany by your continued efforts to harass and hurt her husband, Steven. I ask that you respect their privacy as well as the terms of the current restraining order until otherwise determined by the court. Very truly yours, CAPISTRANT VAN LOH, P.A. / s / Daniel J. Van Loh Daniel J. Van Loh Attorney at Law DJV/bts cc: Steven Anderson (via email) Enclosures

## 006. 2023.05.18 - Petitioner's NMM (Brittany).pdf

STATE OF MINNESOTA COUNTY OF RAMSEY DISTRICT COURT SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT CASE TYPE: HARASSMENT In Re the Matter of: Brittany Anderson, Petitioner. Vs. Timothy Allyn Hutchinson, Respondent. Court File No.: 62-HR-CV-21-362 PETITIONER'S NOTICE OF EMERGENCY MOTION AND MOTION TO: TIMOTHY ALLYN HUTCHINSON, ABOVE-NAMED SELF-REPRESENTED RESPONDENT, 1773 GARDEN LANE, WHITE BEAR LAKE, MN 55110: YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the ___the day of_____, 2023, at _____ a.m./p.m., or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, before the Honorable Elizabeth Clysdale, Referee of Hennepin County District Court, or other Judicial Officer, Petitioner will move the Court for an Order as follows: 1. Ordering and directing that the timelines prescribed for in the rules for service of pleadings be waived due to the emergency nature of this proceeding, pursuant to Minn. Gen. R. Prac. 303.04 . 2. Setting this matter for a hearing within fourteen days of the date this emergency relief is granted pursuant to Minn. Gen. R. Prac. 303.04(f). 3.

Order an immediate extension of the current May 27, 2023 expiration date set forth in the Harassment Restraining Order dated May 27, 2021 until the matter can be heard before the court. 4. Making a determination that there is good cause for an extension of a Harassment Restraining Order against Respondent in excess of 2 years due to violations of the May 27, 2021 Harassment Restraining Order and communicated intent to resume harassment that violates both the privacy of Petitioner but endangers the security of innocent third parties at Petitioner's Place of Employment. 5. Ordering that the renewed HRO be in effect until May 27, 2033.

2 6. Ordering that Respondent have no direct or indirect contact with Petitioner, including any visits to or phone calls to the protected person, contact via electronic means such as email or social networking sites, threats or assaultive behavior to the protected person's residence, and/or taking picture of a protected person without permission of the Petitioner. 7. Ordering that Respondent is prohibited from being within two city blocks of Petitioner's home, currently at 725 4 th Street E., St. Paul, MN 55016, or any future residences. 8. Ordering that Respondent is prohibited from being within two city blocks of Petitioner's job sites at University of Minnesota Medical Center, 500 SE Harvard St., Minneapolis, MN 55455, with the restriction to include the patient/visitor parking ramp on the corner of SE Harvard St. and Delaware Street. 9. Ordering that Respondent be restrained from posting websites or electronic social media posts about Petitioner. 10. Ordering that Respondent be restrained from public picketing of Petitioner near her residence or place of employment. 11. Ordering that Respondent be restrained from harassing, stalking, or threatening Petitioner, or engaging in other conduct that would place the Petitioner in reasonable fear of bodily injury and that Respondent be prohibited from the use, attempted use or threatened use of physical force against the Petitioner that would reasonable be expected to cause bodily injury. 12. Ordering that Respondent be restrained from all direct and indirect communication to Petitioner, including through Petitioner's legal counsel. All responsive pleadings shall be served and mailed to or filed with the court administrator no later than seven days prior to the scheduled hearing. The court may, in its discretion, disregard any responsive pleadings served or filed with the court administrator less than seven days prior to such hearing in ruling on the motion or matter in question. Said Motion is based upon the Affidavit of Petitioner and all files, records, and proceedings herein. NOTICE TO THE OTHER PARTY The Rules Establish deadlines for responding to motions. All responsive pleadings shall be served and mailed to or filed with the court administration no later than seven days prior to the scheduled hearing. The court may, in its discretion, disregard any responsive pleadings served

3 or filed with the court administrator less than seven days prior to such hearing in ruling on the motion or matter in question. The grounds for this motion are as stated in the attached Affidavit. If you want to respond to anything raised by the other party in his papers, your written response must be personally served on the other party at least seven (7) days before the hearing or mailed to the other party at least ten (10) days before the hearing. Responsive papers are available from the Court Administrator's office.

Your responsive papers must be filed with the Court Administrator at least seven (7) days before the hearing. If you want to raise new issues at the hearing the other party has scheduled, your Motion and Affidavit must be personally served on the other party at least fourteen (14) days before the hearing or mailed to the other party at least seventeen (17) days before the hearing. Your papers raising new issues must be filed with the Court Administrator at least fourteen (14) days before the hearing. Acknowledgments by Party Making Motion: a. I am not serving or filing this document for any improper purpose, such as to harass or to cause unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost of litigation. b. The claims, defenses, and other legal contentions therein are warranted by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for the extension, modification, or reversal of existing law or the establishment of new law. c. The allegations and other factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery. d. the denials of factual contentions are warranted on the evidence or, if specifically so identified, are reasonably based on a lack of information or belief. e. The court may impose an appropriate sanction upon the attorneys, law firms, or parties that violate the above stated representations to the court or are responsible for the violation. f. I understand that the existing order remains in full force and effect and I must continue to comply with that order until a new order is issued.

4 Dated: May 18, 2023_____ CAPISTRANT VAN LOH, P.A. By / s / Daniel J. Van Loh ____ Daniel J. Van Loh (#0297513) Attorney for Petitioner One Main Street SE, Suite 206 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55414 Telephone: (612) 827-6300 Dan@CapVanLoh.com

# 007. 2023.05.18 - Petitioner's NMM (Steven).pdf

STATE OF MINNESOTA COUNTY OF RAMSEY DISTRICT COURT SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT CASE TYPE: HARASSMENT In Re the Matter of: Steven James Anderson, Petitioner. Vs. Timothy Allyn Hutchinson, Respondent. Court File No.: 62-HR-CV-21-361 PETITIONER'S NOTICE OF EMERGENCY MOTION AND MOTION TO: TIMOTHY ALLYN HUTCHINSON, ABOVE-NAMED SELF-REPRESENTED RESPONDENT, 1773 GARDEN LANE, WHITE BEAR LAKE, MN 55110: YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the ___the day of_____, 2023, at _____ a.m./p.m., or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, before the Honorable Elizabeth Clysdale, Referee of Hennepin County District Court, or other Judicial Officer, Petitioner will move the Court for an Order as follows: 1. Ordering and directing that the timelines prescribed for in the rules for service of pleadings be waived due to the emergency nature of this proceeding, pursuant to Minn. Gen. R. Prac. 303.04. 2. Setting this matter for a hearing within fourteen days of the date this emergency relief is granted pursuant to Minn. Gen. R. Prac. 303.04(f). 3. Order an immediate extension of the current May 27, 2023 expiration date set forth in the Harassment Restraining Order dated May 27, 2021 until the matter can be heard before the court. 4. Making a determination that there is good cause for an extension of a Harassment Restraining Order against

Respondent in excess of two years due to violations of the May 27, 2021 Harassment Restraining Order and communicated intent to resume harassment that violates both the privacy of Petitioner but endangers the security of innocent third parties at Petitioner's Place of Employment. 5. Ordering that the renewed HRO be in effect until May 27, 2033.

2 6. Ordering that Respondent have no direct or indirect contact with Petitioner, including any visits to or phone calls to the protected person, contact via electronic means such as email or social networking sites, threats or assaultive behavior to the protected person's residence, and/or taking picture of a protected person without permission of the Petitioner. 7. Ordering that Respondent is prohibited from being within two city blocks of Petitioner's home, currently at 725 4 th Street E., St. Paul, MN 55016, or any future residences. 8. Ordering that Respondent is prohibited from being within two city blocks of Petitioner's job sites at Reliant Mission/Calvary Church, 2120 Lexington Ave. N. Roseville, MN 55112 and 4604 Geenhaven Dr. White Bear Township, MN 55127, without express written permission by both Petitioner and Calvary Church. 9. Ordering that Respondent be restrained from posting websites or electronic social media posts about Petitioner. 10. Ordering that Respondent be restrained from public picketing of Petitioner near his residence or place of employment. 11. Ordering that Respondent be restrained from harassing, stalking, or threatening Petitioner, or engaging in other conduct that would place the Petitioner in reasonable fear of bodily injury and that Respondent be prohibited from the use, attempted use or threatened use of physical force against the Petitioner that would reasonable be expected to cause bodily injury. All responsive pleadings shall be served and mailed to or filed with the court administrator no later than seven days prior to the scheduled hearing. The court may, in its discretion, disregard any responsive pleadings served or filed with the court administrator less than seven days prior to such hearing in ruling on the motion or matter in question. Said Motion is based upon the Affidavit of Petitioner and all files, records, and proceedings herein. NOTICE TO THE OTHER PARTY The Rules Establish deadlines for responding to motions. All responsive pleadings shall be served and mailed to or filed with the court administration no later than seven days prior to the scheduled hearing. The court may, in its discretion, disregard any responsive pleadings served or filed with the court administrator less than seven days prior to such hearing in ruling on the motion or matter in question.

3 The grounds for this motion are as stated in the attached Affidavit. If you want to respond to anything raised by the other party in his papers, your written response must be personally served on the other party at least seven (7) days before the hearing or mailed to the other party at least ten (10) days before the hearing. Responsive papers are available from the Court Administrator's office. Your responsive papers must be filed with the Court Administrator at least seven (7) days before the hearing. If you want to raise new issues at the hearing the other party has scheduled, your Motion and Affidavit must be personally served on the other party at least fourteen (14) days before the hearing or mailed to the other party at least seventeen (17) days before the hearing. Your papers raising new issues must be

filed with the Court Administrator at least fourteen (14) days before the hearing. Acknowledgments by Party Making Motion: a. I am not serving or filing this document for any improper purpose, such as to harass or to cause unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost of litigation. b. The claims, defenses, and other legal contentions therein are warranted by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for the extension, modification, or reversal of existing law or the establishment of new law. c. The allegations and other factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery. d. the denials of factual contentions are warranted on the evidence or, if specifically so identified, are reasonably based on a lack of information or belief. e. The court may impose an appropriate sanction upon the attorneys, law firms, or parties that violate the above stated representations to the court or are responsible for the violation. f. I understand that the existing order remains in full force and effect and I must continue to comply with that order until a new order is issued.

4 Dated: May 18, 2023_____ CAPISTRANT VAN LOH, P.A. By / s / Daniel J. Van Loh Daniel J. Van Loh (#0297513) Attorney for Petitioner One Main Street SE, Suite 206 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55414 Telephone: (612) 827-6300 Dan@CapVanLoh.com

## 008. Affadavit - Steven with Exhibits.pdf

STATE OF MINNESOTA COUNTY OF RAMSEY DISTRICT COURT SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT CASE TYPE: HARASSMENT In Re the Matter of: Steven James Anderson, Petitioner. Vs. Timothy Allyn Hutchinson, Respondent. Court File No. 62-HR-CV-21-361 Affidavit in Support Motion for Extension of Harassment Restraining Order STATE OF MINNESOTA ) ) ss. COUNTY OF RAMSEY ) Steven Anderson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: 1. I am the Petitioner in the above-entitled matter and I submit this affidavit in support of my motion to modify and extend the terms of the May 27, 2021 Harassment Restraining Order ("HRO") against the Respondent, Timothy Hutchinson based on his violations of the terms of the HRO, threatening and slanderous communication sent to my employer and threats to resume and intensify harassment of me, including at my place of employment. 2. I am married to the Respondent's daughter, Brittany, who also has a HRO arising out of the same acts of harassment, largely targeted towards me, but directed through and to her as well. Brittany and I were married on June 19, 2021. 3. I am employed full-time as a Young Adults Pastor at Calvary Church in Roseville Minnesota through a nonprofit called Reliant. 4. Prior to my marrying Brittany, the Respondent reminded me on several occasions of a story where he insinuates that a previous relationship one of his other daughters had, ended with him shoving the boyfriends head through the drywall of his apartment. When I shared with the respondent my desire to marry his daughter, months prior to asking for his blessing, he gave me two of his books, including an autobiographical work, Painful Secrets , wherein he claims to have almost committed a mass shooting as a teenager. 5. These uncomfortable encounters culminated into

an intentional and intense campaign of defamation and threats about me to my employer, Calvary Church in Roseville, MN. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

(Calvary Church has a congregation of 1,000+ with two campuses, one in Roseville and one in White Bear Township.) On March 1, 2021, the respondent appeared unannounced and uninvited at Calvary Church, 2120 Lexington Ave., Roseville, MN 55112, to deliver a defamatory letter addressed to my supervisor and senior pastor of the church, Dr. Shawn Winters. The letter made false and defamatory claims about me and my character with the intent to have Calvary terminate my employment. 6. Based on the insinuated threats and potential endangerment of church staff and parishioners, Calvary Church increased security at both campuses to protect against any physical harm based on the respondent's threats to me and potential threats to the staff and congregation. Due to the potential threat to the property, pastoral staff and potential attendees, Brittany and I were unable to have our wedding ceremony at the church as we had planned and had to find a different officiant to perform the ceremony not employed by the church. 7. Respondent then hand delivered a 15-page letter ( Exhibit A ) making false and slanderous reports about me to my employer. 8. On April 28, 2021, Respondent appeared at my then residence uninvited at 7:50am while me and my roommates were all sleeping. After gaining access to my building, Respondent knocked on my apartment door repeatedly but I did not answer. My heart was racing and I was unsure if he would leave before I had to go to work. I began to think about having to jump from my second story balcony or calling the police just to get away from the apartment. After some time of knocking, it stopped and Brittany texted me a few minutes later that he was now at her apartment , so I felt safe to leave. 9. On February 26, 2021, Respondent sent me a threatening email in German that read: Kein Konflikt ist vorbei, bis eine Lösung oder eine Seite einräumt. Dies ist die Ruhe vor dem Sturm. Ich werde immer um meine Liebe kämpfen.Ich bin unerbittlich Translation: No conflict is over until a solution or a side concedes. This is the calm before the storm. I will always fight for my love. I am Relentless 10. In March 2021, Respondent sent me threatening voice messages and emails. 11. In April 2021, Respondent created a public defamatory website defaming and threatening me. The website referred to me as a "narcissistic monster who captured [his] daughter and dragged her away from [Tim] through [my] web of lies" a "[fake] youth pastor from hell" makes false claims that "Others see the truth but won't say anything. They are concerned Steven will attack them as he has done to me." VIOLATIONS OF MAY 27, 2021 HARASSMENT RESTRAINING ORDER. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

12. With the pending dismissal of the HRO on May 27, 2023, the Respondent has stated his intent to resume the same level of harassment which includes picketing at the place of my employment, Calvary Church, Roseville and White Bear Township. The Respondent violated Paragraph 1A of the HRO by sending threatening emails to my employer, Calvary Church, a threatening letter to me, and by sending a letter threatening me to my wife, Brittany Anderson, who also has a Harassment Restraining Order against the Respondent. a. May 5, 2023—Letter to Calvary Church : Respondent contacted my

employer, Calvary Church, by way of their legal counsel, David Lutz, via email (see Exhibit B ). In his emailed letter to Calvary Church, the Respondent threatens that he will be going to both the White Bear Township and Roseville locations of Calvary Church to picket and protest me, along with friends and family, despite the church having a trespass notice against the Respondent. This action makes me feel threatened in my position with Calvary Church and continues the same harassment patterns from the original Harassment Restraining Order, specifically Paragraph C1 from the Petition . If this action were to take place, it would violate Paragraph 1E of the Harassment Restraining Order as it stands. b. May 4, 2023---Threatening Letter to Petitioner: Respondent contacted me through my attorney threatening again to protest me at my place of employment (See Exhibit C ). In his letter, Respondent threatens that the only way to prevent this from occurring is to, "… Speak up now. Once [respondent]'s plan goes into action, there is no stopping or turning back " Respondent also accuses me of telling, " bullshit lies " and ends his letter " There is one question you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out? Tick-tock ." [Emphasis Added] His letter to me is a violation of Paragraph 1A of the Harassment Restraining Order . c. June 4, 2021: The week after the May 27, 2021 HRO was granted, the Respondent wrote to Brittany and continued to accuse me of lying and blaming me for the broken relationship between father and daughter (See Exhibit D ). This made me feel as though his patterns of harassment would not end, even though the Harassment Restraining Order had been issued. d. June 2021 Youtube Video . At some point following the May 27, 2021 HRO, Respondent created a 90+ minute YouTube video "responding" to the HRO, but specifically speaking out and challenging the allegations in the Petition, even though he agreed to an entry of an HRO voluntarily without the court making findings of the alleged harassment. Respondent enlisted the help of my wife Brittany's sister, Vanessa to film who he then had it forwarded the video to my wife. The video was originally posted on a password protected webpage on his site, his "ministry" site, not the website he was required to take down after the HRO. He has since taken down his ministry website. Attached are screenshots of the video ( Exhibit E ) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

13. Respondent has resumed and appears to be prepared to intensify the harassment against me and my wife, Brittany upon the expiration of the HRO on May 27, 2023. Although directed towards me, his threats of harassment involve and endanger 1,500+ congregants, including hundreds of children, at both campuses as well as the full and part time staff of both campuses. I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me or those around me. 14. In March of 2021, Respondent reported suicide ideation having held a gun to his head, as a result of the deterioration with the relationship with his daughter, something his emails, letters and other communications clearly indicates he believes I had a significant role in. He was eventually checked in to a psych ward because of this incident. He seems to be unable to regulate his emotions, is willing to bring

a firearm into the mix and is fixated on me being the cause of his broken relationship with his daughter. I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me or the church where I work. 15. Respondent's threatening letter sent to Calvary's legal counsel is not only shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. His letters to Brittany demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me, and which he intends to resume his harassment on May 27, 2023. 16. I am requesting that the court extend the harassment restraining order for another 10 years. In addition, I am requesting that he be prohibited from appearing at my place of employment, but all subsequent places of employment and residences. I also request that the respondent be prohibited from appearing at my current residence at 725 4 th Street E., St. Paul, MN 55016 and any future residences. I declare under penalty of perjury, pursuant to Minn. Stat. §358.116, that everything I have stated in this document is true and correct. Dated: May 17, 2023__ _____ Steven Anderson, Petitioner Signed in Ramsey County, MN DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Background My daughter, Brittany Hutchinson began dating Steven Anderson, youth pastor @ Calvary Church. Within a few months Brittany came to me and said she was breaking up with him. The reason is because she felt as though she couldn't be herself around him – almos t like he was too controlling. She later said that she had another meeting with him, during which time he "shared his heart" with her. Because of that she decided to again date him. Shortly thereafter Steven approached myself to help support his ministry – which his full - time job. He says the church cannot afford to pay him a salary, so he fundraises as a substitute . Since then, my wife and I supported his endeavor financially. Along the way I have even worked with him to improve his presentation to find others who would be supportive. Within months S teven approached me and asked what it would take to have my blessing for him to marry my daughter. One of the things I mentioned was spending more time with our family - we barely knew him. Afterwards he mad e an effort to be at our house almost on a weekly basis, and things seemed to be going well. When he asked for my blessing on marrying my daughter, I said there were three things he needed to promise me. He agreed and I gave him the blessing. My wife and I even helped with a temporary ring until he could buy one. Promise #1 : Brittany was involved in an accident and we sued on her behalf. She is getting a structured settlement. My wife and I gave up our right to sue for our pain & suffering in exchange for Brittany to receive a larger settlement. Because of that, she ended up with a settlement 4 times larger than she normally would have. I told S teven to not expect Brittany to use the settlement money for typical household needs - that money was given to he r so she could do something special (bucket list!) I said he should respect and encourage that. Though she has the right to do as she wishes with this money, let's remember we gave it to her to do something special with - anything other than that is not al igned with our original intentions. (Brittany later said she had turned control of this money over to Steven by including it in their

budget. Then another time said she hadn't. She seemed confused. ) EXHIBIT A DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Promise #2 : He would never do anything to interfere in my relationship with Brittany. (As you'll find out later, this promise was broken many times!) Promise #3 : He would not be cheap with her. Frugal is OK, cheap is not. We discussed the difference with examples. First Signs of Trouble I learned that Brittany and S teven went to pre - marital counseling. During that time they took some tests which showed they were compatible. Things seemed OK. But shortly after they were engaged, things changed. H e rarely came to the house and almost never made an effort to be a part of our lives. My son shared with me that one time, before their engagement, at a family bonfire he had tried to start a conversation with him, but Steven only said "whatever", and brus hed past him . My son said that S teven acted rudely towards him the rest of the evening . This was literally the first time those two had been formally introduced. I had several friends there as well who both tried to start a conversation with Steven, and they said he was very unfriendly, almost to the point of being rude. One day Brittany was sp ea k ing to her mom and I about the guest list. It sounded as if S teven had already invited so many people there was barely any room for our side of the family. At least that was the impression I got from that conversation. At one point I heard the number of guests was already at 376. And that was before I was able to invite a nyone from my family or friends. (I ended up being able to invite only 3 family members and no friends.) Brittany seemed stressed by this process, so I called S teven and asked the question: "How does the guest list honor the mother and father of the bride? " H is reply was all about his family and never directly answered my question. When I brought this to his attention, he stated he would need some time to think about the answer and would let me know. This to me really was the beginning of where I noticed things going downhill. Tensions began to run high and he avoided talking to me. I also noticed changes in my daughter - she seemed unusually stressed and was smiling less than normal. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

One time at the breakfast table she said that she was expected to take on a new attitude and way of thinking. When I asked her what she meant, she got up from the table and walked away, saying she had somewhere to go. Brittany and I have always enjoyed a very close relationship and could talk about literally anything. Her re sponse to me was completely out of the ordinary. I asked for an answer about the guest list a 2 nd time, but he did not have an answer. Then, within days they came up the " Rules of Engagement " which they presented to my wife and I at a meeting. (See attach ed.) You'll notice in section 3 it says; "Our desire in those disagreements is to maintain, and even deepen our relationships with out stakeholders, in particular our parents." As you read further it will become clearly obvious this is not the truth. Th e question about the guest list ended up being asked 3 times. I never received an answer. During one of my (few) phone conversations with Steven, I offered some friendly advice from future father - in - law to future son - in - law, the same as my father - in - law di d 30 - years ago.

He became agitated and said things such as, “I don’t need to listen to you” , and “I’m going to tell Brittany what you’re doing” , and “ I don’t need this advice” . Due to his r ude behavior I ended the call. A nother time I called just to ask if he wanted to get together and hang - out. He replied “No”, then said he had to go and hung up. Fast - forward 30 - days. During that time, Steven has made no efforts to be a part of our family or join any activities. Since I gave my blessing for him to marry our daughter, it’s like a switch was flipped and he became cold towards us. He has spoken rudely to myself, guests at our house and has acted this ways towards my other children. It seems as though he was only being nice to obt ain my blessing, then his real personality came out. Had I known any of this I would have never said yes to him marrying our daughter. I have tried 7 times to make peace and preserve a relationship with my daughter. Each time has been met with either sto newalling (ignoring) and/or false accusations on the part of Steven. This period of time has been and continues to be emotionally traumatizing for myself. The ripple effect for my family has been noticeable. The pain and suffering have taken their toll . I’ ve EXHIBIT A DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

gone from being a normally peaceful loving person who has done a tremendous amount of volunteer work (hospice and preventing teen suicides @ schools), to becoming withdrawn and even crying at times . Dad Tries to Make Peace 7 Times (ATTEMPT #1) Before being presented with rules of engagement, (which I did not know they were going to do), in an effort to make peace, I offered an apology for any of my wrongdoings. I truly did not feel as though I had actually done anything wrong, but rather have a lways put my family first, and am willing to swallow my pride and apologize, even when not necessary or when I feel as though I've not done anything wrong, in order for my family to be happy. I grew up in unfortunate situations, devoid of any love. I lived that way for many years until I met an amazing lady. We married and extended our love into having children, whom I love and cherish more than life itself. I have always put my family first. Always. I challenge anyone to tell of a time when I have not done this 100%. Steven never clearly accepted the apology, and never showed any appreciation or gratitude. Basically, he ignored it and stonewalled me. The "Rules of Engagement" seemed to contradict each other. When I emailed to ask for clarification Steven's response d id not clearly answer my question. In that email he referenced "triangulating" without realizing that I studied psychology and know that word infers manipulation. I wasn't sure if he was attempting to insult my intelligence, insinuate I was being manipulat ive, or he really doesn't understand what the word triangulation means when used in the realm of psychology. My email response was short and a bit pointed; however, by now I am agitated the guest list question had not been answered and it seems all effor ts to resolve things have been met with him stonewalling me, ignoring us and being rude. (ATTEMPT #2) Phone call; shortly afterwards I called Steven and told him that I do not hate him nor am I trying to stop the wedding. I have a good relationship DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

with my other daughters' boyfriends and hoped to have the same with him. His only response was; "thank you for sharing that" in a monotone voice. There was no appreciation or gratitude - no saying anything like, wow I'm hoping for that too. Nothing. Just anoth er stonewall. Later I was talking with Brittany and my frustration boiled over, and I raised my voice about how Steven would not answer this seemly simple question and has made no effort to be a part of our family. I was also terribly upset that Brittany is showing signs of stress and I haven't seen her smile in days. I am very concerned and even heartbro ken that she should have to go through this during a time when she should be celebrating. My being agitated certainly did not help matters and I felt truly awful afterwards. A few hours later she came to me and we discussed the guest list. I accepted her explanation , apologized for raising my voice, and asked that we move forward. The following day I went to her and asked if we could do a reset, one where we could together enjoy the journey of her getting married. She agreed and we spent some enjoyable time together. (ATTEMPT # 3) I knew there was still some healing that needed to take place between S teven and I. Though previous attempts had been met with no response, I d ecided to try again. I suggested mediation to Brittany a nd she said she would ta lk to Steven about it . (ATTEMPT #4) I saw increased sadness and pain in my daughter's eyes and felt incredibly horrible for her. So, I told Brittany and her mom that I would speak with S teven and offer to let bygones be bygones and to set simple expect ations of how we communicate. He refused to meet and asked for mediation, which I had previously suggested. I asked if he was sure he wanted to do that and said we could work things out. He said no, that our next talk should be mediated. I suggested he tak e a screenshot of that comment as a reminder that he asked for this – meaning that mediation would include going over past hurt and DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

painful events. Mediation was set for Saturday, Feb. 20 th . Steven insisted we not talk about the past and only focus on how my wife and I were to speak to him and Brittany. I insisted we bring everything out in the open and resolve matters. F or that reason , (according to Brittany), Steven canceled mediation. (ATTEMPT #5) Brittany does Stevens laundry at our house, which I honestly don't mind. He makes it clear that he is concerned about Covid, so when I discovered some information about that virus and fabric, I wanted to share this with him. Soon afterwards a s Brittany was leaving the house, she said Stevens laundry was in the dryer and asked that once done I place it outside the front door for him to pick up. I figured this was out of concern for Covid, so decided to write him the following letter, and placed in in the laundry basket along with his clean clothes. Hi Steven, I did some research and was surprised to learn the Covid virus can live for up to 48 - hours on our clothing. I can understand your concern over this virus, so much so that Brittany asked me to leave your clothes outside the front door for you to pick up. I can fully appreciate that. When one considers how long t he virus can live on clothing, and the fact they are inside our house - a place you're concerned about being exposed to, perhaps it would be a safer option for you to wash your own clothes at home. Something to think

about. Would have been nice to see yo u today - it's been a while. We could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just yesterday for Covid. But, we can't be too careful! Well, perhaps another time. Hope you have a wonderful day. Mr. Hutchinson He has ne ver said anything about this letter. Nothing. No thank you for your concern, maybe we can meet up another time, etc. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Then just two days later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for an extended period. (He can 't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell, yet two days later he can spend hours inside our home?) The following day his laundry was again being done at our house - all without any acknowledgment of the letter. Nothing. Crickets. T his to me was yet another stonewall, and quite honestly, seemed very disrespectful. Several hours later my wife came home and I was admittedly fuming about this and even a bit emotionally charged. After all, he had a history of being rude to my family an d myself. I spoke loudly about how upset I was. Brittany was in her bedroom and overheard what I said. This upset her so she went to stay at a friend's place for a few days. Brittany later cancelled a lunch date we had set for that week. This is the first time in her life she has ever cancelled anything with me because of how she felt. We've always had an amazing, open, honest and loving father - daughter relationship. Since the engagement I've seen that erode away, and I am honestly extremely hurt by this. I texted Steven to let him know that he was not welcome in our home and could not do laundry there until mediation. [I later discovered that Brittany had requested his laund ry be put outside due to her concern there might be a conflict between he and I, and not because of Covid. But i t is still concerning that he never made any comment about the letter nor showed any appreciation.] To make things a bit worse on my end is th e fact that cancer runs strong in my family; my grandmother, mom and 2 older brothers all died from it. I am now the eldest living male - my brothers died at an age younger than I currently am. Recently I've been experiencing some very familiar and concern ing symptoms and my bloodwork is not normal. I have several upcoming tests to verify what I already believe to be true. To avoid undue stress on my family I've not shared this information, until now. Comment: When S teven learned of this in an email, he n ever reached out to DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

offer prayer or show any type of concern. Considering that he is a youth pastor, is this really Christian behavior? Seeing what my daughter is going through is horrible and adds to my desire to fix things so she can be happy. We had m ediation scheduled but Steven cancelled it. He says it’s because he doesn’t want to talk about previous events, and only wants to focus on how my wife and I should be talking to him and Brittany. [Seems a bit controlling!] To date Steven has not suggeste d even one single thing to help get our relationship back on - track. Thus far I’ve come up with 100% of the idea’s and have offered 100% of the apologies, and have made 100% of the efforts, even though he cannot name one thing that I’ve done to offend him. Not one. I’ve been offering blanket apologies just to have a relationship with my daughter. Apparently he doesn’t want that? Why?? Th e day after I was

upset about him not responding to the letter, Brittany told her mom that she and S teven were in the process of renting an apartment and would be moving out soon . The plan is for her to live in that apt. with another roommate until after t he wedding. This, to me, just seems like another wedge is being unfairly driven between my daughter and myself . Why did Steven not try to make peace during this time? To me it seems as though he used this as an opportunity to further move Brittany away f rom our family (both physically and emotionally). Attempt 6: I sent everything listed above in an email to Steven, Brittany and my wife as an attachment, with this in the body of the email on February 20 th : Hello Steven, Attached is a letter I wrote explaining my perception of how things have been going, my recollection of the events, and where it potentially went off - track. My intention is to reconcile broken relationships. You will notice the letter is addressed to the e lders @ Calvary Church. The reason for this is because if we DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

cannot work things out between us, then I will approach church leaders and ask them to intervene. I have no set preference as to whether we involve a mediator or not. This conversation will be a bout setting the record straight and moving forward. Any talks about how to communicate, etc. can come after. I will wait ten (10) days for a meeting. After that time (March 2nd) this letter will be sent to the leadership of Calvary Church. Sincerely, Tim Hutchinson CC: Brittany & Jennifer To date I have made 6 attempts at reconciliation – t he number of attempts I've seen S teven make is exactly zero. My question is how is this acceptable among Christians? Especially with him being a youth pastor. Are t hey not held to a higher standard as the bible mandates? Aren't we all supposed to follow Matthew 18:22? Jesus says we should forgive each other “seventy times seven times” a number that symbolizes boundlessness. That clearly is not happening. I will also add that during the past few months Brittany has become depressed, has several counseling sessions scheduled and has lost 20 lbs. unintentionally . This should be obvious to S teven . Turning this around could have been accomplished by accepting one of my nu merous attempts to move our relationship in a better direction , or offered an alternative. Why he did not do any of th e se. Where is the compassion we should have for one another? Attempt 7: I emailed the above letter to Brittany, S teven and my wife on February 20 th . Later that day, feeling so overwhelmed at the diminished relationship with my daughter, I then sent the following email, striving for peace , and once again apologizing for things I haven’t done ; This email is an open apology to my daughter, Brittany. I have failed you. Not just a little, but a lot. During the past weeks I have been so focused on being right, about winning a disagreement, that I lost sight of something far more DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

im portant; our relationship and your happiness. I have always put you first in my life, and now just months before your wedding I have fallen far short of what I should have been doing. You have every reason to be mad at me, hurt, disappointed and feeling le ss than loved by me. For that I apologize - profusely. I love you more than life itself and literally live in daily agony over the state of our current relationship. In response I'll say this: I resign. Completely and fully resign. Not from being your dad of

course, but rather from the disagreement that caused this. The [previous] letter I emailed states my position and stands as the truth as I know it. But that's as far as it goes. I'm done with any disagreement with S teven . My time and energy is now going to be focused on you, Brittany. To work hard restoring our precious relationship and ensuring this never happens again. Ever. And, to help celebrate the upcoming wedding and make these months before the wedding as enjoyable as possible. With that i n mind, I am inviting Steven to neutral ground. A place where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen, mi casa es tu casa. I don't want battles anymore - I just want to love my family and others with all my heart. To fully enjoy what time I have left on this planet. The past few weeks/months somehow turned ugly and I don't want that - never did. I ended up feeling ugly inside and that's not who I am. At all. I repent for my misdeeds and missteps. Peace, Tim/Dad Comment: Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things S teven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

My wife replied: Tim, I want you to know those words really touched my heart. They were absolutely beautiful and honest. I appreciate you putting Britt first and your highest priority. Im proud of you and very h opeful we can begin celebrating this engagement and wedding! This makes me very happy. I love you all so much. With Love, Jen Our daughter replied; Dad, Steven and I read your emails. We have found ourselves in the same position that we have felt trapped into for the past few weeks, unsure how to respond. We have set clear boundaries, laid out in our Rules of Engagement, and those have been violated repeatedly. The way Steven and I have been treated by you has been inappropriate and out of line. The last email we received was an apology directed towards me, and while I appreciate that I feel as if it dismissed core issues. This puts us into a difficult position o f being perceived as the ones continuing conflict if we don't accept a "blank slate." As Steven and I prepare to start our new life together, we are concerned that these patterns of behavior will continue. We believe that there are significant changes that need to occur in order to move forward in a healthy manner. We desire growing towards healthie r relationships with you. Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far, so we believe a mediated conversation is the appropriate next step. We are confirming with our mediator, but does Saturday at 10 am work for you and mom? It's important that all 4 of us will be there. Thanks, Britt DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Comment: I violated the rules of engagement?? Um, no…I asked a simple question of S teven , which he never answered. Concerned about " patterns " – what patterns? I've been apologizing for things I never did!!! " Significant changes " ? Should I then become an uncaring, unloving father? That's ridiculous and clearly not my daughters voice. That has 'Steven' written all over. "Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far…" What conversations??? I am litera lly the ONLY person trying to move things forward in a healthy way. Th is is a problem between Steven and I, and he ha s not done

ANYTHING to help . Period. Before meeting Steven, my daughter would never have written an email reply such as this. Never. Clearly he is manipulating her. My reply: Yes, mom and I can be available at that time. I am willing to attend based upon three conditions; 1) This is about moving forward, not digging up the past. There were clearly missteps on the part of several of us, not just myself. Going over those details will serve no useful purpose other than igniting hurt feelings. 2) The meeting will be focused on ways to co mmunicate in both directions; engaged couple to the parents, and vice - versa. 3) No expectations will be made that violate our First Amendment rights. While I agree there are acceptable ways to communicate, anything that prevents one of us from speaking at all to another person is something I won't consider. This is America - brave heroic people fought for our rights, and that should be respected. S teven replied; Hi all, DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

I agree with everything Britt said. As for your response, Mr. Hutchinson, I don't b elieve we can comply with them all of them the way you have requested. 1. There are things that have been done and said over the last two weeks that cannot be ignored, and it is not enough to ask for a "blank slate" and move forward. Some of this will be dis cussed in our conversation. 2. We agree that communication moving forward should be an element of this conversation. 3. We don't believe it's helpful for us to set expectations for the communication plan moving forward before our conversation. I have to admit, these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining for Britt and I as we have interacted with you and we desire to move forward in a healthier direction and would appreciate your willingness to enter into that being willi ng to lay anything down in order to make that happen. You have emphasized how important your relationship with Britt is, and if that is really true, we believe that you will listen to what Britt and I have to say about how we can all move forward in a heal thy way, even if that means that interaction with one or both of us moves forward differently than your personal preference. Comment: “Things have been done and said that cannot be ignored”. Such as?? To date neither of them can name even one single thin g. Not one! “…these past few weeks have been incredible challenging and emotionally draining for Brittany and I as we have interacted with you…” Here again, name one thing, just ONE that I’ve done, other than ask a question, raise my voice (to Brittany o nce, and to my wife once), apologize for things I never did, and strive for peace. Name one. They literally cannot. Notice how Steven interjected himself into the equation with his comment? Other than a few emails and a letter trying to make peace, I’ve had ZERO interaction with Steven in the previous few weeks. None. But he’s trying to pretend to be a victim here. “ We desire to move forward in a healthier direction…” How are we going to move forward if Steven puts zero effort in to anything and my daughter will not even speak to me?? ”….being willing to lay down everything in order to make that happen.” Umm… I have done EXACTLY that, and Steven has done NOTHING. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

It seems to me that Steven is manipulating our daughter. Clearly, I am the one trying to make peace and Steven is avoiding that. The question is why? My reply; S teven , Mrs. Hutchinson and I have also been directly/indirectly impacted in a negative w ay by some of your words & actions. We will also be presenting a list of expectations on how we will be communicated with. We look forward to the meeting. See you then. Mr. Hutchinson Conclusion The second attempt @ mediation was scheduled for Saturday, 2/27/2021 @ 10 AM. The previous weeks mediation was cancelled by Steven (through Brittany) because he did not want to discuss previous events. As you can see in the above emails, this time I agreed to no previous events be discussed, but now suddenly Steven wants them. That's a 180 - degree turn - around. Days later they cancelled mediation, again, without reason. What is being hidden? Why can we not come together as Christians and discuss things in an open and honest way? Something is very, very wrong here. Steven has somehow convinced my daughter that I h ave done things that are wrong, without any evidence or proof whatsoever. In fact, it has been Steven who is the one causing problems. Again, how is this Christian behavior? And from a pastor?? In my last phone call with her she said that she shows Steve n all the text message s and email s from me and tells him everything we talk about on the phone, because that's how Steven says the 2 shall become 1. She also said that she hoped in the future we could go to counseling and figure out how to have a relatio nship again. She then whispered, "Dad, I love, love, love, love, love you a lot!" DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Since then, my daughter has completely broken off communication with me. No calls, no texts, no visits, nothing. Other than a brief text reply where I invited her to her m om's birthday party she will not respond to any attempts at contacting her. (She would not come to the party – this is the first time she's ever missed something like this. ) I am concerned about both her mental and physical health. She and I have always ha d an amazing father - daughter relationship – almost like 2 peas in pod – and now, only weeks after becoming engaged she will not even speak to me, says we need counseling, and I am being repeatedly accused of doing things which they will not specify, speak about, or go to mediation to resolve. Seems this gives Steven plenty of opportunities to make more false accusations about me without my ever having a chance to defend myself. More and deeper wedges being driven between my daughter and I. This, to me, lite rally sounds like the actions of a narcissist. In what other way can anyone explain how in my daughters eyes I go from being a father she loves and adores, to being treated almost like a monster in only 30 - days?? I sent Steven one final email which state d: "No conflict is over until a solution or a side concedes. This is the calm before the storm. I will always fight for my love. I am relentless." This was to serve as a reminder that even though he would not discuss the things mentioned above and is now refusing to have any conversation with my wife and I to bring a resolution, there is still a conflict between us. The upcoming "storm" is when I release the truth (this document) about his actions. It is also a reminder that I will never give up on our dau ghter. Steven twisted the truth (again) and told Brittany that I was threatening him. The wedge grew

deeper due to this lie. This is where things stand right now. Though this is only one - side of the equation, it shows enough for you to understand why I am concerned. Kindest regards, Tim Hutchinson DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Follow - up Note I redacted the names of people and church, then sent this file over to several mental health professionals, via a trusted 3 rd party (to further protect all of our identities.) Their responses were similar: This is clearly a troubling situation and Steven has acted inappropriately. Every one of their re sponses has encouraged me to continue making this truth known and to never give up on my daughter. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

From: Tim Hutchinson To: david@lutzlawfirm.com Subject: Re: Calvary Church Date: Friday, May 5, 2023 11:18:35 AM The banners have been ordered. My family and friends are ready. The protest will happen. There is only one way to stop this, and that is for them to do the proper investigation they should've done already. Mr. Timothy Hutchinson On Mon, May 1, 2023 at 2:10 PM <david@lutzlawfirm.com> wrote: Mr. Hutchinson, I am in receipt of your request below and I have spoken with my client about the same and they respectfully decline your request. Please note that the trespass notice previously provided to you attached hereto remains in effect. David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1515 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: 612-424-2110 Cell: 651-230-2110 david@lutzlawfirm.com www.lutzlawfirm.com NOTICE: The foregoing message (including all attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by the Attorney-Client privilege or other Privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or EXHIBIT B DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. In the event you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. NOTICE: This office sometimes acts as a debt collector for consumer debts. Accordingly the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act requires attorneys engaged in consumer debt collection to advise consumers that this communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2023 3:30 PM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com Subject: Re: Calvary Church Hello Mr. Lutz, I am contacting you about an unresolved matter involving Calvary Church, and someone who works there; Steven Anderson. Steven is married to my daughter and has told many terrible lies about me. As a result, I've not had a relationship with my daughter for several years. In fact, there is a harassment restraining order against me (which I allowed) that is set to expire in approximately 30 days. Previously I contacted Calvary Church for help in resolving this. Their response was to have an attorney (you) contact me and ask that I stay off their

property and have no contact with them. I have honored this request. It is my belief that a lot of the problems Steven caused could have been avoided if Calvary Church had done a proper investigation. I am writing you to ask they perform an investigation - which is long overdue! This investigation would include interviewing myself in a public hearing so my family could attend. I want Calvary to hear the truth directly from me. There are witnesses and documents that would be beneficial for them to hear from and see. No one other than Steven and Brittany (whom he lied to) believe any of the allegations against me. In short, Calvary has a monster working for them, and I can 100% prove it. If Calvary Church is willing to move forward with this, there will be no further action against them. I will walk away and my business with them will be done. If they refuse, they should fully expect protests at both of their locations once the HRO has expired. Let me be very clear; I don't want to do anything against a church, I am a good Christian man. However, their failure to properly investigate this matter I brought to their attention has led to a lot of harm and difficulties in my family. This is their opportunity to correct a wrong. Regards, Timothy Hutchinson 651.724.1325 DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

On Mon, Apr 24, 2023 at 5:11 PM <david@lutzlawfirm.com> wrote: Tim, I am counsel to Calvary Church. David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1515 Minneapolis, MN 55402 612-424-2110 david@lutzlawfirm.com www.lutzlawfirm.com NOTICE: The foregoing message (including all attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by the Attorney-Client privilege or other Privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. In the event you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. NOTICE: This office sometimes acts as a debt collector for consumer debts. Accordingly the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act requires attorneys engaged in consumer debt collection to advise consumers that this communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, April 24, 2023 9:33 AM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com Subject: Re: Calvary Church Hello Mr. Lutz, DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Checking to see if you still represent Calvary Church? Regards, Tim Hutchinson On Wed, Jun 9, 2021 at 12:19 PM Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> wrote: P.S. In the attachment there are the names Jake and James. It would be helpful to know that Jake is engaged to my oldest daughter, and James is dating my youngest daughter. The church might also be interested in knowing that 2 of Brittany's 3 siblings won't attend the wedding, and no one from my side of the family will be there either. The reasons are the same; they are shocked at the lies Steven told about me. Regards, Tim Hutchinson On Wed, Jun 9, 2021 at 12:03 PM Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> wrote:

David, All along I've stated my desire to avoid any legal proceedings. Sad day when Christians cannot come together and resolve an issue. Attached is a letter I wrote to my daughter in response to a Restraining Order. Would appreciate you reviewing it and forwarding it to your client, Calvary Church. I'd like them to know these things, My goal has always been to have the truth told. Regards, DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Tim Hutchinson On Tue, Jun 8, 2021 at 12:29 PM <david@lutzlawfirm.com> wrote: Tim, I have advised my client not to meet and discuss due to the threat of litigation. My client has received and reviewed your written communication. David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1550 Minneapolis, MN 55402 612-424-2110 david@lutzlawfirm.com www.lutzlawfirm.com NOTICE: The foregoing message (including all attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by the Attorney-Client privilege or other Privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. In the event you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. NOTICE: This office sometimes acts as a debt collector for consumer debts. Accordingly the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act requires attorneys engaged in consumer debt collection to advise consumers that this communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, June 7, 2021 10:02 AM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Subject: Re: Calvary Church Dear Mr. Lutz, I have received the notice, have honored it and will continue to do so. I recently became aware of the allegations being made against me which are horrible and unfounded. Is there a possibility of presenting my side of this ordeal? If your client, Calvary Church, would be open to a meeting with me, it can be at a place and time of their choice. The only goal is to clear my name and I come in a spirit of peace and truth. The Bible says we should choose a good name rather than riches, and I would appreciate an opportunity to prove to your client the truth. Respectfully, Tim Hutchinson 651-724-1325 On Fri, May 7, 2021 at 8:41 AM <david@lutzlawfirm.com> wrote: Dear Mr. Hutchinson, Please see attached correspondence which is also being sent via U.S. Mail. David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1550 Minneapolis, MN 55402 612-424-2110 david@lutzlawfirm.com www.lutzlawfirm.com DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

NOTICE: The foregoing message (including all attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by the Attorney-Client privilege or other Privilege. If you are not the intended

recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. In the event you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. NOTICE: This office sometimes acts as a debt collector for consumer debts. Accordingly the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act requires attorneys engaged in consumer debt collection to advise consumers that this communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Steven, May 27th is almost here. Then the HRO will expire, and you will quickly realize that your lies are going to be exposed. I have plans, including protests at the church. I feel bad for them being dragged into this mess, however, they failed to do a proper invesƟgaƟon. So, this is on them not me. I have the banners ordered and have family / friends who are going to protest the church every week – both locaƟons. There's not been one person in the past 2 years who has told me they believe any of the bullshit lies you've told. Now we get to expose you. If you have a suggesƟon on how to resolve this otherwise, you beΣer speak up now. Once my plan goes into acƟon, there is no stopping or turning back. This is your one and only chance to get ahead of this. Just don't waste my Ɵme with stupid self-centered ideas. In the words of Janis Joplin; "Freedom is just another word for nothing leŌ to lose." There is one quesƟon you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out? Tick-tock, Mr. Timothy Hutchinson EXHIBIT C DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Dear Brittany, Amazing how he has you believing that after 22 years of being an amazing father, that somehow, I have become a monster. Apparently, he has super - powers because only he can see that…and he has convinced you that his fantasy is real. I am so grateful and thankful for my children who know my heart and have stood by my side, unwavering during these difficult times. They will always have a special place in my heart. I have proven to them, over the decades, that I always put my family first. Alway s. They will not just give up on me when someone tells a lie . They came to me and asked what my side of the story was. I shared my heart and truth as best as possible, which again confirmed that I have put this family first, even when it caused me tremendo us pain (and even resulted in my being hospitalized.) They are my family, and I am so proud of them! All I have been doing has been to try and resolve things through making the truth known. His response has been to escalate his lies and twisting of the t ruth to cover his previous lies. He has done so by making even the slightest ant hill seem like a ginormous mountain. He has scrutinized every single step I have taken and found fault with it - even if that means twisting the truth, or outright lying. Qu ick example: For weeks I had been coming home and placing my handgun on the top railing for a few minutes (since the riots, doing this made your mom feel safe), entering the kitchen and unpacking my lunch bag, then taking the handgun and putting it away in the bedroom. For weeks this was a daily routine (unless someone was

walking down the street towards the park when I got home - in that case I waited until later to bring it into the house, when no one was around.) One day when he was over, he noticed th e gun on the railing, and automatically assumed it was there to intimidate him. That is what he stated in the Restraining Order. Again, just a small example of how he has twisted things to fit his agenda. An agenda to strip us out of each other's lives. An d you just caved him and let him get away with this. That makes me feel like I really do not matter to you - it seems you just gave up so easily. Here I am fighting to get you back and you are blindly going along with his evil plan. He has been avoiding the truth being told. He does not want mediation because he knows the truth will come out and his evil deeds with be exposed. That is also the reason why he continues to keep you isolated from me. He EXHIBIT D
DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

is afraid of the truth. That also explains why he blatan tly lied to Calvary about me; so they would not help with mediation, and instead have a lawyer ask me to stay away. I am no threat to them whatsoever. Yet he has them thinking I am such a threat they have supposedly hired additional security. That is how f ar he will go to cover his lies. They are a CHURCH - I am a PASTOR. They are my brothers and sisters in Christ. I would never go there and commit any sort of act of violence. Not ever. I only went to them because previously when we were going to have med iation, someone from the church was going to be the mediator. This was in no way an attempt to have him fired - they are a church; they are supposed to help people. I went there seeking their help in resolving things. Had he worked at any other place besid es a church I would have never contacted them. But he twisted this also to make it seem I was going there to somehow attack him. More lies on top of the other lies. Remember when I said let us take a 6 - month break. That took control away from him, Once I took control away, he felt a need to take it back. That is the real reason for the Restraining Order. Why file that if I am going away for 6 months? Control. The only thing I did during that time was to come over and attempt to tell you both that you are loved and forgiven. Just one time and you would never hear from me again for at least 6 months. This is about control - he wants to control, and I am in his way. Even worse; he has you believing that I am a threat and/or danger to you. Above all else that especially troubles me!! Let me be perfectly clear on this one point: I love you. More than life itself. There is no way, and I mean literally zero chance I would ever physically hurt you. Ever. Never. You are absolutely one of the greatest things to eve r happen in my life. Period. Even the mere thought of any type of harm to you is so repulsive it makes me ill to my stomach. Literally. I know that you have been emotionally hurt - some of that is likely my fault. For that I wholeheartedly apologize. I tru ly do. It was never my intention in any way, shape, or form to cause you any kind of pain. None whatsoever. That is a promise. A solid promise from a father to his precious daughter. I pinky swear. I think this has been tremendously difficult for you. Af ter all, it is not normal to become engaged, then need therapy. What's next, medication? He has painted DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

a picture of a fairy tale, but after the wedding he will slowly turn it into somethin g else . There are literally thousands of stories exactly like this o n the internet about guys like him. Everything seemed almost magical. No way could he ever turn out like all the others, he special, he different, he really loves me - then they changed. And the ladies who were lucky and escaped, ended up just another stat istic with a sad story to tell and deep emotional scars. Sadly, I beli eve you will see this firsthand. Once you walk down the aisle and I am not next to you, the door of opportunity to resolve things with him closes . After that time, I will never ack nowledge him as my son - in - law. He will never call me "dad". I will never have any type of relationship with him . Something else is going to happen after the wedding: my response. I t will then be my turn, an d I will expose his lies and evil ways. You see, I have been patiently waiting to see how far he would take things – and all the while I have been trying to resolve this (apologizing, offering forgiveness, seeking mediation, etc.) I've been patient, very p atient, for a good reason; I want to be sure that I've given every chance possible to resolve this another way. I don't want to think about the "What if's" afterwards, wondering if there was another chance to settl e this. Filing the Restraining Order was enough to show me there isn't another way – I'm left with literally no choice. This entire time he has only done one thing: continue playing his game. So, remember this phrase: "He Treated Me Like a Game - Now I'm Going to Show Him How It's Played." I am fairly certain your lawyer is also reading this, so here's the disclaimer (as I've stated over and over and over again, repeatedly since this conflict began...) Nothing stated here is meant to infer or condone any illegal activities, or anything of a violent nature. I am a law - abiding citizen and will comply with all laws, including the Restraining Order. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Step #1 is to file a lawsuit against St ev en, and possibly Calvary. He will have to answer questi ons during th e lawsuit proceedings, and the truth will come out! T here will be other ( le gal ) events that will happen as well. Again, all fully legal and in co mpliance wi th the Re straining Order. But that does not make them any less effective. My enti re goal is to show you, my beau tifu l daught er , the lies he has been telling. As I ' ve stated so many times before; I just want t he truth revealed! I will not stop until t ha t happens. For th e rest of my life I will not rest until you k now the truth. I promise you this. As these events unfold, you will reach a point in time when you realize that you joined the wrong team. When that happens, come home. No judgement, no 'I told you so' comments, no holding a grudge. Nothing but love is waiting for you here. That is a real father to daughter promise. We all make mistakes in life (myself included), and it is comforti ng to know we have a family that will take us back, no matter what. Because that's how real families roll. His real battle is not with me, it is with himself. He clearly does not know how to express himself or his emotions in a healthy way and as a resul t, pushed me to my limits – then blamed me for the outcome ; all the while lying about what he has done to cause the problem(s) to begin with. Then, in order for you to remain in a relationship with him, you had to hurt someone; me. Are you happy with that? Seems

fair, right? I mean all you have to do is treat your dad like crap after he made you a priority in his life for 23 years. And did anything and everything he possibly could for you. Here's a hint: if you have to give that up to be with someone, then you're with the wrong guy! Especially if he's lying to keep you away from your own dad. During all of this time he has not once shown any care or compassion towards me. Zip. Zilch. Nada. Nothing. How is this Christian behavior? Answer: it's not! I've off ered love, forgiveness and multiple opportunities to resolve this. He's offered nothing except lies in an at tempt to exacerbate things. Again, that is not Christian. At all. But somehow, he just turns things around in your mind to make me the bad guy. Again, it's amazing how awesome of a relationship we had, until he came along. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

I now present to you some answers to the false claims made in the Restraining Order. In the interest of brevity, I've not covered everything. However, if there is something you would like to know, sh are that with your attorney and I'll answer through him. Love, Dad DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

I have a challenge for you. Read this alone and do not let S teven read this. It was agreed upon in court that I got to share a letter with you. But just you. I want you to read this with your heart and meditate on it. My Response to Your Order The Kyle Situation Kyle was Vanessa's ex, who assaulted her. After he assaulted her, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because sh e was embarrassed. I called Kyle, and he started to verbally assault me so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified my self as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. At that point I felt the need to defen d myself, and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I responded, " Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left. He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical – and I learned that in the future I would insist on calling the police and letting them handle the situation. The Phone Calls to Steven It was alleged that I made an increasing number of harassing calls to Steven. But I have checked my phone records, and they show that I have called Steven a total of 4 times ever. Not in a week, a month, but ever. 4 times. Acting Out? It's claim ed that I, at one time, were stomping, slamming cabinets, and slamming doors, and raising my voice while talking about steven. I only raised my voice to you once about Steven, but only after he treated me several times very disrespectfully. I was so disapp ointed in myself for having raised my voice to you (something I've not done before) that I slapped the doorway as I walked out of the kitchen. I cannot slam the doors in our kitchen, there are none. I cannot slam the kitchen cabinets as they have easy clos e stoppers. I never stomp my feet as I am not a child. Inappropriate Use of My Firearm? You said I "placed the firearm on

the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment towards Steven." The truth of the matter is that I have been placing my firearm there for weeks, based on a request from your mom. It made her feel safer. Placing my firearm on the banister became an everyday routine for me. After what happened in Minneapolis with George Floyd and the protests and then the protests threatening to go to the suburbs, it made your mom feel safer to have it visible at times when I got home from work. Again, she literally asked me to do DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

this! One day while Steven is over he sees the handgun in its usual place, and made an incorrect assumption. Later it's claim ed that I went to the garage to retrieve my firearm and returned looking very angry. This is not true . I am very careful when retrieving my firearm from the car. In fact, for 20+ years, you didn't even know I had one . It was only after the events in Minneapolis that I started to display my firearm – and only at the specific request of your mom. Over the years, I have been so discreet, that when ever there are people anywhere around (going to the park, out walking, working in their yard, etc. ) , I will wait until later to retrieve the firearm from the car so I can be as to be as safe and discreet as possible. We have lived in that house for 22 years and our neighbors ha ve never seen me carrying it. I only have it out in the open inside the house, and only for a short period of time. I have since asked your mom if she was okay with me being completely discreet and she said yes. I have never waved this gun around; I have never used this firearm to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie and crazy. I made it to 60 without a criminal record, but Steven want s to paint me a s this crazy man with a gun. The second time that I raised my voice, I did not know you were even home, I thought you had left with Steven. And when I did raise my voice, it lasted maybe 15 seconds. Your mom was at the top of the stairs and I was by the do or. I raised my voice towards your mom about the frustrations I was feeling with this situation. And I was shouting towards her, but not at her. After the 15 seconds had passed, your mom came and gave me a hug and said "I can see that this is clearly hurti ng you" She said "Let's go make supper together and have a glass of wine"; to which I responded with "Okay, the things Steven are doing and saying are bothering me." Within 10 minutes, your mom and I were in the kitchen laughing and joking about Facebook m emes. You then claim I went to the car and returned with the gun, still visibly angry. That's a lie. (It should also be noted that I have not raised my voice at all since then. Not once, under any circumstances. Again, just trying to make me appear to be a bad person, which I am not.) In the order it says, "The presence of his firearm in several incidences, along with his emotional instability, and refusal to respect boundaries that I've set in our relationship concern me that this will continue to escalat e and that the result may involve his firearm and Steven or I" To that I say: First of all to talk about boundaries - the only way I have stepped over boundaries is to try to resolve this. That's all that I have been doing for months. The display of my hand gun, again, was because of your mom's request. That has nothing to do with the

situation between you, Steven and I. Nothing. Other than raising my voice twice, there has been no outward signs of aggression or anything being escalated. This is all a complet e fabrication. Yes, this is a bold face lie. All I've been trying to do, repeatedly, is to have the truth come out. I believe the truth doesn't mind being questioned, whereas lies want to hide. (Sound familiar?) DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

There's a reason Steven does not want mediation or to resolve things; because his lies will be exposed. So, he pretends to be afraid and paint me as a violent person to avoid the truth coming out. The Night You Moved Out You went to a friend's house and a few days later moved out. T he night you moved, you came back because you forgot something. I was in the bedroom with your mom. I heard something moving around in the house, so I went out into the hallway to see what was going on. When I noticed it was you I said hi. You gave me a hug and said "I love you so much dad." I said "I love you too." I asked if you were okay, and you said yes. I then said, "It's kind of weird because this is the first time you have moved and I didn't help you." You smiled and said , "Yeah, it is kind of weird." YOU SMILED AND SAID YEAH IT'S KINDA WEIRD. You said you had to go, and I said, "Okay, have a good night." This is the day you moved out because you were supposedly afraid of me. How does that say you are afraid of me? The La st Page It's interesting that when Steven came up with this order, in his evidence, he left out the last page of my website where it talks about you guys being loved and forgiven. LOVED AND FORGIVEN . But that would go against his claim that I am a crazed, violent man. That doesn't fit his agenda to make you think I am this horrible violent person. What's interesting is that no one other than Steven thinks I am a violent person. Sadly, he has convinced you this lie is real. I spend time with both Jake and James and there's literally no problems between us at all. None. In fact, they have both said they would write letters in support of me. They both h eard the Kyle story and are not afraid of me at all – because there's literally no reason to be. None. That's all a fabrication of Steven's. I now have over 15 people who are close to me, all willing to write letters stating they have never seen any of the behavior Steven is alleging, and that they simply do not believe any of it is true. How many do you have? I'm not a betting man, but if I was, my bet would be there are zero people to back up his claim(s). Because they are lies. The Therapy Session You a pproached me to go to therapy with you. Before you, your therapist, and I got together for a meeting, the therapist wanted to get together with me alone in a zoom type meeting. She was rude, condescending, and I really did not feel as though she cared abou t me or resolving anything . It was very frustrating talking to her. Nonetheless, I said any therapy sessions I was involved in I would pay for and gave her my credit card number so you would not be burdened with that. What no one, not even the therapist kn ows is that I was not alone in that room. When the session with m yself and the therapist was scheduled, I asked your mom to be in the room with me. She sat off to one side, out of view to the camera. She witnessed how stressful this was for me. Then, at th e time you and I had our session with the therapist, it was immediately stressful DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

again. I felt as though the therapist was condescending from the beginning. My only goal for that session was to tell you that I loved you and to validate your pain . I know you've been hurt, and it's incredibly painful to see you go through this . T he t herapist interrupted me repeatedly . When I didn't recite things back exactly, it seemed she was a bit condescending . Half the time I didn't look at the screen as it was too hard to see the pain on your face. I didn't even get to say anything what I really wanted to say; that I lo ve you and to validate your feelings. At one point in time, I muted the microphone then I leaned forward to cry. You may have thought I got up and w alked around the room, but I was bent over forward crying into my hands. I truly and sincerely hurt throughout this session. Having you out of my life hurts more than my brothers dying – and still does to this very day. At this point it was clear that my message of love was not going to be conveyed to you, I became frustrated , rambled on about something and left the meeting abruptly. I think I mentioned not knowing if I wanted a relationship with you, but what I really meant was for a temporary amount of t ime. ( P.S. I did not slam my laptop close d – this laptop is too expensive to be slammed shut. ) With the thought of that session fresh in my mind. I ended up in a park later that night with a gun to my head. That's the reason I had suicidal ideations; the pain on your face was more than I could handle. Word Choices I notice that throughout the order, words were used to misdescribe the situations. "RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP, SCREAMING". This is noth ing more than character assassination. Can someone name even one person who's actually witnessed me doing anything like that? I didn't think so - because it's not true. Trying to Get an Answer I have been trying repeatedly for months to hear what Steven and your complaints/problems/concerns were, what the problems were. And no one has told me anything. It was only when I got the restraining order that I was informed of the problems. Not once before that did I know truly what the problems were as n either o f you had told me. I had called for a 6 month break, and immediately, the 2 of you filed for a restraining order. Was this because Steven thought he was losing control? The only thing I did within that 6 months was to come to you to tell you were loved and forgiven. And now I hear that Steven thought about jumping out his 2 nd story window and call 911 all because he heard the story of Kyle. Meanwhile, Jake, James and I are hanging out having a good time. Clarifications So let's talk about that story again, it was told twice in one year. Both times Vanessa started to tell the story. Both times I finished it quickly. After the second time, I leaned over to Vanessa and said "Please let's not tell this story again" And she res ponded with DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

"but I like to brag about you" And I said "okay thank you but let's leave this story in the past" and she said "okay dad I love you" and I said "I love you too". Both of these times, James and Jake were present. And neither of them felt threate ned by this. I did not tell this one on one with Steven. It was told in a group setting. I have since hung out m any times with Jake and James. James has even shared his working schedule with me so I can call before/after he is off work to chat. Neither of them feel threatened by me at all. You say that on February 16 th , I came home and discovered Steven's laundry,

I immediately responded with anger and rage. That is not true. It was an hour later, and it was in the presence of your mom, lasting about 15 se conds, as mentioned before. I was upset, but there was mo "rage". Again, character assassination. My Military Record Charlie was the name given to me in the military as a result of ha ving an elevated security clearance. I served courageously, and sometime s heroically. I was honorably discharged. My military records are now sealed. That's all anyone need s to know. As I made it clear over the years, I really don't want to talk about my service to our country and I avoid the topic . Owatonna There is a solid, logical reason behind this event. If you heard the reason you would immediately realize it's not what it seem ed at first glance. However, I am unable to write about this, and can only share this with you verbally. Perhaps some day down the road when we can speak again. I only ask that you trust me on this. I Have Doubts Did you even write this yourself? There are at least 5 times that it is cop ied and pasted from Steven's order. Also, one thing I find interesting is that when the question is asked "Do you believe that the harassment will continue?" You respond with "The respondent has made several claims that he 'resigns' or is done with the 'conflict' yet he has continued to produce more emails, the website, etc. . There seems to be no indication this will end. He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany's on 4/28/21. " This is word for word copied from Steven's order. How much of any of this did you even write yourself? Do you even know what half your order against me says? And up until now, all I have been doing is trying to resolve this. At least I'm trying. That's more than Steven and you have done collectively. Yet every single time I try to resolve things, Steven turns it around to make it seem like I am a violent person and a threat to him. What's even worse, is because of his lies, you think that I am a threat to yourself. As a father I would never be violent towards any one of my kids, ever. That's nonnegotiable in my mind. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

I'm Not Violent I was going to take the restraining order to trial, until your attorney told me that this is what you wanted personally. At that point in time, I asked myself "Why am I trying to fix anything?" I just want to be able to go to bed at night, knowing I have d one everything I possibly could to resolve this matter peacefully. And the only reason it hasn't been, is because of Steven's lies and twisting of the truth. To date, you have not seen me respond to the damage he has done, but you will. What's especially t roubling is the fact I have to add a disclaimer to everything I write now which states "Everything I do will be accomplished by legal means" all because of Steven's lies. You know I'm not a violent person. Again, 60 years old, no criminal record. That woul dn't be possible if I was such a violent person. I'm this amazing father until Steven comes around and it's like Dr. Jekyll & Mr. Hyde that only he can see. As it only exists in his mind. And he has you convinced it's real. No one else can see it. Why? It' s not real or true. There is literally no one else that I know who thinks this of me or believes any of these lies being told. That alone speaks volumes. How I Have Handled this Emotionally You say in your order "The respondent has shown an inability to c ontrol and regulate his emotions. He has lived out

delusions of a violent nature." (Again, this is copy and pasted right from Steven's order) This has been a very emotional time for me, which it would be for any father that has their daughter unfairly stri pped from their life. Considering the depth of this tragedy, aside from the regrettable evening that I held a gun to my head, I feel as though I have handled this quite well. As far as the reference of violence, this is only an illusion in Steven's mind, t hat he has gotten you to believe. No one else in my life believes this whatsoever. They have seen no signs of violence in me. Why? It is simply not real, it is just lies. How else do you explain that I went from an amazing dad, who has done a tremendous am ount of work preventing bullying in schools, has chaperoned dances and prom for more than 25 years, and even done volunteer work at a hospice center sitting with dying people who have no family or friends to sit by their side, just so that they feel loved and cared for in their last moments, to a crazy homicidal maniac who threatens violence all in just a few months? And only Steven can see it. Maybe there's another answer? Maybe he's jealous of our relationship and set out to ruin it? That's a more likely answer in my eyes. An Epic Storm Let's talk about some of the things I've mentioned - starting with an epic storm. This is a real thing. I've done two so far in my life. Everything I do is completely legal in nature. We have a wonderful legal system in this country that helps defend innocent people like myself. Without going into too many details, suffice it to say, the first person ended up in prison. After which time I started dating his wife, and every month for a year, we would mail him a picture of us t ogether. How do you know this is true? I was living with her when I met your mom. For the second time, a man threatened our family. He was well respected in the community, had a 6 figure job, a nice home and a family. In a very short period of time, again using all legal means, he lost all of that. Afterwards, sitting

DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

alone in a motel outside of town, he called me and said "It's over, you won!" I said "No, it's not over. There are 3 more steps left." He begged, "Please, no. I have 2 daughters." I responded, "If you ever come near my family again, or come near our home again, I will finish what I started." He responded , "I'm gone." Want to know if this is true? Ask your mom, she knows. You see here again that Steven is twisting things to seem like I'm this c razy, violent man. But I know how to defend my family using legal means. I prefer to live in peace, which is why I use the phrase "If you want peace, prepare for war." This just means to be prepare d to defend yourself against people who want to take that p eace away, which I am fully prepared to do through legal means. Steven has been rude to me, disrespectful, twisting my words, and pulling you out of my life. "Prepare for war" simply meant to stop what he was doing or prepare for legal consequences. The "E pic Storm'' and "Prepare for war" was a deterrent to stop him from doing what he was doing, which he didn't. My Books Let's set the record straight. Steven had heard that I had written several books. I said I had. He said he would be interested in reading them. So , I went into the other room and g ot a copy of each for him. He said "Oh okay." And we changed the subject. It was after that we hung out and he mentioned he read my books. He only wanted to talk about the miracles in 'Casting Out Doubt', not my memoir. You know all the good

things that have come from writing this book. I have given out hundreds of copies and no one has ever felt threatened by it. Final Thought About Your Order And lastly, your restraining order was centered around a claim of domestic violence. I (regrettably) raised my voice around you, but how is that violent? The a nswer ; it is not. It doesn't even rise to the level of bullying. Therefore, your restraining orde r was based around a false premise to begin with. I think it was filed that way just for dramatic effect. That rises to the level of bearing false witness against your neighbor, just like Steven's. My Response to Steven's Order He says that I am unable to control my emotional response. Unable to control my emotional response? He was trying to talk down to me, and I was telling him to not talk down to me. He was being disrespectful to me. He is a 25 - year - old man and I am a 60 - year - old father. He does not ha ve the right to talk down to me. From the beginning, all along was to control me. If he can't control me, he wants to make me look like a terrible person. Every time I have tried to stand up for myself, he has turned it around to seem like I am aggressive or violent. He was also rude to Alex, Vanesaa, and my friends Heather and Henry. They all told me independently that Steven acted very rudely towards them, and all 4 are willing to make written statements about how poorly he treated them. Interestingly eno ugh, Alex and Vanessa do not know Heather and Henry, so there was not, nor could be, any collusion. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

My Letters to the Church About my letter to the church and that it was a threat to him. I went to the church to ask them politely and calmly to ask if they would help resolve this peacefully and without conflict. Along with the letter, I included the history of the challenges we have faced in our relationship. This documentation included emails and texts we had sent between each. Nowhere in any of the docume ntation did it reference any violence or threats. This was sent to the senior pastor only, in confidentiality, and he never responded. Anyone reading this letter would ask "How is this defamatory?" Steven twisted things around, again. As for the second letter, the senior pastor did not respond, so this was addressed to the elders at the church. Nowhere in any communication do I ask to terminate his employment. All I have ever asked was that they get involved in the conflict. As far as showing up " unannounced", I left 2 voicemails for the senior pastor the week before. As far as me being "uninvited", I went into the lobby, rang the buzzer, and asked if I could leave the package there. I left the package in the "Leave Deliveries Here" area (the same as UPS or FedEx would) . I then went back out to my car peacefully. Also "uninvited"? How can someone not be invited to a church?" P.S. At the time I wrote this letter, there were markers that were off that led doctors to believe that I might have cancer. I had to go through numerous tests, including a colonoscopy. Along the way, the real problem was discovered after I was taken to the emergency room by my wife after being in excruciating pain one night. Once there, after going through some more tests, they discovered the real problem. For privacy reasons I will not disclose what the problem is. I have since been undergoing treat ment (and as of June 4 th , 2021 continue to be under the care of doctors for this condition) . In conclusion, there was a serious conc ern that I had cancer. Fortunately, it was not cancer, but is still of great

concern, and I am thankful for the medical community in helping through this difficult time. I say in the letter that I am prepared to make some bold moves. I went to the church to ask them to help. I wanted to get mediation. Bold moves - asking you over to have cheesesteaks and talk about what each other needs to resolve the issues. Nowhere have I threatened anyone. The Website The website was intended as a warning. Nothing more t han a warning. Yet again he has twisted things around to seem that I am going to use violence against him, even though I clearly state that everything will be done in a legal manner and not a violent one. I also had the website coded so that search engines will not pull it up or catalog it. I did that intentionally to protect privacy. It was a warning that if he continues or tries to pull anything else like what he has done to me, the site would go live. Even the screenshot Steven used in the filing says, " To be perfectly clear, everything listed above will be accomplished through legal means, I do not condone violence or any illegal activities. I DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

am an otherwise peaceful person who has been unfairly put in a position of having to fight back for his family." How does that say that I am a violent man? It doesn't! His Claim about My Gun His whole claim about me, my gun, and him - it is baseless. All these allegations of me using my gun for a violent purpose is something he invented in his own mind. And he has ca used undue concern to that church, both campuses, because of his lies. Name one time that he has seen the handgun in my hand. He has never! He is doing this to get my daughter out of my life, precisely. FYI A bout a week before we had our court meeting, I spoke to David (your ex) . He said when you and Steven first broke up, you started texting and calling him trying to get back with him. Does this mean Steven is forever your second choic e? He also mentioned the issue with Alex Rodriguez . But the bottom line here is that David clearly said that I treated him wonderfully and it was truly a sad day when we said good - bye for the last time. I honestly wish you were marrying David, or someone like him. He is awesome. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

```
         \
                      EXHIBIT E DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192\-484F\-4CB2\-9912\-
149D8A8A8036
```
DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

```
    DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192\-484F\-4CB2\-9912\-149D8A8A8036

    DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192\-484F\-4CB2\-9912\-149D8A8A8036
```
DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

# 009. Calvary April 2023 Letter.txt

Hello Mr. Lutz,

I am contacting you about an unresolved matter involving Calvary Church, and someone who works there; Steven Anderson. Steven is married to my daughter and has told many terrible lies about me. As a result I've not had a relationship with my daughter for several years. In fact, there is a harrassment restraining order against me (which I allowed) that is set to expire in approximately 30 days. Previously I contacted Calvary Church for help in resolving this. Their response was to have an attorney (you) contact me and ask that I stay off their property and have no contact with them. I have honored this request.

It is my belief that a lot of the problems Steven caused could have been avoided if Calvary Church had done a proper investigation. I am writing you to ask they perform such an investigation - which is long overdue! This investigation would include interviewing myself in a public hearing so my family could attend. I want Calvary to hear the truth directly from me. There are witnesses and documents that it would beneficial for them to hear from and see. No one other than Steven and Brittany (whom he lied to) believe any of the allegations against me. In short; Calvary has a monster working for them, and I can 100% prove it.

If Calvary Church is willing to move forward with this, there will be no further action against them.  I will walk away and my business with them will be done. If they refuse, they should fully expect protests at both of their locations once the HRO has expired. Let me be very clear; I don't want to do anything agsinst a church, I am a Christian man. However, their failure to properly investigate a matter I brought to their attention has led to a lot of harm and difficulties in my family. This is their opportunity to correct a wrong.

Regards,

# 010. David Lutz - Calvary Church.pdf

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Calvary Church 10 messages david@lutzlawfirm.com <david@lutzlawfirm.com> Fri, May 7, 2021 at 8:41 AM To: mrhutchinson@gmail.com Dear Mr. Hutchinson, Please see attached correspondence which is also being sent via U.S. Mail. David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1550 Minneapolis, MN 55402 612-424-2110 david@lutzlawfirm.com www.lutzlawfirm.com NOTICE: The foregoing message (including all attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by the Attorney-Client privilege or other Privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. In the event you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. NOTICE: This office sometimes acts as a debt collector for consumer debts. Accordingly the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act requires attorneys engaged in consumer debt collection to advise consumers that this communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. May 7, 2021 - Letter to Mr. Hutchinson.pdf 16142K Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Mon, Jun 7, 2021 at 10:02 AM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com

Dear Mr. Lutz, I have received the notice, have honored it and will continue to do so. I recently became aware of the allegations being made against me which are horrible and unfounded. Is there a possibility of presenting my side of this ordeal? If your client, Calvary Church, would be open to a meeting with me, it can be at a place and time of their choice. The only goal is to clear my name and I come in a spirit of peace and truth. The Bible says we should choose a good name rather than riches, and I would appreciate an opportunity to prove to your client the truth. Respectfully, Tim Hutchinson 651-724-1325 [Quoted text hidden] david@lutzlawfirm.com <david@lutzlawfirm.com> Tue, Jun 8, 2021 at 12:29 PM To: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Tim, I have advised my client not to meet and discuss due to the threat of litigation. My client has received and reviewed your written communication. David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1550 Minneapolis, MN 55402 612-424-2110 david@lutzlawfirm.com www.lutzlawfirm.com NOTICE: The foregoing message (including all attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by the Attorney-Client privilege or other Privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. In the event you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. NOTICE: This office sometimes acts as a

debt collector for consumer debts. Accordingly the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act requires attorneys engaged in consumer debt collection to advise consumers that this communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

[Quoted text hidden] Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Wed, Jun 9, 2021 at 12:03 PM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com David, All along I've stated my desire to avoid any legal proceedings. Sad day when Christians cannot come together and resolve an issue. Attached is a letter I wrote to my daughter in response to a Restraining Order. Would appreciate you reviewing it and forwarding it to your client, Calvary Church. I'd like them to know these things, My goal has always been to have the truth told. Regards, Tim Hutchinson [Quoted text hidden] Brittany.pdf 235K Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Wed, Jun 9, 2021 at 12:19 PM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com P.S. In the attachment there are the names Jake and James. It would be helpful to know that Jake is engaged to my oldest daughter, and James is dating my youngest daughter. The church might also be interested in knowing that 2 of Brittany's 3 siblings won't attend the wedding, and no one from my side of the family will be there either. The reasons are the same; they are shocked at the lies Steven told about me. Regards, Tim Hutchinson [Quoted text hidden] Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Mon, Apr 24, 2023 at 9:32 AM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com Hello Mr. Lutz, Checking to see if you still represent Calvary Church? Regards, Tim Hutchinson [Quoted text hidden] david@lutzlawfirm.com <david@lutzlawfirm.com> Mon, Apr 24, 2023 at 5:11 PM To: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Tim, I am counsel to Calvary Church.

David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1515 [Quoted text hidden] Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Wed, Apr 26, 2023 at 3:29 PM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com Hello Mr. Lutz, I am contacting you about an unresolved matter involving Calvary Church, and someone who works there; Steven Anderson. Steven is married to my daughter and has told many terrible lies about me. As a result, I've not had a relationship with my daughter for several years. In fact, there is a harassment restraining order against me (which I allowed) that is set to expire in approximately 30 days. Previously I contacted Calvary Church for help in resolving this. Their response was to have an attorney (you) contact me and ask that I stay off their property and have no contact with them. I have honored this request. It is my belief that a lot of the problems Steven caused could have been avoided if Calvary Church had done a proper investigation. I am writing you to ask they perform an investigation - which is long overdue! This investigation would include interviewing myself in a public hearing so my family could attend. I want Calvary to hear the truth directly from me. There are witnesses and documents that would be beneficial for them to hear from and see. No one other than Steven and Brittany (whom he lied to) believe any of the allegations against me. In short, Calvary has a monster working for them, and I can 100% prove it. If Calvary Church is willing to move forward with this, there will be no further action against them. I will walk away and my business with them will be done. If they

refuse, they should fully expect protests at both of their locations once the HRO has expired. Let me be very clear; I don't want to do anything against a church, I am a good Christian man. However, their failure to properly investigate this matter I brought to their attention has led to a lot of harm and difficulties in my family. This is their opportunity to correct a wrong. Regards, Timothy Hutchinson 651.724.1325 [Quoted text hidden] david@lutzlawfirm.com <david@lutzlawfirm.com> Mon, May 1, 2023 at 2:09 PM To: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Mr. Hutchinson, I am in receipt of your request below and I have spoken with my client about the same and they respectfully decline your request. Please note that the trespass notice previously provided to you attached hereto remains in effect.

David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1515 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: 612-424-2110 Cell: 651-230-2110 [Quoted text hidden] May 7, 2021 - Letter to Mr. Hutchinson.pdf 16142K Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Fri, May 5, 2023 at 11:18 AM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com The banners have been ordered. My family and friends are ready. The protest will happen. There is only one way to stop this, and that is for them to do the proper investigation they should've done already. Mr. Timothy Hutchinson [Quoted text hidden]

## 011. Evidence - Scanned/Affadavit - Steven with Exhibits.pdf

STATE OF MINNESOTA COUNTY OF RAMSEY DISTRICT COURT SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT CASE TYPE: HARASSMENT In Re the Matter of: Steven James Anderson, Petitioner. Vs. Timothy Allyn Hutchinson, Respondent. Court File No. 62-HR-CV-21-361 Affidavit in Support Motion for Extension of Harassment Restraining Order STATE OF MINNESOTA ) ) ss. COUNTY OF RAMSEY ) Steven Anderson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: 1. I am the Petitioner in the above-entitled matter and I submit this affidavit in support of my motion to modify and extend the terms of the May 27, 2021 Harassment Restraining Order ("HRO") against the Respondent, Timothy Hutchinson based on his violations of the terms of the HRO, threatening and slanderous communication sent to my employer and threats to resume and intensify harassment of me, including at my place of employment. 2. I am married to the Respondent's daughter, Brittany, who also has a HRO arising out of the same acts of harassment, largely targeted towards me, but directed through and to her as well. Brittany and I were married on June 19, 2021. 3. I am employed full-time as a Young Adults Pastor at Calvary Church in Roseville Minnesota through a nonprofit called Reliant. 4. Prior to my marrying Brittany, the Respondent reminded me on several occasions of a story where he insinuates that a previous relationship one of his other daughters had, ended with him shoving the boyfriends head through the drywall of his apartment. When I shared with the respondent my desire to marry his daughter, months prior to asking for his blessing, he gave me two of his books, including an autobiographical work, Painful Secrets , wherein he claims to have almost committed a mass shooting as a teenager. 5. These uncomfortable encounters culminated into

an intentional and intense campaign of defamation and threats about me to my employer, Calvary Church in Roseville, MN. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

(Calvary Church has a congregation of 1,000+ with two campuses, one in Roseville and one in White Bear Township.) On March 1, 2021, the respondent appeared unannounced and uninvited at Calvary Church, 2120 Lexington Ave., Roseville, MN 55112, to deliver a defamatory letter addressed to my supervisor and senior pastor of the church, Dr. Shawn Winters. The letter made false and defamatory claims about me and my character with the intent to have Calvary terminate my employment. 6. Based on the insinuated threats and potential endangerment of church staff and parishioners, Calvary Church increased security at both campuses to protect against any physical harm based on the respondent's threats to me and potential threats to the staff and congregation. Due to the potential threat to the property, pastoral staff and potential attendees, Brittany and I were unable to have our wedding ceremony at the church as we had planned and had to find a different officiant to perform the ceremony not employed by the church. 7. Respondent then hand delivered a 15-page letter ( Exhibit A ) making false and slanderous reports about me to my employer. 8. On April 28, 2021, Respondent appeared at my then residence uninvited at 7:50am while me and my roommates were all sleeping. After gaining access to my building, Respondent knocked on my apartment door repeatedly but I did not answer. My heart was racing and I was unsure if he would leave before I had to go to work. I began to think about having to jump from my second story balcony or calling the police just to get away from the apartment. After some time of knocking, it stopped and Brittany texted me a few minutes later that he was now at her apartment , so I felt safe to leave. 9. On February 26, 2021, Respondent sent me a threatening email in German that read: Kein Konflikt ist vorbei, bis eine Lösung oder eine Seite einräumt. Dies ist die Ruhe vor dem Sturm. Ich werde immer um meine Liebe kämpfen.Ich bin unerbittlich Translation: No conflict is over until a solution or a side concedes. This is the calm before the storm. I will always fight for my love. I am Relentless 10. In March 2021, Respondent sent me threatening voice messages and emails. 11. In April 2021, Respondent created a public defamatory website defaming and threatening me. The website referred to me as a "narcissistic monster who captured [his] daughter and dragged her away from [Tim] through [my] web of lies" a "[fake] youth pastor from hell" makes false claims that "Others see the truth but won't say anything. They are concerned Steven will attack them as he has done to me." VIOLATIONS OF MAY 27, 2021 HARASSMENT RESTRAINING ORDER. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

12. With the pending dismissal of the HRO on May 27, 2023, the Respondent has stated his intent to resume the same level of harassment which includes picketing at the place of my employment, Calvary Church, Roseville and White Bear Township. The Respondent violated Paragraph 1A of the HRO by sending threatening emails to my employer, Calvary Church, a threatening letter to me, and by sending a letter threatening me to my wife, Brittany Anderson, who also has a Harassment Restraining Order against the Respondent. a. May 5, 2023—Letter to Calvary Church : Respondent contacted my

employer, Calvary Church, by way of their legal counsel, David Lutz, via email (see Exhibit B ). In his emailed letter to Calvary Church, the Respondent threatens that he will be going to both the White Bear Township and Roseville locations of Calvary Church to picket and protest me, along with friends and family, despite the church having a trespass notice against the Respondent. This action makes me feel threatened in my position with Calvary Church and continues the same harassment patterns from the original Harassment Restraining Order, specifically Paragraph C1 from the Petition . If this action were to take place, it would violate Paragraph 1E of the Harassment Restraining Order as it stands. b. May 4, 2023---Threatening Letter to Petitioner: Respondent contacted me through my attorney threatening again to protest me at my place of employment (See Exhibit C ). In his letter, Respondent threatens that the only way to prevent this from occurring is to, "… Speak up now. Once [respondent]'s plan goes into action, there is no stopping or turning back " Respondent also accuses me of telling, " bullshit lies " and ends his letter " There is one question you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out? Tick-tock ." [Emphasis Added] His letter to me is a violation of Paragraph 1A of the Harassment Restraining Order . c. June 4, 2021: The week after the May 27, 2021 HRO was granted, the Respondent wrote to Brittany and continued to accuse me of lying and blaming me for the broken relationship between father and daughter (See Exhibit D ). This made me feel as though his patterns of harassment would not end, even though the Harassment Restraining Order had been issued. d. June 2021 Youtube Video . At some point following the May 27, 2021 HRO, Respondent created a 90+ minute YouTube video "responding" to the HRO, but specifically speaking out and challenging the allegations in the Petition, even though he agreed to an entry of an HRO voluntarily without the court making findings of the alleged harassment. Respondent enlisted the help of my wife Brittany's sister, Vanessa to film who he then had it forwarded the video to my wife. The video was originally posted on a password protected webpage on his site, his "ministry" site, not the website he was required to take down after the HRO. He has since taken down his ministry website. Attached are screenshots of the video ( Exhibit E ) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

13. Respondent has resumed and appears to be prepared to intensify the harassment against me and my wife, Brittany upon the expiration of the HRO on May 27, 2023. Although directed towards me, his threats of harassment involve and endanger 1,500+ congregants, including hundreds of children, at both campuses as well as the full and part time staff of both campuses. I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me or those around me. 14. In March of 2021, Respondent reported suicide ideation having held a gun to his head, as a result of the deterioration with the relationship with his daughter, something his emails, letters and other communications clearly indicates he believes I had a significant role in. He was eventually checked in to a psych ward because of this incident. He seems to be unable to regulate his emotions, is willing to bring

a firearm into the mix and is fixated on me being the cause of his broken relationship with his daughter. I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me or the church where I work. 15. Respondent's threatening letter sent to Calvary's legal counsel is not only shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. His letters to Brittany demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me, and which he intends to resume his harassment on May 27, 2023. 16. I am requesting that the court extend the harassment restraining order for another 10 years. In addition, I am requesting that he be prohibited from appearing at my place of employment, but all subsequent places of employment and residences. I also request that the respondent be prohibited from appearing at my current residence at 725 4 th Street E., St. Paul, MN 55016 and any future residences. I declare under penalty of perjury, pursuant to Minn. Stat. §358.116, that everything I have stated in this document is true and correct. Dated: May 17, 2023__ _____ Steven Anderson, Petitioner Signed in Ramsey County, MN DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Background My daughter, Brittany Hutchinson began dating Steven Anderson, youth pastor @ Calvary Church. Within a few months Brittany came to me and said she was breaking up with him. The reason is because she felt as though she couldn't be herself around him – almos t like he was too controlling. She later said that she had another meeting with him, during which time he "shared his heart" with her. Because of that she decided to again date him. Shortly thereafter Steven approached myself to help support his ministry – which his full - time job. He says the church cannot afford to pay him a salary, so he fundraises as a substitute . Since then, my wife and I supported his endeavor financially. Along the way I have even worked with him to improve his presentation to find others who would be supportive. Within months S teven approached me and asked what it would take to have my blessing for him to marry my daughter. One of the things I mentioned was spending more time with our family - we barely knew him. Afterwards he mad e an effort to be at our house almost on a weekly basis, and things seemed to be going well. When he asked for my blessing on marrying my daughter, I said there were three things he needed to promise me. He agreed and I gave him the blessing. My wife and I even helped with a temporary ring until he could buy one. Promise #1 : Brittany was involved in an accident and we sued on her behalf. She is getting a structured settlement. My wife and I gave up our right to sue for our pain & suffering in exchange for Brittany to receive a larger settlement. Because of that, she ended up with a settlement 4 times larger than she normally would have. I told S teven to not expect Brittany to use the settlement money for typical household needs - that money was given to he r so she could do something special (bucket list!) I said he should respect and encourage that. Though she has the right to do as she wishes with this money, let's remember we gave it to her to do something special with - anything other than that is not al igned with our original intentions. (Brittany later said she had turned control of this money over to Steven by including it in their

budget. Then another time said she hadn't. She seemed confused. ) EXHIBIT A DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Promise #2 : He would never do anything to interfere in my relationship with Brittany. (As you'll find out later, this promise was broken many times!) Promise #3 : He would not be cheap with her. Frugal is OK, cheap is not. We discussed the difference with examples. First Signs of Trouble I learned that Brittany and S teven went to pre - marital counseling. During that time they took some tests which showed they were compatible. Things seemed OK. But shortly after they were engaged, things changed. H e rarely came to the house and almost never made an effort to be a part of our lives. My son shared with me that one time, before their engagement, at a family bonfire he had tried to start a conversation with him, but Steven only said "whatever", and brus hed past him . My son said that S teven acted rudely towards him the rest of the evening . This was literally the first time those two had been formally introduced. I had several friends there as well who both tried to start a conversation with Steven, and they said he was very unfriendly, almost to the point of being rude. One day Brittany was sp ea k ing to her mom and I about the guest list. It sounded as if S teven had already invited so many people there was barely any room for our side of the family. At least that was the impression I got from that conversation. At one point I heard the number of guests was already at 376. And that was before I was able to invite a nyone from my family or friends. (I ended up being able to invite only 3 family members and no friends.) Brittany seemed stressed by this process, so I called S teven and asked the question: "How does the guest list honor the mother and father of the bride? " H is reply was all about his family and never directly answered my question. When I brought this to his attention, he stated he would need some time to think about the answer and would let me know. This to me really was the beginning of where I noticed things going downhill. Tensions began to run high and he avoided talking to me. I also noticed changes in my daughter - she seemed unusually stressed and was smiling less than normal. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

One time at the breakfast table she said that she was expected to take on a new attitude and way of thinking. When I asked her what she meant, she got up from the table and walked away, saying she had somewhere to go. Brittany and I have always enjoyed a very close relationship and could talk about literally anything. Her re sponse to me was completely out of the ordinary. I asked for an answer about the guest list a 2 nd time, but he did not have an answer. Then, within days they came up the " Rules of Engagement " which they presented to my wife and I at a meeting. (See attach ed.) You'll notice in section 3 it says; "Our desire in those disagreements is to maintain, and even deepen our relationships with out stakeholders, in particular our parents." As you read further it will become clearly obvious this is not the truth. Th e question about the guest list ended up being asked 3 times. I never received an answer. During one of my (few) phone conversations with Steven, I offered some friendly advice from future father - in - law to future son - in - law, the same as my father - in - law di d 30 - years ago.

He became agitated and said things such as, “I don’t need to listen to you” , and “I’m going to tell Brittany what you’re doing” , and “ I don’t need this advice” . Due to his r ude behavior I ended the call. A nother time I called just to ask if he wanted to get together and hang - out. He replied “No”, then said he had to go and hung up. Fast - forward 30 - days. During that time, Steven has made no efforts to be a part of our family or join any activities. Since I gave my blessing for him to marry our daughter, it’s like a switch was flipped and he became cold towards us. He has spoken rudely to myself, guests at our house and has acted this ways towards my other children. It seems as though he was only being nice to obt ain my blessing, then his real personality came out. Had I known any of this I would have never said yes to him marrying our daughter. I have tried 7 times to make peace and preserve a relationship with my daughter. Each time has been met with either sto newalling (ignoring) and/or false accusations on the part of Steven. This period of time has been and continues to be emotionally traumatizing for myself. The ripple effect for my family has been noticeable. The pain and suffering have taken their toll . I’ ve EXHIBIT A DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

gone from being a normally peaceful loving person who has done a tremendous amount of volunteer work (hospice and preventing teen suicides @ schools), to becoming withdrawn and even crying at times . Dad Tries to Make Peace 7 Times (ATTEMPT #1) Before being presented with rules of engagement, (which I did not know they were going to do), in an effort to make peace, I offered an apology for any of my wrongdoings. I truly did not feel as though I had actually done anything wrong, but rather have a lways put my family first, and am willing to swallow my pride and apologize, even when not necessary or when I feel as though I've not done anything wrong, in order for my family to be happy. I grew up in unfortunate situations, devoid of any love. I lived that way for many years until I met an amazing lady. We married and extended our love into having children, whom I love and cherish more than life itself. I have always put my family first. Always. I challenge anyone to tell of a time when I have not done this 100%. Steven never clearly accepted the apology, and never showed any appreciation or gratitude. Basically, he ignored it and stonewalled me. The "Rules of Engagement" seemed to contradict each other. When I emailed to ask for clarification Steven's response d id not clearly answer my question. In that email he referenced "triangulating" without realizing that I studied psychology and know that word infers manipulation. I wasn't sure if he was attempting to insult my intelligence, insinuate I was being manipulat ive, or he really doesn't understand what the word triangulation means when used in the realm of psychology. My email response was short and a bit pointed; however, by now I am agitated the guest list question had not been answered and it seems all effor ts to resolve things have been met with him stonewalling me, ignoring us and being rude. (ATTEMPT #2) Phone call; shortly afterwards I called Steven and told him that I do not hate him nor am I trying to stop the wedding. I have a good relationship DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

with my other daughters' boyfriends and hoped to have the same with him. His only response was; "thank you for sharing that" in a monotone voice. There was no appreciation or gratitude - no saying anything like, wow I'm hoping for that too. Nothing. Just anoth er stonewall. Later I was talking with Brittany and my frustration boiled over, and I raised my voice about how Steven would not answer this seemly simple question and has made no effort to be a part of our family. I was also terribly upset that Brittany is showing signs of stress and I haven't seen her smile in days. I am very concerned and even heartbro ken that she should have to go through this during a time when she should be celebrating. My being agitated certainly did not help matters and I felt truly awful afterwards. A few hours later she came to me and we discussed the guest list. I accepted her explanation , apologized for raising my voice, and asked that we move forward. The following day I went to her and asked if we could do a reset, one where we could together enjoy the journey of her getting married. She agreed and we spent some enjoyable time together. (ATTEMPT # 3) I knew there was still some healing that needed to take place between S teven and I. Though previous attempts had been met with no response, I d ecided to try again. I suggested mediation to Brittany a nd she said she would ta lk to Steven about it . (ATTEMPT #4) I saw increased sadness and pain in my daughter's eyes and felt incredibly horrible for her. So, I told Brittany and her mom that I would speak with S teven and offer to let bygones be bygones and to set simple expect ations of how we communicate. He refused to meet and asked for mediation, which I had previously suggested. I asked if he was sure he wanted to do that and said we could work things out. He said no, that our next talk should be mediated. I suggested he tak e a screenshot of that comment as a reminder that he asked for this – meaning that mediation would include going over past hurt and DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

painful events. Mediation was set for Saturday, Feb. 20 th . Steven insisted we not talk about the past and only focus on how my wife and I were to speak to him and Brittany. I insisted we bring everything out in the open and resolve matters. F or that reason , (according to Brittany), Steven canceled mediation. (ATTEMPT #5) Brittany does Stevens laundry at our house, which I honestly don't mind. He makes it clear that he is concerned about Covid, so when I discovered some information about that virus and fabric, I wanted to share this with him. Soon afterwards a s Brittany was leaving the house, she said Stevens laundry was in the dryer and asked that once done I place it outside the front door for him to pick up. I figured this was out of concern for Covid, so decided to write him the following letter, and placed in in the laundry basket along with his clean clothes. Hi Steven, I did some research and was surprised to learn the Covid virus can live for up to 48 - hours on our clothing. I can understand your concern over this virus, so much so that Brittany asked me to leave your clothes outside the front door for you to pick up. I can fully appreciate that. When one considers how long t he virus can live on clothing, and the fact they are inside our house - a place you're concerned about being exposed to, perhaps it would be a safer option for you to wash your own clothes at home. Something to think

about. Would have been nice to see yo u today - it's been a while. We could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just yesterday for Covid. But, we can't be too careful! Well, perhaps another time. Hope you have a wonderful day. Mr. Hutchinson He has ne ver said anything about this letter. Nothing. No thank you for your concern, maybe we can meet up another time, etc. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Then just two days later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for an extended period. (He can 't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell, yet two days later he can spend hours inside our home?) The following day his laundry was again being done at our house - all without any acknowledgment of the letter. Nothing. Crickets. T his to me was yet another stonewall, and quite honestly, seemed very disrespectful. Several hours later my wife came home and I was admittedly fuming about this and even a bit emotionally charged. After all, he had a history of being rude to my family an d myself. I spoke loudly about how upset I was. Brittany was in her bedroom and overheard what I said. This upset her so she went to stay at a friend's place for a few days. Brittany later cancelled a lunch date we had set for that week. This is the first time in her life she has ever cancelled anything with me because of how she felt. We've always had an amazing, open, honest and loving father - daughter relationship. Since the engagement I've seen that erode away, and I am honestly extremely hurt by this. I texted Steven to let him know that he was not welcome in our home and could not do laundry there until mediation. [I later discovered that Brittany had requested his laund ry be put outside due to her concern there might be a conflict between he and I, and not because of Covid. But i t is still concerning that he never made any comment about the letter nor showed any appreciation.] To make things a bit worse on my end is th e fact that cancer runs strong in my family; my grandmother, mom and 2 older brothers all died from it. I am now the eldest living male - my brothers died at an age younger than I currently am. Recently I've been experiencing some very familiar and concern ing symptoms and my bloodwork is not normal. I have several upcoming tests to verify what I already believe to be true. To avoid undue stress on my family I've not shared this information, until now. Comment: When S teven learned of this in an email, he n ever reached out to DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

offer prayer or show any type of concern. Considering that he is a youth pastor, is this really Christian behavior? Seeing what my daughter is going through is horrible and adds to my desire to fix things so she can be happy. We had m ediation scheduled but Steven cancelled it. He says it’s because he doesn’t want to talk about previous events, and only wants to focus on how my wife and I should be talking to him and Brittany. [Seems a bit controlling!] To date Steven has not suggeste d even one single thing to help get our relationship back on - track. Thus far I’ve come up with 100% of the idea’s and have offered 100% of the apologies, and have made 100% of the efforts, even though he cannot name one thing that I’ve done to offend him. Not one. I’ve been offering blanket apologies just to have a relationship with my daughter. Apparently he doesn’t want that? Why?? Th e day after I was

upset about him not responding to the letter, Brittany told her mom that she and S teven were in the process of renting an apartment and would be moving out soon . The plan is for her to live in that apt. with another roommate until after t he wedding. This, to me, just seems like another wedge is being unfairly driven between my daughter and myself . Why did Steven not try to make peace during this time? To me it seems as though he used this as an opportunity to further move Brittany away f rom our family (both physically and emotionally). Attempt 6: I sent everything listed above in an email to Steven, Brittany and my wife as an attachment, with this in the body of the email on February 20 th : Hello Steven, Attached is a letter I wrote explaining my perception of how things have been going, my recollection of the events, and where it potentially went off - track. My intention is to reconcile broken relationships. You will notice the letter is addressed to the e lders @ Calvary Church. The reason for this is because if we DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

cannot work things out between us, then I will approach church leaders and ask them to intervene. I have no set preference as to whether we involve a mediator or not. This conversation will be a bout setting the record straight and moving forward. Any talks about how to communicate, etc. can come after. I will wait ten (10) days for a meeting. After that time (March 2nd) this letter will be sent to the leadership of Calvary Church. Sincerely, Tim Hutchinson CC: Brittany & Jennifer To date I have made 6 attempts at reconciliation – t he number of attempts I've seen S teven make is exactly zero. My question is how is this acceptable among Christians? Especially with him being a youth pastor. Are t hey not held to a higher standard as the bible mandates? Aren't we all supposed to follow Matthew 18:22? Jesus says we should forgive each other “seventy times seven times” a number that symbolizes boundlessness. That clearly is not happening. I will also add that during the past few months Brittany has become depressed, has several counseling sessions scheduled and has lost 20 lbs. unintentionally . This should be obvious to S teven . Turning this around could have been accomplished by accepting one of my nu merous attempts to move our relationship in a better direction , or offered an alternative. Why he did not do any of th e se. Where is the compassion we should have for one another? Attempt 7: I emailed the above letter to Brittany, S teven and my wife on February 20 th . Later that day, feeling so overwhelmed at the diminished relationship with my daughter, I then sent the following email, striving for peace , and once again apologizing for things I haven’t done ; This email is an open apology to my daughter, Brittany. I have failed you. Not just a little, but a lot. During the past weeks I have been so focused on being right, about winning a disagreement, that I lost sight of something far more DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

im portant; our relationship and your happiness. I have always put you first in my life, and now just months before your wedding I have fallen far short of what I should have been doing. You have every reason to be mad at me, hurt, disappointed and feeling le ss than loved by me. For that I apologize - profusely. I love you more than life itself and literally live in daily agony over the state of our current relationship. In response I'll say this: I resign. Completely and fully resign. Not from being your dad of

course, but rather from the disagreement that caused this. The [previous] letter I emailed states my position and stands as the truth as I know it. But that's as far as it goes. I'm done with any disagreement with S teven . My time and energy is now going to be focused on you, Brittany. To work hard restoring our precious relationship and ensuring this never happens again. Ever. And, to help celebrate the upcoming wedding and make these months before the wedding as enjoyable as possible. With that i n mind, I am inviting Steven to neutral ground. A place where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen, mi casa es tu casa. I don't want battles anymore - I just want to love my family and others with all my heart. To fully enjoy what time I have left on this planet. The past few weeks/months somehow turned ugly and I don't want that - never did. I ended up feeling ugly inside and that's not who I am. At all. I repent for my misdeeds and missteps. Peace, Tim/Dad Comment: Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things S teven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

My wife replied: Tim, I want you to know those words really touched my heart. They were absolutely beautiful and honest. I appreciate you putting Britt first and your highest priority. Im proud of you and very h opeful we can begin celebrating this engagement and wedding! This makes me very happy. I love you all so much. With Love, Jen Our daughter replied; Dad, Steven and I read your emails. We have found ourselves in the same position that we have felt trapped into for the past few weeks, unsure how to respond. We have set clear boundaries, laid out in our Rules of Engagement, and those have been violated repeatedly. The way Steven and I have been treated by you has been inappropriate and out of line. The last email we received was an apology directed towards me, and while I appreciate that I feel as if it dismissed core issues. This puts us into a difficult position o f being perceived as the ones continuing conflict if we don't accept a "blank slate." As Steven and I prepare to start our new life together, we are concerned that these patterns of behavior will continue. We believe that there are significant changes that need to occur in order to move forward in a healthy manner. We desire growing towards healthie r relationships with you. Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far, so we believe a mediated conversation is the appropriate next step. We are confirming with our mediator, but does Saturday at 10 am work for you and mom? It's important that all 4 of us will be there. Thanks, Britt DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Comment: I violated the rules of engagement?? Um, no…I asked a simple question of S teven , which he never answered. Concerned about " patterns " – what patterns? I've been apologizing for things I never did!!! " Significant changes " ? Should I then become an uncaring, unloving father? That's ridiculous and clearly not my daughters voice. That has 'Steven' written all over. "Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far…" What conversations??? I am litera lly the ONLY person trying to move things forward in a healthy way. Th is is a problem between Steven and I, and he ha s not done

ANYTHING to help . Period. Before meeting Steven, my daughter would never have written an email reply such as this. Never. Clearly he is manipulating her. My reply: Yes, mom and I can be available at that time. I am willing to attend based upon three conditions; 1) This is about moving forward, not digging up the past. There were clearly missteps on the part of several of us, not just myself. Going over those details will serve no useful purpose other than igniting hurt feelings. 2) The meeting will be focused on ways to co mmunicate in both directions; engaged couple to the parents, and vice - versa. 3) No expectations will be made that violate our First Amendment rights. While I agree there are acceptable ways to communicate, anything that prevents one of us from speaking at all to another person is something I won't consider. This is America - brave heroic people fought for our rights, and that should be respected. S teven replied; Hi all, DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

I agree with everything Britt said. As for your response, Mr. Hutchinson, I don't b elieve we can comply with them all of them the way you have requested. 1. There are things that have been done and said over the last two weeks that cannot be ignored, and it is not enough to ask for a "blank slate" and move forward. Some of this will be dis cussed in our conversation. 2. We agree that communication moving forward should be an element of this conversation. 3. We don't believe it's helpful for us to set expectations for the communication plan moving forward before our conversation. I have to admit, these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining for Britt and I as we have interacted with you and we desire to move forward in a healthier direction and would appreciate your willingness to enter into that being willi ng to lay anything down in order to make that happen. You have emphasized how important your relationship with Britt is, and if that is really true, we believe that you will listen to what Britt and I have to say about how we can all move forward in a heal thy way, even if that means that interaction with one or both of us moves forward differently than your personal preference. Comment: “Things have been done and said that cannot be ignored”. Such as?? To date neither of them can name even one single thin g. Not one! “…these past few weeks have been incredible challenging and emotionally draining for Brittany and I as we have interacted with you…” Here again, name one thing, just ONE that I’ve done, other than ask a question, raise my voice (to Brittany o nce, and to my wife once), apologize for things I never did, and strive for peace. Name one. They literally cannot. Notice how Steven interjected himself into the equation with his comment? Other than a few emails and a letter trying to make peace, I’ve had ZERO interaction with Steven in the previous few weeks. None. But he’s trying to pretend to be a victim here. “ We desire to move forward in a healthier direction…” How are we going to move forward if Steven puts zero effort in to anything and my daughter will not even speak to me?? ”….being willing to lay down everything in order to make that happen.” Umm… I have done EXACTLY that, and Steven has done NOTHING. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

It seems to me that Steven is manipulating our daughter. Clearly, I am the one trying to make peace and Steven is avoiding that. The question is why? My reply; S teven , Mrs. Hutchinson and I have also been directly/indirectly impacted in a negative w ay by some of your words & actions. We will also be presenting a list of expectations on how we will be communicated with. We look forward to the meeting. See you then. Mr. Hutchinson Conclusion The second attempt @ mediation was scheduled for Saturday, 2/27/2021 @ 10 AM. The previous weeks mediation was cancelled by Steven (through Brittany) because he did not want to discuss previous events. As you can see in the above emails, this time I agreed to no previous events be discussed, but now suddenly Steven wants them. That's a 180 - degree turn - around. Days later they cancelled mediation, again, without reason. What is being hidden? Why can we not come together as Christians and discuss things in an open and honest way? Something is very, very wrong here. Steven has somehow convinced my daughter that I h ave done things that are wrong, without any evidence or proof whatsoever. In fact, it has been Steven who is the one causing problems. Again, how is this Christian behavior? And from a pastor?? In my last phone call with her she said that she shows Steve n all the text message s and email s from me and tells him everything we talk about on the phone, because that's how Steven says the 2 shall become 1. She also said that she hoped in the future we could go to counseling and figure out how to have a relatio nship again. She then whispered, "Dad, I love, love, love, love, love you a lot!" DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Since then, my daughter has completely broken off communication with me. No calls, no texts, no visits, nothing. Other than a brief text reply where I invited her to her m om's birthday party she will not respond to any attempts at contacting her. (She would not come to the party – this is the first time she's ever missed something like this. ) I am concerned about both her mental and physical health. She and I have always ha d an amazing father - daughter relationship – almost like 2 peas in pod – and now, only weeks after becoming engaged she will not even speak to me, says we need counseling, and I am being repeatedly accused of doing things which they will not specify, speak about, or go to mediation to resolve. Seems this gives Steven plenty of opportunities to make more false accusations about me without my ever having a chance to defend myself. More and deeper wedges being driven between my daughter and I. This, to me, lite rally sounds like the actions of a narcissist. In what other way can anyone explain how in my daughters eyes I go from being a father she loves and adores, to being treated almost like a monster in only 30 - days?? I sent Steven one final email which state d: "No conflict is over until a solution or a side concedes. This is the calm before the storm. I will always fight for my love. I am relentless." This was to serve as a reminder that even though he would not discuss the things mentioned above and is now refusing to have any conversation with my wife and I to bring a resolution, there is still a conflict between us. The upcoming "storm" is when I release the truth (this document) about his actions. It is also a reminder that I will never give up on our dau ghter. Steven twisted the truth (again) and told Brittany that I was threatening him. The wedge grew

deeper due to this lie. This is where things stand right now. Though this is only one - side of the equation, it shows enough for you to understand why I am concerned. Kindest regards, Tim Hutchinson DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Follow - up Note I redacted the names of people and church, then sent this file over to several mental health professionals, via a trusted 3 rd party (to further protect all of our identities.) Their responses were similar: This is clearly a troubling situation and Steven has acted inappropriately. Every one of their re sponses has encouraged me to continue making this truth known and to never give up on my daughter. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

From: Tim Hutchinson To: david@lutzlawfirm.com Subject: Re: Calvary Church Date: Friday, May 5, 2023 11:18:35 AM The banners have been ordered. My family and friends are ready. The protest will happen. There is only one way to stop this, and that is for them to do the proper investigation they should've done already. Mr. Timothy Hutchinson On Mon, May 1, 2023 at 2:10 PM <david@lutzlawfirm.com> wrote: Mr. Hutchinson, I am in receipt of your request below and I have spoken with my client about the same and they respectfully decline your request. Please note that the trespass notice previously provided to you attached hereto remains in effect. David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1515 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: 612-424-2110 Cell: 651-230-2110 david@lutzlawfirm.com www.lutzlawfirm.com NOTICE: The foregoing message (including all attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by the Attorney-Client privilege or other Privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or EXHIBIT B DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. In the event you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. NOTICE: This office sometimes acts as a debt collector for consumer debts. Accordingly the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act requires attorneys engaged in consumer debt collection to advise consumers that this communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2023 3:30 PM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com Subject: Re: Calvary Church Hello Mr. Lutz, I am contacting you about an unresolved matter involving Calvary Church, and someone who works there; Steven Anderson. Steven is married to my daughter and has told many terrible lies about me. As a result, I've not had a relationship with my daughter for several years. In fact, there is a harassment restraining order against me (which I allowed) that is set to expire in approximately 30 days. Previously I contacted Calvary Church for help in resolving this. Their response was to have an attorney (you) contact me and ask that I stay off their

property and have no contact with them. I have honored this request. It is my belief that a lot of the problems Steven caused could have been avoided if Calvary Church had done a proper investigation. I am writing you to ask they perform an investigation - which is long overdue! This investigation would include interviewing myself in a public hearing so my family could attend. I want Calvary to hear the truth directly from me. There are witnesses and documents that would be beneficial for them to hear from and see. No one other than Steven and Brittany (whom he lied to) believe any of the allegations against me. In short, Calvary has a monster working for them, and I can 100% prove it. If Calvary Church is willing to move forward with this, there will be no further action against them. I will walk away and my business with them will be done. If they refuse, they should fully expect protests at both of their locations once the HRO has expired. Let me be very clear; I don't want to do anything against a church, I am a good Christian man. However, their failure to properly investigate this matter I brought to their attention has led to a lot of harm and difficulties in my family. This is their opportunity to correct a wrong. Regards, Timothy Hutchinson 651.724.1325 DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

On Mon, Apr 24, 2023 at 5:11 PM <david@lutzlawfirm.com> wrote: Tim, I am counsel to Calvary Church. David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1515 Minneapolis, MN 55402 612-424-2110 david@lutzlawfirm.com www.lutzlawfirm.com NOTICE: The foregoing message (including all attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by the Attorney-Client privilege or other Privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. In the event you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. NOTICE: This office sometimes acts as a debt collector for consumer debts. Accordingly the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act requires attorneys engaged in consumer debt collection to advise consumers that this communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, April 24, 2023 9:33 AM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com Subject: Re: Calvary Church Hello Mr. Lutz, DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Checking to see if you still represent Calvary Church? Regards, Tim Hutchinson On Wed, Jun 9, 2021 at 12:19 PM Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> wrote: P.S. In the attachment there are the names Jake and James. It would be helpful to know that Jake is engaged to my oldest daughter, and James is dating my youngest daughter. The church might also be interested in knowing that 2 of Brittany's 3 siblings won't attend the wedding, and no one from my side of the family will be there either. The reasons are the same; they are shocked at the lies Steven told about me. Regards, Tim Hutchinson On Wed, Jun 9, 2021 at 12:03 PM Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> wrote:

David, All along I've stated my desire to avoid any legal proceedings. Sad day when Christians cannot come together and resolve an issue. Attached is a letter I wrote to my daughter in response to a Restraining Order. Would appreciate you reviewing it and forwarding it to your client, Calvary Church. I'd like them to know these things, My goal has always been to have the truth told. Regards, DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Tim Hutchinson On Tue, Jun 8, 2021 at 12:29 PM <david@lutzlawfirm.com> wrote: Tim, I have advised my client not to meet and discuss due to the threat of litigation. My client has received and reviewed your written communication. David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1550 Minneapolis, MN 55402 612-424-2110 david@lutzlawfirm.com www.lutzlawfirm.com NOTICE: The foregoing message (including all attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by the Attorney-Client privilege or other Privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. In the event you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. NOTICE: This office sometimes acts as a debt collector for consumer debts. Accordingly the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act requires attorneys engaged in consumer debt collection to advise consumers that this communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, June 7, 2021 10:02 AM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Subject: Re: Calvary Church Dear Mr. Lutz, I have received the notice, have honored it and will continue to do so. I recently became aware of the allegations being made against me which are horrible and unfounded. Is there a possibility of presenting my side of this ordeal? If your client, Calvary Church, would be open to a meeting with me, it can be at a place and time of their choice. The only goal is to clear my name and I come in a spirit of peace and truth. The Bible says we should choose a good name rather than riches, and I would appreciate an opportunity to prove to your client the truth. Respectfully, Tim Hutchinson 651-724-1325 On Fri, May 7, 2021 at 8:41 AM <david@lutzlawfirm.com> wrote: Dear Mr. Hutchinson, Please see attached correspondence which is also being sent via U.S. Mail. David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1550 Minneapolis, MN 55402 612-424-2110 david@lutzlawfirm.com www.lutzlawfirm.com DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

NOTICE: The foregoing message (including all attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by the Attorney-Client privilege or other Privilege. If you are not the intended

recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. In the event you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. NOTICE: This office sometimes acts as a debt collector for consumer debts. Accordingly the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act requires attorneys engaged in consumer debt collection to advise consumers that this communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Steven, May 27th is almost here. Then the HRO will expire, and you will quickly realize that your lies are going to be exposed. I have plans, including protests at the church. I feel bad for them being dragged into this mess, however, they failed to do a proper invesƟgaƟon. So, this is on them not me. I have the banners ordered and have family / friends who are going to protest the church every week – both locaƟons. There's not been one person in the past 2 years who has told me they believe any of the bullshit lies you've told. Now we get to expose you. If you have a suggesƟon on how to resolve this otherwise, you beΣer speak up now. Once my plan goes into acƟon, there is no stopping or turning back. This is your one and only chance to get ahead of this. Just don't waste my Ɵme with stupid self-centered ideas. In the words of Janis Joplin; "Freedom is just another word for nothing leŌ to lose." There is one quesƟon you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out? Tick-tock, Mr. Timothy Hutchinson EXHIBIT C DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Dear Brittany, Amazing how he has you believing that after 22 years of being an amazing father, that somehow, I have become a monster. Apparently, he has super - powers because only he can see that…and he has convinced you that his fantasy is real. I am so grateful and thankful for my children who know my heart and have stood by my side, unwavering during these difficult times. They will always have a special place in my heart. I have proven to them, over the decades, that I always put my family first. Alway s. They will not just give up on me when someone tells a lie . They came to me and asked what my side of the story was. I shared my heart and truth as best as possible, which again confirmed that I have put this family first, even when it caused me tremendo us pain (and even resulted in my being hospitalized.) They are my family, and I am so proud of them! All I have been doing has been to try and resolve things through making the truth known. His response has been to escalate his lies and twisting of the t ruth to cover his previous lies. He has done so by making even the slightest ant hill seem like a ginormous mountain. He has scrutinized every single step I have taken and found fault with it - even if that means twisting the truth, or outright lying. Qu ick example: For weeks I had been coming home and placing my handgun on the top railing for a few minutes (since the riots, doing this made your mom feel safe), entering the kitchen and unpacking my lunch bag, then taking the handgun and putting it away in the bedroom. For weeks this was a daily routine (unless someone was

walking down the street towards the park when I got home - in that case I waited until later to bring it into the house, when no one was around.) One day when he was over, he noticed th e gun on the railing, and automatically assumed it was there to intimidate him. That is what he stated in the Restraining Order. Again, just a small example of how he has twisted things to fit his agenda. An agenda to strip us out of each other's lives. An d you just caved him and let him get away with this. That makes me feel like I really do not matter to you - it seems you just gave up so easily. Here I am fighting to get you back and you are blindly going along with his evil plan. He has been avoiding the truth being told. He does not want mediation because he knows the truth will come out and his evil deeds with be exposed. That is also the reason why he continues to keep you isolated from me. He EXHIBIT D
DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

is afraid of the truth. That also explains why he blatan tly lied to Calvary about me; so they would not help with mediation, and instead have a lawyer ask me to stay away. I am no threat to them whatsoever. Yet he has them thinking I am such a threat they have supposedly hired additional security. That is how f ar he will go to cover his lies. They are a CHURCH - I am a PASTOR. They are my brothers and sisters in Christ. I would never go there and commit any sort of act of violence. Not ever. I only went to them because previously when we were going to have med iation, someone from the church was going to be the mediator. This was in no way an attempt to have him fired - they are a church; they are supposed to help people. I went there seeking their help in resolving things. Had he worked at any other place besid es a church I would have never contacted them. But he twisted this also to make it seem I was going there to somehow attack him. More lies on top of the other lies. Remember when I said let us take a 6 - month break. That took control away from him, Once I took control away, he felt a need to take it back. That is the real reason for the Restraining Order. Why file that if I am going away for 6 months? Control. The only thing I did during that time was to come over and attempt to tell you both that you are loved and forgiven. Just one time and you would never hear from me again for at least 6 months. This is about control - he wants to control, and I am in his way. Even worse; he has you believing that I am a threat and/or danger to you. Above all else that especially troubles me!! Let me be perfectly clear on this one point: I love you. More than life itself. There is no way, and I mean literally zero chance I would ever physically hurt you. Ever. Never. You are absolutely one of the greatest things to eve r happen in my life. Period. Even the mere thought of any type of harm to you is so repulsive it makes me ill to my stomach. Literally. I know that you have been emotionally hurt - some of that is likely my fault. For that I wholeheartedly apologize. I tru ly do. It was never my intention in any way, shape, or form to cause you any kind of pain. None whatsoever. That is a promise. A solid promise from a father to his precious daughter. I pinky swear. I think this has been tremendously difficult for you. Af ter all, it is not normal to become engaged, then need therapy. What's next, medication? He has painted DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

a picture of a fairy tale, but after the wedding he will slowly turn it into somethin g else . There are literally thousands of stories exactly like this o n the internet about guys like him. Everything seemed almost magical. No way could he ever turn out like all the others, he special, he different, he really loves me - then they changed. And the ladies who were lucky and escaped, ended up just another stat istic with a sad story to tell and deep emotional scars. Sadly, I beli eve you will see this firsthand. Once you walk down the aisle and I am not next to you, the door of opportunity to resolve things with him closes . After that time, I will never ack nowledge him as my son - in - law. He will never call me "dad". I will never have any type of relationship with him . Something else is going to happen after the wedding: my response. I t will then be my turn, an d I will expose his lies and evil ways. You see, I have been patiently waiting to see how far he would take things – and all the while I have been trying to resolve this (apologizing, offering forgiveness, seeking mediation, etc.) I've been patient, very p atient, for a good reason; I want to be sure that I've given every chance possible to resolve this another way. I don't want to think about the "What if's" afterwards, wondering if there was another chance to settl e this. Filing the Restraining Order was enough to show me there isn't another way – I'm left with literally no choice. This entire time he has only done one thing: continue playing his game. So, remember this phrase: "He Treated Me Like a Game - Now I'm Going to Show Him How It's Played." I am fairly certain your lawyer is also reading this, so here's the disclaimer (as I've stated over and over and over again, repeatedly since this conflict began...) Nothing stated here is meant to infer or condone any illegal activities, or anything of a violent nature. I am a law - abiding citizen and will comply with all laws, including the Restraining Order. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

Step #1 is to file a lawsuit against St ev en, and possibly Calvary. He will have to answer questi ons during th e lawsuit proceedings, and the truth will come out! T here will be other ( le gal ) events that will happen as well. Again, all fully legal and in co mpliance wi th the Re straining Order. But that does not make them any less effective. My enti re goal is to show you, my beau tifu l daught er , the lies he has been telling. As I ' ve stated so many times before; I just want t he truth revealed! I will not stop until t ha t happens. For th e rest of my life I will not rest until you k now the truth. I promise you this. As these events unfold, you will reach a point in time when you realize that you joined the wrong team. When that happens, come home. No judgement, no 'I told you so' comments, no holding a grudge. Nothing but love is waiting for you here. That is a real father to daughter promise. We all make mistakes in life (myself included), and it is comforti ng to know we have a family that will take us back, no matter what. Because that's how real families roll. His real battle is not with me, it is with himself. He clearly does not know how to express himself or his emotions in a healthy way and as a resul t, pushed me to my limits – then blamed me for the outcome ; all the while lying about what he has done to cause the problem(s) to begin with. Then, in order for you to remain in a relationship with him, you had to hurt someone; me. Are you happy with that? Seems

fair, right? I mean all you have to do is treat your dad like crap after he made you a priority in his life for 23 years. And did anything and everything he possibly could for you. Here's a hint: if you have to give that up to be with someone, then you're with the wrong guy! Especially if he's lying to keep you away from your own dad. During all of this time he has not once shown any care or compassion towards me. Zip. Zilch. Nada. Nothing. How is this Christian behavior? Answer: it's not! I've off ered love, forgiveness and multiple opportunities to resolve this. He's offered nothing except lies in an at tempt to exacerbate things. Again, that is not Christian. At all. But somehow, he just turns things around in your mind to make me the bad guy. Again, it's amazing how awesome of a relationship we had, until he came along. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

I now present to you some answers to the false claims made in the Restraining Order. In the interest of brevity, I've not covered everything. However, if there is something you would like to know, sh are that with your attorney and I'll answer through him. Love, Dad DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

I have a challenge for you. Read this alone and do not let S teven read this. It was agreed upon in court that I got to share a letter with you. But just you. I want you to read this with your heart and meditate on it. My Response to Your Order The Kyle Situation Kyle was Vanessa's ex, who assaulted her. After he assaulted her, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because sh e was embarrassed. I called Kyle, and he started to verbally assault me so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified my self as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. At that point I felt the need to defen d myself, and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I responded, " Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left. He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical – and I learned that in the future I would insist on calling the police and letting them handle the situation. The Phone Calls to Steven It was alleged that I made an increasing number of harassing calls to Steven. But I have checked my phone records, and they show that I have called Steven a total of 4 times ever. Not in a week, a month, but ever. 4 times. Acting Out? It's claim ed that I, at one time, were stomping, slamming cabinets, and slamming doors, and raising my voice while talking about steven. I only raised my voice to you once about Steven, but only after he treated me several times very disrespectfully. I was so disapp ointed in myself for having raised my voice to you (something I've not done before) that I slapped the doorway as I walked out of the kitchen. I cannot slam the doors in our kitchen, there are none. I cannot slam the kitchen cabinets as they have easy clos e stoppers. I never stomp my feet as I am not a child. Inappropriate Use of My Firearm? You said I "placed the firearm on

the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment towards Steven." The truth of the matter is that I have been placing my firearm there for weeks, based on a request from your mom. It made her feel safer. Placing my firearm on the banister became an everyday routine for me. After what happened in Minneapolis with George Floyd and the protests and then the protests threatening to go to the suburbs, it made your mom feel safer to have it visible at times when I got home from work. Again, she literally asked me to do DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

this! One day while Steven is over he sees the handgun in its usual place, and made an incorrect assumption. Later it's claim ed that I went to the garage to retrieve my firearm and returned looking very angry. This is not true . I am very careful when retrieving my firearm from the car. In fact, for 20+ years, you didn't even know I had one . It was only after the events in Minneapolis that I started to display my firearm – and only at the specific request of your mom. Over the years, I have been so discreet, that when ever there are people anywhere around (going to the park, out walking, working in their yard, etc. ) , I will wait until later to retrieve the firearm from the car so I can be as to be as safe and discreet as possible. We have lived in that house for 22 years and our neighbors ha ve never seen me carrying it. I only have it out in the open inside the house, and only for a short period of time. I have since asked your mom if she was okay with me being completely discreet and she said yes. I have never waved this gun around; I have never used this firearm to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie and crazy. I made it to 60 without a criminal record, but Steven want s to paint me a s this crazy man with a gun. The second time that I raised my voice, I did not know you were even home, I thought you had left with Steven. And when I did raise my voice, it lasted maybe 15 seconds. Your mom was at the top of the stairs and I was by the do or. I raised my voice towards your mom about the frustrations I was feeling with this situation. And I was shouting towards her, but not at her. After the 15 seconds had passed, your mom came and gave me a hug and said "I can see that this is clearly hurti ng you" She said "Let's go make supper together and have a glass of wine"; to which I responded with "Okay, the things Steven are doing and saying are bothering me." Within 10 minutes, your mom and I were in the kitchen laughing and joking about Facebook m emes. You then claim I went to the car and returned with the gun, still visibly angry. That's a lie. (It should also be noted that I have not raised my voice at all since then. Not once, under any circumstances. Again, just trying to make me appear to be a bad person, which I am not.) In the order it says, "The presence of his firearm in several incidences, along with his emotional instability, and refusal to respect boundaries that I've set in our relationship concern me that this will continue to escalat e and that the result may involve his firearm and Steven or I" To that I say: First of all to talk about boundaries - the only way I have stepped over boundaries is to try to resolve this. That's all that I have been doing for months. The display of my hand gun, again, was because of your mom's request. That has nothing to do with the

situation between you, Steven and I. Nothing. Other than raising my voice twice, there has been no outward signs of aggression or anything being escalated. This is all a complet e fabrication. Yes, this is a bold face lie. All I've been trying to do, repeatedly, is to have the truth come out. I believe the truth doesn't mind being questioned, whereas lies want to hide. (Sound familiar?) DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

There's a reason Steven does not want mediation or to resolve things; because his lies will be exposed. So, he pretends to be afraid and paint me as a violent person to avoid the truth coming out. The Night You Moved Out You went to a friend's house and a few days later moved out. T he night you moved, you came back because you forgot something. I was in the bedroom with your mom. I heard something moving around in the house, so I went out into the hallway to see what was going on. When I noticed it was you I said hi. You gave me a hug and said "I love you so much dad." I said "I love you too." I asked if you were okay, and you said yes. I then said, "It's kind of weird because this is the first time you have moved and I didn't help you." You smiled and said , "Yeah, it is kind of weird." YOU SMILED AND SAID YEAH IT'S KINDA WEIRD. You said you had to go, and I said, "Okay, have a good night." This is the day you moved out because you were supposedly afraid of me. How does that say you are afraid of me? The La st Page It's interesting that when Steven came up with this order, in his evidence, he left out the last page of my website where it talks about you guys being loved and forgiven. LOVED AND FORGIVEN . But that would go against his claim that I am a crazed, violent man. That doesn't fit his agenda to make you think I am this horrible violent person. What's interesting is that no one other than Steven thinks I am a violent person. Sadly, he has convinced you this lie is real. I spend time with both Jake and James and there's literally no problems between us at all. None. In fact, they have both said they would write letters in support of me. They both h eard the Kyle story and are not afraid of me at all – because there's literally no reason to be. None. That's all a fabrication of Steven's. I now have over 15 people who are close to me, all willing to write letters stating they have never seen any of the behavior Steven is alleging, and that they simply do not believe any of it is true. How many do you have? I'm not a betting man, but if I was, my bet would be there are zero people to back up his claim(s). Because they are lies. The Therapy Session You a pproached me to go to therapy with you. Before you, your therapist, and I got together for a meeting, the therapist wanted to get together with me alone in a zoom type meeting. She was rude, condescending, and I really did not feel as though she cared abou t me or resolving anything . It was very frustrating talking to her. Nonetheless, I said any therapy sessions I was involved in I would pay for and gave her my credit card number so you would not be burdened with that. What no one, not even the therapist kn ows is that I was not alone in that room. When the session with m yself and the therapist was scheduled, I asked your mom to be in the room with me. She sat off to one side, out of view to the camera. She witnessed how stressful this was for me. Then, at th e time you and I had our session with the therapist, it was immediately stressful DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

again. I felt as though the therapist was condescending from the beginning. My only goal for that session was to tell you that I loved you and to validate your pain . I know you've been hurt, and it's incredibly painful to see you go through this . T he t herapist interrupted me repeatedly . When I didn't recite things back exactly, it seemed she was a bit condescending . Half the time I didn't look at the screen as it was too hard to see the pain on your face. I didn't even get to say anything what I really wanted to say; that I lo ve you and to validate your feelings. At one point in time, I muted the microphone then I leaned forward to cry. You may have thought I got up and w alked around the room, but I was bent over forward crying into my hands. I truly and sincerely hurt throughout this session. Having you out of my life hurts more than my brothers dying – and still does to this very day. At this point it was clear that my message of love was not going to be conveyed to you, I became frustrated , rambled on about something and left the meeting abruptly. I think I mentioned not knowing if I wanted a relationship with you, but what I really meant was for a temporary amount of t ime. ( P.S. I did not slam my laptop close d – this laptop is too expensive to be slammed shut. ) With the thought of that session fresh in my mind. I ended up in a park later that night with a gun to my head. That's the reason I had suicidal ideations; the pain on your face was more than I could handle. Word Choices I notice that throughout the order, words were used to misdescribe the situations. "RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP, SCREAMING". This is noth ing more than character assassination. Can someone name even one person who's actually witnessed me doing anything like that? I didn't think so - because it's not true. Trying to Get an Answer I have been trying repeatedly for months to hear what Steven and your complaints/problems/concerns were, what the problems were. And no one has told me anything. It was only when I got the restraining order that I was informed of the problems. Not once before that did I know truly what the problems were as n either o f you had told me. I had called for a 6 month break, and immediately, the 2 of you filed for a restraining order. Was this because Steven thought he was losing control? The only thing I did within that 6 months was to come to you to tell you were loved and forgiven. And now I hear that Steven thought about jumping out his 2 nd story window and call 911 all because he heard the story of Kyle. Meanwhile, Jake, James and I are hanging out having a good time. Clarifications So let's talk about that story again, it was told twice in one year. Both times Vanessa started to tell the story. Both times I finished it quickly. After the second time, I leaned over to Vanessa and said "Please let's not tell this story again" And she res ponded with DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

"but I like to brag about you" And I said "okay thank you but let's leave this story in the past" and she said "okay dad I love you" and I said "I love you too". Both of these times, James and Jake were present. And neither of them felt threate ned by this. I did not tell this one on one with Steven. It was told in a group setting. I have since hung out m any times with Jake and James. James has even shared his working schedule with me so I can call before/after he is off work to chat. Neither of them feel threatened by me at all. You say that on February 16 th , I came home and discovered Steven's laundry,

I immediately responded with anger and rage. That is not true. It was an hour later, and it was in the presence of your mom, lasting about 15 se conds, as mentioned before. I was upset, but there was mo "rage". Again, character assassination. My Military Record Charlie was the name given to me in the military as a result of ha ving an elevated security clearance. I served courageously, and sometime s heroically. I was honorably discharged. My military records are now sealed. That's all anyone need s to know. As I made it clear over the years, I really don't want to talk about my service to our country and I avoid the topic . Owatonna There is a solid, logical reason behind this event. If you heard the reason you would immediately realize it's not what it seem ed at first glance. However, I am unable to write about this, and can only share this with you verbally. Perhaps some day down the road when we can speak again. I only ask that you trust me on this. I Have Doubts Did you even write this yourself? There are at least 5 times that it is cop ied and pasted from Steven's order. Also, one thing I find interesting is that when the question is asked "Do you believe that the harassment will continue?" You respond with "The respondent has made several claims that he 'resigns' or is done with the 'conflict' yet he has continued to produce more emails, the website, etc. . There seems to be no indication this will end. He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany's on 4/28/21. " This is word for word copied from Steven's order. How much of any of this did you even write yourself? Do you even know what half your order against me says? And up until now, all I have been doing is trying to resolve this. At least I'm trying. That's more than Steven and you have done collectively. Yet every single time I try to resolve things, Steven turns it around to make it seem like I am a violent person and a threat to him. What's even worse, is because of his lies, you think that I am a threat to yourself. As a father I would never be violent towards any one of my kids, ever. That's nonnegotiable in my mind. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

I'm Not Violent I was going to take the restraining order to trial, until your attorney told me that this is what you wanted personally. At that point in time, I asked myself "Why am I trying to fix anything?" I just want to be able to go to bed at night, knowing I have d one everything I possibly could to resolve this matter peacefully. And the only reason it hasn't been, is because of Steven's lies and twisting of the truth. To date, you have not seen me respond to the damage he has done, but you will. What's especially t roubling is the fact I have to add a disclaimer to everything I write now which states "Everything I do will be accomplished by legal means" all because of Steven's lies. You know I'm not a violent person. Again, 60 years old, no criminal record. That woul dn't be possible if I was such a violent person. I'm this amazing father until Steven comes around and it's like Dr. Jekyll & Mr. Hyde that only he can see. As it only exists in his mind. And he has you convinced it's real. No one else can see it. Why? It' s not real or true. There is literally no one else that I know who thinks this of me or believes any of these lies being told. That alone speaks volumes. How I Have Handled this Emotionally You say in your order "The respondent has shown an inability to c ontrol and regulate his emotions. He has lived out

delusions of a violent nature." (Again, this is copy and pasted right from Steven's order) This has been a very emotional time for me, which it would be for any father that has their daughter unfairly stri pped from their life. Considering the depth of this tragedy, aside from the regrettable evening that I held a gun to my head, I feel as though I have handled this quite well. As far as the reference of violence, this is only an illusion in Steven's mind, t hat he has gotten you to believe. No one else in my life believes this whatsoever. They have seen no signs of violence in me. Why? It is simply not real, it is just lies. How else do you explain that I went from an amazing dad, who has done a tremendous am ount of work preventing bullying in schools, has chaperoned dances and prom for more than 25 years, and even done volunteer work at a hospice center sitting with dying people who have no family or friends to sit by their side, just so that they feel loved and cared for in their last moments, to a crazy homicidal maniac who threatens violence all in just a few months? And only Steven can see it. Maybe there's another answer? Maybe he's jealous of our relationship and set out to ruin it? That's a more likely answer in my eyes. An Epic Storm Let's talk about some of the things I've mentioned - starting with an epic storm. This is a real thing. I've done two so far in my life. Everything I do is completely legal in nature. We have a wonderful legal system in this country that helps defend innocent people like myself. Without going into too many details, suffice it to say, the first person ended up in prison. After which time I started dating his wife, and every month for a year, we would mail him a picture of us t ogether. How do you know this is true? I was living with her when I met your mom. For the second time, a man threatened our family. He was well respected in the community, had a 6 figure job, a nice home and a family. In a very short period of time, again using all legal means, he lost all of that. Afterwards, sitting

DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

alone in a motel outside of town, he called me and said "It's over, you won!" I said "No, it's not over. There are 3 more steps left." He begged, "Please, no. I have 2 daughters." I responded, "If you ever come near my family again, or come near our home again, I will finish what I started." He responded , "I'm gone." Want to know if this is true? Ask your mom, she knows. You see here again that Steven is twisting things to seem like I'm this c razy, violent man. But I know how to defend my family using legal means. I prefer to live in peace, which is why I use the phrase "If you want peace, prepare for war." This just means to be prepare d to defend yourself against people who want to take that p eace away, which I am fully prepared to do through legal means. Steven has been rude to me, disrespectful, twisting my words, and pulling you out of my life. "Prepare for war" simply meant to stop what he was doing or prepare for legal consequences. The "E pic Storm'' and "Prepare for war" was a deterrent to stop him from doing what he was doing, which he didn't. My Books Let's set the record straight. Steven had heard that I had written several books. I said I had. He said he would be interested in reading them. So , I went into the other room and g ot a copy of each for him. He said "Oh okay." And we changed the subject. It was after that we hung out and he mentioned he read my books. He only wanted to talk about the miracles in 'Casting Out Doubt', not my memoir. You know all the good

things that have come from writing this book. I have given out hundreds of copies and no one has ever felt threatened by it. Final Thought About Your Order And lastly, your restraining order was centered around a claim of domestic violence. I (regrettably) raised my voice around you, but how is that violent? The a nswer ; it is not. It doesn't even rise to the level of bullying. Therefore, your restraining orde r was based around a false premise to begin with. I think it was filed that way just for dramatic effect. That rises to the level of bearing false witness against your neighbor, just like Steven's. My Response to Steven's Order He says that I am unable to control my emotional response. Unable to control my emotional response? He was trying to talk down to me, and I was telling him to not talk down to me. He was being disrespectful to me. He is a 25 - year - old man and I am a 60 - year - old father. He does not ha ve the right to talk down to me. From the beginning, all along was to control me. If he can't control me, he wants to make me look like a terrible person. Every time I have tried to stand up for myself, he has turned it around to seem like I am aggressive or violent. He was also rude to Alex, Vanesaa, and my friends Heather and Henry. They all told me independently that Steven acted very rudely towards them, and all 4 are willing to make written statements about how poorly he treated them. Interestingly eno ugh, Alex and Vanessa do not know Heather and Henry, so there was not, nor could be, any collusion. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

My Letters to the Church About my letter to the church and that it was a threat to him. I went to the church to ask them politely and calmly to ask if they would help resolve this peacefully and without conflict. Along with the letter, I included the history of the challenges we have faced in our relationship. This documentation included emails and texts we had sent between each. Nowhere in any of the docume ntation did it reference any violence or threats. This was sent to the senior pastor only, in confidentiality, and he never responded. Anyone reading this letter would ask "How is this defamatory?" Steven twisted things around, again. As for the second letter, the senior pastor did not respond, so this was addressed to the elders at the church. Nowhere in any communication do I ask to terminate his employment. All I have ever asked was that they get involved in the conflict. As far as showing up " unannounced", I left 2 voicemails for the senior pastor the week before. As far as me being "uninvited", I went into the lobby, rang the buzzer, and asked if I could leave the package there. I left the package in the "Leave Deliveries Here" area (the same as UPS or FedEx would) . I then went back out to my car peacefully. Also "uninvited"? How can someone not be invited to a church?" P.S. At the time I wrote this letter, there were markers that were off that led doctors to believe that I might have cancer. I had to go through numerous tests, including a colonoscopy. Along the way, the real problem was discovered after I was taken to the emergency room by my wife after being in excruciating pain one night. Once there, after going through some more tests, they discovered the real problem. For privacy reasons I will not disclose what the problem is. I have since been undergoing treat ment (and as of June 4 th , 2021 continue to be under the care of doctors for this condition) . In conclusion, there was a serious conc ern that I had cancer. Fortunately, it was not cancer, but is still of great

concern, and I am thankful for the medical community in helping through this difficult time. I say in the letter that I am prepared to make some bold moves. I went to the church to ask them to help. I wanted to get mediation. Bold moves - asking you over to have cheesesteaks and talk about what each other needs to resolve the issues. Nowhere have I threatened anyone. The Website The website was intended as a warning. Nothing more t han a warning. Yet again he has twisted things around to seem that I am going to use violence against him, even though I clearly state that everything will be done in a legal manner and not a violent one. I also had the website coded so that search engines will not pull it up or catalog it. I did that intentionally to protect privacy. It was a warning that if he continues or tries to pull anything else like what he has done to me, the site would go live. Even the screenshot Steven used in the filing says, " To be perfectly clear, everything listed above will be accomplished through legal means, I do not condone violence or any illegal activities. I DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

am an otherwise peaceful person who has been unfairly put in a position of having to fight back for his family." How does that say that I am a violent man? It doesn't! His Claim about My Gun His whole claim about me, my gun, and him - it is baseless. All these allegations of me using my gun for a violent purpose is something he invented in his own mind. And he has ca used undue concern to that church, both campuses, because of his lies. Name one time that he has seen the handgun in my hand. He has never! He is doing this to get my daughter out of my life, precisely. FYI A bout a week before we had our court meeting, I spoke to David (your ex) . He said when you and Steven first broke up, you started texting and calling him trying to get back with him. Does this mean Steven is forever your second choic e? He also mentioned the issue with Alex Rodriguez . But the bottom line here is that David clearly said that I treated him wonderfully and it was truly a sad day when we said good - bye for the last time. I honestly wish you were marrying David, or someone like him. He is awesome. DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

\
EXHIBIT E DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192\-484F\-4CB2\-9912\-149D8A8A8036
DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192\-484F\-4CB2\-9912\-149D8A8A8036

DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192\-484F\-4CB2\-9912\-149D8A8A8036
DocuSign Envelope ID: DA528192-484F-4CB2-9912-149D8A8A8036

# 012. Evidence - Scanned/Laundry Letter.docx

Hi Steven,

I did some research and was surprised to learn the Covid virus can live for up to 48-hours on our clothing. I can understand your concern over this virus, so much so that Brittany asked me to leave your clothes outside the front door for you to pick up. I can fully appreciate that. When one considers how long the virus can live on clothing, and the fact they are inside our house - a place you're concerned about being exposed to, perhaps it would be a safer option for you to wash your own clothes at home. Something to think about.

Would have been nice to see you today - it's been a while. We could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just yesterday for Covid. But, we can't be too careful! Well, perhaps another time.

Hope you have a wonderful day.

Mr. Hutchinson

# 013. Evidence - Scanned/Trial - Answers - Shortened 2.docx

The Truth They Didn’t Want You to Hear: My Silenced Testimony at Sunnie’s HRO Trial

They refused to let me speak. My voice was shut out, my experience dismissed. But now, I’m sharing what really happened—because the truth matters. Read this and understand the pain I endured, the injustice I faced, and why it’s time people finally hear my side of the story.

Q: In the HRO filed against me, it says you told Brittany about a video you posted on your website - is that true?A: No.

Q: In your own words, can you explain what happened?A: During the first HRO hearing I was led to believe that I had to accept the HRO without finding of fact in order to protect my daughter Brittany's credibility. My family is close and they kept asking me questions about what happened. So, in an effort to answer all their questions just one time, I made a video sharing my side of the story and posted it privately on my website on a hidden page, which was additionally password protected. I then emailed the login information to 5 family members.

My daughter, Vanessa, decided to tell my daughter Brittany and shared the link to the page as well as the password. Brittany and Steven, who were unauthorized, then accessed my private password protected page, and while there they also made a screenshot of my webpage.

They both admitted in their HRO filing that my daughter Vanessa told my daughter Brittany about this and Vanessa also says this is true.

Bottom line is everyone agrees that Vanessa told Brittany about this.

But then the court referee removes Vanessa from the equation and said I was the one who told Brittany - and for that found me in violation of the HRO for something I literally did not do.

Q: How did it come about that I was called a liar in the HRO trial?A: Their attorney, Mr. Van Loh was generally rude to my witnesses, and when he couldn't catch them in a lie (because they were being truthful), during the closing statements he told the court that all my witnesses were liars. Without any supporting evidence, that referee somehow magically determined that you and the others were supposedly lying.

Q: When Brittany and Steven were dating, did she ever tell you about a time that she broke up with him? A: Yes

Q: Did she say why? A; She said it was because she felt like he was too controlling.

Q: Did she tell you the reason why they got back together again? A: Yes.

Q: What did she say the reason was? A: She said it was because they had a meeting during which he explained that due to his upbringing he was not taught how to properly express himself emotionally. And then he convinced her to date him again.

Q: I submitted evidence titled "Rules of Engagement". What was the Rules of Engagement about?A: Brittany and Steven presented my wife and I with a letter titled Rules of Engagement. It contained rules they expected us as parents to follow. My wife and I thought this was strange but hesitantly agreed to it.

A few days later, I was unsure about something and asked a question, in an email, about how to ask a question and was immediately accused of manipulation by Steven. The 4 of us (my wife, our daughter Brittany, Steven and myself) were in an email conversation about this and suddenly Steven contacts me

directly saying things that made me feel uncomfortable – I replied that I won't continue that conversation without Brittany or Mrs. Hutchinson being involved.

I went back to the group email and addressed him in a more pointed manner. Nothing ended up being resolved due to Steven acting like a victim, when in the private email to me he said that if I loved my daughter Brittany that I would lay down everything and let him control me.

Afterwards, my wife asked me about how I had approached him in the conversation. I showed her the emails Steven had sent directly to me and she was appalled by what he had said. It was then she understood my response.

I will also say that I was accused of only one time supposedly violating these Rules of Engagement, but when asked how, neither of them has ever given a clear explanation. Brittany's mother and I and our family still have no idea what they are talking about. They have repeated this accusation several times about the same incident but can't explain what happened. They have done this over and over again where they make claims about my behavior, then fail to offer any details. Everything is just so vague.

Q: Have you ever threatened your daughter?A: I have never and would never threaten my daughter Brittany. I literally love her more than life itself. I would lay down my very life anytime for her. She is absolutely one of the best things that has ever happened to me. I miss her so much. I still remember the last time we talked. It was February 22nd, 2021 at 11:20 AM. The last thing she said to me was, "Dad, I love you so so so so much!" And even though we haven't talked since then, my heart has conversations with her every day.

Q: Have you ever threatened Steven?A: No – I tried to have a good relationship with him, but he kept being rude to me and eventually my wife and I came to believe he was lying to Brittany about me. He once called and said, quote "If you want a relationship with Brittany, then you need to submit to me and allow me to control you." But he wants the court and my daughter to believe that I am the real monster.

Q: He mentioned in the HRO that you reminded him several times about assaulting one of Vanessa's boyfriends…did you tell him about that incident?A: No. Absolutely not. I have no reason to tell him that. I was trying to have a good relationship with him, the same as the others my daughters have dated.

Q: How did he find out about it?A: I think it's important to begin with what this story is about. Kyle was my daughter Vanessa's boyfriend she had just begun dating, and he physically assaulted her. Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. She didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. Kyle was calling Vanessa repeatedly and harassing her. So, I called Kyle, and he started yelling at me, so I hung up. I went to Kyle's apartment in person.

Probably not the smartest thing I've done as a parent. But sometimes we live and learn through the experiences.

I went there and asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to, I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me backwards with both hands. I stumbled back across the hallway and then he immediately came at me with a raised fist. At that point I felt the need to defend myself and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I told him, "Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left.

Vanessa told this story at her mom's birthday party that I had arranged at Outback Steak House in Maplewood – which is her favorite restaurant. I invited the whole family and during the meal Vanessa began telling the story to her new boyfriend, James. I intervened and quickly finished telling the story. James did not seem bothered by it at all. About a week or so later, at a bonfire at our house, Vanessa began telling this story again – this time to several of my friends. I quickly ended it and asked her not to tell this story again. She said, "I am so proud of you dad." I said thank you, but really let's not repeat this anymore, it makes me look like something I am not. She said OK, and I love you dad. I said thank you and I love you too.

During the times she told this story, twice, Steven was not involved in the conversation at all. At my wife's birthday party, he was seated across the table from us and several seats away and engaged in a different conversation. At the bonfire, the chairs were in a half-circle around the fire pit, and he was seated 4 chairs over from us and again, engaged in a different conversation. His name was not mentioned – we did not otherwise refer to him – nor make any type of eye contact whatsoever. My friends were on mine and Vanessa's right side and Steven was on our left, 4 chairs away so we were not even looking in his direction. My daughter started telling the story both times – I quickly ended it and asked her not to repeat it anymore – yet Steven claims in his HRO filing that I reminded him several times about this story. Clearly, he is not telling the truth.

Q: What else happened in that situation which made you concerned? A: At the bonfire our brother made a couple of jokes towards Steven. I have a reverence for churches and people who work there, so I stepped in and explained that Steven works at a church and asked our brother not to joke about him. He's a good guy – so he quickly apologized and changed the topic. That was it.

The next day my daughter Vanessa told me that Steven had been rude to her. My son Alex said this also. So did two of my friends. My wife asked both of our children about this and confirmed it happened. We also met the two friends a week later at a restaurant and they talked about how Steven was rude to them.

So, I am defending him at the bonfire, meanwhile he is rude to my daughter, rude to my son, rude to several of my friends, at my house, and later on lies about me having told him this story.

Q: Please refer to Evidence titled “Laundry Letter”. What was the laundry letter situation about?A: My work was covid aware and we shared news stories about covid through email, and one day there was an article about how long the virus can last on clothes.

Several days later upon arriving home from work, my daughter Brittany said she was going out somewhere and explained that Steven’s laundry was in the dryer. He washes his clothes at our house, and I honestly didn’t mind.

She asked that when the dryer was done that I put his clothes in a basket and place it outside the front door of the house. She said the reason is that Steven is concerned about Covid and does not want to come inside. I said OK and decided to write him a letter to be helpful and told him about how I had learned about the Covid virus being able to live on clothes for up to 48 hours. I then suggested that since he is concerned about Covid in our house, that he might want to consider washing his clothes at his apartment, at least until the pandemic had gotten better. Later, when I placed his clothes outside the front door, I put that letter on top of the clothes basket. I then finished preparing to leave the house to go somewhere.

The letter was very nicely written and showed an abundance of care and concern for Steven’s health.

As I was about to leave the house I noticed Steven’s car in the driveway. He was standing at the front door reading the letter. I began walking to the front door to say hello. Before I got to the door, he turned and carried the laundry basket back to his car, put it into the back seat, slammed the car door, and stood there glaring angrily at our house. Then he gets into his car and squeals his tires backing out of the driveway. He then races off, runs a stop sign without ever slowing down, and continued to accelerate to nearly double the speed limit.

Q: Will you please read the laundry letter to the court?

A: Hi Steven, I did some research and was surprised to learn the Covid virus can live for up to 48-hours on our clothing. I can understand your concern over this virus, so much so that Brittany asked me to leave your clothes outside the front door for you to pick up. I can fully appreciate that. When one considers how long the virus can live on clothing, and the fact they are inside our house - a place you're concerned about being exposed to, perhaps it would be a safer option for you to wash your own clothes at home. Something to think about. Would have been nice to see you today - it's been a while. We could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just yesterday for Covid. But, we can't be too careful! Well, perhaps another time.

Hope you have a wonderful day.

Mr. Hutchinson

Q: Has he ever mentioned this letter to you? A: He has never said anything about this letter. Nothing. No thank you for your concern, maybe we can meet up another time, nothing like that.

Then just two days later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for hours. So, he can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell and say hello, yet two days later he can spend hours inside our home? Neither my wife or I could make any sense of this.

Q: Where there any witnesses to this? A: Later I went to the mailbox and met our neighbor, who told me he heard a car door slamming. He saw what Steven did in the driveway and how he drove away, running the stop sign and speeding. He asked who that was – I said my future son-in-law. He laughed and said "You're joking!" I replied no, that's him. He said that he would not allow that kind of behavior at his house. I said, yeah, I'm thinking that too.

But I wanted to give another chance at resolving whatever issues he was having – which were not clear to me. So, later that evening I called Steven to invite him to hang out sometime – he sharply said "No" and immediately hung up.

Q: In the HRO Steven says a few days later you left your gun out in plain sight at your house as a threat to him. Is this true and if so, why? A: The HRO claims that I, quote "placed the firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment towards Steven."

First of all, what anger and resentment? The last communication I had with Steven was the letter I had left a few days prior on his laundry basket, and a phone call later the same day asking him to hang out sometime.

I only left the gun on the banister because Brittany's mom asked me to.

After what happened in Minneapolis with George Floyd and the protests and then the protesters threatening to go to the suburbs, it made my wife feel safer to have it visible for a few minutes when I got home from work. What am I supposed to say, No?! Just keep on feeling afraid?

Again, she literally asked me to do this! In fact, she first asked me if I still owned a gun. That shows how rarely I ever bring it out. And I only placed it on the banister when coming home from work, going into the kitchen, greeting my wife and unpacking my lunch bag. That took maybe 3 or 4 minutes. Then I went and put the gun away in a safe in our bedroom. I only started carrying it very recently at that time because my job required me to go to Minneapolis at random times to visit customers. Many of them were close by to where the protests were happening. I had a concealed carry permit, so I was able to carry a weapon lawfully. And it always remained in my car during the day, I never brought it into my workplace – then at night I brought it into the house and placed it on the banister for a few minutes and then into a safe.

One day while Steven is over he sees the handgun in its usual place, and made an incorrect assumption. And the gun was NOT by the front door - it was on the banister, up on the 2nd floor. He never went upstairs. He and Brittany were in the lower level of the house in the family room the entire time. When he saw it, he was at the bottom of the stairs near the front door. My weapon was in a holster and there was nothing illegal or threatening about its placement, not at all. He was never near it - he looked up a flight of stairs and saw it. He never saw me, I was never near the gun. At the time I was in the kitchen, which is not visible from the front door. Brittany and Steven were leaving the house and called out saying hi to me. I said hi back to them, then Brittany said they were leaving. I said OK, good bye – have a good day in an upbeat tone. I never saw them. We only heard each other's voices.

Where is the threat??

Since the one time he saw my gun, this story has grown to where according to an HRO filing I want to kill him, kill my daughter, and go to a church and harm hundreds of children. All because he saw my gun, one time, at my house, where it was placed in response to my wife's request to make her feel safer. Can anyone make this make sense?

Q: Have you ever talked with your kids about the gun?

A: I asked my kids about the gun. They don't know what kind it is. They don't know what color it is. I asked when the last time was they saw me holding that gun or any gun. They said, dad, we've never seen you holding any gun, ever.

Again, make this make sense.

Q: Was there any talk about mediation – if so, what happened?

A: I contacted Steven and offered to let bygones be bygones and to set simple expectations of how we communicate. I texted him and he refused to meet and instead said he would not talk with me without mediation. I told him to go ahead and pick out any place he wanted to go for mediation, and Mrs. Hutchinson and I would pay for it. He said no, the only place he would go for mediation is at the church where he works. I said OK, set something up. I also told him that we didn't have to bring up everything in the past and could just focus on moving forward. He said no, in mediation we will talk about everything that has happened in the past. I asked if he was sure, because that would mean things would come out that Brittany does not know about. That would not be good for him. He said yes, he was sure.

The first date and time was set for mediation but was soon cancelled because the mediator had something come up. OK, no problem – life happens. So, another date was set – again at the church and with the same person.

Once that date was set, Steven began texting me about it. I said I was OK with talking about the past as he previously requested. He then began demanding we not talk about the past and said quote,

“Mediation is only about how you and Mrs. Hutchinson are going to talk to me.” I immediately showed this to my wife, who said, quote “This is bullshit – what is wrong with him?” She and I are both aware of him insisting multiple times on controlling both myself and the relationship I have with my daughter.

I replied back to him saying that mediation is a time for us to learn how to communicate with each other.

Steven then canceled mediation and refused to reschedule.

Q: Did you ever tell them they were Loved and Forgiven?A: I suggested we take a few months off from the disagreement – kind of a time-out. I felt as though it was not right to let things set the way they were and instead decided to tell them they were LOVED AND FORGIVEN.

I drove first to Stevens Apt.  I exited my car and an African-American lady walking to her car. She greeted me by saying good morning sir! I replied good morning. She then said the buzzer box to the building door was broken so I could just walk in. She also said that maintenance was aware and would get it fixed. I thanked her and she replied, have a blessed day sir!

In the HRO it says I repeatedly buzzed his apt – umm, how? The buzzer box was not working, He also says I “gained access” making it sound like I had broken into the building like a thief, when thanks to the information from the nice lady in the parking lot, I simply walked in like a normal person.

During this time I was wearing a mask.

I went to his apartment and knocked three times in a normal manner. Then stepped back across the hall, practicing social distancing. When there was no answer, I knocked three more times, again in a normal manner. When there was no answer that time, I left.

Then I bought flowers and drove to Brittany’s apartment. She didn’t answer. I noticed her car was in the parking lot, so I left the flowers on her car and drove away.

My wife knew about this and said how proud she was of me. Said she appreciated my actions, and she loved how I always put our family first.

I then updated my private journal and when I wrote about this, I used the words LOVED AND FORGIVEN and had typed that all in upper case, 4 times on the same page – it was clear and obvious to anyone reading it what my intent was in going there.

When they filed the HRO – Steven included a copy of my personal journal – except the page where I described this event. You see, that page tells the real story. And he excluded it from his filing. He did say though that he was so afraid that he thought about jumping out of a 2nd story window and fleeing for his life and possibly calling the police. Which he never did. However, he later attended my oldest daughter’s wedding – at one point, I walked by him and nodded my head. He nodded back. Later we had

pictures taken together. Why wasn't he afraid then?? Because there never was a threat – these claims are all for dramatic effect.

This was one of 15 attempts I made at peace compared to their zero attempts – I tried until when he said though his attorney that he does not want to reconcile with me, at all.

Q: Did Steven ever ask you for money?A: Yes, he asked for help and I gave them money every month for 2 ½ years – on the 20th of the month because that was the day Brittany was born. My wife later said that Steven told Brittany that I had promised to help them, but then never did. I showed her receipts which proved that we (husband and wife) were sending them money every month. I only stopped after 2 ½ years because my wife moved out of our house due to the stress caused by this situation.

Q: Even though you were prevented from going to Brittany's wedding, did you ever help out with it?

A: The night before the wedding my wife was tasked with ironing all of the tablecloths. There was a lot and it was obvious she would not get them done. I made the suggestion of double layering our dining room table with towels and thus turning it into a large ironing board. We had 2 irons and stood on opposite sides of the table and ironed these table cloths for 3 hours until they were done.

I ironed table cloths, for my daughters wedding that I couldn't attend for 3 hours – because that's love in action. I love my daughter so much that I am willing to do that for her. Look how important my oldest daughter Victoria's wedding was to me….<<SHOW PIC OF ME WITH HER AT WEDDING ON HOME SCREEN OF MY PHONE>> That's me dancing with her at her wedding. You want the truth – there it is!!! 2 ½ years later and it's still on the home screen of my phone.

Q: Did you report this to the Sheriff's Dept?A: Yes

Q: What was the result? A: I showed them the evidence and asked what I had done wrong? They said nothing, I had done nothing wrong and that I am a "good good father". I asked where I go from here. They said since this was currently a civil matter that if I could get the court overseeing the HRO to refer this back to them, they would complete their investigation and quote, "likely put Steven in jail". Later that day I showed my wife the card they had given me which has the case number on it. She knows about it. I told her I was hesitant to move forward with this out of concern for our daughter, thinking she too might be charged because she had also signed HRO papers.

Q: What are your relationships like with your ex-wife, Jennifer?A: We still see each other multiple times each week – dinner, movies, hockey games. She texts me almost every night saying Sweet Dreams. Last night she texted to say that she will always love me. Says she will never date again, unless it's me. Says she will never get married again, unless it is to remarry me. Says I am literally her best friend. She cried every day for a month after she moved out of our family home. She called the court and asked them to stop the divorce. She still contacts me anytime she has questions about technology or just random life

questions. And when we are together, she smiles almost constantly – because she is in her happy place. And she knows the reason for our divorce, and the loss of our family home, and the reason I had to give up my career. It's because of the stress from this whole situation and the barrage of bullshit being said about me. She knows this and wants this to be resolved as much as I do so our family can heal – and we both believe it will happen when the truth finally comes out.

Q: How about with your other 3 children? A: Wonderful – no problems at all. For example, when Vanessa and I get pedicures, whatever color she gets her nails painted, I have my two big toes done in the same color. She absolutely loves this! My kids know that I always put them first in my life and my love and care for them is obvious.

Q: Did anything change in your relationship with your former mother-in-law? A: I was at her house one day and she asked if it was true that her daughter and I were getting a divorce. I said yes, it's true. She then walked across the room and stood right in front of me and asked, will you still call me mom? I said, I would love to do that. She then hugged me and said she doesn't want to lose me.

We go to bingo almost every Tuesday evening and I often take her out to lunch, dinner, walks at the shopping mall, doctor appts, salon appointments and more. One day while visiting she gave me a hug and whispered, I left something in your shoe. It was a note asking me to take her out soon for ice cream. I still have that note and it's on my refrigerator. That's my mom!

Q: What were relationships like with any previous boyfriends of Brittany?A: There was David, an excellent young man – I taught him how to shave. He came to our house after Brittany broke up with him, saying because he just felt better being with me. He didn't go to his family or friends – he came to me, because I always treated him so well.

Q: What is your relationship like with your son-in-law, Jake?A: Fantastic. I call him son, he calls me dad. Never a problem during the entire time we've known each other – more than 12 years now.

Q: How was the relationship with your daughter Vanessa's boyfriend, James? A: He told me that he looked up to me as a father figure. Said he was thankful I treated him so well and how I welcomed him into our family. When he suddenly ended up homeless, I'm the person who gave him money for food and other expenses, and I paid for his hotel room until he found a place he could move to.

Q: How did your wife respond to the 2nd HRO being filed? A: When she learned they had filed for an extension, she asked if I would be requesting a referral to the Sheriff's Dept. I said that my concern was because our daughter Brittany had also signed the court papers that she might somehow also be charged, and I don't want to see her in jail. My wife then said these exact words, quote; "Well, if Brittany sat in jail, maybe then she would begin to realize that Steven is manipulating her." Recently she said in an email to me, that she does not have a relationship with Steven, rather she only tolerates him so that she can have a relationship with Brittany. She has also told me that she is afraid of Steven – that

he will do to her what he's been doing to me. And will get away with it quote, "because of that lawyer and that court." Again, she is a smart woman – she knows what's up.

Q: During the trial, did you request a referral from the court to the Sheriff's Dept? A: No.

Q: Why not? A: Because I was prevented from doing so. That was not even a real trial. Their only evidence was my personal journal which the court had previously said would not be allowed because it was from the first HRO. My evidence was not allowed. I was not allowed to testify. My witnesses were treated terribly and all called liars. That's also why none of them wanted show up for this trial – for what? More disrespect, to be called liars, and made to feel like their testimony doesn't matter? Because of what they see happening to me, they don't even trust the justice system anymore. I was spoken to harshly and disrespected by the referee, in front of my daughter for no good reason. This wasn't a search for truth or justice. This reminded me of the story of the Salem witch hunt. The outcome was not based upon the truth yet the Plaintiff's want to claim this was a victory – for who? Certainly not for truth and justice. Not for my family. We deserve better than a bunch of damn lies – we deserve justice!

Q: Did I ever help you search for an attorney? A: Yes.

Q: What was the result? A: After that so-called trial, I wanted to appeal. The first attorney suggested mediation (which I did not want) and also said several times that I should report Mr. Van Loh for misconduct.

The 2nd attorney I spoke with said he would not represent me because, quote, "This is corruption." I offered $10k to represent me as I just wanted an opportunity for my daughter to hear the truth. He said that court is corrupt and I will never win there and no other lawyer will represent me in that court either, because doing so would be a risk to their career. So, at that time I stopped the search for an attorney.

Q: Did you ever speak with an attorney about your First Amendment right?A: Yes.

Q: What did he or she say? A: All along the Plaintiff's and their attorney have positioned things to make it seem as though the only thing required for them to take me back into court and possibly have me put in jail was for Steven to feel disparaged by something I say. In other words, according to them it has nothing to do with the truth, but only about how Steven feels about it.

So, I spoke with an attorney who said my First Amendment Rights do not hinge upon whether or not someone feels disparaged. It just has to be truthful. So, I have a legal right to have a movie made based upon my evidence and the witnesses.

Q: Lastly, is there anything else you would like to add? A; Just thank you to you and our family & friends for standing up for me. No matter the court's decision today, I will always appreciate all of your efforts.

I hope you win – you deserve to win and have your right to Freedom of Speech protected. Same as any other good and decent American. And hey, above all else, I love you!

## 014. Evidence for Timothy Hutchinson.docx

Exhibits for Timothy Hutchinson

Exhibit Number

Exhibit Description

101

Letters to Calvary Church

102

Emails from Brittany and Steven attorney, Dan Van Loh

103

Medical documentation relating to treatment for heart

104

Receipts for monthly donations to Steven

105

Covers of 4 books authored by Timothy Hutchinson

## 015. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/Book Covers/Painful Secrets.pdf

The secret true story of one young man's battle against gangs, drugs, firearms, prostitution, the KKK and a downward spiral that was sure to plummet him into an early grave PAINFUL SECRETS IS BRUTALLY HONEST, IMPOSSIBLE TO PUT DOWN! C onstantly using violence — the only means of coping he understood — Hutchinson spent years on the wrong side of the law, always one step from prison or the grave, (including being stopped just minutes prior to attacking his own high school with an assault rifle.) He was the epitome of life without hope for the future — his existence a tangled wreckage that barely resembled a life. This is the shocking true story of a young man who started out making all the wrong choices for all the wrong reasons, and how he turned it all around and did much more than just "survive". PAINFUL SECRETS HUTCHINSON "My daughter, Rachel Scott, was the first student murdered at COLUMBINE HIGH SCHOOL on April 20, 1999. The book Painful Secrets by Timothy

Hutchinson is a book every parent and student should read. It is the compelling, dramatic story of a man who found his solution through genuine personal faith. This is what carried us through the pain we suffered when Rachel was killed." — Darrell Scott "If I would have been able to read this book beforehand, I probably wouldn't have shot those people. It would have helped me to learn from someone who's life was more screwed up than mine, and was able to turn it around." — Charles Andrew Williams, currently serving a 50-year-to-life sentence after he went on a six-minute shooting spree at his high school, killing 2 students and wounding 11 others "Timothy Hutchinson's story is very inspiring for me… I read this book as a concerned father and also as a police officer currently working in a public high school…. I have seen Tim in his interactions with people and I believe he is an amazing person with an even more amazing message that needs to be heard by everybody." — Chris Goodreau, Police Officer, Burnsville, MN Hutchinson is now an EMT credited with saving multiple lives; a motivational speaker for teens and parents who has prevented four school shootings and stopped over fifty teen suicides; and is proud to be father of the youngest person in America ever to be awarded the 'Medal of Valor''. COVER DESIGN: GEORGE FOSTER Hutchinson is now a speaker at schools across America credited with preventing 4 school shootings and stopping over 50 teen suicides. He is also proud to be father of the youngest person in America to be awarded the 'Medal of Valor'.

# 016. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/Calvary Church Letter Compilation.docx

To; Pastor Shawn Winters

From; Timothy Hutchinson

Re: Steven Anderson, youth pastor

Dear Brother in Christ;

I come to you today seeking help with a troubling situation. My daughter is engaged to your youth pastor, Steven Anderson. He has been, in my opinion, acting very inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. Instead, he has chosen to ruin an otherwise healthy father-daughter relationship, and cause strife in an otherwise loving family. I am a 60-year-old Christian man who has been with his wife for 32 years, lives in White Bear Township and together we have raised 4 beautiful children.

Attached you will find the facts laid out as openly and honestly as I can possibly state them. I ask that you review these and prayerfully consider helping bring resolution to this matter. I would prefer to handle this quietly through the church, rather than publicly and/or through any legal proceedings.

If you have any questions or comments, feel free to reach me at anytime on my cell phone, 651-724-1325 or by emailing mrhutchinson@gmail.com .

I pray for help during these difficult days.

Kind regards,

Timothy Hutchinson

To; the Elders of Calvary Church

From; Timothy Hutchinson

Re: Steven Anderson, youth pastor

Dear Brothers in Christ;

I come to you today seeking help with a troubling situation. My daughter is engaged to your youth pastor, Steven Anderson. He has been, in my opinion, acting very inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. Instead, he has chosen to ruin an otherwise healthy father-daughter relationship, and cause strife in an otherwise loving family. I am a 60-year-old man who has been with his wife for 32 years, lives in White Bear Township and together we have raised 4 beautiful children.

Attached you will find the facts laid out as openly and honestly as I can possibly state them. I ask that you review these and prayerfully consider helping bring resolution to this matter. I would prefer to handle this quietly through the church, rather than publicly and/or through any legal proceedings.

If you have any questions or comments, feel free to reach me at anytime on my cell phone, 651-724-1325 or by emailing mrhutchinson@gmail.com .

I pray for help during these difficult days.

Kind regards,

Timothy Hutchinson

Dear Calvary Church Elders;

I am writing you today about an ongoing situation with one of your staff members, Steven Anderson (Young Adults Ministry Director). He is engaged to my daughter and has been acting inappropriately. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close). Steven has her convinced I am a horrible person - yet neither one can state even one thing I've done wrong. Literally not one thing.

I became so distraught over this, that about one-month ago I ended up in a park at night, holding a gun to my head about to commit suicide. Thankfully, I did not and subsequently spent time in the hospital in

the psych ward. Mental illness has not previously been an issue in my life, yet here I am, under the care of a psychologist.

I am a 60-year-old man, who has been with his wife for 32 years. (I am a safety & compliance manager, and she is a high school science teacher). Together we have 4 children and have lived in the same house in White Bear Township for 22 years. Thus far we've enjoyed an amazing life together (blessed by God), until Steven Anderson came along with his manipulative ways. Now we are experiencing unimaginable pain.

Previously I reached out to your senior pastor (Shawn White) several times, and your executive pastor (Jeff Morgan). Neither of them responded to me. Despite requesting this remain confidential, the senior pastor allowed his staff to read the details I sent over. This caused significant embarrassment to my daughter, and further deepened the divide between her and I.

Attached to this email is an updated document which tells the history of this situation and details the many steps I've taken to resolve things. To date Steven has made exactly zero attempts at working through this.

At this moment I am at a crossroad and need resolution. I am requesting Calvary church do the following;

1) Explain why I've not gotten a response to my multiple requests for help.

2) Review the back-story, and either:

```
  a) Facilitate mediation.

  b) Issue an opinion on whose actions caused the problem(s). If you say it is my
fault, then state the exact reasons why. If you say this is all Steven's fault \-
that will conclude this matter with you 100%, for that would affirm the truth, and I
can use this to repair the relationship with my daughter (which is all I really
want).
```

If you choose to do neither and once again ignore me, I will have literally no choice but to take legal action. Steven works there and used his position while committing narcissistic acts that tore my family apart. In my letters to both pastors, I clearly stated I would like this to be settled privately and avoid any legal matters. That remains my position.

However, the damage has already been done, and if you are not going to help resolve this, then I'll turn things over to a lawyer. I would very much like to avoid that scenario. So, please, help me help you avoid legal action.

Kind regards,

Tim Hutchinson

mrhutchinson@gmail.com

651.724.1325

CC: Jon OchsMark AndersonLisa HorsagerGuy BristolJason SchmidtCarole SpickelmierShawn White

Hello Mr. Lutz,

I am contacting you about an unresolved matter involving Calvary Church, and someone who works there; Steven Anderson. Steven is married to my daughter and has told many terrible lies about me. As a result I've not had a relationship with my daughter for several years. In fact, there is a harassment restraining order against me (which I allowed) that is set to expire in approximately 30 days. Previously I contacted Calvary Church for help in resolving this. Their response was to have an attorney (you) contact me and ask that I stay off their property and have no contact with them. I have honored this request.

It is my belief that a lot of the problems Steven caused could have been avoided if Calvary Church had done a proper investigation. I am writing you to ask they perform such an investigation - which is long overdue! This investigation would include interviewing myself in a public hearing so my family could attend. I want Calvary to hear the truth directly from me. There are witnesses and documents that it would beneficial for them to hear from and see. No one other than Steven and Brittany (whom he lied to) believe any of the allegations against me. In short; Calvary has a monster working for them, and I can 100% prove it.

If Calvary Church is willing to move forward with this, there will be no further action against them. I will walk away and my business with them will be done. If they refuse, they should fully expect protests at both of their locations once the HRO has expired. Let me be very clear; I don't want to do anything against a church, I am a Christian man. However, their failure to properly investigate a matter I brought to their attention has led to a lot of harm and difficulties in my family. This is their opportunity to correct a wrong.

Regards,

# 017. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/Exhibit List for Timothy Hutchinson.docx

Exhibits for Timothy Hutchinson

Exhibit Number

Exhibit Description

101

Letters to Calvary Church

102

Emails from Brittany and Stevens attorney, Dan Van Loh

103

Medical documentation relating to treatment for heart

104

Receipts for monthly donations to Steven

105

Covers of 4 books authored by Timothy Hutchinson

# 018. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/MNDES - Exhibit successfully submitted.pdf

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Exhibit successfully submitted 2 messages MNDES <dem@imagesoftinc.com> Wed, Jul 5, 2023 at 9:00 AM To: thehutchinsons@gmail.com Minnesota Digital Exhibit System Dear Timothy Hutchinson, The following exhibits were submitted successfully to Ramsey DMA Harassment for case 62-HR-CV-21- 362 : Gmail - DAN VAN LOH CONTACT INFORMATION Gmail - Please forward to Brittany Calvary Church Letter Compilation Reliant Giving Casting Out Doubt Family letter Exhibit List for Timothy Hutchinson CTGTH Gmail - Letter to Brittany Hutchinson (Anderson) Painful Secrets The Judas Factor MyChart - Test Details 1 Winessess Gmail - ARBITRATION INQUIRY DO NOT REPLY to this message. Replies are not monitored. You must "offer" or ask the Judge to allow your exhibit(s) to be accepted as evidence at the time of the hearing/trial. Exhibits submitted through MNDES will not be reviewed and considered by the Judge until the party offers them as evidence. Contact the court listed on your hearing notice with any questions or requests. MNDES <dem@imagesoftinc.com> Wed, Jul 5, 2023 at 9:02 AM To: thehutchinsons@gmail.com

Minnesota Digital Exhibit System Dear Timothy Hutchinson, The following exhibits were submitted successfully to Ramsey DMA Harassment for case 62-HR-CV-21- 361 : Gmail - ARBITRATION INQUIRY The Judas Factor Gmail - Letter to Brittany Hutchinson (Anderson) Gmail - Please forward to Brittany Painful Secrets Casting Out Doubt MyChart - Test Details 1 Winessess Exhibit List for Timothy Hutchinson Gmail - DAN VAN LOH CONTACT INFORMATION Family letter Reliant Giving CTGTH Calvary Church Letter Compilation [Quoted text hidden]

# 019. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/MyChart - Test Details 1.pdf

Name: Tim A Hutchinson | DOB: 11/18/1960 | MRN: 0006648827 | PCP: Peter K. Dahlstrom, MD | Legal Name: Timothy A Hutchinson Lab tests Results EKG 12-LEAD Collected on January 18, 2022 10:53 AM New Systolic Blood Pressure mmHg Value No value Diastolic Blood Pressure mmHg Value No value Ventricular Rate BPM Value 77 Atrial Rate BPM Value 77 PR Interval ms Value 174 QRS Duration ms Value 84 QT ms Value 382 QTc ms Value 432

P Axis degrees Value 20 R AXIS degrees Value 14 T Axis degrees Value 0 Interpretation ECG Value Sinus rhythm Normal ECG When compared with ECG of 06-NOV- 2021 18:29, T wave inversion now evident in Inferior leads Confirmed by HALBE MD, JUSTIN LOC:JN (30121) on 1/18/2022 3:17:19 PM MyChart® licensed from Epic Systems Corporation © 1999 - 2023

# 020. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/Van Loh Emails/Gmail - ARBITRATION INQUIRY.pdf

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> ARBITRATION INQUIRY 10 messages Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Thu, Sep 16, 2021 at 10:50 AM To: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Tim, Thank you for the explanation. I'm not sure that reconciliation of a father-daughter relationship for and adult daughter is something that can be arbitrated. The accusations to which you refer have not been adjudicated because you agreed to accept the HRO without a hearing and without admitting anything. Hence, there is no public sharing of the allegations, unless you have shared Steven and Brittany's affidavits with others, otherwise the allegations remain between you and them. On this note, can you please verify that you have removed the public website that contained your disparaging comments about Steven? This was required as part of the HRO. I'm sorry that I cannot offer a better solution, Tim. I'm sure this is difficult. I'm happy to speak with an attorney who contacts me on your behalf, but there is simply not a legal process through the HRO to force relational reconciliation with an adult child. This is not to say that there is never a hope for healing and reconciliation, just not through the legal process. Thank you, Dan Daniel J. Van Loh Riverplace One Main Street S.E., Suite 206 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone : 612.827.6300; Facsimile : 612.295.0035 Email : dan@capvanloh.com http://www.CapVanLoh.com ** The office of Capistrant Van Loh is opened and we are available to meet your needs. In-person appointments must be scheduled in advance. Access to the building and our suite is by personal admission only and you are

required to wear a face mask in all common areas of the building and our suite. If you don't have one, we will provide one for you. We will continue to be available to connect with clients and the court through phone, email, and Zoom meetings. The Capistrant Van Loh team is here to help! Take care

and be healthy. ** From: Tim Hutchinson < mrhutchinson@gmail.com > Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 11:50 AM To: Dan Van Loh < dan@capvanloh.com > Subject: Re: DAN VAN LOH CONTACT INFORMATION Hello Mr. Van Loh, My goal is a relationship with my daughter Brittany, and healing for broken relationships in my family; most notably her siblings that do not have any contact with her. As a father, this is heartbreaking to see. Arbitration seems like a reasonable move. Right now, mediation would not offer a resolution I'd be happy with - I need accountability for past actions. At this time I cannot simply "kiss-and-makeup". My family, Brittany included, deserves to know whether Steven's accusations against me are accurate or not. If he/they are agreeable to arbitration, then I further suggest we split the cost of the arbitration services. If the ruling is in my favor, then they should request the court to dismiss the restraining order, so Brittany and I can go to counseling to try and heal our relationship. At this time I am not open to having a relationship with Steven. If things work out to where Brittany and I are back on speaking terms, then I can be sociable with Steven, but nothing more. Awaiting their response, Tim Hutchinson On Wed, Sep 15, 2021 at 9:58 AM Dan Van Loh < dan@capvanloh.com > wrote: Tim, Thank you for your email. Yes, I am still representing Steven Anderson. I appreciate your reaching out to me in an attempt to settle your disagreement. Can you please clarify for me which disagreement you wish to settle with Steven so that I can apprise him accordingly? What is your proposal for resolution or request of Steven? I look forward to hearing from you. Thank you, Dan

Daniel J. Van Loh Riverplace One Main Street S.E., Suite 206 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone : 612.827.6300; Facsimile : 612.295.0035 Email : dan@capvanloh.com http://www.CapVanLoh.com ** The office of Capistrant Van Loh is opened and we are available to meet your needs. In-person appointments must be scheduled in advance. Access to the building and our suite is by personal admission only and you are required to wear a face mask in all common areas of the building and our suite. If you don’t have one, we will provide one for you. We will continue to be available to connect with clients and the court through phone, email, and Zoom meetings. The Capistrant Van Loh team is here to help! Take care and be healthy. ** From: Tim Hutchinson < mrhutchinson@gmail.com > Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 9:46 AM To: Dan Van Loh < dan@capvanloh.com > Subject: Re: DAN VAN LOH CONTACT INFORMATION Hello Mr. Van Loh, Checking to see if you are still representing Steven Anderson? I ask because I would like to give him a chance to settle our disagreement. Starting in October I will begin searching for an attorney. Once I hire legal representation, this window of opportunity will be closed. Thank you for your attention to this matter, Tim Hutchinson

On Fri, Jun 4, 2021 at 3:46 PM Dan Van Loh < dan@capvanloh.com > wrote: Same. I did forward the letter to Brittany. Dan From: Tim Hutchinson < mrhutchinson@gmail.com > Sent: Friday, June 4, 2021 3:44 PM To: Dan Van Loh < dan@capvanloh.com > Subject: Re: DAN VAN LOH CONTACT INFORMATION Thank you. Hope you enjoy the warm (hot) weekend! Tim On Fri, Jun 4, 2021 at 3:32 PM Dan Van Loh < dan@capvanloh.com > wrote: Tim, I acknowledge receipt of the letter and have

notified Brittany accordingly. Thank you. Dan Daniel J. Van Loh Riverplace One Main Street S.E., Suite 206 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone : 612.827.6300; Facsimile : 612.295.0035 Email : dan@capvanloh.com http://www.CapVanLoh.com ** The office of Capistrant Van Loh is opened and we are available to meet your needs. In-person appointments must be scheduled in advance. Access to the building and our suite is by personal admission only and you are required to wear a face mask in all common areas of the building and our

suite. If you don't have one, we will provide one for you. We will continue to be available to connect with clients and the court through phone, email, and Zoom meetings. The Capistrant Van Loh team is here to help! Take care and be healthy. ** From: Tim Hutchinson < mrhutchinson@gmail.com > Sent: Friday, June 4, 2021 2:04 PM To: Dan Van Loh < dan@capvanloh.com > Subject: Re: DAN VAN LOH CONTACT INFORMATION Hello Mr. Van Loh, Attached is a letter to my daughter, Brittany Hutchinson. It is in the requested format of a PDF file. Please pass this along to her. Kind regards, Tim Hutchinson On Fri, May 28, 2021 at 12:18 PM Dan Van Loh < dan@capvanloh.com > wrote: Thank you, Tim. I hope you have good Memorial Day weekend as well. I'll look forward to your letter. Dan Daniel J. Van Loh Riverplace One Main Street S.E., Suite 206 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone : 612.827.6300; Facsimile : 612.295.0035 Email : dan@capvanloh.com http://www.CapVanLoh.com

** The office of Capistrant Van Loh is opened and we are available to meet your needs. In-person appointments must be scheduled in advance. Access to the building and our suite is by personal admission only and you are required to wear a face mask in all common areas of the building and our suite. If you don't have one, we will provide one for you. We will continue to be available to connect with clients and the court through phone, email, and Zoom meetings. The Capistrant Van Loh team is here to help! Take care and be healthy. ** From: Tim Hutchinson < mrhutchinson@gmail.com > Sent: Friday, May 28, 2021 9:30 AM To: Dan Van Loh < dan@capvanloh.com > Subject: Re: DAN VAN LOH CONTACT INFORMATION Thank you, Mr. Van Loh. I will send a letter as a PDF file once written. Hope you have a great Memorial Day weekend, Tim Hutchinson On Thu, May 27, 2021 at 10:43 AM Dan Van Loh < dan@capvanloh.com > wrote: Tim, Thank you for your willingness to reach an agreement this morning. I know this is a hard time for you. This email is to provide you with my contact information for you to prepare the letter that you want to send to Brittany. It will be best if you save your letter as a .pdf for me to forward as I would prefer not to be part of an email chain. I wish you the best as you move past this situation. Dan Daniel J. Van Loh

Riverplace One Main Street S.E., Suite 206 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone : 612.827.6300; Facsimile : 612.295.0035 Email : dan@capvanloh.com http://www.CapVanLoh.com ** The office of Capistrant Van Loh is opened and we are available to meet your needs. In-person appointments must be scheduled in advance. Access to the building and our suite is by personal admission only and you are required to wear a face mask in all common areas of the building and our suite. If you don't have

one, we will provide one for you. We will continue to be available to connect with clients and the court through phone, email, and Zoom meetings. The Capistrant Van Loh team is here to help! Take care and be healthy. ** Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Thu, Sep 16, 2021 at 11:45 AM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Mr. Van Loh, The website you are referring to was offline before the conclusion of the HRO hearing. In fact, I had already notified the hosting company of my intent to cancel hosting prior to being originally served. My intent in reaching out to you was to see if there was a way to handle this situation without my retaining a lawyer and suing Steven. Once I proceed in that direction there is no turning back, and it will become a publicly known matter. I firmly maintain that I am a victim and am innocent of all accusations of Steven. It is only out of a desire to peacefully restore a relationship with my daughter that I extend this one-time offer to settle things before hiring an attorney. Regards, [Quoted text hidden] Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Thu, Sep 16, 2021 at 11:48 AM To: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Tim, What are the damages that you are alleging and what is the legal basis for any claims? Are you just referring to the allegations in Steven's HRO petition because these allegations were repeated by Brittany as well. I'm still trying to understand what you feel that Steven has done that can be remedied for me to see if there is a solution. Can you clarify this for me? [Quoted text hidden] Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Thu, Sep 16, 2021 at 12:45 PM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Dan,

As for the damages, let's start with the relationship between Brittany and I. Next there is the wedding I did not get to attend, and did not walk my daughter down the aisle. There's also the suicide attempt for which I ended up in the hospital. Other relationships have been affected, and so has my job. There have been other deep impacts on myself as well. This has been a living nightmare. And all as a result of Steven's lies. The allegations in Steven's HRO are a lie. They are repeated in Brittany's because it appears they were copied from Steven's. At one point in Brittany's HRO it refers to "Brittany and I..." I'm convinced Brittany went along blindly with this and doesn't really know what the HRO says. Let me be perfectly clear; I fully intend to take him to court and sue for damages resulting from his lies. It is only because I am a peaceful person that this opportunity to handle things outside a courtroom is being presented. With all due respect to you, sir, I really don't want to go back-and-forth through email with you like this. Either they want an opportunity to resolve this between us, or they are sticking with their story and not willing to move forward towards a resolution. Thank you, Tim Hutchinson [Quoted text hidden] Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Thu, Sep 16, 2021 at 4:50 PM To: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Tim, Thank you for the clarification. I will discuss your proposal with Steven and get back to you. I just needed to be sure what the legal issues are so that I can advise him. Feel free to check back with me next week if I don't get back to you by next Thursday. [Quoted text hidden] Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Thu, Sep 16, 2021 at 9:34 PM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Mr. Van Loh, Thank you. Hope you have an enjoyable weekend. Kind regards, Tim [Quoted text hidden] Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Fri, Sep 24, 2021 at

2:57 PM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Hello Mr. Van Loh, Checking in to see if there's any update? Kind regards, Tim Hutchinson [Quoted text hidden]

Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Tue, Oct 12, 2021 at 6:40 PM To: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Tim, I apologize for my delayed response. I've discussed your invitation to arbitrate with Steven and, without a valid legal issue to resolve, I don't see arbitration as helpful or beneficial. I'm aware of your threats to sue Steven which appear to contradict your hope of reconciliation with your daughter, Brittany, as hurting Steven will hurt Brittany which would most assuredly undermine or end any future hope of reconciliation. [Quoted text hidden] Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Wed, Oct 13, 2021 at 11:08 AM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Mr. Van Loh, No valid legal issue? There has been documented slander and libel which has resulted in personal tort. The allegations in the restraining order are blatant lies, and that rises to the level of perjury. All along Steven has not offered any potential resolutions; none whatsoever - he's only said no to my suggestions, or ignored them. It seems clear to me that he does not want this resolved. Why? Is it because he's afraid of the truth coming out? As far as undermining or ending any future hope of reconciliation; I am offended that you and/or Steven would pretend to know more than I what's best for Brittany. I'm her dad. I co-created her, raised her, gave up my heart, mind and soul to help her in any way that I ever could as a father. I was there for her 100%, all of the time and she means more to me than anything in this life. We were like 2-peas-in-a-pod until Steven began telling her horrible lies. My singular goal is for the truth to come out, so my daughter sees I am not the person Steven has wrongly convinced her of. I've tried settling this peacefully many times and Steven has put no effort at all into a resolution. If Steven is hurt in the process, then that's on him. If I have to sue him, then that's only because he has refused every other suggestion that I've made, all while not offering any possibilities to resolve this. Brittany will eventually learn the truth - and that makes it all worthwhile. A lawsuit, however, is not my next move. It's coming - soon. I will never give up on my daughter. Not ever! The truth WILL come out. Tim P.S. My other family members do not believe the lies Steven has told either - and they are supportive of my efforts to expose the truth. [Quoted text hidden] Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Thu, Oct 14, 2021 at 9:39 AM To: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Tim, I appreciate the reply and explanation. We'll have to agree to disagree on your understanding of what constitutes libel and slander. Understanding that I represent your daughter Brittany and cannot give you legal advice, I encourage you to give some thought to whether you are missing the opportunity to understand Brittany's perspective through this process.

That said, I do not think that any further dialogue on this issue will be helpful. I remind you of the terms of the HRO given your comment/threat that "a lawsuit, however, is not my next move." Per the HRO, you are to refrain from direct and indirect contact with both Steven and Brittany. Hence, both direct and indirect attempts of communication or behavior with the intention of reaching or impacting Steven and Brittany may be considered a violation of the HRO which may then have criminal penalties for any

violations. On behalf of both Steven and Brittany, I am requesting that you refrain from any attempts to communicate with them. I reiterate my comments that your threats of lawsuits or “other moves” undermine your efforts for future reconciliation with Brittany. She needs a break and respectfully requests that you respect her wishes, including with your threatened legal attacks against Steven. [Quoted text hidden]

# 021. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/Van Loh Emails/Gmail - DAN VAN LOH CONTACT INFORMATION.pdf

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> DAN VAN LOH CONTACT INFORMATION 10 messages Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Thu, May 27, 2021 at 10:42 AM To: "mrhutchinson@gmail.com" <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Tim, Thank you for your willingness to reach an agreement this morning. I know this is a hard time for you. This email is to provide you with my contact in formation for you to prepare the letter that you want to send to Brittany. It will be best if you save your letter as a .pdf for me to forward as I would prefer not to be part of an email chain. I wish you the best as you move past this situation. Dan Daniel J. Van Loh Riverplace One Main Street S.E., Suite 206 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone : 612.827.6300; Facsimile : 612.295.0035 Email : dan@capvanloh.com http://www.CapVanLoh.com ** The office of Capistrant Van Loh is opened and we are available to meet your needs. In-person appointments must be scheduled in advance. Access to the building and our suite is by personal admission only and you are required to wear a face mask in all common areas of the building and our suite. If you don’t have one, we will provide one for you. We will continue to be available to connect with clients and the court through phone, email, and Zoom meetings. The Capistrant Van Loh team is here to help! Take care and be healthy. **

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Fri, May 28, 2021 at 9:30 AM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Thank you, Mr. Van Loh. I will send a letter as a PDF file once written. Hope you have a great Memorial Day weekend, Tim Hutchinson [Quoted text hidden] Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Fri, May 28, 2021 at 12:18 PM To: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Thank you, Tim. I hope you have good Memorial Day weekend as well. I’ll look forward to your letter. [Quoted text hidden] Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Fri, Jun 4, 2021 at 2:03 PM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Hello Mr. Van Loh, Attached is a letter to my daughter, Brittany Hutchinson. It is in the requested format of a PDF file. Please pass this along to her. Kind regards, Tim Hutchinson [Quoted text hidden] Brittany.pdf 235K Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Fri, Jun 4, 2021 at 3:32 PM To: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Tim, I acknowledge receipt of the letter and have notified Brittany accordingly. Thank you. [Quoted text hidden] Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Fri, Jun 4, 2021 at 3:43 PM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Thank you. Hope you enjoy the warm (hot) weekend! Tim [Quoted text

hidden] Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Fri, Jun 4, 2021 at 3:46 PM To: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com>

Same. I did forward the letter to Brittany. Dan [Quoted text hidden] Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Wed, Sep 15, 2021 at 9:46 AM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Hello Mr. Van Loh, Checking to see if you are still representing Steven Anderson? I ask because I would like to give him a chance to settle our disagreement. Starting in October I will begin searching for an attorney. Once I hire legal representation, this window of opportunity will be closed. Thank you for your attention to this matter, Tim Hutchinson [Quoted text hidden] Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Wed, Sep 15, 2021 at 9:58 AM To: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Tim, Thank you for your email. Yes, I am still representing Steven Anderson. I appreciate your reaching out to me in an attempt to settle your disagreement. Can you please clarify for me which disagreement you wish to settle with Steven so that I can apprise him accordingly? What is your proposal for resolution or request of Steven? I look forward to hearing from you. Thank you, [Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden] Tim,

Thank you for your willingness to reach an agreement this morning. I know this is a hard time for you. This email is to provide you with my contact information for you to prepare the letter that you want to send to Brittany. It will be best if you save your letter as a .pdf for me to forward as I would prefer not to be part of an email chain. [Quoted text hidden] Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Wed, Sep 15, 2021 at 11:49 AM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Hello Mr. Van Loh, My goal is a relationship with my daughter Brittany, and healing for broken relationships in my family; most notably her siblings that do not have any contact with her. As a father, this is heartbreaking to see. Arbitration seems like a reasonable move. Right now, mediation would not offer a resolution I'd be happy with - I need accountability for past actions. At this time I cannot simply "kiss-and-makeup". My family, Brittany included, deserves to know whether Steven's accusations against me are accurate or not. If he/they are agreeable to arbitration, then I further suggest we split the cost of the arbitration services. If the ruling is in my favor, then they should request the court to dismiss the restraining order, so Brittany and I can go to counseling to try and heal our relationship. At this time I am not open to having a relationship with Steven. If things work out to where Brittany and I are back on speaking terms, then I can be sociable with Steven, but nothing more. Awaiting their response, Tim Hutchinson [Quoted text hidden]

# 022. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/Van Loh Emails/Gmail - Letter to Brittany Hutchinson (Anderson).pdf

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Letter to Brittany Hutchinson (Anderson) 2 messages Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sun, Aug 22, 2021 at 7:52 PM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Hello Mr. Van Loh, Attached is a letter for my daughter, Brittany Hutchinson (Anderson). Would you please forward this to her? Kind regards, Tim Hutchinson Brittany August 22 2021.pdf 218K Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Mon, Aug 23, 2021 at 6:26 PM To: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Tim, I forwarded your email to Brittany per your request. Dan Daniel J. Van Loh Riverplace One Main Street S.E., Suite 206 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone : 612.827.6300; Facsimile : 612.295.0035 Email : dan@capvanloh.com http://www.CapVanLoh.com

** The office of Capistrant Van Loh is opened and we are available to meet your needs. In-person appointments must be scheduled in advance. Access to the building and our suite is by personal admission only and you are required to wear a face mask in all common areas of the building and our suite. If you don't have one, we will provide one for you. We will continue to be available to connect with clients and the court through phone, email, and Zoom meetings. The Capistrant Van Loh team is here to help! Take care and be healthy. ** [Quoted text hidden]

# 023. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/Van Loh Emails/Gmail - Please forward to Brittany.pdf

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Please forward to Brittany 3 messages Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Tue, Dec 7, 2021 at 8:14 AM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Hello Mr. Van Loh, I'd appreciate you forwarding the attached letter to my daughter, Brittany. Thank you, Tim Hutchinson 651.724.1325 Brittany 12-7-2021.pdf 216K Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Mon, Dec 13, 2021 at 3:49 PM To: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Cc: Heidi Nelson <heidi@capvanloh.com> Tim, I did forward your letter to Brittany last week. I apologize for not notifying you that I did so. Dan Daniel J. Van Loh Riverplace One Main Street S.E., Suite 206 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone : 612.827.6300; Facsimile : 612.295.0035 Email : dan@capvanloh.com http://www.CapVanLoh.com

** The office of Capistrant Van Loh is opened and we are available to meet your needs. In-person appointments must be scheduled in advance. Access to the building and our suite is by personal admission only and you are required to wear a face mask in all common areas of the building and our suite. If you don't have one, we will provide one for you. We will continue to be available to connect with clients and the court through phone, email, and Zoom meetings. The Capistrant Van Loh team is here to help! Take care and be healthy. ** [Quoted text hidden] Tim Hutchinson

<mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Mon, Dec 13, 2021 at 4:37 PM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Cc: Heidi Nelson <heidi@capvanloh.com> Thank you very much! Merry Christmas and Happy New Year, Tim [Quoted text hidden]

# 024. MNDES Court Evidence I Submitted/Winessess.docx

WITNESSES

Name

Contact Info

Steve Hutchinson

651-707-6005

Victoria Rademacher

651-724-2562

Alex Hutchinson

651-724-1308

Vanessa Hutchinson

Jake Rademacher

651-497-7889

James Anderson

952-393-9788

Jennifer Hutchinson

651-724-1863

Sonnie Pesina

651-410-8418

Pastor Al Best

320-295-9581

# 025. Sonnie Statement.docx

Good morning your honor. I am here today to make a statement in support of my brother, Tim Hutchinson. I have known him all of my life, which is now more than 50 years. Nothing in these court filings come even close to who my brother really is.

To begin, I will share with you about my only daughter, Jessica. Several months ago she suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Children should bury their parents, not the other way around. This was one of the most devastating things I've experienced in my life. In that time of enormous grief, my brother Tim rushed to my side and remained there as a steady rock for me to hold onto. Without him I don't even know how I would have made it through all of this.

My brother Tim and I are close, and we talk on the phone at least 3 or 4 times a week. At least once a week during our calls, as Tim will be talking about his daughter Brittany, and how much he loves her and wants to see her, he will cry. Not just a little, but actually sobbing. He is truly hurt by these terrible lies about him.

I know my brother had contacted several attorneys looking for a way to resolve this matter outside of a court proceeding – he wanted this to be settled peacefully and privately as a family should. His desire was for this family to come together and resolve this using mediators. The attorneys told him that it was unlikely that Steven would voluntarily agree to this, and that going to the church he worked at would be the best solution. Especially since Steven had previously talked with the church about doing mediation.

My brother had said that he tried contacting the church several times previously and they wouldn't agree to any mediation or investigation. He said he wasn't sure what the next steps were and that he was going to wait until the restraining order expires and see if they can move forward with coming together as a family and working through this.

Because we are family, and especially that he helped me so much when my daughter died, I wanted to find a way to help ease his pain. My thought was to try and get the church to agree to do an investigation or at least mediate this matter. So I contacted family members and friends to see if they would help with a protest at the church. Every person said yes, they absolutely believe Tim is innocent of these outrageous claims.

I then called Tim and explained what we were ready to do. He said no, don't do that. Just wait. As he has said all along, we should practice peace and calm – love and forgiveness. I waited a few days and asked him again. He still said no, don't do anything. So I went online and looked up laws concerning peaceful protests. From that I made a list and told others that if we did this that we would only remain on public property, act peacefully 100% of the time, and if approached by anyone we would be polite and courteous. This was always intended to be a peaceful protest to help my brother, not a riot.

I called my brother a third time and explained how we would act. He agreed and said that everyone there must promise to act in a calm and peaceful manner. My brother also said that he would not attend these protests, due to the lies about him being a danger to the church. He then sent an email to the church's attorney requesting they do an investigation, stating if they didn't there would be a protest. They declined his request. He also sent an email to Steven, through his attorney letting him know what the plan was. He also offered Steven an opportunity to share what ideas he had about how to resolve this. The response was to file another restraining order against my brother.

Tim said he is going to ask for a trial, and that I should call off the protests. So I cancelled them. It's a sad day when a court needs to get involved in order for the truth to come out between family members.

Your honor, I have never heard my brother say anything about being violent or do anything illegal. Instead, he has encouraged his family to remain peaceful and calm, and to act with love and forgiveness. I'm not sure if Tim wants me to say anything about this, but Steven had approached my brother asking him to send him money to help with living expenses. My brother said yes, absolutely. And he has been sending this help every month now for the past 2 and a half years. Even though they say horrible lies about him, he still helps. That's who my brother really is – not some demon crawling out the pit of hell as they want you to believe.

Our family looks up to Tim, and respects him greatly. And we stand beside him 100% because we know who he really is.

Thank you, your honor for the opportunity to come here today and speak this truth on behalf of a great man, husband, father, brother, uncle and American citizen.

# Attorney and Court Complaint

## 026. Archive/Hutchinson Complaint - Original.docx

To whom it may concern.

I am sending the following information to initiate a complaint against an attorney and a court referee. I am including information about both in the same document as the complaints against each stem from the same incident(s) / occurrences.

Purpose

To have Judge Referee Elizabeth Clydesdale (Ramsey County, MN) and Attorney Dan Van Loh (from the law firm Capistrant Van Loh) held accountable for their actions pertaining to and surrounding Harassment Restraining Orders 62-HR-CV-21-362 and 62-HR-CV-21-361.

Reasons

Reasons attorney Dan Van Loh is being reported;

1) Before I agreed to accept the first HRO, Mr. Van Loh told me that if I fought back and won the HRO it would show up on Brittany's background check and could affect her employment and future missionary efforts.

2) I told him before the first HRO hearing a website was not for the public, but instead was a private journal, and the only person I invited to see it was his client, Steven Anderson. He later falsely claimed it was a public website set up to defame Steven.

3) He suggested, and the court referee agreed, that no information or evidence from the first HRO be allowed at the trial for the second HRO. But then my private journal was introduced as evidence as a public website and became the bulk of their claim against me.

4) He went along with all of the blatantly false accusations against me and threw reasoning out the window and went along with all of their hateful and hyper-exaggerated claims.

5) Any time I attempted to find ways to reconcile matters, Mr. Van Loh would state in an email that my continued efforts to work things out with my daughter were only pushing her away. He intentionally made things worse and much more difficult for me to restore peace and harmony in my family.

6) He claimed I was a liar and so was my sister (who had just lost her only daughter, suddenly and unexpectedly. I mentioned this before she was brought into the virtual courtroom to testify.)

Reasons Judge Referee Elizabeth Clydesdale is being reported;

1) When it was my turn to present my testimony, she stopped the proceedings and did not allow me to present any evidence or personal testimony to refute the claims of the plaintiff.

2) She allowed information to be presented that she previously said would not be allowed.

3) Allowed their attorney to speak harshly about my witnesses without any evidence to prove his statements.

4) Did not explain that I was not obligated to answer any of their attorneys' questions.

5) Was not there to seek truth and ultimately justice but instead allowed their attorney to do whatever he please, despite it being obvious I was unable to defend myself.

6) When I made an honest mistake of providing evidence in an improper way before the trial, she harshly berated me in front of the others present, including my daughter.

Background

My name is Tim Hutchinson. I am a 64-year-old man who was with my wife over 31 years. We enjoyed a good life together. That is, until along came a man named Steven Anderson, who works at a Calvary church in Roseville. He began manipulating my daughter (Brittany) soon after dating her. She broke up with him and told me it was because Steven was "too controlling." She met with him again and he "shared his heart" and told her it was because of his upbringing that he was not able to properly express himself. Because of this she began dating him again. And is also the reason I gave him a wide swath of grace and patience. Turns out he took total advantage of that and in the process has caused tremendous damage to our family, and we are now experiencing unimaginable pain. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close). He has her convinced, through lies, that I am a horrible person.

My daughter is an RN who works on a Covid unit at a Twin Cities hospital, and I've not seen or spoken to her since February 2021.

I've asked the church where he works to help mediate this, and they responded by having their lawyer tell me to stay off their property and to not communicate with them. This is, I believe, because of the lies he told them.

At one point in time, I became so distraught over this that I went to a park, at night, and held a gun to my head about to commit suicide. The next day I went to a hospital to learn coping skills as an in-patient.

My daughter's boyfriend then convinced her to file a restraining order against me based upon lies. Afterwards, they married, and I was not allowed at the wedding and did not walk my daughter down the aisle. The first HRO was in 2021. When it was set to expire they filed for an extension, and was granted that. All based upon lies and improper court proceedings.

In October 2023 my wife and I divorced, and we sold our house where we lived for the past 24 years. This is a direct result of the lies that were told about me.

Steven Anderson was able to get away with this, in large part because his attorney lied, and the court referee acted improperly. I am now filing a complaint against both.

Facts;

During the first HRO hearing their attorney, Dan Van Loh and I had a private meeting by way of a Zoom call (the entire hearing was held as a Zoom call, and Mr. Van Loh and I had time to be in a "private room".) During this time, he told me that if I contested this matter and won, that would have a serious impact on my daughter's ability to obtain employment or continue going overseas as a volunteer. She is an RN and has traveled to different countries to help others. This is important to her, and it would be devastating if she could not continue doing so. Mr. Van Loh said that if I contested this matter and

proved their claims were false (which they are), then anyone who did a background check would see that my daughter had filed false documents with the court and would not hire her and allow her to do any more overseas volunteering.

Based upon that I agreed to the 2-year HRO uncontested and without finding of fact. I also agreed to take down a private journal I had online. This private journal is where I documented the events that had happened since Steven Anderson began manipulating my daughter. I am including a copy along with this letter and other evidence to show it is completely innocent. It is important to note that this journal was absolutely private and I only invited Steven over one time to show him that I had all this information and would make it public if he did not stop his manipulation and agree to make peace with me. All I wanted was peace in my family and to have a relationship with my daughter. He copied the entire private journal and used it as evidence against me. When I told his attorney, Mr. Van Loh it was a private online journal, he responded, “That’s what pen and paper are for.”

Nowhere in this journal are there any threats against Steven or my daughter.

Here is the text from the home page of this private journal”

1 Peter 5:8 "...Your enemy the devil prowls around like a roaring lion looking for someone to devour."

and;

John 10:10 "The thief [Satan] comes only to steal and kill and destroy..."

This website is the true story of how one person told evil lies to ruin a great father-daughter relationship. What's even more shocking is that person works at Calvary Church; his name is Steven Anderson - director of youth services.

When the father (Tim Hutchinson) asked Calvary to assist in rectifying the problem, the church had their attorney tell him to not communicate with them, and to stay off their property. Clearly Satan is causing a division based upon lies. This website is being put up to not only expose the lies of the devil, but to also as a call to prayer for Calvary and Steven Anderson, who caused so much heartache.

The dad had become so distraught that he attempted suicide. The response from Steven Anderson and the church, was nothing. No signs of compassion at all. No reaching out to see how he was doing - no offer to pray with him - absolutely nothing at all.

Steven then had a restraining order put in place against that father, and married his daughter. The father could not attend the wedding, or walk his daughter down the aisle.

Despite all of this, the father made 11 attempts at settling things peacefully. Neither the church nor Steven will communicate with him, at all.

This website is a humble request for prayer - a plea for mighty warriors of God to call upon the heavens to intervene - to strike down evil influences and to lift up the broken! For restoration of the relationship that was stolen from the father. For wisdom in the church so they may have a heart more like Christ's - and for healing of Steven's mind so he may awaken and realize that evil has been influencing his decisions, to repent, and come back to the Lord.

Here's some information from the father...

Dear brothers and sisters in Christ, my name is Tim Hutchinson. I am a 60-year-old man, and have been with my wife for 32 years. Thus far we've enjoyed an amazing life together. That is, until along came a man who works at a church in MN. He began manipulating my daughter soon after dating her. Now my family and I are experiencing unimaginable pain. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close). He has her convinced (through lies) that I am a horrible person.

My daughter is an RN who works on a Covid unit at a Twin Cities hospital, and I've not seen or spoken to her since February, 2021.

I've asked the church where he works at to help mediate this, and they responded by having their lawyer tell me to stay off their property and to not communicate with them. I later learned that at least some of the negative actions against me were likely the result of advice given by counselors at the church.

At one point in time I became so distraught over this that I ended up in a park at night, holding a gun to my head about to commit suicide, and ended up in the hospital.

My daughters boyfriend then convinced her to file a restraining order against me based upon lies. Afterwards, they married and I was not allowed at the wedding and did not walk my daughter down the aisle.

As you will see below I have this situation well documented.

I have been hurt at a profound level; first, the relationship between my daughter and I; the wedding I did not get to attend, and did not walk my daughter down the aisle; the suicide attempt; other relationships have been affected, and so has my job. There have been other deep impacts on myself as well. I have become socially withdrawn. This has been a living nightmare. And all as a result of lies. Evil lies which I believe are due to a monster inside of the church and influencing Steven Anderson. A

monster known as Satan. Please, join me in prayer against the evil among us, and for restoration of that which was stolen from me!"

The only thing other than the home page on this private journal, was the journal itself – which again is where I documented the events that happened, including emails, phones and text messages we sent each other.

I took the journal offline during the HRO hearing process. Later, during the filing of the second HRO, Mr. Van Loh would claim this was a "public website" and again try to use this against me.

[Note: there are several times in the above text which refers to my journal as a "website" – that is there in case I made the private journal public, which I never did.]

During the two-year period of the first HRO I sent my daughter letters through her attorney, Mr. Van Loh, as allowed by the HRO. These letters were infrequent and just letting her know that I love her and some updates. At one point in time, I asked Mr. Van Loh to discuss the possibility of reconciliation with Steven. Because of the upsetting nature of my not being able to see or speak with my daughter, I had mentioned the possibility of a lawsuit against Steven. Mr. Van Loh's response was, in part; "…hurting Steven will hurt Brittany which would most assuredly undermine or end any future hope of reconciliation." He also went on to say in another email that Steven does not want to reconcile with me.

As documented in the private journal, I tried 15 times to make peace with Steven, and he refused every attempt.

I did not violate the terms of the first HRO and was waiting for it to expire so I could once again find a way to have a relationship with my daughter. During those two years my family was asking me questions about the HRO and how things happened. It was upsetting for me to keep talking about it, so my idea was to have my youngest daughter interview me and make a video of that. Which we did. I then created a private web page, without links coming into or out of that page (you literally had to know the exact web page address to find it). I then password protected that page and uploaded the 93-minute video and shared the link and password to five of my immediate family members. Once they had watched it, I took that page down. During the time it was up (only a few days) someone shared the link and password with my daughter, Brittany. She showed it to her husband Steven, who then planned to use it against me in filing for an extension of the HRO. You can view this video in its entirety by copying and pasting this private link into your browser:https://youtu.be/ZbYLElTAwO0

The above video is not available to the public. It is only available by my sharing the link above to certain individuals, including yourself for the purpose of filing a complaint.

It is important to know at this point that in January of 2023 my niece suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Her mom is my youngest sister. I stood by her side throughout this terrible time in her life.

Months later she decided to try and help me by offering to organize some peaceful protests at Calvary church where Steven works. Her goal was to get the church to agree to help move Steven towards reconciliation. Her intention were pure and honest. Initially I said no to this. Eventually I agreed when there were assurances that this would be peaceful and with the understanding that I would not participate.

I sent a letter about this to Mr. Van Loh. Shortly afterwards I was served papers for an extension of the HRO, which was to soon expire. I planned to ask for a trial on the hopes that the truth could finally come out. I called my sister and explained this, asking her to stop the plans for a protest.

During the first hearing, I asked for a trial. Mr. Van Loh told Judge Referee Clydesdale that nothing from the first HRO should be allowed in this trial. She agreed.

Prior to the day of the trial I submitted evidence through the Minnesota Digital Exhibit System. I also sent a list of the items submitted to their attorney, Mr. Van Loh. When I did not hear back from him, I called his office. A woman there said that he was not available. She checked the email and said they had it and everything was fine.

On the day of the trial, I found out that I was also supposed to send the evidence to their attorney, and not just a list. I somehow thought they would be able to access it through the Minnesota Digital Exhibit System where I had uploaded it too. The Judge Referee spoke very harshly to me about this honest mistake. She mentioned that this matter would be concluded on that day but did not give any times or reference a length of time it would last. In the papers I was served it mentioned that this trial would last at least 3 hours.

One of the first things that happened was I was found to be in violation of the first HRO because I shared my side of the story with 5 members of my immediate family. To avoid having to tell my story repeatedly, I made a video (as previously mentioned) and shared with to them on a hidden web page that was additionally password protected. Once those 5 people saw the video I took it down. In the court filing for extension of the HRO (which was made on an emergency basis – literally for no reason at all other than dramatic effect) it mentioned the video and said they could provide a transcript if needed. This was clearly an attempt to make it seem as though the video had illegal or violent content. Nothing could be further from the truth. Their statement was intended to be misleading. Some of those who watched the video came to me afterwards and said this confirmed they were right to believe me and not the lies Steven was telling. The video was not shown in court nor was a transcript presented, yet the Judge Referee found this to be in violation of the HRO without knowing any of the content.

It should also be noted here that Brittany admitted to her mom that she did not write all of her HRO filing – Steven did and she just copied & pasted it. So there remains the possibility that she does not even know what her HRO filing says.

During the trial their attorney questioned me at length about the private journal I had online previously. There was really nothing there that was a threat to anyone and seemed like a big waste of time. They had no evidence and no witnesses.

I think the Judge Referee should have informed me that I was not under any obligation to answer Mr. Van Loh's questions and that I have a right to not submit to his questioning.

During the trial their attorney (Mr. Van Loh) acted rudely and was clearly not interested in truth and justice – this was purely as seek and destroy mission for him.

When it was my turn, I was able to call a few witnesses, and was told that I could not ask them questions about anything the Plaintiff's had not already introduced as evidence. This limited what I could do and felt like my opportunity to prove my innocence was being intentionally squashed. For example, I asked if my sister could read a statement. I explained that her daughter had passed away only a few months ago and she was still grieving. The Judge denied that request. Here is the statement she was going to read:

Good morning your honor. I am here today to make a statement in support of my brother, Tim Hutchinson. I have known him all of my life, which is now more than 50 years. Nothing in these court filings comes even close to who my brother really is.

To begin, I will share with you about my only daughter, Jessica. Several months ago she suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Children should bury their parents, not the other way around. This was one of the most devastating things I've experienced in my life. In that time of enormous grief, my brother Tim rushed to my side and remained there as a steady rock for me to hold onto. Without him I don't even know how I would have made it through all of this.

My brother Tim and I are close, and we talk on the phone at least 3 or 4 times a week. At least once a week during our calls, as Tim will be talking about his daughter Brittany, and how much he loves her and wants to see her, he will cry. Not just a little, but actually sobbing. He is truly hurt by these terrible lies about him.

I know my brother had contacted several attorneys looking for a way to resolve this matter outside of a court proceeding – he wanted this to be settled peacefully and privately as a family should. His desire was for this family to come together and resolve this using mediators. The attorneys told him that it was unlikely that Steven would voluntarily agree to this, and that going to the church he worked at would be the best solution. Especially since Steven had previously talked with the church about doing mediation.

My brother had said that he tried contacting the church several times previously and they wouldn't agree to any mediation or investigation. He said he wasn't sure what the next steps were and that he was going to wait until the restraining order expires and see if they can move forward with coming together as a family and working through this.

Because we are family, and especially that he helped me so much when my daughter died, I wanted to find a way to help ease his pain. My thought was to try and get the church to agree to do an investigation or at least mediate this matter. So I contacted family members and friends to see if they would help with a protest at the church. Every person said yes, they absolutely believe Tim is innocent of these outrageous claims.

I then called Tim and explained what we were ready to do. He said no, don't do that. Just wait. As he has said all along, we should practice peace and calm – love and forgiveness. I waited a few days and asked him again. He still said no, don't do anything. So I went online and looked up laws concerning peaceful protests. From that I made a list and told others that if we did this that we would only remain on public property, act peacefully 100% of the time, and if approached by anyone we would be polite and courteous. This was always intended to be a peaceful protest to help my brother, not a riot.

I called my brother a third time and explained how we would act. He agreed and said that everyone there must promise to act in a calm and peaceful manner. My brother also said that he would not attend these protests, due to the lies about him being a danger to the church. He then sent an email to the church's attorney requesting they do an investigation, stating if they didn't there would be a protest. They declined his request. He also sent an email to Steven, through his attorney letting him know what the plan was. He also offered Steven an opportunity to share what ideas he had about how to resolve this. The response was to file another restraining order against my brother.

Tim said he is going to ask for a trial, and that I should call off the protests. So I cancelled them. It's a sad day when a court needs to get involved in order for the truth to come out between family members.

Your honor, I have never heard my brother say anything about being violent or do anything illegal. Instead, he has encouraged his family to remain peaceful and calm, and to act with love and forgiveness. I'm not sure if Tim wants me to say anything about this, but Steven had approached my brother asking him to send him money to help with living expenses. My brother said yes, absolutely. And he has been sending this help every month now for the past 2 and a half years. Even though they say horrible lies about him, he still helps. That's who my brother really is – not some demon crawling out the pit of hell as they want you to believe.

Our family looks up to Tim, and respects him greatly. And we stand beside him 100% because we know who he really is.

Thank you, your honor for the opportunity to come here today and speak this truth on behalf of a great man, husband, father, brother, uncle and American citizen.

Despite being denied a chance for her to read that statement, I was able to ask her questions about it and she answered truthfully. When it was Mr. Van Loh's turn he asked her some questions and then told the Judge that my sister was a liar. I had a few other witnesses, but again felt as though I could not ask

them to share the real truth because of concern their attorney would only attack them, as he did my sister. So I decided it would be best for myself to state the truth. As soon as I said that I was done presenting witnesses and would testify myself, the Judge ended the proceedings and said Mr. Van Loh and myself could make brief closing remarks. I was honestly stunned and felt as though this proceeding was completely unfair and the result of a corrupt court. Their attorney asked for the HRO extension to be for life – meaning I could never again see or speak to my daughter again. He also called my witnesses liars.

In the end Steven got a 10-year extension and Brittany got another 2-years.

All because of lies told by Steven, his attorney acting in an unethical manner, and a Judge Referee that clearly did not care about truth, justice or my family.

End notes:

Earlier in 2023 I contacted the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office and asked them to review this matter. I presented to them all the information that I have in as straightforward and honest way possible. Their response to me was that if I could have the Civil Court refer this matter to them, they would continue their investigation and likely arrest Steven. When I asked what, if anything, I had done wrong, they replied, "Nothing, you're a good, good father, sir." At the time I did not know if there was to be another court hearing and had hoped the first HRO would expire, and we could work things out as a family. If that happened, then I would not have asked the court to refer this to law enforcement. However, when they filed for an extension, I decided to ask for this referral during the trial. I never had the opportunity to do so because I was not allowed to present my testimony.

I tried to hire a lawyer after the issuing of the HRO extension and spoke with several of them about an appeal. None would take the case because I had been found guilty of violating original HRO. I tried to explain it was about the video, but they said it doesn't matter, it is still a violation. So, I decided to do something myself, and it seems my only recourse is to file this complaint. This would have been filed sooner, however there were several exacerbating events; a) I was divorced due to the stress of these lies in the HRO(s). b) Our family home was sold and I became temporarily homeless. c) I faced several health challenges, one of them is I now have heart disease. d) My youngest daughter became addicted to meth, and I rescued her. (She is now clean, stable and working as a supervisor at a well-known hotel chain.)

In addition, even talking about this matter is emotionally upsetting. It took a long time for me to even begin to write this letter of complaint. Having someone that you love so dearly, being stripped out of your life because of a barrage of horrible lies is overwhelming. And to the that result in a failed marriage, loss of the family home, health problems and becoming depressed is unfathomable.

I am asking…no, begging for help with this. Please, dear Lord, let their be someone out there who still believes in truth and justice – someone in a position to help set the truth free and let it defend itself.

I am 100% certain that if the truth were told, then neither the original or the extension would have been granted, I would have a relationship with my daughter, my marriage would not have failed, we would still be in our family home, and my health would be better.

# 027. Attorney/2024.05.29 - L - David Hashmall - Timothy Hutchinson Complaint Response (Dan Van Loh).PDF

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Attorney/2024.05.29 - L - David Hashmall - Timothy Hutchinson Complaint Response (Dan Van Loh).PDF ***

THERESA A. CAPISTRANT DANIEL J. VAN LOH COLLEEN L. CASE – Associate JERRY A. BURG – Of Counsel 219 Main Street SE • Suite 504 • Minneapolis, MN 55414 www. CapVanLoh.com • Tel 612.827.6300 • Fax 612.295.0035 Dan@CapVanLoh.com • Colleen@CapVanLoh.com • Paralegal: Heidi Nelson • Heidi@CapVanLoh.com May 29, 2024 Via Electronic Mail: dhashmall@felhaber.com David L. Hashmall PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL 220 South Sixth Street, Suite 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Re: In the Matter of the Complaint of TIMOTHY A. HUTCHINSON against DANIEL J. VAN LOH (#0297513) Dear Mr. Hashmall: This letter is written in response to the May 15, 2024 Notice of Investigation Pursuant to 8(a), Rules on Lawyers Professional Responsibility, and specifically to the allegation that I violated Rule 4.3. DOCUMENTS PROVIDED Enclosed please find the following: 1. May 27, 2021 Stipulated HRO Following Hearing – Brittany Anderson 2. May 27, 2021 Stipulated HRO Following Hearing – Steven Anderson 3. July 13, 2023 HRO Following Hearing – Brittany Anderson 4. July 13, 2023 HRO Following Hearing – Steven Anderson 5. September 14-October 14, 2021 Email Exchange between Dan Van Loh and Timothy Hutchinson 6. June 4, 2021 Correspondence from Mr. Hutchinson to Brittany (Hutchinson) Anderson 7. May 27, 2021 Court Transcript. CASE BACKGROUND I have represented Steven Anderson and his wife, Brittany (Hutchinson) Anderson since April 2021 on a pro bono basis in two Harassment Restraining Order proceedings against the complainant, Timothy Hutchinson. This factual history is laid out in the HRO Petitions and Affidavits Mr. Hutchinson provided. These submissions demonstrate significant and serious harassment of Steven and Brittany, Mr. Hutchinson's daughter, that stem from his role in the wedding planning of Steven and Brittany. When attempts to reconcile differences were unsuccessful in therapy, Mr. Hutchinson commenced a public campaign to malign Anderson and/or get him fired from his job as a college/young adults pastor at Calvary Church, Roseville, MN. Complainant subsequently made threats that he communicated to Calvary's senior pastor, elder board and legal counsel that he planned to interfere with public worship with a banner campaign against Steven Anderson. The court granted a 10-year extension for the HRO on behalf of Steven Anderson due to the severity of the violations and threats of harassment against Steven that also potentially impacted unrelated and innocent attenders at the church where Steven was employed. The

Court also extended the HRO for two years on behalf of Mr. Hutchinson's daughter, Brittany, for his violations of the May 27, 2021 HRO.

David Hashmall, Esq. May 29, 2024 Page 2 219 Main Street SE • Suite 504 • Minneapolis, MN 55414 www. CapVanLoh.com • Tel 612.827.6300 • Fax 612.295.0035 Dan@CapVanLoh.com • Paralegal: Heidi Nelson • Heidi@CapVanLoh.com Based on Mr. Hutchinson's request in the May 27, 2021 Stipulated HRO, there was an agreed upon exception to the HRO where Mr. Hutchinson " may only contact the Petitioner [Brittany (Hutchinson) Anderson] in writing through her attorney. In any communication sent through counsel, Respondent may not disparage or harass the Petitioner or Steven Anderson. " [Emphasis Added] The HRO made it clear that I represented Brittany and her husband, Steven. Complainant then contacted me in my capacity as the attorney for Steven and Brittany to forward a letter to Brittany, pursuant to the HRO. Notably, the content of the correspondence directly violated the exception to the HRO as it was disparaging and harassing towards Steven Anderson. Mr. Hutchinson further acknowledges my role as Brittany's attorney (and not his attorney) by saying that: " I am fairly certain your lawyer is reading this, so here's the disclaimer. (as I've stated over and over and over again, repeatedly since this conflict began…) Nothing stated here is meant to infer or condone any illegal activities, or anything of a violent nature. I am a law abiding citizen and will comply with all laws, including the Restraining Order." [Emphasis Added] The above statement was made following a bolded caption: " He Treated Me Like a Game – Now I'm Going to Show Him How It's Played ." Having been licensed since November 1999, I have interacted with hundreds of self-represented parties over the past twenty-five years, both in my capacity as an attorney and mediator. I currently serve on the State ADR Ethics Board and understand the importance of establishing clear professional boundaries in whatever representation or ADR capacity I serve. As a mediator, I clarify that I am neutral and that I cannot provide legal advice to either party, represented or not. As a litigator, I take similar precautions with unrepresented parties to first of all determine if they are represented by legal counsel. I then confirm that I represent my client, cannot provide the unrepresented party with legal advice, and they should consult with an attorney if they want legal advice. My interaction with Mr. Hutchison was no exception. I have extensive experience and training with emotionally or mentally dysregulated parties, particularly in deescalating otherwise emotional situations. I at all times treated Mr. Hutchinson with kindness and respect, understanding that any escalation could result in adverse behavior by Mr. Hutchinson towards my clients. RESPONSE TO MAY 8, 2024 EMAIL RESPONSE TO OLPR QUESTIONS . The May 15, 2024 Notice of Investigation instructs me to limit my response to claims related to Rule 4.3, Communication with Unrepresented Parties. It would appear that the OLPR concerns were outlined in the May 3, 2024 letter to Mr. Hutchinson so I will focus my reply on the May 8, 2024 responsive email. 1. Did Mr. Van Loh tell you that his client's interests were different from your own interests? The short answer is: yes . I did and continued to advise Mr. Hutchinson that the interests of my clients were adverse to his legal interests. The nature of my representation of Mr. Hutchinson's daughter, Brittany, and her husband,

Steven, as being adverse to Mr. Hutchinson has always been clearly communicated by me, established with subsequent communication and acknowledged by Mr. Hutchinson. I have neither provided nor suggested any form of legal advice or offered information that could be construed as legal advice to Mr. Hutchinson. His behavior,

 219 Main Street SE • Suite 504 • Minneapolis, MN 55414 www. CapVanLoh.com • Tel 612.827.6300 • Fax 612.295.0035 Dan@CapVanLoh.com • Paralegal: Heidi Nelson • Heidi@CapVanLoh.com written communication to me and May 8, 2024 email to the OLPR reflects Mr. Hutchinson's understanding that his interests were at all times adverse to my clients. a. May 27, 2021 HRO Hearing . My initial contact with Mr. Hutchnson was limited to my office serving my Certificate of Representation (COR) for the May 27, 2021 HRO Hearing and a court facilitated "settlement conference" prior to the hearing. This is standard protocol for HRO's to see if the parties agree to enter into a HRO without findings or the need for trial. At this time, I had not met nor had I exchanged any communication with Mr. Hutchinson, other than my standard service letter with the COR. Mr. Hutchinson appeared at the May 27, 2021 HRO hearing without counsel. My first question when meeting with unrepresented parties is whether they are represented by an attorney. If not, I clarify that my role is on behalf of my client and am not providing them with legal advice and that they should contact an attorney if they wanted legal advice. I followed the same protocol during this court facilitated settlement conference. When he agreed to an HRO without a hearing, the court inquired and confirmed of Mr. Hutchinson that he was willing to be bound by the HRO's even though he didn't have an attorney to give him legal advice ." [Emphasis added.] b. "Mr. Van Loh at times made suggestions in order to get me to change the direction of my approach to this ongoing matter. Example; in an email he said that I should leave my daughter alone so she can heal, instead of hiring a lawyer to sue her husband for defamation of character." (See 1(A) of Mr. Hutchinson's May 8, 2024 Email to the OLPR.) I did not suggest to Mr. Hutchinson that he should not hire an attorney to sue Steven Anderson for defamation. Mr. Hutchinson incorrectly summarized two different statements I made to him, took them out of context and disregarded my comment to him in the first paragraph of the email that prefaces the entire email with my saying " Understanding I represent your daughter Brittany and cannot give you legal advice… . " [Emphasis added.] The conversation to which Mr. Hutchinson is referring is the October 14, 2021 email I sent to him as part of an ongoing conversation regarding his request for arbitration. He begins with a request in his September 15, 2021 email wondering whether I was still representing Steven Anderson. He also noted his intent to retain counsel, both statements reflecting that he was aware I represented Steven and Brittany and not Mr. Hutchinson. The entirety of this email conversation was subsequent to the first paragraph establishing that I represented Steven and Brittany Anderson. I specifically stated the following in the October 14, 2021 email: " I reiterate my comments that your threats of lawsuits or "other moves" undermine your efforts for future reconciliation with Brittany. She needs a break and respectfully request that you respect her

wishes, including with your threatened legal attacks against Steven ." This response was provided after conferring with my client and communicating her wishes; it was clearly not legal advice. I reminded Mr. Hutchinson that his threats and comments were in violation of the HRO and communicated on behalf of my clients their

 219 Main Street SE • Suite 504 • Minneapolis, MN 55414 www. CapVanLoh.com • Tel 612.827.6300 • Fax 612.295.0035 Dan@CapVanLoh.com • Paralegal: Heidi Nelson • Heidi@CapVanLoh.com request that he stop. I at no time communicated or insinuated that Mr. Hutchinson should not hire an attorney. c. "He also lied and said that if I did not accept the first HRO without finding of fact, that my daughter's future employment and prevent her from going on international missionary trips." I did not make this statement nor did I make any representation that could have implied that an HRO against Mr. Hutchinson would have any effect on either of my clients. First of all, my client did not have any international missions trip planned. Second, the suggestion that the HRO would have an adverse effect on a petitioner's employment is factually and logically untrue and I would not have made any such representation. d. "Please see attached file, which contains an email threat that Mr. Van Loh and I had back in 2021. You will notice that what [sic] he says all of their allegations are private unless I share them. " He also says that he represents my daughter and cannot advise me ." (See 1(A) of Mr. Hutchinson's May 8, 2024 Email to the LBPR.) See my response to 1(b) above. I did in fact inform Mr. Hutchinson that I represent his daughter and that I cannot advise him. The comment regarding the public nature of the HRO allegations is contained in the September 16, 2021 email where I responded to Mr. Hutchinson's desire for his family to know whether "Steven's" allegations (noting that his daughter made the same allegations) were accurate or not. I clarified in my September 16, 2021 to Mr. Hutchinson that the allegations contained in Steven and Brittany's HRO Petitions had not been made public or otherwise shared with his family. e. "But what he says to me in a video hearing and on the phone are much different." Mr. Hutchinson does not provide any specific statement I made by phone or at the hearing to which I can provide a response. I would not have made statements offering advice or suggesting that I represent him and further would have clarified in any out of court conversations who I represented, that I could not provide him legal advice, and to consult with an attorney if he wanted legal advice. Any statements in a court hearing would have simply been legal advocacy on behalf of my client. 2. Did You Ask for Mr. Van Loh for his advice ? a. " No, never. He is not my attorney so I would never ask his advice ." I agree that Mr. Hutchinson never asked for my advice. I agree that I was not his attorney and appreciate his acknowledgment and understanding that I was not his attorney. b. But he seemed to have no problem giving it to me without my asking ." My response to the "advice" he alleges is provided in #3 below. 3. What did Mr. Van Loh tell you? a. "He said that if I went to trial when the first HRO was filed, that it would harm my daughter in her future employment and overseas missionary efforts." I neither made nor implied any negative employment repercussion for Brittany if the HRO was contested at an

evidentiary hearing. In fairness to Mr. Hutchinson, he may have confused the two HRO's in his recollection between the HRO filed on behalf of his

 219 Main Street SE • Suite 504 • Minneapolis, MN 55414 www. CapVanLoh.com • Tel 612.827.6300 • Fax 612.295.0035 Dan@CapVanLoh.com • Paralegal: Heidi Nelson • Heidi@CapVanLoh.com daughter, Brittany, and the HRO on behalf of his son-in-law, Steven, who Mr. Hutchinson was actively attempting to get fired from his position at Calvary Church where Steven was employed. But I did not make any such statement in Brittany's case. b. "He also said, "This is what Brittany Wants." Well, Brittany was manipulated by her husband, Steven, through a barrage of terrible lies about me." I acknowledge communicating to Mr. Hutchinson my client's wishes that she did not want to have contact with her father and she did not wish to participate in arbitration over the HRO allegations that were just resolved with a stipulated HRO. Any exchange with Mr. Hutchinson, especially the September 15-October 14, 2021 Email exchange, was contemplated and agreed to by the parties and ordered by the court to have me serve as a conduit for communication between Mr. Hutchinson and Brittany, in the clear capacity as her attorney. c. "The first HRO allowed me to write letters to my daughter and have them delivered through her attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh. After, in an email he said that I should not contact my daughter anymore because it was preventing her from healing. This was in response to a letter I sent with proof her husband was lying." Mr. Hutchinson correctly states that the HRO allowed him to write letters to his daughter and have them delivered through me, her attorney (noting the caveat that the letters were not to be harassing or disparaging of Steven Anderson, a condition that Mr. Hutchinson violated). Hence, in my October 14, 2021 email, I asked for Mr. Hutchinson to refrain from future communication with Steven and Brittany because the content of his letters was in violation of the HRO . See below for the copied/pasted text: • "I appreciate the reply and explanation. We'll have to agree to disagree on your understanding of what constitutes libel and slander. Understanding that I represent your daughter Brittany and cannot give you legal advice, I encourage you to give some thought to whether you are missing the opportunity to understand Brittany's perspective through this process. That said, I do not think that any further dialogue on this issue will be helpful. I remind you of the terms of the HRO given your comment/threat that "a lawsuit, however, is not my next move." Per the HRO, you are to refrain from direct and indirect contact with both Steven and Brittany. Hence, both direct and indirect attempts of communication or behavior with the intention of reaching or impacting Steven and Brittany may be considered a violation of the HRO which may then have criminal penalties for any violations. On behalf of both Steven and Brittany, I am requesting that you refrain from any attempts to communicate with them. I reiterate my comments that your threats of lawsuits or "other moves" undermine your efforts for future reconciliation with Brittany. She needs a break and respectfully requests that you respect her wishes, including with your threatened legal attacks against Steven." [–October 14, 2021 Email from Dan Van Loh to Timothy Hutchinson' Emphasis Added]

 This dialogue was pursuant to a stipulated court order for Mr. Hutchinson to communicate with me as Brittany's attorney. My comments to Mr. Hutchinson confirmed again what had already been established in the initial September 14, 2021 email - that I do not represent Mr. Hutchinson. I was not providing him with legal advice. 4. Did Mr. Van Loh advise you to secure counsel?---"No, never." I did not advise Mr. Hutchinson to secure counsel in writing . I told him at the May 27, 2021 HRO settlement conference that I was not his attorney, I represented Steven and Brittany Anderson and therefore could not provide him with legal advice. If he wanted legal advice, he should consult with an attorney. Rule 4.3(d) provides that " a lawyer shall not give legal advice to the unrepresented person, other than the advice to secure counsel, if the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the interests of the unrepresented person are or have a reasonable possibility of being in conflict with the interests of the client ." Notably, the rule does not place on the attorney an affirmative duty to always and/or repeatedly advise unrepresented parties to secure legal counsel, only that this should be the only advice given, if any. Furthermore, Rule 4.3 is silent about an ongoing duty to advise unrepresented parties to secure counsel. The comments to Rule 4.3 are helpful. Comment 2 states that: The rule distinguishes between situations involving unrepresented persons whose interests may be adverse to those of the lawyer's client and those in which the person's interests are not in conflict with the client's. In the former situation, the possibility that the lawyer will compromise the unrepresented person's interests is so great that the rule prohibits the giving of any advice, apart from the advice to obtain counsel. Whether a lawyer is giving impermissible advice may depend on the experience and sophistication of the unrepresented person, as well as the setting in which the behavior and comments occur. This rule does not prohibit a lawyer from negotiating the terms of a transaction or settling a dispute with an unrepresented person. So long as the lawyer has explained that the lawyer represents a party whose interests are adverse and is not representing the person, the lawyer may inform the person of the terms on which the lawyer's client will enter into an agreement or settle a matter, prepare documents that require the person's signature and explain the lawyer's own view of the meaning of the document or the lawyer's view of the underlying legal obligations. [Emphasis added.] • My interaction with Mr. Hutchinson related to the first HRO was limited to the May 27, 2021 HRO court hearing where I appeared on behalf of my clients. Hence, there is no reasonable inference that Mr. Hutchinson could have drawn that his interests were anything but adverse to the interests of my clients. • Mr. Hutchinson acknowledged several times in his own filing to the OLPR that I was not his attorney.

 • I established in the May 27, 2021 settlement conference that

occurred outside the courtroom that I represented Steven and Brittany Anderson, I was not Complainant's attorney, could not give him legal advice and advised him of his right to counsel. • Mr. Hutchinson acknowledged on the record at the May 27, 2021 hearing that he was aware of and waived his right to counsel. • My September-October, 2021 email exchange with Mr. Hutchinson was pursuant to a court order and on behalf of my client, Brittany Anderson. • My representation of Steven (and Brittany) was confirmed at the beginning and end of the September-October, 2021 email exchange with Mr. Hutchinson, including language that I represented Steven and Brittany and could not give him legal advice. 5. What else did Mr. Van Loh do, or not do, which you believe is unethical? Per the Notice of Investigation, I am not responding to this allegation because Mr. Hutchinson's responses are unrelated to Rule 4.3. Please let me know if any response is required. SUMMARY As I write my response, my clients and I share a concern that Mr. Hutchinson will misappropriate any information herein. If any of my response is shared with him, I request that he be advised that the information is not to be disseminated outside of the investigatory proceedings. I ask that you consider the entirety of Mr. Hutchinson's ongoing campaign to harass and harm my client, Steven Anderson, which includes the Court finding that both Mr. Hutchinson and his witnesses lacked credibility at the second HRO evidentiary hearing on July 13, 2023. ( Notably, Mr. Hutchinson has also filed an ethics complaint against the presiding referee, The Hon. Elizabeth Clysdale .) Comment 1 to Rule 4.3 identifies a legitimate concern that an unrepresented person might assume that a lawyer is a disinterested authority on the law. The comment goes on to state that " In order to avoid a misunderstanding, a lawyer will typically need to identify the lawyer's client and, where the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the interests are adverse, disclose that the client has interests opposed to those of the unrepresented person. " This in fact was communicated to and acknowledged by Mr. Hutchinson. I acknowledge the lack of written communication with Mr. Hutchinson advising him to secure counsel. Comment 2 to Rule 4.3 gives consideration to the setting in which the behavior or acts are alleged to have occurred. My role at the May 27, 2021 and July 13, 2023 HRO hearings was innately adversarial where it was on the record with a presiding judicial officer. Hence, it is neither customary nor appropriate to preface open courtroom conversations with unrepresented parties with a caveat that the unrepresented litigant should consult with an attorney before answering questions, presenting evidence, calling witnesses or reaching agreements. When we discussed settlement outside the courtroom, I advised him verbally to consult with an attorney in conjunction with my informing him that I could not provide him with legal advice because my client's interest was adverse to his legal interests.

# 028. Attorney/Dan Van Loh emails.txt

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Attorney/Dan Van Loh emails.txt ***

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com>

Wed, Sep 15, 2021, 9:46 AM

to Dan

Hello Mr. Van Loh,

Checking to see if you are still representing Steven Anderson? I ask because I would like to give him a chance to settle our disagreement. Starting in October I will begin searching for an attorney. Once I hire legal representation, this window of opportunity will be closed.

Thank you for your attention to this matter,

Tim Hutchinson

Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com>

Wed, Sep 15, 2021, 9:58 AM

to me

Tim,

Thank you for your email. Yes, I am still representing Steven Anderson. I appreciate your reaching out to me in an attempt to settle your disagreement. Can you please clarify for me which disagreement you wish to settle with Steven so that I can apprise him accordingly? What is your proposal for resolution or request of Steven?

I look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you,

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com>

Wed, Sep 15, 2021, 11:49 AM

to Dan

Hello Mr. Van Loh,

My goal is a relationship with my daughter Brittany, and healing for broken relationships in my family; most notably her siblings that do not have any contact with her. As a father, this is heartbreaking to see.

Arbitration seems like a reasonable move. Right now, mediation would not offer a resolution I'd be happy with - I need accountability for past actions. At this time I cannot simply "kiss-and-makeup". My family, Brittany included, deserves to know whether Steven's accusations against me are accurate or not.

If he/they are agreeable to arbitration, then I further suggest we split the cost of the arbitration services. If the ruling is in my favor, then they should request the court to dismiss the restraining order, so Brittany and I can go to counseling to try and heal our relationship.

At this time I am not open to having a relationship with Steven. If things work out to where Brittany and I are back on speaking terms, then I can be sociable with Steven, but nothing more.

Awaiting their response,

Tim Hutchinson

Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com>

Thu, Sep 16, 2021, 10:50 AM

to me

Tim,

Thank you for the explanation.  I m not sure that reconciliation of a father-daughter relationship for and adult daughter is something that can be arbitrated.  The accusations to which you refer have not been adjudicated because you agreed to accept the HRO without a hearing and without admitting anything. Hence, there is no public sharing of the allegations, unless you have shared Steven and Brittany s affidavits with others, otherwise the allegations remain between you and them.  On this note, can you please verify that you have removed the public website that contained your disparaging comments about Steven? This was required as part of the HRO.

I m sorry that I cannot offer a better solution, Tim.  I m sure this is difficult. I m happy to speak with an attorney who contacts me on your behalf, but there is simply not a legal process through the HRO to force relational reconciliation with an adult child. This is not to say that there is never a hope for healing and reconciliation, just not through the legal process.

Thank you,

Dan

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com>

Sep 16, 2021, 11:45 AM

to Dan

Mr. Van Loh,

The website you are referring to was offline before the conclusion of the HRO hearing. In fact, I had already notified the hosting company of my intent to cancel hosting prior to being originally served.

My intent in reaching out to you was to see if there was a way to handle this situation without my retaining a lawyer and suing Steven. Once I proceed in that direction there is no turning back, and it will become a publicly known matter. I firmly maintain that I am a victim and am innocent of all accusations of Steven. It is only out of a desire to peacefully restore a relationship with my daughter that I extend this one-time offer to settle things before hiring an attorney.

Regards,

Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com>

Sep 16, 2021, 11:48 AM

to me

Tim,

What are the damages that you are alleging and what is the legal basis for any claims? Are you just referring to the allegations in Steven s HRO petition because these allegations were repeated by Brittany as well. I m still trying to understand what you feel that Steven has done that can be remedied for me to see if there is a solution.

Can you clarify this for me?

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com>

Sep 16, 2021, 12:45 PM

to Dan

Dan,

As for the damages, let's start with the relationship between Brittany and I. Next there is the wedding I did not get to attend, and did not walk my daughter down the aisle. There's also the suicide attempt for which I ended up in the hospital. Other relationships have been affected, and so has my job. There have been other deep impacts on myself as well. This has been a living nightmare. And all as a result of Steven's lies.

The allegations in Steven's HRO are a lie. They are repeated in Brittany's because it appears they were copied from Steven's. At one point in Brittany's HRO it refers to "Brittany and I..." I'm convinced Brittany went along blindly with this and doesn't really know what the HRO says.

Let me be perfectly clear; I fully intend to take him to court and sue for damages resulting from his lies. It is only because I am a peaceful person that this opportunity to handle things outside a courtroom is being presented. With all due respect to you, sir, I really don't want to go back-and-forth through email

with you like this. Either they want an opportunity to resolve this between us, or they are sticking with their story and not willing to move forward towards a resolution.

Thank you,

Tim Hutchinson

Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com>

Sep 16, 2021, 4:50 PM

to me

Tim,

Thank you for the clarification. I will discuss your proposal with Steven and get back to you. I just needed to be sure what the legal issues are so that I can advise him. Feel free to check back with me next week if I don t get back to you by next Thursday.

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com>

Sep 16, 2021, 9:34 PM

to Dan

Mr. Van Loh,

Thank you. Hope you have an enjoyable weekend.

Kind regards,

Tim

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com>

Sep 24, 2021, 2:57 PM

to Dan

Hello Mr. Van Loh,

Checking in to see if there's any update?

Kind regards,

Tim Hutchinson

Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com>

Oct 12, 2021, 6:40 PM

to me

Tim,

I apologize for my delayed response.  I ve discussed your invitation to arbitrate with Steven and, without a valid legal issue to resolve, I don t see arbitration as helpful or beneficial.  I m aware of your threats to sue Steven which appear to contradict your hope of reconciliation with your daughter, Brittany, as hurting Steven will hurt Brittany which would most assuredly undermine or end any future hope of reconciliation.

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com>

Oct 13, 2021, 11:08 AM

to Dan

Mr. Van Loh,

No valid legal issue? There has been documented slander and libel which has resulted in personal tort. The allegations in the restraining order are blatant lies, and that rises to the level of perjury.

All along Steven has not offered any potential resolutions; none whatsoever - he's only said no to my suggestions, or ignored them. It seems clear to me that he does not want this resolved. Why? Is it because he's afraid of the truth coming out?

As far as undermining or ending any future hope of reconciliation; I am offended that you and/or Steven would pretend to know more than I what's best for Brittany. I'm her dad. I co-created her, raised her, gave up my heart, mind and soul to help her in any way that I ever could as a father. I was there for her 100%, all of the time and she means more to me than anything in this life. We were like 2-peas-in-a-pod until Steven began telling her horrible lies. My singular goal is for the truth to come out, so my daughter sees I am not the person Steven has wrongly convinced her of. I've tried settling this peacefully many times and Steven has put no effort at all into a resolution. If Steven is hurt in the process, then that's on him.

If I have to sue him, then that's only because he has refused every other suggestion that I've made, all while not offering any possibilities to resolve this. Brittany will eventually learn the truth - and that makes it all worthwhile. A lawsuit, however, is not my next move.

It's coming - soon. I will never give up on my daughter. Not ever! The truth WILL come out.

Tim

P.S. My other family members do not believe the lies Steven has told either - and they are supportive of my efforts to expose the truth.

Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com>

Oct 14, 2021, 9:39 AM

to me

Tim,

I appreciate the reply and explanation.  We ll have to agree to disagree on your understanding of what constitutes libel and slander. Understanding that I represent your daughter Brittany and cannot give you legal advice, I encourage you to give some thought to whether you are missing the opportunity to understand Brittany s perspective through this process.

That said, I do not think that any further dialogue on this issue will be helpful.  I remind you of the terms of the HRO given your comment/threat that  a lawsuit, however, is not my next move.  Per the HRO, you are to refrain from direct and indirect contact with both Steven and Brittany. Hence, both direct and indirect attempts of communication or behavior with the intention of reaching or impacting Steven and Brittany may be considered a violation of the HRO which may then have criminal penalties for any violations.  On behalf of both Steven and Brittany, I am requesting that you refrain from any attempts to communicate with them.

# 029. Attorney/Investigstor 2nd response.txt

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Attorney/Investigstor 2nd response.txt ***

Hello Mr. Hashmall,

Thank you for your time yesterday - I appreciate your efforts investigating this matter.

In addition to my comments on the phone, I would also like to add the following observation. It is interesting that Mr. Van Loh sent so many pages, when it seems he could have directly answered the question(s) in a page or two. It is also interesting that he begins by insinuating that I am the problem.

However, back in reality, I have actually never threatened or harassed either my daughter Brittany, or Steven. Not ever. Those are all lies. They have no witnesses or evidence. None. I have all the witnesses and all the evidence which proves Steven is a liar and a manipulator.

My entire family believes me, not just because I say so, but also because they have read the HRO and in places where Steven claims a certain event or events happened, they realized they were actually there and are saying the events that Steven described literally never happened or were altered to make me

appear to be an aggressor. They have also had their own personal negative experience(s) with Steven. According to them, when Steven is not around Brittany he acts much differently. Sort of a Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde effect.

There is a reason 2 of 3 of Brittany's siblings did not attend her wedding, and neither did anyone from my side of the family. Some have had their own personal negative experience with Steven, some have caught him in lies, and others noticed the lack of evidence, witnesses, and credibility of Steven, and made their own decision.

So, it seems Steven is a manipulator, and he conned the church where he works at, and then got Mr. Van Loh involved. Since they have no witnesses or evidence, how did they get two consecutive HRO's against me? Through lies, calling my witnesses liars and by the judge referee not allowing my evidence and not allowing me to testify.

At one point in time, I had stated that if Steven wants to treat me like a game, then I will show him how it's played.

So, I took all of the evidence that I had and brought it to the Ramsey County Sheiff's Office. The end result is they told me that if I could get the Civil Court which issued the HRO to refer this matter to them, they would complete the criminal investigation and likely arrest Steven. It is important to note here that I presented ALL of the evidence, not just bits and pieces as Steven does through his attorney, Mr. Van Loh. And when I asked what I had done wrong, the response was "Nothing sir, you are a good good father."

I was never allowed the opportunity during trial to request this referral.

My family knows the truth, stands with me 100% and are losing faith in the "justice" system. They have seen how Steven cleverly phrases things to make me look like a horrible person. I walk in to a building and Steven claims I "gained access" as if I were a criminal breaking in - or when he called me and I asked if my wife and I would be able to meet his mom and dad before the wedding, and he then claimed I was taking issue with wedding plans - or once I called him and asked if we could get together and hang out, he stated in the HRO that I was making an increasing number of harassing phone calls. He also told my daughter that he asked me for money and that I promised to help but didn't. In reality, I sent him money every month for 2 1/2 years and have the receipts to prove this. My family sees the avalanche of lies and manipulation. They know the truth.

I actually feel sorry for Mr. Van Loh getting caught up in all of this and ask that you go easy on him. Yes, there needs to be accountability, but Steven is a good liar and manipulator, and it seems Mr. Van Loh fell into his trap.

Kind regards,

# 030. Attorney/Letter sent with filing.docx

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Attorney/Letter sent with filing.docx ***

To whom it may concern,

Contained in these court documents are a barrage of terrible lies against me. Lies that have harmed me and my family. Lies that I was not allowed to defend myself against. Events in these files are either completely fabricated or hyper-exaggerated. During the first HRO hearing in 2021 I was told, by their attorney, that if I defended myself against these filings, it would harm my daughter's employment and international volunteer activities. Then when they filed for an extension in 2023, again with documents that contain even more terrible lies, I decided that I needed to stand against this and asked for a trial. During the trial, over half of what they presented was my private journal, which the Judge Referee had said previously would not be allowed. When it was my turn to provide my own testimony and refute those terrible lies, the proceeding was ended, and I was not allowed to defend myself.

I am not alone in this. Other than my 3rd child, Brittany, who filed the HRO against me after being manipulated by her fiancé / husband, there is not one other person in my family who believes any of their lies. None. There is a reason why 2 of Brittany's 3 siblings dd not attend her wedding, and no one from my side of the family did either. Because they all know what is being said about me is absolutely lies. My other children and I have an excellent relationship.

If either of the HRO hearings and subsequent trial were held in a fair manner, there is no way these HRO's would be issued. In fact, had the truth been allowed to come out, Steven would be in jail right now. The Ramsey County Sheriff's Office told me that if the court referred this to them, they would complete their investigation and likely arrest him. But this didn't happen – justice was not allowed to happen because the Judge Referee and their attorney both acted improperly.

Respectfully submitted,

# 031. Attorney/Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility.txt

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Attorney/Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility.txt ***

Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility

445 Minnesota Street

Suite 2400

St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2139

651-296-3952

1-800-657-3601

Documents must be mailed within 7 days to:

Board on Judicial Standards

1270 Northland Drive, Suite 160

# 032. Attorney/Questions from Lawyers Professional Responsibility.txt

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Attorney/Questions from Lawyers Professional Responsibility.txt ***

1. Did Mr. Van Loh tell you that his client s interests were different from

your own interests?

A) Mr. Van Loh at times made suggestions in order to get me to change the direction of my approach to this ongoing matter. Example; in an email he said that I should leave my daughter alone so she can heal, instead of hiring a lawyer to sue her husband for defamation of character. He also lied and said that if I did not accept the first HRO without finding of fact, that my daughter's future employment and prevent her from going on international missionary trips.

Please see attached file, which contains an email thread that Mr. Van Loh and I had back in 2021. You will notice that what he says all of their allegations are private unless I share them. He also says that he represents my daughter and cannot advise me. But what he says to me in a video hearing and on the phone are much different. You will notice where I mistakenly refer to my private online journal as a website. That was a simple mistake on my part.

2. Did you ask Mr. Van Loh for his advice?

A) No, never. He is not my attorney so I would never ask his advice. But he seemed to have no problem giving it to me without my asking.

3. What did Mr. Van Loh tell you?

A) He said that if I went to trial when the first HRO was filed, that it would harm my daughter in her future employment and overseas missionary efforts.

B) He also said "This is what Brittany wants." Well, Brittany was manipulated by her husband, Steven, through a barrage of terrible lies about me.

C) The first HRO allowed me to write letters to my daughter and have them delivered through their attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh. Later, in an email he said that I should not contact my daughter anymore because it was preventing her from healing. This was in response to a letter I sent with proof her husband was lying.

4. Did Mr. Van Loh advise you to secure counsel?

No, never.

5. What else did Mr. Van Loh do, or not do, which you believe was

unethical?

A) During the trial for the second HRO, he repeatedly introduced and/or referred to my private online journal as a "public website", and claimed it was set up to defame his client. I clearly told Mr. Van Loh that it was a private journal and that only his client had seen it, and only one time. His response was, "That's what pen and paper are for." So he knew - but continued to present it as a public website. He even referred to it as a public website in an email to me asking if it was taken down yet.

B) Everything in the first and second filing were either completely fabricated and/or hyper-exaggerated. Mr. Van Loh should have advised his clients on the penalties for making false claims and filing false paperwork in court. Clearly, any resonable person reading the filings would have to question whether they were genuine or fabricated.

C) During the trial it was obvious that I was so emotionally distraught that I could not properly defend myself. And, in the end I was not allowed to present any testimony. Any one truly interested in the truth as well as justice for my family, would not have continued to attack me in the manner he did. He took obvious delight in attacking me, and mocking my witnesses by openly calling the liars. He even asked for the HRO to be for life.

D) During the trial he would object to my questions before they were even asked. And the referee sustained his objections.

E) After the first HRO was granted (because of Mr. Van Loh's lies to me), I asked if there was a way to resolve this. He asked if I had any suggestions. When I responded with an idea, he ridiculed me and restated that I should just leave my daughter alone so she can heal. He also said that Steven does not want to reconcile with me. If Mr. Van Loh was truly interested in the truth and justice for our family, then he could have helped bring peace. But instead he chose to deepen the divide - a divide brought about by lies that he participated in.

# 033. Attorney/The Reasons.txt

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Attorney/The Reasons.txt ***

Five reasons to report their attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh to the MN State Bar Association;

1)Before I agreed to accept the first HRO, Mr. Van Loh told me that if I fought back and won the HRO it would show up on Brittany's background check and could affect her employment and future missionary efforts.

2)I told him before the first HRO hearing the website was not for the public, but instead was a private journal, and the only person I invited to see it was Steven.

3)He suggested to the court referee that no information or evidence from the first hearing be allowed at the trial for the second hearing, but then the website (my private journal) was introduced as evidence and became the bulk of their claim against me.

4)He went along with all of the blatantly false accusations against me, and threw reasoning out the window and went along with all of their hateful claims.

5)He claimed I was a liar and so was my sister (who had just lost her only daughter, suddenly and unexpectedly. I mentioned this before she was brought into the virtual courtroom to testify.)

# 034. Attorney/Van Loh Complaint Results.pdf

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Attorney/Van Loh Complaint Results.pdf ***

# 035. Attorney/Van Loh Response/1.0 - 2021.05.27 - HRO following hearing (Brittany).PDF

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Attorney/Van Loh Response/1.0 - 2021.05.27 - HRO following hearing (Brittany).PDF ***

2 nd Judicial District Local Form Revised 04/19/2021 State of Minnesota District Court Ramsey County Second Judicial District Court File Number: 62-HR-CV-21-362 Case Type: Harassment Order Granting Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing (Minn. Stat. §609.748) Brittany Hutchinson Vs Timothy Allyn Hutchinson This matter was heard by Clysdale, Elizabeth, Judge/Referee of District Court, on May 27, 2021. Appearances: Brittany Hutchinson, Petitioner, present DANIEL VAN LOH, Attorney, present Timothy Hutchinson, Respondent, present Pro Se Also appearing was: Based upon the evidence and all the files, records and proceedings in this matter, the court finds: 1. The Respondent denies the allegations of the Petition but has no objection to the issuance of a Restraining Order. The

court makes no findings of harassment. 2. There are reasonable grounds to believe that Respondent has engaged in harassment which has or is intended to have a substantial adverse effect on safety, security, or privacy of Petitioner or the minor children or ward(s) of Petitioner by committing the following acts: Physically or sexually assaulted the Petitioner as follows: Followed, monitored, or pursued the Petitioner as follows: Made uninvited visits to the Petitioner as follows: Made harassing phone calls or sent harassing text messages to the Petitioner as follows: Made threats to the Petitioner as follows: Frightened Petitioner with threatening behavior as follows: Called the Petitioner abusive names as follows: Damaged Petitioner's property as follows: Broke into and entered Petitioner's residence as follows: Stole property from Petitioner as follows: Took pictures of the Petitioner without permission of the Petitioner as follows: Disseminated private sexual images of the Petitioner without permission of the Petitioner as follows: Used personal information, without consent, to invite, encourage, or solicit a third party to engage in a sexual act with the Petitioner as followings: Did acts repeatedly that meet the legal definition of "targeted residential picketing" as follows: Attended public events after being notified that Respondent's presence at the events is harassing to Petitioner as follows: Used social media to harass Petitioner as following: Other: 3. The relief granted by this order may be for a period of up to 50 years based on the finding that: The petitioner has had two or more previous restraining order in effect against the same respondent; or The respondent has violated a prior or existing restraining order on two or more occasions.

2 nd Judicial District Local Form Revised 04/19/2021 IT IS ORDERED: 1. Respondent shall not harass Petitioner Petitioner's minor childred or ward(s List minor children or ward(s) included in the Petition: a. Respondent shall have no direct or indirect contact with Petitioner Petitioner's minor children or ward(s), including any visits to or phone calls to the protected person(s), contact via electronic means such as email or social networking sites, threats or assaultive behavior to the protected person(s), damaging or stealing property belonging to the protected person(s), breaking into and entering the protected person(s) residence, and/or taking pictures of a protected person without permission of the Petitioner. b. The relief granted does not extend to the Petitioner's minor children or ward(s). The relief requested for the Petitioner's minor children or ward(s) is denied because the harassment is not directed against the Petitioner's minor children or ward(s). c. Respondent is prohibited from being within 2 city blocks of Petitioner's home at 1229 Rose Vista Ct Apartment 7, Roseville, MN 55113.. Except as following: d. Petitioner's address is confidential. If Respondent knows or learns of Petitioner's address, Respondent is prohibited from being within of Petitioner's home. e. Respondent is prohibited from being within 2 city blocks of Petitioner's job site at University of Minnesota Medical Center, 500 SE Harvard St, Minneapolis, MN 55455. This restriction shall include the patient/visitor parking ramp on the corner of SE Harvard St and Delaware St. Except as follows: f. Other: Respondent may only contact the Petitioner in writing through her attorney. In any communication sent through counsel, Respondent may not disparage or harass the Petitioner or Steven Anderson. 2. Any requested relief that is not

specifically listed above is denied. 3. This Order shall remain in effect until MAY 27, 2023, unless changed by a later court order. (Date not to exceed two years unless findings made to support longer order.) 4. The Court Administrator shall send a copy of this Order to the following law enforcement agency(s): Ramsey County Sheriff's Department and Roseville Police Department. Every police department and sheriff's office in the United States, including those affiliated with tribal and territorial lands, is responsible for enforcing this Order under 18 U.S.C. § 2265 Full Faith and Credit of Protective Orders. 5. If Respondent is an organization, this order shall / shall not apply to all members of the organization. 6. Other: 7. The sheriff of any county in Minnesota, or a peace officer, shall perform the duties relating to serving this Order without charge to Petitioner. 8. Respondent is restrained from harassing, stalking, or threatening the protected person(s), or engaging in other conduct that would place the protected person(s) in reasonable fear of bodily injury to that person; and is prohibited from the use; attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against the protected person(s) that would reasonably be expected to cause bodily injury. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8)(B) and (C).

2 nd Judicial District Local Form Revised 04/19/2021 NOTICE Any conduct by the Respondent in violation of the specific provisions provided in the "It Is Ordered" section above constitutes a violation of this Harassment Restraining Order. A police officer shall arrest the Respondent without warrant and take the Respondent to jail if the police officer believes the Respondent has violated this Order, and shall hold the Respondent in jail for at least 36 hours, excluding the day of arrest, Sundays, and legal holidays, unless the Respondent is released by a judge or judicial officer. Violation of this Harassment Restraining Order may be treated as a misdemeanor, gross misdemeanor, or felony. A misdemeanor violation may result in a sentence of up to 90 days in jail and/or a fine of $1000.00. Some repeat violations are gross misdemeanors that may result in a sentence of up to one year in jail and/or a $3,000.00 fine. Other violations are felonies that may result in a sentence of imprisonment for up to five years and/or a fine of $10,000.00. Federal law may prohibit shipping, transporting, possessing or receiving firearms or ammunition while this order is in effect. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8). If the court grants this Harassment Restraining Order for a period of up to 50 years under Minn. Stat. §609.748 subd. 5, the Respondent must wait 5 years to seek modification of the Harassment Restraining Order. Dated: Referee of District Court Dated: Judge of District Court

# 036. Attorney/Van Loh Response/2.0 - 2021.05.27 - HRO following hearing (Steven).PDF

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Attorney/Van Loh Response/2.0 - 2021.05.27 - HRO following hearing (Steven).PDF ***

2 nd Judicial District Local Form Revised 04/19/2021 State of Minnesota District Court Ramsey County Second Judicial District Court File Number: 62-HR-CV-21-361 Case Type: Harassment Order

Granting Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing (Minn. Stat. §609.748) Steven James Anderson Vs Timothy Allyn Hutchinson This matter was heard by Clysdale, Elizabeth, Judge/Referee of District Court, on May 27, 2021. Appearances: Steven Anderson, Petitioner, present DANIEL VAN LOH, Attorney, present Timothy Hutchinson, Respondent, present Pro Se Also appearing was: Based upon the evidence and all the files, records and proceedings in this matter, the court finds: 1. The Respondent denies the allegations of the Petition but has no objection to the issuance of a Restraining Order. The court makes no findings of harassment. 2. There are reasonable grounds to believe that Respondent has engaged in harassment which has or is intended to have a substantial adverse effect on safety, security, or privacy of Petitioner or the minor children or ward(s) of Petitioner by committing the following acts: Physically or sexually assaulted the Petitioner as follows: Followed, monitored, or pursued the Petitioner as follows: Made uninvited visits to the Petitioner as follows: Made harassing phone calls or sent harassing text messages to the Petitioner as follows: Made threats to the Petitioner as follows: Frightened Petitioner with threatening behavior as follows: Called the Petitioner abusive names as follows: Damaged Petitioner's property as follows: Broke into and entered Petitioner's residence as follows: Stole property from Petitioner as follows: Took pictures of the Petitioner without permission of the Petitioner as follows: Disseminated private sexual images of the Petitioner without permission of the Petitioner as follows: Used personal information, without consent, to invite, encourage, or solicit a third party to engage in a sexual act with the Petitioner as followings: Did acts repeatedly that meet the legal definition of "targeted residential picketing" as follows: Attended public events after being notified that Respondent's presence at the events is harassing to Petitioner as follows: Used social media to harass Petitioner as following: Other: 3. The relief granted by this order may be for a period of up to 50 years based on the finding that: The petitioner has had two or more previous restraining order in effect against the same respondent; or The respondent has violated a prior or existing restraining order on two or more occasions.

2 nd Judicial District Local Form Revised 04/19/2021 IT IS ORDERED: 1. Respondent shall not harass Petitioner Petitioner's minor childred or ward(s List minor children or ward(s) included in the Petition: a. Respondent shall have no direct or indirect contact with Petitioner Petitioner's minor children or ward(s), including any visits to or phone calls to the protected person(s), contact via electronic means such as email or social networking sites, threats or assaultive behavior to the protected person(s), damaging or stealing property belonging to the protected person(s), breaking into and entering the protected person(s) residence, and/or taking pictures of a protected person without permission of the Petitioner. b. The relief granted does not extend to the Petitioner's minor children or ward(s). The relief requested for the Petitioner's minor children or ward(s) is denied because the harassment is not directed against the Petitioner's minor children or ward(s). c. Respondent is prohibited from being within 2 city blocks of Petitioner's home at 2395 3rd St NW Apartment 202, New Brighton, MN 55112. Except as following: d. Petitioner's address is confidential. If Respondent knows or learns of Petitioner's

address, Respondent is prohibited from being within of Petitioner's home. e. Respondent is prohibited from being within 2 ccity blocks of Petitioner's job site(s) at Reliant Mission/Calvary Church, 2120 Lexington Ave N, Roseville, MN 55112 and 4604 Greenhaven Dr, White Bear Township, MN 55127.. Except as follows: Unless the Petitioner and/or the church gives written permission. f. Other: Respondent shall immediately remove the website: http://dirtysteven.com 2. Any requested relief that is not specifically listed above is denied. 3. This Order shall remain in effect until MAY 27, 2023, unless changed by a later court order. (Date not to exceed two years unless findings made to support longer order.) 4. The Court Administrator shall send a copy of this Order to the following law enforcement agency(s): Ramsey County Sheriff's Department and New Brighton Police Department. Every police department and sheriff's office in the United States, including those affiliated with tribal and territorial lands, is responsible for enforcing this Order under 18 U.S.C. § 2265 Full Faith and Credit of Protective Orders. 5. If Respondent is an organization, this order shall / shall not apply to all members of the organization. 6. Other: 7. The sheriff of any county in Minnesota, or a peace officer, shall perform the duties relating to serving this Order without charge to Petitioner. 8. Respondent is restrained from harassing, stalking, or threatening the protected person(s), or engaging in other conduct that would place the protected person(s) in reasonable fear of bodily injury to that person; and is prohibited from the use; attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against the protected person(s) that would reasonably be expected to cause bodily injury. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8)(B) and (C).

2 nd Judicial District Local Form Revised 04/19/2021 NOTICE Any conduct by the Respondent in violation of the specific provisions provided in the "It Is Ordered" section above constitutes a violation of this Harassment Restraining Order. A police officer shall arrest the Respondent without warrant and take the Respondent to jail if the police officer believes the Respondent has violated this Order, and shall hold the Respondent in jail for at least 36 hours, excluding the day of arrest, Sundays, and legal holidays, unless the Respondent is released by a judge or judicial officer. Violation of this Harassment Restraining Order may be treated as a misdemeanor, gross misdemeanor, or felony. A misdemeanor violation may result in a sentence of up to 90 days in jail and/or a fine of $1000.00. Some repeat violations are gross misdemeanors that may result in a sentence of up to one year in jail and/or a $3,000.00 fine. Other violations are felonies that may result in a sentence of imprisonment for up to five years and/or a fine of $10,000.00. Federal law may prohibit shipping, transporting, possessing or receiving firearms or ammunition while this order is in effect. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8). If the court grants this Harassment Restraining Order for a period of up to 50 years under Minn. Stat. §609.748 subd. 5, the Respondent must wait 5 years to seek modification of the Harassment Restraining Order. Dated: Referee of District Court Dated: Judge of District Court

# 037. Attorney/Van Loh Response/2024.05.29 - L - David Hashmall - Timothy Hutchinson Complaint Response (Dan Van Loh).PDF

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Attorney/Van Loh Response/2024.05.29 - L - David Hashmall - Timothy Hutchinson Complaint Response (Dan Van Loh).PDF ***

THERESA A. CAPISTRANT DANIEL J. VAN LOH COLLEEN L. CASE – Associate JERRY A. BURG – Of Counsel 219 Main Street SE • Suite 504 • Minneapolis, MN 55414 www. CapVanLoh.com • Tel 612.827.6300 • Fax 612.295.0035 Dan@CapVanLoh.com • Colleen@CapVanLoh.com • Paralegal: Heidi Nelson • Heidi@CapVanLoh.com May 29, 2024 Via Electronic Mail: dhashmall@felhaber.com David L. Hashmall PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL 220 South Sixth Street, Suite 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Re: In the Matter of the Complaint of TIMOTHY A. HUTCHINSON against DANIEL J. VAN LOH (#0297513) Dear Mr. Hashmall: This letter is written in response to the May 15, 2024 Notice of Investigation Pursuant to 8(a), Rules on Lawyers Professional Responsibility, and specifically to the allegation that I violated Rule 4.3. DOCUMENTS PROVIDED Enclosed please find the following: 1. May 27, 2021 Stipulated HRO Following Hearing – Brittany Anderson 2. May 27, 2021 Stipulated HRO Following Hearing – Steven Anderson 3. July 13, 2023 HRO Following Hearing – Brittany Anderson 4. July 13, 2023 HRO Following Hearing – Steven Anderson 5. September 14-October 14, 2021 Email Exchange between Dan Van Loh and Timothy Hutchinson 6. June 4, 2021 Correspondence from Mr. Hutchinson to Brittany (Hutchinson) Anderson 7. May 27, 2021 Court Transcript. CASE BACKGROUND I have represented Steven Anderson and his wife, Brittany (Hutchinson) Anderson since April 2021 on a pro bono basis in two Harassment Restraining Order proceedings against the complainant, Timothy Hutchinson. This factual history is laid out in the HRO Petitions and Affidavits Mr. Hutchinson provided. These submissions demonstrate significant and serious harassment of Steven and Brittany, Mr. Hutchinson's daughter, that stem from his role in the wedding planning of Steven and Brittany. When attempts to reconcile differences were unsuccessful in therapy, Mr. Hutchinson commenced a public campaign to malign Anderson and/or get him fired from his job as a college/young adults pastor at Calvary Church, Roseville, MN. Complainant subsequently made threats that he communicated to Calvary's senior pastor, elder board and legal counsel that he planned to interfere with public worship with a banner campaign against Steven Anderson. The court granted a 10-year extension for the HRO on behalf of Steven Anderson due to the severity of the violations and threats of harassment against Steven that also potentially impacted unrelated and innocent attenders at the church where Steven was employed. The Court also extended the HRO for two years on behalf of Mr. Hutchinson's daughter, Brittany, for his violations of the May 27, 2021 HRO.

 Based on Mr. Hutchinson's request in the May 27, 2021 Stipulated

HRO, there was an agreed upon exception to the HRO where Mr. Hutchinson " may only contact the Petitioner [Brittany (Hutchinson) Anderson] in writing through her attorney. In any communication sent through counsel, Respondent may not disparage or harass the Petitioner or Steven Anderson. " [Emphasis Added] The HRO made it clear that I represented Brittany and her husband, Steven. Complainant then contacted me in my capacity as the attorney for Steven and Brittany to forward a letter to Brittany, pursuant to the HRO. Notably, the content of the correspondence directly violated the exception to the HRO as it was disparaging and harassing towards Steven Anderson. Mr. Hutchinson further acknowledges my role as Brittany's attorney (and not his attorney) by saying that: " I am fairly certain your lawyer is reading this, so here's the disclaimer. (as I've stated over and over and over again, repeatedly since this conflict began…) Nothing stated here is meant to infer or condone any illegal activities, or anything of a violent nature. I am a law abiding citizen and will comply with all laws, including the Restraining Order." [Emphasis Added] The above statement was made following a bolded caption: " He Treated Me Like a Game – Now I'm Going to Show Him How It's Played ." Having been licensed since November 1999, I have interacted with hundreds of self-represented parties over the past twenty-five years, both in my capacity as an attorney and mediator. I currently serve on the State ADR Ethics Board and understand the importance of establishing clear professional boundaries in whatever representation or ADR capacity I serve. As a mediator, I clarify that I am neutral and that I cannot provide legal advice to either party, represented or not. As a litigator, I take similar precautions with unrepresented parties to first of all determine if they are represented by legal counsel. I then confirm that I represent my client, cannot provide the unrepresented party with legal advice, and they should consult with an attorney if they want legal advice. My interaction with Mr. Hutchison was no exception. I have extensive experience and training with emotionally or mentally dysregulated parties, particularly in deescalating otherwise emotional situations. I at all times treated Mr. Hutchinson with kindness and respect, understanding that any escalation could result in adverse behavior by Mr. Hutchinson towards my clients. RESPONSE TO MAY 8, 2024 EMAIL RESPONSE TO OLPR QUESTIONS . The May 15, 2024 Notice of Investigation instructs me to limit my response to claims related to Rule 4.3, Communication with Unrepresented Parties. It would appear that the OLPR concerns were outlined in the May 3, 2024 letter to Mr. Hutchinson so I will focus my reply on the May 8, 2024 responsive email. 1. Did Mr. Van Loh tell you that his client's interests were different from your own interests? The short answer is: yes . I did and continued to advise Mr. Hutchinson that the interests of my clients were adverse to his legal interests. The nature of my representation of Mr. Hutchinson's daughter, Brittany, and her husband, Steven, as being adverse to Mr. Hutchinson has always been clearly communicated by me, established with subsequent communication and acknowledged by Mr. Hutchinson. I have neither provided nor suggested any form of legal advice or offered information that could be construed as legal advice to Mr. Hutchinson. His behavior,

 219 Main Street SE • Suite 504 • Minneapolis, MN 55414 www. CapVanLoh.com • Tel 612.827.6300 • Fax 612.295.0035 Dan@CapVanLoh.com • Paralegal: Heidi Nelson • Heidi@CapVanLoh.com written communication to me and May 8, 2024 email to the OLPR reflects Mr. Hutchinson's understanding that his interests were at all times adverse to my clients. a. May 27, 2021 HRO Hearing . My initial contact with Mr. Hutchnson was limited to my office serving my Certificate of Representation (COR) for the May 27, 2021 HRO Hearing and a court facilitated "settlement conference" prior to the hearing. This is standard protocol for HRO's to see if the parties agree to enter into a HRO without findings or the need for trial. At this time, I had not met nor had I exchanged any communication with Mr. Hutchinson, other than my standard service letter with the COR. Mr. Hutchinson appeared at the May 27, 2021 HRO hearing without counsel. My first question when meeting with unrepresented parties is whether they are represented by an attorney. If not, I clarify that my role is on behalf of my client and am not providing them with legal advice and that they should contact an attorney if they wanted legal advice. I followed the same protocol during this court facilitated settlement conference. When he agreed to an HRO without a hearing, the court inquired and confirmed of Mr. Hutchinson that he was willing to be bound by the HRO's even though he didn't have an attorney to give him legal advice ." [Emphasis added.] b. "Mr. Van Loh at times made suggestions in order to get me to change the direction of my approach to this ongoing matter. Example; in an email he said that I should leave my daughter alone so she can heal, instead of hiring a lawyer to sue her husband for defamation of character." (See 1(A) of Mr. Hutchinson's May 8, 2024 Email to the OLPR.) I did not suggest to Mr. Hutchinson that he should not hire an attorney to sue Steven Anderson for defamation. Mr. Hutchinson incorrectly summarized two different statements I made to him, took them out of context and disregarded my comment to him in the first paragraph of the email that prefaces the entire email with my saying " Understanding I represent your daughter Brittany and cannot give you legal advice… . " [Emphasis added.] The conversation to which Mr. Hutchinson is referring is the October 14, 2021 email I sent to him as part of an ongoing conversation regarding his request for arbitration. He begins with a request in his September 15, 2021 email wondering whether I was still representing Steven Anderson. He also noted his intent to retain counsel, both statements reflecting that he was aware I represented Steven and Brittany and not Mr. Hutchinson. The entirety of this email conversation was subsequent to the first paragraph establishing that I represented Steven and Brittany Anderson. I specifically stated the following in the October 14, 2021 email: " I reiterate my comments that your threats of lawsuits or "other moves" undermine your efforts for future reconciliation with Brittany. She needs a break and respectfully request that you respect her wishes, including with your threatened legal attacks against Steven ." This response was provided after conferring with my client and communicating her wishes; it was clearly not legal advice. I reminded Mr. Hutchinson that his threats and comments were in violation of the HRO and communicated on behalf of my clients their

 request that he stop. I at no time communicated or insinuated that Mr. Hutchinson should not hire an attorney. c. "He also lied and said that if I did not accept the first HRO without finding of fact, that my daughter's future employment and prevent her from going on international missionary trips." I did not make this statement nor did I make any representation that could have implied that an HRO against Mr. Hutchinson would have any effect on either of my clients. First of all, my client did not have any international missions trip planned. Second, the suggestion that the HRO would have an adverse effect on a petitioner's employment is factually and logically untrue and I would not have made any such representation. d. "Please see attached file, which contains an email threat that Mr. Van Loh and I had back in 2021. You will notice that what [sic] he says all of their allegations are private unless I share them. " He also says that he represents my daughter and cannot advise me ." (See 1(A) of Mr. Hutchinson's May 8, 2024 Email to the LBPR.) See my response to 1(b) above. I did in fact inform Mr. Hutchinson that I represent his daughter and that I cannot advise him. The comment regarding the public nature of the HRO allegations is contained in the September 16, 2021 email where I responded to Mr. Hutchinson's desire for his family to know whether "Steven's" allegations (noting that his daughter made the same allegations) were accurate or not. I clarified in my September 16, 2021 to Mr. Hutchinson that the allegations contained in Steven and Brittany's HRO Petitions had not been made public or otherwise shared with his family. e. "But what he says to me in a video hearing and on the phone are much different." Mr. Hutchinson does not provide any specific statement I made by phone or at the hearing to which I can provide a response. I would not have made statements offering advice or suggesting that I represent him and further would have clarified in any out of court conversations who I represented, that I could not provide him legal advice, and to consult with an attorney if he wanted legal advice. Any statements in a court hearing would have simply been legal advocacy on behalf of my client. 2. Did You Ask for Mr. Van Loh for his advice ? a. " No, never. He is not my attorney so I would never ask his advice ." I agree that Mr. Hutchinson never asked for my advice. I agree that I was not his attorney and appreciate his acknowledgment and understanding that I was not his attorney. b. But he seemed to have no problem giving it to me without my asking ." My response to the "advice" he alleges is provided in #3 below. 3. What did Mr. Van Loh tell you? a. "He said that if I went to trial when the first HRO was filed, that it would harm my daughter in her future employment and overseas missionary efforts." I neither made nor implied any negative employment repercussion for Brittany if the HRO was contested at an evidentiary hearing. In fairness to Mr. Hutchinson, he may have confused the two HRO's in his recollection between the HRO filed on behalf of his

Nelson • Heidi@CapVanLoh.com daughter, Brittany, and the HRO on behalf of his son-in-law, Steven, who Mr. Hutchinson was actively attempting to get fired from his position at Calvary Church where Steven was employed. But I did not make any such statement in Brittany's case. b. "He also said, "This is what Brittany Wants." Well, Brittany was manipulated by her husband, Steven, through a barrage of terrible lies about me." I acknowledge communicating to Mr. Hutchinson my client's wishes that she did not want to have contact with her father and she did not wish to participate in arbitration over the HRO allegations that were just resolved with a stipulated HRO. Any exchange with Mr. Hutchinson, especially the September 15-October 14, 2021 Email exchange, was contemplated and agreed to by the parties and ordered by the court to have me serve as a conduit for communication between Mr. Hutchinson and Brittany, in the clear capacity as her attorney. c. "The first HRO allowed me to write letters to my daughter and have them delivered through her attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh. After, in an email he said that I should not contact my daughter anymore because it was preventing her from healing. This was in response to a letter I sent with proof her husband was lying." Mr. Hutchinson correctly states that the HRO allowed him to write letters to his daughter and have them delivered through me, her attorney (noting the caveat that the letters were not to be harassing or disparaging of Steven Anderson, a condition that Mr. Hutchinson violated). Hence, in my October 14, 2021 email, I asked for Mr. Hutchinson to refrain from future communication with Steven and Brittany because the content of his letters was in violation of the HRO . See below for the copied/pasted text: • "I appreciate the reply and explanation. We'll have to agree to disagree on your understanding of what constitutes libel and slander. Understanding that I represent your daughter Brittany and cannot give you legal advice, I encourage you to give some thought to whether you are missing the opportunity to understand Brittany's perspective through this process. That said, I do not think that any further dialogue on this issue will be helpful. I remind you of the terms of the HRO given your comment/threat that "a lawsuit, however, is not my next move." Per the HRO, you are to refrain from direct and indirect contact with both Steven and Brittany. Hence, both direct and indirect attempts of communication or behavior with the intention of reaching or impacting Steven and Brittany may be considered a violation of the HRO which may then have criminal penalties for any violations. On behalf of both Steven and Brittany, I am requesting that you refrain from any attempts to communicate with them. I reiterate my comments that your threats of lawsuits or "other moves" undermine your efforts for future reconciliation with Brittany. She needs a break and respectfully requests that you respect her wishes, including with your threatened legal attacks against Steven." [–October 14, 2021 Email from Dan Van Loh to Timothy Hutchinson' Emphasis Added]

 This dialogue was pursuant to a stipulated court order for Mr. Hutchinson to communicate with me as Brittany's attorney. My comments to Mr. Hutchinson confirmed

again what had already been established in the initial September 14, 2021 email - that I do not represent Mr. Hutchinson. I was not providing him with legal advice. 4. Did Mr. Van Loh advise you to secure counsel?---"No, never." I did not advise Mr. Hutchinson to secure counsel in writing . I told him at the May 27, 2021 HRO settlement conference that I was not his attorney, I represented Steven and Brittany Anderson and therefore could not provide him with legal advice. If he wanted legal advice, he should consult with an attorney. Rule 4.3(d) provides that " a lawyer shall not give legal advice to the unrepresented person, other than the advice to secure counsel, if the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the interests of the unrepresented person are or have a reasonable possibility of being in conflict with the interests of the client ." Notably, the rule does not place on the attorney an affirmative duty to always and/or repeatedly advise unrepresented parties to secure legal counsel, only that this should be the only advice given, if any. Furthermore, Rule 4.3 is silent about an ongoing duty to advise unrepresented parties to secure counsel. The comments to Rule 4.3 are helpful. Comment 2 states that: The rule distinguishes between situations involving unrepresented persons whose interests may be adverse to those of the lawyer's client and those in which the person's interests are not in conflict with the client's. In the former situation, the possibility that the lawyer will compromise the unrepresented person's interests is so great that the rule prohibits the giving of any advice, apart from the advice to obtain counsel. Whether a lawyer is giving impermissible advice may depend on the experience and sophistication of the unrepresented person, as well as the setting in which the behavior and comments occur. This rule does not prohibit a lawyer from negotiating the terms of a transaction or settling a dispute with an unrepresented person. So long as the lawyer has explained that the lawyer represents a party whose interests are adverse and is not representing the person, the lawyer may inform the person of the terms on which the lawyer's client will enter into an agreement or settle a matter, prepare documents that require the person's signature and explain the lawyer's own view of the meaning of the document or the lawyer's view of the underlying legal obligations. [Emphasis added.] • My interaction with Mr. Hutchinson related to the first HRO was limited to the May 27, 2021 HRO court hearing where I appeared on behalf of my clients. Hence, there is no reasonable inference that Mr. Hutchinson could have drawn that his interests were anything but adverse to the interests of my clients. • Mr. Hutchinson acknowledged several times in his own filing to the OLPR that I was not his attorney.

 • I established in the May 27, 2021 settlement conference that occurred outside the courtroom that I represented Steven and Brittany Anderson, I was not Complainant's attorney, could not give him legal advice and advised him of his right to counsel. • Mr. Hutchinson acknowledged on the record at the May 27, 2021 hearing that he was aware of and waived his right to counsel. • My September-October, 2021 email exchange with Mr. Hutchinson was pursuant

to a court order and on behalf of my client, Brittany Anderson. • My representation of Steven (and Brittany) was confirmed at the beginning and end of the September-October, 2021 email exchange with Mr. Hutchinson, including language that I represented Steven and Brittany and could not give him legal advice. 5. What else did Mr. Van Loh do, or not do, which you believe is unethical? Per the Notice of Investigation, I am not responding to this allegation because Mr. Hutchinson's responses are unrelated to Rule 4.3. Please let me know if any response is required. SUMMARY As I write my response, my clients and I share a concern that Mr. Hutchinson will misappropriate any information herein. If any of my response is shared with him, I request that he be advised that the information is not to be disseminated outside of the investigatory proceedings. I ask that you consider the entirety of Mr. Hutchinson's ongoing campaign to harass and harm my client, Steven Anderson, which includes the Court finding that both Mr. Hutchinson and his witnesses lacked credibility at the second HRO evidentiary hearing on July 13, 2023. ( Notably, Mr. Hutchinson has also filed an ethics complaint against the presiding referee, The Hon. Elizabeth Clysdale .) Comment 1 to Rule 4.3 identifies a legitimate concern that an unrepresented person might assume that a lawyer is a disinterested authority on the law. The comment goes on to state that " In order to avoid a misunderstanding, a lawyer will typically need to identify the lawyer's client and, where the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the interests are adverse, disclose that the client has interests opposed to those of the unrepresented person. " This in fact was communicated to and acknowledged by Mr. Hutchinson. I acknowledge the lack of written communication with Mr. Hutchinson advising him to secure counsel. Comment 2 to Rule 4.3 gives consideration to the setting in which the behavior or acts are alleged to have occurred. My role at the May 27, 2021 and July 13, 2023 HRO hearings was innately adversarial where it was on the record with a presiding judicial officer. Hence, it is neither customary nor appropriate to preface open courtroom conversations with unrepresented parties with a caveat that the unrepresented litigant should consult with an attorney before answering questions, presenting evidence, calling witnesses or reaching agreements. When we discussed settlement outside the courtroom, I advised him verbally to consult with an attorney in conjunction with my informing him that I could not provide him with legal advice because my client's interest was adverse to his legal interests.

# 038. Attorney/Van Loh Response/3.0 - 2023.07.13 - HRO Following Hearing 62-HR-CV-21-362 EC (Brittany).PDF

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Attorney/Van Loh Response/3.0 - 2023.07.13 - HRO Following Hearing 62-HR-CV-21-362 EC (Brittany).PDF ***

Order Granting HRO After Hearing HAR803 State ENG Rev 12/ 21 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 1 of 7 State of Minnesota District Court County Judicial District: Second Ramsey Court File Number: 62 - HR - CV - 2 1 - 36 2 Case Type: Harassment In the Matter of: Britt any Anderson a/k/a

Brittany H utchinson Petitioner Order Granting Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing (Minn. Stat. § 609.748) vs. Timothy Allyn H utchinson This matter was heard by Elizabeth Clysdale , Judge/Referee of District Court on July 10, 2023 . Appearances were made by: Petitioner Petitioner's Attorney: Daniel V an Loh, Esq. Respondent Respondent's Att orney: Other : Witne sses f or Res pondent B ased upon the evidence and all the files, records and proceedings in this matter , the court finds: 1. The Respondent denies the allegations of the Petition but has no objection to the issuance of a Restraining Order. The court makes no findings of harassment. 2. There are reasonable groun ds to believe that Respondent has engaged in harassment which has or is intended to have a substantial adv erse effec t on safety, security, or privacy of Petitioner or the minor children or ward(s) of Petitioner by committing the following acts: P hysically or se xu ally assaulted the Petitioner as follows: F ollowed, monitored, or pu rsued the Petitioner as follows: M ade uni nv ited visits to the Petitioner as follows: M ade harassin g phone calls or sent harassing text messages to th e Petitioner as follows: M ade threats to the Petitioner as follows: F rightened Petit ioner with threatening behavior as follows: C alled the Pet ition er abusive names as follows: D amaged Petitioner's property as follows: B roke into and entered Petitioner's residence as follows:

Order Granting HRO After Hearing HAR803 State ENG Rev 12/ 21 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 2 of 7 Stole pr operty from Petitioner as follows: T o ok pictures of the Petitioner without permission of the Petitioner as follows: Disseminated private sexual ima ges of the Petitioner without per mission of the Petitioner as follows: Used personal information, without consent, to invite, encourage, or solicit a third party to engage in a sexual act with the Petitioner as foll owings: Did acts repeatedly that meet the legal definition of "targeted residential picketing" as follows: Attended public events after being notified that Respondent's prese nce at the even ts is harassing to Petition er as follows: Used social media to harass Petitioner as following: Other: The court finds the following: 1. Respondent is Petitioner's father. On May 27, 2021, Responde nt agreed to a two - year Harass ment Restraining Order (HRO) without findings. Respondent agreed to not have any contact with Petitioner, except: "Respondent may only contact the Petitioner in writing through her attorney. In any communication sent through counsel, Respondent may not di sparage or harass the Petitioner or Steven Anderson." Petitioner's husband also has his own HRO against Respondent. 2. In June 2021, Respondent created a website and a ninety - minute video, which was his response to all of the a llegations raised in Petitione r's initial Petition for a HRO and disparaged Petitioner's Husband. (Ex. 6). Respondent invited family, including Petitioner, to view the website. In 2022, Respondent wrote a letter to his family and friends, which was upload ed to the website. (Ex. 5). I n this letter, Respondent provided updates and again responds to the allegations raised in Petitioner's initial Petition for a HRO and disparaged Petitioner. 3. On June 4, 2021, Respondent sent a letter to Petitioner, which accu sed her husband of lying and b lamed him for Respondent and Petitioner's broken relationship. (Ex. 4). On December 7, 2021, Respondent sent another letter to Petitioner, where Respondent blames Petitioner's

husband for his health issues, lack of sleep, and his heart condition. (Ex. 10) . 4. On May 4, 2023, Respondent sent a message addressed to Petitioner's husband through their attorney. In this letter, Respondent mentions that the HRO expires on May 23, 2023, and goes on to say that Petitioner's husband's lies will be exposed, and he p lans to protest at the church every week at both locations. (Ex. 3).

Order Granting HRO After Hearing HAR803 State ENG Rev 12/ 21 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 3 of 7 5. On May 5, 2023, Respondent contacted Petitioner husband's employer, Calvary Church, and demanded that the church investigate Petitioner's husband's conduct as it relates to the problems between Re spondent and Petitioner. In this letter, Respondent threatened to take action if an investigation was not conducted. When Calvary Church declined to investigate, Respondent replied: "The banners have been ordered. My family and friends are ready. The pr otest will happen. There is only one way to stop this, and that is for [Calvary Church] to do the proper investigation." (Ex. 2). Respondent was referring that there would be protests on church property directed at Petitioner's husband. Petitioner is a m ember and volunteers at this church and her sense of community was threatened by having her husband's employment jeopardized. 6. Respondent does not dispute that he created a website and a video, sent letters to Calvar y Church, and letters to Petitioner and her husband through their attorney. Respondent offered explanations and excuses for his conduct, which were not credible. Respondent's sister's testimony that she was organizing the protest and Respondent was not g oing to be present was not credible. Re spondent's website, video, letters to Calvary Church, and letters to Petitioner and her husband through their attorney violated the existing HRO and were intended to and have negatively impacted Petitioner's safety, security and privacy. Respondent lacks insight into how his conduct has affected Petitioner and her husband and it is likely the harassment will continue without a HRO in place. 7. Petitioner is requesting a ten - year HRO, this request is denied. A HRO may be issued for a period of more than two years when there have been two or more violations of a HRO. Given the nature of the violations and that most of Respondent's contact has been directed at Petitioner's husband, the HRO will not be issued for more th an two years. 3. The harassment has or is in tended to have a substantial adverse ef fect on the Petitioner ' s safety, security, or privacy. 4. The relief gran ted by this order may be for a period of up to 50 years based on th e finding that: The petitioner has had two or more previous restraining order in e ffect against the same respondent; or The respondent has violate d a prior or existing restraining order on two or more occasions. IT IS ORDERED: 1. Respondent shall not harass Petitioner Petitioner's minor childred or ward(s

Order Granting HRO After Hearing HAR803 State ENG Rev 12/ 21 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 4 of 7 List minor children or ward(s) i ncluded in the Petition: a. Respon dent shall have no direct or indirect contact with Petitioner P etitioner's minor children or ward(s), including any visits to or phone calls to the protected person(s), contact via electronic means such as email or soci al

networking sites, threats or assaultive behavior to the protected person(s), damaging or stealing property belonging to the protected person(s), breaking into and entering the pr otected person(s) residence, and/or taking pictures of a protected person w ithout permission of the Petitioner. b. The relief granted does not extend to t he Petitioner's minor children or ward(s). The relief requested for the Petition er's minor children or ward(s) is denied because the harassment is not dire cted against the Petitioner's minor children or ward(s). c. Respondent is prohib ited from being within two (2) city blocks or a quarter mile of Petitioner's home at 725 4 th Street E , Saint Pau l, MN 550 16 or fu ture residences of Petitioner should Petitioner relocate . Except as following: d. Petitioner's address is confidential. If Respondent knows or learns of Petitioner's address, Respondent is prohibited from being wit hin of Petitioner's home. e. Respondent is prohibited from being within two (2) city blocks or quarter mile of Petitioner's job site at Unive rsity of Minnesota Medical Center, 500 SE Harva rd St., Minneapolis, MN 55455, with the restriction to include the patient/visitor parking ramp on the corner of SE Harvard St. and Delaware Street . Except as follows: f. Other : 2. Any requested re lief that is not specifically listed above is denied. 3. This Order shall remain in effect until 2025, which is two (2) years from the date of this Order , unless changed by a later court or der. (Date not to exceed two ye ars unless findings made to support longer order.) 4. The Court Adminis trator shall send a copy of this Order to the following law enforcement agency(s): Ramsey County Sheriff's Department and Sain t Paul Police Department. Eve ry police department and sheriff' s office in the United States, including thos e affiliated with tribal and territorial lands, is responsible for enforcing this Order under 18 U.S.C. § 2265 Full Faith and Credit of Protective Orders. 5. If Respondent is an organization, this order shall / shall no t apply to all members of the organization.

 6. Other: 7. The sheriff of any county in Minnesota, or a peace officer, shall perform the duties relating to serving this Order without charge t o Petitioner. 8. Respondent is restrained fr om harassing, stalking, or threatening the protected person(s), or e ngaging in other conduct t hat would place the protected person(s) in reasonable fear of bodily injury to that person; and is prohibited from th e use; attempted use, or threatened use of ph ysical force against the protected person(s) that would reasonably b e expected to cause bodily injury. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8)(B) and (C). NOTICE Any conduct by the Respondent in violation of the specific pr ovisions provided in the "It Is Ordered" sect ion above constitutes a violation of this Harassment Restraining Ord er. A police officer shal l arrest the Respondent without warrant and take the Respondent to jail if the police officer believes the Respondent has violated this Order, and shall hold the Respondent in jail for at least 36 hours, excluding the day of arres t, Sundays, and legal holi days, unless the Respondent is released by a judge or judicial officer. Violation of this Harassment Restraining Ord er may be treated as a misdemeanor, gross mis demeanor, or felony. A misdemeanor violation may result in a senten ce of up to 90 days in jai l and/or a fine of $1000.00. Some repeat violations are gross misdemeanors that may result in a

sentence of up to on e year in jail and/or a $3,000.00 fine. Othe r violations are felonies that may result in a sentence of imprisonm ent for up to five years a nd/or a fine of $10,000.00. Federal law may prohibit shipping, transporting, possessing or receiving firearms or ammunition while this order is in effect. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8). If the court grants this Harassment Restraini ng Order for a period of u p to 50 years under Minn. Stat. §609.748 subd. 5, the Respondent must wait 5 years to seek modification of the Harass ment Restraining Order. Dated: Re feree of District Court Dated: Judge of District Cou rt Distribution Copy for Petitioner Copy for Respondent Copy for local police depar tment Copy for Sheriff



# 039. Attorney/Van Loh Response/4.0 - 2023.07.13 - HRO Following Hearing 62-HR-CV-21-361 EC (Steven).PDF

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Attorney/Van Loh Response/4.0 - 2023.07.13 - HRO Following Hearing 62-HR-CV-21-361 EC (Steven).PDF ***

 State of Minnesota District Court County Judicial District: Second Ramsey Court File Number: 62 - HR - CV - 2 1 - 361 Case Type: Harassment In the Matter of: Steven James A nderson Petitioner Order Granting Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing (Minn. Stat. § 609.748) vs. Timothy Ally n Hutchinson This matter was heard by Elizabeth Clysdale , Judge/Referee of District Court on July 10, 2023 . Appearances were made by: Petitioner Petitioner's Attorney: Danie l Van Loh, Esq. Respondent Respondent's Att orney: Other : Witne sses f or Res pondent B ased upon the evidence and all the files, records and proceedings in this matter , the court finds: 1. The Respondent denies the allegations of the Petition but has no objection to the issuance of a Restraining Order. The court makes no findings of harassment. 2. There are reasonable groun ds to believe that Respondent has engaged in harassment which has or is intended to have a substantial adv erse effec t on safety, security, or privacy of Petitioner or the minor children or ward(s) of Petitioner by committing the following acts: P hysically or se xu ally assaulted the Petitioner as follows: F ollowed, monitored, or pu rsued the Petitioner as follows: M ade uni nv ited visits to the Petitioner as follows: M ade harassin g phone calls or sent harassing text messages to th e Petitioner as follows: M ade threats to the Petitioner as follows: F rightened Petit ioner with threatening behavior as follows: C alled the Pet ition er abusive names as follows: D amaged Petitioner's property as follows: B roke into and entered Petitioner's residence as follows:

Order Granting HRO After Hearing HAR803 State ENG Rev 12/ 21 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 2 of 6 Stole pr operty from Petitioner as follows: T o ok pictures of the Petitioner without permission of the Petitioner as follows: Disseminated private sexual ima ges of the Petitioner without per mission of the Petitioner as follows: Used personal information, without consent, to invite, encourage, or solicit a third party to engage in a sexual act with the Petitioner as foll owings: Did acts repeatedly that meet the legal definition of "targeted residential picketing" as follows: Attended public events after being notified that Respondent's prese nce at the even ts is harassing to Petition er as follows: Used social media to harass Petitioner as following: Other: The court finds the following: 1. Respondent is Petitioner's father - in - law. On May 27, 2021, R espondent agr eed to a two - year Harassment Restraining Order (HRO) without findings. Petitioner's wife also has a HRO against Respondent. 2. In June 2021, Respondent created a website and a ninety - minute video, which was his response to all of the allegation s raised in P etitioner's initial Petition for a HRO and disparaged Petitioner. (Ex. 6). Respondent invited family, including Petitioner's wife, to view the website. In June 2022, Respondent wrote a letter to his family and friends, which was uploaded to the website. (Ex. 5). In this letter, Respondent provide d updates and again responds to the allegations raised in Petitioner's initial Petition for a HRO and disparaged Petitioner. 3. On June 4, 2021, Respondent sent a letter to Petitioner's wife, which acc used Petition er of lying and blamed him for Respondent and his daughter's broken relationship. (Ex. 4). On December 7, 2021, Respondent sent another letter to Petitioner's wife, where he blames Petitioner for his health issues, lack of sleep, and his hear t condition . (Ex. 10). 4. On May 4, 2023, Respondent sent a message addressed to Petitioner through Petitioner's attorney. In this letter, Respondent mentions that the HRO expires on May 23, 2023, and goes on to say that Petitioner's lies will be exposed and he plans to protest at the church every week at both locations. (Ex. 3). 5. On May 5, 2023, Respondent contacted Petitioner's employer, Calvary Church, and demanded that the church investigate Petitioner's conduct as it relates to the problems between R e spondent and his daughter, who is Petitioner's wife. In this letter, Respondent threatened to take action if an investigation was not conducted. When Calvary Church declined to investigate, Respondent replied: "The banners

Order Granting HRO After Hearing HAR803 State ENG Rev 12/ 21 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 3 of 6 have been ordered. My family a n d friends are ready. The protest will happen. There is only one way to stop this, and that is for [Calvary Church] to do the proper investigation." (Ex. 2). Respondent was referring that there would be protests on church property directed at Petitioner, which Petitioner and his employer took seriously. 6. Respondent does not dispute that he created a website and a video, sent letters to Calvary Church, and letters to Petitioner and his wife through their attorney. Respondent offered explanations and excus e s for his conduct, which were not credible. Respondent's sister's testimony that she was organizing the protest and Respondent was not going to be present was not credible. Respondent's website, video, letters to Calvary Church, and letters to

Petitione r and his wife through their attorney violated the existing HRO and were intended to and have negatively impacted Petitioner's safety, security and privacy. Respondent lacks insight into how his conduct has affected Petitioner and his wife and it is like l y the harassment will continue without a HRO in place. 7. Petitioner is requesting a ten - year HRO. A HRO may be issued for a period of more than two years when there have been two or more violations of a HRO. Given the nature of the violations and Respo ndent 's cont in ued attack s on Petitioner 's reputation and his employment , the HRO will be issued for ten years. 3. The harassment has or is in tended to have a substantial adverse ef fect on the Petitioner ' s safety, security, or privacy. 4. The relief gran ted by this order may be for a period of up to 50 years based on the finding that: The petitioner has had two or more previous restraining order in e ffect against the same respondent; or The respondent has violate d a prior or existing restraining order on two or more occasions. IT IS ORDERED: 1. Respondent shall not harass Petitioner Petitioner's minor childred or ward(s List minor children or ward(s) i ncluded in the Petition: a. Respondent shall have no direct or indirect contact with Petitioner P etitioner's minor children or ward(s), including any visits to or phone calls to the protected person(s), contact via e lectronic means such as email or social networking sites, threats or assaultive behavior to the protected person(s), damaging or stealing property belonging to the protected

 person(s), breaking into and entering the pr otected person(s) residence, and/or ta king pictures of a protected person without permission of the Petitioner. b. The relief granted does not extend to t he Petitioner's minor children or ward(s). The relief requested for the Petition er's minor children or ward(s) is deni ed because the harassment is not directed against the Petitioner's minor children or ward(s). c. Respondent is prohib ited from being within two (2) city blocks or a quarter mile of Petitioner's home at 725 4 th Street E , Saint Pau l, MN 550 16 or future residen ces of Petitioner should Petitioner relo cate . Except as following: d. Petitioner's address is confidential. If Respondent knows or learns of Petitioner's address, Respondent is prohibited from being within of Petitioner's home. e. Respondent is prohibited from being within two (2) city blocks or quarter mile of Petitioner's job site at Re liant Mission/Calvary Church 2 1 20 Lexington A ve N . Rosevil le , Minnesota 55112 and 4604 Greenhaven Dr. White Bear Lake , Minnesota 55127 or fu tur e places of employment should Petitioner relocate . Except as follows: f. Other : 2. Any requested re lief that is not specifically listed above is denied. 3. This Order shall remain in effect until 2033, which is ten ( 10 ) years from the date of thi s Order , unless changed by a later court or der. (Date not to exceed two years unless findings made to support longer order.) 4. The Court Adminis trator shall send a copy of this Order to the following law enforcement agency(s): Ramsey Count y Sheriff's Department and Sain t Paul Police Department. Every police department and sheriff' s office in the United States, including thos e affiliated with tribal and territorial lands, is responsible for enforcing this Order under 18 U.S.C. § 2265 Full Faith and Credit of Protective Orders. 5. If Respondent is an organization, this

order shall / shall no t apply to all members of the organization. 6. Other: 7. The sheriff of any county in Minnesota, or a peace officer, shall perform the duties relating to serving this Order without charge t o Petitioner. 8. Respondent is restrained fr om harassing, stalking, or threatening the protected person(s), or engaging in other conduct t hat would place the protected person(s) in reasonable fear of bodily

Order Granting HRO After Hearing HAR803 State ENG Rev 12/ 21 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 5 of 6 injury to that person; and is prohibited from th e use; attempted use, or threatened use of ph ysical force against the protected person(s) that would reasonably be expected to cause bodily injury. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8)(B) and (C). NOTICE Any conduct by the Respondent in violation of the specific pr ovisions provided in the "It Is Ordered" sect ion above constitutes a violation of this Harassment Restraining Order. A police officer shal l arrest the Respondent without warrant and take the Respondent to jail if the police officer believes the Respondent has violated this Order, and shall hold the Respondent in jail for at least 36 hours, excluding the day of arrest, Sundays, and legal holi days, unless the Respondent is released by a judge or judicial officer. Violation of this Harassment Restraining Ord er may be treated as a misdemeanor, gross mis demeanor, or felony. A misdemeanor violation may result in a sentence of up to 90 days in jai l and/or a fine of $1000.00. Some repeat violations are gross misdemeanors that may result in a sentence of up to on e year in jail and/or a $3,000.00 fine. Othe r violations are felonies that may result in a sentence of imprisonment for up to five years a nd/or a fine of $10,000.00. Federal law may prohibit shipping, transporting, possessing or receiving firearms or ammunition while this order is in effect. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8). If the court grants this Harassment Restraining Order for a period of u p to 50 years under Minn. Stat. §609.748 subd. 5, the Respondent must wait 5 years to seek modification of the Harass ment Restraining Order. Dated: Re feree of District Court Dated: Judge of District Court Distribution Copy for Petitioner Copy for Respondent Copy for local police depar tment Copy for Sheriff Other:

# 040. Attorney/Van Loh Response/5.0 - Sept 14 - Oct 14 2021 Emails with Tim H.PDF

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Attorney/Van Loh Response/5.0 - Sept 14 - Oct 14 2021 Emails with Tim H.PDF ***

From: Dan Van Loh To: Tim Hutchinson Bcc: Steven Anderson; Brittany Anderson Subject: RE: ARBITRATION INQUIRY Date: Thursday, October 14, 2021 9:38:00 AM Attachments: image001.png Tim, I appreciate the reply and explanation. We'll have to agree to disagree on your understanding of what constitutes libel and slander. Understanding that I represent your daughter Brittany and cannot give you legal advice, I encourage you to give some thought to whether you are missing the opportunity to understand Brittany's perspective through this process. That said, I do not think that any further

dialogue on this issue will be helpful. I remind you of the terms of the HRO given your comment/threat that “a lawsuit, however, is not my next move.” Per the HRO, you are to refrain from direct and indirect contact with both Steven and Brittany. Hence, both direct and indirect attempts of communication or behavior with the intention of reaching or impacting Steven and Brittany may be considered a violation of the HRO which may then have criminal penalties for any violations. On behalf of both Steven and Brittany, I am requesting that you refrain from any attempts to communicate with them. I reiterate my comments that your threats of lawsuits or “other moves” undermine your efforts for future reconciliation with Brittany. She needs a break and respectfully requests that you respect her wishes, including with your threatened legal attacks against Steven. Thank you, Dan Daniel J. Van Loh Riverplace One Main Street S.E., Suite 206 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone : 612.827.6300; Facsimile : 612.295.0035 Email : dan@capvanloh.com http://www.CapVanLoh.com ** The office of Capistrant Van Loh is opened and we are available to meet your needs. In- person appointments must be scheduled in advance. Access to the building and our suite is by personal admission only and you are required to wear a face mask in all common areas of the building and our suite. If you don’t have one, we will provide one for you. We will continue to be

available to connect with clients and the court through phone, email, and Zoom meetings. The Capistrant Van Loh team is here to help! Take care and be healthy. ** From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2021 11:09 AM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Subject: Re: ARBITRATION INQUIRY Mr. Van Loh, No valid legal issue? There has been documented slander and libel which has resulted in personal tort. The allegations in the restraining order are blatant lies, and that rises to the level of perjury. All along Steven has not offered any potential resolutions; none whatsoever - he's only said no to my suggestions, or ignored them. It seems clear to me that he does not want this resolved. Why? Is it because he's afraid of the truth coming out? As far as undermining or ending any future hope of reconciliation; I am offended that you and/or Steven would pretend to know more than I what's best for Brittany. I'm her dad. I co-created her, raised her, gave up my heart, mind and soul to help her in any way that I ever could as a father. I was there for her 100%, all of the time and she means more to me than anything in this life. We were like 2-peas-in-a-pod until Steven began telling her horrible lies. My singular goal is for the truth to come out, so my daughter sees I am not the person Steven has wrongly convinced her of. I've tried settling this peacefully many times and Steven has put no effort at all into a resolution. If Steven is hurt in the process, then that's on him. If I have to sue him, then that's only because he has refused every other suggestion that I've made, all while not offering any possibilities to resolve this. Brittany will eventually learn the truth - and that makes it all worthwhile. A lawsuit, however, is not my next move. It's coming - soon. I will never give up on my daughter. Not ever! The truth WILL come out. Tim P.S. My other family members do not believe the lies Steven has told either - and they are supportive of my efforts to expose the truth. On Tue, Oct 12, 2021 at 6:40 PM Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> wrote: Tim,

I apologize for my delayed response. I've discussed your invitation to arbitrate with Steven and, without a valid legal issue to resolve, I don't see arbitration as helpful or beneficial. I'm aware of your threats to sue Steven which appear to contradict your hope of reconciliation with your daughter, Brittany, as hurting Steven will hurt Brittany which would most assuredly undermine or end any future hope of reconciliation. Thank you, Dan Daniel J. Van Loh Riverplace One Main Street S.E., Suite 206 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone : 612.827.6300; Facsimile : 612.295.0035 Email : dan@capvanloh.com http://www.CapVanLoh.com ** The office of Capistrant Van Loh is opened and we are available to meet your needs. In- person appointments must be scheduled in advance. Access to the building and our suite is by personal admission only and you are required to wear a face mask in all common areas of the building and our suite. If you don't have one, we will provide one for you. We will continue to be available to connect with clients and the court through phone, email, and Zoom meetings. The Capistrant Van Loh team is here to help! Take care and be healthy. ** From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Friday, September 24, 2021 2:58 PM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Subject: Re: ARBITRATION INQUIRY Hello Mr. Van Loh, Checking in to see if there's any update? Kind regards,

Tim Hutchinson On Thu, Sep 16, 2021 at 9:34 PM Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> wrote: Mr. Van Loh, Thank you. Hope you have an enjoyable weekend. Kind regards, Tim On Thu, Sep 16, 2021 at 4:50 PM Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> wrote: Tim, Thank you for the clarification. I will discuss your proposal with Steven and get back to you. I just needed to be sure what the legal issues are so that I can advise him. Feel free to check back with me next week if I don't get back to you by next Thursday. Dan Daniel J. Van Loh Riverplace One Main Street S.E., Suite 206 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone : 612.827.6300; Facsimile : 612.295.0035 Email : dan@capvanloh.com http://www.CapVanLoh.com ** The office of Capistrant Van Loh is opened and we are available to meet your needs. In-person appointments must be scheduled in advance. Access to the building and our suite is by personal admission only and you are required to wear a face mask in all common areas of the building and our suite. If you don't have one, we will provide one for you. We will continue to be available to connect with clients and the court through phone, email, and Zoom meetings. The Capistrant Van Loh team is here to help! Take care and be healthy. **

From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Thursday, September 16, 2021 12:45 PM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Subject: Re: ARBITRATION INQUIRY Dan, As for the damages, let's start with the relationship between Brittany and I. Next there is the wedding I did not get to attend, and did not walk my daughter down the aisle. There's also the suicide attempt for which I ended up in the hospital. Other relationships have been affected, and so has my job. There have been other deep impacts on myself as well. This has been a living nightmare. And all as a result of Steven's lies. The allegations in Steven's HRO are a lie. They are repeated in Brittany's because it appears they were copied from Steven's. At one point in Brittany's HRO it refers to "Brittany and I..." I'm convinced

Brittany went along blindly with this and doesn't really know what the HRO says. Let me be perfectly clear; I fully intend to take him to court and sue for damages resulting from his lies. It is only because I am a peaceful person that this opportunity to handle things outside a courtroom is being presented. With all due respect to you, sir, I really don't want to go back-and-forth through email with you like this. Either they want an opportunity to resolve this between us, or they are sticking with their story and not willing to move forward towards a resolution. Thank you, Tim Hutchinson On Thu, Sep 16, 2021 at 11:48 AM Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> wrote: Tim, What are the damages that you are alleging and what is the legal basis for any claims? Are you just referring to the allegations in Steven's HRO petition because these allegations were repeated by Brittany as well. I'm still trying to understand what you feel that Steven has done that can be remedied for me to see if there is a solution. Can you clarify this for me? Dan

Daniel J. Van Loh Riverplace One Main Street S.E., Suite 206 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone : 612.827.6300; Facsimile : 612.295.0035 Email : dan@capvanloh.com http://www.CapVanLoh.com ** The office of Capistrant Van Loh is opened and we are available to meet your needs. In-person appointments must be scheduled in advance. Access to the building and our suite is by personal admission only and you are required to wear a face mask in all common areas of the building and our suite. If you don't have one, we will provide one for you. We will continue to be available to connect with clients and the court through phone, email, and Zoom meetings. The Capistrant Van Loh team is here to help! Take care and be healthy. ** From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Thursday, September 16, 2021 11:45 AM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Subject: Re: ARBITRATION INQUIRY Mr. Van Loh, The website you are referring to was offline before the conclusion of the HRO hearing. In fact, I had already notified the hosting company of my intent to cancel hosting prior to being originally served. My intent in reaching out to you was to see if there was a way to handle this situation without my retaining a lawyer and suing Steven. Once I proceed in that direction there is no turning back, and it will become a publicly known matter. I firmly maintain that I am a victim and am innocent of all accusations of Steven. It is only out of a desire to peacefully restore a relationship with my daughter that I extend this one-time offer to settle things before hiring an attorney. Regards,

On Thu, Sep 16, 2021 at 10:50 AM Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com > wrote: Tim, Thank you for the explanation. I'm not sure that reconciliation of a father-daughter relationship for and adult daughter is something that can be arbitrated. The accusations to which you refer have not been adjudicated because you agreed to accept the HRO without a hearing and without admitting anything. Hence, there is no public sharing of the allegations, unless you have shared Steven and Brittany's affidavits with others, otherwise the allegations remain between you and them. On this note, can you please verify that you have removed the public website that contained your disparaging comments about Steven? This was required as part of the HRO. I'm sorry that I cannot offer a better solution, Tim. I'm sure this is difficult. I'm happy to speak with an attorney who contacts me on your behalf, but there is simply not

a legal process through the HRO to force relational reconciliation with an adult child. This is not to say that there is never a hope for healing and reconciliation, just not through the legal process. Thank you, Dan Daniel J. Van Loh Riverplace One Main Street S.E., Suite 206 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone : 612.827.6300; Facsimile : 612.295.0035 Email : dan@capvanloh.com http://www.CapVanLoh.com ** The office of Capistrant Van Loh is opened and we are available to meet your needs. In-person appointments must be scheduled in advance. Access to the building and our suite is by personal admission only and you are required to wear a face mask in all common areas of the building and our suite. If you don't have one, we will provide one for you. We will continue to be available to connect with clients and the court through phone, email, and Zoom meetings. The Capistrant Van Loh team is here to help! Take care and be healthy. **

From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 11:50 AM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Subject: Re: DAN VAN LOH CONTACT INFORMATION Hello Mr. Van Loh, My goal is a relationship with my daughter Brittany, and healing for broken relationships in my family; most notably her siblings that do not have any contact with her. As a father, this is heartbreaking to see. Arbitration seems like a reasonable move. Right now, mediation would not offer a resolution I'd be happy with - I need accountability for past actions. At this time I cannot simply "kiss-and-makeup". My family, Brittany included, deserves to know whether Steven's accusations against me are accurate or not. If he/they are agreeable to arbitration, then I further suggest we split the cost of the arbitration services. If the ruling is in my favor, then they should request the court to dismiss the restraining order, so Brittany and I can go to counseling to try and heal our relationship. At this time I am not open to having a relationship with Steven. If things work out to where Brittany and I are back on speaking terms, then I can be sociable with Steven, but nothing more. Awaiting their response, Tim Hutchinson On Wed, Sep 15, 2021 at 9:58 AM Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> wrote: Tim, Thank you for your email. Yes, I am still representing Steven Anderson. I appreciate your reaching out to me in an attempt to settle your disagreement. Can you please clarify for me which disagreement you wish to settle with Steven so that I can apprise him accordingly? What is your proposal for resolution or request of Steven? I look forward to hearing from you. Thank you, Dan

Daniel J. Van Loh Riverplace One Main Street S.E., Suite 206 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone : 612.827.6300; Facsimile : 612.295.0035 Email : dan@capvanloh.com http://www.CapVanLoh.com ** The office of Capistrant Van Loh is opened and we are available to meet your needs. In-person appointments must be scheduled in advance. Access to the building and our suite is by personal admission only and you are required to wear a face mask in all common areas of the building and our suite. If you don't have one, we will provide one for you. We will continue to be available to connect with clients and the court through phone, email, and Zoom meetings. The Capistrant Van Loh team is here to help! Take care and be healthy. ** From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 9:46 AM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Subject: Re: DAN

VAN LOH CONTACT INFORMATION Hello Mr. Van Loh, Checking to see if you are still representing Steven Anderson? I ask because I would like to give him a chance to settle our disagreement. Starting in October I will begin searching for an attorney. Once I hire legal representation, this window of opportunity will be closed. Thank you for your attention to this matter, Tim Hutchinson On Fri, Jun 4, 2021 at 3:46 PM Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> wrote: Same. I did forward the letter to Brittany.

Dan From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Friday, June 4, 2021 3:44 PM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Subject: Re: DAN VAN LOH CONTACT INFORMATION Thank you. Hope you enjoy the warm (hot) weekend! Tim On Fri, Jun 4, 2021 at 3:32 PM Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> wrote: Tim, I acknowledge receipt of the letter and have notified Brittany accordingly. Thank you. Dan Daniel J. Van Loh Riverplace One Main Street S.E., Suite 206 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone : 612.827.6300; Facsimile : 612.295.0035 Email : dan@capvanloh.com http://www.CapVanLoh.com ** The office of Capistrant Van Loh is opened and we are available to meet your needs. In-person appointments must be scheduled in advance. Access to the building and our suite is by personal admission only and you are required to wear a face mask in all common areas of the building and our suite. If you don't have one, we will provide one for you. We will continue to be available to connect with clients and the court through phone, email, and Zoom meetings. The Capistrant Van Loh team is here to help! Take care and be healthy. **

From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Friday, June 4, 2021 2:04 PM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Subject: Re: DAN VAN LOH CONTACT INFORMATION Hello Mr. Van Loh, Attached is a letter to my daughter, Brittany Hutchinson. It is in the requested format of a PDF file. Please pass this along to her. Kind regards, Tim Hutchinson On Fri, May 28, 2021 at 12:18 PM Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> wrote: Thank you, Tim. I hope you have good Memorial Day weekend as well. I'll look forward to your letter. Dan Daniel J. Van Loh Riverplace One Main Street S.E., Suite 206 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone : 612.827.6300; Facsimile : 612.295.0035 Email : dan@capvanloh.com http://www.CapVanLoh.com ** The office of Capistrant Van Loh is opened and we are available to meet your needs. In-person appointments must be scheduled in advance. Access to the building and our suite is by personal admission only and you are required to wear a face mask in all common areas of the building and our suite. If you don't have one, we will provide one for you. We will continue to be available to connect with clients and the court through phone, email, and Zoom meetings. The Capistrant Van Loh team is here to help! Take care and be healthy. **

From: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Sent: Friday, May 28, 2021 9:30 AM To: Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> Subject: Re: DAN VAN LOH CONTACT INFORMATION Thank you, Mr. Van Loh. I will send a letter as a PDF file once written. Hope you have a great Memorial Day weekend, Tim Hutchinson On Thu, May 27, 2021 at 10:43 AM Dan Van Loh <dan@capvanloh.com> wrote: Tim, Thank you for your willingness to reach an agreement this morning. I know this is a hard time for you.

This email is to provide you with my contact information for you to prepare the letter that you want to send to Brittany. It will be best if you save your letter as a .pdf for me to forward as I would prefer not to be part of an email chain. I wish you the best as you move past this situation. Dan Daniel J. Van Loh Riverplace One Main Street S.E., Suite 206 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone : 612.827.6300; Facsimile : 612.295.0035 Email : dan@capvanloh.com http://www.CapVanLoh.com ** The office of Capistrant Van Loh is opened and we are available to meet your needs. In-person appointments must be scheduled in advance. Access to the building and our suite is by personal admission

# 041. Attorney/Van Loh Response/6.0 - 2021.06.04 - LF Tim to Brittany.PDF

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Attorney/Van Loh Response/6.0 - 2021.06.04 - LF Tim to Brittany.PDF ***

Dear Brittany, Amazing how he has you believing that after 22 years of being an amazing father, that somehow, I have become a monster. Apparently, he has super - powers because only he can see that…and he has convinced you that his fantasy is real. I am so grateful and thankful for my children who know my heart and have stood by my side, unwavering during these difficult times. They will always have a special place in my heart. I have proven to them, over the decades, that I always put my family first. Alway s. They will not just give up on me when someone tells a lie . They came to me and asked what my side of the story was. I shared my heart and truth as best as possible, which again confirmed that I have put this family first, even when it caused me tremendo us pain (and even resulted in my being hospitalized.) They are my family, and I am so proud of them! All I have been doing has been to try and resolve things through making the truth known. His response has been to escalate his lies and twisting of the t ruth to cover his previous lies. He has done so by making even the slightest ant hill seem like a ginormous mountain. He has scrutinized every single step I have taken and found fault with it - even if that means twisting the truth, or outright lying. Qu ick example: For weeks I had been coming home and placing my handgun on the top railing for a few minutes (since the riots, doing this made your mom feel safe), entering the kitchen and unpacking my lunch bag, then taking the handgun and putting it away in the bedroom. For weeks this was a daily routine (unless someone was walking down the street towards the park when I got home - in that case I waited until later to bring it into the house, when no one was around.) One day when he was over, he noticed th e gun on the railing, and automatically assumed it was there to intimidate him. That is what he stated in the Restraining Order. Again, just a small example of how he has twisted things to fit his agenda. An agenda to strip us out of each other's lives. An d you just caved him and let him get away with this. That makes me feel like I really do not matter to you - it seems you just gave up so easily. Here I am fighting to get you back and you are blindly going along with his evil plan. He has been avoiding the truth being

told. He does not want mediation because he knows the truth will come out and his evil deeds with be exposed. That is also the reason why he continues to keep you isolated from me. He

is afraid of the truth. That also explains why he blatan tly lied to Calvary about me; so they would not help with mediation, and instead have a lawyer ask me to stay away. I am no threat to them whatsoever. Yet he has them thinking I am such a threat they have supposedly hired additional security. That is how f ar he will go to cover his lies. They are a CHURCH - I am a PASTOR. They are my brothers and sisters in Christ. I would never go there and commit any sort of act of violence. Not ever. I only went to them because previously when we were going to have med iation, someone from the church was going to be the mediator. This was in no way an attempt to have him fired - they are a church; they are supposed to help people. I went there seeking their help in resolving things. Had he worked at any other place besid es a church I would have never contacted them. But he twisted this also to make it seem I was going there to somehow attack him. More lies on top of the other lies. Remember when I said let us take a 6 - month break. That took control away from him, Once I took control away, he felt a need to take it back. That is the real reason for the Restraining Order. Why file that if I am going away for 6 months? Control. The only thing I did during that time was to come over and attempt to tell you both that you are loved and forgiven. Just one time and you would never hear from me again for at least 6 months. This is about control - he wants to control, and I am in his way. Even worse; he has you believing that I am a threat and/or danger to you. Above all else that especially troubles me!! Let me be perfectly clear on this one point: I love you. More than life itself. There is no way, and I mean literally zero chance I would ever physically hurt you. Ever. Never. You are absolutely one of the greatest things to eve r happen in my life. Period. Even the mere thought of any type of harm to you is so repulsive it makes me ill to my stomach. Literally. I know that you have been emotionally hurt - some of that is likely my fault. For that I wholeheartedly apologize. I tru ly do. It was never my intention in any way, shape, or form to cause you any kind of pain. None whatsoever. That is a promise. A solid promise from a father to his precious daughter. I pinky swear. I think this has been tremendously difficult for you. Af ter all, it is not normal to become engaged, then need therapy. What's next, medication? He has painted

a picture of a fairy tale, but after the wedding he will slowly turn it into somethin g else . There are literally thousands of stories exactly like this o n the internet about guys like him. Everything seemed almost magical. No way could he ever turn out like all the others, he special, he different, he really loves me - then they changed. And the ladies who were lucky and escaped, ended up just another stat istic with a sad story to tell and deep emotional scars. Sadly, I beli eve you will see this firsthand. Once you walk down the aisle and I am not next to you, the door of opportunity to resolve things with him closes . After that time, I will never ack nowledge him as my son - in - law. He will never call me "dad". I will never have any type of relationship with him . Something else is going to happen after the wedding: my response. I t will then be my turn, an d I will expose his lies and evil ways. You see, I

have been patiently waiting to see how far he would take things – and all the while I have been trying to resolve this (apologizing, offering forgiveness, seeking mediation, etc.) I've been patient, very p atient, for a good reason; I want to be sure that I've given every chance possible to resolve this another way. I don't want to think about the "What if's" afterwards, wondering if there was another chance to settl e this. Filing the Restraining Order was enough to show me there isn't another way – I'm left with literally no choice. This entire time he has only done one thing: continue playing his game. So, remember this phrase: "He Treated Me Like a Game - Now I'm Going to Show Him How It's Played." I am fairly certain your lawyer is also reading this, so here's the disclaimer (as I've stated over and over and over again, repeatedly since this conflict began...) Nothing stated here is meant to infer or condone any illegal activities, or anything of a violent nature. I am a law - abiding citizen and will comply with all laws, including the Restraining Order.

Step #1 is to file a lawsuit against St ev en, and possibly Calvary. He will have to answer questi ons during th e lawsuit proceedings, and the truth will come out! T here will be other ( le gal ) events that will happen as well. Again, all fully legal and in co mpliance wi th the Re straining Order. But that does not make them any less effective. My enti re goal is to show you, my beau tifu l daught er , the lies he has been telling. As I ' ve stated so many times before; I just want t he truth revealed! I will not stop until t ha t happens. For th e rest of my life I will not rest until you k now the truth. I promise you this. As these events unfold, you will reach a point in time when you realize that you joined the wrong team. When that happens, come home. No judgement, no 'I told you so' comments, no holding a grudge. Nothing but love is waiting for you here. That is a real father to daughter promise. We all make mistakes in life (myself included), and it is comforti ng to know we have a family that will take us back, no matter what. Because that's how real families roll. His real battle is not with me, it is with himself. He clearly does not know how to express himself or his emotions in a healthy way and as a resul t, pushed me to my limits – then blamed me for the outcome ; all the while lying about what he has done to cause the problem(s) to begin with. Then, in order for you to remain in a relationship with him, you had to hurt someone; me. Are you happy with that? Seems fair, right? I mean all you have to do is treat your dad like crap after he made you a priority in his life for 23 years. And did anything and everything he possibly could for you. Here's a hint: if you have to give that up to be with someone, then you're with the wrong guy! Especially if he's lying to keep you away from your own dad. During all of this time he has not once shown any care or compassion towards me. Zip. Zilch. Nada. Nothing. How is this Christian behavior? Answer: it's not! I've off ered love, forgiveness and multiple opportunities to resolve this. He's offered nothing except lies in an at tempt to exacerbate things. Again, that is not Christian. At all. But somehow, he just turns things around in your mind to make me the bad guy. Again, it's amazing how awesome of a relationship we had, until he came along.

I now present to you some answers to the false claims made in the Restraining Order. In the interest of brevity, I've not covered everything. However, if there is something you would like to know, sh are that with your attorney and I'll answer through him. Love, Dad

I have a challenge for you. Read this alone and do not let S teven read this. It was agreed upon in court that I got to share a letter with you. But just you. I want you to read this with your heart and meditate on it. My Response to Your Order The Kyle Situation Kyle was Vanessa's ex, who assaulted her. After he assaulted her, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because sh e was embarrassed. I called Kyle, and he started to verbally assault me so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified my self as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. At that point I felt the need to defen d myself, and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I responded, " Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left. He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical – and I learned that in the future I would insist on calling the police and letting them handle the situation. The Phone Calls to Steven It was alleged that I made an increasing number of harassing calls to Steven. But I have checked my phone records, and they show that I have called Steven a total of 4 times ever. Not in a week, a month, but ever. 4 times. Acting Out? It's claim ed that I, at one time, were stomping, slamming cabinets, and slamming doors, and raising my voice while talking about steven. I only raised my voice to you once about Steven, but only after he treated me several times very disrespectfully. I was so disapp ointed in myself for having raised my voice to you (something I've not done before) that I slapped the doorway as I walked out of the kitchen. I cannot slam the doors in our kitchen, there are none. I cannot slam the kitchen cabinets as they have easy clos e stoppers. I never stomp my feet as I am not a child. Inappropriate Use of My Firearm? You said I "placed the firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment towards Steven." The truth of the matter is that I have been placing my firearm there for weeks, based on a request from your mom. It made her feel safer. Placing my firearm on the banister became an everyday routine for me. After what happened in Minneapolis with George Floyd and the protests and then the protests threatening to go to the suburbs, it made your mom feel safer to have it visible at times when I got home from work. Again, she literally asked me to do

this! One day while Steven is over he sees the handgun in its usual place, and made an incorrect assumption. Later it's claim ed that I went to the garage to retrieve my firearm and returned looking very angry. This is not true . I am very careful when retrieving my firearm from the car. In fact, for 20+ years, you didn't even know I had one . It was only after the events in Minneapolis that I started to

display my firearm – and only at the specific request of your mom. Over the years, I have been so discreet, that when ever there are people anywhere around (going to the park, out walking, working in their yard, etc. ) , I will wait until later to retrieve the firearm from the car so I can be as to be as safe and discreet as possible. We have lived in that house for 22 years and our neighbors ha ve never seen me carrying it. I only have it out in the open inside the house, and only for a short period of time. I have since asked your mom if she was okay with me being completely discreet and she said yes. I have never waved this gun around; I have never used this firearm to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie and crazy. I made it to 60 without a criminal record, but Steven want s to paint me a s this crazy man with a gun. The second time that I raised my voice, I did not know you were even home, I thought you had left with Steven. And when I did raise my voice, it lasted maybe 15 seconds. Your mom was at the top of the stairs and I was by the do or. I raised my voice towards your mom about the frustrations I was feeling with this situation. And I was shouting towards her, but not at her. After the 15 seconds had passed, your mom came and gave me a hug and said "I can see that this is clearly hurti ng you" She said "Let's go make supper together and have a glass of wine"; to which I responded with "Okay, the things Steven are doing and saying are bothering me." Within 10 minutes, your mom and I were in the kitchen laughing and joking about Facebook m emes. You then claim I went to the car and returned with the gun, still visibly angry. That's a lie. (It should also be noted that I have not raised my voice at all since then. Not once, under any circumstances. Again, just trying to make me appear to be a bad person, which I am not.) In the order it says, "The presence of his firearm in several incidences, along with his emotional instability, and refusal to respect boundaries that I've set in our relationship concern me that this will continue to escalat e and that the result may involve his firearm and Steven or I" To that I say: First of all to talk about boundaries - the only way I have stepped over boundaries is to try to resolve this. That's all that I have been doing for months. The display of my hand gun, again, was because of your mom's request. That has nothing to do with the situation between you, Steven and I. Nothing. Other than raising my voice twice, there has been no outward signs of aggression or anything being escalated. This is all a complet e fabrication. Yes, this is a bold face lie. All I've been trying to do, repeatedly, is to have the truth come out. I believe the truth doesn't mind being questioned, whereas lies want to hide. (Sound familiar?)

There's a reason Steven does not want mediation or to resolve things; because his lies will be exposed. So, he pretends to be afraid and paint me as a violent person to avoid the truth coming out. The Night You Moved Out You went to a friend's house and a few days later moved out. T he night you moved, you came back because you forgot something. I was in the bedroom with your mom. I heard something moving around in the house, so I went out into the hallway to see what was going on. When I noticed it was you I said hi. You gave me a hug and said "I love you so much dad." I said "I love you too." I asked if you were okay, and you said yes. I then said, "It's kind of weird because this is the first time you have

moved and I didn't help you." You smiled and said , "Yeah, it is kind of weird." YOU SMILED AND SAID YEAH IT'S KINDA WEIRD. You said you had to go, and I said, "Okay, have a good night." This is the day you moved out because you were supposedly afraid of me. How does that say you are afraid of me? The La st Page It's interesting that when Steven came up with this order, in his evidence, he left out the last page of my website where it talks about you guys being loved and forgiven. LOVED AND FORGIVEN . But that would go against his claim that I am a crazed, violent man. That doesn't fit his agenda to make you think I am this horrible violent person. What's interesting is that no one other than Steven thinks I am a violent person. Sadly, he has convinced you this lie is real. I spend time with both Jake and James and there's literally no problems between us at all. None. In fact, they have both said they would write letters in support of me. They both h eard the Kyle story and are not afraid of me at all – because there's literally no reason to be. None. That's all a fabrication of Steven's. I now have over 15 people who are close to me, all willing to write letters stating they have never seen any of the behavior Steven is alleging, and that they simply do not believe any of it is true. How many do you have? I'm not a betting man, but if I was, my bet would be there are zero people to back up his claim(s). Because they are lies. The Therapy Session You a pproached me to go to therapy with you. Before you, your therapist, and I got together for a meeting, the therapist wanted to get together with me alone in a zoom type meeting. She was rude, condescending, and I really did not feel as though she cared abou t me or resolving anything . It was very frustrating talking to her. Nonetheless, I said any therapy sessions I was involved in I would pay for and gave her my credit card number so you would not be burdened with that. What no one, not even the therapist kn ows is that I was not alone in that room. When the session with m yself and the therapist was scheduled, I asked your mom to be in the room with me. She sat off to one side, out of view to the camera. She witnessed how stressful this was for me. Then, at th e time you and I had our session with the therapist, it was immediately stressful

again. I felt as though the therapist was condescending from the beginning. My only goal for that session was to tell you that I loved you and to validate your pain . I know you've been hurt, and it's incredibly painful to see you go through this . T he t herapist interrupted me repeatedly . When I didn't recite things back exactly, it seemed she was a bit condescending . Half the time I didn't look at the screen as it was too hard to see the pain on your face. I didn't even get to say anything what I really wanted to say; that I lo ve you and to validate your feelings. At one point in time, I muted the microphone then I leaned forward to cry. You may have thought I got up and w alked around the room, but I was bent over forward crying into my hands. I truly and sincerely hurt throughout this session. Having you out of my life hurts more than my brothers dying – and still does to this very day. At this point it was clear that my message of love was not going to be conveyed to you, I became frustrated , rambled on about something and left the meeting abruptly. I think I mentioned not knowing if I wanted a relationship with you, but what I really meant was for a temporary amount of t ime. ( P.S. I did not slam my laptop close d – this laptop is too expensive to be slammed shut. ) With

the thought of that session fresh in my mind. I ended up in a park later that night with a gun to my head. That's the reason I had suicidal ideations; the pain on your face was more than I could handle. Word Choices I notice that throughout the order, words were used to misdescribe the situations. "RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP, SCREAMING". This is noth ing more than character assassination. Can someone name even one person who's actually witnessed me doing anything like that? I didn't think so - because it's not true. Trying to Get an Answer I have been trying repeatedly for months to hear what Steven and your complaints/problems/concerns were, what the problems were. And no one has told me anything. It was only when I got the restraining order that I was informed of the problems. Not once before that did I know truly what the problems were as n either o f you had told me. I had called for a 6 month break, and immediately, the 2 of you filed for a restraining order. Was this because Steven thought he was losing control? The only thing I did within that 6 months was to come to you to tell you were loved and forgiven. And now I hear that Steven thought about jumping out his 2 nd story window and call 911 all because he heard the story of Kyle. Meanwhile, Jake, James and I are hanging out having a good time. Clarifications So let's talk about that story again, it was told twice in one year. Both times Vanessa started to tell the story. Both times I finished it quickly. After the second time, I leaned over to Vanessa and said "Please let's not tell this story again" And she res ponded with

"but I like to brag about you" And I said "okay thank you but let's leave this story in the past" and she said "okay dad I love you" and I said "I love you too". Both of these times, James and Jake were present. And neither of them felt threate ned by this. I did not tell this one on one with Steven. It was told in a group setting. I have since hung out m any times with Jake and James. James has even shared his working schedule with me so I can call before/after he is off work to chat. Neither of them feel threatened by me at all. You say that on February 16 th , I came home and discovered Steven's laundry, I immediately responded with anger and rage. That is not true. It was an hour later, and it was in the presence of your mom, lasting about 15 se conds, as mentioned before. I was upset, but there was mo "rage". Again, character assassination. My Military Record Charlie was the name given to me in the military as a result of ha ving an elevated security clearance. I served courageously, and sometime s heroically. I was honorably discharged. My military records are now sealed. That's all anyone need s to know. As I made it clear over the years, I really don't want to talk about my service to our country and I avoid the topic . Owatonna There is a solid, logical reason behind this event. If you heard the reason you would immediately realize it's not what it seem ed at first glance. However, I am unable to write about this, and can only share this with you verbally. Perhaps some day down the road when we can speak again. I only ask that you trust me on this. I Have Doubts Did you even write this yourself? There are at least 5 times that it is cop ied and pasted from Steven's order. Also, one thing I find interesting is that when the question is asked "Do you believe that the harassment will continue?" You respond with "The respondent has made several claims that he 'resigns' or is done with the 'conflict'

yet he has continued to produce more emails, the website, etc. . There seems to be no indication this will end. He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany's on 4/28/21. " This is word for word copied from Steven's order. How much of any of this did you even write yourself? Do you even know what half your order against me says? And up until now, all I have been doing is trying to resolve this. At least I'm trying. That's more than Steven and you have done collectively. Yet every single time I try to resolve things, Steven turns it around to make it seem like I am a violent person and a threat to him. What's even worse, is because of his lies, you think that I am a threat to yourself. As a father I would never be violent towards any one of my kids, ever. That's nonnegotiable in my mind.

I'm Not Violent I was going to take the restraining order to trial, until your attorney told me that this is what you wanted personally. At that point in time, I asked myself "Why am I trying to fix anything?" I just want to be able to go to bed at night, knowing I have d one everything I possibly could to resolve this matter peacefully. And the only reason it hasn't been, is because of Steven's lies and twisting of the truth. To date, you have not seen me respond to the damage he has done, but you will. What's especially t roubling is the fact I have to add a disclaimer to everything I write now which states "Everything I do will be accomplished by legal means" all because of Steven's lies. You know I'm not a violent person. Again, 60 years old, no criminal record. That woul dn't be possible if I was such a violent person. I'm this amazing father until Steven comes around and it's like Dr. Jekyll & Mr. Hyde that only he can see. As it only exists in his mind. And he has you convinced it's real. No one else can see it. Why? It' s not real or true. There is literally no one else that I know who thinks this of me or believes any of these lies being told. That alone speaks volumes. How I Have Handled this Emotionally You say in your order "The respondent has shown an inability to c ontrol and regulate his emotions. He has lived out delusions of a violent nature." (Again, this is copy and pasted right from Steven's order) This has been a very emotional time for me, which it would be for any father that has their daughter unfairly stri pped from their life. Considering the depth of this tragedy, aside from the regrettable evening that I held a gun to my head, I feel as though I have handled this quite well. As far as the reference of violence, this is only an illusion in Steven's mind, t hat he has gotten you to believe. No one else in my life believes this whatsoever. They have seen no signs of violence in me. Why? It is simply not real, it is just lies. How else do you explain that I went from an amazing dad, who has done a tremendous am ount of work preventing bullying in schools, has chaperoned dances and prom for more than 25 years, and even done volunteer work at a hospice center sitting with dying people who have no family or friends to sit by their side, just so that they feel loved and cared for in their last moments, to a crazy homicidal maniac who threatens violence all in just a few months? And only Steven can see it. Maybe there's another answer? Maybe he's jealous of our relationship and set out to ruin it? That's a more likely answer in my eyes. An Epic Storm Let's talk about some of the things I've mentioned - starting with an epic storm. This is a real thing. I've done two so far in my life. Everything I do is completely legal in nature.

We have a wonderful legal system in this country that helps defend innocent people like myself. Without going into too many details, suffice it to say, the first person ended up in prison. After which time I started dating his wife, and every month for a year, we would mail him a picture of us t ogether. How do you know this is true? I was living with her when I met your mom. For the second time, a man threatened our family. He was well respected in the community, had a 6 figure job, a nice home and a family. In a very short period of time, again using all legal means, he lost all of that. Afterwards, sitting

alone in a motel outside of town, he called me and said “It’s over, you won!” I said “No, it’s not over. There are 3 more steps left.” He begged, “Please, no. I have 2 daughters.” I responded, “If you ever come near my family again, or come near our home again, I will finish what I started.” He responded , “I’m gone.” Want to know if this is true? Ask your mom, she knows. You see here again that Steven is twisting things to seem like I'm this c razy, violent man. But I know how to defend my family using legal means. I prefer to live in peace, which is why I use the phrase “If you want peace, prepare for war.” This just means to be prepare d to defend yourself against people who want to take that p eace away, which I am fully prepared to do through legal means. Steven has been rude to me, disrespectful, twisting my words, and pulling you out of my life. “Prepare for war” simply meant to stop what he was doing or prepare for legal consequences. The “E pic Storm'' and “Prepare for war” was a deterrent to stop him from doing what he was doing, which he didn’t. My Books Let's set the record straight. Steven had heard that I had written several books. I said I had. He said he would be interested in reading them. So , I went into the other room and g ot a copy of each for him. He said “Oh okay.” And we changed the subject. It was after that we hung out and he mentioned he read my books. He only wanted to talk about the miracles in ‘Casting Out Doubt’, not my memoir. You know all the good things that have come from writing this book. I have given out hundreds of copies and no one has ever felt threatened by it. Final Thought About Your Order And lastly, your restraining order was centered around a claim of domestic violence. I (regrettably) raised my voice around you, but how is that violent? The a nswer ; it is not. It doesn’t even rise to the level of bullying. Therefore, your restraining orde r was based around a false premise to begin with. I think it was filed that way just for dramatic effect. That rises to the level of bearing false witness against your neighbor, just like Steven's. My Response to Steven’s Order He says that I am unable to control my emotional response. Unable to control my emotional response? He was trying to talk down to me, and I was telling him to not talk down to me. He was being disrespectful to me. He is a 25 - year - old man and I am a 60 - year - old father. He does not ha ve the right to talk down to me. From the beginning, all along was to control me. If he can’t control me, he wants to make me look like a terrible person. Every time I have tried to stand up for myself, he has turned it around to seem like I am aggressive or violent. He was also rude to Alex, Vanesaa, and my friends Heather and Henry. They all told me independently that Steven acted very rudely towards them, and all 4 are willing to make written statements about how poorly he

treated them. Interestingly eno ugh, Alex and Vanessa do not know Heather and Henry, so there was not, nor could be, any collusion.

My Letters to the Church About my letter to the church and that it was a threat to him. I went to the church to ask them politely and calmly to ask if they would help resolve this peacefully and without conflict. Along with the letter, I included the history of the challenges we have faced in our relationship. This documentation included emails and texts we had sent between each. Nowhere in any of the docume ntation did it reference any violence or threats. This was sent to the senior pastor only, in confidentiality, and he never responded. Anyone reading this letter would ask "How is this defamatory?" Steven twisted things around, again. As for the second letter, the senior pastor did not respond, so this was addressed to the elders at the church. Nowhere in any communication do I ask to terminate his employment. All I have ever asked was that they get involved in the conflict. As far as showing up " unannounced", I left 2 voicemails for the senior pastor the week before. As far as me being "uninvited", I went into the lobby, rang the buzzer, and asked if I could leave the package there. I left the package in the "Leave Deliveries Here" area (the same as UPS or FedEx would) . I then went back out to my car peacefully. Also "uninvited"? How can someone not be invited to a church?" P.S. At the time I wrote this letter, there were markers that were off that led doctors to believe that I might have cancer. I had to go through numerous tests, including a colonoscopy. Along the way, the real problem was discovered after I was taken to the emergency room by my wife after being in excruciating pain one night. Once there, after going through some more tests, they discovered the real problem. For privacy reasons I will not disclose what the problem is. I have since been undergoing treat ment (and as of June 4 th , 2021 continue to be under the care of doctors for this condition) . In conclusion, there was a serious conc ern that I had cancer. Fortunately, it was not cancer, but is still of great concern, and I am thankful for the medical community in helping through this difficult time. I say in the letter that I am prepared to make some bold moves. I went to the church to ask them to help. I wanted to get mediation. Bold moves - asking you over to have cheesesteaks and talk about what each other needs to resolve the issues. Nowhere have I threatened anyone. The Website The website was intended as a warning. Nothing more t han a warning. Yet again he has twisted things around to seem that I am going to use violence against him, even though I clearly state that everything will be done in a legal manner and not a violent one. I also had the website coded so that search engines will not pull it up or catalog it. I did that intentionally to protect privacy. It was a warning that if he continues or tries to pull anything else like what he has done to me, the site would go live. Even the screenshot Steven used in the filing says, " To be perfectly clear, everything listed above will be accomplished through legal means, I do not condone violence or any illegal activities. I

# 042. Attorney/Van Loh Response/7.0 - 5-27-2021 HRO Hearing Transcript.PDF

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Attorney/Van Loh Response/7.0 - 5-27-2021 HRO Hearing Transcript.PDF ***

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 1 STATE OF MINNESOTA DISTRICT COURT COUNTY OF RAMSEY SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT _____ Steven James Anderson, File No. 62-HR-CV-21-361 Petitioner, vs. AGREEMENT PUT ON RECORD Timothy Allyn Hutchinson, Respondent. _____ STATE OF MINNESOTA DISTRICT COURT COUNTY OF RAMSEY SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT _____ Brittany Hutchinson, File No. 62-HR-CV-21-362 Petitioner, vs. AGREEMENT PUT ON RECORD Timothy Allyn Hutchinson, Respondent. _____ The above-entitled hearing came duly on for hearing before Referee Elizabeth Clysdale, one of the referees of the above-named court, on the 27th day of May, 2021 via Zoom hearing. APPEARANCES: DANIEL VAN LOH, Esquire, appeared on behalf of Petitioners Steven Anderson and Brittany Hutchinson. ALSO PRESENT: Petitioner Steven Anderson appeared. Petitioner Brittany Hutchinson appeared. Respondent Timothy Hutchinson appeared pro se * * * * *

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 2 (WHEREUPON, at this time the following proceedings were duly had:) THE COURT: We are on the record in Court File 62-HR-CV-21-361 and Court File 62-HR-CV-21-362. Counsel for the petitioners, can you please note your appearances? MR. VAN LOH: Good morning, Your Honor. My name is Daniel Van Loh, V-a-n, L-o-h, and I represent both petitioners Steven Anderson and Brittany Hutchinson. THE COURT: And then you're Timothy Hutchinson; correct? THE RESPONDENT: Yes. THE COURT: And it's my understanding that through counsel there may be an agreement; is correct Mr. Van Loh? MR. VAN LOH: Yes, Your Honor, there is. THE COURT: And Mr. Hutchinson, is that correct you've reached an agreement this morning? THE RESPONDENT: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Very slowly, Mr. Van Loh, what is the agreement? MR. VAN LOH: Your Honor, first of all, implicit with the agreement that the harassment restraining orders in both files would be entered

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 3 without any findings of wrongdoing by Mr. Hutchinson. THE COURT: Are there any exceptions or other -- MR. VAN LOH: Yes, Your Honor. I will read through them. I anticipate there is a box on the form that the court is using, I believe would be box A, but it would be respondent would

have no direct or indirect contact with the petitioners, including any visits or phone calls, any electronic means, email, social networking sites. There will be no threats or assaultive behavior, no damaging or stealing property -- although that hasn't been an issue that is part of the box -- and no breaking and entering into their residence or taking pictures without their permission. And there is an understanding that that box would be checked in both petitions or both orders. With respect to the residences, Mr. Hutchinson would agree that he would not go within three city blocks of the residence of either Mr. Anderson or Miss Hutchinson, and the same would apply to both of their places of employment. Specifically for Miss Hutchinson, she may have to speak to the address of her place of employment, but she works at the University of Minnesota Hospital.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 4 And Steven works at Calvary Church. There are addresses in both Roseville and White Bear Township. Mr. Hutchinson is aware of both of those places. May I keep going, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MR. VAN LOH: Okay. There is currently a website that is https://dirtysteven.com. Mr. Hutchinson agrees to take down that website. With respect to Calvry Church, and I'm going to call Calvary Church to include both Roseville and White Bear Township campuses -- this is somewhat duplicative, but being specific -- Mr. Hutchinson would agree not to have any communication with Calvary Church or go on the premises without express written consent from both Calvary Church and Steven and Brittany. Actually just Steven, not Brittany, because that is Mr. Anderson's place of employment. There is an exception to contact through a third party and that would be the legal counsel for Mr. Anderson and Miss Hutchinson, which is me, that he may -- it would not be a violation of the restraining order for him to send written communication to Brittany and that would include my office. So I would be the conduit to deliver that. Mr. Hutchinson agrees and understands that

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 5 the content of that communication would not be disparaging about Steven or Brittany, would not be threatening or harassing in nature, and would be in place for two years, the standard. THE COURT: Mr. Timothy Hutchinson, any corrections or clarifications to the agreement? THE RESPONDENT: No, Your Honor. I agree. THE COURT: Okay. All right, sir, can you please raise your right hand to be sworn in? You do affirm that the testimony you shall give will be true; and you do this under penalty of perjury? THE RESPONDENT: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. THE RESPONDENT: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. All right. So you have heard counsel read the details and the terms of the two harassment restraining orders that you are agreeing to; correct? THE RESPONDENT: Yes. THE COURT: And do you understand everything

that you are agreeing to? THE RESPONDENT: I do. THE COURT: And you understand that by entering into this agreement that you are giving up your

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 6 right to have a trial and to tell your side of the story? THE RESPONDENT: I do. THE COURT: And you know that even though you're agreeing to these two orders that if you violate any of the terms you could be criminally charged? THE RESPONDENT: I understand. THE COURT: Do you have any questions for me? THE RESPONDENT: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: You are willing to be bound by these orders even though you don't have an attorney here today to give you legal advice? THE RESPONDENT: I do. THE COURT: And you are clear headed? THE RESPONDENT: Yes, I am. THE COURT: And you haven't taken any medication, drugs, or alcohol that would prevent you from entering into this agreement? THE RESPONDENT: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So we'll go ahead and issue the two harassment restraining orders according to the terms that were read into the record. Mr. Van Loh, I don't have the two petitions in front of me, but are the work addresses included in

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 RAMSEY COUNTY DISTRICT COURT 7 those applications? MR. VAN LOH: They are, yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And then typically we do not do a three blocks radius restriction. We do a two city block. So, Mr. Timothy Hutchinson, are you agreeable to adding an additional block to the radius areas you will stay away from? THE RESPONDENT: I'm not sure, Your Honor, because in that particular area where he lives with Brittany Hutchinson there are a lot of shops around there, one of them is one that I like to go to from time to time, Snuffy's. I prefer to be two city blocks. THE COURT: We'll do two city blocks or a quarter mile radius. Mr. Van Loh, is that correct? MR. VAN LOH: That is okay and it is -- I know the intersection. It is Lexington and Larpenteur, there is a lot of unique stores there. THE COURT: All right. Well, I wish all of you the very best of luck, and we'll go ahead and issue those orders. Thank you. We're off the record. (WHEREUPON, at this time this hearing was concluded.)

# 043. Chief Judge Response/AI Rewrite to the Chief Judge.docx

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Chief Judge Response/AI Rewrite to the Chief Judge.docx ***

To the Honorable Chief Judge Sara Grewing,

Thank you for your response. While I know it was offered in good faith, I must be honest—it brought me no closer to hope. I've already reached out to every avenue you suggested. Each one led to the same dead end: "The referee can do whatever they want in their courtroom." That's what I was told.

I also filed a complaint against their attorney. After careful review, my complaint was deemed credible and serious enough to be escalated to a full ethics committee within the Hennepin County Bar Association. I believed this would be a step toward accountability and justice. However, during the proceedings, the attorney in question relied on falsehoods—fabrications told by his client—as the foundation of his defense. These untruths were not only misleading, but they ultimately derailed the process. Despite the initial finding of merit, my complaint was dismissed. It has left me feeling deeply disillusioned, not just with the outcome, but with a system I had hoped would uphold truth and integrity.

I then contacted the State Bar Association, hoping for a referral to an attorney who might be willing to stand up for justice. Their reply? There is no one in Minnesota willing to take on a case involving court corruption. I didn't stop there. With the help of three others, we reached out to nearly 90 attorneys. Not one—not one—would take the case. I even offered one lawyer four times his normal rate. He declined, saying plainly: "That court is corrupt." Those were his words, not mine.

I am exhausted. I've watched my family be torn apart while the very system meant to protect us either stood by in silence or, worse, became complicit in the harm. I've pleaded for help. I've followed every rule. And still, the destruction continues.

So, I've made a decision.

I will be retiring by the spring of 2026. After that, I will give away everything I own and leave the country. Only I will know where I'm going. I've already begun arrangements with a company in Japan to help me relocate discreetly and safely. Why such a drastic step? Because I love my family more than life itself. And because I refuse to be bullied any longer.

While abroad, I will begin telling our story. I will create videos—truthful, documented, and heartfelt—sharing what happened to us. I already have videos with over 300,000 viewers who follow my work on politics, religion, and spirituality. They will hear the truth: about the pastor, the attorney, and the court system that allowed the destruction of a peaceful, law-abiding family.

They will also hear how I tried—again and again—to resolve this through the proper channels. How I begged the system to see the truth. And how that system turned its back on us, enabling the bullying to continue.

Yes, I was bullied—by the pastor, by his attorney, and by the courts in Ramsey County. And I know what bullying looks like. I'm the person who made bullying prevention a national issue. I've stopped six school

shootings. I've helped prevent over 300 teen suicides. I wrote the foundational material used by the largest anti-bullying organization in America. All of it as a volunteer. I know bullying when I see it. And what happened to my family was bullying—sanctioned by silence.

If the pastor or attorney learns of my plan to leave and speak out, I fear they may once again try to weaponize the court against me. If that happens, I am pleading with you: please do not allow the same referees to preside. They have shown no regard for truth or justice. I no longer trust them with my family's future.

I was born and raised in Minnesota. I've worked hard my entire life. I've never broken the law. I was married for 31 years, and together we raised four children—each of them kind, successful, and good citizens. And now, we no longer trust the justice system. We've seen what it does to families like ours. And soon, the world will see it too.

CNN has reached out. A filmmaker wants to tell our story. The truth will come out. I will not lie. I will not exaggerate. I will not stoop to the tactics of those who harmed us. I will simply tell the truth—and let the world decide what to do with it.

In closing, I ask you—please—be the light in this darkness. Help protect my constitutional right to speak the truth. Help me seek justice, not just for myself, but also my family who have been harmed by a system that was supposed to protect them.

With hope,

# 044. Chief Judge Response/Chief Judge Response.docx

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Chief Judge Response/Chief Judge Response.docx ***

Thanks for your response. It wasn't helpful - but I appreciate the effort. You see, I have already contacted the places you mentioned. They said the referee can do whatever they want in their court. I have also contacted the State Bar Assoc. for a referral to an attorney. They replied that there is no attorney in Minnesota they are aware of who will take on this case involving court corruption. I also had three people helping me make calls to attorneys - collectively they contacted approx. 90 lawyers. Not one of them would handle the case. Not one. I offered one lawyer four times his normal rate. He said no stating "That court is corrupt." His words, not mine.

I am tired of watching my family being destroyed and the legal system not only refusing to help, but in some cases actually participating in the harm.

Time for a new plan. Here's what's next...

I am retiring in a few months - Spring, 2026 at the latest. I will give away everything I own, and leave the country. The destination will be unknown to anyone other than myself. I will be retaining the services of a company in Japan to discretely relocate me somewhere safe and untraceable. Why would I do all of this? Because I love my family more than life itself. And because I am refusing to be bullied anymore (more on that in a minute). While there, I will create videos sharing my story and providing evidence to prove it is the truth. I currently post videos that I create about politics / religion / spirituality and those get over 300,000 views each. So, I have an audience. And now they are going to know the truth about the pastor, the lawyer and the court system who all participated in the destruction of a good, decent, peaceful and law-abiding family.

They will also learn how I tried over and over again to resolve this working through the system. But the court system ignored the truth and enabled the aggressors to continue destroying my family. They actively allowed the bullying to continue.

Yes, I was bullied by the pastor, his attorney and the courts in Ramsey County. I know what bullying is. I am actually the person who made bullying awareness and prevention a national issue. Along the way I stopped 6 school shootings and prevented 300 teen suicides. I also wrote the core material used by the largest anti-bullying organization in America. (I did all of this as a volunteer.) So yes, I am an expert in bullying, and that's exectly what happened to my family - and was allowed by the courts in Ramsey County.

If the pastor and / or attorney become aware of my plan to leave this country and create videos, they may attempt to again misuse the court to attack me and prevent me from engaging in a constitutionally protected activity. If this happens, I am asking that you oversee this matter, and not the previous referee(s). I don't trust them, and they have shown themselves to not care about the truth or my family.

I was born and raised in Minnesota. I worked hard all my life, no criminal record and was married 31 years. Together we raised 4 children - all of whom have good careers. And now, we no longer truth the justice system. We've seen what it does to good families - and soon, the world will also know.

In addition to moving overseas to create aforementioned videos, I have also been contacted by CNN and a filmmaker who wants to make a documentary about this. The truth will come out. And I will expose the lies and corruption in a lawful manner by exercising my constitutional rights. I don't need to stoop to the level of telling lies, exaggerating and embellishing things. No, that's what liars do. I am just going to tell the truth. And wait for the world to respond.

In closing, I am asking that you be a beacon of light in this very dark period of my family’s life. Help protect my constitutional rights so I can speak the truth and seek justice.

Thank you,

# 045. Referee/Hutchinson Complaint - Reformatted.docx

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Referee/Hutchinson Complaint - Reformatted.docx ***

To whom it may concern,

I am sending the following information to initiate a complaint against Judge Referee Elizabeth Clydesdale (Ramsey County, MN) and Attorney Dan Van Loh (from the law firm Capistrant Van Loh). I am including information about both in the same document as the complaints against each stem from the same incident(s) / occurrences. The purpose of this complaint is to have these individuals held accountable for their actions pertaining to and surrounding Harassment Restraining Orders 62-HR-CV-21-362 and 62-HR-CV-21-361.

Important Background Information.

My name is Tim Hutchinson. I am a 63-year-old man who was with my wife over 31 years. We enjoyed a good life together. That is, until along came a man named Steven Anderson, who works at a Calvary church in Roseville. He began manipulating our daughter (Brittany) soon after dating her. She broke up with him and told me it was because Steven was "too controlling." She met with him again and he "shared his heart" and told her it was because of his upbringing that he was not able to properly express himself. Because of this she began dating him again. And is also the reason my family and I gave him a wide swath of grace and patience. Turns out he took total advantage of that and in the process has caused tremendous damage to our family, and we are now experiencing unimaginable pain. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close). He has her convinced, through lies, that I am a horrible person.

My daughter is an RN who works on a Covid unit at a Twin Cities hospital, and I've not seen or spoken to her since February 2021.

I've asked the church where he works to help mediate this, and they responded by having their lawyer tell me to stay off their property and to not communicate with them. This is because of the lies he told them.

My daughter’s boyfriend had convinced her to file a restraining order against me based upon lies. Afterwards, they married, and I was not allowed at the wedding and did not walk my daughter down the aisle. The first HRO was in 2021. When it was set to expire they filed for an extension, and was granted that. All based upon lies and improper court proceedings.

As a direct result of the lies he told about me, my wife and I divorced and we sold our house where we lived for the past 24 years.

Steven Anderson was able to get away with this, in large part because his attorney lied multiple times, and because the court referee acted improperly. I am now filing a complaint against both.

Summary of complaints,

Reasons attorney Dan Van Loh is being reported;

1) Before I agreed to accept the first HRO, Mr. Van Loh told me that if I fought back and won the HRO it would show up on Brittany's background check and could affect her employment and future missionary efforts. This turned out to be a lie.

2) I told him before the first HRO hearing a website was not for the public, but instead was a private journal, and the only person I invited to see it was his client, Steven Anderson. He later falsely claimed it was a public website set up to defame Steven. He later used this false narrative to request an emergency hearing for an extension of the HRO.

3) He suggested, and the court referee agreed, that no information or evidence from the first HRO be allowed at the trial for the second HRO. But then my private journal was introduced as evidence as a public website and became the bulk of their claim against me.

4) He went along with all of the blatantly false accusations against me and threw reasoning out the window by pushing forward with their hateful and hyper-exaggerated claims.

5) Any time I attempted to find ways to reconcile matters, Mr. Van Loh would state in an email that my continued efforts to work things out with my daughter were only pushing her away. He intentionally made things worse and much more difficult for me to restore peace and harmony in my family.

6) He claimed I was a liar and so was my sister (who had just lost her only daughter, suddenly and unexpectedly. I mentioned this before she was brought into the virtual courtroom to testify.)

Reasons Judge Referee Elizabeth Clydesdale is being reported;

1) When it was my turn to present my testimony, she stopped the proceedings and did not allow me to present any evidence or personal testimony to refute the claims of the plaintiff. In short; I was denied my opportunity to testify.

2) She allowed information to be presented that she previously said would not be allowed.

3) Allowed their attorney to speak harshly about my witnesses without any evidence to prove his statements.

4) Did not explain that I was not obligated to answer any of their attorneys' questions.

5) Was not there to seek truth and ultimately justice, but instead allowed their attorney to do whatever he please, despite it being obvious I was unable to defend myself.

6) When I made an honest mistake of providing evidence in an improper way before the trial, she harshly berated me in front of the others present, including my daughter.

7) Found me in violation of the previous HRO without any evidence.

Facts;

Either prior to, or during the first HRO hearing their attorney, Dan Van Loh told me that if I contested this matter and won, that would have a serious impact on my daughter's ability to obtain employment or continue going overseas as a volunteer. She is an RN and has traveled to different countries to help others. This is important to her, and it would be devastating if she could not continue doing so. Mr. Van Loh said that if I contested this matter and proved their claims were false (which they are), then anyone who did a background check would see that my daughter had filed false documents with the court and would not hire her and allow her to do any more overseas volunteering.

Based upon that I agreed to the 2-year HRO uncontested and without finding of fact. I also agreed to take down a private journal I had online. This private journal is where I documented the events that had happened since Steven Anderson began manipulating my daughter. I am including a copy along with this letter and other evidence to show it is completely innocent. It is important to note that this journal was absolutely private and I only invited Steven over one time to show him that I had all this information and would make it public if he did not stop his manipulation and agree to make peace with me. All I wanted was peace in my family and to have a relationship with my daughter. He copied the entire private journal and used it as evidence against me. When I told his attorney, Mr. Van Loh it was a private online journal, he responded, "That's what pen and paper are for."

Nowhere in this journal are there any threats against Steven or my daughter.

Here is the text from the home page of this private journal

1 Peter 5:8 "...Your enemy the devil prowls around like a roaring lion looking for someone to devour."

and;

John 10:10 "The thief [Satan] comes only to steal and kill and destroy..."

This website is the true story of how one person told evil lies to ruin a great father-daughter relationship. What's even more shocking is that person works at Calvary Church; his name is Steven Anderson - director of youth services.

When the father (Tim Hutchinson) asked Calvary to assist in rectifying the problem, the church had their attorney tell him to not communicate with them, and to stay off their property. Clearly Satan is causing a division based upon lies. This website is being put up to not only expose the lies of the devil, but to also as a call to prayer for Calvary and Steven Anderson, who caused so much heartache.

The dad had become so distraught that he attempted suicide. The response from Steven Anderson and the church, was nothing. No signs of compassion at all. No reaching out to see how he was doing - no offer to pray with him - absolutely nothing at all.

Steven then had a restraining order put in place against that father, and married his daughter. The father could not attend the wedding, or walk his daughter down the aisle.

Despite all of this, the father made 11 attempts at settling things peacefully. Neither the church nor Steven will communicate with him, at all.

This website is a humble request for prayer - a plea for mighty warriors of God to call upon the heavens to intervene - to strike down evil influences and to lift up the broken! For restoration of the relationship that was stolen from the father. For wisdom in the church so they may have a heart more like Christ's - and for healing of Steven's mind so he may awaken and realize that evil has been influencing his decisions, to repent, and come back to the Lord.

Here's some information from the father...

Dear brothers and sisters in Christ, my name is Tim Hutchinson. I am a 60-year-old man, and have been with my wife for 32 years. Thus far we've enjoyed an amazing life together. That is, until along came a man who works at a church in MN. He began manipulating my daughter soon after dating her. Now my family and I are experiencing unimaginable pain. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close). He has her convinced (through lies) that I am a horrible person.

My daughter is an RN who works on a Covid unit at a Twin Cities hospital, and I've not seen or spoken to her since February, 2021.

I've asked the church where he works at to help mediate this, and they responded by having their lawyer tell me to stay off their property and to not communicate with them. I later learned that at least some of the negative actions against me were likely the result of advice given by counselors at the church.

My daughters boyfriend had convinced her to file a restraining order against me based upon lies. Afterwards, they married and I was not allowed at the wedding and did not walk my daughter down the aisle.

As you will see below I have this situation well documented.

I have been hurt at a profound level; first, the relationship between my daughter and I; the wedding I did not get to attend and did not walk my daughter down the aisle; the suicide attempt; other relationships have been affected, and so has my job. There have been other deep impacts on myself as well. I have become socially withdrawn. This has been a living nightmare. And all as a result of lies. Evil lies which I believe are due to a monster inside of the church and influencing Steven Anderson. A monster known as Satan. Please, join me in prayer against the evil among us, and for restoration of that which was stolen from me!"

The only thing other than the home page on this private journal, was the journal itself – which again is where I documented the events that happened, including emails, phones and text messages we sent each other. That journal is included in this complaint as a separate file.

I took the journal offline during the HRO hearing process. Later, during the filing of the second HRO, Mr. Van Loh would claim this was a "public website" and again try to use this against me.

[Note: there are several times in the above text which refers to my journal as a "website" – that is there in case I made the private journal public, which I never did.]

During the two-year period of the first HRO I sent my daughter letters through her attorney, Mr. Van Loh, as allowed by the HRO. These letters were infrequent and just letting her know that I love her and some updates. At one point in time, I asked Mr. Van Loh to discuss the possibility of reconciliation with Steven. Because of the upsetting nature of my not being able to see or speak with my daughter, I had mentioned the possibility of a lawsuit against Steven. Mr. Van Loh's response was, in part; "…hurting Steven will hurt Brittany which would most assuredly undermine or end any future hope of reconciliation." He also went on to say in another email that Steven does not want to reconcile with me.

As documented in the private journal, I tried 15 times to make peace with Steven, and he refused every attempt.

I did not violate the terms of the first HRO and was waiting for it to expire so I could once again find a way to have a relationship with my daughter. During those two years my family was asking me questions about the HRO and how things happened. It was upsetting for me to keep talking about it, so my idea was to have my youngest daughter interview me and make a video of that. Which we did. I then created a private web page, without links coming into or out of that page (you literally had to know the exact web page address to find it). I then password protected that page and uploaded the 93-minute video

and shared the link and password to five of my immediate family members. Once they had watched it, I took that page down. During the time it was up (only a few days) someone shared the link and password with my daughter, Brittany. She showed it to her husband Steven, who then planned to use it against me in filing for an extension of the HRO. You can view this video in its entirety by copying and pasting this private link into your browser:https://youtu.be/ZbYLElTAwO0

The above video is not available to the public. It is only available by my sharing the link above to certain individuals, including yourself for the purpose of filing a complaint.

It is important to know at this point that in January of 2023 my niece suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Her mom is my youngest sister. I stood by her side throughout this terrible time in her life. Months later she decided to try and help me by offering to organize some peaceful protests at Calvary church where Steven works. Her goal was to get the church to agree to help move Steven towards mediation. Her intentions were pure and honest. Initially I said no to this. Eventually I agreed when there were assurances that this would be peaceful and with the understanding that I would not participate.

I sent a letter about this to Mr. Van Loh. Shortly afterwards I was served papers for an extension of the HRO, which was to soon expire. I planned to ask for a trial in the hopes that the truth could finally come out. I called my sister and explained this, asking her to stop the plans for a protest.

Part of their claim is that I was a CIA assassin and they have concerns I would harm (or murder) hundreds of children at a church. These are outrageous lies and they have no evidence or witnesses for this claim. In fact, they do not have any witnesses or evidence against me at all which would show that I am a threat to them, or to anyone. Because in reality, I am a peaceful person and the victim of lies. Except for the one daughter who was convinced to file an HRO against me, the entire rest of the family is on my side.

During the first hearing, I asked for a trial. Mr. Van Loh told Judge Referee Clydesdale that nothing from the first HRO should be allowed in this trial. She agreed.

Prior to the day of the trial, I submitted evidence through the Minnesota Digital Exhibit System. I also sent a list of the items submitted to their attorney, Mr. Van Loh. When I did not hear back from him, I called his office. A woman there said that he was not available. She checked the email and said they had it and everything was fine.

On the day of the trial, I found out that I was also supposed to send the evidence to their attorney, and not just a list. I somehow thought they would be able to access it through the Minnesota Digital Exhibit System where I had uploaded it too. The Judge Referee spoke very harshly to me about this honest mistake. She mentioned that this matter would be concluded on that day but did not give any times or

reference a length of time it would last. In the papers I was served it mentioned that this trial would last at least 3 hours.

One of the first things that happened was I was found to be in violation of the first HRO because I shared my side of the story with 5 members of my immediate family. To avoid having to tell my story repeatedly, I made a video (as previously mentioned) and shared with to them on a hidden web page that was additionally password protected. Once those 5 people saw the video I took it down. In the court filing for extension of the HRO (which was made on an emergency basis – literally for no reason at all other than dramatic effect) it mentioned the video and said they could provide a transcript if needed. This was clearly an attempt to make it seem as though the video had illegal or violent content. Nothing could be further from the truth. Their statement was intended to be misleading. Some of those who watched the video came to me afterwards and said this confirmed they were right to believe me and not the lies Steven was telling. The video was not shown in court nor was a transcript presented, yet the Judge Referee found this to be in violation of the HRO without knowing any of the content. She stated in the HRO extension that I had created this video and shared it with Brittany, in violation of the original HRO. This is not only false, but no one in the hearing made this claim. She, the Judge Referee, made this up without any evidence and issued a ruling that was harmful to me. All without evidence or testimony.

It should also be noted here that Brittany admitted to her mom that she did not write all of her HRO filing – Steven did and she just copied & pasted it. So there remains the possibility that she does not even know what her HRO filing says.

During the trial their attorney questioned me at length about the private journal I had online previously. There was really nothing there that was a threat to anyone and seemed like a big waste of time. They had no evidence and no witnesses.

I believe the Judge Referee should have informed me that I was not under any obligation to answer Mr. Van Loh's questions and that I have a right to not submit to his questioning.

During the trial their attorney (Mr. Van Loh) acted rudely and was clearly not interested in truth and justice – this was purely a seek and destroy mission for him. It was almost as if he took pleasure in attacking a man who was clearly emotionally distraught, and the Judge Referee allowed this.

When it was my turn, I was able to call a few witnesses, and was told that I could not ask them questions about anything the Plaintiff's had not already introduced as evidence. This limited what I could do and felt like my opportunity to prove my innocence was being intentionally squashed. For example, I asked if my sister could read a statement. I explained that her daughter had passed away only a few months ago and she was still grieving. The Judge denied that request. Here is the statement she was going to read:

Good morning your honor. I am here today to make a statement in support of my brother, Tim Hutchinson. I have known him all of my life, which is now more than 50 years. Nothing in these court filings comes even close to who my brother really is.

To begin, I will share with you about my only daughter, Jessica. Several months ago she suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Children should bury their parents, not the other way around. This was one of the most devastating things I've experienced in my life. In that time of enormous grief, my brother Tim rushed to my side and remained there as a steady rock for me to hold onto. Without him I don't even know how I would have made it through all of this.

My brother Tim and I are close, and we talk on the phone at least 3 or 4 times a week. At least once a week during our calls, as Tim will be talking about his daughter Brittany, and how much he loves her and wants to see her, he will cry. Not just a little, but actually sobbing. He is truly hurt by these terrible lies about him.

I know my brother had contacted several attorneys looking for a way to resolve this matter outside of a court proceeding – he wanted this to be settled peacefully and privately as a family should. His desire was for this family to come together and resolve this using mediators. The attorneys told him that it was unlikely that Steven would voluntarily agree to this, and that going to the church he worked at would be the best solution. Especially since Steven had previously talked with the church about doing mediation.

My brother had said that he tried contacting the church several times previously and they wouldn't agree to any mediation or investigation. He said he wasn't sure what the next steps were and that he was going to wait until the restraining order expires and see if they can move forward with coming together as a family and working through this.

Because we are family, and especially that he helped me so much when my daughter died, I wanted to find a way to help ease his pain. My thought was to try and get the church to agree to do an investigation or at least mediate this matter. So I contacted family members and friends to see if they would help with a protest at the church. Every person said yes, they absolutely believe Tim is innocent of these outrageous claims.

I then called Tim and explained what we were ready to do. He said no, don't do that. Just wait. As he has said all along, we should practice peace and calm – love and forgiveness. I waited a few days and asked him again. He still said no, don't do anything. So I went online and looked up laws concerning peaceful protests. From that I made a list and told others that if we did this that we would only remain on public property, act peacefully 100% of the time, and if approached by anyone we would be polite and courteous. This was always intended to be a peaceful protest to help my brother, not a riot.

I called my brother a third time and explained how we would act. He agreed and said that everyone there must promise to act in a calm and peaceful manner. My brother also said that he would not attend

these protests, due to the lies about him being a danger to the church. He then sent an email to the church's attorney requesting they do an investigation, stating if they didn't there would be a protest. They declined his request. He also sent an email to Steven, through his attorney letting him know what the plan was. He also offered Steven an opportunity to share what ideas he had about how to resolve this. The response was to file another restraining order against my brother.

Tim said he is going to ask for a trial, and that I should call off the protests. So I cancelled them. It's a sad day when a court needs to get involved in order for the truth to come out between family members.

Your honor, I have never heard my brother say anything about being violent or do anything illegal. Instead, he has encouraged his family to remain peaceful and calm, and to act with love and forgiveness. I'm not sure if Tim wants me to say anything about this, but Steven had approached my brother asking him to send him money to help with living expenses. My brother said yes, absolutely. And he has been sending this help every month now for the past 2 and a half years. Even though they say horrible lies about him, he still helps. That's who my brother really is – not some demon crawling out the pit of hell as they want you to believe.

Our family looks up to Tim, and respects him greatly. And we stand beside him 100% because we know who he really is.

Thank you, your honor for the opportunity to come here today and speak this truth on behalf of a great man, husband, father, brother, uncle and American citizen.

Despite being denied a chance for her to read that statement, I was able to ask her questions about it and she answered truthfully. When it was Mr. Van Loh's turn he asked her some questions and then told the Judge that my sister was a liar. I had a few other witnesses, but again felt as though I could not ask them to share the real truth because of concern their attorney would only attack them, as he did my sister. So I decided it would be best for myself to state the truth. As soon as I said that I was done presenting witnesses and would testify myself, the Judge ended the proceedings and said Mr. Van Loh and myself could make brief closing remarks. I was stunned and felt as though this proceeding was completely unfair and the result of a corrupt court. Their attorney asked for the HRO extension to be for life – meaning I could never again see or speak to my daughter again. He also called my witnesses liars.

In the end Steven got a 10-year extension and Brittany got another 2-years.

All because of lies told by Steven, his attorney acting in an unethical manner, and a Judge Referee that clearly did not care about truth, justice or my family.

Summary

In addition, even talking about this matter is emotionally upsetting. It took a long time for me to even begin to write this letter of complaint. Having someone that you love so dearly, being stripped out of

your life because of a barrage of horrible lies is overwhelming. And to have that result in a failed marriage, loss of the family home, health problems and becoming depressed is unfathomable.

I am asking…no, begging for help with this. Please, let there be someone out there who still believes in truth and justice – someone in a position to help set the truth free. I am 100% certain that if the truth were told, then neither the original or the extension would have been granted. I would have a relationship with my daughter, my marriage would not have failed, we would still be in our family home, and my health would be better.

End notes:

Earlier in 2023 I contacted the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office and asked them to review this matter. I presented to them all the information that I have in as straightforward and honest way possible. Their response to me was that if I could have the Civil Court refer this matter to them, they would continue their investigation and likely arrest Steven. When I asked what, if anything, I had done wrong, they replied, "Nothing, you're a good, good father, sir." At the time I did not know if there was to be another court hearing and had hoped the first HRO would expire, and we could work things out as a family. If that happened, then I would not have asked the court to refer this to law enforcement. However, when they filed for an extension, I decided to ask for this referral during the trial. I never had the opportunity to do so because I was not allowed to present my testimony.

I tried to hire a lawyer after the issuing of the HRO extension and spoke with several of them about an appeal. None would take the case because I had been found guilty of violating the original HRO. I tried to explain it was about the video, but they said it doesn't matter, it is still a violation. So, I decided to do something myself, and it seems my only recourse is to file this complaint. This would have been filed sooner, however there were several exacerbating events; a) I was divorced due to the stress of the lies in the HRO(s). b) Our family home was sold, and I became temporarily homeless. c) I face several health challenges, one of them is I now have heart disease. d) My youngest daughter became addicted to meth, and I rescued her. (She is now clean, stable and working as a supervisor at a well-known hotel chain.)

# 046. Referee/Hutchinson Complaint.docx

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Referee/Hutchinson Complaint.docx ***

To whom it may concern,

I am sending the following information to initiate a complaint against Judge Referee Elizabeth Clydesdale (Ramsey County, MN) and Attorney Dan Van Loh (from the law firm Capistrant Van Loh). I am including information about both in the same document as the complaints against each stem from the same incident(s) / occurrences. The purpose of this complaint is to have these individuals held

accountable for their actions pertaining to and surrounding Harassment Restraining Orders 62-HR-CV-21-362 and 62-HR- CV-21-361.

Important Background Information.

My name is Tim Hutchinson. I am a 63-year-old man who was with my wife over 31 years. We enjoyed a good life together. That is, until along came a man named Steven Anderson, who works at a Calvary church in Roseville. He began manipulating our daughter (Brittany) soon after dating her. She broke up with him and told me it was because Steven was "too controlling." She met with him again and he "shared his heart" and told her it was because of his upbringing that he was not able to properly express himself. Because of this she began dating him again. And is also the reason my family and I gave him a wide swath of grace and patience. Turns out he took total advantage of that and in the process has caused tremendous damage to our family, and we are now experiencing unimaginable pain. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close). He has her convinced, through lies, that I am a horrible person.

My daughter is an RN who works on a Covid unit at a Twin Cities hospital, and I've not seen or spoken to her since February 2021.

I've asked the church where he works to help mediate this, and they responded by having their lawyer tell me to stay off their property and to not communicate with them. This is because of the lies he told them.

My daughter’s boyfriend had convinced her to file a restraining order against me based upon lies. Afterwards, they married, and I was not allowed at the wedding and did not walk my daughter down the aisle. The first HRO was in

2021. When it was set to expire they filed for an extension, and was granted that. All based upon lies and improper court proceedings.

As a direct result of the lies he told about me, my wife and I divorced and we sold our house where we lived for the past 24 years.

Steven Anderson was able to get away with this, in large part because his attorney lied multiple times, and because the court referee acted improperly. I am now filing a complaint against both.

Summary of complaints,

Reasons attorney Dan Van Loh is being reported;

Before I agreed to accept the first HRO, Mr. Van Loh told me that if I fought back and won the HRO it would show up on Brittany's background check and could affect her employment and future missionary efforts. This turned out to be a lie.

I told him before the first HRO hearing a website was not for the public, but instead was a private journal, and the only person I invited to see it was his client, Steven Anderson. He later falsely claimed it was a public website set up to defame Steven. He later used this false narrative to request an emergency hearing for an extension of the HRO.

He suggested, and the court referee agreed, that no information or evidence from the first HRO be allowed at the trial for the second HRO. But then my private journal was introduced as evidence as a public website and became the bulk of their claim against me.

He went along with all of the blatantly false accusations against me and threw reasoning out the window by pushing forward with their hateful and hyper-exaggerated claims.

Any time I attempted to find ways to reconcile matters, Mr. Van Loh would state in an email that my continued efforts to work things out with my daughter were only pushing her away. He intentionally made things worse and much more difficult for me to restore peace and harmony in my family.

He claimed I was a liar and so was my sister (who had just lost her only daughter, suddenly and unexpectedly. I mentioned this before she was brought into the virtual courtroom to testify.)

Reasons Judge Referee Elizabeth Clydesdale is being reported;

When it was my turn to present my testimony, she stopped the proceedings and did not allow me to present any evidence or personal testimony to refute the claims of the plaintiff. In short; I was denied my opportunity to testify.

She allowed information to be presented that she previously said would not be allowed.

Allowed their attorney to speak harshly about my witnesses without any evidence to prove his statements.

Did not explain that I was not obligated to answer any of their attorneys' questions.

Was not there to seek truth and ultimately justice, but instead allowed their attorney to do whatever he please, despite it being obvious I was unable to defend myself.

When I made an honest mistake of providing evidence in an improper way before the trial, she harshly berated me in front of the others present, including my daughter.

Facts;

Either prior to, or during the first HRO hearing their attorney, Dan Van Loh told me that if I contested this matter and won, that would have a serious impact on my daughter’s ability to obtain employment or continue going overseas as a volunteer. She is an RN and has traveled to different countries to help others. This is important to her, and it would be devastating if she could not continue doing so. Mr. Van

Loh said that if I contested this matter and proved their claims were false (which they are), then anyone who did a background

check would see that my daughter had filed false documents with the court and would not hire her and allow her to do any more overseas volunteering.

Based upon that I agreed to the 2-year HRO uncontested and without finding of fact. I also agreed to take down a private journal I had online. This private journal is where I documented the events that had happened since Steven Anderson began manipulating my daughter. I am including a copy along with this letter and other evidence to show it is completely innocent. It is important to note that this journal was absolutely private and I only invited Steven over one time to show him that I had all this information and would make it public if he did not stop his manipulation and agree to make peace with me. All I wanted was peace in my family and to have a relationship with my daughter.

He copied the entire private journal and used it as evidence against me. When I told his attorney, Mr. Van Loh it was a private online journal, he responded, “That’s what pen and paper are for.”

Nowhere in this journal are there any threats against Steven or my daughter. Here is the text from the home page of this private journal

1 Peter 5:8 "...Your enemy the devil prowls around like a roaring lion looking for someone to devour."

and;

John 10:10 "The thief [Satan] comes only to steal and kill and destroy..."

This website is the true story of how one person told evil lies to ruin a great father- daughter relationship. What's even more shocking is that person works at Calvary Church; his name is Steven Anderson - director of youth services.

When the father (Tim Hutchinson) asked Calvary to assist in rectifying the problem, the church had their attorney tell him to not communicate with them, and to stay off their property. Clearly Satan is causing a division based upon lies. This website is being put up to not only expose the lies of the devil, but to also as a call to prayer for Calvary and Steven Anderson, who caused so much heartache.

The dad had become so distraught that he attempted suicide. The response from Steven Anderson and the church, was nothing. No signs of compassion at all. No reaching out to see how he was doing - no offer to pray with him - absolutely nothing at all.

Steven then had a restraining order put in place against that father, and married his daughter. The father could not attend the wedding, or walk his daughter down the aisle.

Despite all of this, the father made 11 attempts at settling things peacefully. Neither the church nor Steven will communicate with him, at all.

This website is a humble request for prayer - a plea for mighty warriors of God to call upon the heavens to intervene - to strike down evil influences and to lift up the broken! For restoration of the relationship that was stolen from the father. For wisdom in the church so they may have a heart more like Christ's - and for healing of Steven's mind so he may awaken and realize that evil has been influencing his decisions, to repent, and come back to the Lord.

Here's some information from the father...

Dear brothers and sisters in Christ, my name is Tim Hutchinson. I am a 60-year-old man, and have been with my wife for 32 years. Thus far we've enjoyed an amazing life together. That is, until along came a man who works at a church in MN. He began manipulating my daughter soon after dating her. Now my family and I are experiencing unimaginable pain. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close).

He has her convinced (through lies) that I am a horrible person.

My daughter is an RN who works on a Covid unit at a Twin Cities hospital, and I've not seen or spoken to her since February, 2021.

I've asked the church where he works at to help mediate this, and they responded by having their lawyer tell me to stay off their property and to not communicate with them. I later learned that at least some of the negative actions against me were likely the result of advice given by counselors at the church.

My daughters boyfriend had convinced her to file a restraining order against me based upon lies. Afterwards, they married and I was not allowed at the wedding and did not walk my daughter down the aisle.

As you will see below I have this situation well documented.

I have been hurt at a profound level; first, the relationship between my daughter and I; the wedding I did not get to attend and did not walk my daughter down the aisle; the suicide attempt; other relationships have been affected, and so has my job. There have been other deep impacts on myself as well. I have become socially withdrawn. This has been a living nightmare. And all as a result of lies. Evil lies which I believe are due to a monster inside of the church and

influencing Steven Anderson. A monster known as Satan. Please, join me in prayer against the evil among us, and for restoration of that which was stolen from me!"

The only thing other than the home page on this private journal, was the journal itself – which again is where I documented the events that happened, including emails, phones and text messages we sent each other. That journal is included in this complaint as a separate file.

I took the journal offline during the HRO hearing process. Later, during the filing of the second HRO, Mr. Van Loh would claim this was a “public website” and again try to use this against me.

[Note: there are several times in the above text which refers to my journal as a “website” – that is there in case I made the private journal public, which I never did.]

During the two-year period of the first HRO I sent my daughter letters through her attorney, Mr. Van Loh, as allowed by the HRO. These letters were infrequent and just letting her know that I love her and some updates. At one point in time, I asked Mr. Van Loh to discuss the possibility of reconciliation with Steven. Because of the upsetting nature of my not being able to see or speak with my daughter, I had mentioned the possibility of a lawsuit against Steven. Mr. Van Loh’s response was, in part; ”…hurting Steven will hurt Brittany which would most assuredly undermine or end any future hope of reconciliation.” He also went on to say in another email that Steven does not want to reconcile with me.

As documented in the private journal, I tried 15 times to make peace with Steven, and he refused every attempt.

I did not violate the terms of the first HRO and was waiting for it to expire so I could once again find a way to have a relationship with my daughter. During those two years my family was asking me questions about the HRO and how things happened. It was upsetting for me to keep talking about it, so my idea was to have my youngest daughter interview me and make a video of that.

Which we did. I then created a private web page, without links coming into or out of that page (you literally had to know the exact web page address to find it). I then password protected that page and uploaded the 93-minute video

and shared the link and password to five of my immediate family members. Once they had watched it, I took that page down. During the time it was up (only a few days) someone shared the link and password with my daughter, Brittany. She showed it to her husband Steven, who then planned to use it against me in filing for an extension of the HRO. You can view this video in its entirety by copying and pasting this private link into your browser:

https://youtu.be/ZbYLElTAwO0

The above video is not available to the public. It is only available by my sharing the link above to certain individuals, including yourself for the purpose of filing a complaint.

It is important to know at this point that in January of 2023 my niece suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Her mom is my youngest sister. I stood by her side throughout this terrible time in her life. Months later she decided to try and help me by offering to organize some peaceful protests at Calvary church where Steven works. Her goal was to get the church to agree to help move Steven towards mediation. Her intentions were pure and honest. Initially I said no to this. Eventually I agreed when there were assurances that this would be peaceful and with the understanding that I would not participate.

I sent a letter about this to Mr. Van Loh. Shortly afterwards I was served papers for an extension of the HRO, which was to soon expire. I planned to ask for a trial in the hopes that the truth could finally come out. I called my sister and explained this, asking her to stop the plans for a protest.

Part of their claim is that I was a CIA assassin and they have concerns I would harm (or murder) hundreds of children at a church. These are outrageous lies and they have no evidence or witnesses for this claim. In fact, they do not have any witnesses or evidence against me at all which would show that I am a threat to them, or to anyone. Because in reality, I am a peaceful person and the victim of lies. Except for the one daughter who was convinced to file an HRO against me, the entire rest of the family is on my side.

During the first hearing, I asked for a trial. Mr. Van Loh told Judge Referee Clydesdale that nothing from the first HRO should be allowed in this trial. She agreed.

Prior to the day of the trial, I submitted evidence through the Minnesota Digital Exhibit System. I also sent a list of the items submitted to their attorney, Mr.

Van Loh. When I did not hear back from him, I called his office. A woman there said that he was not available. She checked the email and said they had it and everything was fine.

On the day of the trial, I found out that I was also supposed to send the evidence to their attorney, and not just a list. I somehow thought they would be able to access it through the Minnesota Digital Exhibit System where I had uploaded it too. The Judge Referee spoke very harshly to me about this honest mistake. She mentioned that this matter would be concluded on that day but did not give any times or reference a length of time it would last. In the papers I was served it mentioned that this trial would last at least 3 hours.

One of the first things that happened was I was found to be in violation of the first HRO because I shared my side of the story with 5 members of my immediate family. To avoid having to tell my story repeatedly, I made a video (as previously mentioned) and shared with to them on a hidden web page that was additionally password protected. Once those 5 people saw the video I took it down. In the court filing for extension of the HRO (which was made on an emergency basis – literally for no reason at all other than dramatic effect) it mentioned the video and said they could provide a transcript if needed.

This was clearly an attempt to make it seem as though the video had illegal or violent content. Nothing could be further from the truth. Their statement was intended to be misleading. Some of those who watched the video came to me afterwards and said this confirmed they were right to believe me and not the lies Steven was telling. The video was not shown in court nor was a transcript presented, yet the Judge Referee found this to be in violation of the HRO without knowing any of the content.

It should also be noted here that Brittany admitted to her mom that she did not write all of her HRO filing – Steven did and she just copied C pasted it. So

there remains the possibility that she does not even know what her HRO filing says.

During the trial their attorney questioned me at length about the private journal I had online previously. There was really nothing there that was a threat to anyone and seemed like a big waste of time. They had no evidence and no witnesses.

I believe the Judge Referee should have informed me that I was not under any obligation to answer Mr. Van Loh's questions and that I have a right to not submit to his questioning.

During the trial their attorney (Mr. Van Loh) acted rudely and was clearly not interested in truth and justice – this was purely a seek and destroy mission for him. It was almost as if he took pleasure in attacking a man who was clearly emotionally distraught, and the Judge Referee allowed this.

When it was my turn, I was able to call a few witnesses, and was told that I could not ask them questions about anything the Plaintiff's had not already introduced as evidence. This limited what I could do and felt like my opportunity to prove my innocence was being intentionally squashed. For example, I asked if my sister could read a statement. I explained that her daughter had passed away only a few months ago and she was still grieving. The Judge denied that request. Here is the statement she was going to read:

Good morning your honor. I am here today to make a statement in support of my brother, Tim Hutchinson. I have known him all of my life, which is now more than 50 years. Nothing in these court filings comes even close to who my brother really is.

To begin, I will share with you about my only daughter, Jessica. Several months ago she suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Children should bury their parents, not the other way around. This was one of the most devastating things I've experienced in my life. In that time of enormous grief, my brother Tim rushed to my side and remained there as a steady rock for me to hold onto. Without him I don't even know how I would have made it through all of this.

My brother Tim and I are close, and we talk on the phone at least 3 or 4 times a week. At least once a week during our calls, as Tim will be talking about his daughter Brittany, and how much he loves her and wants to see her, he will cry. Not just a little, but actually sobbing. He is truly hurt by these terrible lies about him.

I know my brother had contacted several attorneys looking for a way to resolve this matter outside of a court proceeding – he wanted this to be settled peacefully and privately as a family should. His desire was for this family to come together and resolve this using mediators. The attorneys told him that it was unlikely that Steven would voluntarily agree to this, and that going to the church he worked at would be the best solution. Especially since Steven had previously talked with the church about doing mediation.

My brother had said that he tried contacting the church several times previously and they wouldn't agree to any mediation or investigation. He said he wasn't sure what the next steps were and that he was going to wait until the restraining order expires and see if they can move forward with coming together as a family and working through this.

Because we are family, and especially that he helped me so much when my daughter died, I wanted to find a way to help ease his pain. My thought was to try and get the church to agree to do an investigation or at least mediate this matter. So I contacted family members and friends to see if they would help with a protest at the church. Every person said yes, they absolutely believe Tim is innocent of these outrageous claims.

I then called Tim and explained what we were ready to do. He said no, don't do that. Just wait. As he has said all along, we should practice peace and calm – love and forgiveness. I waited a few days and asked him again. He still said no, don't do anything. So I went online and looked up laws concerning peaceful protests. From that I made a list and told others that if we did this that we would only remain on public property, act peacefully 100% of the time, and if approached by anyone we would be polite and courteous. This was always intended to be a peaceful protest to help my brother, not a riot.

I called my brother a third time and explained how we would act. He agreed and said that everyone there must promise to act in a calm and peaceful

manner. My brother also said that he would not attend these protests, due to the lies about him being a danger to the church. He then sent an email to the church's attorney requesting they do an investigation, stating if they didn't there would be a protest. They declined his request. He also sent an email to Steven, through his attorney letting him know what the plan was. He also offered Steven an opportunity to share what ideas he had about how to resolve this. The response was to file another restraining order against my brother.

Tim said he is going to ask for a trial, and that I should call off the protests. So I cancelled them. It's a sad day when a court needs to get involved in order for the truth to come out between family members.

Your honor, I have never heard my brother say anything about being violent or do anything illegal. Instead, he has encouraged his family to remain peaceful and calm, and to act with love and forgiveness. I'm not sure if Tim wants me to say anything about this, but Steven had approached my brother asking him to send him money to help with living expenses. My brother said yes, absolutely. And he has been

sending this help every month now for the past 2 and a half years. Even though they say horrible lies about him, he still helps. That's who my brother really is – not some demon crawling out the pit of hell as they want you to believe.

Our family looks up to Tim, and respects him greatly. And we stand beside him 100% because we know who he really is.

Thank you, your honor for the opportunity to come here today and speak this truth on behalf of a great man, husband, father, brother, uncle and American citizen.

Despite being denied a chance for her to read that statement, I was able to ask her questions about it and she answered truthfully. When it was Mr. Van Loh's turn he asked her some questions and then told the Judge that my sister was a liar. I had a few other witnesses, but again felt as though I could not ask them to share the real truth because of concern their attorney would only attack them, as he did my sister. So I decided it would be best for myself to state the truth. As soon as I said that I was done presenting witnesses and would testify myself, the Judge ended the proceedings and said Mr. Van Loh

and myself could make brief closing remarks. I was stunned and felt as though this proceeding was completely unfair and the result of a corrupt court. Their attorney asked for the HRO extension to be for life – meaning I could never again see or speak to my daughter again. He also called my witnesses liars.

In the end Steven got a 10-year extension and Brittany got another 2-years.

All because of lies told by Steven, his attorney acting in an unethical manner, and a Judge Referee that clearly did not care about truth, justice or my family.

Summary

In addition, even talking about this matter is emotionally upsetting. It took a long time for me to even begin to write this letter of complaint. Having someone that you love so dearly, being stripped out of your life because of a barrage of horrible lies is overwhelming. And to have that result in a failed marriage, loss of the family home, health problems and becoming depressed is unfathomable.

I am asking…no, begging for help with this. Please, let there be someone out there who still believes in truth and justice – someone in a position to help set the truth free. I am 100% certain that if the truth were told, then neither the original or the extension would have been granted. I would have a relationship with my daughter, my marriage would not have failed, we would still be in our family home, and my health would be better.

End notes:

Earlier in 2023 I contacted the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office and asked them to review this matter. I presented to them all the information that I have in as straightforward and honest way possible. Their

response to me was that if I could have the Civil Court refer this matter to them, they would continue their investigation and likely arrest Steven. When I asked what, if anything, I had done wrong, they replied, “Nothing, you’re a good, good father, sir.” At the time I did not know if

there was to be another court hearing and had hoped the first HRO would expire, and we could work things out as a family. If that happened, then I would not have asked the court to refer this to law enforcement. However, when they filed for an extension, I decided to ask for this referral during the trial. I never had the opportunity to do so because I was not allowed to present my testimony.

I tried to hire a lawyer after the issuing of the HRO extension and spoke with several of them about an appeal. None would take the case because I had been found guilty of violating the original HRO. I tried to explain it was about the video, but they said it doesn’t matter, it is still a violation. So, I decided to do something myself, and it seems my only recourse is to file this complaint. This would have been filed sooner, however there were several exacerbating events; a) I was divorced due to the stress of the lies in the HRO(s). b) Our family home was sold, and I became temporarily homeless. c) I face several health challenges, one of them is I now have heart disease. d) My youngest daughter became addicted to meth, and I rescued her. (She is now clean, stable and working as a supervisor at a well-known hotel chain.)

# 047. Referee/Hutchinson Complaint.pdf

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Referee/Hutchinson Complaint.pdf ***

To whom it may concern, I am sending the following information to initiate a complaint against Judge Referee Elizabeth Clydesdale (Ramsey County, MN) and Attorney Dan Van Loh (from the law firm Capistrant Van Loh). I am including information about both in the same document as the complaints against each stem from the same incident(s) / occurrences. The purpose of this complaint is to have these individuals held accountable for their actions pertaining to and surrounding Harassment Restraining Orders 62-HR-CV-21-362 and 62-HR- CV-21-361. Important Background Information. My name is Tim Hutchinson. I am a 63-year-old man who was with my wife over 31 years. We enjoyed a good life together. That is, until along came a man named Steven Anderson, who works at a Calvary church in Roseville. He began manipulating our daughter (Brittany) soon after dating her. She broke up with him and told me it was because Steven was "too controlling." She met with him again and he "shared his heart" and told her it was because of his upbringing that he was not able to properly express himself. Because of this she began dating him again. And is also the reason my family and I gave him a wide swath of grace and patience. Turns out he took total advantage of that and in the process has caused tremendous damage to our family, and we are now experiencing unimaginable pain. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close). He has her convinced, through lies, that I am a horrible person. My daughter is an RN who works on a Covid unit at a Twin Cities hospital, and I've not seen or spoken to her since February 2021. I've

asked the church where he works to help mediate this, and they responded by having their lawyer tell me to stay off their property and to not communicate with them. This is because of the lies he told them. My daughter's boyfriend had convinced her to file a restraining order against me based upon lies. Afterwards, they married, and I was not allowed at the wedding and did not walk my daughter down the aisle. The first HRO was in

2021. When it was set to expire they filed for an extension, and was granted that. All based upon lies and improper court proceedings. As a direct result of the lies he told about me, my wife and I divorced and we sold our house where we lived for the past 24 years. Steven Anderson was able to get away with this, in large part because his attorney lied multiple times, and because the court referee acted improperly. I am now filing a complaint against both. Summary of complaints, Reasons attorney Dan Van Loh is being reported; 1) Before I agreed to accept the first HRO, Mr. Van Loh told me that if I fought back and won the HRO it would show up on Brittany's background check and could affect her employment and future missionary efforts. This turned out to be a lie. 2) I told him before the first HRO hearing a website was not for the public, but instead was a private journal, and the only person I invited to see it was his client, Steven Anderson. He later falsely claimed it was a public website set up to defame Steven. He later used this false narrative to request an emergency hearing for an extension of the HRO. 3) He suggested, and the court referee agreed, that no information or evidence from the first HRO be allowed at the trial for the second HRO. But then my private journal was introduced as evidence as a public website and became the bulk of their claim against me. 4) He went along with all of the blatantly false accusations against me and threw reasoning out the window by pushing forward with their hateful and hyper-exaggerated claims. 5) Any time I attempted to find ways to reconcile matters, Mr. Van Loh would state in an email that my continued efforts to work things out with my daughter were only pushing her away. He intentionally made things worse and much more difficult for me to restore peace and harmony in my family.

6) He claimed I was a liar and so was my sister (who had just lost her only daughter, suddenly and unexpectedly. I mentioned this before she was brought into the virtual courtroom to testify.) Reasons Judge Referee Elizabeth Clydesdale is being reported; 1) When it was my turn to present my testimony, she stopped the proceedings and did not allow me to present any evidence or personal testimony to refute the claims of the plaintiff. In short; I was denied my opportunity to testify. 2) She allowed information to be presented that she previously said would not be allowed. 3) Allowed their attorney to speak harshly about my witnesses without any evidence to prove his statements. 4) Did not explain that I was not obligated to answer any of their attorneys' questions. 5) Was not there to seek truth and ultimately justice, but instead allowed their attorney to do whatever he please, despite it being obvious I was unable to defend myself. 6) When I made an honest mistake of providing evidence in an improper way before the trial, she harshly berated me in front of the others present, including my daughter. Facts; Either prior to, or during the first HRO hearing their attorney, Dan Van Loh told me that if I

contested this matter and won, that would have a serious impact on my daughter's ability to obtain employment or continue going overseas as a volunteer. She is an RN and has traveled to different countries to help others. This is important to her, and it would be devastating if she could not continue doing so. Mr. Van Loh said that if I contested this matter and proved their claims were false (which they are), then anyone who did a background

check would see that my daughter had filed false documents with the court and would not hire her and allow her to do any more overseas volunteering. Based upon that I agreed to the 2-year HRO uncontested and without finding of fact. I also agreed to take down a private journal I had online. This private journal is where I documented the events that had happened since Steven Anderson began manipulating my daughter. I am including a copy along with this letter and other evidence to show it is completely innocent. It is important to note that this journal was absolutely private and I only invited Steven over one time to show him that I had all this information and would make it public if he did not stop his manipulation and agree to make peace with me. All I wanted was peace in my family and to have a relationship with my daughter. He copied the entire private journal and used it as evidence against me. When I told his attorney, Mr. Van Loh it was a private online journal, he responded, "That's what pen and paper are for." Nowhere in this journal are there any threats against Steven or my daughter. Here is the text from the home page of this private journal 1 Peter 5:8 " ...Your enemy the devil prowls around like a roaring lion looking for someone to devour." and; John 10:10 "The thief [Satan] comes only to steal and kill and destroy..." This website is the true story of how one person told evil lies to ruin a great father- daughter relationship. What's even more shocking is that person works at Calvary Church; his name is Steven Anderson - director of youth services. When the father (Tim Hutchinson) asked Calvary to assist in rectifying the problem, the church had their attorney tell him to not communicate with them, and to stay off their property. Clearly Satan is causing a division based upon lies. This website is being put up to not only expose the lies of the devil, but to also as a call to prayer for Calvary and Steven Anderson, who caused so much heartache. The dad had become so distraught that he attempted suicide. The response from Steven Anderson and the church, was nothing. No signs of compassion at all. No reaching out to see how he was doing - no offer to pray with him - absolutely nothing at all.

Steven then had a restraining order put in place against that father, and married his daughter. The father could not attend the wedding, or walk his daughter down the aisle. Despite all of this, the father made 11 attempts at settling things peacefully. Neither the church nor Steven will communicate with him, at all. This website is a humble request for prayer - a plea for mighty warriors of God to call upon the heavens to intervene - to strike down evil influences and to lift up the broken! For restoration of the relationship that was stolen from the father. For wisdom in the church so they may have a heart more like Christ's - and for healing of Steven's mind so he may awaken and realize that evil has been influencing his decisions, to repent, and come back to the Lord. Here's some information from the

father... Dear brothers and sisters in Christ, my name is Tim Hutchinson. I am a 60-year-old man, and have been with my wife for 32 years. Thus far we've enjoyed an amazing life together. That is, until along came a man who works at a church in MN. He began manipulating my daughter soon after dating her. Now my family and I are experiencing unimaginable pain. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close). He has her convinced (through lies) that I am a horrible person. My daughter is an RN who works on a Covid unit at a Twin Cities hospital, and I've not seen or spoken to her since February, 2021. I've asked the church where he works at to help mediate this, and they responded by having their lawyer tell me to stay off their property and to not communicate with them. I later learned that at least some of the negative actions against me were likely the result of advice given by counselors at the church. My daughters boyfriend had convinced her to file a restraining order against me based upon lies. Afterwards, they married and I was not allowed at the wedding and did not walk my daughter down the aisle. As you will see below I have this situation well documented. I have been hurt at a profound level; first, the relationship between my daughter and I; the wedding I did not get to attend and did not walk my daughter down the aisle; the suicide attempt; other relationships have been affected, and so has my job. There have been other deep impacts on myself as well. I have become socially withdrawn. This has been a living nightmare. And all as a result of lies. Evil lies which I believe are due to a monster inside of the church and

influencing Steven Anderson. A monster known as Satan. Please, join me in prayer against the evil among us, and for restoration of that which was stolen from me!" The only thing other than the home page on this private journal, was the journal itself – which again is where I documented the events that happened, including emails, phones and text messages we sent each other. That journal is included in this complaint as a separate file. I took the journal offline during the HRO hearing process. Later, during the filing of the second HRO, Mr. Van Loh would claim this was a "public website" and again try to use this against me. [Note: there are several times in the above text which refers to my journal as a "website" – that is there in case I made the private journal public, which I never did.] During the two-year period of the first HRO I sent my daughter letters through her attorney, Mr. Van Loh, as allowed by the HRO. These letters were infrequent and just letting her know that I love her and some updates. At one point in time, I asked Mr. Van Loh to discuss the possibility of reconciliation with Steven. Because of the upsetting nature of my not being able to see or speak with my daughter, I had mentioned the possibility of a lawsuit against Steven. Mr. Van Loh's response was, in part; " … hurting Steven will hurt Brittany which would most assuredly undermine or end any future hope of reconciliation." He also went on to say in another email that Steven does not want to reconcile with me. As documented in the private journal, I tried 15 times to make peace with Steven, and he refused every attempt. I did not violate the terms of the first HRO and was waiting for it to expire so I could once again find a way to have a relationship with my daughter. During those two years my family was asking me questions about

the HRO and how things happened. It was upsetting for me to keep talking about it, so my idea was to have my youngest daughter interview me and make a video of that. Which we did. I then created a private web page, without links coming into or out of that page (you literally had to know the exact web page address to find it). I then password protected that page and uploaded the 93-minute video

and shared the link and password to five of my immediate family members. Once they had watched it, I took that page down. During the time it was up (only a few days) someone shared the link and password with my daughter, Brittany. She showed it to her husband Steven, who then planned to use it against me in filing for an extension of the HRO. You can view this video in its entirety by copying and pasting this private link into your browser: https://youtu.be/ZbYLElTAwO0 The above video is not available to the public. It is only available by my sharing the link above to certain individuals, including yourself for the purpose of filing a complaint. It is important to know at this point that in January of 2023 my niece suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Her mom is my youngest sister. I stood by her side throughout this terrible time in her life. Months later she decided to try and help me by offering to organize some peaceful protests at Calvary church where Steven works. Her goal was to get the church to agree to help move Steven towards mediation. Her intentions were pure and honest. Initially I said no to this. Eventually I agreed when there were assurances that this would be peaceful and with the understanding that I would not participate. I sent a letter about this to Mr. Van Loh. Shortly afterwards I was served papers for an extension of the HRO, which was to soon expire. I planned to ask for a trial in the hopes that the truth could finally come out. I called my sister and explained this, asking her to stop the plans for a protest. Part of their claim is that I was a CIA assassin and they have concerns I would harm (or murder) hundreds of children at a church. These are outrageous lies and they have no evidence or witnesses for this claim. In fact, they do not have any witnesses or evidence against me at all which would show that I am a threat to them, or to anyone. Because in reality, I am a peaceful person and the victim of lies. Except for the one daughter who was convinced to file an HRO against me, the entire rest of the family is on my side.

During the first hearing, I asked for a trial. Mr. Van Loh told Judge Referee Clydesdale that nothing from the first HRO should be allowed in this trial. She agreed. Prior to the day of the trial, I submitted evidence through the Minnesota Digital Exhibit System. I also sent a list of the items submitted to their attorney, Mr. Van Loh. When I did not hear back from him, I called his office. A woman there said that he was not available. She checked the email and said they had it and everything was fine. On the day of the trial, I found out that I was also supposed to send the evidence to their attorney, and not just a list. I somehow thought they would be able to access it through the Minnesota Digital Exhibit System where I had uploaded it too. The Judge Referee spoke very harshly to me about this honest mistake. She mentioned that this matter would be concluded on that day but did not give any times or reference a length of time it would last. In the papers I was served it mentioned that this trial would last at least 3 hours. One of the first things that happened was I was found to be in violation of the first HRO because

I shared my side of the story with 5 members of my immediate family. To avoid having to tell my story repeatedly, I made a video (as previously mentioned) and shared with to them on a hidden web page that was additionally password protected. Once those 5 people saw the video I took it down. In the court filing for extension of the HRO (which was made on an emergency basis – literally for no reason at all other than dramatic effect) it mentioned the video and said they could provide a transcript if needed. This was clearly an attempt to make it seem as though the video had illegal or violent content. Nothing could be further from the truth. Their statement was intended to be misleading. Some of those who watched the video came to me afterwards and said this confirmed they were right to believe me and not the lies Steven was telling. The video was not shown in court nor was a transcript presented, yet the Judge Referee found this to be in violation of the HRO without knowing any of the content. It should also be noted here that Brittany admitted to her mom that she did not write all of her HRO filing – Steven did and she just copied & pasted it. So

there remains the possibility that she does not even know what her HRO filing says. During the trial their attorney questioned me at length about the private journal I had online previously. There was really nothing there that was a threat to anyone and seemed like a big waste of time. They had no evidence and no witnesses. I believe the Judge Referee should have informed me that I was not under any obligation to answer Mr. Van Loh's questions and that I have a right to not submit to his questioning. During the trial their attorney (Mr. Van Loh) acted rudely and was clearly not interested in truth and justice – this was purely a seek and destroy mission for him. It was almost as if he took pleasure in attacking a man who was clearly emotionally distraught, and the Judge Referee allowed this. When it was my turn, I was able to call a few witnesses, and was told that I could not ask them questions about anything the Plaintiff's had not already introduced as evidence. This limited what I could do and felt like my opportunity to prove my innocence was being intentionally squashed. For example, I asked if my sister could read a statement. I explained that her daughter had passed away only a few months ago and she was still grieving. The Judge denied that request. Here is the statement she was going to read: Good morning your honor. I am here today to make a statement in support of my brother, Tim Hutchinson. I have known him all of my life, which is now more than 50 years. Nothing in these court filings comes even close to who my brother really is. To begin, I will share with you about my only daughter, Jessica. Several months ago she suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Children should bury their parents, not the other way around. This was one of the most devastating things I've experienced in my life. In that time of enormous grief, my brother Tim rushed to my side and remained there as a steady rock for me to hold onto. Without him I don't even know how I would have made it through all of this.

My brother Tim and I are close, and we talk on the phone at least 3 or 4 times a week. At least once a week during our calls, as Tim will be talking about his daughter Brittany, and how much he loves her and wants to see her, he will cry. Not just a little, but actually sobbing. He is truly hurt by these terrible

lies about him. I know my brother had contacted several attorneys looking for a way to resolve this matter outside of a court proceeding – he wanted this to be settled peacefully and privately as a family should. His desire was for this family to come together and resolve this using mediators. The attorneys told him that it was unlikely that Steven would voluntarily agree to this, and that going to the church he worked at would be the best solution. Especially since Steven had previously talked with the church about doing mediation. My brother had said that he tried contacting the church several times previously and they wouldn't agree to any mediation or investigation. He said he wasn't sure what the next steps were and that he was going to wait until the restraining order expires and see if they can move forward with coming together as a family and working through this. Because we are family, and especially that he helped me so much when my daughter died, I wanted to find a way to help ease his pain. My thought was to try and get the church to agree to do an investigation or at least mediate this matter. So I contacted family members and friends to see if they would help with a protest at the church. Every person said yes, they absolutely believe Tim is innocent of these outrageous claims. I then called Tim and explained what we were ready to do. He said no, don't do that. Just wait. As he has said all along, we should practice peace and calm – love and forgiveness. I waited a few days and asked him again. He still said no, don't do anything. So I went online and looked up laws concerning peaceful protests. From that I made a list and told others that if we did this that we would only remain on public property, act peacefully 100% of the time, and if approached by anyone we would be polite and courteous. This was always intended to be a peaceful protest to help my brother, not a riot. I called my brother a third time and explained how we would act. He agreed and said that everyone there must promise to act in a calm and peaceful

manner. My brother also said that he would not attend these protests, due to the lies about him being a danger to the church. He then sent an email to the church's attorney requesting they do an investigation, stating if they didn't there would be a protest. They declined his request. He also sent an email to Steven, through his attorney letting him know what the plan was. He also offered Steven an opportunity to share what ideas he had about how to resolve this. The response was to file another restraining order against my brother. Tim said he is going to ask for a trial, and that I should call off the protests. So I cancelled them. It's a sad day when a court needs to get involved in order for the truth to come out between family members. Your honor, I have never heard my brother say anything about being violent or do anything illegal. Instead, he has encouraged his family to remain peaceful and calm, and to act with love and forgiveness. I'm not sure if Tim wants me to say anything about this, but Steven had approached my brother asking him to send him money to help with living expenses. My brother said yes, absolutely. And he has been sending this help every month now for the past 2 and a half years. Even though they say horrible lies about him, he still helps. That's who my brother really is – not some demon crawling out the pit of hell as they want you to believe. Our family looks up to Tim, and respects him greatly. And we stand beside him 100% because we know who he really is. Thank you,

your honor for the opportunity to come here today and speak this truth on behalf of a great man, husband, father, brother, uncle and American citizen. Despite being denied a chance for her to read that statement, I was able to ask her questions about it and she answered truthfully. When it was Mr. Van Loh's turn he asked her some questions and then told the Judge that my sister was a liar. I had a few other witnesses, but again felt as though I could not ask them to share the real truth because of concern their attorney would only attack them, as he did my sister. So I decided it would be best for myself to state the truth. As soon as I said that I was done presenting witnesses and would testify myself, the Judge ended the proceedings and said Mr. Van Loh

and myself could make brief closing remarks. I was stunned and felt as though this proceeding was completely unfair and the result of a corrupt court. Their attorney asked for the HRO extension to be for life – meaning I could never again see or speak to my daughter again. He also called my witnesses liars. In the end Steven got a 10-year extension and Brittany got another 2-years. All because of lies told by Steven, his attorney acting in an unethical manner, and a Judge Referee that clearly did not care about truth, justice or my family. Summary In addition, even talking about this matter is emotionally upsetting. It took a long time for me to even begin to write this letter of complaint. Having someone that you love so dearly, being stripped out of your life because of a barrage of horrible lies is overwhelming. And to have that result in a failed marriage, loss of the family home, health problems and becoming depressed is unfathomable. I am asking…no, begging for help with this. Please , let there be someone out there who still believes in truth and justice – someone in a position to help set the truth free. I am 100% certain that if the truth were told, then neither the original or the extension would have been granted. I would have a relationship with my daughter, my marriage would not have failed, we would still be in our family home, and my health would be better. End notes: 1) Earlier in 2023 I contacted the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office and asked them to review this matter. I presented to them all the information that I have in as straightforward and honest way possible. Their response to me was that if I could have the Civil Court refer this matter to them, they would continue their investigation and likely arrest Steven. When I asked what, if anything, I had done wrong, they replied, "Nothing, you're a good, good father, sir." At the time I did not know if

# 048. Referee/The Reasons.txt

*** Main Files/Attorney and Court Complaint/Referee/The Reasons.txt ***

The reasons the referee should be reported (Judicial Misconduct Complaint) are;

1)She allowed information to be presented that she previously said would not be allowed.

2)Allowed their attorney to speak harshly about my witnesses without any evidence to prove his statements.

3)Did not explain that I was not obligated to answer any of their attorneys' questions.

4)Was not there to seek truth and ultimately justice, but instead allowed their attorney to do whatever he please, despite it being obvious I was unable to defend myself.

# Business Items

## 049. DirectNic Domain Registration.pdf

## 050. Website Hosting/Web Hosting invoice-9281479.pdf

Invoice Details  Thank you! Your payment has been accepted.  Please print or save a copy of this page, as it is your proof of payment.  * Fees  These fees, if included, are the Early Access Program Fees that were agreed to during checkout. As  previously indicated, these fees are only for initial registration and are non-refundable.  Directnic, LLC  9029 Jefferson Hwy.. Suite D #298  River Ridge, LA 70123  Order Info:  Invoice/Draft#: 9277759  Date/Time: 04/19/2025 06:19 pm  Payment Method: Paypal  Account: 28059  Billed to:  Tim Hutchinson  Tim Hutchinson  1773 Garden Lane,  St Paul, MN 55110  +1.6517241325  2601:447:c902:6ee0:cc48:2872:f790:ef29  Type  Description  Quantity  Price  Subtotal  Register .COM  Domain  ramseycountycorruption.com  1  $9.99  $9.99  ICANN Fees  NA  1  $0.18  $0.18  Direct  Privacy  ramseycountycorruption.com  1  $5.00  $5.00  Invoice Sub-Total:  $15.17  Invoice Total:  $15.17  Paypal:  $-15.17  Amount Due:  $0.00

# Civil RIghts Complaint

## 051. Civil Rights Complaint Statement.txt

Invoice Details  Thank you! Your payment has been accepted.  Please print or save a copy of this page, as it is your proof of payment.  * Fees  These fees, if included, are the Early Access Program Fees that were agreed to during checkout. As  previously indicated, these fees are only for initial registration and are non-refundable.  Directnic, LLC  9029 Jefferson Hwy.. Suite D #298  River Ridge, LA 70123  Order Info:  Invoice/Draft#: 9281479  Date/Time: 04/26/2025 02:41 am  Payment Method: Paypal  Account: 28059  Billed to:  Tim Hutchinson  Tim Hutchinson  1773 Garden Lane,  St Paul, MN 55110  +1.6517241325  2600:1014:b0a6:f9f1:d909:4577:5cf4:ee03  Type  Description  Quantity  Price  Subtotal  3 Month Pro  Hosting Plan  ramseycountycorruption.com  1  $24.17  $24.17  RapidSSL DV  NA  1  $42.00  $42.00  Invoice Sub-Total:  $66.17  Invoice Total:  $66.17  Paypal:  $-66.17  Amount Due:  $0.00

*** Main Files/Civil RIghts Complaint/Civil Rights Complaint Statement.txt ***

I, Timothy Hutchinson, am a father, husband, and Christian who has been unjustly stripped of my constitutional rights through a campaign of deception led by a youth pastor named Steven, and enabled by a deeply flawed judicial process.

Steven, my daughter Brittany s boyfriend and later husband, used his position as a pastor to manipulate and isolate her from me. When I refused to submit to his authority, he began a calculated effort to remove me from her life not through violence, but through false allegations and legal maneuvering. Routine actions such as peaceful phone calls, a legally stored firearm, and attempts at reconciliation were twisted into accusations of harassment and threats. My efforts to maintain a relationship with my daughter were reframed as criminal behavior.

Despite my documented attempts to reconcile 15 in total, including letters, apologies, and offers of mediation Steven and Brittany filed a Harassment Restraining Order against me. In court, I was denied the right to speak, my witnesses were dismissed, and illegally obtained personal writings were used against me. Evidence that could have exonerated me was excluded. One judge fabricated claims, and attorneys acknowledged the corruption but refused to represent me, fearing professional retaliation.

Law enforcement reviewed my case and affirmed I had done nothing wrong. They stated I was a good, good father and indicated they would have pursued charges against Steven had the court referred the matter. The court refused.

As a result of this injustice, I suffered severe emotional and physical consequences: hospitalization for suicidal thoughts, a heart condition, the loss of my 31-year marriage, my home, and most devastatingly, my relationship with my daughter. I was gagged by the court until 2033, silenced and criminalized for seeking peace and truth.

Steven retained his pastoral role, gaining continued access to vulnerable families, while I was branded a threat based on actions even the plaintiffs admitted I did not commit. My First Amendment rights were violated, my family dismantled, and my faith put on trial.

# Documentary

## 052. ChatGPT - Documentary - James Project.docx

I respectfully request that this matter be investigated as a violation of my civil rights.

Timothy Hutchinson

*** Main Files/Documentary/ChatGPT - Documentary - James Project.docx ***

Contacts

FilmFreeway — Browse filmmakers and festivals in your genre.

LinkedIn — Search for “documentary filmmaker” with keywords like “investigative” or “justice.”

Reddit — r/Documentaries or r/Filmmakers

Facebook Groups – Documentary Filmmakers" or "Investigative Journalists" groups

Twitter/X — Use hashtags like #DocumentaryPitch #DocFilmmaker

Letter

Possible subject line: Exposing Judicial Corruption in Ramsey County, MN - A True Story

A Pastor, a Power Broker, and a Broken System - Story Tip

Documentary Opportunity: Uncovering Corruption in Minnesota Courts

Ramsey County Corruption - A Story That Must Be Told

This Story Could Shake Public Trust in the Justice System

Body of email:

Dear ,

I’m reaching out to bring your attention to a disturbing story of judicial abuse and systemic corruption unfolding in Ramsey County, Minnesota. As the person directly affected, I’ve documented a case involving a pastor, a powerful attorney, and questionable actions by the county court — a sequence of events that destroyed a family, a career, decades of trust in public authorities and left a trail of institutional betrayal.

I’ve created a 10-minute video that introduces the heart of this story — a shocking account that resonates with broader issues of legal corruption and human rights abuse. I believe this story aligns with your mission to investigate abuses of power and uncover truths the public deserves to know.

# 053. Sources Contacted.txt

For a short video introduction, follow this link: https://youtu.be/TwL9PofNlkU

Thank you for your commitment to investigative journalism.

Best regards,Tim Hutchinson651-724-1325 | RamseyCountyCorruption@gmail.com

*** Main Files/Documentary/Sources Contacted.txt ***

DONE

Moira Demos / Laura Ricciardi

info@caa.com

Making a Murderer

Rep;

Rob Kenneally

rkenneally@caa.com

//////////////////////////////////////////

DONE

Life After Death? Subhash Chandra Bose (2022), Netaji Bose & The Lost Treasure

Direct Contact: Iqbal Malhotra

+919811023088

iqbalmalhotra@aimtelevision.com

//////////////////////////////////////////////////

DONE

Las Mariposas

Contacts (1)

Direct Contact: Bill Lowe

+18185155021

bill.lowe1976@gmail.com

//////////////////////////////////////////////

DONE

Earth Emergency (2021), Independent Lens (1999), Circus Without Borders, Killer Poet: The Double Life of Norman Porter (2008)Earth Emergency (2021), Independent Lens (1999), Circus Without Borders, Killer Poet: The

Susan Gray

+19782573971

susanj.gray@outlook.com

////////////////////////////////////

DONE

Another World (2025), The Family (2023), Family Trees (2020)Another World (2025), The Family (2023), Family Trees (2020)

Direct Contact: Sean Sadler

+447957439797

sean.sadler@hotmail.comDirect

//////////////////////////////////////////

DONE

Director, Producer, WriterDirector, Producer, Writer

Face of a Nation: What Happened to the World's Fair? (2022), Frost (2004), Origins: The Journey of Humankind (2017), Warriors of the Discotheque: The Feature length Starck Club Documentary (2011)Face of a Nation: What Happened to the World's Fair? (2022), Frost (2004), Ori

Images

Direct Contact: Mina Chow

2137402723

empresschow1@yahoo.com

//////////////////////////////////////////////////

DONE

Andrew Jarecki - The Jinx

Rep.

Amanda Lundberg

+1 212 277 7554 phone

amanda.lundberg@42west.com

//////////////////////////////////////////

DONE

Alex Winter - The Panama Papers

Rep.

Ryder White

+1 424-288-2000 phone

ryder.white@caa.com

//////////////////////////////////////

DONE

Alex Gibney

info@jigsawprods.com

//////////////////////////////////

DONE

Ryan White

# History

## 054. Calvary April 2023 Letter.txt

Maggie Pisacane

mags@wmeagency.com

//////////////////////////////////

*** Main Files/History/Calvary April 2023 Letter.txt ***

## 055. David Lutz - Calvary Church.pdf

I am contacting you about an unresolved matter involving Calvary Church, and someone who works there; Steven Anderson. Steven is married to my daughter and has told many terrible lies about me. As a result I've not had a relationship with my daughter for several years. In fact, there is a harrassment restraining order against me (which I allowed) that is set to expire in approximately 30 days. Previously I

contacted Calvary Church for help in resolving this. Their response was to have an attorney (you) contact me and ask that I stay off their property and have no contact with them. I have honored this request.

It is my belief that a lot of the problems Steven caused could have been avoided if Calvary Church had done a proper investigation. I am writing you to ask they perform such an investigation - which is long overdue! This investigation would include interviewing myself in a public hearing so my family could attend. I want Calvary to hear the truth directly from me. There are witnesses and documents that it would beneficial for them to hear from and see. No one other than Steven and Brittany (whom he lied to) believe any of the allegations against me. In short; Calvary has a monster working for them, and I can 100% prove it.

If Calvary Church is willing to move forward with this, there will be no further action against them. I will walk away and my business with them will be done. If they refuse, they should fully expect protests at both of their locations once the HRO has expired. Let me be very clear; I don't want to do anything agsinst a church, I am a Christian man. However, their failure to properly investigate a matter I brought to their attention has led to a lot of harm and difficulties in my family. This is their opportunity to correct a wrong.

Regards,

*** Main Files/History/David Lutz - Calvary Church.pdf ***

# 056. History.docx

Dear Mr. Lutz, I have received the notice, have honored it and will continue to do so. I recently became aware of the allegations being made against me which are horrible and unfounded. Is there a possibility of presenting my side of this ordeal? If your client, Calvary Church, would be open to a meeting with me, it can be at a place and time of their choice. The only goal is to clear my name and I come in a spirit of peace and truth. The Bible says we should choose a good name rather than riches, and I would appreciate an opportunity to prove to your client the truth. Respectfully, Tim Hutchinson 651-724-1325 [Quoted text hidden] david@lutzlawfirm.com <david@lutzlawfirm.com> Tue, Jun 8, 2021 at 12:29 PM To: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Tim, I have advised my client not to meet and discuss due to the threat of litigation. My client has received and reviewed your written communication. David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1550 Minneapolis, MN 55402 612-424-2110 david@lutzlawfirm.com www.lutzlawfirm.com NOTICE: The foregoing message (including all attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by the Attorney-Client privilege or other Privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any retention,

dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. In the event you are not the intended recipient, please reply to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. NOTICE: This office sometimes acts as a debt collector for consumer debts. Accordingly the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act requires attorneys engaged in consumer debt collection to advise consumers that this communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

[Quoted text hidden] Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Wed, Jun 9, 2021 at 12:03 PM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com David, All along I've stated my desire to avoid any legal proceedings. Sad day when Christians cannot come together and resolve an issue. Attached is a letter I wrote to my daughter in response to a Restraining Order. Would appreciate you reviewing it and forwarding it to your client, Calvary Church. I'd like them to know these things, My goal has always been to have the truth told. Regards, Tim Hutchinson [Quoted text hidden] Brittany.pdf 235K Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Wed, Jun 9, 2021 at 12:19 PM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com P.S. In the attachment there are the names Jake and James. It would be helpful to know that Jake is engaged to my oldest daughter, and James is dating my youngest daughter. The church might also be interested in knowing that 2 of Brittany's 3 siblings won't attend the wedding, and no one from my side of the family will be there either. The reasons are the same; they are shocked at the lies Steven told about me. Regards, Tim Hutchinson [Quoted text hidden] Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Mon, Apr 24, 2023 at 9:32 AM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com Hello Mr. Lutz, Checking to see if you still represent Calvary Church? Regards, Tim Hutchinson [Quoted text hidden] david@lutzlawfirm.com <david@lutzlawfirm.com> Mon, Apr 24, 2023 at 5:11 PM To: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Tim, I am counsel to Calvary Church.

David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1515 [Quoted text hidden] Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Wed, Apr 26, 2023 at 3:29 PM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com Hello Mr. Lutz, I am contacting you about an unresolved matter involving Calvary Church, and someone who works there; Steven Anderson. Steven is married to my daughter and has told many terrible lies about me. As a result, I've not had a relationship with my daughter for several years. In fact, there is a harassment restraining order against me (which I allowed) that is set to expire in approximately 30 days. Previously I contacted Calvary Church for help in resolving this. Their response was to have an attorney (you) contact me and ask that I stay off their property and have no contact with them. I have honored this request. It is my belief that a lot of the problems Steven caused could have been avoided if Calvary Church had done a proper investigation. I am writing you to ask they perform an investigation - which is long overdue! This investigation would include interviewing myself in a public hearing so my family could attend. I want Calvary to hear the truth directly from me. There are witnesses and documents that would be beneficial for them to hear from and see. No one other than Steven and

Brittany (whom he lied to) believe any of the allegations against me. In short, Calvary has a monster working for them, and I can 100% prove it. If Calvary Church is willing to move forward with this, there will be no further action against them. I will walk away and my business with them will be done. If they refuse, they should fully expect protests at both of their locations once the HRO has expired. Let me be very clear; I don't want to do anything against a church, I am a good Christian man. However, their failure to properly investigate this matter I brought to their attention has led to a lot of harm and difficulties in my family. This is their opportunity to correct a wrong. Regards, Timothy Hutchinson 651.724.1325 [Quoted text hidden] david@lutzlawfirm.com <david@lutzlawfirm.com> Mon, May 1, 2023 at 2:09 PM To: Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Mr. Hutchinson, I am in receipt of your request below and I have spoken with my client about the same and they respectfully decline your request. Please note that the trespass notice previously provided to you attached hereto remains in effect.

David A. Lutz Lutz Law Firm 120 South 6 th Street, Suite 1515 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: 612-424-2110 Cell: 651-230-2110 [Quoted text hidden] May 7, 2021 - Letter to Mr. Hutchinson.pdf 16142K Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com> Fri, May 5, 2023 at 11:18 AM To: david@lutzlawfirm.com The banners have been ordered. My family and friends are ready. The protest will happen. There is only one way to stop this, and that is for them to do the proper investigation they should've done already. Mr. Timothy Hutchinson [Quoted text hidden]

*** Main Files/History/History.docx ***

HISTORY

My daughter, Brittany began dating Steven Anderson, director of youth services @ Calvary Church in 2020. Within a few months Brittany came to me and said she was breaking up with him. The reason is because she felt as though she couldn't be herself around him – almost like he was too controlling. She later said that she had another meeting with him, during which time he "shared his heart" with her. Because of that she decided to again date him. According to Brittany, he had told her that due to his upbringing he was not always sure how to handle his emotions.

Shortly thereafter Steven approached myself to help support his ministry – which is his full-time job. He says the church cannot afford to pay him a salary, so he fundraises as a substitute. Since then, my wife and I supported his endeavor financially. Along the way I have even worked with him to improve his presentation to find others who would be supportive.

Within months Steven approached me and asked what it would take to have my blessing for him to marry my daughter. One of the things I mentioned was spending more time with our family - we barely knew him. Afterwards he made an effort to be at our house almost on a weekly basis, and things seemed to be going well.

One day he called to ask if we could hang out sometime. I said yes and we picked a date and time. When that time came, he arrived at our house and we talked for quite a while. I sensed he had something he wanted to say or possibly ask me - maybe even permission to marry Brittany. Finally, after 3 hours I asked him "Is there something you wanted to ask me?" He then asked for my blessing on marrying my daughter, I said there were three things he needed to promise me. He agreed and I gave him the blessing. My wife and I even helped with a temporary ring until he could buy one.

Promise #1: Brittany was involved in an accident and we sued on her behalf. She is getting a structured settlement. My wife and I gave up our right to sue for our pain & suffering in exchange for Brittany to receive a larger settlement. Because of that, she ended up with a settlement 4 times larger than she normally would have. I told Steven to not expect Brittany to use the settlement money for typical household needs - that money was given to her so she could do something special (bucket list!) I said he should respect and encourage that. Though she has the right to do as she wishes with this money, let's remember we gave it to her to do something special with - anything other than that is not aligned with our original intentions. (Brittany later said she had turned control of this money over to Steven by including it in their budget. Then another time said she hadn't. She seemed confused.)

Promise #2: He would never do anything to interfere in my relationship with Brittany. (As you'll find out later, this promise was broken many times!)

Promise #3: He would not be cheap with her. Frugal is OK, cheap is not. We discussed the difference with examples.

First Signs of Trouble

I learned that Brittany and Steven went to pre-marital counseling. During that time they took some tests which showed they were compatible. Things seemed OK. But shortly after they were engaged, things changed. He rarely came to the house and almost never made an effort to be a part of our lives. My son shared with me that one time, before their engagement, at a family bonfire he had tried to start a conversation with him, but Steven only said "whatever", and brushed past him. My son said that Steven acted rudely towards him the rest of the evening. This was literally the first time those two had been formally introduced. I had several friends there as well who both tried to start a conversation with Steven, and they said he was very unfriendly, almost to the point of being rude.

One day Brittany was speaking to her mom and I about the guest list. It sounded as if Steven had already invited so many people there was barely any room for our side of the family. At least that was the impression I got from that conversation. At one point I heard the number of guests was already at 276. And that was before I was able to invite anyone from my family or friends. (I ended up being able to invite only 3 family members and no friends.) Brittany seemed stressed by this process, so I called Steven and asked the question: "How does the guest list honor the mother and father of the bride?" His

reply was all about his family and never directly answered my question. When I brought this to his attention, he stated he would need some time to think about the answer and would let me know.

This to me really was the beginning of where I noticed things going downhill. Tensions began to run high and he avoided talking to me. I also noticed changes in my daughter - she seemed unusually stressed and was smiling less than normal.

One time at the breakfast table she said that she was expected to take on a new attitude and way of thinking. When I asked her what she meant, she got up from the table and walked away, saying she had somewhere to go. Brittany and I have always enjoyed a very close relationship and could talk about literally anything. Her response to me was completely out of the ordinary.

I asked for an answer about the guest list a 2nd time, but he did not have an answer. Then, within days they came up the “Rules of Engagement” which they presented to my wife and I at a meeting. In one section it says; “Our desire in those disagreements is to maintain, and even deepen our relationships with our stakeholders, in particular our parents.” As you read this blog it will become clearly obvious this is not the truth.

The question about the guest list ended up being asked 3 times. I never received an answer.

During one of my (few) phone conversations with Steven, I offered some friendly advice from future father-in-law to future son-in-law, the same as my father-in-law did 30-years ago. He became agitated and said things such as, “I don’t need to listen to you”, and “I’m going to tell Brittany what you’re doing”, and “I don’t need this advice”. Due to his rude behavior I ended the call.

Another time I called just to ask if he wanted to get together and hang-out. He replied “No”, then said he had to go and hung up.

Fast-forward 30-days. During that time, Steven has made no efforts to be a part of our family or join any activities. Since I gave my blessing for him to marry our daughter, it’s like a switch was flipped and he became cold towards us. He has spoken rudely to myself, guests at our house and has acted this ways towards my other children. It seems as though he was only being nice to obtain my blessing, then his real personality came out. Had I known any of this I would have never said yes to him marrying our daughter.

I have tried 7 times to make peace and preserve a relationship with my daughter. Each time has been met with either stonewalling (ignoring) and/or false accusations on the part of Steven. This period of time has been and continues to be emotionally traumatizing for myself. The ripple effect for my family has been noticeable. The pain and suffering have taken their toll. I’ve gone from being a normally peaceful loving person who has done a tremendous amount of volunteer work (hospice and preventing teen suicides @ schools), to becoming withdrawn and even crying at times.

Dad Tries to Make Peace 7 Times

(ATTEMPT #1) Before being presented with rules of engagement, (which I did not know they were going to do), in an effort to make peace, I offered an apology for any of my wrongdoings. I truly did not feel as though I had actually done anything wrong, but rather have always put my family first, and am willing to swallow my pride and apologize, even when not necessary or when I feel as though I've not done anything wrong, in order for my family to be happy. I grew up in unfortunate situations, devoid of any love. I lived that way for many years until I met an amazing lady. We married and extended our love into having children, whom I love and cherish more than life itself. I have always put my family first. Always. I challenge anyone to tell of a time when I have not done this 100%.

Steven never clearly accepted the apology, and never showed any appreciation or gratitude. Basically, he ignored it and stonewalled me.

The "Rules of Engagement" seemed to contradict each other. When I emailed to ask for clarification Steven's response did not clearly answer my question. In that email he referenced "triangulating" without realizing that I studied psychology and know that word infers manipulation. I wasn't sure if he was attempting to insult my intelligence, insinuate I was being manipulative, or he really doesn't understand what the word triangulation means when used in the realm of psychology.

My email response was short and a bit pointed; however, by now I am agitated the guest list question had not been answered and it seems all efforts to resolve things have been met with him stonewalling me, ignoring us and being rude.

(ATTEMPT #2) Phone call; shortly afterwards I called Steven and told him that I do not hate him nor am I trying to stop the wedding. I have a good relationship with my other daughters' boyfriends and hoped to have the same with him. His only response was; "thank you for sharing that" in a monotone voice. There was no appreciation or gratitude - no saying anything like, wow I'm hoping for that too. Nothing. Just another stonewall.

Later I was talking with Brittany and my frustration boiled over, and I raised my voice about how Steven would not answer this seemly simple question and has made no effort to be a part of our family. I was also terribly upset that Brittany is showing signs of stress and I haven't seen her smile in days. I am very concerned and even heartbroken that she should have to go through this during a time when she should be celebrating. My being agitated certainly did not help matters and I felt truly awful afterwards.

A few hours later she came to me and we discussed the guest list. I accepted her explanation, apologized for raising my voice, and asked that we move forward.

The following day I went to her and asked if we could do a reset, one where we could together enjoy the journey of her getting married. She agreed and we spent some enjoyable time together.

(ATTEMPT # 3) I knew there was still some healing that needed to take place between Steven and I. Though previous attempts had been met with no response, I decided to try again. I suggested mediation to Brittany and she said she would talk to Steven about it.

(ATTEMPT #4) I saw increased sadness and pain in my daughter's eyes and felt incredibly horrible for her. So, I told Brittany and her mom that I would speak with Steven and offer to let bygones be bygones and to set simple expectations of how we communicate. He refused to meet and asked for mediation, which I had previously suggested. I asked if he was sure he wanted to do that and said we could work things out. He said no, that our next talk should be mediated. I suggested he take a screenshot of that comment as a reminder that he asked for this – meaning that mediation would include going over past hurt and painful events.

Mediation was set for Saturday, Feb. 20th. Steven insisted we not talk about the past and only focus on how my wife and I were to speak to him and Brittany. I insisted we bring everything out in the open and resolve matters. For that reason, (according to Brittany), Steven canceled mediation.

(ATTEMPT #5) Brittany does Stevens laundry at our house, which I honestly don't mind. He makes it clear that he is concerned about Covid, so when I discovered some information about that virus and fabric, I wanted to share this with him. Soon afterwards as Brittany was leaving the house, she said Stevens laundry was in the dryer and asked that once done I place it outside the front door for him to pick up. I figured this was out of concern for Covid, so decided to write him the following letter, and placed in in the laundry basket along with his clean clothes.

Hi Steven,

I did some research and was surprised to learn the Covid virus can live for up to 48-hours on our clothing. I can understand your concern over this virus, so much so that Brittany asked me to leave your clothes outside the front door for you to pick up. I can fully appreciate that. When one considers how long the virus can live on clothing, and the fact they are inside our house - a place you're concerned about being exposed to, perhaps it would be a safer option for you to wash your own clothes at home. Something to think about.

Would have been nice to see you today - it's been a while. We could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just yesterday for Covid. But, we can't be too careful! Well, perhaps another time.

Hope you have a wonderful day.

Mr. Hutchinson

He has never said anything about this letter. Nothing. No thank you for your concern, maybe we can meet up another time, etc.

When he came to pick up the laundry, he stopped and read the letter while standing at the front door to our house. He then carried the laundry basket back to his car, put it into the back seat, slammed the car door, and stood there glaring at our house. Then then get into the car and backed out of the driveway. From there he ran a stop sign and sped away at a high rate of speed.

Then just two days later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for an extended period. (He can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell, yet two days later he can spend hours inside our home?)

The following day his laundry was again being done at our house - all without any acknowledgment of the letter. Nothing. Crickets. This to me was yet another stonewall, and quite honestly, seemed very disrespectful.

Several hours later my wife came home and I was admittedly fuming about this and even a bit emotionally charged. After all, he had a history of being rude to my family and myself. I spoke loudly about how upset I was. Brittany was in her bedroom and overheard what I said. This upset her so she went to stay at a friend's place for a few days.

Brittany later cancelled a lunch date we had set for that week. This is the first time in her life she has ever cancelled anything with me because of how she felt. We've always had an amazing, open, honest and loving father-daughter relationship. Since the engagement I've seen that erode away, and I am honestly extremely hurt by this.

I texted Steven to let him know that he was not welcome in our home and could not do laundry there until mediation. [I later discovered that Brittany had requested his laundry be put outside due to her concern there might be a conflict between he and I, and not because of Covid. But it is still concerning that he never made any comment about the letter nor showed any appreciation.]

To make things a bit worse on my end is the fact that cancer runs strong in my family; my grandmother, mom and 2 older brothers all died from it. I am now the eldest living male - my brothers died at an age younger than I currently am. Recently I've been experiencing some very familiar and concerning

symptoms and my bloodwork is not normal. I have several upcoming tests to verify what I already believe to be true. To avoid undue stress on my family I've not shared this information, until now.

Comment: When Steven learned of this in an email, he never reached out to offer prayer or show any type of concern. Considering that he is a youth pastor, is this really Christian behavior?

Seeing what my daughter is going through is horrible and adds to my desire to fix things so she can be happy. We had mediation scheduled but Steven cancelled it. He says it's because he doesn't want to talk about previous events, and only wants to focus on how my wife and I should be talking to him and Brittany. [Seems a bit controlling!]

To date Steven has not suggested even one single thing to help get our relationship back on-track. Thus far I've come up with 100% of the idea's and have offered 100% of the apologies, and have made 100% of the efforts, even though he cannot name one thing that I've done to offend him. Not one. I've been offering blanket apologies just to have a relationship with my daughter.

Apparently he doesn't want that? Why??

The day after I was upset about him not responding to the letter, Brittany told her mom that she and Steven were in the process of renting an apartment and would be moving out soon. The plan is for her to live in that apt. with another roommate until after the wedding. This, to me, just seems like another wedge is being unfairly driven between my daughter and myself.

Why did Steven not try to make peace during this time? To me it seems as though he used this as an opportunity to further move Brittany away from our family (both physically and emotionally).

Within a few days Brittany moved out of our house. Supposedly because she is now suddenly afraid of me, because I raised my voice, twice. What's interesting is very day she moved out, she returned that evening to retrieve something she had left behind. When she saw me, she gave me a hug and said "I love you so much." I told her it was weird seeing her move and that this was this first time she's ever moved that I didn't help. She replied that was true and yes this all seems weird.

Attempt 6: I sent everything listed above in an email to Steven, Brittany and my wife as an attachment, with this in the body of the email on February 20th:

Hello Steven,

Attached is a letter I wrote explaining my perception of how things have been going, my recollection of the events, and where it potentially went off-track. My intention is to reconcile broken relationships. You will notice the letter is addressed to the elders @ Calvary Church. The reason for this is because if we cannot work things out between us, then I will approach church leaders and ask them to intervene.

I have no set preference as to whether we involve a mediator or not. This conversation will be about setting the record straight and moving forward. Any talks about how to communicate, etc. can come after.

I will wait ten (10) days for a meeting. After that time (March 2nd) this letter will be sent to the leadership of Calvary Church.

Sincerely,

Tim Hutchinson

To date I have made 6 attempts at reconciliation – the number of attempts I've seen Steven make is exactly zero. My question is how is this acceptable among Christians? Especially with him being a youth pastor. Are they not held to a higher standard as the bible mandates? Aren't we all supposed to follow Matthew 18:22? Jesus says we should forgive each other “seventy times seven times” a number that symbolizes boundlessness. That clearly is not happening.

I will also add that the past few months for Brittany has been difficult, and is showing signs this has negatively impacted her mental and emotional state, as well as her physical health. This should be obvious to Steven. Turning this around could have been accomplished by accepting one of my numerous attempts to move our relationship in a better direction, or offered an alternative. Why he did not do any of these. Where is the compassion we should have for one another?

Attempt 7: I emailed the above letter to Brittany, Steven and my wife on February 20th. Later that day, feeling so overwhelmed at the diminished relationship with my daughter, I then sent the following email, striving for peace, and once again apologizing for things I haven’t done;

This email is an open apology to my daughter, Brittany. I have failed you. Not just a little, but a lot. During the past weeks I have been so focused on being right, about winning a disagreement, that I lost sight of something far more important; our relationship and your happiness. I have always put you first in my life, and now just months before your wedding I have fallen far short of what I should have been doing. You have every reason to be mad at me, hurt, disappointed and feeling less than loved by me. For that I apologize - profusely. I love you more than life itself and literally live in daily agony over the state of our current relationship. In response I'll say this:

I resign.

Completely and fully resign.

Not from being your dad of course, but rather from the disagreement that caused this. The [previous] letter I emailed states my position and stands as the truth as I know it. But that's as far as it goes. I'm

done with any disagreement with Steven. My time and energy is now going to be focused on you, Brittany. To work hard restoring our precious relationship and ensuring this never happens again. Ever. And, to help celebrate the upcoming wedding and make these months before the wedding as enjoyable as possible.

With that in mind, I am inviting Steven to neutral ground. A place where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen, mi casa es tu casa.

I don't want battles anymore - I just want to love my family and others with all my heart. To fully enjoy what time I have left on this planet. The past few weeks/months somehow turned ugly and I don't want that - never did. I ended up feeling ugly inside and that's not who I am. At all. I repent for my misdeeds and missteps.

Peace,

Tim/Dad

Comment: Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again.

My wife replied:

Tim,

I want you to know those words really touched my heart. They were absolutely beautiful and honest. I appreciate you putting Britt first and your highest priority. Im proud of you and very hopeful we can begin celebrating this engagement and wedding! This makes me very happy. I love you all so much.

With Love,

Jen

Our daughter replied;

Dad,

Steven and I read your emails. We have found ourselves in the same position that we have felt trapped into for the past few weeks, unsure how to respond. We have set clear boundaries, laid out in our Rules of Engagement, and those have been violated repeatedly. The way Steven and I have been treated by you has been inappropriate and out of line. The last email we received was an apology directed towards me, and while I appreciate that I feel as if it dismissed core issues. This puts us into a difficult position of being perceived as the ones continuing conflict if we don’t accept a “blank slate.”

As Steven and I prepare to start our new life together, we are concerned that these patterns of behavior will continue. We believe that there are significant changes that need to occur in order to move forward in a healthy manner. We desire growing towards healthier relationships with you. Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far, so we believe a mediated conversation is the appropriate next step.

We are confirming with our mediator, but does Saturday at 10 am work for you and mom? It's important that all 4 of us will be there.

Thanks,

Britt

Comment: I violated the rules of engagement?? Um, no…I asked a simple question of Steven, which he never answered.

Concerned about "patterns" – what patterns? I've been apologizing for things I never did!!!

"Significant changes"? Should I then become an uncaring, unloving father? That's ridiculous and clearly not my daughters voice. That has 'Steven' written all over.

"Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far…" What conversations??? I am literally the ONLY person trying to move things forward in a healthy way. This is a problem between Steven and I, and he has not done ANYTHING. Period.

Before meeting Steven, my daughter would never have written an email reply such as this. Never. Clearly he is manipulating her.

My reply;

Yes, mom and I can be available at that time.

I am willing to attend based upon three conditions;

1) This is about moving forward, not digging up the past. There were clearly missteps on the part of several of us, not just myself. Going over those details will serve no useful purpose other than igniting hurt feelings.

2) The meeting will be focused on ways to communicate in both directions; engaged couple to the parents, and vice-versa.

3) No expectations will be made that violate our First Amendment rights. While I agree there are acceptable ways to communicate, anything that prevents one of us from speaking at all to another person is something I won't consider. This is America - brave heroic people fought for our rights, and that should be respected.

Steven replied;

Hi all,

I agree with everything Britt said. As for your response, Mr. Hutchinson, I don't believe we can comply with them all of them the way you have requested.

There are things that have been done and said over the last two weeks that cannot be ignored, and it is not enough to ask for a "blank slate" and move forward. Some of this will be discussed in our conversation.

We agree that communication moving forward should be an element of this conversation.

We don't believe it's helpful for us to set expectations for the communication plan moving forward before our conversation.

I have to admit, these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining for Britt and I as we have interacted with you and we desire to move forward in a healthier direction and would appreciate your willingness to enter into that being willing to lay anything down in order to make that happen. You have emphasized how important your relationship with Britt is, and if that is really true, we believe that you will listen to what Britt and I have to say about how we can all move forward in a healthy way, even if that means that interaction with one or both of us moves forward differently than your personal preference.

Comment: “Things have been done and said that cannot be ignored”. Such as?? To date neither of them can name even one single thing. Not one!

“…these past few weeks have been incredible challenging and emotionally draining for Brittany and I as we have interacted with you…” Here again, name one thing, just ONE that I’ve done, other than ask a question, raise my voice (to Brittany once, and to my wife once), apologize for things I never did, and strive for peace. Name one. They literally cannot.

Notice how Steven interjected himself into the equation with his comment? Other than a few emails and a letter trying to make peace, I’ve had ZERO interaction with Steven in the previous few weeks. None. But he’s trying to pretend to be a victim here.

“We desire to move forward in a healthier direction…” How are we going to move forward if Steven puts zero effort into anything and my daughter will not even speak to me??

"….being willing to lay down everything in order to make that happen." Umm… I have done EXACTLY that, and Steven has done NOTHING.

It seems to me that Steven is manipulating our daughter. Clearly, I am the one trying to make peace and Steven is avoiding that. The question is why?

My reply;

Steven,

Mrs. Hutchinson and I have also been directly/indirectly impacted in a negative way by some of your words & actions. We will also be presenting a list of expectations on how we will be communicated with. We look forward to the meeting. See you then.

Mr. Hutchinson

The second attempt @ mediation was scheduled for Saturday, 2/27/2021 @ 10 AM. The previous weeks mediation was cancelled by Steven (through Brittany) because he did not want to discuss previous events. As you can see in the above emails, this time I agreed to no previous events be discussed, but now suddenly Steven wants them. That's a 180-degree turn-around.

Days later they cancelled mediation, again, without reason. What is being hidden? Why can we not come together as Christians and discuss things in an open and honest way? Something is very, very wrong here. Steven has somehow convinced my daughter that I have done things that are wrong, without any evidence or proof whatsoever. In fact, it has been Steven who is the one causing problems. Again, how is this Christian behavior? And from a pastor??

In my last phone call with her she said that she shows Steven all the text messages and emails from me and tells him everything we talk about on the phone, because that's how Steven says the 2 shall become 1.

She also said that she hoped in the future we could go to counseling and figure out how to have a relationship again.

She then whispered, "Dad, I love, love, love, love, love you a lot!"

Since then, my daughter has completely broken off communication with me. No calls, no texts, no visits, nothing. Other than a brief text reply where I invited her to her mom's birthday party she will not respond to any attempts at contacting her. (She would not come to the party – this is the first time she's ever missed something like this.) I am concerned about both her mental and physical health. She and I have always had an amazing father-daughter relationship – almost like 2 peas in pod – and now, only

weeks after becoming engaged she will not even speak to me, says we need counseling, and I am being repeatedly accused of doing things which they will not specify, speak about, or go to mediation to resolve. Seems this gives Steven plenty of opportunities to make more false accusations about me without my ever having a chance to defend myself. More and deeper wedges being driven between my daughter and I. This, to me, literally sounds like the actions of a narcissist. In what other way can anyone explain how in my daughters eyes I go from being a father she loves and adores, to being treated almost like a monster in only 30-days??

I sent Steven one final email which stated: “No conflict is over until a solution or a side concedes. This is the calm before the storm. I will always fight for my love. I am relentless.”

This was to serve as a reminder that even though he would not discuss the things mentioned above and is now refusing to have any conversation with my wife and I to bring a resolution, there is still a conflict between us. The upcoming “storm” is when I release the truth (this document) about his actions. It is also a reminder that I will never give up on our daughter.

Steven twisted the truth (again) and told Brittany that I was threatening him. The wedge grew deeper due to this lie.

I redacted the names of people and the church, then sent this file over to several mental health professionals, via a trusted 3rd party (to further protect all of our identities.) Their responses were similar: This is clearly a troubling situation and Steven has acted inappropriately. Every one of their responses has encouraged me to continue making this truth known and to never give up on my daughter.

On March 1st, 2021 I emailed Senior Pastor of Calvary Church (the church Steven and Brittany are involved in), asking for his help in bringing a resolution.

Dear Brother in Christ;

I come to you today seeking help with a troubling situation. My daughter is engaged to your youth pastor, Steven Anderson. He has been, in my opinion, acting very inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. Instead, he has chosen to ruin an otherwise healthy father-daughter relationship, and cause strife in an otherwise loving family. I am a 60-year-old Christian man who has been with his wife for 32 years, lives in White Bear Township and together we have raised 4 beautiful children.

Attached you will find the facts laid out as openly and honestly as I can possibly state them. I ask that you review these and prayerfully consider helping bring resolution to this matter. I would prefer to handle this quietly through the church, rather than publicly and/or through any legal proceedings.

If you have any questions or comments, feel free to reach me at anytime on my cell phone, [redacted] or by emailing [redacted].

I pray for help during these difficult days.

Kind regards,

Timothy Hutchinson

The Senior Pastor did not respond (and never has), so 5-days later I emailed the same to Pastor Peter Morgan. He also did not respond. I then printed all of the above, placed into an envelope addressed to the Senior Pastor, marked it "Confidential", then hand delivered to the church. I never received a response from that either.

I later heard the senior pastor shared this with the entire admin staff. I had asked in the letter for this to not be made public. I also marked the package confidential, and would not leave it @ the front door to the church – I specifically asked someone to come to the door so I could hand it directly to a person and further explain this was confidential. I literally felt violated by their handling of this information.

In the original document it contained a sentence about the effects this was having on Brittany's health. She asked that I remove that sentence, which I did. What I was not aware of was the cloud service I use (OneDrive) made a separate file with those changes. The file I printed for the pastor was the original and contained the sentence she asked for me to remove. I apologized for this oversight, and have since deleted that original file. Again, this was not supposed to be seen by anyone other than the Senior Pastor. I'll also point out that to this very day I've kept details of what's been happening under tight wraps and close scrutiny. As much as I'd like to expose Steven and his terrible (narcissistic) actions, I also do not want to embarrass my daughter.

I prepared an email with an ultimatum of either resolving this matter or I would take certain steps, including; removing my blessing from the engagement, file a lawsuit against Steven seeking damages of more than $50k, go public with the facts surrounding this matter to all family & friends (including spending more than $1k on advertising on social media), have my attorney seek an injunction against his fundraising efforts through Reliant (who he is paid through), and numerous other steps, all of which together would create the epic storm I spoke of.

Before sending this ultimatum I called Steven and left a voicemail inviting him over for a bonfire and cheesesteak sandwiches. My message said that we could talk about what each other would need for peace. I stated that if we want peace, prepare for war (it's a Latin saying, and I was referring to the upcoming ultimatum), but I much prefer peace. My intentions were pure and honest. He replied by text stating he felt like I was making him an adversary and asked if I was going to be violent with him. I replied that he was again twisting things out of context, and it seems as though he does not want things settled.

I later heard he claims to be so afraid of me that he has someone walk him to his car and is always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him. This is absolutely ridiculous. I have never threatened him. Ever. I think he's using this as drama to show my daughter that once again he's somehow a victim and the bad person is her dad (me).

What he doesn't know is that I've had several people offer to "fix things" or "make him see things differently" which I believe implied the use of physical force. I declined those offers. I'm not a violent person, and besides, if anything happened to him I am likely the first person they would suspect was responsible.

What he also does not know is that I pray for him. I don't know what event(s) in his life causes him to behave in this erratic, hateful, narcissistic manner, but I truly feel sorry for him and pray for the healing of his mind.

The Revelation

On 3/18/21 I had a near meltdown while taking a shower. I had been thinking about a text I sent to Brittany which simply said, "I love you. Always.". She never responded to that text. Had our relationship gotten so bad that she literally could not say 'I love you' back to her father? After stumbling out of the shower, I sat on the steps at the front door to our house unable to move – I felt like I was falling off an edge. In that moment, in my lowest time of brokenness I was tempted by Satan to do unholy things as a means of resolution. I prayed and was finally able to drive to work. In those moments I realized how truly broken I was. My next decision was crucial – but it to be made. I then sent an email with the subject line,

"I had to decide..."

Here is what the email said;

My daughter,

Saying you love someone and not hearing that back is hurtful. We're supposed to be a family - together through thick and thin. But right now it feels like we don't have any relationship at all - and it feels like you are pushing me further and farther away. That saddens me at a level far too deep to describe. I'm basically in the dark with no end in sight. Any time I try to make peace I'm being made to look like a monster. Everything I do is viewed as wrong. Everything I say is being twisted into something else. My voice is not being heard.

Truthful communication = zero.

I literally cannot stand the pain anymore, and only see 2 options; fight or walk away. Though fully prepared to bring forth an epic storm, I only see more pain coming from that. I want what I've always wanted: peace. So my choice is to walk away. I suppose someone will find fault in that too - but at least I chose the path of peace.

We said we would pay for your phone and car insurance until the end of this year, and we will honor that. We said we would give you [money] for the wedding, and we will also honor that, (Mom will give you a check for that amount next time she sees you.)

But for now I am simply walking away.

Dad

Later that day Brittany sent me an email about contacting her counselor. She said I could talk with her alone (via video chat), then at a later time the 3 of us would be in a video chat together. I sent her counselor an email the following day (3/19/2021) and am awaiting a response.

3/24/2021 My Breaking Point

On, or about Monday, 3/22/2021 I had a counseling session with Mary Sanders (Brittany's therapist). It was approx. one-hour long and was just Ms. Sanders and myself, via video chat. She wanted to know how I was dealing with the relationship tension between Brittany and I. During that hour I shared with her how heartbroken I was and how I blamed this on Steven's manipulation.

That night I wrote the following letter and had my wife send it to Steven and Brittany (she was now going to have them send communications through her as it was obvious this was being stressful for me:

Steven,

You won. You've successfully stripped my daughter out of my life, and in the process hurt me at a level I've not been hurt before. But understand one thing; I could have stopped you by releasing the 'Epic Storm' I designed and planned. But I did not. Why? Because Christ commands us to show compassion, to

turn the other cheek, and to pray for others. So instead of choosing the path of destruction, I held off and allowed myself, out of compassion, to absorb the pain. During this time I've actually been praying for you. That's real compassion. That's what Christ teaches us.

You've taken everything from me you possibly can. You no longer have any power over me - I now have the power. You've repeatedly accused me of threatening violence. Let's be clear on this; violence is the tool of Neanderthal cave-dwellers. More sophisticated people do things legally. Legal actions are not powerless nor ineffective. Quite the contrary. This isn't my first rodeo.

Don't mistake my silence for weakness. I've been compassionate to the point of literally being broken. I am a human, not a saint, and have my limits as any other person - and right now I am right at the edge of mine.

-Tim

Another counseling session was scheduled for Wednesday 3/24/2021 with Mary Sanders. This session would include Brittany. When all three of us were in the video chat room together, I noticed Brittany appeared to be very sad, and looked like she was almost in shock. Her appearance was very troubling to me and caused me to feel upset and very sad for her. Seeing her this way caused me to almost come to tears. During our session it become increasingly difficult to look at the screen and see the sadness on her face. Near the end of the session I was so sad and deeply impacted seeing her in that condition, that I became rather emotional in my speech and before our time was up, I left the chat room suddenly.

Later that evening my emotions had not calmed down and while my wife was in the bathroom, I exited the house (where we were alone), got in my car and drove to a local park (Polar Park). I parked the car at the far edge of the lot and sat there in the dark. Tears flowed down my face as I imagined all the pain Brittany was feeling. I felt powerless to help her. At that point my crying became sobbing. I reached into the center console of the car and with my right hand pulled out a .40 caliber handgun and pressed it against the right side of my head. I held it there for about 30-seconds, then had the thought the suicide would only pass along the pain I felt to others who did not deserve it. I put the gun away and called my son. I didn't mention the gun but did say I was stressed and needed a friendly voice to talk with. We spoke for a while, then he encouraged me to go home and get some rest. I started the car, while on the phone with him and began driving home.

When I got home my wife was already in bed and starting to go to sleep. I laid in bed for a while, then also fell asleep. At about 2 AM I woke up and began having thoughts of self-harm. I struggled with those thoughts and was able to resist taking action. Later that morning, right when my wife got out of the shower, I got up out of bed, walked into our bathroom and hugged her. As I did so I began crying again, and was barely able to get out the words, "I'm not OK." I may have said something else but don't remember. Then I told her that the night before I had gone to the park and held a gun to my head. I was

sobbing by then and she didn't quite understand what I was saying and asked me to repeat it. I again said, "Last night, at the park, I held a gun to my head!" I don't remember the rest of our conversation.

We ended up at Regions hospital in St. Paul, MN in the emergency room. From there I was placed in a holding room and asked to change into hospital clothing. I spoke with a man, who then had a conversation with my wife. It was agreed that I should stay at the hospital in the psych ward to get some help.

I spent the rest of the day in my room journaling. Being in a peaceful place was helpful. I was able to write down my thoughts and formulate and action plan. I later shared this plan with Dr. Brown and another clinician.

After being in the psych ward long enough to calm down and find my compass in life, I asked to be released. They said yes with the agreement I would accept outpatient care. I now have an appt. scheduled with Dr. [redacted] PsyD on April 13th @ 9 AM. They also gave me a phone number I can call if I need to speak with someone right away about any mental issue or concern. It's the Urgent Care for Mental Health, 651-266-7900. They encouraged me to program this into my phone. I have done so.

Upon returning home I texted Brittany saying I was home safe, and that when she was ready, I would like to try counseling again. She did not respond. As of the date of me typing this, 3/28/2021 @ 10:44 AM, she still has not responded.

On 3/27 2021 my wife told me that she has a time scheduled to meet with Brittany and Steven. Says she does not have a talking agenda at this time but is thinking about it. I told her that right now I'm not thinking about anything except giving myself time and space to try and heal. Asked her to switch cars with me for the week – even looking at my car is stressful (after all, I was sitting in that car when I put the gun to my head.)

3/28/2021 I composed the following letter to Brittany and emailed to my wife, asking her to review and forward onto Brittany.

Dear Brittany,

I'd like to take a few moments and share my heart with you. Lots has been going on and my heart is heavy. First, I want to say that I love you – deeply, profoundly and forever. You are literally one of the best things that has ever happened in my life. I cannot imagine living without you, and recent times are cutting deep into me.

I also want to say that I am sorry for all the pain you've been going through. Doesn't seem fair at all that you've been put in the middle of this conflict between Steven and I. This is something between him and I – you shouldn't have been put in between us. Perhaps you wound up there believing you had to, but this only resulted in you being unfairly torn between two people you love.

Just thinking about all the pain you're experiencing pushes me deeper into a dark place – one in which I worry about you every day. Literally. And cry for you – often.

Looking back, I'm not sure how things evolved to the point where you were so involved, but that's never anything I wanted. This is between he and I, and it's up to us to work towards resolving things. I know you and Steven are working towards a life together but know this; you don't have to fight his battles. That's never your place. I'm speaking as your father and from 29 years of marriage. Please step aside and let Steven handle his problem(s). And this is one of them.

Brittany, what would it look like if you had a relationship with both of us? Instead of choosing sides, you stepped aside and encouraged us to work out our differences. When you are with each of us, you wouldn't talk about this situation, but instead tell us to go work it out. In that way you benefit from not only having a relationship with your finance, and your father, but this would also minimize any stress you feel – and would put that responsibility back where it belongs; between Steven and I. This would help move us towards a peaceful resolution.

One thing is for sure; things cannot remain the same any longer. Look at where it's gotten us; you're no longer speaking to me and are clearly stressed. I ended up alone, in the dark, crying and holding a gun to my head. Does that seem OK? Unless something changes, I am concerned that I may end up back in that place again. Only next time, things might not end the same.

I appeal to you as my daughter, a child I played a role in creating (literally), and to whom I put first in my life, every time and in everything; please stop this. The time to let healing begin is long overdue.

Dad

She never responded.

I decided to try another approach. So I wrote the following and shared it with my wife:

Steven,

We are Lions, the both of us. Not uncommon for lions to fight each other. It's also not uncommon for them to live in peace. It's a choice they make. One thing I admire about you is your tenacity. I could use someone like you in my new ministry.

After 6 years of preparation, it is launching soon.

Why am I telling you this?

Simple.

You're being invited to join the ministry.

For real. See attached agreement.

Your position would be part-time, at first, so no need to leave Calvary. You would be the head of our non-profit division which oversees missionaries we currently support, and search for others that we can begin supporting. Something we are doing unique is that each person who makes a donation will see what their donation is used for. Example; donate $100 for blankets, and they will see pictures of families receiving those.

What's in it for you? 40% of the donated money. The ministry will fundraise on a regular basis among our clients/members/followers. In addition, we will donate 10% of our gross revenue. The projections are for us to be @ 100k/month by end of this year. Conservative projections also show that amount being doubled by 3rd quarter 2022. You would be an independent contractor with us; 40%, plus reasonable travel expenses.

I know there have been issues between us, and the way this can work is to call a truce. This is not a blank slate - it's a truce. We stop fighting for as long as this agreement is in place. Perhaps during that time we'll come to respect each other enough to not return to our current state.

We are Lions, and anything is possible.

Awaiting your reply,

Mr. Hutchinson

BOLD MOVE, RIGHT?! Well, I was 100% serious. In fact....

Here is the contract:

This agreement is made and takes effect on ____/____/2021 between Tim Hutchinson, DBA Pastor Tim Hutchinson, DBA Casting Out Doubt (CastingOutDoubt.com), hereafter called "Company" andSteven Anderson, hereafter called "Contractor".1. The Company hereby contracts with Contractor for a term

commencing on the date of this agreement and Contractor hereby accepts the terms of this agreement.2. During the Contractor's time of working on behalf of the Company, he will:A. Devote such time and effort as may be reasonably required by the Company to perform his duties as head of our non-profit division.B. Contractor will oversee the funds raised and otherwise allocated to Casting Out Doubt for the purpose of supporting missionaries.C. Contractor will be responsible for verifying funds are used for the purpose intended, as well as seeking out other missionaries (local, national and international) for Casting Out Doubt to begin supporting.3. For services rendered by the Contractor, he will receive 40% of the revenue donated to CastingOutDoubt.com. In addition, should travel be necessary to perform his duties, Casting Out Doubt will reimburse any reasonable travel expense which has pre-approval from Pastor Tim Hutchinson or his assigns.4. Contractor agrees that while under contract by the Company, and for a period of one year after termination of such contract, he will not act as an employee, agent, broker, shareholder, contractor, or otherwise engage in any business selling products or services similar to those customarily sold by the Company anywhere, at any time.5. Contractor understands that he will acquire confidential information of business value to the Company during the course of his contract. Contractor hereby agrees not to divulge such confidential information to any other party, or to use such information for his own profit except in performance of activities beneficial to the Company.6. This agreement is an open contract, for a period of one-year, and will be automatically extended year-by-year unless the Company or Contractor gives 30-days' notice to the other. Additionally, the Company may, at any time, with suitable cause, discharge the Contractor by giving him 2-weeks written notice of such discharge. All amounts due the Contractor will be paid within 60 days.7. This contract shall terminate upon Contractor's death; inability or failure to perform the duties required by this contractor; or his written notice of resignation given to the Company.8. Following termination of this contract, all obligations shall end except for the provisions of items 4 and 5, and any causes of action which may arise from the circumstances of the termination of the contract.9. Should the Company close down operations completely; the Contractor will be given 30-days' notice. At the end of those 30 days the Contractor will receive a one-time check for payment-in-full.9. This contract constitutes the entire agreement between Company and Contractor.10. This agreement shall be interpreted and, if necessary, adjudicated in accordance with the laws of Saint Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota.11. Until written notice of other address(es) are exchanged between the parties, all notices legally required shall be deemed delivered by the sending of registered mail to the following addresses:Company address:[Redacted]White Bear Township, MN 55110Contractor address:[Redacted]New Brighton, MN 55112

In witness to their agreement to these terms, Company's representative and Contractor affix their signatures below:

Pastor Tim Hutchinson (Company) DATE

Steven Anderson (Contractor) DATE

All I needed to see from Steven was the slightest willingness to work things out with me. The smallest window of opportunity and I will have presented this to him. It's all real - again, I showed this to my wife and she knows I had planned to offer this to him. Sadly, he has yet to put forth any effort into resolving anything in our relationship, and so I do not feel it's right to offer this to him just yet.

On April 7th, 2021 I sent them this email:

Steven,

I know why you don't want mediation; because you're afraid of the truth coming out. The truth which will show you are a narcissistic monster who captured my daughter and dragged her away from me through your web of lies. A man holding a gun to his own head is devastating - yet today I rise from the ashes. Remember that phrase I shared with you? "If you want peace, prepare for war". Yes, that phrase which you twisted (as you did so many other things) to insinuate violence. Well I am prepared for "war". Check out the website I created just for you www.DirtySteven.com - you did me dirty, so the web address is fitting. If you ever pull that crap off again with anyone else in my family, I promise you that I will launch this site and do everything listed there - immediately. No warning. No mediation. No hesitation. You see, I am prepared for "war", so my family can live in peace, from you and your narcissistic, evil ways. As for me, I pick up my cross daily and pray for my enemies - including you. What's especially sad is that when I pray for you, I get a strong sense that your name is not written in the Book of Life. Not to be Captain Obvious here, but that means you're a fake Christian. A phony pastor who love-bombed my daughter. Heed my warning: keep your evil ways away from MY family.

Brittany,

You have changed. A lot. No longer are you the person I once knew. That person would have reached out to me after you learned I was hospitalized. That hurts more than just about anything right now. Families may argue and even fight sometimes, however, when one has their back against the proverbial wall, the others surround that person in love, stand with them and even defend them. You did nothing. You ignored me completely. Your father held a loaded gun to his head, because of what your monster boyfriend did, and there were no signs whatsoever that you cared, at all. I'm literally stunned. Never thought I'd see that day when "Daddy's Girl" who once said, "You'll always be the number one guy"

would turn her back on me during my lowest moment in life. There were complete strangers who showed more compassion than you did.

Others have commented to me as well how much you've changed. They don't want to speak up because they're concerned Steven will do to them what he did to me. You just don't see it right now - but he love-bombed you and you're blinded so you cannot see the truth. I pray some day you'll wake up from this, and when you do, I'll be right here, still loving you. Because that's what family does; we stand by each other no matter what.

On Easter Sunday we went to dinner, as a (partial) family. Victoria and Jake, Vanessa and James, your mom and I, along with Nana, Uncle John and Uncle Steve. Guess what happened?? We had a great time - no drama! None. Laughing, joking, hugs - love all around us. Oh, and no one was "violent". You may have seen me get upset and yell in the past, it's how I let out built up stress. Call it a character flaw if you want, but when...tell me when you have ever seen me become violent. You can't, because it never happened. Just more evil lies Steven is pumping your mind full of. My other kids all come hang out with me, no problems at all. You are literally the only one saying anything about violence. You are the only one who is avoiding me. The only one. Victoria and I recently went to the Mall of America then out to Applebees. No drama. Nothing but a great father-daughter date. The kind we used to have.

As a father, I haven't changed. As a daughter, you've changed. A lot.

This is my last email to both of you. Don't even bother replying because I won't read it. Really. If you have something to say to me, you know where I live.

Brittany's Dad

NOTE: Here are the things mentioned on the website that I would do if Steven tries to do anything to any other person in MY family:

Instruct attorneys to file a lawsuit against Steven Anderson seeking damages of more than $250,000. There are medical records showing the significant effects these past months have had on me. There are also witnesses and mental health professionals who will testify that Steven is the cause of it. A jury will be very interested in hearing about this. [Bonus: he'll have to testify, under oath, and my daughter will finally see everything he's been lying about.]

File a lawsuit against Calvary Church seeking damages of more than $1,000,000 dollars. Steven works at this church, they were aware of these activities and failed to respond. (How will they explain that to a jury?)

Go public with the facts surrounding this matter. Let the complete family (on both sides), and church members know the truth about Steven Anderson.

Spend a minimum of $1,000 boosting posts about this on various social media and news outlets, with a link to the website, where this info will be posted for all to see.

Have banners made and placed on top of trucks/cars that will be parked on public property near both campuses for Calvary Church – fully visible to all church attendees – alerting them to the website.

This information will be passed along to any place he works at for the rest of his life. Steven will never be able to outrun this truth. In the event of my death or disability, I have associates who will continue doing this on my behalf.

Contact Reliant.org with all the facts and lawsuit details, and have his account suspended, preventing him from any further fundraising. If necessary, with the help of my attorneys, we will contact a judge and seek an injunction against any future fundraising efforts.

4/13/2021 1:07 PM (CST).

I feel so all alone in this. Others see the truth but won't say anything. They are concerned Steven will attack them as he has done to me. He is, in my opinion, a wolf in sheep's clothing. An evil wolf. In my life I've always done everything I possibly could for my family. I've always put them first. Always. Even to the point where the weight of that was crushing me, as it recently did. But it takes two to have a relationship. And right now she's not there. Or any where's close. She did not even show any signs of caring when I was hospitalized. I love you, Brittany, and the door is always open for us to have a relationship. What is puzzling to me is how you can simply walk away from our relationship based solely upon what Steven has told you - without ever hearing my side.

Proverbs 18:17 Any story sounds true until someone tells the other side and sets the record straight.

All I wanted was for you to hear my side.

Brittany took a screen shot of one small part of the home page and emailed that to someone an attempt to discredit me. Here's the pic she took offense to:

Underneath that picture was a call to pray for Steven. If you think about this for a moment, you'll realize that I'm not inferring he is a demon or even of the devil - you don't pray for demons or anything evil - you REBUKE them. I called for PRAYER.

So, why this picture??

This is why….

"The eyes are the windows to the soul."-William Shakespeare

I believe Steven Anderson has been acting in unholy ways. This picture represents the amount of darkness that must exist in him in order for him to do the horrible things he's done. I'm concerned about him and this picture is a clear representation of why. So, I called for prayer for him. And still am.

Notice the lack of devil horns or anything of that nature? [Thank you if you did].

What's interesting is how they (Brittany and Steven) responded to this picture. They hyper-focused on this picture and literally nothing else. As if to say this picture tells the whole story. Oh my, the youth and their folly. That was literally a cheap shot in an attempt to discredit me and cause strife.

If they truly cared and acted in a Christian way, they would be willing to settle this through mediation. But they won't for one reason: Steven is afraid of the truth coming out. Because then he would be exposed as a narcissist. Anytime I mentioned mediation he trembled in fear! Then, to cover up his fear, he would suggest mediation but quickly back away.

He was never serious about resolving any of this - never.

Why?

Because he is literally jealous of the relationship I had with my daughter. He knew if I was that close he'd never be able to (eventually) control her. So, he set out to destroy our relationship. [C'mon Steven, prove me wrong. I challenge you!] He did this by 'love-bombing' her (a classic narcissist's move) and convinced her I was out to destroy him by use of violence.

My daughter has never seen me act in a violent way. Never. But Steven has her somehow convinced that he's in grave danger being around me. He uses that as an excuse to not resolve things between us. Again, he doesn't want any resolution because he will be exposed.

Is that evil?

Of course!

My response?

Call to prayer for him.

Yet somehow that's being overlooked. Instead they hyper-focus on something easily explained, and insist that's the whole story! In order to arrive at that conclusion, they must overlook dozens of other things which Steven has never answered for, nor explained.

And never will.

He's afraid of the truth.

A scared young man, filled with darkness.

Please join me in prayer for him:

"O God of Victory, grant the lost grace for repentance. Lead Steven to the knowledge of the truth which is found in scripture. Open his spiritual eyes that he may know that life is beyond the physical and earth is just where we prepare for eternity. Cause him to hit rock bottom in areas where his heart is hardened so that he can listen to your voice and follow it. In Jesus' name, we pray, Amen."

If you just prayed that prayer, thank you! From my heart to yours. Let's stand together and push back against evil as it tries to overtake lives and cause strife.

I would like to take a moment and point out that I've not had problems with any of my 3 daughters current or former boyfriends. (OK, maybe one of them, but only after he physically assaulted her!) In fact, Brittany's last boyfriend (David) and I got along very well. When Brittany made the decision to break-up with David, instead of him going to family or even friends, he came to our house to talk with me. Because I was always kind to him, and supportive, and showed him on a regular basis that I genuinely cared for him. In other words; I am an authentic Christian who lives his faith out loud.

For 29 years I've shown how much I love my family and always put them first in my life. Always. Anyone my daughters (and son) have dated have been treated kindly and welcomed into our home with open arms. However, if you believe Steven, I have suddenly done a Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde and morphed into a monstrous person. Only since he came along. And only to him. Oh, and of course, Steven is the innocent victim.

Only two problems with that;

1) None of the actual facts bear witness to his assertions, (only the lies he invents and convinces Brittany is real).

2) My other children have not noticed the changes that Steven is claiming. (Other than being sad, perhaps even a bit distraught over the declined relationship with Brittany, I remain the same loving father to them.)

What's Steven hiding from?

The truth.

Proverbs 12:17 Whoever speaks the truth gives honest evidence, but a false witness utters deceit.

A few weeks later, out of pure frustration, I sent her another email;

A personal letter from an old father to his beautiful daughter:

I've come to a place in my life path where I think it's a good idea for us to take a break. Instead of my waiting for you to decide when we can have a relationship again (or not).

I'm taking a break; from waiting.

From someone else controlling my happiness.

From someone else deciding if I should have a good day or not.

From someone else making me feel like I'm a good person or not.

How long of a break?

6 months.

You see Brittany, I've been patient even though I too feel wronged by everything that's happened. My voice was literally not heard. Yet I am being blamed for everything. In our country I have the right to face my accuser (which never happened), the right to hear the charges, (which no one can clearly state - saying "you broke the rules of engagement" does not clarify how), the right to defend myself (which isn't being allowed), and the right to be presumed innocent until proven guilty (clearly that's not happening).

Instead, there are many things being thrown out - things that can easily be explained.

His face on the website with dark eyes? That's actually from a poem by Shakespeare.

Open carrying the gun? Your mom asked me to do that because in light of the violence in Mpls it made her feel safer.

The website? I had to. There was no other clear choice, (you could have asked instead of making assumptions).

These things would all make sense if there was communication, instead of them being used to further drive us apart.

Brittany, you are a young lady. I am an older man. A married man. With children. Your options are different than mine. I have a responsibility to protect my wife. To protect our children. To protect our home and way of life. That's my job. I don't have all the freedoms of decision that you do.

Your experiences with Steven are also different than mine. Yet you choose to judge me through the lens of a younger lady engaged to someone, with whom I've had different experiences, and a lens focused on my family.

Example: When Steven spoke harshly to me on the phone (which did happen - I will swear to that even on my deathbed), and he used that to begin driving a wedge between you and I, then I had decisions to make. I tried having a meeting with him, many times, to seek clarity on things. But he refused and made many excuses to not meet. That left many unanswered questions.

If he would have agreed to mediation, we could have discussed this and likely worked things out. But he didn't.

I love you more than life itself. And I'm truly sorry, but I'm simply not going to allow my heart, emotional well being and good name to be dragged through the mud any more. So, I'm calling for a break. 6 months. This should take the pressure off of you. No need to think about me while you are adjusting to married life. After that time if you want a relationship with me, say something. Otherwise, I'll assume you don't.

On 4/20/1998 I had my last cigarette and said, "I'm going to walk this girl down the aisle." Sadly, it doesn't look like that will happen.

I wish you love, light and happiness.

Dad

P.S. You don't have to wonder whether typing / sending this was easy for me or not. It was pure hell. But it seems to be my only move that might bring you some relief. You're not talking to me, so here again I have to fill in the blanks. And I'm just over here trying to figure out how to make things easier for you. That's what love does.

P.P.S Happy belated birthday, DG. Yesterday was the first time I've missed any of my kids birthdays. 4 kids and 93 birthdays so far. And I had to miss one. Tough day for an old dad.

Brittany gave a hand-written note to her mom to give to me. That note said she was OK with the 6-month break and prayed for softened hearts so we could have a relationship.

A Lesson On Rising From the Ashes of Betrayal

This morning (4/28/2021), I woke up feeling agitated by recent events concerning my daughter and her fiancé'. It felt as though I had arrived at a point where things could no longer be ignored – action needed to be taken. I left the house and drove towards her fiancé's apartment with plans to confront him. Along the way God spoke – loud and clear;

He said, "The ministry will not reach the next level until you go to higher ground."(Note: I am starting a Christian ministry to prove God is real.)

What do you mean, Dad?

“You must know how it feels to be betrayed, as my Son was.”

I feel betrayal at a deep level.

“Yes, but you’ve not learned the lesson. Look at how you respond.”

I’m being blamed for everything.

“The crowds chanted ‘Crucify Him’ about my Son.’

I didn’t do anything wrong.

“Mostly true – but there were a few small things.”

I feel so alone.

“How many were at the cross when He surrendered everything?”

I’m not a bad person!

“Neither was my Son.”

I was treated horribly!

“You know what they did to my Son.”

I want revenge.

“Then you’ve not learned, yet.”

Learned what?

“To rise….you must learn to rise. Rise above all that you see and look from a heavenly place. Look upon these things with love. Even those who wronged you. You must forgive – even if they’ve not apologized. You are destined for greater things – so you must be greater. Even with His last few breath’s my Son asked forgiveness for those who murdered Him.”

Why?

“Learn the lesson.”

Dad?!

“Learn the lesson. That’s why I allowed all this to happen.”

I don’t know how. Please help me.

“Are you ready to submit to My will?”

Yes.

In that moment, for only the third time in my life, I saw heaven. I felt at one with it and everyone there. Hard to describe, but it was like some great volume of information was downloaded into my brain. It was then unpacked, and made itself at home within me. And then, suddenly, it all made sense.

My life has changed.

Now my message to them is that I love them both, and they are forgiven.

I’ve risen to a higher place.

I can see clearly now.

I asked my youngest daughter to pass this along to Brittany (since she has me blocked on her phone). Brittany’s response was to not contact her any more with anything about me.

5/1/2021. I sat down and wrote an email to Calvary church – this time to the board asking them to do the right thing and help resolve the ongoing issue with Steven.

Within days I was contacted by a lawyer stating that Calvary church does not want to have any contact with me, and that I should stay off their property.

So, I am to believe that a “Christian” church, without ever speaking with me, and their only contact with me has been through respectfully written emails & letters, is refusing to help mediate this situation, without any just reason? I firmly believe this is because Steven went to them and told them lies. That’s what he does: Lie!

5/10/2021. Monday morning – I wake and begin getting ready for work. Suddenly I had a strong feeling that I should not go to work that day. Even though I just had a 3-day weekend (Friday off), I should stay home today. So, I emailed my work and let them know I’d be off today as well. Then God impressed upon me the need to send my daughter Brittany an email. There was an urgency to this. I went into my home office and sat in front of the computer staring at a blank page – completely unsure of what to say. So I prayed. Slowly, but surely the words came. I typed sometimes a few sentences, other times a paragraph – but frequently stopping to kneel before the Lord and seek his will. It literally took hours, but finally this is what was written:

Subject line:So this just happened….

Email body:I received a letter from an attorney representing Calvary. They're asking that I have no contact with them and to remain off their property. This is in response to my second request for them to help mediate a resolution. I'm over here wondering why a church, (a Christian church), would refuse to help someone seeking peace. Seems rather nefarious. I've mentioned filing a lawsuit, which remains a possibility. However, this is not about money - instead, I simply want my voice heard. Something I've said all along.

Filing a suit against the church would accomplish that. People would have to be deposed and possibly even testify, under oath. Then the truth would come out. And they would realize that all along the words they've been twisting (in an obvious attempt to make me look like a horrible person) were actually centuries old phrases from Latin culture, as well as the utterings of great minds like Aristotle, Gandhi, King Solomon, Shakespeare and the Bible.

Every day I wake up feeling sad. I miss you. What's happened to our relationship is completely unfair. If I have to take this to court in order for the truth to come out, then I will. And their lies will be exposed for all the world to see. In that moment you will realize that we have both been wronged and both have suffered unfairly.

I'm just an old dad who wants a relationship with his daughter - the person he created (literally). The child he spent 23 years putting first in his life, loving without reservation and sacrificing everything for. The person who he loves more than life itself, and was stripped from him through lies.

The lies I'm trying to expose.

Does it make any sense that a church and a staff member would actively avoid the truth being told? Only through clever twisting of the truth have they convinced you that it's OK to not honor your father - for the truth to not be told - that my voice is not heard - that it's alright for you to make drastic decisions without ever hearing your dad's side of things - and it's OK to send an attorney after your father for doing something as simple as asking for help resolving a problem.

According to the Bible, their very actions are not Christian based. I mean, they do honor the Bible, right? This isn't a cult, is it? Because if they say they're Bible based, then I'm telling you they have disobeyed it, multiple times.

Can anyone tell me how I've acted outside the teachings of the Bible? Can anyone tell me what I've done to deserve how I'm being treated?? So far, no one has.

I'm not the only one who feels this way either; your brother and sisters have stood by me throughout this time. So have their significant others. Jake was just at the house yesterday - he and I hung out in the backyard for over a half-hour just chatting away. Vanessa's boyfriend, James, has recently stopped by the house and said that if I ever need to talk to someone I can call him. He then gave me his work schedule.

Yet we're to believe that I'm such a horrible person that Steven cannot work towards a resolution with me? I've been your father for 23 years. You know me and you know where my heart is at. Does any of this make any sense at all?

Steven and Calvary are the only person(s) I am having any problems with, and they refuse to meet and discuss the truth and/or a possible resolution. How does this make any sense at all? I've shown the written history of what's happened the past few months (same as I shared with Calvary) with some family and friends. I've asked them to read it and tell me, as straight up and honest as possible what I did wrong. Other than me raising my voice twice, they cannot find anything I've done wrong. Instead, they have all commented how awful it is that our relationship was ruined without just cause, and by someone twisting my words over and over again. Every. Single. One. Of. Them. I also sent this off to 3 mental health professionals who all said that other than me raising my voice 2 times, they cannot find where I've done anything wrong. They have also said that to have my daughter stop our relationship is inappropriate.

As I've said previously, you and Steven are forgiven. In my prayer time with God, He has shown me that I need to rise up and go higher. He reminded me of the words Jesus spoke moments before dying, and how His Son forgave those who wronged him. Jesus set the standard I strive for - daily.

Calvary, however, is a different story. They are more than just one person making poor decisions; they are a collection of people, claiming they follow Biblical teachings and standards and are good leaders to follow. Yet when I ask them to help bring resolution to a problem, they respond by having an attorney tell me to not contact them anymore and to remain off their property. Does this make any sense? I never spoke disrespectfully to them, ever. I've only been on their property twice to drop off some paperwork (requesting help). You'd almost think I'm being banned for screeching the tires, shouting at people and/or banging on windows, etc. None of that happened - or anything remotely close to that. You know my heart. Both times I acted in a completely respectful manner; drove onto the lot, parked the car, wore a mask, entered the building quietly, left some paperwork, exited the building, walked calmly to my car, and drove away peacefully.

All I did was ask a church for help to resolve a conflict with one of their staff members. And they call their attorney - how does this make any sense at all?? It doesn't.

I am appealing to you to prayerfully consider your stance on this. You are being lied to - repeatedly. I can see it - so can others. By accepting these lies, you are hurting your father. Is this something you're OK with? Are you really, truthfully, OK with watching your father, the man you say you love, go through agony day-and-night because of the lies that are being told? Does it bother you at all that my voice has never been heard - that you've only heard one-side of things all along? Are you comfortable with making life-changing decisions based upon only half of the story, and only hearing one-side of things? Is it acceptable that despite my repeated attempts to make peace, that Steven has not made any effort at all?

I hope someday you will see that what's being said and done is a lie. A calculated lie.

I never questioned whether you love me. I know you do.

And always will.

Ditto,

Dad

As soon as I finished typing out the above email, God said I was to send it, and that I needed to hurry. So I clicked the “Send” button…and waited.

A few moments later I felt like I should go stand in the living room if our house and wait. Not sure what I'm waiting for – but I strive to remain obedient, so I went and stood in the middle of the living room and prayed. An unmarked squad car was driving down our street and somehow I knew they were coming to our house. I continued praying. The car stopped in front of our house. I kept on praying. A deputy exited the car and walked up to our front door. I greeted her kindly and she asked me to verify my name, which I did. She then presented me with a Restraining Order from both Steven Anderson and Brittany Hutchinson.

I can see where Steven would want to do this (more on that in a moment), but my own daughter…a Restraining Order…really?? Oh, no, this is so troubling. Just a few months ago we were hanging out together, Father-daughter having a great time. But then, inexplicably I became a monster that only Steven can see, and has convinced my daughter that it is reality. Interesting that no one else can see any of the traits he is claiming are real. Literally no one else believes him. And they shouldn't – because they're lies!

I had just asked for 6 months away from those two when suddenly a Restraining Order is filed - why? Because Steven no longer had control. He's obviously a control freak. Just further evidence of him being a narcist.

It should also be pointed out that until now I had no idea why we couldn't get together and resolve things – heck, I didn't even know what the issues were! But Steven is claiming he is so afraid of me being violent with him. He even said that one time when I stopped by to offer him forgiveness, that he was so frightened by me knocking on his apartment door, that he contemplated jumping out the 2nd story bedroom window, running away and dialing 911.

If this was even remotely true, why hasn't he reported it? After all, it is a criminal offense;

609.713 THREATS OF VIOLENCE. §Subdivision 1. Threaten violence; intent to terrorize. Whoever threatens, directly or indirectly, to commit any crime of violence with purpose to terrorize another or to cause evacuation of a building, place of assembly, vehicle or facility of public transportation or otherwise to cause serious public inconvenience, or in a reckless disregard of the risk of causing such terror or inconvenience may be sentenced to imprisonment for not more than five years or to payment of a fine of not more than $10,000, or both.

If I am such a huge threat, why hasn't he reported me to the police? Answer: Because he's lying. It's all a fake assertion to steal my daughter from me. To control her. That's how narcissists operate.

Why up until now have neither one of them mentioned anything about the allegations they've made against me? Why couldn't they have mentioned these months ago, and gone to mediation to resolve these issues? Why have they also not mentioned them to anyone else that I know or speak to? No one that I am aware of or speak to had any idea what the problems in our relationship were. I would ask

others what the problems were, and they did not know. Several people asked Brittany, and they were told she did not want to talk about it. So, how are we supposed to resolve issues if we don't talk about them? Why the secrecy until they dropped all these lies onto me like an avalanche of hate?

All along Brittany led me along to believe she wanted a relationship with me. And so I kept trying, and trying, and trying some more. Just several weeks prior to my being served the Restraining Orders, Brittany sent me a hand-written note saying, in-part that she was praying for softened hearts so we could have a relationship. If she or Steven did not want anything more to do with me, they could have said something. But they didn't – neither one of them ever mentioned anything along those lines. All they had to say was they didn't want a relationship with me and I would have gone away. With a broken heart, of course. But they are adults and can make that choice for themselves. But they never said anything like that at all. And so, they waited to file a Restraining Order against me and drop dozens of hateful lies onto me.

I will say this; when I first began reading the Restraining Orders, God told me to just put them down – do not read them, at least not yet. He very clearly told me that the words contained therein were a product of the Spirit of Jealousy – the Spirit of Spite – and the Spirit of Hate. He then instructed me to not focus on those evil spirits, but instead I am to focus on the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit. (Amen!)

Their wedding is on June 19th, 2021. The following day is Father's Day. Coincidence? I don't think so. It is my belief that Steven had a big influence on this day being picked, knowing full well his intentions to lie about me and eventually file a Restraining Order. In this way, on his first full day of being married to my daughter he can privately gloat about how he stole her from me, and for the first time in her life she won't be wishing me a Happy Father's Day. Evil? Oh, yes, this is the epitome of it!

If Steven truly loved my daughter, he would put her happiness ahead of his own. Same as I've done for my wife during the past 32 years, (3 years of dating, 29 years of marriage). If he made her happiness a priority, our issues could be resolved. But he hasn't. How can a reasonable person believe he really loves her at all? This is an incredibly sad situation, and there are no winners. None.

I know one thing for sure – despite having forgiven them, that does not mean I have to put myself in a position where I can be hurt again. For that reason, Steven must not call me "Dad" and I will not call him "Son". I will not accept him as part of my family. The family I created (literally). At least not until he apologizes for all these evil acts and shows he can be trusted.

In view of everything he has done to hurt me, my blessing is now off this wedding.

His loss, literally. I had previously texted him saying, "You lost far more than you gained." He doesn't know about the contract I was going to offer him (mentioned earlier in this post).

Steven's so-called "victory" will be short-lived. God will give me justice and will raise me up – he will see that God's hand is upon me. Evil can appear as an angel of light, but inside of them is darkness – that describes Steven.

God will bring him to his very knees. His jealousy, spite and hate will be exposed!

God said it – I believe it – that settles it!

It's interesting to note that neither Brittany's mom or I have met with Steven's parents before the wedding. We have not spoken with them on the phone, either. No communication between us at all. I literally do not even know his parents names. Brittany's mom did not meet either of them until the day of the wedding - and there has been no communication since. Is it just me, or does any one else find that to be really odd??

The only mention he ever made of us potentially meeting his parents was when he said that his mom might be coming into town and would be here to plan the wedding. I mentioned that my wife is the mother of the bride and she should be involved. His reply was that his mom would be doing the planning.

Court hearing

I attended the court hearing by way a zoom video. Their attorney suggested that I allow them to have th restraining order and the court would not find any fault. He said this is what Brittany wants. I agreed and the court referee accepted this. As part of the agreement, I would take down the DirtySteven.com website. I actually did that before meeting with the referee - and in fact had cancelled website hosting the week prior. Was paid through the first part of July and that's when the website would go down completely. Another component was that I am able to send Brittany a letter through her attorney. Began composing that letter shortly afterwards.

About a week later I was served the final order.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Steven Filing 1 | Steven Filing 2 | Steven Final |
| Brittany Filing | Brittany Final | |

June 4th I emailed the letter to Brittany's attorney.

Dear Brittany,

Amazing how he has you believing that after 22 years of being an amazing father, that somehow, I have become a monster. Apparently, he has super-powers because only he can see that…and he has convinced you that his fantasy is real. I am so grateful and thankful for my children who know my heart and have stood by my side, unwavering during these difficult times. They will always have a special place in my heart. I have proven to them, over the decades, that I always put my family first. Always. They will not just give up on me when someone tells a lie. They came to me and asked what my side of the story was. I shared my heart and truth as best as possible, which again confirmed that I have put this family first, even when it caused me tremendous pain (and even resulted in my being hospitalized.) They are my family, and I am so proud of them!

All I have been doing has been to try and resolve things through making the truth known. His response has been to escalate his lies and twisting of the truth to cover his previous lies. He has done so by making even the slightest ant hill seem like a ginormous mountain. He has scrutinized every single step I have taken and found fault with it - even if that means twisting the truth, or outright lying. Quick example: For weeks I had been coming home and placing my handgun on the top railing for a few minutes (since the riots, doing this made your mom feel safe), entering the kitchen and unpacking my lunch bag, then taking the handgun and putting it away in the bedroom. For weeks this was a daily routine (unless someone was walking down the street towards the park when I got home - in that case I waited until later to bring it into the house, when no one was around.) One day when he was over, he noticed the gun on the railing, and automatically assumed it was there to intimidate him. That is what he stated in the Restraining Order. Again, just a small example of how he has twisted things to fit his agenda. An agenda to strip us out of each other's lives. And you just caved him and let him get away with this. That makes me feel like I really do not matter to you - it seems you just gave up so easily.

Here I am fighting to get you back and you are blindly going along with his evil plan. He has been avoiding the truth being told. He does not want mediation because he knows the truth will come out and his evil deeds with be exposed. That is also the reason why he continues to keep you isolated from me. He is afraid of the truth. That also explains why he blatantly lied to Calvary about me; so they would not help with mediation, and instead have a lawyer ask me to stay away. I am no threat to them whatsoever. Yet he has them thinking I am such a threat they have supposedly hired additional security. That is how far he will go to cover his lies. They are a CHURCH - I am a PASTOR. They are my brothers

and sisters in Christ. I would never go there and commit any sort of act of violence. Not ever. I only went to them because previously when we were going to have mediation, someone from the church was going to be the mediator. This was in no way an attempt to have him fired - they are a church; they are supposed to help people. I went there seeking their help in resolving things. Had he worked at any other place besides a church I would have never contacted them. But he twisted this also to make it seem I was going there to somehow attack him. More lies on top of the other lies.

Remember when I said let us take a 6-month break. That took control away from him, Once I took control away, he felt a need to take it back. That is the real reason for the Restraining Order. Why file that if I am going away for 6 months? Control. The only thing I did during that time was to come over and attempt to tell you both that you are loved and forgiven. Just one time and you would never hear from me again for at least 6 months. This is about control - he wants to control, and I am in his way. Even worse; he has you believing that I am a threat and/or danger to you. Above all else that especially troubles me!! Let me be perfectly clear on this one point: I love you. More than life itself. There is no way, and I mean literally zero chance I would ever physically hurt you. Ever. Never. You are absolutely one of the greatest things to ever happen in my life. Period. Even the mere thought of any type of harm to you is so repulsive it makes me ill to my stomach. Literally. I know that you have been emotionally hurt - some of that is likely my fault. For that I wholeheartedly apologize. I truly do. It was never my intention in any way, shape, or form to cause you any kind of pain. None whatsoever. That is a promise. A solid promise from a father to his precious daughter. I pinky swear.

I think this has been tremendously difficult for you. After all, it is not normal to become engaged, then need therapy. What's next, medication? He has painted a picture of a fairy tale, but after the wedding he will slowly turn it into something else. There are literally thousands of stories exactly like this on the internet about guys like him. Everything seemed almost magical. No way could he ever turn out like all the others, he special, he different, he really loves me - then they changed. And the ladies who were lucky and escaped, ended up just another statistic with a sad story to tell and deep emotional scars. Sadly, I believe you will see this firsthand. Once you walk down the aisle and I am not next to you, the door of opportunity to resolve things with him closes. After that time, I will never acknowledge him as my son-in-law. He will never call me "dad". I will never have any type of relationship with him. Something else is going to happen after the wedding: my response. It will then be my turn, and I will expose his lies and evil ways. You see, I have been patiently waiting to see how far he would take things – and all the while I have been trying to resolve this (apologizing, offering forgiveness, seeking mediation, etc.) I've been patient, very patient, for a good reason; I want to be sure that I've given every chance possible to resolve this another way. I don't want to think about the "What if's" afterwards, wondering if there was another chance to settle this.

Filing the Restraining Order was enough to show me there isn't another way – I'm left with literally no choice. This entire time he has only done one thing: continue playing his game. So, remember this phrase: "He Treated Me Like a Game - Now I'm Going to Show Him How It's Played." I am fairly certain your lawyer is also reading this, so here's the disclaimer (as I've stated over and over and over again, repeatedly since this conflict began...) Nothing stated here is meant to infer or condone any illegal activities, or anything of a violent nature. I am a law-abiding citizen and will comply with all laws, including the Restraining Order. Step #1 is to file a lawsuit against Steven, and possibly Calvary. He will have to answer questions during the lawsuit proceedings, and the truth will come out! There will be other (legal) events that will happen as well. Again, all fully legal and in compliance with the Restraining Order. But that does not make them any less effective.

My entire goal is to show you, my beautiful daughter, the lies he has been telling. As I've stated so many times before; I just want the truth revealed! I will not stop until that happens. For the rest of my life I will not rest until you know the truth. I promise you this. As these events unfold, you will reach a point in time when you realize that you joined the wrong team. When that happens, come home. No judgement, no 'I told you so' comments, no holding a grudge. Nothing but love is waiting for you here. That is a real father to daughter promise. We all make mistakes in life (myself included), and it is comforting to know we have a family that will take us back, no matter what. Because that's how real families roll. His real battle is not with me, it is with himself. He clearly does not know how to express himself or his emotions in a healthy way and as a result, pushed me to my limits – then blamed me for the outcome; all the while lying about what he has done to cause the problem(s) to begin with. Then, in order for you to remain in a relationship with him, you had to hurt someone; me. Are you happy with that? Seems fair, right? I mean all you have to do is treat your dad like crap after he made you a priority in his life for 23 years. And did anything and everything he possibly could for you. Here's a hint: if you have to give that up to be with someone, then you're with the wrong guy! Especially if he's lying to keep you away from your own dad.

During all of this time he has not once shown any care or compassion towards me. Zip. Zilch. Nada. Nothing. How is this Christian behavior? Answer: it's not! I've offered love, forgiveness and multiple opportunities to resolve this. He's offered nothing except lies in an attempt to exacerbate things. Again, that is not Christian. At all. But somehow, he just turns things around in your mind to make me the bad guy. Again, it's amazing how awesome of a relationship we had, until he came along. I now present to you some answers to the false claims made in the Restraining Order. In the interest of brevity, I've not covered everything. However, if there is something you would like to know, share that with your attorney and I'll answer through him.

Love Dad.

I have a challenge for you. Read this alone and do not let Steven read this. It was agreed upon in court that I got to share a letter with you. But just you. I want you to read this with your heart and meditate on it.

My Response to Your Order

The Kyle Situation Kyle was Vanessa's ex, who assaulted her. After he assaulted her, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. I called Kyle, and he started to verbally assault me so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified myself as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. At that point I felt the need to defend myself, and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I responded, "Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left.

He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical – and I learned that in the future I would insist on calling the police and letting them handle the situation. The Phone Calls to Steven It was alleged that I made an increasing number of harassing calls to Steven. But I have checked my phone records, and they show that I have called Steven a total of 4 times ever. Not in a week, a month, but ever. 4 times.

Acting Out? It's claimed that I, at one time, were stomping, slamming cabinets, and slamming doors, and raising my voice while talking about steven. I only raised my voice to you once about Steven, but only after he treated me several times very disrespectfully.

I was so disappointed in myself for having raised my voice to you (something I've not done before) that I slapped the doorway as I walked out of the kitchen. I cannot slam the doors in our kitchen, there are none. I cannot slam the kitchen cabinets as they have easy close stoppers. I never stomp my feet as I am not a child.

Inappropriate Use of My Firearm? You said I "placed the firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment towards Steven." The truth of the matter is that I have been placing my firearm there for weeks, based on a request from your mom. It made her feel safer. Placing my firearm on the banister became an everyday routine for me.

After what happened in Minneapolis with George Floyd and the protests and then the protests threatening to go to the suburbs, it made your mom feel safer to have it visible at times when I got home from work. Again, she literally asked me to do this! One day while Steven is over he sees the handgun in its usual place, and made an incorrect assumption. Later it's claimed that I went to the

garage to retrieve my firearm and returned looking very angry. This is not true. I am very careful when retrieving my firearm from the car. In fact, for 20+ years, you didn't even know I had one. It was only after the events in Minneapolis that I started to display my firearm – and only at the specific request of your mom.

Over the years, I have been so discreet, that whenever there are people anywhere around (going to the park, out walking, working in their yard, etc.), I will wait until later to retrieve the firearm from the car so I can be as to be as safe and discreet as possible. We have lived in that house for 22 years and our neighbors have never seen me carrying it. I only have it out in the open inside the house, and only for a short period of time. I have since asked your mom if she was okay with me being completely discreet and she said yes.

I have never waved this gun around; I have never used this firearm to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie and crazy. I made it to 60 without a criminal record, but Steven wants to paint me as this crazy man with a gun. The second time that I raised my voice, I did not know you were even home, I thought you had left with Steven. And when I did raise my voice, it lasted maybe 15 seconds. Your mom was at the top of the stairs and I was by the door. I raised my voice towards your mom about the frustrations I was feeling with this situation. And I was shouting towards her, but not at her. After the 15 seconds had passed, your mom came and gave me a hug and said "I can see that this is clearly hurting you" She said "Let's go make supper together and have a glass of wine"; to which I responded with "Okay, the things Steven are doing and saying are bothering me."

Within 10 minutes, your mom and I were in the kitchen laughing and joking about Facebook memes. You then claim I went to the car and returned with the gun, still visibly angry. That's a lie. (It should also be noted that I have not raised my voice at all since then. Not once, under any circumstances. Again, just trying to make me appear to be a bad person, which I am not.) In the order it says, "The presence of his firearm in several incidences, along with his emotional instability, and refusal to respect boundaries that I've set in our relationship concern me that this will continue to escalate and that the result may involve his firearm and Steven or I"

To that I say: First of all to talk about boundaries- the only way I have stepped over boundaries is to try to resolve this. That's all that I have been doing for months. The display of my handgun, again, was because of your mom's request. That has nothing to do with the situation between you, Steven and I. Nothing. Other than raising my voice twice, there has been no outward signs of aggression or anything being escalated. This is all a complete fabrication. Yes, this is a bold face lie. All I've been trying to do, repeatedly, is to have the truth come out. I believe the truth doesn't mind being questioned, whereas lies want to hide. (Sound familiar?) There's a reason Steven does not want mediation or to resolve

things; because his lies will be exposed. So, he pretends to be afraid and paint me as a violent person to avoid the truth coming out.

The Night You Moved Out You went to a friend's house and a few days later moved out. The night you moved, you came back because you forgot something. I was in the bedroom with your mom. I heard something moving around in the house, so I went out into the hallway to see what was going on. When I noticed it was you I said hi. You gave me a hug and said "I love you so much dad." I said "I love you too." I asked if you were okay, and you said yes. I then said, "It's kind of weird because this is the first time you have moved and I didn't help you." You smiled and said, "Yeah, it is kind of weird." YOU SMILED AND SAID YEAH IT'S KINDA WEIRD. You said you had to go, and I said, "Okay, have a good night."

This is the day you moved out because you were supposedly afraid of me. How does that say you are afraid of me?

The Last Page It's interesting that when Steven came up with this order, in his evidence, he left out the last page of my website where it talks about you guys being loved and forgiven. LOVED AND FORGIVEN. But that would go against his claim that I am a crazed, violent man. That doesn't fit his agenda to make you think I am this horrible violent person. What's interesting is that no one other than Steven thinks I am a violent person. Sadly, he has convinced you this lie is real. I spend time with both Jake and James and there's literally no problems between us at all. None. In fact, they have both said they would write letters in support of me. They both heard the Kyle story and are not afraid of me at all – because there's literally no reason to be. None. That's all a fabrication of Steven's.

I now have over 15 people who are close to me, all willing to write letters stating they have never seen any of the behavior Steven is alleging, and that they simply do not believe any of it is true. How many do you have? I'm not a betting man, but if I was, my bet would be there are zero people to back up his claim(s). Because they are lies.

The Therapy Session You approached me to go to therapy with you. Before you, your therapist, and I got together for a meeting, the therapist wanted to get together with me alone in a zoom type meeting. She was rude, condescending, and I really did not feel as though she cared about me or resolving anything. It was very frustrating talking to her.

Nonetheless, I said any therapy sessions I was involved in I would pay for and gave her my credit card number so you would not be burdened with that. What no one, not even the therapist knows is that I was not alone in that room. When the session with myself and the therapist was scheduled, I asked your mom to be in the room with me. She sat off to one side, out of view to the camera. She witnessed how stressful this was for me. Then, at the time you and I had our session with the therapist, it was immediately stressful again. I felt as though the therapist was condescending from the beginning. My only goal for that session was to tell you that I loved you and to validate your pain. I know you've been

hurt, and it's incredibly painful to see you go through this. The therapist interrupted me repeatedly. When I didn't recite things back exactly, it seemed she was a bit condescending. Half the time I didn't look at the screen as it was too hard to see the pain on your face.

I didn't even get to say anything what I really wanted to say; that I love you and to validate your feelings. At one point in time, I muted the microphone then I leaned forward to cry. You may have thought I got up and walked around the room, but I was bent over forward crying into my hands. I truly and sincerely hurt throughout this session. Having you out of my life hurts more than my brothers dying – and still does to this very day. At this point it was clear that my message of love was not going to be conveyed to you, I became frustrated, rambled on about something and left the meeting abruptly. I think I mentioned not knowing if I wanted a relationship with you, but what I really meant was for a temporary amount of time. (P.S. I did not slam my laptop closed – this laptop is too expensive to be slammed shut.) With the thought of that session fresh in my mind. I ended up in a park later that night with a gun to my head. That's the reason I had suicidal ideations; the pain on your face was more than I could handle.

Word Choices I notice that throughout the order, words were used to misdescribe the situations. "RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP, SCREAMING". This is nothing more than character assassination. Can someone name even one person who's actually witnessed me doing anything like that? I didn't think so - because it's not true.

Trying to Get an Answer I have been trying repeatedly for months to hear what Steven and your complaints/problems/concerns were, what the problems were. And no one has told me anything. It was only when I got the restraining order that I was informed of the problems. Not once before that did I know truly what the problems were as neither of you had told me. I had called for a 6 month break, and immediately, the 2 of you filed for a restraining order. Was this because Steven thought he was losing control? The only thing I did within that 6 months was to come to you to tell you were loved and forgiven. And now I hear that Steven thought about jumping out his 2nd story window and call 911 all because he heard the story of Kyle. Meanwhile, Jake, James and I are hanging out having a good time.

Clarifications So let's talk about that story again, it was told twice in one year. Both times Vanessa started to tell the story. Both times I finished it quickly. After the second time, I leaned over to Vanessa and said "Please let's not tell this story again" And she responded with "but I like to brag about you" And I said "okay thank you but let's leave this story in the past" and she said "okay dad I love you" and I said "I love you too". Both of these times, James and Jake were present. And neither of them felt threatened by this. I did not tell this one on one with Steven. It was told in a group setting. I have since hung out many times with Jake and James. James has even shared his working schedule with me so I can call before/after he is off work to chat. Neither of them feel threatened by me at all. You say that on February 16th, I came home and discovered Steven's laundry, I immediately responded with anger and

rage. That is not true. It was an hour later, and it was in the presence of your mom, lasting about 15 seconds, as mentioned before. I was upset, but there was no “rage”. Again, character assassination.

My Military Record Charlie was the name given to me in the military as a result of having an elevated security clearance. I served courageously, and sometimes heroically. I was honorably discharged. My military records are now sealed. That’s all anyone needs to know. As I made it clear over the years, I really don’t want to talk about my service to our country and I avoid the topic. Owatonna There is a solid, logical reason behind this event. If you heard the reason you would immediately realize it’s not what it seemed at first glance. However, I am unable to write about this, and can only share this with you verbally. Perhaps some day down the road when we can speak again. I only ask that you trust me on this.

I Have Doubts Did you even write this yourself? There are at least 5 times that it is copied and pasted from Steven’s order. Also, one thing I find interesting is that when the question is asked “Do you believe that the harassment will continue?” You respond with “The respondent has made several claims that he ‘resigns’ or is done with the ‘conflict’ yet he has continued to produce more emails, the website, etc.. There seems to be no indication this will end. He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany’s on 4/28/21.” This is word for word copied from Steven’s order. How much of any of this did you even write yourself? Do you even know what half your order against me says? And up until now, all I have been doing is trying to resolve this. At least I’m trying. That’s more than Steven and you have done collectively. Yet every single time I try to resolve things, Steven turns it around to make it seem like I am a violent person and a threat to him.

What’s even worse, is because of his lies, you think that I am a threat to yourself. As a father I would never be violent towards any one of my kids, ever. That’s nonnegotiable in my mind. I’m Not Violent I was going to take the restraining order to trial, until your attorney told me that this is what you wanted personally. At that point in time, I asked myself “Why am I trying to fix anything?” I just want to be able to go to bed at night, knowing I have done everything I possibly could to resolve this matter peacefully. And the only reason it hasn’t been, is because of Steven’s lies and twisting of the truth.

To date, you have not seen me respond to the damage he has done, but you will. What’s especially troubling is the fact I have to add a disclaimer to everything I write now which states “Everything I do will be accomplished by legal means” all because of Steven's lies. You know I’m not a violent person. Again, 60 years old, no criminal record. That wouldn’t be possible if I was such a violent person. I’m this amazing father until Steven comes around and it's like Dr. Jekyll & Mr. Hyde that only he can see. As it only exists in his mind. And he has you convinced it's real. No one else can see it. Why? It's not real or true. There is literally no one else that I know who thinks this of me or believes any of these lies being told. That alone speaks volumes.

How I Have Handled this Emotionally You say in your order “The respondent has shown an inability to control and regulate his emotions. He has lived out delusions of a violent nature.” (Again, this is copy and pasted right from Steven’s order) This has been a very emotional time for me, which it would be for any father that has their daughter unfairly stripped from their life. Considering the depth of this tragedy, aside from the regrettable evening that I held a gun to my head, I feel as though I have handled this quite well. As far as the reference of violence, this is only an illusion in Steven’s mind, that he has gotten you to believe. No one else in my life believes this whatsoever. They have seen no signs of violence in me. Why? It is simply not real, it is just lies. How else do you explain that I went from an amazing dad, who has done a tremendous amount of work preventing bullying in schools, has chaperoned dances and prom for more than 25 years, and even done volunteer work at a hospice center sitting with dying people who have no family or friends to sit by their side, just so that they feel loved and cared for in their last moments, to a crazy homicidal maniac who threatens violence all in just a few months? And only Steven can see it. Maybe there’s another answer? Maybe he’s jealous of our relationship and set out to ruin it? That’s a more likely answer in my eyes.

An Epic Storm Let’s talk about some of the things I’ve mentioned- starting with an epic storm. This is a real thing. I’ve done two so far in my life. Everything I do is completely legal in nature. We have a wonderful legal system in this country that helps defend innocent people like myself. Without going into too many details, suffice it to say, the first person ended up in prison. After which time I started dating his wife, and every month for a year, we would mail him a picture of us together. How do you know this is true? I was living with her when I met your mom. For the second time, a man threatened our family. He was well respected in the community, had a 6 figure job, a nice home and a family. In a very short period of time, again using all legal means, he lost all of that. Afterwards, sitting alone in a motel outside of town, he called me and said “It’s over, you won!” I said “No, it’s not over. There are 3 more steps left.” He begged, “Please, no. I have 2 daughters.” I responded, “If you ever come near my family again, or come near our home again, I will finish what I started.” He responded, “I’m gone.” Want to know if this is true? Ask your mom, she knows. You see here again that Steven is twisting things to seem like I'm this crazy, violent man. But I know how to defend my family using legal means. I prefer to live in peace, which is why I use the phrase “If you want peace, prepare for war.” This just means to be prepared to defend yourself against people who want to take that peace away, which I am fully prepared to do through legal means. Steven has been rude to me, disrespectful, twisting my words, and pulling you out of my life. “Prepare for war” simply meant to stop what he was doing or prepare for legal consequences. The “Epic Storm'' and “Prepare for war” was a deterrent to stop him from doing what he was doing, which he didn’t.

My Books Let's set the record straight. Steven had heard that I had written several books. I said I had. He said he would be interested in reading them. So, I went into the other room and got a copy of each for him. He said “Oh okay.” And we changed the subject. It was after that we hung out and he mentioned

he read my books. He only wanted to talk about the miracles in 'Casting Out Doubt', not my memoir. You know all the good things that have come from writing this book. I have given out hundreds of copies and no one has ever felt threatened by it.

Final Thought About Your Order And lastly, your restraining order was centered around a claim of domestic violence. I (regrettably) raised my voice around you, but how is that violent? The answer; it is not. It doesn't even rise to the level of bullying. Therefore, your restraining order was based around a false premise to begin with. I think it was filed that way just for dramatic effect. That rises to the level of bearing false witness against your neighbor, just like Steven's. My Response to Steven's Order He says that I am unable to control my emotional response. Unable to control my emotional response? He was trying to talk down to me, and I was telling him to not talk down to me. He was being disrespectful to me. He is a 25-year-old man and I am a 60- year-old father. He does not have the right to talk down to me. From the beginning, all along his goal was to control me. If he can't control me, he wants to make me look like a terrible person.

Every time I have tried to stand up for myself, he has turned it around to seem like I am aggressive or violent. He was also rude to Alex, Vanessa, and my friends Heather and Henry. They all told me independently that Steven acted very rudely towards them, and all 4 are willing to make written statements about how poorly he treated them. Interestingly enough, Alex and Vanessa do not know Heather and Henry, so there was not, nor could be, any collusion.

My Letters to the Church About my letter to the church and that it was a threat to him. I went to the church to ask them politely and calmly to ask if they would help resolve this peacefully and without conflict. Along with the letter, I included the history of the challenges we have faced in our relationship. This documentation included emails and texts we had sent between each. Nowhere in any of the documentation did it reference any violence or threats. This was sent to the senior pastor only, in confidentiality, and he never responded. Anyone reading this letter would ask "How is this defamatory?" Steven twisted things around, again. As for the second letter, the senior pastor did not respond, so this was addressed to the elders at the church. Nowhere in any communication do I ask to terminate his employment. All I have ever asked was that they get involved in the conflict. As far as showing up "unannounced", I left 2 voicemails for the senior pastor the week before. As far as me being "uninvited", I went into the lobby, rang the buzzer, and asked if I could leave the package there. I left the package in the "Leave Deliveries Here" area (the same as UPS or FedEx would). I then went back out to my car peacefully. Also "uninvited"? How can someone not be invited to a church?"

P.S. At the time I wrote this letter, there were markers that were off that led doctors to believe that I might have cancer. I had to go through numerous tests, including a colonoscopy. Along the way, the real problem was discovered after I was taken to the emergency room by my wife after being in excruciating pain one night. Once there, after going through some more tests, they discovered the real problem. For

privacy reasons I will not disclose what the problem is. I have since been undergoing treatment (and as of June 4th, 2021 continue to be under the care of doctors for this condition). In conclusion, there was a serious concern that I had cancer. Fortunately, it was not cancer, but is still of great concern, and I am thankful for the medical community in helping through this difficult time.

I say in the letter that I am prepared to make some bold moves. I went to the church to ask them to help. I wanted to get mediation.

Bold moves- asking you over to have cheesesteaks and talk about what each other needs to resolve the issues. Nowhere have I threatened anyone.

The Website The website was intended as a warning. Nothing more than a warning. Yet again he has twisted things around to seem that I am going to use violence against him, even though I clearly state that everything will be done in a legal manner and not a violent one. I also had the website coded so that search engines will not pull it up or catalog it. I did that intentionally to protect privacy. It was a warning that if he continues or tries to pull anything else like what he has done to me, the site would go live. Even the screenshot Steven used in the filing says, “To be perfectly clear, everything listed above will be accomplished through legal means, I do not condone violence or any illegal activities. I am an otherwise peaceful person who has been unfairly put in a position of having to fight back for his family.” How does that say that I am a violent man? It doesn’t!

His Claim about My Gun His whole claim about me, my gun, and him- it is baseless. All these allegations of me using my gun for a violent purpose is something he invented in his own mind. And he has caused undue concern to that church, both campuses, because of his lies. Name one time that he has seen the handgun in my hand. He has never! He is doing this to get my daughter out of my life, period.

FYI About a week before we had our court meeting, I spoke to David (your ex). He said when you and Steven first broke up, you started texting and calling him trying to get back with him. Does this mean Steven is forever your second choice? He also mentioned the issue with Alex Rodriguez. But the bottom line here is that David clearly said that I treated him wonderfully and it was truly a sad day when we said good-bye for the last time. I honestly wish you were marrying David, or someone like him. He is awesome.

On June 7th, 2021 I sent an email to the attorney representing Calvary Church. This is what it said:

Dear Mr. Lutz,

I have received the notice, have honored it and will continue to do so. I recently became aware of the allegations being made against me which are horrible and unfounded. Is there a possibility of presenting my side of this ordeal? If your client, Calvary Church, would be open to a meeting with me, it can be at a place and time of their choice. The only goal is to clear my name and I come in a spirit of peace and truth. The Bible says we should choose a good name rather than riches, and I would appreciate an opportunity to prove to your client the truth.

Respectfully,

Tim Hutchinson

651-724-1325

david@lutzlawfirm.com

Tue, Jun 8, 12:29 PM

to me

Tim,

I have advised my client not to meet and discuss due to the threat of litigation. My client has received and reviewed your written communication.

David A. Lutz

Lutz Law Firm

120 South 6th Street, Suite 1550

Minneapolis, MN 55402

612-424-2110

david@lutzlawfirm.com

www.lutzlawfirm.com

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com>

Attachments

Wed, Jun 9, 12:03 PM (3 days ago)

to david

David,

All along I've stated my desire to avoid any legal proceedings. Sad day when Christians cannot come together and resolve an issue. Attached is a letter I wrote to my daughter in response to a Restraining Order. Would appreciate you reviewing it and forwarding it to your client, Calvary Church. I'd like them to know these things, My goal has always been to have the truth told.

Regards,

Tim Hutchinson

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com>

Wed, Jun 9, 12:19 PM (3 days ago)

to David

P.S. In the attachment there are the names Jake and James. It would be helpful to know that Jake is engaged to my oldest daughter, and James is dating my youngest daughter. The church might also be interested in knowing that 2 of Brittany's 3 siblings won't attend the wedding, and no one from my side of the family will be there either. The reasons are the same; they are shocked at the lies Steven told about me.

Regards,

Tim Hutchinson

To date there has been no response.

Got a letter in the mail from the Sheriff's office which says I have to turn in my concealed carry permit. Made a copy of the letter and mailed that along with my permit back to them.

June 11th, 2021. Brittany sends me a message through her mom to cancel her phone. We pay for her phone, car insurance and medical insurance. If they're trying to save money, why would they give up a free phone? Now Alex and Vanessa don't have her phone number. More isolation from the family!

Letter to Brittany (sent through her attorney) – August 22, 2021. Note: she never responded.

Hi Brittany,

Been a while and I wanted you to know that I think about you every day. Literally.

Not surprising considering how much I love you.

Sometimes I ask mom how your headaches are - truly feel badly that you have

those so much. Wish there was something that could be done.

A goal of mine is to lose weight. There is a lady on Facebook who's always sharing

pics of others who are losing a lot of weight. I mean, their transformation is

incredible. So, I reached out to her and she let me know about the plan. Talked

with your mom and she said that she'd join as well to be supportive of my goal. After the first week I lost 7 lbs! Out of curiosity I looked up the ingredients to the pre-packaged foods we were eating. Turns out every meal has a lot of calcium in That's bad for me due to being very prone to kidney stones (yikes!) So now am off the plan - however, your mom was/is losing weight and decided to remain on So, it worked out for her and I'm happy about that. I going to figure something else out - likely all salads and daily trips to the gym :-) Won't end up looking like a body-builder, but that's OK, I just want to look in the mirror and be comfortable with how I look.

So, your mom and I have been discussing my retirement, and decided it can happen in November of 2022. It's going to be so weird waking up and not having to run off to a job. I've been working over 40 years now - most of it in the same industry. I hope things are worked out by then so my whole family can come to the retirement party. That would mean a lot! After retirement I'm going to increase the amount of volunteering I do and will likely start up again at the hospice. If I ever become a grandpa, I'll open up "Grandpa Day Care" for my grandkids (free of course!)

The ministry is getting closer to launching. My gosh, it's been a long time in the making, right?! But it WILL happen! I feel blessed that we hired a consulting firm - they have helped the ministry move forward by leaps and bounds. If things continue going forward at the pace they're on now, the launch could happen as soon as next month. Otherwise, October would be the absolute latest. Just thinking about all the people this ministry will reach for Christ quite literally gets

me teary-eyed. This has been at the forefront of my daily efforts for months and months. When it finally happens, I'll be overcome with joy!!

Once the ministry is launched, there is something I've been wanting to do for a long time; fill out the "My Dad - in his own words" book you gave me. It sits on a ledge in front of my desk, and I look at it every day. If you ever have children, I hope you'll let them read it. I want them to know how much I love my kids, and how much joy they brought into my life, despite the challenges.

That's it for now. Going back to copywriting and moving through the action steps my coach gave me.

Love you,

Dad

September 2021.

I am considering hiring an attorney to sue Steven for the damages. Contacted the attorney who represented him and Brittany in the restraining order to see if he was still representing him (them.) This is the email exchange we had:

Hello Mr. Van Loh,

Checking to see if you are still representing Steven Anderson? I ask because I would like to give him a chance to settle our disagreement. Starting in October I will begin searching for an attorney. Once I hire legal representation, this window of opportunity will be closed.

Thank you for your attention to this matter,

Tim Hutchinson

Tim, Thank you for your email. Yes, I am still representing Steven Anderson. I appreciate your reaching out to me in an attempt to settle your disagreement. Can you please clarify for me which disagreement you wish to settle with Steven so that I can apprise him accordingly? What is your proposal for resolution or request of Steven?

I look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you,

Dan

Hello Mr. Van Loh,

My goal is a relationship with my daughter Brittany, and healing for broken relationships in my family; most notably her siblings that do not have any contact with her. As a father, this is heartbreaking to see.

Arbitration seems like a reasonable move. Right now, mediation would not offer a resolution I'd be happy with - I need accountability for past actions. At this time I cannot simply "kiss-and-makeup". My family, Brittany included, deserves to know whether Steven's accusations against me are accurate or not.

If he/they are agreeable to arbitration, then I further suggest we split the cost of the arbitration services. If the ruling is in my favor, then they should request the court to dismiss the restraining order, so Brittany and I can go to counseling to try and heal our relationship.

At this time I am not open to having a relationship with Steven. If things work out to where Brittany and I are back on speaking terms, then I can be sociable with Steven, but nothing more.

Awaiting their response,

Tim Hutchinson

Tim,

Thank you for the explanation. I'm not sure that reconciliation of a father-daughter relationship for and adult daughter is something that can be arbitrated. The accusations to which you refer have not been adjudicated because you agreed to accept the HRO without a hearing and without admitting anything. Hence, there is no public sharing of the allegations, unless you have shared Steven and Brittany's affidavits with others, otherwise the allegations remain between you and them. On this note, can you please verify that you have removed the public website that contained your disparaging comments about Steven? This was required as part of the HRO.

I'm sorry that I cannot offer a better solution, Tim. I'm sure this is difficult. I'm happy to speak with an attorney who contacts me on your behalf, but there is simply not a legal process through the HRO to force relational reconciliation with an adult child. This is not to say that there is never a hope for healing and reconciliation, just not through the legal process.

Thank you,

Dan

Mr. Van Loh,

The website you are referring to was offline before the conclusion of the HRO hearing. In fact, I had already notified the hosting company of my intent to cancel hosting prior to being originally served.

My intent in reaching out to you was to see if there was a way to handle this situation without my retaining a lawyer and suing Steven. Once I proceed in that direction there is no turning back, and it will become a publicly known matter. I firmly maintain that I am a victim and am innocent of all accusations of Steven. It is only out of a desire to peacefully restore a relationship with my daughter that I extend this one-time offer to settle things before hiring an attorney.

Regards,

Tim,

What are the damages that you are alleging and what is the legal basis for any claims? Are you just referring to the allegations in Steven's HRO petition because these allegations were repeated by Brittany

as well.  I'm still trying to understand what you feel that Steven has done that can be remedied for me to see if there is a solution.

Can you clarify this for me?

Dan,

As for the damages, let's start with the relationship between Brittany and I. Next there is the wedding I did not get to attend, and did not walk my daughter down the aisle. There's also the suicide attempt for which I ended up in the hospital. Other relationships have been affected, and so has my job. There have been other deep impacts on myself as well. This has been a living nightmare. And all as a result of Steven's lies.

The allegations in Steven's HRO are a lie. They are repeated in Brittany's because it appears they were copied from Steven's. At one point in Brittany's HRO it refers to "Brittany and I..." I'm convinced Brittany went along blindly with this and doesn't really know what the HRO says.

Let me be perfectly clear; I fully intend to take him to court and sue for damages resulting from his lies. It is only because I am a peaceful person that this opportunity to handle things outside a courtroom is being presented. With all due respect to you, sir, I really don't want to go back-and-forth through email with you like this. Either they want an opportunity to resolve this between us, or they are sticking with their story and not willing to move forward towards a resolution.

Thank you,

Tim Hutchinson

Tim,

Thank you for the clarification.  I will discuss your proposal with Steven and get back to you.  I just needed to be sure what the legal issues are so that I can advise him.  Feel free to check back with me next week if I don't get back to you by next Thursday.

Mr. Van Loh,

Thank you. Hope you have an enjoyable weekend.

Kind regards,

Tim

Mr. Van Loh,

Checking to see if ther are any updates?

Tim

Mr. Van Loh responded saying that Steven does not want reconciliation.

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Nothing more notable happened until the summer of 2023 (other than a visit to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office – see note at the bottom about this visit). It was then that my youngest sister, who knew the HRO was about to expire, suggested that she take some action. She was doing this with good intentions. Just a few months prior, her only daughter had suddenly and unexpectedly passed away at the age of 40. I stood by her throughout this horrible ordeal. She wanted to find a way to help me with the situation concerning my daughter, Brittany. Her idea was to form a peaceful protest at Calvary church. And continue doing so until they agreed to do a proper investigation. I initially said no, don't do anything. But she was insistent and even looked up the laws concerning a peaceful protest. I reluctantly agreed to go along with this idea of hers. But I made it abundantly clear that I would not participate in those protests. The most I would do is to send the bastard and Calvary church a letter explaining the intention of an upcoming protest. The letter to the church was very respectful. The letter to the bastard was more pointed. Because, after all, this was all his fault. And because of this, my marriage of 31 years was ending and I would have to give up the house I lived in for 24 years, and raised our children in.

The response I got was to be served papers that the bastard and Brittany had filed for an extension of the HRO's. And requested a hearing on an emergency basis, claiming that I was such a threat that they feared for their lives, and were concerned I would go to their church and harm/murder hundreds of children. At that time I asked my sister to abandon any intentions of protesting, and she agreed.

I attended the hearing and requested a trial. Their attorney proposed that nothing from the first HRO filing would be included in the trial for the second HRO, and the referee agreed.

I focused on everything that had to do with events that happened after the first HRO was approved, and lined up witnesses for my defense.

I filed an evidence that I was going to present via the courts online system, and emailed a list of those items to their attorney. What I forgot to do was send along the actual evidence to their attorney with the list.

During the trial their attorney questioned me and kept bringing up the website I had previously put up. That website was taken down prior to the issuing of the first HRO and should not have been included in the evidence for the second HRO.

The referee allowed this evidence and did nothing to stop or prevent it.

When it was my turn, I presented several witnesses, who their attorney flat out insulted and objected to any questions I asked. The referee clearly sided with their attorney and never with me. When it was my turn to share evidence and/or personal testimony, the referee stopped the proceedings and gave their attorney and myself only a couple of minutes to make a closing statement. Their attorney asked for a minimum of 10 years for an HRO and suggested it be for Life. He also called myself and my witnesses liars.

During this time it should have been obvious that I was so emotionally broken that I was unable to defend myself or present evidence in an effective way. She did nothing to help ensure this was a search for truth and justice, and instead allowed the lawyer to continue on his path of seek & destroy.

After that I was informed that the HRO's would be extended and we would have to wait to find out for how long.

I later learned that they were extended for 10 years for the bastard and 2 years for my daughter.

Note: In the spring of 2023 I went to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office and met with an investigator. I showed him all the info / evidence that I had. He said that I had not done anything wrong, that the bastard had, and if I could get the civil courts to refer this case to them, they would complete their investigation and likely place him under arrest.

Five reasons to report their attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh to the MN State Bar Association;

Before I agreed to accept the first HRO, Mr. Van Loh told me that if I fought back and won the HRO it would show up on Brittany's background check and could affect her employment and future missionary efforts.

I told him before the first HRO hearing the website was not for the public, but instead was a private journal, and the only person I invited to see it was Steven.

He suggested to the court referee that no information or evidence from the first hearing be allowed at the trial for the second hearing, but then the website (my private journal) was introduced as evidence and became the bulk of their claim against me.

He went along with all of the blatantly false accusations against me, and threw reasoning out the window and went along with all of their hateful claims.

He claimed I was a liar and so was my sister (who had just lost her only daughter, suddenly and unexpectedly. I mentioned this before she was brought into the virtual courtroom to testify.)

The reasons the referee should be reported (Judicial Misconduct Complaint) are;

She allowed information to be presented that she previously said would not be allowed.

Allowed their attorney to speak harshly about my witnesses without any evidence to prove his statements.

Did not explain that I was not obligated to answer any of their attorneys' questions.

Was not there to seek truth and ultimately justice, but instead allowed their attorney to do whatever he please, despite it being obvious I was unable to defend myself.

Closing thoughts…

I left a couple things out of the long video which is about an hour and 34 minutes because i wanted to cover them here in a shorter video. Just a few things really close to my heart - first and foremost as most of you know i went through a really terrible time after the failed counseling session with Brittany which resulted in me having some suicidal ideations. Unfortunately stephen and brittany attempted to use that against me in the harassment restraining order and i want to let you know that if you have any sort of mental struggles it's nothing to be ashamed of whatsoever. Sadly they tried to portray me as someone who was almost held against my will or forced to remain there. Nothing was further from the truth, i mean nothing. I said that I have a problem and want some help. My wife and i went to the hospital and i agreed to stay. It was completely voluntary. I talked to a psychologist, a few counselors, and went to a class to learn coping skills, then i spent the rest of the day journaling. It was a very quiet time for me to de-stress. The following day when i met with the psychologist i said thank you, this meant a lot to me, and i'm ready to go home. The doctor agreed saying i'm not a danger to myself, i'm there on a completely voluntary basis, that they're not holding me, nor are they looking for any type of 72-hour hold or anything and I was released with best wishes along with a plan and new coping skills.

What's even more disturbing about this is that they knew i was in the hospital and yet neither one of them reached out to me to show any care or concern or anything of the sort. And that's really troubling because i've asked a couple of people, i asked if the knew someone that they really didn't like at all, they had a lot of issues with them and just generally don't like them, and you find out that they're suicidal, what's your reaction going to be? Every single person said they would put that difference aside, and reach out to that person and say them hey don't do this. Suicide passes your pain onto other people who don't deserve it. They do this because there are times when you need to act as a human and you

put the differences aside and you reach out to that person. They didn't do that for me at all, nothing, and to me that doesn't even rise to the level of being human. Not whatsoever. I couldn't fathom a time when anyone that I dislike so much that i wouldn't reach out to them at such a low point in their life.

Something else that i wanted to touch base on here is the whole allegation from stephen about how when i went to his apartment to tell him he was loved and forgiven that he was so afraid they thought about you know jumping off the second floor second story balcony fleeing for his life and calling the police, and as i thought about that i'm like wait a minute if he's so scared of me why'd he just call the police anyway?

Just call them and report this – seems to me that's what the average person would if you're that afraid of someone - you're gonna call and make a report. But that never happened and i thought about that for a little bit and i'm like why wouldn't he call and report me to the police at all? And i'm thinking hmm maybe the reason is because then the police do an investigation, and the investigation would clearly show that he was filing a false report.

Let's be clear, there was never anything to be afraid of because the number of times i threatened him was zero. He invented all of it he made it all up, yeah, he lied!

And lastly i just want to say that this has been my family for 30 years. I have been in this family a hundred percent. I am not a perfect father - they don't exist, I have made some mistakes – a few small ones here and there; for example, i raised my voice once to my daughter and i completely regret that. It was wrong - she didn't deserve that at all and i apologized for that, and i meant that apology.

The second time that i raised my voice i didn't think she was at home - i was just venting some frustration to my wife, and again during the process i wasn't threatening anybody. The worst thing i said was i don't want steven at our house anymore. That was it - that's not a threat. But again i did raise my voice absolutely and it's been over 3 years since that happened and the number of times that i've raised my voice since then has been zero. This just shows the level of frustration that i had with him and nonetheless i tried to make amends with him, to make peace at least 12 times. And he's made no attempts whatsoever, absolutely not a single one, which shows what his ulterior motive is, which is me out of Brittany's life. and um and so i think back on all that and i'm like you know 30 years is a long time to put your family first and and along comes stephen he's in the family a very short period of time and all sudden here i am having to defend myself against him when really he has no evidence whatsoever.

Everything he's said about me is is made up, it's invented, it's absolutely not true. I, on the other hand, have evidence including emails, and all this other stuff going on and he has nothing.

Again, this isn't about revenge, that's not what i'm after, it has everything to do with showing my family that i'm innocent, and that i still deserve to be called dad and treated like a dad, a father who put their family first, a father who loves his children unconditionally, a father who was lied about.

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Reasons to File Complaints & Lawsuit(s)

Attorney

Lied to me during first HRO hearing. He said that if I did not accept the HRO and instead fought against it, that would affect Brittany negatively. He said that in the future anytime an employer or volunteer organization that she would travel internationally as a volunteer for performs a background check on her, that this would come up and disqualify her.

During that same meeting, he also said “This is what Brittany wants.” Subsequently, there were numerous times that he would say that I should just leave her alone, because contacting her was making a difficult for her to heal. Even when I suggested a possible way to work things out, he took a negative approach to this and did nothing to help a family heal.

During that same meeting, I asked that the website I had up about Steven be taken down. I specifically told him that was not a public website and that it was my private journal – one that I discreetly shared with Steven. He then said, “That’s what pen and paper are for.” So, he knew that was a private journal, but in the future he would act as though it was a public website that I used to disparage Steven. In one later email he even specifically referred to that website as a “public website.” Why would anyone refer to a website in that manner? Unless of course they know it’s private and are trying to overlook the fact that they know it was a private journal. In the second HRO, over half of their so-called evidence was that website. However, during the previous meeting when I asked for a trial, both their attorney and the referee agreed that no evidence from the first HRO was to be allowed into the HRO trial. But that didn’t happen. That private journal ended up being a primary focus of their case.

During the trial he acted rudely and was not at all interested in truth and justice. This was purely a seek-and-destroy mission for him. He introduced evidence (the website) which he knew was not an attack or threat to Steven and used that as most of the evidence presented. He was also rude to my witnesses and suggested to the court that my witnesses were lying.

He also filed court papers which presented the case in a manner which was worded to make me appear as though I was one of the worst humans on this earth (at least that’s what it felt like), and went as far as to ask the HRO’s be for life.

Court

First, though I was allowed to present a few witnesses (which their attorney treated harshly and poorly), when it came time for me to present my case, the referee stopped the proceedings and said she would hear closing comments before issuing a ruling. So, I was literally not allowed to present my case.

During the trial she (the referee) spoke harshly to me. Even at times when I was objecting to something their attorney was saying, she seemed to be talking down to me. I made a simple mistake in presenting my evidence before trial, and when that came up at the beginning of the trial, she spoke in a manner that could easily be viewed as condescending.

Every time I made an objection it was overruled. Every time their attorney made an objection it was sustained.

She claimed that I had violated the previous HRO by posting a video on a private website, which was password protected, and contained my side of the story for the first HRO. This was a private communication between myself and five members of my immediate family. Once they viewed the video I removed it. One of them shared the website address and password with Brittany. (And that's violation of the HRO?? So, I don't have the First Amendment Right to freedom of speech anymore?) Nothing in that video was a threat or could be perceived as harassment. None of the video was shown in court and no transcript was presented. Nonetheless, the referee claimed it was a violation of the first HRO without knowing any of the content.

My sister was prevented from reading a letter which explained the information she had to share in my defense. It is important to note that several months prior to this hearing, my sisters oldest child (and only daughter) suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Still very much in the process of grieving such a tremendous loss, she was not allowed to present her information in a manner that was easy for her, especially considering the circumstances. When I tried questioning her about the content(s) of the letter, their attorney objected to almost everything and her testimony was largely not allowed. Afterwards, their attorney called my sister a liar.

The referee allowed information that was previously agreed to not be allowed as evidence in this trial, notably my private online journal.

During the portion of the trial where they were presenting evidence, I was not informed by the court that I did not have to testify for them. In the beginning of the trial, I was sworn in and their attorney began questioning me. I feel that the court should have informed me that I have a right to refuse to be questioned by their attorney. I felt like it was an obligation to answer their attorney's questions. If I had known that I did not have to, I would have remained silent during his questioning.

Had I been allowed to present my case, part of that would have been to ask for this matter be referred to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office for investigation and criminal proceedings. I had previously been in touch with them, and they asked for this matter to be sent to them via the civil courts.

Ways in Which I Have Been Harmed / Affected

Suicide ideations and near attempt.

Depression and loss of interest in many aspects of life.

Divorce.

Loss of house of 24 years.

Career of 30 years ended (unable to perform duties.)

Various health impacts; heart, pre-diabetic, sleep, etc.

And more…

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

This may be redundant, but want to be sure this is included somewhere…

It's interesting to note that neither Brittany's mom or I have met with Steven's parents before the wedding. We have not spoken with them on the phone, either. No communication between us at all. I literally do not even know his parents names. Brittany's mom did not meet either of them until the day of the wedding – and there has been no communication since. Is it just me, or does anyone else find that to be really odd??

The only mention he ever made of us potentially meeting his parents was when he said that his mom might be coming into town and would be here to plan the wedding. I mentioned that my wife is the mother of the bride and she should like to be involved. His reply was that his mom would be doing the planning.

Court hearing

I attended the court hearing by way a zoom video. Their attorney suggested that I allow them to have the restraining order and the court would not find any fault. He said this is what Brittany wants. I agreed and the court referee accepted this. As part of the agreement, I would take down the DirtySteven.com website. I actually did that before meeting with the referee – and in fact had cancelled website hosting the week prior. Was paid through the first part of July and that's when the website would go down completely. Another component was that I am able to send Brittany a letter through her attorney. Began composing that letter shortly afterwards.

About a week later I was served the final order.

June 4th I emailed the letter to Brittany's attorney.

Dear Brittany,

Amazing how he has you believing that after 22 years of being an amazing father, that somehow, I have become a monster. Apparently, he has super-powers because only he can see that…and he has

convinced you that his fantasy is real. I am so grateful and thankful for my children who know my heart and have stood by my side, unwavering during these difficult times. They will always have a special place in my heart. I have proven to them, over the decades, that I always put my family first. Always. They will not just give up on me when someone tells a lie. They came to me and asked what my side of the story was. I shared my heart and truth as best as possible, which again confirmed that I have put this family first, even when it caused me tremendous pain (and even resulted in my being hospitalized.) They are my family, and I am so proud of them!

All I have been doing has been to try and resolve things through making the truth known. His response has been to escalate his lies and twisting of the truth to cover his previous lies. He has done so by making even the slightest ant hill seem like a ginormous mountain. He has scrutinized every single step I have taken and found fault with it – even if that means twisting the truth, or outright lying. Quick example: For weeks I had been coming home and placing my handgun on the top railing for a few minutes (since the riots, doing this made your mom feel safe), entering the kitchen and unpacking my lunch bag, then taking the handgun and putting it away in the bedroom. For weeks this was a daily routine (unless someone was walking down the street towards the park when I got home – in that case I waited until later to bring it into the house, when no one was around.) One day when he was over, he noticed the gun on the railing, and automatically assumed it was there to intimidate him. That is what he stated in the Restraining Order. Again, just a small example of how he has twisted things to fit his agenda. An agenda to strip us out of each other's lives. And you just caved him and let him get away with this. That makes me feel like I really do not matter to you – it seems you just gave up so easily.

Here I am fighting to get you back and you are blindly going along with his evil plan. He has been avoiding the truth being told. He does not want mediation because he knows the truth will come out and his evil deeds with be exposed. That is also the reason why he continues to keep you isolated from me. He is afraid of the truth. That also explains why he blatantly lied to Calvary about me; so they would not help with mediation, and instead have a lawyer ask me to stay away. I am no threat to them whatsoever. Yet he has them thinking I am such a threat they have supposedly hired additional security. That is how far he will go to cover his lies. They are a CHURCH – I am a PASTOR. They are my brothers and sisters in Christ. I would never go there and commit any sort of act of violence. Not ever. I only went to them because previously when we were going to have mediation, someone from the church was going to be the mediator. This was in no way an attempt to have him fired – they are a church; they are supposed to help people. I went there seeking their help in resolving things. Had he worked at any other place besides a church I would have never contacted them. But he twisted this also to make it seem I was going there to somehow attack him. More lies on top of the other lies.

Remember when I said let us take a 6-month break. That took control away from him, Once I took control away, he felt a need to take it back. That is the real reason for the Restraining Order. Why file

that if I am going away for 6 months? Control. The only thing I did during that time was to come over and attempt to tell you both that you are loved and forgiven. Just one time and you would never hear from me again for at least 6 months. This is about control – he wants to control, and I am in his way. Even worse; he has you believing that I am a threat and/or danger to you. Above all else that especially troubles me!! Let me be perfectly clear on this one point: I love you. More than life itself. There is no way, and I mean literally zero chance I would ever physically hurt you. Ever. Never. You are absolutely one of the greatest things to ever happen in my life. Period. Even the mere thought of any type of harm to you is so repulsive it makes me ill to my stomach. Literally. I know that you have been emotionally hurt – some of that is likely my fault. For that I wholeheartedly apologize. I truly do. It was never my intention in any way, shape, or form to cause you any kind of pain. None whatsoever. That is a promise. A solid promise from a father to his precious daughter. I pinky swear.

I think this has been tremendously difficult for you. After all, it is not normal to become engaged, then need therapy. What's next, medication? He has painted a picture of a fairy tale, but after the wedding he will slowly turn it into something else. There are literally thousands of stories exactly like this on the internet about guys like him. Everything seemed almost magical. No way could he ever turn out like all the others, he special, he different, he really loves me – then they changed. And the ladies who were lucky and escaped, ended up just another statistic with a sad story to tell and deep emotional scars. Sadly, I believe you will see this firsthand. Once you walk down the aisle and I am not next to you, the door of opportunity to resolve things with him closes. After that time, I will never acknowledge him as my son-in-law. He will never call me "dad". I will never have any type of relationship with him. Something else is going to happen after the wedding: my response. It will then be my turn, and I will expose his lies and evil ways. You see, I have been patiently waiting to see how far he would take things – and all the while I have been trying to resolve this (apologizing, offering forgiveness, seeking mediation, etc.) I've been patient, very patient, for a good reason; I want to be sure that I've given every chance possible to resolve this another way. I don't want to think about the "What if's" afterwards, wondering if there was another chance to settle this.

Filing the Restraining Order was enough to show me there isn't another way – I'm left with literally no choice. This entire time he has only done one thing: continue playing his game. So, remember this phrase: "He Treated Me Like a Game – Now I'm Going to Show Him How It's Played." I am fairly certain your lawyer is also reading this, so here's the disclaimer (as I've stated over and over and over again, repeatedly since this conflict began…) Nothing stated here is meant to infer or condone any illegal activities, or anything of a violent nature. I am a law-abiding citizen and will comply with all laws, including the Restraining Order. Step #1 is to file a lawsuit against Steven, and possibly Calvary. He will have to answer questions during the lawsuit proceedings, and the truth will come out! There will be other (legal) events that will happen as well. Again, all fully legal and in compliance with the Restraining Order. But that does not make them any less effective.

My entire goal is to show you, my beautiful daughter, the lies he has been telling. As I've stated so many times before; I just want the truth revealed! I will not stop until that happens. For the rest of my life I will not rest until you know the truth. I promise you this. As these events unfold, you will reach a point in time when you realize that you joined the wrong team. When that happens, come home. No judgement, no 'I told you so' comments, no holding a grudge. Nothing but love is waiting for you here. That is a real father to daughter promise. We all make mistakes in life (myself included), and it is comforting to know we have a family that will take us back, no matter what. Because that's how real families roll. His real battle is not with me, it is with himself. He clearly does not know how to express himself or his emotions in a healthy way and as a result, pushed me to my limits – then blamed me for the outcome; all the while lying about what he has done to cause the problem(s) to begin with. Then, in order for you to remain in a relationship with him, you had to hurt someone; me. Are you happy with that? Seems fair, right? I mean all you have to do is treat your dad like crap after he made you a priority in his life for 23 years. And did anything and everything he possibly could for you. Here's a hint: if you have to give that up to be with someone, then you're with the wrong guy! Especially if he's lying to keep you away from your own dad.

During all of this time he has not once shown any care or compassion towards me. Zip. Zilch. Nada. Nothing. How is this Christian behavior? Answer: it's not! I've offered love, forgiveness and multiple opportunities to resolve this. He's offered nothing except lies in an attempt to exacerbate things. Again, that is not Christian. At all. But somehow, he just turns things around in your mind to make me the bad guy. Again, it's amazing how awesome of a relationship we had, until he came along. I now present to you some answers to the false claims made in the Restraining Order. In the interest of brevity, I've not covered everything. However, if there is something you would like to know, share that with your attorney and I'll answer through him.

Love Dad.

I have a challenge for you. Read this alone and do not let Steven read this. It was agreed upon in court that I got to share a letter with you. But just you. I want you to read this with your heart and meditate on it.

My Response to Your Order

The Kyle Situation

Kyle was Vanessa's ex, who assaulted her. After he assaulted her, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. I called Kyle, and he started to verbally assault me so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified myself as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He

responded by saying “Yeah, and if I wanted to I could go rape your daughter.” He then pushed me back with both hands. At that point I felt the need to defend myself, and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said “Okay, okay. I’m done” I responded, “Never come near my daughter again.” He responded “No sir. It’s done.” So, I left.

He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical – and I learned that in the future I would insist on calling the police and letting them handle the situation. The Phone Calls to Steven It was alleged that I made an increasing number of harassing calls to Steven. But I have checked my phone records, and they show that I have called Steven a total of 4 times ever. Not in a week, a month, but ever. 4 times.

Acting Out?

It’s claimed that I, at one time, were stomping, slamming cabinets, and slamming doors, and raising my voice while talking about steven. I only raised my voice to you once about Steven, but only after he treated me several times very disrespectfully.

I was so disappointed in myself for having raised my voice to you (something I’ve not done before) that I slapped the doorway as I walked out of the kitchen. I cannot slam the doors in our kitchen, there are none. I cannot slam the kitchen cabinets as they have easy close stoppers. I never stomp my feet as I am not a child.

Inappropriate Use of My Firearm?

You said I “placed the firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment towards Steven.” The truth of the matter is that I have been placing my firearm there for weeks, based on a request from your mom. It made her feel safer. Placing my firearm on the banister became an everyday routine for me.

After what happened in Minneapolis with George Floyd and the protests and then the protests threatening to go to the suburbs, it made your mom feel safer to have it visible at times when I got home from work. Again, she literally asked me to do this! One day while Steven is over he sees the handgun in its usual place, and made an incorrect assumption. Later it’s claimed that I went to the garage to retrieve my firearm and returned looking very angry. This is not true. I am very careful when retrieving my firearm from the car. In fact, for 20+ years, you didn’t even know I had one. It was only after the events in Minneapolis that I started to display my firearm – and only at the specific request of your mom.

Over the years, I have been so discreet, that whenever there are people anywhere around (going to the park, out walking, working in their yard, etc.), I will wait until later to retrieve the firearm from the car so

I can be as to be as safe and discreet as possible. We have lived in that house for 22 years and our neighbors have never seen me carrying it. I only have it out in the open inside the house, and only for a short period of time. I have since asked your mom if she was okay with me being completely discreet and she said yes.

I have never waved this gun around; I have never used this firearm to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie and crazy. I made it to 60 without a criminal record, but Steven wants to paint me as this crazy man with a gun. The second time that I raised my voice, I did not know you were even home, I thought you had left with Steven. And when I did raise my voice, it lasted maybe 15 seconds. Your mom was at the top of the stairs and I was by the door. I raised my voice towards your mom about the frustrations I was feeling with this situation. And I was shouting towards her, but not at her. After the 15 seconds had passed, your mom came and gave me a hug and said "I can see that this is clearly hurting you" She said "Let's go make supper together and have a glass of wine"; to which I responded with "Okay, the things Steven are doing and saying are bothering me."

Within 10 minutes, your mom and I were in the kitchen laughing and joking about Facebook memes. You then claim I went to the car and returned with the gun, still visibly angry. That's a lie. (It should also be noted that I have not raised my voice at all since then. Not once, under any circumstances. Again, just trying to make me appear to be a bad person, which I am not.) In the order it says, "The presence of his firearm in several incidences, along with his emotional instability, and refusal to respect boundaries that I've set in our relationship concern me that this will continue to escalate and that the result may involve his firearm and Steven or I"

To that I say: First of all to talk about boundaries- the only way I have stepped over boundaries is to try to resolve this. That's all that I have been doing for months. The display of my handgun, again, was because of your mom's request. That has nothing to do with the situation between you, Steven and I. Nothing. Other than raising my voice twice, there has been no outward signs of aggression or anything being escalated. This is all a complete fabrication. Yes, this is a bold face lie. All I've been trying to do, repeatedly, is to have the truth come out. I believe the truth doesn't mind being questioned, whereas lies want to hide. (Sound familiar?) There's a reason Steven does not want mediation or to resolve things; because his lies will be exposed. So, he pretends to be afraid and paint me as a violent person to avoid the truth coming out.

The Night You Moved Out

You went to a friend's house and a few days later moved out. The night you moved, you came back because you forgot something. I was in the bedroom with your mom. I heard something moving around in the house, so I went out into the hallway to see what was going on. When I noticed it was you I said hi. You gave me a hug and said "I love you so much dad." I said "I love you too." I asked if you were okay,

and you said yes. I then said, “It’s kind of weird because this is the first time you have moved and I didn’t help you.” You smiled and said, “Yeah, it is kind of weird.” YOU SMILED AND SAID YEAH IT’S KINDA WEIRD. You said you had to go, and I said, “Okay, have a good night.”

This is the day you moved out because you were supposedly afraid of me. How does that say you are afraid of me?

The Last Page

It’s interesting that when Steven came up with this order, in his evidence, he left out the last page of my website where it talks about you guys being loved and forgiven. LOVED AND FORGIVEN. But that would go against his claim that I am a crazed, violent man. That doesn’t fit his agenda to make you think I am this horrible violent person. What’s interesting is that no one other than Steven thinks I am a violent person. Sadly, he has convinced you this lie is real. I spend time with both Jake and James and there’s literally no problems between us at all. None. In fact, they have both said they would write letters in support of me. They both heard the Kyle story and are not afraid of me at all – because there’s literally no reason to be. None. That’s all a fabrication of Steven’s.

I now have over 15 people who are close to me, all willing to write letters stating they have never seen any of the behavior Steven is alleging, and that they simply do not believe any of it is true. How many do you have? I’m not a betting man, but if I was, my bet would be there are zero people to back up his claim(s). Because they are lies.

The Therapy Session

You approached me to go to therapy with you. Before you, your therapist, and I got together for a meeting, the therapist wanted to get together with me alone in a zoom type meeting. She was rude, condescending, and I really did not feel as though she cared about me or resolving anything. It was very frustrating talking to her.

Nonetheless, I said any therapy sessions I was involved in I would pay for and gave her my credit card number so you would not be burdened with that. What no one, not even the therapist knows is that I was not alone in that room. When the session with myself and the therapist was scheduled, I asked your mom to be in the room with me. She sat off to one side, out of view to the camera. She witnessed how stressful this was for me. Then, at the time you and I had our session with the therapist, it was immediately stressful again. I felt as though the therapist was condescending from the beginning. My only goal for that session was to tell you that I loved you and to validate your pain. I know you’ve been hurt, and it’s incredibly painful to see you go through this. The therapist interrupted me repeatedly. When I didn’t recite things back exactly, it seemed she was a bit condescending. Half the time I didn’t look at the screen as it was too hard to see the pain on your face.

I didn't even get to say anything what I really wanted to say; that I love you and to validate your feelings. At one point in time, I muted the microphone then I leaned forward to cry. You may have thought I got up and walked around the room, but I was bent over forward crying into my hands. I truly and sincerely hurt throughout this session. Having you out of my life hurts more than my brothers dying – and still does to this very day. At this point it was clear that my message of love was not going to be conveyed to you, I became frustrated, rambled on about something and left the meeting abruptly. I think I mentioned not knowing if I wanted a relationship with you, but what I really meant was for a temporary amount of time. (P.S. I did not slam my laptop closed – this laptop is too expensive to be slammed shut.) With the thought of that session fresh in my mind. I ended up in a park later that night with a gun to my head. That's the reason I had suicidal ideations; the pain on your face was more than I could handle.

Word Choices

I notice that throughout the order, words were used to misdescribe the situations. "RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP, SCREAMING". This is nothing more than character assassination. Can someone name even one person who's actually witnessed me doing anything like that? I didn't think so – because it's not true.

Trying to Get an Answer

I have been trying repeatedly for months to hear what Steven and your complaints/problems/concerns were, what the problems were. And no one has told me anything. It was only when I got the restraining order that I was informed of the problems. Not once before that did I know truly what the problems were as neither of you had told me. I had called for a 6 month break, and immediately, the 2 of you filed for a restraining order. Was this because Steven thought he was losing control? The only thing I did within that 6 months was to come to you to tell you were loved and forgiven. And now I hear that Steven thought about jumping out his 2nd story window and call 911 all because he heard the story of Kyle. Meanwhile, Jake, James and I are hanging out having a good time.

Clarifications

So let's talk about that story again, it was told twice in one year. Both times Vanessa started to tell the story. Both times I finished it quickly. After the second time, I leaned over to Vanessa and said "Please let's not tell this story again" And she responded with "but I like to brag about you" And I said "okay thank you but let's leave this story in the past" and she said "okay dad I love you" and I said "I love you too". Both of these times, James and Jake were present. And neither of them felt threatened by this. I did not tell this one on one with Steven. It was told in a group setting. I have since hung out many times with Jake and James. James has even shared his working schedule with me so I can call before/after he is off work to chat. Neither of them feel threatened by me at all. You say that on February 16th, I came home and discovered Steven's laundry, I immediately responded with anger and rage. That is not true. It

was an hour later, and it was in the presence of your mom, lasting about 15 seconds, as mentioned before. I was upset, but there was no “rage”. Again, character assassination.

My Military Record

Charlie was the name given to me in the military as a result of having an elevated security clearance. I served courageously, and sometimes heroically. I was honorably discharged. My military records are now sealed. That’s all anyone needs to know. As I made it clear over the years, I really don’t want to talk about my service to our country and I avoid the topic.

Owatonna

There is a solid, logical reason behind this event. If you heard the reason you would immediately realize it’s not what it seemed at first glance. However, I am unable to write about this, and can only share this with you verbally. Perhaps some day down the road when we can speak again. I only ask that you trust me on this.

I Have Doubts

Did you even write this yourself? There are at least 5 times that it is copied and pasted from Steven’s order. Also, one thing I find interesting is that when the question is asked “Do you believe that the harassment will continue?” You respond with “The respondent has made several claims that he ‘resigns’ or is done with the ‘conflict’ yet he has continued to produce more emails, the website, etc.. There seems to be no indication this will end. He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany’s on 4/28/21.” This is word for word copied from Steven’s order. How much of any of this did you even write yourself? Do you even know what half your order against me says? And up until now, all I have been doing is trying to resolve this. At least I’m trying. That’s more than Steven and you have done collectively. Yet every single time I try to resolve things, Steven turns it around to make it seem like I am a violent person and a threat to him.

What’s even worse, is because of his lies, you think that I am a threat to yourself. As a father I would never be violent towards any one of my kids, ever. That’s nonnegotiable in my mind. I’m Not Violent I was going to take the restraining order to trial, until your attorney told me that this is what you wanted personally. At that point in time, I asked myself “Why am I trying to fix anything?” I just want to be able to go to bed at night, knowing I have done everything I possibly could to resolve this matter peacefully. And the only reason it hasn’t been, is because of Steven’s lies and twisting of the truth.

To date, you have not seen me respond to the damage he has done, but you will. What’s especially troubling is the fact I have to add a disclaimer to everything I write now which states “Everything I do will be accomplished by legal means” all because of Steven’s lies. You know I’m not a violent person. Again, 60 years old, no criminal record. That wouldn’t be possible if I was such a violent person. I’m this

amazing father until Steven comes around and it's like Dr. Jekyll & Mr. Hyde that only he can see. As it only exists in his mind. And he has you convinced it's real. No one else can see it. Why? It's not real or true. There is literally no one else that I know who thinks this of me or believes any of these lies being told. That alone speaks volumes.

How I Have Handled this Emotionally

You say in your order "The respondent has shown an inability to control and regulate his emotions. He has lived out delusions of a violent nature." (Again, this is copy and pasted right from Steven's order) This has been a very emotional time for me, which it would be for any father that has their daughter unfairly stripped from their life. Considering the depth of this tragedy, aside from the regrettable evening that I held a gun to my head, I feel as though I have handled this quite well. As far as the reference of violence, this is only an illusion in Steven's mind, that he has gotten you to believe. No one else in my life believes this whatsoever. They have seen no signs of violence in me. Why? It is simply not real, it is just lies. How else do you explain that I went from an amazing dad, who has done a tremendous amount of work preventing bullying in schools, has chaperoned dances and prom for more than 25 years, and even done volunteer work at a hospice center sitting with dying people who have no family or friends to sit by their side, just so that they feel loved and cared for in their last moments, to a crazy homicidal maniac who threatens violence all in just a few months? And only Steven can see it. Maybe there's another answer? Maybe he's jealous of our relationship and set out to ruin it? That's a more likely answer in my eyes.

An Epic Storm

Let's talk about some of the things I've mentioned- starting with an epic storm. This is a real thing. I've done two so far in my life. Everything I do is completely legal in nature. We have a wonderful legal system in this country that helps defend innocent people like myself. Without going into too many details, suffice it to say, the first person ended up in prison. After which time I started dating his wife, and every month for a year, we would mail him a picture of us together. How do you know this is true? I was living with her when I met your mom. For the second time, a man threatened our family. He was well respected in the community, had a 6 figure job, a nice home and a family. In a very short period of time, again using all legal means, he lost all of that. Afterwards, sitting alone in a motel outside of town, he called me and said "It's over, you won!" I said "No, it's not over. There are 3 more steps left." He begged, "Please, no. I have 2 daughters." I responded, "If you ever come near my family again, or come near our home again, I will finish what I started." He responded, "I'm gone." Want to know if this is true? Ask your mom, she knows. You see here again that Steven is twisting things to seem like I'm this crazy, violent man. But I know how to defend my family using legal means. I prefer to live in peace, which is why I use the phrase "If you want peace, prepare for war." This just means to be prepared to defend yourself against people who want to take that peace away, which I am fully prepared to do

through legal means. Steven has been rude to me, disrespectful, twisting my words, and pulling you out of my life. “Prepare for war” simply meant to stop what he was doing or prepare for legal consequences. The “Epic Storm” and “Prepare for war” was a deterrent to stop him from doing what he was doing, which he didn’t.

My Books

Let’s set the record straight. Steven had heard that I had written several books. I said I had. He said he would be interested in reading them. So, I went into the other room and got a copy of each for him. He said “Oh okay.” And we changed the subject. It was after that we hung out and he mentioned he read my books. He only wanted to talk about the miracles in ‘Casting Out Doubt’, not my memoir. You know all the good things that have come from writing this book. I have given out hundreds of copies and no one has ever felt threatened by it.

Final Thought About Your Order

And lastly, your restraining order was centered around a claim of domestic violence. I (regrettably) raised my voice around you, but how is that violent? The answer; it is not. It doesn’t even rise to the level of bullying. Therefore, your restraining order was based around a false premise to begin with. I think it was filed that way just for dramatic effect. That rises to the level of bearing false witness against your neighbor, just like Steven’s.

My Response to Steven’s Order

He says that I am unable to control my emotional response. Unable to control my emotional response? He was trying to talk down to me, and I was telling him to not talk down to me. He was being disrespectful to me. He is a 25-year-old man and I am a 60- year-old father. He does not have the right to talk down to me. From the beginning, all along was to control me. If he can’t control me, he wants to make me look like a terrible person.

Every time I have tried to stand up for myself, he has turned it around to seem like I am aggressive or violent. He was also rude to Alex, Vanesaa, and my friends Heather and Henry. They all told me independently that Steven acted very rudely towards them, and all 4 are willing to make written statements about how poorly he treated them. Interestingly enough, Alex and Vanessa do not know Heather and Henry, so there was not, nor could be, any collusion.

My Letters to the Church

About my letter to the church and that it was a threat to him. I went to the church to ask them politely and calmly to ask if they would help resolve this peacefully and without conflict. Along with the letter, I included the history of the challenges we have faced in our relationship. This documentation included emails and texts we had sent between each. Nowhere in any of the documentation did it reference any

violence or threats. This was sent to the senior pastor only, in confidentiality, and he never responded. Anyone reading this letter would ask “How is this defamatory?” Steven twisted things around, again. As for the second letter, the senior pastor did not respond, so this was addressed to the elders at the church. Nowhere in any communication do I ask to terminate his employment. All I have ever asked was that they get involved in the conflict. As far as showing up “unannounced”, I left 2 voicemails for the senior pastor the week before. As far as me being “uninvited”, I went into the lobby, rang the buzzer, and asked if I could leave the package there. I left the package in the “Leave Deliveries Here” area (the same as UPS or FedEx would). I then went back out to my car peacefully. Also “uninvited”? How can someone not be invited to a church?”

P.S. At the time I wrote this letter, there were markers that were off that led doctors to believe that I might have cancer. I had to go through numerous tests, including a colonoscopy. Along the way, the real problem was discovered after I was taken to the emergency room by my wife after being in excruciating pain one night. Once there, after going through some more tests, they discovered the real problem. For privacy reasons I will not disclose what the problem is. I have since been undergoing treatment (and as of June 4th, 2021 continue to be under the care of doctors for this condition). In conclusion, there was a serious concern that I had cancer. Fortunately, it was not cancer, but is still of great concern, and I am thankful for the medical community in helping through this difficult time.

I say in the letter that I am prepared to make some bold moves. I went to the church to ask them to help. I wanted to get mediation.

Bold moves- asking you [Steven] over to have cheesesteaks and talk about what each other needs to resolve the issues. Nowhere have I threatened anyone.

The Website

The website was intended as a warning. Nothing more than a warning. Yet again he has twisted things around to seem that I am going to use violence against him, even though I clearly state that everything will be done in a legal manner and not a violent one. I also had the website coded so that search engines will not pull it up or catalog it. I did that intentionally to protect privacy. It was a warning that if he continues or tries to pull anything else like what he has done to me, the site would go live. Even the screenshot Steven used in the filing says, “To be perfectly clear, everything listed above will be accomplished through legal means, I do not condone violence or any illegal activities. I am an otherwise peaceful person who has been unfairly put in a position of having to fight back for his family.” How does that say that I am a violent man? It doesn’t!

His Claim about My Gun His whole claim about me, my gun, and him- it is baseless. All these allegations of me using my gun for a violent purpose is something he invented in his own mind. And he has caused

undue concern to that church, both campuses, because of his lies. Name one time that he has seen the handgun in my hand. He has never! He is doing this to get my daughter out of my life, precisely.

FYI About a week before we had our court meeting, I spoke to David (your ex). He said when you and Steven first broke up, you started texting and calling him trying to get back with him. Does this mean Steven is forever your second choice? He also mentioned the issue with Alex Rodriguez. But the bottom line here is that David clearly said that I treated him wonderfully and it was truly a sad day when we said good-bye for the last time. I honestly wish you were marrying David, or someone like him. He is awesome.

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

On June 7th, 2021 I sent an email to the attorney representing Calvary Church. This is what it said:

Dear Mr. Lutz,

I have received the notice, have honored it and will continue to do so. I recently became aware of the allegations being made against me which are horrible and unfounded. Is there a possibility of presenting my side of this ordeal? If your client, Calvary Church, would be open to a meeting with me, it can be at a place and time of their choice. The only goal is to clear my name and I come in a spirit of peace and truth. The Bible says we should choose a good name rather than riches, and I would appreciate an opportunity to prove to your client the truth.

Respectfully,

Tim Hutchinson651-724-1325

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

From: david@lutzlawfirm.com

Tue, Jun 8, 12:29 PM

Tim,

I have advised my client not to meet and discuss due to the threat of litigation. My client has received and reviewed your written communication.

David A. Lutz

Lutz Law Firm

120 South 6th Street, Suite 1550

Minneapolis, MN 55402

612-424-2110

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Wed, Jun 9, 12:03 PM (3 days ago)

to david

David,

All along I've stated my desire to avoid any legal proceedings. Sad day when Christians cannot come together and resolve an issue. Attached is a letter I wrote to my daughter in response to a Restraining Order. Would appreciate you reviewing it and forwarding it to your client, Calvary Church. I'd like them to know these things, My goal has always been to have the truth told.

Regards,

Tim Hutchinson

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com>

Wed, Jun 9, 12:19 PM (3 days ago)

to David

P.S. In the attachment there are the names Jake and James. It would be helpful to know that Jake is engaged to my oldest daughter, and James is dating my youngest daughter. The church might also be interested in knowing that 2 of Brittany's 3 siblings won't attend the wedding, and no one from my side of the family will be there either. The reasons are the same; they are shocked at the lies Steven told about me.

Regards,

Tim Hutchinson

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

To date there has been no response.

June 11th, 2021. Brittany sends me a message through her mom to cancel her phone. We pay for her phone, car insurance and medical insurance. If they're trying to save money, why would they give up a free phone? Now Alex and Vanessa don't have her phone number. More isolation from the family!

Letter to Brittany (sent through her attorney) – August 22, 2021. Note: she never responded.

Hi Brittany,

Been a while and I wanted you to know that I think about you every day. Literally.

Sometimes I ask mom how your headaches are – truly feel badly that you have those so much. Wish there was something that could be done.

A goal of mine is to lose weight. There is a lady on Facebook who's always sharing pics of others who are losing a lot of weight. I mean, their transformation is incredible. So, I reached out to her and she let me know about the plan. Talked with your mom and she said that she'd join as well to be supportive of my goal.

After the first week I lost 7 lbs! Out of curiosity I looked up the ingredients to the pre-packaged foods we were eating. Turns out every meal has a lot of calcium in them.

That's bad for me due to being very prone to kidney stones (yikes!) So now am off the plan – however, your mom was/is losing weight and decided to remain on.

So, it worked out for her and I'm happy about that. I going to figure something else out – likely all salads and daily trips to the gym ?? Won't end up looking like a body-builder, but that's OK, I just want to look in the mirror and be comfortable with how I look.

So, your mom and I have been discussing my retirement, and decided it can happen in November of 2022. It's going to be so weird waking up and not having to run off to a job. I've been working over 40 years now – most of it in the same industry. I hope things are worked out by then so my whole family can come to the retirement party. That would mean a lot! After retirement I'm going to increase the amount of volunteering I do and will likely start up again at the hospice. If I ever become a grandpa, I'll open up "Grandpa Day Care" for my grandkids (free of course!)

The ministry is getting closer to launching. My gosh, it's been a long time in the making, right?! But it WILL happen! I feel blessed that we hired a consulting firm – they have helped the ministry move forward by leaps and bounds. If things continue going forward at the pace they're on now, the launch could happen as soon as next month. Otherwise, October would be the absolute latest. Just thinking about all the people this ministry will reach for Christ quite literally gets me teary-eyed. This has been at the forefront of my daily efforts for months and months. When it finally happens, I'll be overcome with joy!!

Once the ministry is launched, there is something I've been wanting to do for a long time; fill out the "My Dad – in his own words" book you gave me. It sits on a ledge in front of my desk, and I look at it every day. If you ever have children, I hope you'll let them read it. I want them to know how much I love my kids, and how much joy they brought into my life, despite the challenges.

That's it for now. Going back to copywriting and moving through the action steps my coach gave me.

Love you,

Dad

September 2021.

I contacted the attorney who represented Steven and Brittany in the restraining order to see if he was still representing them. This is the email exchange we had:

Hello Mr. Van Loh,

Checking to see if you are still representing Steven Anderson? I ask because I would like to give him a chance to settle our disagreement. Starting in October I will begin searching for an attorney. Once I hire legal representation, this window of opportunity will be closed.

Thank you for your attention to this matter,

Tim Hutchinson

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Tim, Thank you for your email. Yes, I am still representing Steven Anderson. I appreciate your reaching out to me in an attempt to settle your disagreement. Can you please clarify for me which disagreement you wish to settle with Steven so that I can apprise him accordingly? What is your proposal for resolution or request of Steven?

I look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you,

Dan

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Hello Mr. Van Loh,

My goal is a relationship with my daughter Brittany, and healing for broken relationships in my family; most notably her siblings that do not have any contact with her. As a father, this is heartbreaking to see.

Arbitration seems like a reasonable move. Right now, mediation would not offer a resolution I'd be happy with – I need accountability for past actions. At this time I cannot simply "kiss-and-makeup". My family, Brittany included, deserves to know whether Steven's accusations against me are accurate or not.

If he/they are agreeable to arbitration, then I further suggest we split the cost of the arbitration services. If the ruling is in my favor, then they should request the court to dismiss the restraining order, so Brittany and I can go to counseling to try and heal our relationship.

At this time I am not open to having a relationship with Steven. If things work out to where Brittany and I are back on speaking terms, then I can be sociable with Steven, but nothing more.

Awaiting their response,

Tim Hutchinson

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Tim,

Thank you for the explanation. I'm not sure that reconciliation of a father-daughter relationship for and adult daughter is something that can be arbitrated. The accusations to which you refer have not been adjudicated because you agreed to accept the HRO without a hearing and without admitting anything. Hence, there is no public sharing of the allegations, unless you have shared Steven and Brittany's affidavits with others, otherwise the allegations remain between you and them. On this note, can you please verify that you have removed the public website that contained your disparaging comments about Steven? This was required as part of the HRO.

I'm sorry that I cannot offer a better solution, Tim. I'm sure this is difficult. I'm happy to speak with an attorney who contacts me on your behalf, but there is simply not a legal process through the HRO to force relational reconciliation with an adult child. This is not to say that there is never a hope for healing and reconciliation, just not through the legal process.

Thank you,

Dan

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Mr. Van Loh,

The website you are referring to was offline before the conclusion of the HRO hearing. In fact, I had already notified the hosting company of my intent to cancel hosting prior to being originally served.

My intent in reaching out to you was to see if there was a way to handle this situation without my retaining a lawyer and suing Steven. Once I proceed in that direction there is no turning back, and it will become a publicly known matter. I firmly maintain that I am a victim and am innocent of all accusations of Steven. It is only out of a desire to peacefully restore a relationship with my daughter that I extend this one-time offer to settle things before hiring an attorney.

Regards,

Tim,

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

What are the damages that you are alleging and what is the legal basis for any claims? Are you just referring to the allegations in Steven's HRO petition because these allegations were repeated by Brittany as well. I'm still trying to understand what you feel that Steven has done that can be remedied for me to see if there is a solution.

Can you clarify this for me?

Dan,

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

As for the damages, let's start with the relationship between Brittany and I. Next there is the wedding I did not get to attend, and did not walk my daughter down the aisle. There's also the suicide attempt for which I ended up in the hospital. Other relationships have been affected, and so has my job. There have been other deep impacts on myself as well. This has been a living nightmare. And all as a result of Steven's lies.

The allegations in Steven's HRO are a lie. They are repeated in Brittany's because it appears they were copied from Steven's. At one point in Brittany's HRO it refers to "Brittany and I…" I'm convinced Brittany went along blindly with this and doesn't really know what the HRO says.

Let me be perfectly clear; I fully intend to take him to court and sue for damages resulting from his lies. It is only because I am a peaceful person that this opportunity to handle things outside a courtroom is being presented. With all due respect to you, sir, I really don't want to go back-and-forth through email with you like this. Either they want an opportunity to resolve this between us, or they are sticking with their story and not willing to move forward towards a resolution.

Thank you,

Tim Hutchinson

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Tim,

Thank you for the clarification. I will discuss your proposal with Steven and get back to you. I just needed to be sure what the legal issues are so that I can advise him. Feel free to check back with me next week if I don't get back to you by next Thursday.

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Mr. Van Loh,

Thank you. Hope you have an enjoyable weekend.

Kind regards,

Tim

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Mr. Van Loh,

Checking to see if there are any updates?

Tim

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Tim,

I apologize for my delayed response. I've discussed your invitation to arbitrate with Steven and, without a valid legal issue to resolve, I don't see arbitration as helpful or beneficial. I'm aware of your threats to sue Steven which appear to contradict your hope of reconciliation with your daughter, Brittany, as hurting Steven will hurt Brittany which would most assuredly undermine or end any future hope of reconciliation.

Thank you,

Dan

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Mr. Van Loh,

No valid legal issue? There has been documented slander and libel which has resulted in personal tort. The allegations in the restraining order are blatant lies, and that rises to the level of perjury.

All along Steven has not offered any potential resolutions; none whatsoever – he's only said no to my suggestions, or ignored them. It seems clear to me that he does not want this resolved. Why? Is it because he's afraid of the truth coming out?

As far as undermining or ending any future hope of reconciliation; I am offended that you and/or Steven would pretend to know more than I what's best for Brittany. I'm her dad. I co-created her, raised her, gave up my heart, mind and soul to help her in any way that I ever could as a father. I was there for her 100%, all of the time and she means more to me than anything in this life. We were like 2-peas-in-a-pod

until Steven began telling her horrible lies. My singular goal is for the truth to come out, so my daughter sees I am not the person Steven has wrongly convinced her of. I've tried settling this peacefully many times and Steven has put no effort at all into a resolution. If Steven is hurt in the process, then that's on him.

If I have to sue him, then that's only because he has refused every other suggestion that I've made, all while not offering any possibilities to resolve this. Brittany will eventually learn the truth – and that makes it all worth while. A lawsuit, however, is not my next move.

It's coming – soon. I will never give up on my daughter. Not ever! The truth WILL come out.

Tim

P.S. My other family members do not believe the lies Steven has told either – and they are supportive of my efforts to expose the truth.

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Tim,

I appreciate the reply and explanation. We'll have to agree to disagree on your understanding of what constitutes libel and slander. Understanding that I represent your daughter Brittany and cannot give you legal advice, I encourage you to give some thought to whether you are missing the opportunity to understand Brittany's perspective through this process.

That said, I do not think that any further dialogue on this issue will be helpful. I remind you of the terms of the HRO given your comment/threat that "a lawsuit, however, is not my next move." Per the HRO, you are to refrain from direct and indirect contact with both Steven and Brittany. Hence, both direct and indirect attempts of communication or behavior with the intention of reaching or impacting Steven and Brittany may be considered a violation of the HRO which may then have criminal penalties for any violations. On behalf of both Steven and Brittany, I am requesting that you refrain from any attempts to communicate with them.

I reiterate my comments that your threats of lawsuits or "other moves" undermine your efforts for future reconciliation with Brittany. She needs a break and respectfully requests that you respect her wishes, including with your threatened legal attacks against Steven.

Thank you,

Dan

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

In another, final email from Dan Van Loh he stated that Steven does not want reconciliation with me.

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Here is an update to what's been happening.

Let's start by going over a couple of things from the first HRO…

Brittany and Steven's attorney, Dan Van Loh, told me that if I contested the first HRO and won, that would have a serious impact on my daughter's ability to obtain employment or continue going overseas as a volunteer. She is an RN and has traveled to different countries to help others.

This is important to her, I am very proud of her for doing this, and it would be devastating to her if she could not continue doing so. Mr. Van Loh said that if I contested this matter and proved their claims were false (which they are), then anyone who did a background check would see that my daughter had filed false documents with the court and would not hire her and allow her to do any more overseas volunteering. (He later recanted this in an email stating that this would all remain private unless I let someone know.)

He told me that it was "a tough day" for a dad and that he could understand because his own daughter was getting married in only a few months. He also let me know that I was doing the right thing by accepting this HRO without contesting it. Go ahead and check out when his daughter got married - I mean, how else would I know this?

Based upon what he said to me, I agreed to the 2-year HRO uncontested and without finding of fact.

I also agreed to take down a private journal I had online. This private journal is where I documented the events that had happened since Steven Anderson began manipulating my daughter.

It is important to note that this journal was absolutely private and I only invited Steven over one time to show him that I had all this information and would make it public if he did not stop creating problems and agree to make peace with me. All I wanted was peace in my family and to have a relationship with my daughter.

He responded by copying the entire private journal and using it as evidence against me.

When I told his attorney, Mr. Van Loh it was a private online journal, he responded, and I quote; "That's what pen and paper are for." So, he clearly knew this was not a public website. I even explained that this journal was coded so the search engines would not list it in their search results.

And nowhere in this journal are there any threats against Steven or my daughter.

Almost 2 years went by and I was waiting for the HRO to expire to see how things could be resolved. During the last few months my sister decided to help by organizing a peaceful protest at the church. I

initially said no, but then agreed if it was peaceful and I was not involved. The idea here was to get them to agree to oversee mediation. I sent the following letter to Steven through his attorney.

Steven,

May 27th is almost here. Then the HRO will expire, and you will quickly realize that your lies are going to be exposed. I have plans, including protests at the church. I feel bad for them being dragged into this mess, however, they failed to do a proper investigation.

So, this is on them not me. I have the banners ordered and have family / friends who are going to protest the church every week – both locations. There's not been one person in the past 2 years who has told me they believe any of the bullshit lies you've told. Now we get to expose you.

If you have a suggestion on how to resolve this otherwise, you better speak up now. Once my plan goes into action, there is no stopping or turning back. This is your one and only chance to get ahead of this. Just don't waste my me with stupid self-centered ideas.

In the words of Janis Joplin; "Freedom is just another word for nothing left to lose."

There is one question you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out?

Tick-tock,

Mr. Timothy Hutchinson

The result was Brittany and Steven filed for an extension of the HRO, on an "emergency basis", as if they were both in imminent danger for their lives.

Part of their claim is that I was a CIA assassin and they have concerns I would kill them both and harm hundreds of children at a church. These are outrageous lies and they have no evidence or witnesses for this claim. In fact, they do not have any witnesses or evidence against me at all which would show that I am a threat to them, or to anyone. Because in reality, I am a peaceful person and the victim of lies.

My plan now was to ask for a trial and bring out the facts there. So, I asked my sister to not hold any protests, and she agreed.

When I asked for a trial, their lawyer, Mr. Van Loh, and the judge referee both stated and agreed that nothing from the first HRO would be allowed as evidence in the trial.

I submitted my evidence to the state collection system and sent a listing of this evidence to their attorney. Afterwards, I followed up with an email to their attorney's office, and the response was they had received it. What I did not realize at the time was that I should have also emailed the evidence to

their attorney along with the list of items. They could have mentioned this, but they chose not to. I mistakenly assumed that they would access it through the state evidence system.

During the trial, one of the first things that happened was the Judge Referee berated me in front of all present for my failure to send the evidence to their attorney. She spoke harshly towards me, and in a disrespectful manner that I did not deserve.

I was also found to be in violation of the first HRO because I shared my side of the story with 5 members of my immediate family, in private. These included my wife, 3 children (not Brittany though) and my only living brother, Steve. To avoid having to tell my story repeatedly, I made a video and shared it with to them on a HIDDEN web page that was additionally password protected. Clearly I did not want this video to be public. Once those 5 people saw the video I took it down. Somehow Brittany became aware of its existence.

In the court filing for extension of the HRO (which again was made on an emergency basis - literally for no reason at all other than dramatic effect) it mentioned the video and said they could provide a transcript if needed.

This was clearly an attempt to make it seem as though the video had illegal or violent content. Nothing could be further from the truth. Their statement, like many others, was intended to be misleading. Some of those who watched the video came to me afterwards and said this confirmed they were right to believe me and not the lies Steven was telling. Their words, not mine.

The video was not shown in court nor was a transcript presented, yet the Judge Referee said this was in violation of the HRO without knowing any of the content. She stated in the HRO extension that I had created this video (which is true) and shared it with Brittany (not true!), and so was in violation of the original HRO.

This is not only false, but no one in the hearing made this claim. The Judge Referee, made this up without any evidence and issued a ruling that was harmful to me. All without evidence or testimony.

During the trial their attorney presented their only piece of evidence, and that was my private journal that Steven had obtained through a violation of copyright laws. Their attorney then began questioning me at length about it. I did not know nor understand at that time that I could have refused to answer his questions.

Further, this private journal was included as evidence in the first HRO filing, and therefore should not have been allowed. But then, what other evidence do they have? None, really. But still, they went against a court ruling and the judge referee said nothing. They were basically given a free pass to do whatever they wanted.

When it was my turn, I introduced a few witnesses, who were spoken to harshly by their attorney, who also objected to questions I asked. Clearly this was not about the truth coming out. This was purely a seek and destroy mission for their attorney, and the judge went along with it, keeping step with whatever their attorney wanted to do. Any time he objected, it was sustained. Any and all of my objections were immediately overruled.

One of my witnesses was my sister, Sunnie. I informed the court that she just a few months prior had suddenly and unexpectedly lost her only daughter. I asked if she could read a statement as part of her testimony. That request was denied. I want you all to know what she was going to say, so I will read it here for the first time - and just know this is only some of the information the court never heard.

Here is her statement,

Good morning your honor. I am here today to make a statement in support of my brother, Tim Hutchinson. I have known him all of my life, which is now more than 50 years. Nothing in these court filings comes even close to who my brother really is.

To begin, I will share with you about my only daughter, Jessica. Several months ago she suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Children should bury their parents, not the other way around. This was one of the most devastating things I've experienced in my life. In that time of enormous grief, my brother Tim rushed to my side and remained there as a steady rock for me to hold onto. Without him I don't even know how I would have made it through all of this.

My brother Tim and I are close, and we talk on the phone at least 3 or 4 times a week. At least once a week during our calls, as Tim will be talking about his daughter Brittany, and how much he loves her and wants to see her, he will cry. Not just a little, but actually sobbing. He is truly hurt by these terrible lies about him.

I know my brother had contacted several attorneys looking for a way to resolve this matter outside of a court proceeding - he wanted this to be settled peacefully and privately as a family should. His desire was for this family to come together and resolve this using mediators. The attorneys told him that it was unlikely that Steven would voluntarily agree to this, and that going to the church he worked at would be the best solution. Especially since Steven had previously talked with the church about doing mediation.

My brother had said that he tried contacting the church several times previously and they wouldn't agree to any mediation or investigation. He said he wasn't sure what the next steps were and that he was going to wait until the restraining order expires and see if they can move forward with coming together as a family and working through this.

Because we are family, and especially that he helped me so much when my daughter died, I wanted to find a way to help ease his pain. My thought was to try and get the church to agree to do an

investigation or at least mediate this matter. So I contacted family members and friends to see if they would help with a protest at the church. Every person said yes, they absolutely believe Tim is innocent of these outrageous claims.

I then called Tim and explained what we were ready to do. He said no, don't do that. Just wait. As he has said all along, we should practice peace and calm - love and forgiveness. I waited a few days and asked him again. He still said no, don't do anything. So I went online and looked up laws concerning peaceful protests. From that I made a list and told others that if we did this that we would only remain on public property, act peacefully 100% of the time, and if approached by anyone we would be polite and courteous. This was always intended to be a peaceful protest to help my brother, not a riot.

I called my brother a third time and explained how we would act. He agreed and said that everyone there must promise to act in a calm and peaceful manner. My brother also said that he would not attend these protests, due to the lies about him being a danger to the church. He then sent an email to the church's attorney requesting they do an investigation, stating if they didn't there would be a protest. They declined his request. He also sent an email to Steven, through his attorney letting him know what the plan was. He also offered Steven an opportunity to share what ideas he had about how to resolve this. The response was to file another restraining order against my brother.

Tim said he is going to ask for a trial, and that I should call off the protests. So I cancelled them. It's a sad day when a court needs to get involved in order for the truth to come out between family members.

Your honor, I have never heard my brother say anything about being violent or do anything illegal. Instead, he has encouraged his family to remain peaceful and calm, and to act with love and forgiveness. I'm not sure if Tim wants me to say anything about this, but Steven had approached my brother asking him to send him money to help with living expenses. My brother said yes, absolutely. And he has been sending this help every month now for the past 2 and a half years. Even though they say horrible lies about him, he still helps. That's who my brother really is - not some demon crawling out of the pit of hell as they want you to believe.

Our family looks up to Tim and respects him greatly. And we stand beside him 100% because we know who he really is.

Thank you, your honor for the opportunity to come here today and speak this truth on behalf of a great man, husband, father, brother, uncle and American citizen.

Despite being denied a chance for her to read that statement, I was able to ask her questions about it and she answered truthfully.

When Mr. Van Loh asked my sister questions I felt as though he was being disrespectful, especially knowing that he daughter had passed away only a few months prior.

Here is a letter I was going to read after my sister read that letter – but of course none of this was allowed….

//////////////////////////////////////////////////

Your Honor,

What you just heard from my sister is a small example of the lies that have been told about me by Brittany and Steven. They take everything to the extreme worst case scenario then have their attorney file it requesting an emergency hearing. No emergency exists. All of the first and current filing for an HRO are fabrications, exaggerations, distortions and outright lies. I have the facts, evidence and witnesses to prove this, including my entire family who is tired of seeing me being bullied by these two.

My life these past few years has been like living under a microscope as they lay waiting for anything they can hyper-inflate into something nefarious.

I won't go into many details and will save that for trial, but would like to address two things;

My heart…. Why is this even in the HRO filing, is it because they don't have any real evidence? It seems this is all part of an elaborate smear campaign against me.

The gun….He escalated this simple event all the way up to where I am now supposedly a threat to 1,000 people? Based upon what evidence?

If they are so afraid of me…and there are 1,000 people at their church in danger, including hundreds of children…why haven't the police been notified?? Someone who is as dangerous as they allege I am should be reported to the authorities. But they didn't. I say it's because they know there would be an investigation and that would prove they are lying.

Same with their false claims of harassment. For example: where are the phone records?

How about he show phone records to prove his claim I was making an increasing number of harassing calls to him? In my life I've only called him 6 times. None of them were harassing. Two of them were to arrange for him to come borrow a ring from us so he could propose to Brittany. One was about some fundraising I was helping him with. Another was to ask if he wanted to hang out. One was to offer him some advice, the same advice my father-in-law gave me shortly before I married his daughter. Only one of those calls was to ask a simple question about their wedding. And Brittany's mom not only knew about this call, she is the one who asked me to make it. That call was very peaceful and uneventful. Where is the harassment? In reality, your honor, the phone records would show he was the one calling me. And he would say things like "you don't deserve a relationship with your daughter" and if I want Brittany in my life then I need to submit to him. He also said something similar to that last statement in an email which I will show at trial.

I look forward to the truth coming out during a trial. And I ask that no HRO be issued at this time. Please wait for the evidence to be presented at trial. Brittany and Steven are literally attempting to use this court to validate their lies.

Thank you for your time.

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

I had a few other witnesses, but again soon felt as though I could not really ask them to share the truth because of concern the attorney would treat them as he did my sister. So, I decided it would be best for myself to state the truth and spare my witnesses from being mistreated by their attorney.

As soon as I said that I was done presenting witnesses and would testify myself, the Judge ended the proceedings and said Mr. Van Loh and myself could make brief closing remarks. I was stunned and felt as though this proceeding was completely unfair. Their attorney asked for the HRO extension to be for life - meaning I could never see or speak to my daughter again. He also called my witnesses liars.

I was literally not allowed to defend himself, nor ask for a referral to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office.

The HRO extension was granted for another 2-years for my daughter and 10-years for Steven.

All because of lies told by Steven, their attorney acting in an unethical manner, and a Judge Referee that clearly did not care about truth, justice or my family.

Let's be clear, the only so-called "evidence" they had was a copy of my private journal, which was mostly a compilation of letters and emails Steven, Brittany and I had written each other as well as a chronological listing of events that occurred. Nowhere in this private journal are there any threats of any type.

That was all the evidence they had, and their only witnesses were themselves and me - and again I was not even informed that I did not have to testify. Had I been told that, then I would not have testified at all for them.

As far as them being witnesses, I can absolutely prove that Steven lied, and Brittany went along blindly with whatever he told her to say. Why else is it in the filing of the first HRO that she refers to herself in the third person, and has even told her mom that she copied and pasted most of that filing from Steven. I doubt she even knows what it says!

Their allegations are lies and hyper-exaggerations. For example:

Prior to the first HRO being issued, I called Steven to ask how he was doing. In the HRO he stated that I was making an increasing number of harassing phone calls. For what? Asking how he was doing one time?

One day he called me and said that I was a horrible father - that Brittany deserved better - and also said that if I wanted a relationship with her, then I would have to submit to him and allow him to control us.

I have an email from him stating something similar, where he says that if I care about my daughter then I will "Lay down everything"... which basically means the same thing: Submit.

I went to his apartment to let him know that he was loved and forgiven for the things he had done. In the court filing he stated that I had "gained access" to his apartment building, making it sound as though I had snuck in like some kind of a thief. When in fact, I had simply walked through the front door like anyone else does.

The same day I also went to Brittany's apartment to bring her flowers and tell her that she is loved. She was home but did not open the door. I later learned that she was afraid to answer the door. How can it be that the last time I saw her she hugged me...and the last thing she ever said to me was, and I quote, "Dad, I love you so, so, so, so much!" Then Steven convinced her to stop talking to me so they could "focus on their relationship."

Now suddenly, she is afraid of me? Without any other contact whatsoever with me at all after the hug and what she said to me?

This whole scenario screams MANIPULATION!! Even her mom says this is what Steven is doing.

And do the rest of my family. They all think the same.

Another time he called me, and during our conversation I only asked if we were going to be able to meet his parents before the wedding. In the HRO filing he stated that I was taking issue with their wedding plans.

Ummm….how?? That is literally not the truth at all.

One time while at my house he saw my handgun, in a holster, in a room other than the one he was in, and in fact never entered during that visit. He only saw it from a distance in another part of my house. And from that, he claims I am a CIA assassin who wants to kill him, kill my daughter, and bring harm to hundreds children at a church.

It is not typical at all for me to leave that gun out. Not at all! In reality I had left that out at the request of my wife, because she asked to see it. It had absolutely nothing to do with Steven……this is just another example of how hyper-exaggerated all their claims are.

See what I have had to put up with?

Again, I had all the witnesses and evidence that would have exposed these terrible lies about me. But none of it was allowed. How is that justice?

They had nothing and the only reason they prevailed was because of lies and apparent corruption.

It is my opinion they saw the evidence that I uploaded to the state's evidence system and were aware that one piece of evidence was contact info for the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office, along with a case number that had already been assigned from when I presented to them the evidence I have on this case. So, it is reasonable to assume they knew that I would be asking for a referral for this to the Sheriff's Office for a criminal investigation.

So how could they stop me?

Well, by not allowing evidence,

calling witnesses liars,

and by not allowing me to testify on my own behalf.

And that is exactly what they did.

How can any reasonable person call that justice??

During the trial I was having a hard time focusing on asking questions of my own witnesses or even defending myself - I mostly sat there experiencing incredible sadness anytime I looked at my daughter during the zoom call. It was heart-wrenching and I was clearly having a difficult time defending or even representing myself - and this should have been obvious to the judge, who did nothing to help bring forth the truth or facts of this case.

And their attorney clearly seemed to enjoy this and went into attack mode.

This was not about justice - this was not about the truth - this was all about attack and destroy, even if it meant abandoning the truth completely and throwing all decency as well as the ultimate importance and inviolability of the family unit out the proverbial window.

This is not how a court or an agent of a court should act, not at all. It is my firm belief they aided and abetted a person involved in criminal acts, who cunningly attacked a good, decent American family. An attack that resulted in a divorce after 31 years of marriage, a family home being stripped away after 24 years, a father-daughter relationship being destroyed after 22 wonderful years. And more heartbreak than I have ever known in my life.

Prior to Steven entering the picture I had am amazing relationship with all my children, and especially with Brittany. We were like 2 peas in a pod. And Steven ruined that through lies and manipulation.

So, considering the role their attorney and the judge referee had in how the system failed to protect the innocent, I have filed a complaint against their attorney with the Office of Lawyers Professional

Responsibility. That has now been assigned to the Hennepin County Bar Association Ethics Committee and at the time of this recording are awaiting Mr. Van Loh's response.

A complaint has also been filed against the Judge Referee with the Board of Judicial Standards. I am waiting to hear back from them.

Pending the outcome of these investigations, I plan to file an appeal in the appellate court seeking a new trial, and subsequent referral to the Sheriff's Office for a criminal investigation.

All I have ever wanted throughout this process was for the truth to be told, so I can have a relationship with my daughter.

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

After the trial I filed a complaint against both the judge and the attorney.

The complaint against the judge was filed with Board on Judicial Standards (651-296-3999) and the complaint against the attorney was filed with the Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility (800-657-3601).

This is the complaint I filed:

To whom it may concern,

I am sending the following information to initiate a complaint against Judge Referee Elizabeth Clydesdale (Ramsey County, MN) and Attorney Dan Van Loh (from the law firm Capistrant Van Loh). I am including information about both in the same document as the complaints against each stem from the same incident(s) / occurrences. The purpose of this complaint is to have these individuals held accountable for their actions pertaining to and surrounding Harassment Restraining Orders 62-HR-CV-21-362 and 62-HR- CV-21-361.

Important Background Information.

My name is Tim Hutchinson. I am a 63-year-old man who was with my wife over 31 years. We enjoyed a good life together. That is, until along came a man named Steven Anderson, who works at a Calvary church in Roseville. He began manipulating our daughter (Brittany) soon after dating her. She broke up with him and told me it was because Steven was "too controlling." She met with him again and he "shared his heart" and told her it was because of his upbringing that he was not able to properly express himself. Because of this she began dating him again. And is also the reason my family and I gave him a wide swath of grace and patience. Turns out he took total advantage of that and in the process has caused tremendous damage to our family, and we are now experiencing unimaginable pain. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close). He has her convinced, through lies, that I am a horrible person.

My daughter is an RN who works on a Covid unit at a Twin Cities hospital, and I've not seen or spoken to her since February 2021.

I've asked the church where he works to help mediate this, and they responded by having their lawyer tell me to stay off their property and to not communicate with them. This is because of the lies he told them.

My daughter's boyfriend had convinced her to file a restraining order against me based upon lies. Afterwards, they married, and I was not allowed at the wedding and did not walk my daughter down the aisle. The first HRO was in

2021. When it was set to expire they filed for an extension, and was granted that. All based upon lies and improper court proceedings.

As a direct result of the lies he told about me, my wife and I divorced and we sold our house where we lived for the past 24 years.

Steven Anderson was able to get away with this, in large part because his attorney lied multiple times, and because the court referee acted improperly. I am now filing a complaint against both.

Summary of complaints,

Reasons attorney Dan Van Loh is being reported;

Before I agreed to accept the first HRO, Mr. Van Loh told me that if I fought back and won the HRO it would show up on Brittany's background check and could affect her employment and future missionary efforts. This turned out to be a lie.

I told him before the first HRO hearing a website was not for the public, but instead was a private journal, and the only person I invited to see it was his client, Steven Anderson. He later falsely claimed it was a public website set up to defame Steven. He later used this false narrative to request an emergency hearing for an extension of the HRO.

He suggested, and the court referee agreed, that no information or evidence from the first HRO be allowed at the trial for the second HRO. But then my private journal was introduced as evidence as a public website and became the bulk of their claim against me.

He went along with all of the blatantly false accusations against me and threw reasoning out the window by pushing forward with their hateful and hyper-exaggerated claims.

Any time I attempted to find ways to reconcile matters, Mr. Van Loh would state in an email that my continued efforts to work things out with my daughter were only pushing her away. He intentionally made things worse and much more difficult for me to restore peace and harmony in my family.

He claimed I was a liar and so was my sister (who had just lost her only daughter, suddenly and unexpectedly. I mentioned this before she was brought into the virtual courtroom to testify.)

Reasons Judge Referee Elizabeth Clydesdale is being reported;

When it was my turn to present my testimony, she stopped the proceedings and did not allow me to present any evidence or personal testimony to refute the claims of the plaintiff. In short; I was denied my opportunity to testify.

She allowed information to be presented that she previously said would not be allowed.

Allowed their attorney to speak harshly about my witnesses without any evidence to prove his statements.

Did not explain that I was not obligated to answer any of their attorneys' questions.

Was not there to seek truth and ultimately justice, but instead allowed their attorney to do whatever he please, despite it being obvious I was unable to defend myself.

When I made an honest mistake of providing evidence in an improper way before the trial, she harshly berated me in front of the others present, including my daughter.

Facts;

Either prior to, or during the first HRO hearing their attorney, Dan Van Loh told me that if I contested this matter and won, that would have a serious impact on my daughter’s ability to obtain employment or continue going overseas as a volunteer. She is an RN and has traveled to different countries to help others. This is important to her, and it would be devastating if she could not continue doing so. Mr. Van Loh said that if I contested this matter and proved their claims were false (which they are), then anyone who did a background

check would see that my daughter had filed false documents with the court and would not hire her and allow her to do any more overseas volunteering.

Based upon that I agreed to the 2-year HRO uncontested and without finding of fact. I also agreed to take down a private journal I had online. This private journal is where I documented the events that had happened since Steven Anderson began manipulating my daughter. I am including a copy along with this letter and other evidence to show it is completely innocent. It is important to note that this journal was absolutely private and I only invited Steven over one time to show him that I had all this information and would make it public if he did not stop his manipulation and agree to make peace with me. All I wanted was peace in my family and to have a relationship with my daughter.

He copied the entire private journal and used it as evidence against me. When I told his attorney, Mr. Van Loh it was a private online journal, he responded, “That’s what pen and paper are for.”

Nowhere in this journal are there any threats against Steven or my daughter. Here is the text from the home page of this private journal

1 Peter 5:8 "...Your enemy the devil prowls around like a roaring lion looking for someone to devour."

and;

John 10:10 "The thief [Satan] comes only to steal and kill and destroy..."

This website is the true story of how one person told evil lies to ruin a great father- daughter relationship. What's even more shocking is that person works at Calvary Church; his name is Steven Anderson - director of youth services.

When the father (Tim Hutchinson) asked Calvary to assist in rectifying the problem, the church had their attorney tell him to not communicate with them, and to stay off their property. Clearly Satan is causing a division based upon lies. This website is being put up to not only expose the lies of the devil, but to also as a call to prayer for Calvary and Steven Anderson, who caused so much heartache.

The dad had become so distraught that he attempted suicide. The response from Steven Anderson and the church, was nothing. No signs of compassion at all. No reaching out to see how he was doing - no offer to pray with him - absolutely nothing at all.

Steven then had a restraining order put in place against that father, and married his daughter. The father could not attend the wedding, or walk his daughter down the aisle.

Despite all of this, the father made 11 attempts at settling things peacefully. Neither the church nor Steven will communicate with him, at all.

This website is a humble request for prayer - a plea for mighty warriors of God to call upon the heavens to intervene - to strike down evil influences and to lift up the broken! For restoration of the relationship that was stolen from the father. For wisdom in the church so they may have a heart more like Christ's - and for healing of Steven's mind so he may awaken and realize that evil has been influencing his decisions, to repent, and come back to the Lord.

Here's some information from the father...

Dear brothers and sisters in Christ, my name is Tim Hutchinson. I am a 60-year-old man, and have been with my wife for 32 years. Thus far we’ve enjoyed an amazing life together. That is, until along came a man who works at a church in MN. He began manipulating my daughter soon after dating her. Now my

family and I are experiencing unimaginable pain. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close).

He has her convinced (through lies) that I am a horrible person.

My daughter is an RN who works on a Covid unit at a Twin Cities hospital, and I've not seen or spoken to her since February, 2021.

I've asked the church where he works at to help mediate this, and they responded by having their lawyer tell me to stay off their property and to not communicate with them. I later learned that at least some of the negative actions against me were likely the result of advice given by counselors at the church.

My daughters boyfriend had convinced her to file a restraining order against me based upon lies. Afterwards, they married and I was not allowed at the wedding and did not walk my daughter down the aisle.

As you will see below I have this situation well documented.

I have been hurt at a profound level; first, the relationship between my daughter and I; the wedding I did not get to attend and did not walk my daughter down the aisle; the suicide attempt; other relationships have been affected, and so has my job. There have been other deep impacts on myself as well. I have become socially withdrawn. This has been a living nightmare. And all as a result of lies. Evil lies which I believe are due to a monster inside of the church and

influencing Steven Anderson. A monster known as Satan. Please, join me in prayer against the evil among us, and for restoration of that which was stolen from me!"

The only thing other than the home page on this private journal, was the journal itself – which again is where I documented the events that happened, including emails, phones and text messages we sent each other. That journal is included in this complaint as a separate file.

I took the journal offline during the HRO hearing process. Later, during the filing of the second HRO, Mr. Van Loh would claim this was a "public website" and again try to use this against me.

[Note: there are several times in the above text which refers to my journal as a "website" – that is there in case I made the private journal public, which I never did.]

During the two-year period of the first HRO I sent my daughter letters through her attorney, Mr. Van Loh, as allowed by the HRO. These letters were infrequent and just letting her know that I love her and some updates. At one point in time, I asked Mr. Van Loh to discuss the possibility of reconciliation with Steven. Because of the upsetting nature of my not being able to see or speak with my daughter, I had mentioned the possibility of a lawsuit against Steven. Mr. Van Loh's response was, in part; "…hurting

Steven will hurt Brittany which would most assuredly undermine or end any future hope of reconciliation." He also went on to say in another email that Steven does not want to reconcile with me.

As documented in the private journal, I tried 15 times to make peace with Steven, and he refused every attempt.

I did not violate the terms of the first HRO and was waiting for it to expire so I could once again find a way to have a relationship with my daughter. During those two years my family was asking me questions about the HRO and how things happened. It was upsetting for me to keep talking about it, so my idea was to have my youngest daughter interview me and make a video of that.

Which we did. I then created a private web page, without links coming into or out of that page (you literally had to know the exact web page address to find it). I then password protected that page and uploaded the 93-minute video

and shared the link and password to five of my immediate family members. Once they had watched it, I took that page down. During the time it was up (only a few days) someone shared the link and password with my daughter, Brittany. She showed it to her husband Steven, who then planned to use it against me in filing for an extension of the HRO. You can view this video in its entirety by copying and pasting this private link into your browser:

https://youtu.be/ZbYLElTAwO0

The above video is not available to the public. It is only available by my sharing the link above to certain individuals, including yourself for the purpose of filing a complaint.

It is important to know at this point that in January of 2023 my niece suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Her mom is my youngest sister. I stood by her side throughout this terrible time in her life. Months later she decided to try and help me by offering to organize some peaceful protests at Calvary church where Steven works. Her goal was to get the church to agree to help move Steven towards mediation. Her intentions were pure and honest. Initially I said no to this. Eventually I agreed when there were assurances that this would be peaceful and with the understanding that I would not participate.

I sent a letter about this to Mr. Van Loh. Shortly afterwards I was served papers for an extension of the HRO, which was to soon expire. I planned to ask for a trial in the hopes that the truth could finally come out. I called my sister and explained this, asking her to stop the plans for a protest.

Part of their claim is that I was a CIA assassin and they have concerns I would harm (or murder) hundreds of children at a church. These are outrageous lies and they have no evidence or witnesses for this claim. In fact, they do not have any witnesses or evidence against me at all which would show that I am a threat to them, or to anyone. Because in reality, I am a peaceful person and the victim of lies.

Except for the one daughter who was convinced to file an HRO against me, the entire rest of the family is on my side.

During the first hearing, I asked for a trial. Mr. Van Loh told Judge Referee Clydesdale that nothing from the first HRO should be allowed in this trial. She agreed.

Prior to the day of the trial, I submitted evidence through the Minnesota Digital Exhibit System. I also sent a list of the items submitted to their attorney, Mr.

Van Loh. When I did not hear back from him, I called his office. A woman there said that he was not available. She checked the email and said they had it and everything was fine.

On the day of the trial, I found out that I was also supposed to send the evidence to their attorney, and not just a list. I somehow thought they would be able to access it through the Minnesota Digital Exhibit System where I had uploaded it too. The Judge Referee spoke very harshly to me about this honest mistake. She mentioned that this matter would be concluded on that day but did not give any times or reference a length of time it would last. In the papers I was served it mentioned that this trial would last at least 3 hours.

One of the first things that happened was I was found to be in violation of the first HRO because I shared my side of the story with 5 members of my immediate family. To avoid having to tell my story repeatedly, I made a video (as previously mentioned) and shared with to them on a hidden web page that was additionally password protected. Once those 5 people saw the video I took it down. In the court filing for extension of the HRO (which was made on an emergency basis – literally for no reason at all other than dramatic effect) it mentioned the video and said they could provide a transcript if needed. This was clearly an attempt to make it seem as though the video had illegal or violent content. Nothing could be further from the truth. Their statement was intended to be misleading. Some of those who watched the video came to me afterwards and said this confirmed they were right to believe me and not the lies Steven was telling. The video was not shown in court nor was a transcript presented, yet the Judge Referee found this to be in violation of the HRO without knowing any of the content.

It should also be noted here that Brittany admitted to her mom that she did not write all of her HRO filing – Steven did and she just copied C pasted it. So

there remains the possibility that she does not even know what her HRO filing says.

During the trial their attorney questioned me at length about the private journal I had online previously. There was really nothing there that was a threat to anyone and seemed like a big waste of time. They had no evidence and no witnesses.

I believe the Judge Referee should have informed me that I was not under any obligation to answer Mr. Van Loh's questions and that I have a right to not submit to his questioning.

During the trial their attorney (Mr. Van Loh) acted rudely and was clearly not interested in truth and justice – this was purely a seek and destroy mission for him. It was almost as if he took pleasure in attacking a man who was clearly emotionally distraught, and the Judge Referee allowed this.

When it was my turn, I was able to call a few witnesses, and was told that I could not ask them questions about anything the Plaintiff's had not already introduced as evidence. This limited what I could do and felt like my opportunity to prove my innocence was being intentionally squashed. For example, I asked if my sister could read a statement. I explained that her daughter had passed away only a few months ago and she was still grieving. The Judge denied that request. Here is the statement she was going to read:

Good morning your honor. I am here today to make a statement in support of my brother, Tim Hutchinson. I have known him all of my life, which is now more than 50 years. Nothing in these court filings comes even close to who my brother really is.

To begin, I will share with you about my only daughter, Jessica. Several months ago she suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Children should bury their parents, not the other way around. This was one of the most devastating things I've experienced in my life. In that time of enormous grief, my brother Tim rushed to my side and remained there as a steady rock for me to hold onto. Without him I don't even know how I would have made it through all of this.

My brother Tim and I are close, and we talk on the phone at least 3 or 4 times a week. At least once a week during our calls, as Tim will be talking about his daughter Brittany, and how much he loves her and wants to see her, he will cry. Not just a little, but actually sobbing. He is truly hurt by these terrible lies about him.

I know my brother had contacted several attorneys looking for a way to resolve this matter outside of a court proceeding – he wanted this to be settled peacefully and privately as a family should. His desire was for this family to come together and resolve this using mediators. The attorneys told him that it was unlikely that Steven would voluntarily agree to this, and that going to the church he worked at would be the best solution. Especially since Steven had previously talked with the church about doing mediation.

My brother had said that he tried contacting the church several times previously and they wouldn't agree to any mediation or investigation. He said he wasn't sure what the next steps were and that he was going to wait until the restraining order expires and see if they can move forward with coming together as a family and working through this.

Because we are family, and especially that he helped me so much when my daughter died, I wanted to find a way to help ease his pain. My thought was to try and get the church to agree to do an investigation or at least mediate this matter. So I contacted family members and friends to see if they would help with a protest at the church. Every person said yes, they absolutely believe Tim is innocent of these outrageous claims.

I then called Tim and explained what we were ready to do. He said no, don't do that. Just wait. As he has said all along, we should practice peace and calm – love and forgiveness. I waited a few days and asked him again. He still said no, don't do anything. So I went online and looked up laws concerning peaceful protests. From that I made a list and told others that if we did this that we would only remain on public property, act peacefully 100% of the time, and if approached by anyone we would be polite and courteous. This was always intended to be a peaceful protest to help my brother, not a riot.

I called my brother a third time and explained how we would act. He agreed and said that everyone there must promise to act in a calm and peaceful

manner. My brother also said that he would not attend these protests, due to the lies about him being a danger to the church. He then sent an email to the church's attorney requesting they do an investigation, stating if they didn't there would be a protest. They declined his request. He also sent an email to Steven, through his attorney letting him know what the plan was. He also offered Steven an opportunity to share what ideas he had about how to resolve this. The response was to file another restraining order against my brother.

Tim said he is going to ask for a trial, and that I should call off the protests. So I cancelled them. It's a sad day when a court needs to get involved in order for the truth to come out between family members.

Your honor, I have never heard my brother say anything about being violent or do anything illegal. Instead, he has encouraged his family to remain peaceful and calm, and to act with love and forgiveness. I'm not sure if Tim wants me to say anything about this, but Steven had approached my brother asking him to send him money to help with living expenses. My brother said yes, absolutely. And he has been sending this help every month now for the past 2 and a half years. Even though they say horrible lies about him, he still helps. That's who my brother really is – not some demon crawling out the pit of hell as they want you to believe.

Our family looks up to Tim, and respects him greatly. And we stand beside him 100% because we know who he really is.

Thank you, your honor for the opportunity to come here today and speak this truth on behalf of a great man, husband, father, brother, uncle and American citizen.

Despite being denied a chance for her to read that statement, I was able to ask her questions about it and she answered truthfully. When it was Mr. Van Loh's turn he asked her some questions and then told the Judge that my sister was a liar. I had a few other witnesses, but again felt as though I could not ask them to share the real truth because of concern their attorney would only attack them, as he did my sister. So I decided it would be best for myself to state the truth. As soon as I said that I was done presenting witnesses and would testify myself, the Judge ended the proceedings and said Mr. Van Loh

and myself could make brief closing remarks. I was stunned and felt as though this proceeding was completely unfair and the result of a corrupt court. Their attorney asked for the HRO extension to be for life – meaning I could never again see or speak to my daughter again. He also called my witnesses liars.

In the end Steven got a 10-year extension and Brittany got another 2-years.

All because of lies told by Steven, his attorney acting in an unethical manner, and a Judge Referee that clearly did not care about truth, justice or my family.

Summary

In addition, even talking about this matter is emotionally upsetting. It took a long time for me to even begin to write this letter of complaint. Having someone that you love so dearly, being stripped out of your life because of a barrage of horrible lies is overwhelming. And to have that result in a failed marriage, loss of the family home, health problems and becoming depressed is unfathomable.

I am asking…no, begging for help with this. Please, let there be someone out there who still believes in truth and justice – someone in a position to help set the truth free. I am 100% certain that if the truth were told, then neither the original or the extension would have been granted. I would have a relationship with my daughter, my marriage would not have failed, we would still be in our family home, and my health would be better.

End notes:

Earlier in 2023 I contacted the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office and asked them to review this matter. I presented to them all the information that I have in as straightforward and honest way possible. Their response to me was that if I could have the Civil Court refer this matter to them, they would continue their investigation and likely arrest Steven. When I asked what, if anything, I had done wrong, they replied, "Nothing, you're a good, good father, sir." At the time I did not know if

there was to be another court hearing and had hoped the first HRO would expire, and we could work things out as a family. If that happened, then I would not have asked the court to refer this to law enforcement. However, when they filed for an extension, I decided to ask for this referral during the trial. I never had the opportunity to do so because I was not allowed to present my testimony.

I tried to hire a lawyer after the issuing of the HRO extension and spoke with several of them about an appeal. None would take the case because I had been found guilty of violating the original HRO. I tried to explain it was about the video, but they said it doesn't matter, it is still a violation. So, I decided to do something myself, and it seems my only recourse is to file this complaint. This would have been filed sooner, however there were several exacerbating events; a) I was divorced due to the stress of the lies in the HRO(s). b) Our family home was sold, and I became temporarily homeless. c) I face several health

challenges, one of them is I now have heart disease. d) My youngest daughter became addicted to meth, and I rescued her. (She is now clean, stable and working as a supervisor at a well-known hotel chain.)

Attachments: the backstory. HRO court filings (first and extension).

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

I was then contacted by phone by Mr. David Hashmall who had further questions about my complaint against their attorney. He said that if I had any else to add that I could email him. Here is what I sent the following day;

Hello Mr. Hashmall,

Thank you for your time yesterday - I appreciate your efforts investigating this matter.

In addition to my comments on the phone, I would also like to add the following observation. It is interesting that Mr. Van Loh sent so many pages, when it seems he could have directly answered the question(s) in a page or two. It is also interesting that he begins by insinuating that I am the problem.

However, back in reality, I have actually never threatened or harassed either my daughter Brittany, or Steven. Not ever. Those are all lies. They have no witnesses or evidence. None. I have all the witnesses and all the evidence which proves Steven is a liar and a manipulator.

My entire family believes me, not just because I say so, but also because they have read the HRO and in places where Steven claims a certain event or events happened, they realized they were actually there and are saying the events that Steven described literally never happened or were altered to make me appear to be an aggressor. They have also had their own personal negative experience(s) with Steven. According to them, when Steven is not around Brittany he acts much differently. Sort of a Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde effect.

There is a reason 2 of 3 of Brittany's siblings did not attend her wedding, and neither did anyone from my side of the family. Some have had their own personal negative experience with Steven, some have caught him in lies, and others noticed the lack of evidence, witnesses, and credibility of Steven, and made their own decision.

So, it seems Steven is a manipulator, and he conned the church where he works at, and then got Mr. Van Loh involved. Since they have no witnesses or evidence, how did they get two consecutive HRO's against me? Through lies, calling my witnesses liars and by the judge referee not allowing my evidence and not allowing me to testify.

At one point in time, I had stated that if Steven wants to treat me like a game, then I will show him how it's played.

So, I took all of the evidence that I had and brought it to the Ramsey County Sheiff's Office. The end result is they told me that if I could get the Civil Court which issued the HRO to refer this matter to them, they would complete the criminal investigation and likely arrest Steven. It is important to note here that I presented ALL of the evidence, not just bits and pieces as Steven does through his attorney, Mr. Van Loh. And when I asked what I had done wrong, the response was "Nothing sir, you are a good good father."

I was never allowed the opportunity during trial to request this referral.

My family knows the truth, stands with me 100% and are losing faith in the "justice" system. They have seen how Steven cleverly phrases things to make me look like a horrible person. I walk in to a building and Steven claims I "gained access" as if I were a criminal breaking in - or when he called me and I asked if my wife and I would be able to meet his mom and dad before the wedding, and he then claimed I was taking issue with wedding plans - or once I called him and asked if we could get together and hang out, he stated in the HRO that I was making an increasing number of harassing phone calls. He also told my daughter that he asked me for money and that I promised to help but didn't. In reality, I sent him money every month for 2 1/2 years and have the receipts to prove this. My family sees the avalanche of lies and manipulation. They know the truth.

I actually feel sorry for Mr. Van Loh getting caught up in all of this and ask that you go easy on him. Yes, there needs to be accountability, but Steven is a good liar and manipulator, and it seems Mr. Van Loh fell into his trap.

Kind regards,

Tim Hutchinson

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

It should also be noted that I gave Steven money every month through Reliant for 2 ½ years. At one point he told Brittany that I had promised to send him money every month to support them, but I had broken that promise. If you look at the receipts in the folder titled Reliant Giving, you will see annual receipts for 2021, 2022 and 2023. There were some donations in 2020 but I do not have any receipts for that. I continued to send him money every month for over 2 years and only stopped when my wife moved out of the family house in June, 2023. I could not find it in me to continue sending him any more money. I sent money every month on the 20th because that is the day that Brittany was born. Reliant is an organization that people will use to raise funds to support their missionary efforts.

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

A letter I sent to my kids; Victoria, Alex and Vanessa after I learned they were not all getting along very well.

Hi Kids,

I want to reach out to you about relationships in this family. As a father, it breaks my heart to see my kids at odds with one another. In the bible it says to "Honor Thy Mother and Thy Father". Part of that is to be thankful for their role in creating you. Another component is to honor their vision for the family they created. Though you've grown and branched out into your own lives, there remains a life-long connection to our family.

Victoria, Alex and Vanessa; please make peace with one another. And if you are not close with Brittany, then reach out to her and strengthen that connection - make amends if needed. I mean, really put forth an effort. Recently I coined a phrase; "If someone you loved died tomorrow, would you be happy with how you treated them today?" Meditate on that for a while.

Just so you know, I have made a final attempt to resolve things with Steven - if he declines, I will be hiring a lawyer and filing a lawsuit against him. I swear to my family with all my heart, mind, body and soul that I've not done the things he alleges. I am not a monster. When the truth comes out, Brittany will be heart-broken, and I really want the family to surround her with love and compassion. Having a connection with her will make that easier. Please be there for her.

This is an incredibly sad experience for our family, and especially Brittany. We need to be strong for her and for each other. Even though my heart has been shattered at a profound level, I will still forgive her and receive her back into my life with open arms. That is how family is supposed to be; family means you will love and be loved for the rest of your life, no matter what.

Perhaps things don't start off where all of you are buddy-buddy, besties, BFF's...but maybe you start with text messages, a few phone calls, and let's start having dinners together - how about the last Sunday of the month? I'm open to any suggestions.

I love you - all of you - with all my heart. This family is the best thing that's ever happened to me. Period. Despite the challenges. I will stand and fight for any of you, anytime, and will love you no matter what. So let's start finding ways to include each other, instead of excluding.

Hopefully you will receive this in the spirit of how it was intended; with love, compassion, caring and a desire to unite.

Love,

Dad

//////////////////////////////////////////////////

If all else fails, then I have already prepared this final letter to my family;

If you are receiving this email, it is because you are either a family member or friend. As you all know I have been the victim of lies and a false Harassment Restraining Order (HRO). My attempts to have the truth made known have fallen short of the goal. It seemed my only remaining recourse was to file a complaint against the lawyer and court referee, which I have done. If that fails, I have made an end-of-life decision.

That decision is to go to sleep one night and just not wake up. While this may seem at first glance like a suicide note, it is not. There is no suicide involved. This, to me, is like having a terminal illness. It never gets better, no hope for improvement and every day becomes a little more unbearable. People in this much pain should be allowed to make the decision to cross over at a time of their choosing. I am at peace about this decision - am not depressed or in any danger of immediately harming myself. If this does happen, it is still several weeks away.

[Note: I am not at the point yet where I will cross-over, so please don't call the police asking for a well check. That is not the intent of this email.]

A few may think this is a selfish act because my passing will cause them some pain. But every day I wake up and must think of a reason to move forward. It always comes down to two things; a) there is a still a chance the truth might come out, and b) my crossing over to the next life would cause some emotional pain for those left behind. I have carried this burden too long by myself. I am worn down, beaten up and have nothing left.

If you think about it, I am the one suffering the most, and yet am the only one who did not have a choice. The bastard made a choice to lie and manipulate, my daughter made a choice to believe and marry him, their lawyer made a choice to lie, the court referee made a choice to act improperly (by not giving me a fair chance to testify), and my family / friends made a choice to stand on the sidelines and not do anything to help.

The one notable exception is my daughter, Vanessa. That girl is a fucking rock star! Not only did she read the HRO's, but she also helped me gain a deeper understanding of the lies they contained, and even helped make a video about these lies. I am forever grateful to her. She did more than just offer empty words - she took action. I both admire and respect her for that. Thank you, Vanessa! I will love you always.

I powered through soul-crushing pain the past three (3) years and finally reached a point where I could file, as I previously mentioned, a complaint against the lawyer and referee. God willing, the governing boards will see the lies and corruption and act accordingly. All I ever asked for was a fair chance to tell the truth.

Oh, and to anyone who ever suggested I just forget about my daughter, you can go fuck yourself and just exit my life. You are truly low-life pond scum and I have zero respect for you.

Please don't call me and try to have a conversation about this. It will turn ugly and I will just hang up. So, let's keep our conversations pleasant. And especially don't try to tell me this is suicide and that it is a sin, and I won't go to heaven for this. Because that's a conversation that I will have with God.

Hopefully this doesn't sound too brash, but before anyone tries to preach to me, just remember those three (3) years where my heart, mind and soul were being crushed.

In closing, I have reached a point where I just can't keep going on the same way every day. Nothing is getting better - I am in the same amount of pain that I was three (3) years ago. There is no light at the end of the proverbial tunnel. Counseling and medication won't do anything to make this situation better. Those are just cheap band-aids to try and make me forget about how much my heart is broken. If a person is medicated to the point where they stop caring, then they are no longer human and are nothing more than a drugged-out zombie. No thanks.

If the time does come where I cross over, my hope is for people to use that as a time of reflection to reevaluate what the words "family" and "friend" really mean and go rediscover each other in a healthy way.

Tim

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Misc letters I sent to Brittany;

August 21, 2021

Hi Brittany, Been a while and I wanted you to know that I think about you every day. Literally. Not surprising considering how much I love you. Sometimes I ask mom how your headaches are - truly feel badly that you have those so much. Wish there was something that could be done. A goal of mine is to lose weight. There is a lady on Facebook who's always sharing pics of others who are losing a lot of weight. I mean, their transformation is incredible. So, I reached out to her and she let me know about the plan. Talked with your mom and she said that she'd join as well to be supportive of my goal. After the first week I lost 7 lbs! Out of curiosity I looked up the ingredients to the pre-packaged foods we were eating. Turns out every meal has a lot of calcium in it. That's bad for me due to being very prone to kidney stones (yikes!) So now am off the plan - however, your mom was/is losing weight and decided to remain on it. So, it worked out for her and I'm happy about that. I going to figure something else out - likely all salads and daily trips to the gym :-) Won't end up looking like a body-builder, but that's OK, I just want to look in the mirror and be comfortable with how I look. So, your mom and I have been discussing my retirement, and decided it can happen in November of 2022. It's going to be so weird waking up and not having to run off to a job. I've been working over 40 years now - most of it in the same industry. I hope things are worked out by then so my whole family can come to the retirement

party. That would mean a lot! After retirement I'm going to increase the amount of volunteering I do and will likely start up again at the hospice. If I ever become a grandpa, I'll open up "Grandpa Day Care" for my grandkids (free of course!) The ministry is getting closer to launching. My gosh, it's been a long time in the making, right?! But it WILL happen! I feel blessed that we hired a consulting firm - they have helped the ministry move forward by leaps and bounds. If things continue going forward at the pace they're on now, the launch could happen as soon as next month. Otherwise, October would be the absolute latest. Just thinking about all the people this ministry will reach for Christ quite literally gets me teary-eyed. This has been at the forefront of my daily efforts for months and months. When it finally happens, I'll be overcome with joy!! Once the ministry is launched, there is something I've been wanting to do for a long time; fill out the "My Dad - in his own words" book you gave me. It sits on a ledge in front of my desk, and I look at it every day. If you ever have children, I hope you'll let them read it. I want them to know how much I love my kids, and how much joy they brought into my life, despite the challenges. That's it for now. Going back to copywriting and moving through the action steps my coach gave me. Love you, Dad

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

12 / 7 / 2021

Brittany, I have a heart condition. This isn't exactly the way I wanted to tell you but thought you should know. I first became aware after having chest pains that radiated both into my left arm as well as my left shoulder. After a trip to the ER, a cardiologist visit and testing (including a heart scan) they discovered the problem. About a year ago I had some routine tests done just to check where I was at as a 60-year old, and my heart was perfectly fine. Since then, the results are 247% worse. They are telling me this is stress related. At this level it's treatable. If I do nothing and it progresses to the next level, well, I don't want to think about that. If you have any doubts about the authenticity of what I am saying, ask your mom. She was at the ER with me; she met the cardiologist; was there during the testing and read the results. It's real. This past year has been the worst year of my life. By far. I sleep, at most, 3 hours per night. Most nights I lay awake in bed between 1 - 4 AM thinking sad thoughts. But none of that is your fault. Not any of it. In fact, and I want you to know this; you don't owe me an apology or any explanation for your actions. Not at all. You've not done anything wrong. You are truly a wonderful person, and I am still very proud of you. I've been patient, peaceful and prayerful. My reward has been a heart condition. Sorry I had to tell you this way. Love you, Dad

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

11/18/2021

Hi Brittany, Well, today is my birthday and all I really want is to say that I love you. Very much. Every day I think about you. Literally every day. And I miss you more than you know. I haven't smiled in months.

Just can't. I am so sad that I cannot talk to you, hug you, or have us spend time together. At Victoria's wedding I saw you crying. It was really hard for me to not come hug you. But I couldn't do that because if I had, then I would be in violation of the restraining order and could possibly have gone to jail. Then I wouldn't have been able to walk Victoria down the aisle. Seeing you so sad really hurt me. In fact, several times I went into the bathroom and cried. For real. I hoped later in the evening we would encounter each other on the dance floor and possibly have a dance together. That would have been amazing. But I understand you had to leave early. I know you've been hurt, and I am so sorry for my role in that. The restraining order is 3/4 of the way over. In about 6 months it will be done. Perhaps we should go to some counseling to prepare for us to have a relationship again. I'm open to working things out with Steven as well, but he and I will have to figure that out ourselves. Well, I love you and pray for you often. I hope this is the last birthday I'll ever have to spend without you. Love, Dad

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Misc.

I am sorry that Van Loh got involved with this matter. Van Loh is literally parroting the lies that Steven is telling and presenting them as facts. Now he is in deep with a person I can prove is a liar and a manipulator.

"If you want a relationship with Brittany then you need to submit to me and let me control you both." He also said in an email that if I cared about my daughter that I would "lay down everything" which to me says the same thing.

Bob Roby - Cambridge Law (Minnesota Mediation Services) My sister contacted him to try and help me because of how I stood by her after her daughter passed away. That's also why she organized the protests (which I initially said no to, and once I agreed also said that I would not be a part of it.) Mr. Roby is the person who suggested (twice) that I file a complaint against Mr. Van Loh for what Mr. Roby said was “misconduct”.

False claims about my harassment stemming from their wedding plans. I literally talked to Steven one time about the guest list (explain why, including her AVM), and another time when he called me, I asked if we would be able to meet his parents before the wedding. How is that a problem??

2 of 3 siblings did not attend the wedding, and no one from my side of the family did either. 2 of Brittany's siblings read the HRO and know that at least some of the situations Steven described are lies because they were present and say the event was absolutely not the way he described it at all. They also do not like him because he is rude to them.

Victoria got married and I did not cause any issues whatsoever. I did, however, see Brittany cry several times about how sad she was that we did not have a relationship. I was later told she actually broke

down crying 8 times and left shortly after the wedding ceremony. Brittany goes to counseling every week because she is traumatized by not having a relationship with me. And all because of lies that Steven tells and Van Loh protects him!

Van Loh said to me that if I fought back against the HRO that would impact my daughter.... also said a tough day for a dad, and he understands that because of his daughter either recently getting married or would be getting married in the next 2 months...he also said that I was doing the right thing.

They have no witnesses and no evidence. I have all the witnesses and real evidence. Van Loh's actions were partly responsible for my not being able to present these at trial.

My witnesses include family members who read the HRO and in the parts where Steven describes an event, they realize it did not happen the way he described it - they see he is lying.

Steven asked for my help with money and I agreed. I later learned that he told Brittany that I had promised to send him money and had not done so. In fact I had sent him money - every month for 2 1/2 years!

He is a mediator? Then why wasn't he trying to mediate this and bring resolution to this matter? Instead, he believed lies that should have been obviously made up and moved forward with an HRO.

Ramsey County Sheriff's Dept. "You did nothing wrong sir, you're a good good father!"

Jen saying "If Brittany sat in jail, maybe then she would begin to realize that Steven is manipulating her."

I tried to make peace 15 times.

31 years of marriage, 24 years in the house, 22 year relationship with my daughter, and so much heartache my family has concerns about me possibly becoming suicidal. I ended up being homeless because of this.

My relationship with Jake, David Zimmerman (when Brittany broke up with him and also when he damaged my truck), and when James Anderson and how I helped when he and Vanessa became homeless (I hugged him, etc. and when Vanessa broke up with him I still paid for his hotel room and gave him money).

At the end of the day all I really want is a fair trial - a real chance to bring out the truth. To present many witnesses including a biologist with 5 college degrees, a retired heart surgeon and a NASA scientist.

Their only evidence was my private journal and the lies Steven told and manipulated my daughter into believing. During the time when I asked for a trial, Van Loh and the judge agreed nothing from the first HRO could be used in the trial. And that was ALL of their evidence; my private journal from the first HRO.

Cory J read all of the evidence and wants to talk with his Hollywood friends about making this into a movie.

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Leaving my job;

I worked for many years in the transportation industry, and for several years (2020 – 2022) worked as a safety and compliance manager at a local company. I began taking time off due to the stress of this situation, and also began making mistakes. I left suddenly in 2022 without notice. From there I worked at a few random jobs before accepting another manager position at a company I had previously worked at, in the same position. They welcomed me back based upon my previous performance. It was a job I enjoyed very much.

They flew me out to Pennsylvania for a week of orientation and training, since a few things had changed in my absence. After a short amount of time it was becoming obvious to me that I would also struggle at this job as well. So I accepted a lower position as a driver. I previously had done that exact job before for this company, and had moved approximately 30,000 trailers for them during my years with them before. This time would be different though – during my first week after stepping into a lower, non-management position, I made a critical mistake which caused serious damage to a trailer. I was fired that day. This is the first time in my life that I have ever been fired from a job.

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Ultimatum email to Steven (prior to first HRO)

The time has passed for us to settle things via mediation.

The time has passed for my apologizing (for things I NEVER did).

The time has passed for me being patient (while you do nothing).

It is now time for an ultimatum.

Here's what I am prepared to do….

Remove my blessing from the engagement. Think about this carefully so you understand what this means.

File a lawsuit against you seeking damages of more than $250,000. I have medical records showing the effects these past weeks/months have had on me. There are witnesses and mental health professionals who will testify that you is the cause of it. My medical records from holding a gun to my head and ending up in the psych ward list you as the cause. A jury would most likely be very interested in hearing

about that. [Bonus: you'll have to testify, under oath, and Brittany will finally see everything you've been lying about.]

File a lawsuit against Calvary Church seeking damages of more than $1,000,000 dollars. They were aware of your activities and failed to respond. Good luck to them explaining that to a jury.

Go public with the facts surrounding this matter. This includes the complete family (on both sides), and members of Calvary church. I will also spend a minimum of $1,000 boosting posts about this on various social media and news outlets, with a link to a website, where this info will be posted for all to see. www.DirtySteven.com – I own the domain name – website coming soon.

I will have banners made and placed on top of trucks/cars that will be parked on public property near both campuses for Calvary Church – fully visible to all church attendees – alerting them to www.DirtySteven.com .

This information will also be passed along to any place you ever works at for the rest of his life. You will never be able to outrun this truth. In the event of my death or disability, I have associates who will continue doing this on my behalf.

Contact Reliant with all the facts and lawsuit details, and ask they close your account and prevent you from any further fundraising. If necessary, with the help of my attorney, we will contact a judge and seek an injunction against any fundraising efforts.

Other planned activities that will be disclosed at a later date/time.

[To be perfectly clear, everything listed above will be accomplished through legal means. I do not condone violence or any illegal activities. I am an otherwise peaceful person who has been unfairly put in a position of having to fight back for his family. But that does not render me powerless nor helpless. Steven has given me plenty of ammunition which I can and will use in a lawful and highly effective manner.]

The steps listed above will not happen all at once – there will be a period of time between them which I will determine and enact without advance notice.

There is only one way to stop this from happening. Only one.

Steven must agree to the following:

Put a hold on the wedding for one-year.

Steven must go through counseling, present the facts which I have, and be tested/treated for narcissistic tendencies.

I must attend a minimum of one of these counseling sessions with him.

Before the wedding happens, Steven must issue me a written apology.

Nothing on these pages or in the ultimatum is negotiable. This is literally a “take-it or leave-it” scenario. Steven should consider himself fortunate that I even allowed him a glimpse inside of my playbook.

I have worked tirelessly for weeks preparing for this because my family means everything to me.

Two things I am certain of; 1) I love my family more than life itself. 2) I will NEVER stop fighting for them, and right now there is an EPIC storm on the horizon.

To accept, reply to this email with the word “YES” in the subject line. I WILL NOT read the body of any email reply sent. Anything other than the word “YES” in the subject line will be considered a “No”, and I will move forward with the above mentioned steps. You have exactly seven (7) days, zero hours, zero minutes to accept.

[Note: Once the process begins of enacting the above steps, there is no stopping it. Nothing you say or do beyond the time-frame allowed will alter the events about to happen.]

Steven; it’s your move – choose wisely.

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Website – last copy

The "Monster" is Satan

1 Peter 5:8 "...Your enemy the devil prowls around like a roaring lion looking for someone to devour."

and;

John 10:10 "The thief [Satan] comes only to steal and kill and destroy..."

This website, "A Monster Inside" is the true story of how one person told evil lies to ruin a great father-daughter relationship. What's even more shocking is that person works at Calvary Church; his name is Steven Anderson - director of youth services.

When the father asked Calvary to assist in rectifying the problem, the church had their attorney tell the father to not communicate with them, and to stay off their property. Clearly Satan is causing a division based upon lies. This website is being put up to not only expose the lies of the devil, but to also as a call to prayer for Calvary and Steven Anderson, who caused so much heartache.

The dad had become so distraught that he attempted suicide. The response from Steven Anderson and the church, was nothing. No signs of compassion at all. No reaching out to see how he was doing - no offer to pray with him - absolutely nothing at all.

Steven then had a restraining order put in place against that father, and married his daughter. The father could not attend the wedding, or walk his daughter down the aisle.

Despite all of this, the father made 11 attempts at settling things peacefully. Neither the church nor Steven will communicate with him, at all.

This website is a humble request for prayer - a plea for mighty warriors of God to call upon the heavens to intervene - to strike down evil influences and to lift up the broken! For restoration of the relationship that was stolen from the father. For wisdom in the church so they may have a heart more like Christ's - and for healing of Steven's mind so he may awaken and realize that evil has been influencing his decisions, to repent, and come back to the Lord.

Here's some information from the father...

Dear brothers and sisters in Christ, my name is Tim Hutchinson. I am a 60-year-old man, and have been with my wife for 32 years. Thus far we've enjoyed an amazing life together. That is, until along came a man who works at a church in MN. He began manipulating my daughter soon after dating her. Now my family and I are experiencing unimaginable pain. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close). He has her convinced (through lies) that I am a horrible person.

My daughter is an RN who works on a Covid unit at a Twin Cities hospital, and I've not seen or spoken to her since February, 2021.

I've asked the church where he works at to help mediate this, and they responded by having their lawyer tell me to stay off their property and to not communicate with them. I later learned that at least some of the negative actions against me were likely the result of advice given by counselors at the church.

At one point in time I became so distraught over this that I ended up in a park at night, holding a gun to my head about to commit suicide, and ended up in the hospital.

My daughters boyfriend then convinced her to file a restraining order against me based upon lies. Afterwards, they married and I was not allowed at the wedding and did not walk my daughter down the aisle.

As you will see below I have this situation well documented.

I have been hurt at a profound level; first, the relationship between my daughter and I; the wedding I did not get to attend, and did not walk my daughter down the aisle; the suicide attempt; other relationships have been affected, and so has my job. There have been other deep impacts on myself as well. I have become socially withdrawn. This has been a living nightmare. And all as a result of lies. Evil lies which I believe are due to a monster inside of the church and influencing Steven Anderson. A monster known as Satan. Please, join me in prayer against the evil among us, and for restoration of that which was stolen from me!

VIDEO: INTERVIEW

HISTORY

From here to end of page was the history (my private journal)

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Letter to Calvary Pastor Shawn White

To; Pastor Shawn Winters

From; Timothy Hutchinson

Re: Steven Anderson, youth pastor

Dear Brother in Christ;

I come to you today seeking help with a troubling situation. My daughter is engaged to your youth pastor, Steven Anderson. He has been, in my opinion, acting very inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. Instead, he has chosen to ruin an otherwise healthy father-daughter relationship, and cause strife in an otherwise loving family. I am a 60-year-old Christian man who has been with his wife for 32 years, lives in White Bear Township and together we have raised 4 beautiful children.

Attached you will find the facts laid out as openly and honestly as I can possibly state them. I ask that you review these and prayerfully consider helping bring resolution to this matter. I would prefer to handle this quietly through the church, rather than publicly and/or through any legal proceedings.

If you have any questions or comments, feel free to reach me at anytime on my cell phone, 651-724-1325 or by emailing mrhutchinson@gmail.com .

I pray for help during these difficult days.

Kind regards,

Timothy Hutchinson

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Letters to Calvary church (before the first HRO)

Dear Calvary Church Elders;

I am writing you today about an ongoing situation with one of your staff members, Steven Anderson (Young Adults Ministry Director). He is engaged to my daughter and has been acting inappropriately. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close). Steven has her convinced I am a horrible person - yet neither one can state even one thing I've done wrong. Literally not one thing.

I became so distraught over this, that about one-month ago I ended up in a park at night, holding a gun to my head about to commit suicide. Thankfully, I did not and subsequently spent time in the hospital in the psych ward. Mental illness has not previously been an issue in my life, yet here I am, under the care of a psychologist.

I am a 60-year-old man, who has been with his wife for 32 years. (I am a safety & compliance manager, and she is a high school science teacher). Together we have 4 children and have lived in the same house in White Bear Township for 22 years. Thus far we've enjoyed an amazing life together (blessed by God), until Steven Anderson came along with his manipulative ways. Now we are experiencing unimaginable pain.

Previously I reached out to your senior pastor (Shawn White) several times, and your executive pastor (Jeff Morgan). Neither of them responded to me. Despite requesting this remain confidential, the senior pastor allowed his staff to read the details I sent over. This caused significant embarrassment to my daughter, and further deepened the divide between her and I.

Attached to this email is an updated document which tells the history of this situation and details the many steps I've taken to resolve things. To date Steven has made exactly zero attempts at working through this.

At this moment I am at a crossroad and need resolution. I am requesting Calvary church do the following;

1) Explain why I've not gotten a response to my multiple requests for help.

2) Review the back-story, and either:

```
  a) Facilitate mediation.

  b) Issue an opinion on whose actions caused the problem(s). If you say it is my
fault, then state the exact reasons why. If you say this is all Steven's fault \-
that will conclude this matter with you 100%, for that would affirm the truth, and I
can use this to repair the relationship with my daughter (which is all I really
want).
```

If you choose to do neither and once again ignore me, I will have literally no choice but to take legal action. Steven works there and used his position while committing narcissistic acts that tore my family apart. In my letters to both pastors, I clearly stated I would like this to be settled privately and avoid any legal matters. That remains my position.

However, the damage has already been done, and if you are not going to help resolve this, then I'll turn things over to a lawyer. I would very much like to avoid that scenario. So, please, help me help you avoid legal action.

Kind regards,

Tim Hutchinson

mrhutchinson@gmail.com

651.724.1325

CC: Jon OchsMark AndersonLisa HorsagerGuy BristolJason SchmidtCarole SpickelmierShawn White

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Jennifer researched how to file a lawsuit and obtained the paperwork. I provided the wording and she filled everything out. I then had my brother Steve serve the papers on Steven at his lawyers office. He then filled out an affidavit of service.

Afterwards, their lawyer mailed me a response which said that I was in violation of the HRO and offered me a chance to stop the lawsuit and walk away. I decided to stop it then as I was not sure whether I could be in trouble for this or not. Prior to having Jennifer fill out paperwork, I had called the civil court where the HRO was issued and was told that even though there is an HRO in place, I can still legally start a lawsuit against the person who has an HRO on me. They said they cannot offer legal advice, but generally speaking it is allowed. That’s why I proceeded with the lawsuit in the first place.

See Files for More Info.

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

3/21/2025 Today was a trial for my sister, Sunnie. An HRO was filed against her by Steven and Brittany, because our brother Steve shared my private journal and some of the evidence with her, and she

decided to put up a website (iaminnocent.net) and make some videos. She then shared those videos on TikTok and in a Facebook group she created.

An HRO was issued Ex Parte and she requested a hearing, at which she planned to ask for a trial. When she discovered the referee was the same one who oversaw my trial, she asked for a replacement, and that was granted. At the hearing she requested a trial and a date was set for 3/21/2025 at 10 AM. Since being served the papers the first time for this she has not made any more videos and has also not been active in the Facebook group.

The trial was by Zoom. At the trial she was not allowed to make an initial statement or even testify herself. When I was brought into the hearing I was told that my sister was limited to what questions she could ask me. She had a list of approx.. 30 questions to ask, and when she began asking me questions, most of them were not allowed by the referee. Some of the time, when I was answering a question, the referee would interrupt and say that's enough, and tell my sister to ask the next question. She ended up being able to ask me about ten questions, and of those, most were denied by the court. In all, her time of questioning me lasted about 10 minutes.

I later learned that the Plaintiff's and their attorney were allowed about any hour during which they could ask any questions they wanted. My sister reports that my daughter claimed she feels as though I am manipulating her and that she has headaches caused by this and it is affecting her work. My daughter has had headaches for many years, starting around the age of 12, and because of that we discovered she has an AVM on her brain stem.

The result was obvious; the HRO remained in place. I helped my sister later that day delete the TikTok account and also the Facebook group. The website was already down due to the hosting not being paid, so it was shut off already.

Also, later that day my sister was served with the final restraining order papers at her townhouse in North Branch, MN.

Later the same day I helped her delete the TikTok account and the Facebook Group.

I had become aware of the website and videos because of a Facebook post. I went to the group and joined it because it mentioned me and this way I could be aware of what they were saying about me. While there I randomly "Liked" a post thinking the Algorithm would be more likely to show me posts from that group, again so I could be aware of what they were saying. I left that page and never went back. Sometime after that, I think within a few weeks, I left Facebook and have not logged back in since. I watched about 1 ½ of a TikTok video and it was obvious she was reading from my personal journal, and did not watch any other videos on that page.

See Files for More Info.

//////////////////////////////////////////////

Hi, here is an update to what's been happening since the last video I made a few years ago. Yes, it's been that long!

Let's start by going over a couple of things from the first HRO…

Brittany and Steven's attorney, Dan Van Loh, told me that if I contested the first HRO and won, that would have a serious impact on my daughter's ability to obtain employment or continue going overseas as a volunteer. She is an RN and has traveled to different countries to help others.

This is important to her, I am very proud of her for doing this, and it would be devastating to her if she could not continue doing so. Mr. Van Loh said that if I contested this matter and proved their claims were false (which they are), then anyone who did a background check would see that my daughter had filed false documents with the court and would not hire her and allow her to do any more overseas volunteering. (He later recanted this in an email stating that this would all remain private unless I let someone know.)

He told me that it was "a tough day" for a dad and that he could understand because his own daughter was getting married in only a few months. He also let me know that I was doing the right thing by accepting this HRO without contesting it. Go ahead and check out when his daughter got married - I mean, how else would I know this?

Based upon what he said to me, I agreed to the 2-year HRO uncontested and without finding of fact.

I also agreed to take down a private journal I had online. This private journal is where I documented the events that had happened since Steven Anderson began manipulating my daughter.

It is important to note that this journal was absolutely private and I only invited Steven over one time to show him that I had all this information and would make it public if he did not stop creating problems and agree to make peace with me. All I wanted was peace in my family and to have a relationship with my daughter.

He responded by copying the entire private journal and using it as evidence against me.

When I told his attorney, Mr. Van Loh it was a private online journal, he responded, and I quote; "That's what pen and paper are for." So, he clearly knew this was not a public website. I even explained that this journal was coded so the search engines would not list it in their search results.

And nowhere in this journal are there any threats against Steven or my daughter.

Almost 2 years went by and I was waiting for the HRO to expire to see how things could be resolved. During the last few months my sister decided to help by organizing a peaceful protest at the church. (I

initially said no, but then agreed if it was peaceful and I was not involved. The idea here was to get them to agree to oversee mediation. More on this later), but the result was Brittany and Steven filed for an extension of the HRO, on an "emergency basis", as if they were both in imminent danger for their lives.

Part of their claim is that I was a CIA assassin and they have concerns I would kill them both and harm hundreds of children at a church. These are outrageous lies and they have no evidence or witnesses for this claim. In fact, they do not have any witnesses or evidence against me at all which would show that I am a threat to them, or to anyone. Because in reality, I am a peaceful person and the victim of lies.

My plan now was to ask for a trial and bring out the facts there. So, I asked my sister to not hold any protests, and she agreed.

When I asked for a trial, their lawyer, Mr. Van Loh, and the judge referee both stated and agreed that nothing from the first HRO would be allowed as evidence in the trial.

I submitted my evidence to the state collection system and sent a listing of this evidence to their attorney. Afterwards, I followed up with an email to their attorney's office, and the response was they had received it. What I did not realize at the time was that I should have also emailed the evidence to their attorney along with the list of items. They could have mentioned this, but they chose not to. I mistakenly assumed that they would access it through the state evidence system.

During the trial, one of the first things that happened was the Judge Referee berated me in front of all present for my failure to send the evidence to their attorney. She spoke harshly towards me, and in a disrespectful manner that I did not deserve.

I was also found to be in violation of the first HRO because I shared my side of the story with 5 members of my immediate family, in private. These included my wife, 3 children (not Brittany though) and my only living brother, Steve. To avoid having to tell my story repeatedly, I made a video and shared it with to them on a HIDDEN web page that was additionally password protected. Clearly I did not want this video to be public. Once those 5 people saw the video I took it down. Somehow Brittany became aware of its existence.

In the court filing for extension of the HRO (which again was made on an emergency basis - literally for no reason at all other than dramatic effect) it mentioned the video and said they could provide a transcript if needed.

This was clearly an attempt to make it seem as though the video had illegal or violent content. Nothing could be further from the truth. Their statement, like many others, was intended to be misleading. Some of those who watched the video came to me afterwards and said this confirmed they were right to believe me and not the lies Steven was telling. Their words, not mine.

The video was not shown in court nor was a transcript presented, yet the Judge Referee said this was in violation of the HRO without knowing any of the content. She stated in the HRO extension that I had created this video (which is true) and shared it with Brittany (not true!), and so was in violation of the original HRO.

This is not only false, but no one in the hearing made this claim. The Judge Referee, made this up without any evidence and issued a ruling that was harmful to me. All without evidence or testimony.

During the trial their attorney presented their only piece of evidence, and that was my private journal that Steven had obtained through a violation of copyright laws. Their attorney then began questioning me at length about it. I did not know nor understand at that time that I could have refused to answer his questions.

Further, this private journal was included as evidence in the first HRO filing, and therefore should not have been allowed. But then, what other evidence do they have? None, really. But still, they went against a court ruling and the judge referee said nothing. They were basically given a free pass to do whatever they wanted.

When it was my turn, I introduced a few witnesses, who were spoken to harshly by their attorney, who also objected to questions I asked. Clearly this was not about the truth coming out. This was purely a seek and destroy mission for their attorney, and the judge went along with it, keeping step with whatever their attorney wanted to do. Any time he objected, it was sustained. Any and all of my objections were immediately overruled.

One of my witnesses was my sister, Sunnie. I informed the court that she just a few months prior had suddenly and unexpectedly lost her only daughter. I asked if she could read a statement as part of her testimony. That request was denied. I want you all to know what she was going to say, so I will read it here for the first time - and just know this is only some of the information the court never heard.

Here is her statement,

Good morning your honor. I am here today to make a statement in support of my brother, Tim Hutchinson. I have known him all of my life, which is now more than 50 years. Nothing in these court filings comes even close to who my brother really is.

To begin, I will share with you about my only daughter, Jessica. Several months ago she suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Children should bury their parents, not the other way around. This was one of the most devastating things I've experienced in my life. In that time of enormous grief, my brother Tim rushed to my side and remained there as a steady rock for me to hold onto. Without him I don't even know how I would have made it through all of this.

My brother Tim and I are close, and we talk on the phone at least 3 or 4 times a week. At least once a week during our calls, as Tim will be talking about his daughter Brittany, and how much he loves her and wants to see her, he will cry. Not just a little, but actually sobbing. He is truly hurt by these terrible lies about him.

I know my brother had contacted several attorneys looking for a way to resolve this matter outside of a court proceeding - he wanted this to be settled peacefully and privately as a family should. His desire was for this family to come together and resolve this using mediators. The attorneys told him that it was unlikely that Steven would voluntarily agree to this, and that going to the church he worked at would be the best solution. Especially since Steven had previously talked with the church about doing mediation.

My brother had said that he tried contacting the church several times previously and they wouldn't agree to any mediation or investigation. He said he wasn't sure what the next steps were and that he was going to wait until the restraining order expires and see if they can move forward with coming together as a family and working through this.

Because we are family, and especially that he helped me so much when my daughter died, I wanted to find a way to help ease his pain. My thought was to try and get the church to agree to do an investigation or at least mediate this matter. So I contacted family members and friends to see if they would help with a protest at the church. Every person said yes, they absolutely believe Tim is innocent of these outrageous claims.

I then called Tim and explained what we were ready to do. He said no, don't do that. Just wait. As he has said all along, we should practice peace and calm - love and forgiveness. I waited a few days and asked him again. He still said no, don't do anything. So I went online and looked up laws concerning peaceful protests. From that I made a list and told others that if we did this that we would only remain on public property, act peacefully 100% of the time, and if approached by anyone we would be polite and courteous. This was always intended to be a peaceful protest to help my brother, not a riot.

I called my brother a third time and explained how we would act. He agreed and said that everyone there must promise to act in a calm and peaceful manner. My brother also said that he would not attend these protests, due to the lies about him being a danger to the church. He then sent an email to the church's attorney requesting they do an investigation, stating if they didn't there would be a protest. They declined his request. He also sent an email to Steven, through his attorney letting him know what the plan was. He also offered Steven an opportunity to share what ideas he had about how to resolve this. The response was to file another restraining order against my brother.

Tim said he is going to ask for a trial, and that I should call off the protests. So I cancelled them. It's a sad day when a court needs to get involved in order for the truth to come out between family members.

Your honor, I have never heard my brother say anything about being violent or do anything illegal. Instead, he has encouraged his family to remain peaceful and calm, and to act with love and forgiveness. I'm not sure if Tim wants me to say anything about this, but Steven had approached my brother asking him to send him money to help with living expenses. My brother said yes, absolutely. And he has been sending this help every month now for the past 2 and a half years. Even though they say horrible lies about him, he still helps. That's who my brother really is - not some demon crawling out of the pit of hell as they want you to believe.

Our family looks up to Tim and respects him greatly. And we stand beside him 100% because we know who he really is.

Thank you, your honor for the opportunity to come here today and speak this truth on behalf of a great man, husband, father, brother, uncle and American citizen.

Despite being denied a chance for her to read that statement, I was able to ask her questions about it and she answered truthfully.

When Mr. Van Loh asked my sister questions I felt as though he was being disrespectful, especially knowing that he daughter had passed away only a few months prior.

I had a few other witnesses, but again soon felt as though I could not really ask them to share the truth because of concern the attorney would treat them as he did my sister. So, I decided it would be best for myself to state the truth and spare my witnesses from being mistreated by their attorney.

As soon as I said that I was done presenting witnesses and would testify myself, the Judge ended the proceedings and said Mr. Van Loh and myself could make brief closing remarks. I was stunned and felt as though this proceeding was completely unfair. Their attorney asked for the HRO extension to be for life - meaning I could never see or speak to my daughter again. He also called my witnesses liars.

I was literally not allowed to defend himself, nor ask for a referral to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office.

The HRO extension was granted for another 2-years for my daughter and 10-years for Steven.

All because of lies told by Steven, their attorney acting in an unethical manner, and a Judge Referee that clearly did not care about truth, justice or my family.

Let's be clear, the only so-called "evidence" they had was a copy of my private journal, which was mostly a compilation of letters and emails Steven, Brittany and I had written each other as well as a chronological listing of events that occurred. Nowhere in this private journal are there any threats of any type.

That was all the evidence they had, and their only witnesses were themselves and me - and again I was not even informed that I did not have to testify. Had I been told that, then I would not have testified at all for them.

As far as them being witnesses, I can absolutely prove that Steven lied, and Brittany went along blindly with whatever he told her to say. Why else is it in the filing of the first HRO that she refers to herself in the third person, and has even told her mom that she copied and pasted most of that filing from Steven. I doubt she even knows what it says!

Their allegations are lies and hyper-exaggerations. For example:

Prior to the first HRO being issued, I called Steven to ask how he was doing. In the HRO he stated that I was making an increasing number of harassing phone calls. For what? Asking how he was doing one time?

One day he called me and said that I was a horrible father - that Brittany deserved better - and also said that if I wanted a relationship with her, then I would have to submit to him and allow him to control us.

I have an email from him stating something similar, where he says that if I care about my daughter then I will "Lay down everything"... which basically means the same thing: Submit.

I went to his apartment to let him know that he was loved and forgiven for the things he had done. In the court filing he stated that I had "gained access" to his apartment building, making it sound as though I had snuck in like some kind of a thief. When in fact, I had simply walked through the front door like anyone else does.

The same day I also went to Brittany's apartment to bring her flowers and tell her that she is loved. She was home but did not open the door. I later learned that she was afraid to answer the door. How can it be that the last time I saw her she hugged me...and the last thing she ever said to me was, and I quote, "Dad, I love you so, so, so, so much!" Then Steven convinced her to stop talking to me so they could "focus on their relationship."

Now suddenly, she is afraid of me? Without any other contact whatsoever with me at all after the hug and what she said to me?

This whole scenario screams MANIPULATION!! Even her mom says this is what Steven is doing.

And do the rest of my family. They all think the same.

Another time he called me, and during our conversation I only asked if we were going to be able to meet his parents before the wedding. In the HRO filing he stated that I was taking issue with their wedding plans.

Ummm….how?? That is literally not the truth at all.

One time while at my house he saw my handgun, in a holster, in a room other than the one he was in, and in fact never entered during that visit. He only saw it from a distance in another part of my house. And from that, he claims I am a CIA assassin who wants to kill him, kill my daughter, and bring harm to hundreds children at a church.

It is not typical at all for me to leave that gun out. Not at all! In reality I had left that out at the request of my wife, because she asked to see it. It had absolutely nothing to do with Steven……this is just another example of how hyper-exaggerated all their claims are.

See what I have had to put up with?

Again, I had all the witnesses and evidence that would have exposed these terrible lies about me. But none of it was allowed. How is that justice?

They had nothing and the only reason they prevailed was because of lies and apparent corruption.

It is my opinion they saw the evidence that I uploaded to the state's evidence system and were aware that one piece of evidence was contact info for the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office, along with a case number that had already been assigned from when I presented to them the evidence I have on this case. So, it is reasonable to assume they knew that I would be asking for a referral for this to the Sheriff's Office for a criminal investigation.

So how could they stop me?

Well, by not allowing evidence, calling witnesses liars, and by not allowing me to testify on my own behalf.

And that is exactly what they did.

How can any reasonable person call that justice??

During the trial I was having a hard time focusing on asking questions of my own witnesses or even defending myself - I mostly sat there experiencing incredible sadness anytime I looked at my daughter during the zoom call. It was heart-wrenching and I was clearly having a difficult time defending or even representing myself - and this should have been obvious to the judge, who did nothing to help bring forth the truth or facts of this case.

And their attorney clearly seemed to enjoy this and went into attack mode.

This was not about justice - this was not about the truth - this was all about attack and destroy, even if it meant abandoning the truth completely and throwing all decency as well as the ultimate importance and inviolability of the family unit out the proverbial window.

This is not how a court or an agent of a court should act, not at all. It is my firm belief they aided and abetted a person involved in criminal acts, who cunningly attacked a good, decent American family. An attack that resulted in a divorce after 31 years of marriage, a family home being stripped away after 24 years, a father-daughter relationship being destroyed after 22 wonderful years. And more heartbreak than I have ever known in my life.

Prior to Steven entering the picture I had am amazing relationship with all my children, and especially with Brittany. We were like 2 peas in a pod. And Steven ruined that through lies and manipulation.

So, considering the role their attorney and the judge referee had in how the system failed to protect the innocent, I have filed a complaint against their attorney with the Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility (file #48066). That has now been assigned to the Hennepin County Bar Association Ethics Committee and at the time of this recording are awaiting Mr. Van Loh's response.

A complaint has also been filed against the Referee with the Board of Judicial Standards. I am waiting to hear back from them.

Pending the outcome of these investigations, I plan to file an appeal in the appellate court seeking a new trial, and subsequent referral to the Sheriff's Office for a criminal investigation.

All I have ever wanted throughout this process was for the truth to be told, so I can have a relationship with my daughter.

That's all for this update. Sending peace and love to all - and hopefully we are closer to a resolution and restoration for my family.

I received a reply from my complaint against the referee. They said the referee can do whatever she wants in her court and they will not move forward with the investigation.

The Hennepin County Bar Association Ethics Committee sent out a letter to Mr. Van Loh asking him to answer one question, and they asked that he focus his answer on that question. His reply was multiple pages long. It started with his listing information about himself and how wonderful and accomplished of a lawyer he is. Then he began to say negative things about myself (which are not true!) Finally, several pages later he briefly answers the original question.

I later heard back from the Hennepin County Bar Association Ethics Committee, saying they were not aware of those things about me and for that reason are closing their investigation.

His sister set up a website with information about what has happened and made some videos which she uploaded to social media. A month later she was served an HRO from Mr. Hutchinson’s daughter and her now husband. At the trial she was not allowed to make an initial statement or testify on her behalf. Then Mr. Hutchinson was called as a witness. The referee immediately began not allowing most of the

questions being asked, even though they all directly pertained to the content of the website and videos. The referee frequently interrupted Mt. Hutchinson while he was answering a question and told him to stop – then demanded his sister ask the next question –. He later learned that the Plaintiff's and their attorney were allowed about an hour during which they could ask any questions they wanted. The HRO was allowed to remain in place and after she was not allowed a chance to prove the content of the website and videos are truthful, she was told to take them all down.

Here are the questions and answers that were not allowed in court.

QUESTIONS TO ASK TIM

Q: Hi, how do you know me? A: Hi, you are my youngest sister.

Q: Are you aware of some videos I made and posted online as well as a website which contains similar information?A; Yes.

Q: How much of the website did you read?

A: All of it – every word.

Q: To the best of your knowledge, is everything on there truthful?A: Absolutely.

Q: In the HRO filed against me, it says you told Brittany about a video you posted on your website - is that true?A: No.

Q: In your own words, can you explain what happened?A: During the first HRO hearing I was led to believe that I had to accept the HRO without finding of fact in order to protect my daughter Brittany's credibility. My family is close and they kept asking me questions about what happened. So, in an effort to answer all their questions just one time, I made a video sharing my side of the story and posted it privately on my website on a hidden page, which was additionally password protected. I then emailed the login information to 5 family members.

My daughter, Vanessa, decided to tell my daughter Brittany and shared the link to the page as well as the password. Brittany and Steven, who were unauthorized, then accessed my private password protected page, and while there they also made a screenshot of my webpage.

They both admitted in their HRO filing that my daughter Vanessa told my daughter Brittany about this and Vanessa also says this is true.

Bottom line is everyone agrees that Vanessa told Brittany about this.

But then the court referee removes Vanessa from the equation and said I was the one who told Brittany - and for that found me in violation of the HRO for something I literally did not do.

Q: How did it come about that I was called a liar in the HRO trial?A: Their attorney, Mr. Van Loh was generally rude to my witnesses, and when he couldn't catch them in a lie (because they were being truthful), during the closing statements he told the court that all my witnesses were liars. Without any supporting evidence, that referee somehow magically determined that you and the others were supposedly lying.

Q: When Brittany and Steven were dating, did she ever tell you about a time that she broke up with him? A: Yes

Q: Did she say why? A; She said it was because she felt like he was too controlling.

Q: Did she tell you the reason why they got back together again? A: Yes.

Q: What did she say the reason was? A: She said it was because they had a meeting during which he explained that due to his upbringing he was not taught how to properly express himself emotionally. And then he convinced her to date him again.

Q: I submitted evidence # 205, titled Rules of Engagement. What was the Rules of Engagement about?A: Brittany and Steven presented my wife and I with a letter titled Rules of Engagement. It contained rules they expected us as parents to follow. My wife and I thought this was strange but hesitantly agreed to it.

A few days later, I was unsure about something and asked a question, in an email, about how to ask a question and was immediately accused of manipulation by Steven. The 4 of us (my wife, our daughter Brittany, Steven and myself) were in an email conversation about this and suddenly Steven contacts me directly saying things that made me feel uncomfortable – I replied that I won't continue that conversation without Brittany or Mrs. Hutchinson being involved.

I went back to the group email and addressed him in a more pointed manner. Nothing ended up being resolved due to Steven acting like a victim, when in the private email to me he said that if I loved my daughter Brittany that I would lay down everything and let him control me.

Afterwards, my wife asked me about how I had approached him in the conversation. I showed her the emails Steven had sent directly to me and she was appalled by what he had said. It was then she understood my response.

I will also say that I was accused of only one time supposedly violating these Rules of Engagement, but when asked how, neither of them has ever given a clear explanation. Brittany's mother and I and our family still have no idea what they are talking about. They have repeated this accusation several times about the same incident but can't explain what happened. They have done this over and over again where they make claims about my behavior, then fail to offer any details. Everything is just so vague.

Q: Have you ever threatened your daughter?A: I have never and would never threaten my daughter Brittany. I literally love her more than life itself. I would lay down my very life anytime for her. She is absolutely one of the best things that has ever happened to me. I miss her so much. I still remember the last time we talked. It was February 22nd, 2021 at 11:20 AM. The last thing she said to me was, “Dad, I love you so so so so much!” And even though we haven’t talked since then, my heart has conversations with her every day.

Q: Have you ever threatened Steven?A: No – I tried to have a good relationship with him, but he kept being rude to me and eventually my wife and I came to believe he was lying to Brittany about me. He once called and said, quote “If you want a relationship with Brittany, then you need to submit to me and allow me to control you.” But he wants the court and my daughter to believe that I am the real monster.

Q: He mentioned in the HRO that you reminded him several times about assaulting one of Vanessa's boyfriends…did you tell him about that incident?A: No. Absolutely not. I have no reason to tell him that. I was trying to have a good relationship with him, the same as the others my daughters have dated.

Q: How did he find out about it?A: I think it’s important to begin with what this story is about. Kyle was my daughter Vanessa's boyfriend she had just begun dating, and he physically assaulted her. Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. She didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. Kyle was calling Vanessa repeatedly and harassing her. So, I called Kyle, and he started yelling at me, so I hung up. I went to Kyle's apartment in person.

Probably not the smartest thing I’ve done as a parent. But sometimes we live and learn through the experiences.

I went there and asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to, I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me backwards with both hands. I stumbled back across the hallway and then he immediately came at me with a raised fist. At that point I felt the need to defend myself and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I told him, "Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left.

Vanessa told this story at her mom’s birthday party that I had arranged at Outback Steak House in Maplewood – which is her favorite restaurant. I invited the whole family and during the meal Vanessa began telling the story to her new boyfriend, James. I intervened and quickly finished telling the story. James did not seem bothered by it at all. About a week or so later, at a bonfire at our house, Vanessa began telling this story again – this time to several of my friends. I quickly ended it and asked her not to tell this story again. She said, “I am so proud of you dad.” I said thank you, but really let’s not repeat this anymore, it makes me look like something I am not. She said OK, and I love you dad. I said thank you and I love you too.

During the times she told this story, twice, Steven was not involved in the conversation at all. At my wife's birthday party, he was seated across the table from us and several seats away and engaged in a different conversation. At the bonfire, the chairs were in a half-circle around the fire pit, and he was seated 4 chairs over from us and again, engaged in a different conversation. His name was not mentioned – we did not otherwise refer to him – nor make any type of eye contact whatsoever. My friends were on mine and Vanessa's right side and Steven was on our left, 4 chairs away so we were not even looking in his direction. My daughter started telling the story both times – I quickly ended it and asked her not to repeat it anymore – yet Steven claims in his HRO filing that I reminded him several times about this story. Clearly, he is not telling the truth.

Q: What else happened in that situation which made you concerned? A: At the bonfire our brother made a couple of jokes towards Steven. I have a reverence for churches and people who work there, so I stepped in and explained that Steven works at a church and asked our brother not to joke about him. He's a good guy – so he quickly apologized and changed the topic. That was it.

The next day my daughter Vanessa told me that Steven had been rude to her. My son Alex said this also. So did two of my friends. My wife asked both of our children about this and confirmed it happened. We also met the two friends a week later at a restaurant and they talked about how Steven was rude to them.

So, I am defending him at the bonfire, meanwhile he is rude to my daughter, rude to my son, rude to several of my friends, at my house, and later on lies about me having told him this story.

Q: Please refer to Evidence #204, titled Laundry Letter. What was the laundry letter situation about?A: My work was covid aware and we shared news stories about covid through email, and one day there was an article about how long the virus can last on clothes.

Several days later upon arriving home from work, my daughter Brittany said she was going out somewhere and explained that Steven's laundry was in the dryer. He washes his clothes at our house, and I honestly didn't mind.

She asked that when the dryer was done that I put his clothes in a basket and place it outside the front door of the house. She said the reason is that Steven is concerned about Covid and does not want to come inside. I said OK and decided to write him a letter to be helpful and told him about how I had learned about the Covid virus being able to live on clothes for up to 48 hours. I then suggested that since he is concerned about Covid in our house, that he might want to consider washing his clothes at his apartment, at least until the pandemic had gotten better. Later, when I placed his clothes outside the front door, I put that letter on top of the clothes basket. I then finished preparing to leave the house to go somewhere.

The letter was very nicely written and showed an abundance of care and concern for Steven's health.

As I was about to leave the house I noticed Steven's car in the driveway. He was standing at the front door reading the letter. I began walking to the front door to say hello. Before I got to the door, he turned and carried the laundry basket back to his car, put it into the back seat, slammed the car door, and stood there glaring angrily at our house. Then he gets into his car and squeals his tires backing out of the driveway. He then races off, runs a stop sign without ever slowing down, and continued to accelerate to nearly double the speed limit.

Q: Will you please read the laundry letter to the court?

A: Hi Steven, I did some research and was surprised to learn the Covid virus can live for up to 48-hours on our clothing. I can understand your concern over this virus, so much so that Brittany asked me to leave your clothes outside the front door for you to pick up. I can fully appreciate that. When one considers how long the virus can live on clothing, and the fact they are inside our house - a place you're concerned about being exposed to, perhaps it would be a safer option for you to wash your own clothes at home. Something to think about. Would have been nice to see you today - it's been a while. We could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just yesterday for Covid. But, we can't be too careful! Well, perhaps another time.

Hope you have a wonderful day.

Mr. Hutchinson

Q: Has he ever mentioned this letter to you? A: He has never said anything about this letter. Nothing. No thank you for your concern, maybe we can meet up another time, nothing like that.

Then just two days later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for hours. So, he can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell and say hello, yet two days later he can spend hours inside our home? Neither my wife or I could make any sense of this.

Q: Where there any witnesses to this? A: Later I went to the mailbox and met our neighbor, who told me he heard a car door slamming. He saw what Steven did in the driveway and how he drove away, running the stop sign and speeding. He asked who that was – I said my future son-in-law. He laughed and said "You're joking!" I replied no, that's him. He said that he would not allow that kind of behavior at his house. I said, yeah, I'm thinking that too.

But I wanted to give another chance at resolving whatever issues he was having – which were not clear to me. So, later that evening I called Steven to invite him to hang out sometime – he sharply said "No" and immediately hung up.

Q: In the HRO Steven says a few days later you left your gun out in plain sight at your house as a threat to him. Is this true and if so, why? A: The HRO claims that I, quote "placed the firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment towards Steven."

First of all, what anger and resentment? The last communication I had with Steven was the letter I had left a few days prior on his laundry basket, and a phone call later the same day asking him to hang out sometime.

I only left the gun on the banister because Brittany's mom asked me to.

After what happened in Minneapolis with George Floyd and the protests and then the protesters threatening to go to the suburbs, it made my wife feel safer to have it visible for a few minutes when I got home from work. What am I supposed to say, No?! Just keep on feeling afraid?

Again, she literally asked me to do this! In fact, she first asked me if I still owned a gun. That shows how rarely I ever bring it out. And I only placed it on the banister when coming home from work, going into the kitchen, greeting my wife and unpacking my lunch bag. That took maybe 3 or 4 minutes. Then I went and put the gun away in a safe in our bedroom. I only started carrying it very recently at that time because my job required me to go to Minneapolis at random times to visit customers. Many of them were close by to where the protests were happening. I had a concealed carry permit, so I was able to carry a weapon lawfully. And it always remained in my car during the day, I never brought it into my workplace – then at night I brought it into the house and placed it on the banister for a few minutes and then into a safe.

One day while Steven is over he sees the handgun in its usual place, and made an incorrect assumption. And the gun was NOT by the front door - it was on the banister, up on the 2nd floor. He never went upstairs. He and Brittany were in the lower level of the house in the family room the entire time. When he saw it, he was at the bottom of the stairs near the front door. My weapon was in a holster and there was nothing illegal or threatening about its placement, not at all. He was never near it - he looked up a flight of stairs and saw it. He never saw me, I was never near the gun. At the time I was in the kitchen, which is not visible from the front door. Brittany and Steven were leaving the house and called out saying hi to me. I said hi back to them, then Brittany said they were leaving. I said OK, good bye – have a good day in an upbeat tone. I never saw them. We only heard each other’s voices.

Where is the threat??

Since the one time he saw my gun, this story has grown to where according to an HRO filing I want to kill him, kill my daughter, and go to a church and harm hundreds of children. All because he saw my gun, one-time, at my house, where it was placed in response to my wife’s request to make her feel safer. Can anyone make this make sense?

Q: Have you ever talked with your kids about the gun?

A: I asked my kids about the gun. They don't know what kind it is. They don't know what color it is. I asked when the last time was they saw me holding that gun or any gun. They said, dad, we've never seen you holding any gun, ever.

Again, make this make sense.

Q: Was there any talk about mediation – if so, what happened?

A: I contacted Steven and offered to let bygones be bygones and to set simple expectations of how we communicate. I texted him and he refused to meet and instead said he would not talk with me without mediation. I told him to go ahead and pick out any place he wanted to go for mediation, and Mrs. Hutchinson and I would pay for it. He said no, the only place he would go for mediation is at the church where he works. I said OK, set something up. I also told him that we didn't have to bring up everything in the past and could just focus on moving forward. He said no, in mediation we will talk about everything that has happened in the past. I asked if he was sure, because that would mean things would come out that Brittany does not know about. That would not be good for him. He said yes, he was sure.

The first date and time was set for mediation but was soon cancelled because the mediator had something come up. OK, no problem – life happens. So, another date was set – again at the church and with the same person.

Once that date was set, Steven began texting me about it. I said I was OK with talking about the past as he previously requested. He then began demanding we not talk about the past and said quote, "Mediation is only about how you and Mrs. Hutchinson are going to talk to me." I immediately showed this to my wife, who said, quote "This is bullshit – what is wrong with him?" She and I are both aware of him insisting multiple times on controlling both myself and the relationship I have with my daughter.

I replied back to him saying that mediation is a time for us to learn how to communicate with each other.

Steven then canceled mediation and refused to reschedule.

Q: Did you ever tell them they were Loved and Forgiven?A: I suggested we take a few months off from the disagreement – kind of a time-out. I felt as though it was not right to let things set the way they were and instead decided to tell them they were LOVED AND FORGIVEN.

I drove first to Stevens Apt. I exited my car and an African-American lady walking to her car. She greeted me by saying good morning sir! I replied good morning. She then said the buzzer box to the building door was broken so I could just walk in. She also said that maintenance was aware and would get it fixed. I thanked her and she replied, have a blessed day sir!

In the HRO it says I repeatedly buzzed his apt – umm, how? The buzzer box was not working, He also says I "gained access" making it sound like I had broken into the building like a thief, when thanks to the information from the nice lady in the parking lot, I simply walked in like a normal person.

During this time I was wearing a mask.

I went to his apartment and knocked three times in a normal manner. Then stepped back across the hall, practicing social distancing. When there was no answer, I knocked three more times, again in a normal manner. When there was no answer that time, I left.

Then I bought flowers and drove to Brittany's apartment. She didn't answer. I noticed her car was in the parking lot, so I left the flowers on her car and drove away.

My wife knew about this and said how proud she was of me. Said she appreciated my actions, and she loved how I always put our family first.

I then updated my private journal and when I wrote about this, I used the words LOVED AND FORGIVEN and had typed that all in upper case, 4 times on the same page – it was clear and obvious to anyone reading it what my intent was in going there.

When they filed the HRO – Steven included a copy of my personal journal – except the page where I described this event. You see, that page tells the real story. And he excluded it from his filing. He did say though that he was so afraid that he thought about jumping out of a 2nd story window and fleeing for his life and possibly calling the police. Which he never did. However, he later attended my oldest daughter's wedding – at one point, I walked by him and nodded my head. He nodded back. Later we had pictures taken together. Why wasn't he afraid then?? Because there never was a threat – these claims are all for dramatic effect.

This was one of 15 attempts I made at peace compared to their zero attempts – I tried until when he said though his attorney that he does not want to reconcile with me, at all.

Q: Did Steven ever ask you for money?A: Yes, he asked for help and I gave them money every month for 2 ½ years – on the 20th of the month because that was the day Brittany was born. My wife later said that Steven told Brittany that I had promised to help them, but then never did. I showed her receipts which proved that we (husband and wife) were sending them money every month. I only stopped after 2 ½ years because my wife moved out of our house due to the stress caused by this situation.

Q: Even though you were prevented from going to Brittany's wedding, did you ever help out with it?

A: The night before the wedding my wife was tasked with ironing all of the tablecloths. There was a lot and it was obvious she would not get them done. I made the suggestion of double layering our dining

room table with towels and thus turning it into a large ironing board. We had 2 irons and stood on opposite sides of the table and ironed these table cloths for 3 hours until they were done.

I ironed table cloths, for my daughters wedding that I couldn't attend for 3 hours – because that's love in action. I love my daughter so much that I am willing to do that for her. Look how important my oldest daughter Victoria's wedding was to me….<<SHOW PIC OF ME WITH HER AT WEDDING ON HOME SCREEN OF MY PHONE>> That's me dancing with her at her wedding. You want the truth – there it is!!! 2 ½ years later and it's still on the home screen of my phone.

Q: Did you report this to the Sheriff's Dept?A: Yes

Q: What was the result? A: I showed them the evidence and asked what I had done wrong? They said nothing, I had done nothing wrong and that I am a good good father. I asked where I go from here. They said since this was currently a civil matter that if I could get the court overseeing the HRO to refer this back to them, they would complete their investigation and quote, "likely put Steven in jail". Later that day I showed my wife the card they had given me which has the case number on it. She knows about it. I told her I was hesitant to move forward with this out of concern for our daughter, thinking she too might be charged because she had also signed HRO papers.

Q: What are your relationships like with Jennifer?A: We still see each other multiple times each week – dinner, movies, hockey games. She texts me almost every night saying Sweet Dreams. Last night she texted to say that she will always love me. Says she will never date again, unless it's me. Says she will never get married again, unless it is to remarry me. Says I am literally her best friend. She cried every day for a month after she moved out of our family home. She called the court and asked them to stop the divorce. She still contacts me anytime she has questions about technology or just random life questions. And when we are together, she smiles almost constantly – because she is in her happy place. And she knows the reason for our divorce, and the loss of our family home, and the reason I had to give up my career. It's because of the stress from this whole situation and the barrage of bullshit being said about me. She knows this and wants this to be resolved as much as I do so our family can heal – and we both believe it will happen when the truth finally comes out.

Q: How about with your other 3 children? A: Wonderful – no problems at all. For example, when Vanessa and I get pedicures, whatever color she gets her nails painted, I have my two big toes done in the same color. She absolutely loves this! My kids know that I always put them first in my life and my love and care for them is obvious.

Q: Did anything change in your relationship with your former mother-in-law? A: I was at her house one day and she asked if it was true that her daughter and I were getting a divorce. I said yes, it's true. She then walked across the room and stood right in front of me and asked, will you still call me mom? I said, I would love to do that. She then hugged me and said she doesn't want to lose me.

We go to bingo almost every Tuesday evening and I often take her out to lunch, dinner, walks at the shopping mall, doctor appts, salon appointments and more. One day while visiting she gave me a hug and whispered, I left something in your shoe. It was a note asking me to take her out soon for ice cream. I still have that note and it's on my refrigerator. That's my mom!

Q: What were relationships like with any previous boyfriends of Brittany?A: There was David, an excellent young man – I taught him how to shave. He came to our house after Brittany broke up with him, saying because he just felt better being with me. He didn't go to his family or friends – he came to me, because I always treated him so well.

Q: What is your relationship like with your son-in-law, Jake?A: Fantastic. I call him son, he calls me dad. Never a problem during the entire time we've known each other – more than 12 years now.

Q: How was the relationship with your daughter Vanessa's boyfriend, James? A: He told me that he looked up to me as a father figure. Said he was thankful I treated him so well and how I welcomed him into our family. When he suddenly ended up homeless, I'm the person who gave him money for food and other expenses, and I paid for his hotel room until he found a place he could move to.

Q: How did your wife respond to the 2nd HRO being filed? A: When she learned they had filed for an extension, she asked if I would be requesting a referral to the Sheriff's Dept. I said that my concern was because our daughter Brittany had also signed the court papers that she might somehow also be charged, and I don't want to see her in jail. My wife then said these exact words, quote; "Well, if Brittany sat in jail, maybe then she would begin to realize that Steven is manipulating her." Recently she said in an email to me, that she does not have a relationship with Steven, rather she only tolerates him so that she can have a relationship with Brittany. She has also told me that she is afraid of Steven – that he will do to her what he's been doing to me. And will get away with it quote, "because of that lawyer and that court." Again, she is a smart woman – she knows what's up.

Q: During the trial, did you request a referral from the court to the Sheriff's Dept? A: No.

Q: Why not? A: Because I was prevented from doing so. That was not even a real trial. Their only evidence was my personal journal which the court had previously said would not be allowed because it was from the first HRO. My evidence was not allowed. I was not allowed to testify. My witnesses were treated terribly and all called liars. That's also why none of them wanted show up for this trial – for what? More disrespect, to be called liars, and made to feel like their testimony doesn't matter? Because of what they see happening to me, they don't even trust the justice system anymore. I was spoken to harshly and disrespected by the referee, in front of my daughter for no good reason. This wasn't a search for truth or justice. This reminded me of the story of the Salem witch hunt. The outcome was not based upon the truth yet the Plaintiff's want to claim this was a victory – for who? Certainly not for truth and justice. Not for my family. We deserve better than a bunch of damn lies – we deserve justice!

Q: Did I ever help you search for an attorney? A: Yes.

Q: What was the result? A: After that so-called trial, I wanted to appeal. The first attorney suggested mediation (which I did not want) and also said several times that I should report Mr. Van Loh for misconduct.

The 2nd attorney I spoke with said he would not represent me because, quote, “This is corruption.” I offered $10k to represent me as I just wanted an opportunity for my daughter to hear the truth. He said that court is corrupt and I will never win there and no other lawyer will represent me in that court either, because doing so would be a risk to their career. So, at that time I stopped the search for an attorney.

Q: Did you ever speak with an attorney about your First Amendment right?A: Yes.

Q: What did he or she say? A: All along the Plaintiff’s and their attorney have positioned things to make it seem as though the only thing required for them to take me back into court and possibly have me put in jail was for Steven to feel disparaged by something I say. In other words, according to them it has nothing to do with the truth, but only about how Steven feels about it.

So, I spoke with an attorney who said my First Amendment Rights do not hinge upon whether or not someone feels disparaged. It just has to be truthful. So, I have a legal right to have a movie made based upon my evidence and the witnesses.

Q: Lastly, is there anything else you would like to add? A; Just thank you to you and our family & friends for standing up for me. No matter the courts decision today, I will always appreciate all of your efforts.

I hope you win – you deserve to win and have your right to Freedom of Speech protected. Same as any other good and decent American. And hey, above all else, I love you!

Four people I know have contacted attorneys in Minnesota on my behalf seeking their representation. None of them would agree to represent me because of what they described as court corruption.

I called the State Bar Association and they said I would not find a lawyer in Minnesota that would go up against court corruption.

I called the MN State Attorney Generals Office and was told that I should have the Ramsey County Sheriffs Office investigate this because perjury is a felony.

## 057. Hutchinson Matter - Factual Chronological Timeline.docx

The Minnesota website clearly shows that perjury is in fact a felony.

I called the Ramsey County Attorneys Office and was told they were not sure if they can prosecute perjury as a crime unless it's reported during the actual trial. I asked if that were true, why is there a 3-year statute of limitations? They did not know the answer and referred me to a lawyer referral service. I called the lawyer referral service and once the topic of court corruption came up, they declined to help me.

My last stand is to make a documentary and expose everyone.

It's time.

*** Main Files/History/Hutchinson Matter - Factual Chronological Timeline.docx ***

HUTCHINSON MATTER

FACTUAL CHRONOLOGICAL TIMELINE

Prepared for Attorney Review and Legal Consultation Purposes Only

Subject: Timothy Hutchinson

Document Date: June 21, 2026

Jurisdiction: State of Minnesota

This document is a neutral, factual chronological record compiled from personal journals, correspondence, and other written materials provided by Timothy Hutchinson. It is intended for use in legal consultation only. All entries represent events and communications as described in the source materials. Nothing herein constitutes legal advice or constitutes a legal filing.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I: Background (2020 — Early 2021) — Entries 1–11

Section 1

Part II: Reconciliation Attempts and Communications (February 2021) — Entries 12–25

Section 2

Part III: Church Outreach and Escalation (March–April 2021) — Entries 26–40

Section 3

Part IV: First Harassment Restraining Order (HRO #1) — Spring 2021 — Entries 41–44

Section 4

Part V: Post-HRO Correspondence and Civil Outreach (June–September 2021) — Entries 45–52

Section 5

Part VI: Second HRO Filing and Trial (Summer 2023 — Late 2023/Early 2024) — Entries 53–60

Section 6

Part VII: Complaints, Appeals, and Legal Outreach (2023–2025) — Entries 61–66

Section 7

Part VIII: Sunnie's HRO Trial (March 21, 2025) — Entries 67–69

Section 8

Part IX: Current Status (As of Source Document Date) — Entries 70–73

Section 9

Key Parties Reference Table

Below

KEY PARTIES REFERENCE

Name

Role

Timothy Hutchinson

Father / Subject of HROs

Jennifer Hutchinson

Tim's wife (later divorced); mother of Brittany

Brittany Hutchinson (now Anderson)

Tim's daughter; filed HRO against Tim

Steven Anderson

Brittany's husband; Director of Youth Services, Calvary Church; filed HRO against Tim

Sunnie

Tim's youngest sister; subject of separate HRO (2024–2025)

Steve (brother)

Tim's only living brother; shared materials with Sunnie

Mary Sanders

Brittany's therapist; conducted counseling sessions with Tim

Dan Van Loh

Attorney representing Steven Anderson and Brittany

David A. Lutz

Attorney representing Calvary Church (Lutz Law Firm, 120 South 6th Street, Suite 1550, Minneapolis, MN 55402)

Referee Elizabeth Clydesdale

Court Referee for second HRO trial

Pastor Shawn White

Senior Pastor, Calvary Church

Sergeant Jim Reed

Ramsey County Sheriff's Office investigator contacted post-trial

PART I: BACKGROUND (2020 — EARLY 2021)

1. 2020 — Brittany Hutchinson began dating Steven Anderson, described in source materials as Director of Youth Services at Calvary Church in Minnesota.

2. 2020 — Brittany told her parents she considered ending the relationship, citing feeling she “couldn’t be herself” around Steven Anderson.

3. 2020 — Brittany and Steven Anderson resumed the relationship following a meeting between the two of them.

4. 2020 — Steven Anderson approached Timothy Hutchinson (hereinafter “Tim”) requesting financial support for his ministry, stating the church could not afford to pay him a salary. Tim and his wife Jennifer began providing monthly financial support on the 20th of each month (Brittany’s birthday). This continued for approximately 2.5 years.

5. 2020 — Steven Anderson asked Tim what it would take to receive his blessing for marriage to Brittany. Tim outlined three conditions:

(Promise 1) Steven would respect Brittany's structured personal injury settlement money, which Tim and Jennifer had sacrificed their own legal claim to increase. Tim asked Steven to encourage Brittany to use it for something special rather than routine household expenses.

(Promise 2) Steven would never do anything to interfere in Tim's relationship with Brittany.

(Promise 3) Steven would not be "cheap" with Brittany.

Steven Anderson agreed to all three conditions. Tim gave his blessing. Tim and Jennifer helped provide a temporary ring.

6. 2020 — Brittany and Steven Anderson completed pre-marital counseling. Results showed compatibility.

7. 2020 — Following the engagement, Tim's son Alex and several friends of Tim reported that Steven Anderson was unfriendly or rude toward them at a family bonfire — the first formal introduction between Steven Anderson and Alex Hutchinson.

8. 2020–Early 2021 — Guest list dispute: Tim asked Steven Anderson three times how the guest list for the wedding honored the mother and father of the bride. Steven Anderson never provided an answer. Tim reported being limited to inviting only 3 family members and no friends.

9. Early 2021 — During a phone call in which Tim offered advice as a future father-in-law, Steven Anderson became agitated and stated: "I don't need to listen to you," "I'm going to tell Brittany what you're doing," and "I don't need this advice." Tim ended the call.

10. Early 2021 — Brittany stated at the breakfast table that she was "expected to take on a new attitude and way of thinking." She did not elaborate and left the room.

11. Early 2021 — Steven Anderson and Brittany presented Tim and Jennifer with a document titled "Rules of Engagement" at a meeting. Tim later sent an email requesting clarification. Steven Anderson's reply referenced "triangulating."

PART II: RECONCILIATION ATTEMPTS AND COMMUNICATIONS (FEBRUARY 2021)

12. February 20, 2021 — Tim sent an email to Steven Anderson, Brittany, and Jennifer with an attached letter, giving a 10-day window (until March 2) before sending the letter to Calvary Church leadership. This is documented as Attempt #6 at reconciliation.

13. February 20, 2021 — Tim sent a second email the same day, framed as an open apology to Brittany and an offer to step back from the dispute. (Attempt #7.)

14. February 20, 2021 — Jennifer replied positively, stating the words "really touched my heart."

15. February 20, 2021 — Brittany replied via email, stating that “rules of engagement” had been violated, that the apology “dismissed core issues,” and that “significant changes” were needed. She indicated a mediated conversation was “the appropriate next step.”

16. February 20, 2021 (following days) — Brittany confirmed mediation for Saturday at 10 AM. Tim replied confirming availability with three conditions: focus on moving forward, communication in both directions, and no expectations violating First Amendment rights.

17. February 20–27, 2021 — Steven Anderson replied by email, stating he could not comply with Tim’s conditions, that things could not be ignored, and that Tim would need to “lay anything down” to move forward.

18. February 27, 2021 — Second mediation session was scheduled for 10 AM. It was canceled without explanation.

19. Early-to-mid February 2021 — Laundry incident: Steven Anderson’s laundry was being done at the Hutchinson home. Brittany asked Tim to leave the laundry outside for Steven Anderson due to stated COVID concerns. Tim wrote a letter regarding COVID and clothing, placed it in the laundry basket. Steven Anderson came to pick up laundry, read the letter at the front door, carried the basket to his car, slammed the car door, stood glaring at the house, ran a stop sign, and sped away. A neighbor witnessed this and provided a verbal account to Tim. Two days later, Steven Anderson spent hours inside the home with Brittany.

20. Mid-February 2021 — Tim raised his voice at home in the presence of his wife regarding frustrations with the situation. Brittany overheard from her bedroom and went to a friend’s house for several days.

21. Mid-February 2021 — Brittany canceled a scheduled lunch date with Tim, which Tim described as the first time she had ever canceled plans with him.

22. Mid-February 2021 — Tim sent a text to Steven Anderson stating he was not welcome in the home until mediation took place.

23. Late February 2021 — Brittany moved out of the family home into an apartment with a roommate. The evening she moved out, she returned to retrieve a forgotten item. Tim was in the bedroom with Jennifer. Brittany gave Tim a hug and said “I love you so much dad.” Tim responded in kind.

24. Late February 2021 — During a final phone call, Brittany told Tim she shares all texts, emails, and phone conversations with Steven Anderson, citing “two shall become one.” She also said she hoped they could go to counseling in the future and whispered: “Dad, I love, love, love, love, love you a lot.” Communication from Brittany to Tim then ceased.

25. Late February 2021 — Tim sent an email to Steven Anderson: “No conflict is over until a solution or a side concedes. This is the calm before the storm. I will always fight for my love. I am relentless.”

PART III: CHURCH OUTREACH AND ESCALATION (MARCH–APRIL 2021)

26. March 1, 2021 — Tim emailed Senior Pastor Shawn White at Calvary Church requesting help resolving the dispute. No response was ever received.

27. Approximately March 6, 2021 — Tim emailed Executive Pastor (Peter Morgan/Jeff Morgan) the same materials. No response received.

28. March 2021 — Tim printed the letter, placed it in a sealed envelope marked “Confidential,” and hand-delivered it to Calvary Church, requesting a staff member receive it personally. No response was received. Tim later learned the Senior Pastor shared the contents with the entire administrative staff.

29. March 18, 2021 — Tim sent an email to Brittany with subject line “I had to decide...” stating he was choosing to “walk away” from the dispute. He reaffirmed commitments to pay Brittany’s phone and car insurance through year-end and provide previously promised wedding money.

30. March 18, 2021 — Brittany replied by email suggesting Tim speak with her counselor (Mary Sanders) alone first, then jointly.

31. March 19, 2021 — Tim emailed Mary Sanders (Brittany’s therapist) to arrange a session.

32. Approximately March 22, 2021 — Tim participated in an approximately one-hour solo video counseling session with Mary Sanders.

33. March 24, 2021 — Joint video counseling session with Tim, Brittany, and Mary Sanders. Tim left the session abruptly before it concluded. He states he was overcome by the visible distress on Brittany’s face.

34. Evening of March 24, 2021 — Tim drove alone to Polar Park in the area. He sat in his car in the dark. He reached into the center console, retrieved a .40 caliber handgun, and pressed it against the right side of his head for approximately 30 seconds. He did not fire. He then called his son, did not disclose the incident, and returned home.

35. Approximately 2:00 AM, March 25, 2021 — Tim woke with thoughts of self-harm. He states he resisted acting on them.

36. Morning of March 25, 2021 — Tim disclosed to his wife what occurred the night at Polar Park. They traveled to Regions Hospital, St. Paul, MN. Tim was admitted to the psychiatric ward on a voluntary basis. He was evaluated by Dr. Brown and other clinicians. He participated in journaling and a coping skills class.

37. March 25–27, 2021 — Tim was released from Regions Hospital following voluntary admission. Released with agreement to pursue outpatient care. An outpatient appointment was scheduled with a PsyD for April 13 at 9:00 AM. Tim was given the Urgent Care for Mental Health number: 651-266-7900.

38. March 28, 2021 (10:44 AM) — Tim composed a letter to Brittany and forwarded it through Jennifer for delivery. Brittany did not respond.

39. April 7, 2021 — Tim sent an email to Steven Anderson and Brittany referencing a website he had created (DirtySteven.com) and stating it would go live and all actions listed therein would be taken if Steven Anderson "tried anything" with other family members. Tim stated all actions would be accomplished through legal means. The website was not publicly launched at this time and was coded to avoid search engine indexing.

40. April 13, 2021 (1:07 PM CST) — Tim made a journal entry describing ongoing estrangement.

PART IV: FIRST HARASSMENT RESTRAINING ORDER (HRO #1) — SPRING 2021

41. Spring 2021 — Brittany and Steven Anderson filed for a Harassment Restraining Order (HRO) against Tim.

42. Spring 2021 — Prior to the HRO hearing, Tim cancelled the hosting for DirtySteven.com. The hosting had been paid through the first week of July 2021, when the website went fully offline.

43. Spring 2021 — HRO hearing held via Zoom. Attorney Dan Van Loh represented Steven Anderson and Brittany.

Van Loh told Tim that if he contested the HRO and prevailed, it would negatively affect Brittany's background checks and ability to continue overseas missionary volunteer work as an RN. Van Loh stated this would come up in any future background check. (Tim states Van Loh later recanted this claim in a subsequent email, stating the proceedings would remain private unless Tim disclosed them.)

Van Loh stated: "This is what Brittany wants."

Tim agreed to accept the 2-year HRO without a hearing and without a finding of fact.

Conditions of the HRO included Tim removing the DirtySteven.com website.

Tim was permitted to send one letter to Brittany through her attorney.

44. Spring 2021 — Tim told Van Loh during the first HRO meeting that the website was a private journal, not a public site, and that the only person he had invited to view it was Steven Anderson. Van Loh replied: "That's what pen and paper are for." Tim notes the website was coded to prevent search engine indexing.

PART V: POST-HRO CORRESPONDENCE AND CIVIL OUTREACH (JUNE–SEPTEMBER 2021)

45. June 4, 2021 — Tim emailed a letter to Brittany through her attorney per HRO terms. Brittany did not respond.

46. June 7, 2021 — Tim emailed Calvary Church's attorney (David A. Lutz, Lutz Law Firm, 120 South 6th Street, Suite 1550, Minneapolis, MN 55402) requesting a meeting to present his side of the matter and clear his name.

47. June 8, 2021 — Attorney Lutz replied by email: "I have advised my client not to meet and discuss due to the threat of litigation. My client has received and reviewed your written communication."

48. June 9, 2021 — Tim replied to Lutz reiterating his desire to avoid legal proceedings and attaching the letter he had sent to Brittany. He asked Lutz to forward it to Calvary Church. He also noted via P.S. that two of Brittany's three siblings would not attend the wedding and no one from Tim's side of the family would attend. No further response received.

49. June 11, 2021 — Brittany sent word through Jennifer to cancel the phone plan. Tim and Jennifer had been paying for Brittany's phone, car insurance, and medical insurance.

50. Summer 2021 — Brittany and Steven Anderson were married. Tim was not permitted to attend. He did not walk Brittany down the aisle. The night before the wedding, Tim assisted Jennifer in ironing tablecloths for the reception for approximately three hours.

51. August 22, 2021 — Tim sent a personal letter to Brittany through her attorney. Brittany did not respond.

52. September 2021 — Tim emailed attorney Dan Van Loh to inquire whether he still represented Steven Anderson and to propose arbitration as a resolution pathway.

Van Loh confirmed he still represented Steven Anderson.

Tim proposed splitting arbitration costs; if ruled in his favor, he requested the HRO be dismissed so he and Brittany could pursue counseling.

Van Loh replied that reconciliation of a father-daughter relationship with an adult child is not something that can be arbitrated, and asked Tim to confirm the website had been removed per the HRO.

Tim confirmed the website was offline before the HRO hearing concluded and that he had cancelled hosting prior to being served.

Tim outlined his claimed damages: loss of relationship with Brittany, the missed wedding, the suicide attempt and hospitalization, affected relationships and career.

Tim stated Van Loh's attorney's claims had not been adjudicated because Tim accepted the HRO without a hearing.

Van Loh replied he would consult with Steven Anderson.

Van Loh subsequently communicated that Steven Anderson did not want reconciliation.

PART VI: SECOND HRO FILING AND TRIAL (SUMMER 2023 — LATE 2023/EARLY 2024)

53. Spring 2023 — Tim visited the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office and met with an investigator. Tim presented his evidence. The investigator stated that Tim had done nothing wrong. The investigator stated that if the civil court referred the matter to them, they would complete an investigation and "likely put Steven in jail." Tim was given a card containing a case number. Tim subsequently informed his wife of this meeting.

54. Summer 2023 — Tim's youngest sister (Sunnie) proposed organizing a peaceful protest at Calvary Church to pressure the church into conducting an investigation or mediation. Tim initially declined. Sunnie researched applicable laws and outlined plans to remain on public property, act peacefully, and be courteous if approached. Tim ultimately agreed on the condition the protest be peaceful and that he would not participate personally.

55. Summer 2023 — Tim sent a letter to Calvary Church's attorney requesting an investigation, stating a protest would follow if they declined. Tim sent a separate letter to Steven Anderson through his attorney advising of the planned protest and inviting Steven Anderson to propose a resolution. Calvary Church declined the investigation request.

56. Summer 2023 — Steven Anderson and Brittany filed for an emergency extension of the HRO, claiming imminent fear for their lives and alleging Tim was a threat to hundreds of church children. Tim asked Sunnie to cancel the protests. She agreed and did so.

57. Summer–Fall 2023 — HRO extension hearing took place. Tim requested a trial. Agreement was made between both attorneys and the Referee (Elizabeth Clydesdale) that no evidence from the first HRO would be admissible at the second HRO trial.

58. Pre-Trial — Tim submitted his evidence to the state's online evidence system and emailed a list of the evidence items to opposing counsel's office. Tim did not also email the actual evidence documents to opposing counsel; he believed they would access it through the state system. Opposing counsel's office confirmed receipt of the list by email.

59. Trial — Conducted via Zoom. The following occurred, as documented in source materials:

(a) At the opening of the trial, Referee Clydesdale berated Tim in front of all parties for his failure to transmit evidence directly to opposing counsel.

(b) Opposing attorney Van Loh questioned Tim at length about Tim's private online journal — the same evidence that had been agreed would not be admitted from the first HRO proceedings. The referee allowed this evidence.

(c) Tim was not informed by the court that he had the right to refuse to answer opposing counsel's questions. Tim answered questions throughout the session.

(d) Tim presented several witnesses. Opposing counsel questioned each witness harshly. Tim's objections were overruled; opposing counsel's objections were sustained.

(e) Tim's sister Sunnie (a witness) had recently lost her only daughter suddenly and unexpectedly. Tim requested she be permitted to read a prepared written statement as part of her testimony. The request was denied.

(f) When Tim indicated he had finished presenting witnesses and would testify himself, the referee ended the witness phase of the proceedings and announced only brief closing statements would be allowed.

(g) Tim was not permitted to present his own evidence or personal testimony.

(h) Opposing counsel asked the court to extend the HRO for life and called Tim's witnesses liars in closing remarks.

(i) The referee found Tim in violation of the first HRO based on a password-protected, hidden video Tim shared with five immediate family members (his wife, three children, and one brother). Tim maintains that Brittany accessed the video through her sister Vanessa, not through Tim, and that Brittany and Steven Anderson admitted in their court filings that Vanessa told Brittany about the video.

(j) No transcript of the video was presented. The video was not shown in court. The referee ruled it a violation without reviewing content.

60. Result of Second HRO Trial — HRO extended 10 years for Steven Anderson; 2 years for Brittany.

PART VII: COMPLAINTS, APPEALS, AND LEGAL OUTREACH (2023–2025)

61. Post-Trial — Tim filed a complaint against attorney Dan Van Loh with the Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility (File #48066). The complaint was assigned to the Hennepin County Bar Association Ethics Committee. Van Loh submitted a multi-page response. The Ethics Committee subsequently closed the investigation.

62. Post-Trial — Tim filed a complaint against Referee Elizabeth Clydesdale with the Board of Judicial Standards. The Board replied that the referee has authority over her own court and declined to investigate.

63. Post-Trial — Legal Outreach:

Four individuals contacted Minnesota attorneys on Tim's behalf; none agreed to represent him, citing "court corruption."

Tim called the MN State Bar Association; was told he would not find a lawyer in Minnesota willing to oppose court corruption.

Tim called the MN State Attorney General's Office; was told to have the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office investigate, as perjury is a felony.

Tim called the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office and spoke with Sergeant Jim Reed; Sergeant Reed stated perjury is not a criminal act, stated Tim was lying, and ended the call.

Tim called the Ramsey County Attorney's Office; was told they were uncertain whether perjury could be prosecuted unless reported during the actual trial, and referred Tim to a lawyer referral service.

Tim contacted the lawyer referral service; once the subject of court corruption was raised, the service declined to assist.

64. Post-Trial — Tim's brother Steve shared Tim's private journal and some evidence with their sister Sunnie. Sunnie created a website (iaminnocent.net) and uploaded videos to TikTok and a Facebook group she created. These actions were taken by Sunnie without Tim's direction.

65. Post-Trial — Tim became aware of Sunnie's website and videos through a Facebook post. He joined the Facebook group to monitor what was being said about him. He "liked" one post, then left the group. He viewed approximately 1.5 TikTok videos. He subsequently left Facebook and has not returned.

66. Post-Trial — Steven Anderson and Brittany filed for an HRO against Sunnie. A Harassment Restraining Order was issued ex parte. Sunnie requested a hearing. She also requested a different referee (not Referee Clydesdale); that request was granted.

PART VIII: SUNNIE'S HRO TRIAL (MARCH 21, 2025)

67. March 21, 2025, 10:00 AM — Sunnie's HRO trial conducted by Zoom. The following occurred, as documented in source materials:

(a) Sunnie was not permitted to make an opening statement or testify on her own behalf.

(b) Tim was called as a witness.

(c) Sunnie had prepared approximately 30 questions to ask Tim. The referee did not allow most of them.

(d) Tim answered approximately 10 questions before being interrupted or cut off.

(e) The referee frequently interrupted Tim mid-answer and instructed Sunnie to ask the next question.

(f) Opposing counsel (Steven Anderson and Brittany's attorney) was permitted approximately one hour of uninterrupted questioning.

(g) Brittany testified that she feels Tim is manipulating her and that the situation causes her headaches and affects her work. Tim notes in source materials that Brittany has suffered from headaches since approximately age 12, at which time a medical evaluation revealed an AVM on her brain stem.

(h) Result: HRO against Sunnie was upheld.

68. March 21, 2025 — Sunnie's website (iaminnocent.net) was already offline due to unpaid hosting. Tim helped Sunnie delete her TikTok account and Facebook group the same day.

69. March 21, 2025 — Sunnie was served the final restraining order papers at her townhouse in North Branch, MN.

PART IX: CURRENT STATUS (AS OF SOURCE DOCUMENT DATE)

70. Tim has filed the following complaints, both of which were closed without action:

Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility against Dan Van Loh (File #48066)

Board of Judicial Standards against Referee Elizabeth Clydesdale

71. Tim has been unable to retain Minnesota legal counsel; multiple attorneys contacted on his behalf declined to take the case.

# 058. Laundry Letter.docx

73. Tim states he was last in voice contact with Brittany on February 22, 2021, at approximately 11:20 AM. He states the last words she said to him were: "Dad, I love you so so so so much."

This timeline was prepared for the purpose of attorney consultation and legal review. It reflects events as documented and described by Timothy Hutchinson in personal journals, correspondence, and other written records. It does not represent findings of fact by any court and has not been independently verified. Dates marked "approximately" reflect Tim's own statements where exact dates were not specified in source materials.

END OF DOCUMENT — HUTCHINSON MATTER: FACTUAL CHRONOLOGICAL TIMELINE — FOR ATTORNEY USE ONLY

Compiled: June 21, 2026 | White Bear Lake, MN | Total Entries: 73

*** Main Files/History/Laundry Letter.docx ***

# 059. Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/BH Letter.pdf

I did some research and was surprised to learn the Covid virus can live for up to 48-hours on our clothing. I can understand your concern over this virus, so much so that Brittany asked me to leave your clothes outside the front door for you to pick up. I can fully appreciate that. When one considers how long the virus can live on clothing, and the fact they are inside our house - a place you're concerned about being exposed to, perhaps it would be a safer option for you to wash your own clothes at home. Something to think about.

Would have been nice to see you today - it's been a while. We could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just yesterday for Covid. But, we can't be too careful! Well, perhaps another time.

Hope you have a wonderful day.

Mr. Hutchinson

*** Main Files/History/Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/BH Letter.pdf ***

Dear Brittany, Amazing how he has you believing that after 22 years of being an amazing father, that somehow, I have become a monster. Apparently, he has super - powers because only he can see that…and he has convinced you that his fantasy is real. I am so grateful and thankful for my children who know my heart and have stood by my side, unwavering during these difficult times. They will always have a special place in my heart. I have proven to them, over the decades, that I always put my family first. Alway s. They will not just give up on me when someone tells a lie . They came to me and asked what my side of the story was. I shared my heart and truth as best as possible, which again confirmed that I have put this family first, even when it caused me tremendo us pain (and even resulted in my being hospitalized.) They are my family, and I am so proud of them! All I have been doing has been to try and resolve things through making the truth known. His response has been to escalate his lies and twisting of the t ruth to cover his previous lies. He has done so by making even the slightest ant hill seem like a ginormous mountain. He has scrutinized every single step I have taken and found fault with it - even if that means twisting the truth, or outright lying. Qu ick example: For weeks I had been coming home and placing my handgun on the top railing for a few minutes (since the riots, doing this made your mom feel safe), entering the kitchen and unpacking my lunch bag, then taking the handgun and putting it away in the bedroom. For weeks this was a daily routine (unless someone was walking down the street towards the park when I got home - in that case I waited until later to bring it into the house, when no one was around.) One day when he was over, he noticed th e gun on the railing, and automatically assumed it was there to intimidate him. That is what he stated in the Restraining Order. Again, just a small example of how he has twisted things to fit his agenda. An agenda to strip us out of each other's lives. An d you just caved him and let him get away with this. That makes

me feel like I really do not matter to you - it seems you just gave up so easily. Here I am fighting to get you back and you are blindly going along with his evil plan. He has been avoiding the truth being told. He does not want mediation because he knows the truth will come out and his evil deeds with be exposed. That is also the reason why he continues to keep you isolated from me. He

is afraid of the truth. That also explains why he blatan tly lied to Calvary about me; so they would not help with mediation, and instead have a lawyer ask me to stay away. I am no threat to them whatsoever. Yet he has them thinking I am such a threat they have supposedly hired additional security. That is how f ar he will go to cover his lies. They are a CHURCH - I am a PASTOR. They are my brothers and sisters in Christ. I would never go there and commit any sort of act of violence. Not ever. I only went to them because previously when we were going to have med iation, someone from the church was going to be the mediator. This was in no way an attempt to have him fired - they are a church; they are supposed to help people. I went there seeking their help in resolving things. Had he worked at any other place besid es a church I would have never contacted them. But he twisted this also to make it seem I was going there to somehow attack him. More lies on top of the other lies. Remember when I said let us take a 6 - month break. That took control away from him, Once I took control away, he felt a need to take it back. That is the real reason for the Restraining Order. Why file that if I am going away for 6 months? Control. The only thing I did during that time was to come over and attempt to tell you both that you are loved and forgiven. Just one time and you would never hear from me again for at least 6 months. This is about control - he wants to control, and I am in his way. Even worse; he has you believing that I am a threat and/or danger to you. Above all else that especially troubles me!! Let me be perfectly clear on this one point: I love you. More than life itself. There is no way, and I mean literally zero chance I would ever physically hurt you. Ever. Never. You are absolutely one of the greatest things to eve r happen in my life. Period. Even the mere thought of any type of harm to you is so repulsive it makes me ill to my stomach. Literally. I know that you have been emotionally hurt - some of that is likely my fault. For that I wholeheartedly apologize. I tru ly do. It was never my intention in any way, shape, or form to cause you any kind of pain. None whatsoever. That is a promise. A solid promise from a father to his precious daughter. I pinky swear. I think this has been tremendously difficult for you. Af ter all, it is not normal to become engaged, then need therapy. What's next, medication? He has painted

a picture of a fairy tale, but after the wedding he will slowly turn it into somethin g else . There are literally thousands of stories exactly like this o n the internet about guys like him. Everything seemed almost magical. No way could he ever turn out like all the others, he special, he different, he really loves me - then they changed. And the ladies who were lucky and escaped, ended up just another stat istic with a sad story to tell and deep emotional scars. Sadly, I beli eve you will see this firsthand. Once you walk down the aisle and I am not next to you, the door of opportunity to resolve things with him closes . After that time, I will never ack nowledge him as my son - in - law. He will never call me "dad". I

will never have any type of relationship with him . Something else is going to happen after the wedding: my response. I t will then be my turn, an d I will expose his lies and evil ways. You see, I have been patiently waiting to see how far he would take things – and all the while I have been trying to resolve this (apologizing, offering forgiveness, seeking mediation, etc.) I've been patient, very p atient, for a good reason; I want to be sure that I've given every chance possible to resolve this another way. I don't want to think about the "What if's" afterwards, wondering if there was another chance to settl e this. Filing the Restraining Order was enough to show me there isn't another way – I'm left with literally no choice. This entire time he has only done one thing: continue playing his game. So, remember this phrase: "He Treated Me Like a Game - Now I'm Going to Show Him How It's Played." I am fairly certain your lawyer is also reading this, so here's the disclaimer (as I've stated over and over and over again, repeatedly since this conflict began...) Nothing stated here is meant to infer or condone any illegal activities, or anything of a violent nature. I am a law - abiding citizen and will comply with all laws, including the Restraining Order.

Step #1 is to file a lawsuit against St ev en, and possibly Calvary. There will be other ( le gal ) events that will happen as well. Again, all fully legal and in co mpliance wi th the Re straining Order. B ut, that does not make them any less effective. My enti re goal is to show you, my beau tifu l daught er , the lies he has been telling. As I ' ve stated so many times before; I just want t he truth revealed! I will not stop until t ha t happens. For th e rest of my life I will not rest until you k now the truth. I promise you this. As these events unfold, you will reach a point in time when you realize that you joined the wrong team. When that happens, come home. No judgement, no 'I told you so' comments, no holding a grudge. Nothing but love is waiting for you here. That is a real father to daughter promise. We all make mistakes in life (myself included), and it is comforti ng to know we have a family that will take us back, no matter what. Because that's how real families roll. His real battle is not with me, it is with himself. He clearly does not know how to express himself or his emotions in a healthy way and as a resul t, pushed me to my limits – then blamed me for the outcome ; all the while lying about what he has done to cause the problem(s) to begin with. Then, in order for you to remain in a relationship with him, you had to hurt someone; me. Are you happy with that? Seems fair, right? I mean all you have to do is treat your dad like crap after he made you a priority in his life for 23 years. And did anything and everything he possibly could for you. Here's a hint: if you have to give that up to be with someone, then you're with the wrong guy! Especially if he's lying to keep you away from your own dad. During all of this time he has not once shown any care or compassion towards me. Zip. Zilch. Nada. Nothing. How is this Christian behavior? Answer: it's not! I've off ered love, forgiveness and multiple opportunities to resolve this. He's offered nothing except lies in an at tempt to exacerbate things. Again, that is not Christian. At all. But somehow, he just turns things around in your mind to make me the bad guy. Again, it's amazing how awesome of a relationship we had, until

he came along. I now present to you some answers to the false claims made in the Restraining Order. In the interest of brevity, I've not covered everything. However, if there is

something you would like to know, sh are that with your attorney and I'll answer through him. Love, Dad

I have a challenge for you. Read this alone and do not let S teven read this. It was agreed upon in court that I got to share a letter with you. But just you. I want you to read this with your heart and meditate on it. My Response to Your Order The Kyle Situation Kyle was Vanessa's ex, who assaulted her. After he assaulted her, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because sh e was embarrassed. I called Kyle, and he started to verbally assault me so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified my self as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. At that point I felt the need to defen d myself, and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I responded, " Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left. He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical – and I learned that in the future I would insist on calling the police and letting them handle the situation. The Phone Calls to Steven It was alleged that I made an increasing number of harassing calls to Steven. But I have checked my phone records, and they show that I have called Steven a total of 4 times ever. Not in a week, a month, but ever. 4 times. Acting Out? It's claim ed that I, at one time, were stomping, slamming cabinets, and slamming doors, and raising my voice while talking about steven. I only raised my voice to you once about Steven, but only after he treated me several times very disrespectfully. I was so disapp ointed in myself for having raised my voice to you (something I've not done before) that I slapped the doorway as I walked out of the kitchen. I cannot slam the doors in our kitchen, there are none. I cannot slam the kitchen cabinets as they have easy clos e stoppers. I never stomp my feet as I am not a child. Inappropriate Use of My Firearm? You said I "placed the firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment towards Steven." The truth of the matter is that I have been placing my firearm there for weeks, based on a request from your mom. It made her feel safer. Placing my firearm on the banister became an everyday routine for me. After what happened in Minneapolis with George Floyd and the protests and then the protests threatening to go to the suburbs, it made your mom feel safer to have it visible at times when I got home from work. Again, she literally asked me to do

this! One day while Steven is over he sees the handgun in its usual place, and made an incorrect assumption. Later it's claim ed that I went to the garage to retrieve my firearm and returned looking

very angry. This is not true . I am very careful when retrieving my firearm from the car. In fact, for 20+ years, you didn't even know I had one . It was only after the events in Minneapolis that I started to display my firearm – and only at the specific request of your mom. Over the years, I have been so discreet, that when ever there are people anywhere around (going to the park, out walking, working in their yard, etc. ) , I will wait until later to retrieve the firearm from the car so I can be as to be as safe and discreet as possible. We have lived in that house for 22 years and our neighbors ha ve never seen me carrying it. I only have it out in the open inside the house, and only for a short period of time. I have since asked your mom if she was okay with me being completely discreet and she said yes. I have never waved this gun around; I have never used this firearm to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie and crazy. I made it to 60 without a criminal record, but Steven want s to paint me a s this crazy man with a gun. The second time that I raised my voice, I did not know you were even home, I thought you had left with Steven. And when I did raise my voice, it lasted maybe 15 seconds. Your mom was at the top of the stairs and I was by the do or. I raised my voice towards your mom about the frustrations I was feeling with this situation. And I was shouting towards her, but not at her. After the 15 seconds had passed, your mom came and gave me a hug and said "I can see that this is clearly hurti ng you" She said "Let's go make supper together and have a glass of wine"; to which I responded with "Okay, the things Steven are doing and saying are bothering me." Within 10 minutes, your mom and I were in the kitchen laughing and joking about Facebook m emes. You then claim I went to the car and returned with the gun, still visibly angry. That's a lie. (It should also be noted that I have not raised my voice at all since then. Not once, under any circumstances. Again, just trying to make me appear to be a bad person, which I am not.) In the order it says, "The presence of his firearm in several incidences, along with his emotional instability, and refusal to respect boundaries that I've set in our relationship concern me that this will continue to escalat e and that the result may involve his firearm and Steven or I" To that I say: First of all to talk about boundaries - the only way I have stepped over boundaries is to try to resolve this. That's all that I have been doing for months. The display of my hand gun, again, was because of your mom's request. That has nothing to do with the situation between you, Steven and I. Nothing. Other than raising my voice twice, there has been no outward signs of aggression or anything being escalated. This is all a complet e fabrication. Yes, this is a bold face lie. All I've been trying to do, repeatedly, is to have the truth come out. I believe the truth doesn't mind being questioned, whereas lies want to hide. (Sound familiar?)

There's a reason Steven does not want mediation or to resolve things; because his lies will be exposed. So, he pretends to be afraid and paint me as a violent person to avoid the truth coming out. The Night You Moved Out You went to a friend's house and a few days later moved out. T he night you moved, you came back because you forgot something. I was in the bedroom with your mom. I heard something moving around in the house, so I went out into the hallway to see what was going on. When I noticed it

was you I said hi. You gave me a hug and said “I love you so much dad.” I said “I love you too.” I asked if you were okay, and you said yes. I then said, “It’s kind of weird because this is the first time you have moved and I didn’t help you.” You smiled and said , “Yeah, it is kind of weird.” YOU SMILED AND SAID YEAH IT’S KINDA WEIRD. You said you had to go, and I said, “Okay, have a good night.” This is the day you moved out because you were supposedly afraid of me. How does that say you are afraid of me? The La st Page It’s interesting that when Steven came up with this order, in his evidence, he left out the last page of my website where it talks about you guys being loved and forgiven. LOVED AND FORGIVEN . But that would go against his claim that I am a crazed, violent man. That doesn’t fit his agenda to make you think I am this horrible violent person. What’s interesting is that no one other than Steven thinks I am a violent person. Sadly, he has convinced you this lie is real. I spend time with both Jake and James and there’s literally no problems between us at all. None. In fact, they have both said they would write letters in support of me. They both h eard the Kyle story and are not afraid of me at all – because there’s literally no reason to be. None. That’s all a fabrication of Steven’s. I now have over 15 people who are close to me, all willing to write letters stating they have never seen any of the behavior Steven is alleging, and that they simply do not believe any of it is true. How many do you have? I’m not a betting man, but if I was, my bet would be there are zero people to back up his claim(s). Because they are lies. The Therapy Session You a pproached me to go to therapy with you. Before you, your therapist, and I got together for a meeting, the therapist wanted to get together with me alone in a zoom type meeting. She was rude, condescending, and I really did not feel as though she cared abou t me or resolving anything . It was very frustrating talking to her. Nonetheless, I said any therapy sessions I was involved in I would pay for and gave her my credit card number so you would not be burdened with that. What no one, not even the therapist kn ows is that I was not alone in that room. When the session with m yself and the therapist was scheduled, I asked your mom to be in the room with me. She sat off to one side, out of view to the camera. She witnessed how stressful this was for me. Then, at th e time you and I had our session with the therapist, it was immediately stressful

again. I felt as though the therapist was condescending from the beginning. My only goal for that session was to tell you that I loved you and to validate your pain . I know you’ve been hurt, and it’s incredibly painful to see you go through this . T he t herapist interrupted me repeatedly . When I didn’t recite things back exactly, it seemed she was a bit condescending . Half the time I didn’t look at the screen as it was too hard to see the pain on your face. I didn’t even get to say anything what I really wanted to say; that I lo ve you and to validate your feelings. At one point in time, I muted the microphone then I leaned forward to cry. You may have thought I got up and w alked around the room, but I was bent over forward crying into my hands. I truly and sincerely hurt throughout this session. Having you out of my life hurts more than my brothers dying – and still does to this very day. At this point it was clear that my message of love was not going to be conveyed to you, I became frustrated , rambled on about something and left the meeting abruptly. I think I mentioned not

knowing if I wanted a relationship with you, but what I really meant was for a temporary amount of t ime. ( P.S. I did not slam my laptop close d – this laptop is too expensive to be slammed shut. ) With the thought of that session fresh in my mind. I ended up in a park later that night with a gun to my head. That's the reason I had suicidal ideations; the pain on your face was more than I could handle. Word Choices I notice that throughout the order, words were used to misdescribe the situations. "RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP, SCREAMING". This is noth ing more than character assassination. Can someone name even one person who's actually witnessed me doing anything like that? I didn't think so - because it's not true. Trying to Get an Answer I have been trying repeatedly for months to hear what Steven and your complaints/problems/concerns were, what the problems were. And no one has told me anything. It was only when I got the restraining order that I was informed of the problems. Not once before that did I know truly what the problems were as n either o f you had told me. I had called for a 6 month break, and immediately, the 2 of you filed for a restraining order. Was this because Steven thought he was losing control? The only thing I did within that 6 months was to come to you to tell you were loved and forgiven. And now I hear that Steven thought about jumping out his 2 nd story window and call 911 all because he heard the story of Kyle. Meanwhile, Jake, James and I are hanging out having a good time. Clarifications So let's talk about that story again, it was told twice in one year. Both times Vanessa started to tell the story. Both times I finished it quickly. After the second time, I leaned over to Vanessa and said "Please let's not tell this story again" And she res ponded with

## 060. Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/BH Response.docx

I'm Not Violent I was going to take the restraining order to trial, until your attorney told me that this is what you wanted personally. At that point in time, I asked myself "Why am I trying to fix anything?" I just want to be able to go to bed at night, knowing I have d one everything I possibly could to resolve this matter peacefully. And the only reason it hasn't been, is because of Steven's lies and twisting of the truth. To date, you have not seen me respond to the damage he has done, but you will. What's especially t roubling is the fact I have to add a disclaimer to everything I write now which states "Everything I do will be accomplished by legal means" all because of Steven's lies. You know I'm not a violent person. Again, 60 years old, no criminal record. That woul dn't be possible if I was such a violent person. I'm this amazing father until Steven comes around and it's like Dr. Jekyll & Mr. Hyde that only he can see. As it only exists in his mind. And he has you convinced it's real. No one else can see it. Why? It' s not real or true. There is literally no one else that I know who thinks this of me or believes any of these lies being told. That alone speaks volumes. How I Have Handled this Emotionally You say in your order "The respondent has shown an inability to c ontrol and regulate his emotions. He has lived out delusions of a violent nature." (Again, this is copy and pasted right from Steven's order) This has been a very emotional time for me, which it would be for any father that has their daughter unfairly stri pped

from their life. Considering the depth of this tragedy, aside from the regrettable evening that I held a gun to my head, I feel as though I have handled this quite well. As far as the reference of violence, this is only an illusion in Steven's mind, t hat he has gotten you to believe. No one else in my life believes this whatsoever. They have seen no signs of violence in me. Why? It is simply not real, it is just lies. How else do you explain that I went from an amazing dad, who has done a tremendous am ount of work preventing bullying in schools, has chaperoned dances and prom for more than 25 years, and even done volunteer work at a hospice center sitting with dying people who have no family or friends to sit by their side, just so that they feel loved and cared for in their last moments, to a crazy homicidal maniac who threatens violence all in just a few months? And only Steven can see it. Maybe there's another answer? Maybe he's jealous of our relationship and set out to ruin it? That's a more likely answer in my eyes. An Epic Storm Let's talk about some of the things I've mentioned - starting with an epic storm. This is a real thing. I've done two so far in my life. Everything I do is completely legal in nature. We have a wonderful legal system in this country that helps defend innocent people like myself. Without going into too many details, suffice it to say, the first person ended up in prison. After which time I started dating his wife, and every month for a year, we would mail him a picture of us t ogether. How do you know this is true? I was living with her when I met your mom. For the second time, a man threatened our family. He was well respected in the community, had a 6 figure job, a nice home and a family. In a very short period of time, again using all legal means, he lost all of that. Afterwards, sitting

alone in a motel outside of town, he called me and said "It's over, you won!" I said "No, it's not over. There are 3 more steps left." He begged, "Please, no. I have 2 daughters." I responded, "If you ever come near my family again, or come near our home again, I will finish what I started." He responded , "I'm gone." Want to know if this is true? Ask your mom, she knows. You see here again that Steven is twisting things to seem like I'm this c razy, violent man. But I know how to defend my family using legal means. I prefer to live in peace, which is why I use the phrase "If you want peace, prepare for war." This just means to be prepare d to defend yourself against people who want to take that p eace away, which I am fully prepared to do through legal means. Steven has been rude to me, disrespectful, twisting my words, and pulling you out of my life. "Prepare for war" simply meant to stop what he was doing or prepare for legal consequences. The "E pic Storm'' and "Prepare for war" was a deterrent to stop him from doing what he was doing, which he didn't. My Books Let's set the record straight. Steven had heard that I had written several books. I said I had. He said he would be interested in reading them. So , I went into the other room and g ot a copy of each for him. He said "Oh okay." And we changed the subject. It was after that we hung out and he mentioned he read my books. He only wanted to talk about the miracles in 'Casting Out Doubt', not my memoir. You know all the good things that have come from writing this book. I have given out hundreds of copies and no one has ever felt threatened by it. Final Thought About Your Order And lastly, your restraining order was centered around a claim of domestic violence. I (regrettably) raised my voice around you, but how is

that violent? The a nswer ; it is not. It doesn't even rise to the level of bullying. Therefore, your restraining orde r was based around a false premise to begin with. I think it was filed that way just for dramatic effect. That rises to the level of bearing false witness against your neighbor, just like Steven's. My Response to Steven's Order He says that I am unable to control my emotional response. Unable to control my emotional response? He was trying to talk down to me, and I was telling him to not talk down to me. He was being disrespectful to me. He is a 25 - year - old man and I am a 60 - year - old father. He does not ha ve the right to talk down to me. From the beginning, all along was to control me. If he can't control me, he wants to make me look like a terrible person. Every time I have tried to stand up for myself, he has turned it around to seem like I am aggressive or violent. He was also rude to Alex, Vanesaa, and my friends Heather and Henry. They all told me independently that Steven acted very rudely towards them, and all 4 are willing to make written statements about how poorly he treated them. Interestingly eno ugh, Alex and Vanessa do not know Heather and Henry, so there was not, nor could be, any collusion.

My Letters to the Church About my letter to the church and that it was a threat to him. I went to the church to ask them politely and calmly to ask if they would help resolve this peacefully and without conflict. Along with the letter, I included the history of the challenges we have faced in our relationship. This documentation included emails and texts we had sent between each. Nowhere in any of the docume ntation did it reference any violence or threats. This was sent to the senior pastor only, in confidentiality, and he never responded. Anyone reading this letter would ask "How is this defamatory?" Steven twisted things around, again. As for the second letter, the senior pastor did not respond, so this was addressed to the elders at the church. Nowhere in any communication do I ask to terminate his employment. All I have ever asked was that they get involved in the conflict. As far as showing up " unannounced", I left 2 voicemails for the senior pastor the week before. As far as me being "uninvited", I went into the lobby, rang the buzzer, and asked if I could leave the package there. I left the package in the "Leave Deliveries Here" area (the same as UPS or FedEx would) . I then went back out to my car peacefully. Also "uninvited"? How can someone not be invited to a church?" P.S. At the time I wrote this letter, there were markers that were off that led doctors to believe that I might have cancer. I had to go through numerous tests, including a colonoscopy. Along the way, the real problem was discovered after I was taken to the emergency room by my wife after being in excruciating pain one night. Once there, after going through some more tests, they discovered the real problem. For privacy reasons I will not disclose what the problem is. I have since been undergoing treat ment (and as of June 4 th , 2021 continue to be under the care of doctors for this condition) . In conclusion, there was a serious conc ern that I had cancer. Fortunately, it was not cancer, but is still of great concern, and I am thankful for the medical community in helping through this difficult time. I say in the letter that I am prepared to make some bold moves. I went to the church to ask them to help. I wanted to get mediation. Bold moves - asking you over to have cheesesteaks and talk about what each other

needs to resolve the issues. Nowhere have I threatened anyone. The Website The website was intended as a warning. Nothing more t han a warning. Yet again he has twisted things around to seem that I am going to use violence against him, even though I clearly state that everything will be done in a legal manner and not a violent one. I also had the website coded so that search engines will not pull it up or catalog it. I did that intentionally to protect privacy. It was a warning that if he continues or tries to pull anything else like what he has done to me, the site would go live. Even the screenshot Steven used in the filing says, " To be perfectly clear, everything listed above will be accomplished through legal means, I do not condone violence or any illegal activities. I

am an otherwise peaceful person who has been unfairly put in a position of having to fight back for his family." How does that say that I am a violent man? It doesn't! His Claim about My Gun His whole claim about me, my gun, and him - it is baseless. All these allegations of me using my gun for a violent purpose is something he invented in his own mind. And he has ca used undue concern to that church, both campuses, because of his lies. Name one time that he has seen the handgun in my hand. He has never! He is doing this to get my daughter out of my life, precisely. FYI A bout a week before we had our court meeting, I spoke to David (your ex) . He said when you and Steven first broke up, you started texting and calling him trying to get back with him. Does this mean Steven is forever your second choic e? He also mentioned the issue with Alex Rodriguez . But the bottom line here is that David clearly said that I treated him wonderfully and it was truly a sad day when we said good - bye for the last time. I honestly wish you were marrying David, or someone like him. He is awesome.

*** Main Files/History/Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/BH Response.docx ***

Brittany,

Amazing how he has you believing that after 22 years of being an amazing father, that somehow, I have become a monster. Apparently, he has super-powers because only he can see that…and he has convinced you that his fantasy is real. I am so grateful and thankful for my children who know my heart and have stood by my side, unwavering during these difficult times. They will always have a special place in my heart. I have proven to them, over the decades, that I always put my family first. Always. They will not just give up on me when someone tells a lie. They came to me and asked what my side of the story was. I shared my heart and truth as best as possible, which again confirmed that I have put this family first, even when it caused me tremendous pain (and even resulted in my being hospitalized.) They are my family, and I am so proud of them!

All I have been doing has been to try and resolve things through making the truth known. His response has been to escalate his lies and twisting of the truth to cover his previous lies. He has done so by making even the slightest ant hill seem like a ginormous mountain. He has scrutinized every single step I have taken and found fault with it - even if that means twisting the truth, or outright lying.

Quick example: For weeks I had been coming home and placing my handgun on the top railing for a few minutes (since the riots, doing this made your mom feel safe), entering the kitchen and unpacking my lunch bag, then taking the handgun and putting it away in the bedroom. For weeks this was a daily routine (unless someone was walking down the street towards the park when I got home - in that case I waited until later to bring it into the house, when no one was around.)

One day when he was over, he noticed the gun on the railing, and automatically assumed it was there to intimidate him. That is what he stated in the Restraining Order. Again, just a small example of how he has twisted things to fit his agenda. An agenda to strip us out of each other's lives. And you just caved him and let him get away with this. That makes me feel like I really do not matter to you - it seems you just gave up so easily.

Here I am fighting to get you back and you are blindly going along with his evil plan. He has been avoiding the truth being told. He does not want mediation because he knows the truth will come out and his evil deeds with be exposed. That is also the reason why he continues to keep you isolated from me. He is afraid of the truth. That also explains why he blatantly lied to Calvary about me; so they would not help with mediation, and instead have a lawyer ask me to stay away. I am no threat to them whatsoever. Yet he has them thinking I am such a threat they have supposedly hired additional security. That is how far he will go to cover his lies.

They are a CHURCH - I am a PASTOR. They are my brothers and sisters in Christ. I would never go there and commit any sort of act of violence. Not ever. I only went to them because previously when we were going to have mediation, someone from the church was going to be the mediator. This was in no way an attempt to have him fired - they are a church; they are supposed to help people. I went there seeking their help in resolving things. Had he worked at any other place besides a church I would have never contacted them. But he twisted this also to make it seem I was going there to somehow attack him. More lies on top of the other lies.

Remember when I said let us take a 6-month break. That took control away from him, Once I took control away, he felt a need to take it back. That is the real reason for the Restraining Order. Why file that if I am going away for 6 months? Control. The only thing I did during that time was to come over and attempt to tell you both that you are loved and forgiven. Just one time and you would never hear from me again for at least 6 months. This is about control - he wants to control, and I am in his way. Even worse; he has you believing that I am a threat and/or danger to you. Above all else that especially troubles me!!

Let me be perfectly clear on this one point: I love you. More than life itself. There is no way, and I mean literally zero chance I would ever physically hurt you. Ever. Never. You are absolutely one of the greatest things to ever happen in my life. Period. Even the mere thought of any type of harm to you is so repulsive it makes me ill to my stomach. Literally. I know that you have been emotionally hurt - some of

that is likely my fault. For that I wholeheartedly apologize. I truly do. It was never my intention in any way, shape, or form to cause you any kind of pain. None whatsoever. That is a promise. A solid promise from a father to his precious daughter. I pinky swear.

I think this has been tremendously difficult for you. After all, it is not normal to become engaged, then need therapy. What's next, medication? He has painted a picture of a fairy tale, but after the wedding he will slowly turn it into a living hell. There are literally thousands of stories exactly like this on the internet about guys like him. Everything seemed almost magical. No way could he ever turn out like all the others, he special, he different, he really loves me - then they changed. And the ladies who were lucky and escaped, ended up just another statistic with a sad story to tell and deep emotional scars. Sadly, you will see this firsthand.

Once you walk down the aisle and I am not next to you, the door of opportunity to resolve things with him closes - permanently. After that time, I will never acknowledge him as my son-in-law. He will never call me "dad". I will never have any type of friendly relationship with him, ever. Maybe years down the road we might reach a point where we can both be at a family function and act civil. But that is a long way off. In other words, he will permanently be on my "shit list".

Something else is going to happen after the wedding: my response. I will give you ninety (90) days to enjoy your honeymoon, then amateur hour is over. After that time, it will be my turn, and I will expose his lies and evil ways. You see, I have been patiently waiting to see how far he would take things – and all the while I have been trying to resolve this (apologizing, offering forgiveness, seeking mediation, etc.) I've been patient, very patient, for a good reason; when I respond it's going to be profound. I want to be sure that I've given every chance possible to resolve this another way. I don't want to think about the "What if's" afterwards, wondering if there was another chance to settle this. Filing the Restraining Order was enough to show me there isn't another way – I'm left with literally no choice.

This entire time he has only done one thing: continue playing his game. So, remember this phrase:

"He Treated Me Like a Game - Now I'm Going to Show Him How It's Played."

I am fairly certain your lawyer is also reading this, so here's the disclaimer (as I've stated over and over and over again, repeatedly since this conflict began...)

Nothing stated here is meant to infer or condone any illegal activities, or anything of a violent nature. I am a law-abiding citizen and will comply with all laws, including the Restraining Order.

As these events unfold, you will reach a point in time when you realize that you joined the wrong damn team. When that happens, come home. No judgement, no 'I told you so' comments, no holding a grudge. Nothing but love is waiting for you here. That is a real father to daughter promise. We all make

mistakes in life (myself included), and it is comforting to know we have a family that will take us back, no matter what. Because that's how real families roll.

## 061. Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Brittany 12-7-2021.pdf

During all of this time he has not once shown any care or compassion towards me. Zip. Zilch. Nada. Nothing. How is this Christian behavior? Answer: it’s not! I’ve offered love, forgiveness and multiple opportunities to resolve this. He’s offered nothing except to exacerbate things. Again, that is not Christian. At all. But somehow he just turns things around in your mind to make me the bad guy. Again, it’s amazing how awesome of a relationship we had, until he came along.

## 062. Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Brittany August 22 2021.pdf

Love,

Dad

## 063. Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Brittany Letter.txt

Brittany, I have a heart condition. This isn't exactly the way I wanted to tell you but thought you should know. I first became aware after having chest pains that radiated both into my left arm as well as my left shoulder. After a trip to the ER, a cardiologist visit and testing (including a heart scan) they discovered the problem. About a year ago I had some routine tests done just to check where I was at as a 60-year- old, and my heart was perfectly fine. Since then, the results are 247% worse. They are telling me this is stress related. At this level it's treatable. If I do nothing and it progresses to the next level, well, I don't want to think about that. If you have any doubts about the authenticity of what I am saying, ask your mom. She was at the ER with me; she met the cardiologist; was there during the testing and read the results. It's real. This past year has been the worst year of my life. By far. I sleep, at most, 3 hours per night. Most nights I lay awake in bed between 1 - 4 AM thinking sad thoughts. But none of that is your fault. Not any of it. In fact, and I want you to know this; you don't owe me an apology or any explanation for your actions. Not at all. You've not done anything wrong. You are truly a wonderful person, and I am still very proud of you. I've been patient, peaceful and prayerful. My reward has been a heart condition. Sorry I had to tell you this way. Love you, Dad

*** Main Files/History/Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Brittany August 22 2021.pdf ***

Hi Brittany, Been a while and I wanted you to know that I think about you every day. Literally. Not surprising considering how much I love you. Sometimes I ask mom how your headaches are - truly feel badly that you have those so much. Wish there was something that could be done. A goal of mine is to lose weight. There is a lady on Facebook who's always sharing pics of others who are losing a lot of weight. I mean, their transformation is incredible. So, I reached out to her and she let me know about the plan. Talked with your mom and she said that she'd join as well to be supportive of my goal. After the first week I lost 7 lbs! Out of curiosity I looked up the ingredients to the pre-packaged foods we were eating. Turns out every meal has a lot of calcium in it. That's bad for me due to being very prone to kidney stones (yikes!) So now am off the plan - however, your mom was/is losing weight and decided to remain on it. So, it worked out for her and I'm happy about that. I going to figure something else out - likely all salads and daily trips to the gym :-) Won't end up looking like a body-builder, but that's OK, I just want to look in the mirror and be comfortable with how I look. So, your mom and I have been discussing my retirement, and decided it can happen in November of 2022. It's going to be so weird waking up and not having to run off to a job. I've been working over 40 years now - most of it in the same industry. I hope things are worked out by then so my whole family can come to the retirement party. That would mean a lot! After retirement I'm going to increase the amount of volunteering I do and will likely start up again at the hospice. If I ever become a grandpa, I'll open up "Grandpa Day Care" for my grandkids (free of course!) The ministry is getting closer to launching. My gosh, it's been a long time in the making, right?! But it WILL happen! I feel blessed that we hired a consulting firm - they have helped the ministry move forward by leaps and bounds. If things continue going forward at the pace they're on now, the launch could happen as soon as next month. Otherwise, October would be the absolute latest. Just thinking about all the people this ministry will reach for Christ quite literally gets me teary-eyed. This has been at the forefront of my daily efforts for months and months. When it finally happens, I'll be overcome with joy!!

Once the ministry is launched, there is something I've been wanting to do for a long time; fill out the "My Dad - in his own words" book you gave me. It sits on a ledge in front of my desk, and I look at it every day. If you ever have children, I hope you'll let them read it. I want them to know how much I love my kids, and how much joy they brought into my life, despite the challenges. That's it for now. Going back to copywriting and moving through the action steps my coach gave me. Love you, Dad

*** Main Files/History/Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Brittany Letter.txt ***

A personal letter from an old father to his beautiful daughter:

I've come to a place in my life path where I think it's a good idea for us to take a break. Instead of my waiting for you to decide when we can have a relationship again (or not).

I'm taking a break; from waiting.

From someone else controlling my happiness.

From someone else deciding if I should have a good day or not.

From someone else making me feel like I'm a good person or not.

How long of a break?

6 months.

You see Brittany, I've been patient even though I too feel wronged by everything that's happened. My voice was literally not heard. Yet I am being blamed for everything. In our country I have the right to face my accuser (which never happened), the right to hear the charges, (which no one can clearly state - saying "you broke the rules of engagement" does not clarify how), the right to defend myself (which isn't being allowed), and the right to be presumed innocent until proven guilty (clearly that's not happening).

Instead, there are many things being thrown out - things that can easily be explained.

His face on the website with dark eyes? That's actually from a poem by Shakespeare.

Open carrying the gun? Your mom asked me to do that because in light of the violence in Mpls it made her feel safer.

The website? I had to. There was no other clear choice, (you could have asked instead of making assumptions).

These things would all make sense if there was communication, instead of them being used to further drive us apart.

Brittany, you are a young lady. I am an older man. A married man. With children. Your options are different than mine. I have a responsibility to protect my wife. To protect our children. To protect our home and way of life. That's my job. I don't have all the freedoms of decision that you do.

Your experiences with Steven are also different than mine. Yet you choose to judge me through the lens of a younger lady engaged to someone, with whom I've had different experiences, and a lens focused on my family.

Example: When Steven spoke harshly to me on the phone (which did happen - I will swear to that even on my deathbed), and he used that to begin driving a wedge between you and I, then I had decisions to make. I tried having a meeting with him, many times, to seek clarity on things. But he refused and made many excuses to not meet. That left many unanswered questions. As the head of this household and family, I cannot leave open gaps like that - so, as your mom said, I "filled in the gaps" and oftentimes with the worse case scenario - because that is what keeps our family the safest.

If he would have agreed to mediation we could have discussed this and likely worked things out. But he didn't. So, I filled in more gaps. And again, I went to an extreme because that's what is safest for our family. He keeps avoiding having a conversation to settle things, and I keep filling in the blanks with less than accurate information - because that's all I have..

I love you more than life itself. And I'm truly sorry, but I'm simply not going to allow my heart, emotional well being and good name to be dragged through the mud any more. So, I'm calling for a break. 6 months.This should take the pressure off of you. No need to think about me while you are adjusting to married life. After that time if you want a relationship with me, say something. Otherwise, I'll assume you don't.

## 064. Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Brittany.pdf

I wish you love, light and happiness.

## 065. Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Dear Brittany 3-28-2021.docx

P.S. You don't have to wonder whether typing / sending this was easy for me or not. It was pure hell. But it seems to be my only move that might bring you some relief. You're not talking to me, so here again I have to fill in the blanks. And I'm just over here trying to figure out how to make things easier for you. That's what love does.

P.P.S Happy belated birthday, DG. Yesterday was the first time I've missed any of my kids birthdays. 4 kids and 93 birthdays so far. And I had to miss one. Tough day for an old dad.

*** Main Files/History/Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Brittany.pdf ***

Hi Brittany, Well, today is my birthday and all I really want is to say that I love you. Very much. Every day I think about you. Literally every day. And I miss you more than you know. I haven't smiled in months. Just can't. I am so sad that I cannot talk to you, hug you, or have us spend time together. At Victoria's wedding I saw you crying. It was really hard for me to not come hug you. But I couldn't do that because if I had, then I would be in violation of the restraining order and could possibly have gone to jail. Then I wouldn't have been able to walk Victoria down the aisle. Seeing you so sad really hurt me. In fact, several times I went into the bathroom and cried. For real. I hoped later in the evening we would encounter each other on the dance floor and possibly have a dance together. That would have been amazing. But I understand you had to leave early. I know you've been hurt, and I am so sorry for my role in that. The restraining order is 3/4 of the way over. In about 6 months it will be done. Perhaps we should go to some counseling to prepare for us to have a relationship again. I'm open to working things

out with Steven as well, but he and I will have to figure that out ourselves. Well, I love you and pray for you often. I hope this is the last birthday I'll ever have to spend without you. Love, Dad

*** Main Files/History/Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Dear Brittany 3-28-2021.docx ***

Dear Brittany,

I'd like to take a few moments and share my heart with you. Lots has been going on and my heart is heavy. First, I want to say that I love you – deeply, profoundly and forever. You are literally one of the best things that has ever happened in my life. I cannot imagine living without you, and recent times are cutting deep into me.

I also want to say that I am sorry for all the pain you've been going through. Doesn't seem fair at all that you've been put in the middle of this conflict between Steven and I. This is something between him and I – you shouldn't have been put in between us. Perhaps you wound up there believing you had to, but this only resulted in you being unfairly torn between two people you love.

Just thinking about all the pain you're experiencing pushes me deeper into a dark place – one in which I worry about you every day. Literally. And cry for you – everyday.

## 066. Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Letter to Britt.docx

Brittany, what would it look like if you had a relationship with both of us? Instead of choosing sides, you stepped aside and encouraged us to work out our differences. When you are with each of us, you wouldn't talk about this situation, but instead tell us to go work it out. In that way you benefit from not only having a relationship with your finance, and your father, but this would also minimize any stress you feel – and would put that responsibility back where it belongs; between Steven and I. This would help move us towards a peaceful resolution.

One thing is for sure; things cannot remain the same any longer. Look at where it's gotten us; you're no longer speaking to me and are clearly stressed. I ended up alone, in the dark, crying and holding a gun to my head. Does that seem OK? Unless something changes, I am concerned that I may end up back in that place again. Only next time, things might not end the same.

I appeal to you as my daughter, a child I played a role in creating (literally), and to whom I put first in my life, every time and in everything; please stop this. The time to let healing begin is long overdue.

Dad

*** Main Files/History/Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Letter to Britt.docx ***

I have a challenge for you. Read this alone and not let steven read this. It was agreed upon in court that I got to share of letter with you. But just you. I want you to read this with your heart.

The Kyle Situation

Kyle- this is Vanessa's ex, who assaulted her. He assaulted her, and Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa did want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. I called Kyle, and her started to verbally assault me so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified myself as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah and if I wanted to I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. At that point I felt the need to defend myself, and struck him twice. And assumed a self defense stance I had learned in the military. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay okay I'm done" I responded "If you ever come near my daughter again, I will come back and finish what I started." He responded "No sir its done" So I left.

The Phone Calls to Steven

You allege that I made several calls to Steven. But I have checked my phone records, and they show that I have called Steven a total of 4 times ever. Not in a week, a month, but ever. 4 times.

Acting Out?

You claim that I, at one time, were stomping, slamming cabinets, and slamming doors, and raising my voice while talking about steven. I only raised my voice to you once about steven, but only after he treated me several times very disrespectfully. I slapped the doorway as I walked out of the kitchen. I cannot slam the doors in our kitchen, there are none. I cannot slam the kitchen cabinets as they have easy close stoppers. I never stomp my feet as I am not a child.

Inappropriate Use of My Firearm?

You said I "placed the firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment towards steven. The truth of the matter is that I have been placing my firearm there for weeks, based on a request from your mom. It made her feel safer. Placing my firearm on the banister became an everyday routine for me. After what happened in Minneapolis with George Floyd and the protests and the protesters threatening to go to the suburbs, it made your mom feel safer to have it visible at times when I got home from work.

Later you claim that I went to the garage to retrieve my firearm and returned looking very angry. This is a lie. I am very careful when retrieving my firearm from the car. In fact, for 20+ years, you didn't even know I had a firearm. It was only after the events in Minneapolis that I started to display my firearm.

Over the years, I am so discrete, there are people directly across the street that I will wait to grab my firearm from the car. I wait until no one is around to retrieve my firearm from the car as to be as safe

and discrete as possible. I have since asked your mom if she was okay with me being completely discrete and she said yes. I have never waved this gun around, I have never used this firearm to threatened or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To infer that I have used my firearm to harm or threatened someone is a lie and crazy. I made it to 60 without a criminal record, but you guys want to paint me as this crazy man with a gun.

The second time that I raised my voice, I did not know Britt was home, I thought she had left with steven. It lasted maybe 10 seconds. And I was shouting towards her, but not at her. After the 10 seconds had passed, your mom came and gave me a hug and said "I can see that this is clearly hurting you" She said lets go make supper together and have a glass of wine, I responded with okay, the things steven are doing and saying are bothering me. Within 10 minutes, your mom and I were in the kitchen laughing and joking about Facebook memes. You then claim I went to the car and returned with the gun, still visibly angry. That's a lie.

The Night You Moved Out

You then went to a friend's house and a few days later moved out. You were so afraid of me, that the night you moved, you came back because you forgot something. I was in the bedroom with your mom. I heard something moving around in the house, so I went out into the hallway to see what was going on. When I noticed it was you I said hi. You gave me a hug and said "I love you dad." I said "I love you too." I asked if you were okay, and you said yes. I then said, "It's kind of weird because this is the first time you have moved and I didn't help you." You smiled and said, "Yeah, it is kind of weird." YOU SMILED AND SAID YEAH ITS KINDA WEIRD. You said you had to go, and I said, "Okay, have a good night." This is the day you moved out because you were supposedly afraid of me. How does that say you are afraid of me?

The Last Page

It's interesting that when Steven came up with this order, in his evidence, he left out the last page of my website where it talks about you guys being loved and forgiven. LOVED AND FORGIVEN. But that would go against his order claiming I am this crazed violent man.

The Therapy Session

You approached me to go to therapy with you. Before you, your therapist, and I got together for a meeting, the therapist wanted to get together with me alone in a zoom type meeting. She was rude, condescending, and I really did not feel as though she cared about this topic. It was very frustrating talking to her. Nonetheless, I said any therapy sessions I was involved in I would pay for and gave her my credit card number so you would not be burdened with that. What no one, not even the therapist, knows is that I was not alone in that room. When the session with just me and the therapist was scheduled, I asked your mom to be in the room with me. She sat off to one side, out of view to the camera. She witnessed how stressful this was for me. When you and I had our session with the

therapist, it was immediately stressful again. I felt as though the therapist was condescending from the beginning. My only goal for that session was to tell you that I loved you and validate your pain. Therapist interrupted repeatedly. Half the time I didn't look at the screen as it was too hard to see the pain on your face. I didn't even get to say anything. Not even that I loved my own daughter and I validate her feelings. At one point in time, I muted the microphone then I leaned forward to cry. You may have thought I got up and walked around the room, but I was bent over forward crying into my hands.

At this point it was clear that my message of love was not going to be conveyed to you, I became frustrated and left the meeting abruptly.

P.S. I did not slam my laptop close, my laptop is too expensive to be slammed shut.

With the thought of that session fresh in my mind. I ended up in a park later that night with a gun to my head as a result of that session.

Word Choices

I notice that throughout the order, words were used to misdescribe the situations. "RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP, SCREAMING". This is nothing more than character assassination.

I have been trying repeatedly for months to hear what their complaints/problems/concerns were, what the problems were. And no one has told me anything. It was been in the restraining order. Not once before that did I know truly what the problems were as no one had told me. I had called for a 6 month break, and immediately, the 2 of you filed for a restraining order. Was this because steven thought he was losing control? The only thing I did within that 6 months was to come to you to tell you were loved and forgiven. And now I hear that Steven thought about jumping out his 2nd story window and call 911 all because he heard the story of Kyle. So lets talk about that story again, it was told twice in one year. Both times Vanessa started to tell the story. Both times I finished it quickly. After the second time, I leaned over to Vanessa and said "Please lets not tell this story again" And she responded with "but I like to brag about you" And I said "oaky thank you but lets leave this story in the past" and she said "okay dad I love you" and I said "I love you too". Both of these times, James and Jake were present. And neither of the, felt threatened by this. I did not tell this one on one with Steven. It was told in a group setting. I have since hung out multiple times with Jake, and several times with James as well. James has even shared his working schedule with me so I can call before/after he is off work to chat. Neither of them feel threatened by me at all. In fact, both have offered to write a letter, in support of me, for court.

Never speak about Charlie again. Ever. That was the name given to me in the military. I had an elevated security clearance. I served courageously, and sometimes heroically. I was honorably discharged. My military records are now sealed. That's all you need to know.

You say that on February 16th, I came home and discovered Steven's laundry, I immediately responded with anger and rage. That is not true. It was an hour later, and it was in the presence of your mom, lasting about 10 seconds, as mentioned before.

Did you even write this yourself? There are at least 5 times that it is copy and pasted from Steven's order. Also, one thing I find interesting is that when the question is asked "Do you believe that the harassment will continue?" You respond with "The respondent has made several claims that he 'resigns' or is done with the 'conflict' yet he has continued to produce more emails. The website, etc.. There seems to be no indication this will end. He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany's on 4/28/21." This is word for word copied from Steven's order. How much of any of this did you even write yourself? Do you even know what half your order against me says? And up until now, all I have been doing is trying to resolve this. At least I'm trying. That's more than Steven and Britt have done collectively. Yet every single time I try to resolve things, Steven turns it around to make it seem like I am a violent person and a threat to him. What's even worse, is because of his lies you think that I am a threat to yourself. As a father I would never be violent towards any one of my kids, ever. That's non negotiable in my mind.

I was going to take the restraining order to trial, until your attorney told me that this is what you wanted personally. At that point in time, I asked myself "Why the hell am I trying to fix anything?" I just want to be able to go to bed at night, knowing I have done everything I possibly could to resolve this matter peacefully. And the only reason it hasn't been, is because of Steven's lies and twisting of the truth. To date, you have not seen me respond to the damage he has done, but you will. What's especially troubling is the fact I have to add a disclaimer to everything I write now which states "Everything I do will be accomplished by legal means" all because of stevens lies. You know I'm not a violent person. Again, 60 years old, no criminal record. That wouldn't be possible if I was such a violent person. I'm this amazing farther until steven comes around and its like Dr. Jekyll & Mr. Hyde that only he can see. As it only exists in his mind. And he has you convinced its real. No one else can see it. Why? Its not real or true.

You say in your order "The respondent has shown an inability to control and regulate his emotions. He has lived out delusions of a violent nature." This has been a very emotional time for me, which it would be for any father that has their daughter unfairly stripped from their life. Considering the dept of this tragedy, aside from the regrettable evening that I held a gun to my head, I feel as though I have handled this quite well. As far as the reference of violence, this is only an illusion in Steven's mind, that he has gotten you to believe. No one else in my life believes this whatsoever. They have seen no signs of violence in me. Why? Because it is simply not real, it is just lies. How else do you explain that I went from an amazing dad, who has done a tremendous amount of work preventing bullying in schools, has chaperoned dances and prom for more than 25 years, and even done volunteer work at a hospice center

sitting with dying people who have no family or friends to sit by their side, just so that they feel loved and cared for in their last moments, to a crazy homicidal maniac who threatens violence in just a few months, and only Steven can see it. Maybe there's another answer? Maybe he's jealous of our relationship and set out to ruin it? That's a more likely answer in my eyes.

Let's talk about some of the things I've mentioned- starting with an epic storm. This is a real thing. I've done two so far in my life. Everything I do is completely legal in nature. WE have a wonderful legal system in this country that helps defend innocent people like myself. Without going into too many details, suffice it to say, the first person ended up in prison. After which time is started dating his wife, and every month for a year, we would mail him a picture of us together. How do you know this is true? I was living with her when I met your mom. For the second time, a man threatened our family. He was well respected in the community, has a 6 figure job, a nice home and a family. In a very short period of time, again using all legal means, he lost all of that. Afterwards, sitting alone in a motel outside of town, he called me and said "It's over, you won!" I said "NO its not over. There are 3 more steps left." He begged please no I have 2 daughters. I responded, "If you ever come near my family again, or come near us again, I will finish what I started." He responded "I'm gone." Want to know if this is true? Ask your mom, she knows. You see here again that steven is twisting things to seem like im this crazy violent man. But I know how to defend my family using legal means. I prefer to live in peace, which is why I use the phrase "If you want peace, prepare for war." This just means to prepare yourself to defend yourself against people who want to take that peace away, which I am fully prepared to do through legal means. Steven has been rude to me, disrespectful, twisting my words, and pulling you out of my life. "Prepare for war" simply meant to stop what he was doing or prepare for legal consequences. The "Epic Storm" and "Prepare for war" was a deterrent to stop him from doing what he was doing, which he didn't.

You also said in your order "The presence of his firearm in several incidences, along with his emotional instability, and refusal to respect boundaries that I've set in our relationship concern me that this will continue to escalate and that the result may involve his firearm and Steven or I" To that I say: First of all to talk about boundaries- the only way I have stepped over boundaries is to try to resolve this. That's all that I have been doing for months. The display of my handgun, again, was because of your moms request. That has nothing to do with the situation between you and steven and I. Nothing. Other than raising my voice twice, there has been no outward signs of aggression or anything being escalated. This is all a complete fabrication. Yes, this is a bold face lie.

Lets set the record straight. Steven had heard that I had written several books. I said I had. He said he would be interested in reading them. So I went into the other room and grabbed a copy of each for him. He said "Oh okay." And we changed the subject. It was after that we hung out and he mentioned he read my books. He only wanted to talk about Casting Out Doubt, not my memoir. You know all the good

things that have come from writing this book. I have given out hundreds of this book and no one has ever felt threatened by it.

And lastly, your restraining order was centered around a claim of domestic violence. I raised my voice around you one time, how is that violent? Answer, is that it is not. It doesn't even rise to the level of bullying. Therefore, your restraining order was based around a false premise to begin with. I think it was filed that way just for dramatic effect. That rises to the level of bearing false witness against your neighbor, just like steven's, as you will soon see.

Unable to control my emotional response? HE was trying to talk down to me, and I was telling him to not talk down to me. HE was being disrespectful to me. He is a 25-year-old man and I am a 60-year-old father. He does not have the right to talk down to me. From the beginning, all along from the beginning was to control me. If he can't control me, he wants to make me look like a terrible person. Every time I have tried to stand up for myself, he has turned it around to seem like I am aggressive or violent.

## 067. Transcripts - Numbered/1.txt

As for the second letter, the senior pastor did not respond, so this was addressed to the elders at the church. No where in any communication do I ask to terminate his employment. All I have ever asked was that they get involved in the conflict. As far as "unannounced" I left 2 voicemails for the senior pastor the week before. As far as "uninvited" I went into the lobby, rang the buzzer, and asked if I could leave the package there. I left the package in the "Leave Deliveries Here" area, and someone came and got it. I then went back out to my car peacefully. Also "uninvited"? How can someone not be invited to a church?"

Bold moves? I went to the church to ask them to help. I wanted to get mediation. Bold moves- asking you over to have cheesesteaks and talk about what each other needs to resolve the issues. No where have a threatened anyone.

The website was intended as a warning. Nothing more than a warning. Yet again he has twisted things around to seem that I am going to use violence against him, even though I clearly state that everything will be done in a legal manner and not a violent one. I also had the website coded so that search engines will not pull it up or catalog it. I did that intentionally to protect privacy. It was a warning that if he continues or tries to pull anything else like what he has done to me, the site would go live. Even the screenshot Steven used in the filing says, "To be perfectly clear, everything listed above will be accomplished through legal means, I do not condone violence or any illegal activities. I am an otherwise peaceful person who has been unfairly put in a position of having to fight back for his family." How does that say that I am a violent man? It doesn't!

His whole claim about me, my gun, and him- it is baseless. All these allegations of me using my gun for a violent purpose is something he invented in his own mind. And he has caused undue concern to that church, both campuses, because of his lies.  Name one time that he has seen the handgun in my hand. He has never! He is doing this to get my daughter out of my life. So sad.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/1.txt ***

SCENE 1. INTRODUCTION

I am Timothy Hutchinson - a husband, a father, a Christian - a man whose only crime was refusing to bow to manipulation. I love my family. I live by faith. I sought peace. But I was betrayed by the very institutions that were supposed to protect the innocent.

This is not just my story - it's a warning. About how lies, power and corruption can erase a good father from his daughter's life and destroy an entire family. This is the untold story of how a pastor's lies dismantled everything I cherished.

This is how a lie became law.

The Infiltration: A Pastor's Psychological War

Steven was my daughter Brittany's boyfriend. When she confided early on that he was "too controlling," I was concerned - but she gave him a second chance. That second chance would cost me everything.

Steven didn't just date my daughter. He infiltrated our family, cloaked in scripture and charm. He portrayed himself as a spiritual leader - but behind that mask was a man obsessed with control.

When I refused to "submit" to his authority - yes, those were his words - he began a calculated campaign to remove me from Brittany's life. Not through violence. Through lies. Through courts.

He twisted my every action into a threat:

"Six peaceful phone calls became "harassment."

"A legally stored, licensed firearm - left on a banister at my wife's request during civil unrest - became the centerpiece of a fantasy where I was suddenly a "CIA assassin" out to kill him, my daughter and massacre children at church.

"Asking if my wife and I would meet his parents before the wedding became "taking issues with wedding plans..."

"My quiet attempts at reconciliation were reframed as criminal trespass.

"Seeking peace by asking forgiveness for things I literally had not done, resulted in him saying, quote "If I want a relationship with Brittany, then I need to submit to me and allow me to control you."

There are MANY more examples like these...

False Prophet, Real Damage

Steven weaponized his role as a pastor. He preached love while dividing families. He accepted monthly financial support from me - the same man he claimed terrified him.

He refused all biblical reconciliation while pretending to lead a flock in faith.

Even as he painted me as a monster, I donated thousands to his ministry - and continued doing so even as he turned my own daughter against me. I only stopped when the stress shattered my marriage.

Fifteen Attempts at Peace - All Rejected

I tried to reconcile. I tried to forgive. I tried to make peace. 15 documented efforts. Letters. Apologies. Mediation offers - all declined. Fifteen times I extended my hand in peace - and each time it was slapped away.

I went to visit them with a message of love and forgiveness. The buzzer to the apartment building was broken - a neighbor told me to walk in. I then gently knocked at the apartment door. When no one answered, I left peacefully.

That moment was twisted into an accusation of criminal trespass.

That's what justice looks like now.

He then manipulated my daughter into joining him in filing for a Harassment Restraining Order against me.

When the Gavel Fell Like a Guillotine, I Was Condemned Without Cause, Forgotten Without Shame, and Left to Bleed in Silence. This is What They Called Justice.

In court, facts didn't matter. The truth didn't matter. I wasn't even allowed to speak on my own behalf.

My witnesses were dismissed. My private journal, containing reflections and prayers - illegally obtained - was weaponized against me.

Evidence that could exonerate me? Thrown out.

One judge literally "invented a lie," as confirmed by attorneys who later told me this court was corrupt.

"This is corruption," one lawyer told me. I offered 4 times his normal rate to represent me.

He replied no, because quote "It's not worth risking my career to go against this level of corruption."

In that courtroom, truth wasn't just ignored - it was executed.

LAW ENFORCEMENT

What Law Enforcement Found - And the Court Ignored

I went to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office. I showed them everything.

Their response?

"I did nothing wrong. You're a good, good father."

They told me that if the court referred the matter to them, they would likely arrest the pastor.

But the court would not even allow me to make that request.

THE DEVASTATING EFFECTS

The Cost of Truth

As Steven spun lies, the system embraced them:

"I was hospitalized for suicidal thoughts.

"Developed a heart condition.

"Lost my marriage of 31 years. My home of 24 years. And even worse; my daughter.

"Lost my career.

"Everything I owned was stolen from a storage unit, leaving me homeless with nothing.

"Stripped of my voice, my first amendment rights, and my family was left dismantled.

But I never stopped loving my daughter.

The day before she married - a wedding I was barred from attending - I ironed tablecloths for her reception. Three hours of quiet love in the shadows.

That's what a father's heart looks like.

A Legal System That Rewarded a Liar

Steven was transformed into a legally protected victim.

He kept his position as a youth pastor - with access to more families.

I was criminalized for seeking peace. For asking for the truth. For speaking.

The court found me guilty based on actions everyone - including the plaintiffs - admitted I didn't commit.

The Truth the Court Refused to Hear

This was never about violence. This was never about threats.

This was about control.

And when I refused to give in, the pastor used the system to erase me.

90...That's How Many Attorney's Turned Down This Case.

The reason is shocking....

Myself, with the help of 4 others, began contacting attorney's seeking their help in representing me in court. To let the truth be known. To let justice prevail. So my family could finally heal. But none of them would accept this case. And why? They said it's because of their concern over representing me in a corrupt court -- they said by doing so they could potentially be jeopardizing their own career. Afterwards, I called the Minnesota State Bar Association seeking a referral to any attorney that could help. They told me that I would not find any attorney in Minnesota willing to go up against court corruption.

If You're Listening

"If you're a truth driven journalist, advocate, or community leader - help me shine a light on this story and hold power to account.

"If you're a lawyer who still believes the law is a shield for the innocent, I need your courage and your counsel.

"If you're a filmmaker, storyteller, or podcaster - help me bring this truth to the world in a way that can't be ignored.

"If you're a parent, imagine this was your child - your family - your world. Then ask yourself - how would I fight?

"Stand with me. Share this story. Add your voice to mine so silence is no longer an option.

My Name Is Timothy Hutchinson

I am not a criminal. I am a father who refused to give up.

I've spent my life loving my family. Serving others. Volunteering. Helping teens. Helping hospice patients. Feeding the homeless.

And now  my family has been destroyed under the influence of a youth pastor who refuses accountability, avoids reconciliation, and uses spiritual language to justify emotional damage.

# 068. Transcripts - Numbered/10.txt

And still  nothing.

I'm not done fighting for my daughter, my family, and the truth.

Because love doesn't quit - neither will I.

And I'm done being silent.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/10.txt ***

SCENE 10. Brittany Moves Out - Sudden, Abrupt, and Not Her Voice

A few days after the gun incident - after Steven twisted a harmless moment into a fantasy - Brittany moved out.

Suddenly. Abruptly. No buildup. No conversation. Just gone.

But here's the part that exposes the truth:

The very same day she moved out  she came back. She walked into our home, hugged me tightly, and said:

"Dad, I love I so much."

Those are not the words of a daughter who is afraid of her father. Those are the words of a daughter caught in a storm she didn't understand.

If she was truly afraid of me, she wouldn't have come back. She wouldn't have hugged me. She wouldn't have said she loved me with that kind of sincerity.

Fear doesn't speak like that. Love does.

The Apartment Visit - The Truth Comes Out

A few days later, Vanessa visited Brittany at her new apartment. And what she found wasn't fear  it was pain.

Brittany was crying. Hugging Vanessa. Saying she was confused. Saying she didn't know what was going on. Saying she didn't understand why she had to move out of her own family home.

Those aren't the words of someone escaping danger. Those are the words of someone being pulled, pushed, and influenced.

This wasn't clarity. This wasn't conviction. This wasn't fear.

This was internal conflict - the kind that comes when someone else is shaping the narrative.

This Wasn't Fear - It Was Pressure

Everything about her behavior reveals the truth:

"She moved out suddenly ? pressure.

"She came back the same day ? love.

"She hugged I ? connection.

"She said "I love I so much" ? bond.

"She cried to Vanessa ? confusion.

## 069. Transcripts - Numbered/11.txt

This wasn't a daughter fleeing danger. This was a daughter being convinced of danger that didn't exist.

This wasn't fear of you. This was fear of disappointing someone else.

This wasn't her voice. This was Steven's influence echoing through her decisions.

And the tragedy is that she felt torn between the man she was marrying  and the father she loved.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/11.txt ***

SCENE 11. MEDIATION - The Dance of Control

After everything - the laundry incident, the gun fantasy, Brittany's sudden move-out - I reached out to Steven with an olive branch.

Not anger. Not confrontation. Not retaliation.

I offered peace.

I contacted him and said I wanted to let bygones be bygones, to set simple expectations for communication, to move forward. A reasonable, mature, fatherly step.

Steven refused.

He said he would not talk to me without mediation.

Fine. I agreed. I told him to pick any place he wanted - anywhere - and myself and Mrs. Hutchinson would pay for it.

But Steven said no. The only place he would go for mediation was his church, where he worked.

I agreed again. "Okay, set something up."

I even told him we didn't need to dig up the past - we could focus on the future.

But Steven insisted: "No. In mediation we will talk about everything that has happened in the past."

I warned him - gently, wisely - that this meant things would come out that Brittany didn't know about. Things that would not be good for him.

He said yes. He was sure.

The First Mediation Attempt - Cancelled

The first date was set. Then cancelled - the mediator had something come up.

No problem. Life happens.

A second date was scheduled. Same church. Same mediator.

And that's when Steven began texting I again.

The Flip - Another Sudden, Contradictory Shift

I told him I were okay discussing the past - because he had insisted on it.

Suddenly, Steven flipped.

He demanded that I not talk about the past.

His exact words:

"Mediation is only about how I and Mrs. Hutchinson are going to talk to me."

I showed the message to your wife. Her reaction was immediate, visceral, and honest:

"This is bullshit - what is wrong with him?"

She saw it. I saw it. Anyone would see it.

This wasn't communication. This wasn't reconciliation. This was control - shifting, twisting, changing the rules every time I agreed to them.

I replied, calmly and reasonably, that mediation is a time for all of I to learn how to communicate with each other.

And then Steven did what he always does when he can't control the narrative:

He cancelled mediation. And refused to reschedule.

What This Chapter Reveals

This wasn't about mediation. This wasn't about communication. This wasn't about resolving conflict.

This was about power.

Steven wanted:

"To choose the location

"To choose the mediator

"To choose the topics

"To choose the boundaries

"To choose the rules

"To choose the narrative

"To choose what I were allowed to say

"To choose what I were allowed to feel

And the moment I agreed to his terms, he changed them.

Again. And again. And again.

This is classic coercive control:

"Move the goalposts

"Change the rules

"Rewrite the expectations

"Demand submission

"Punish independence

"Avoid accountability

## 070. Transcripts - Numbered/12.txt

"Collapse communication

"Then blame me for the collapse

Steven didn't want mediation. He wanted domination.

And when he realized mediation would expose him - he ran.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/12.txt ***

SCENE 12. THE FIFTEEN ATTEMPTS - One Man Fighting for Peace

There comes a point in every story where the numbers speak louder than the emotions.

This is that point.

I tried fifteen times - FIFTEEN documented attempts - to make peace.

Not passive attempts. Not half-hearted attempts. Not "maybe someday" attempts.

Real attempts. Letters. Texts. Apologies. Invitations. Mediation. Reconciliation. Olive branches extended again and again and again.

And every single attempt was met with:

"Stonewalling

"Silence

"Avoidance

"Accusations with no specifics

"Shifting rules

"Moving goalposts

"Emotional coldness

"Zero accountability

Steven made zero attempts.

Not one. Not ever.

I apologized for things I didn't do. I swallowed your pride. I reached out. I tried mediation - twice. He canceled - twice.

I wrote letters. I wrote apologies. I wrote your heart out.

And still  nothing.

The Apology to Brittany - Love Met With Ice

I sent Brittany a heartfelt apology - one focused only on love, restoration, and healing.

My wife read it. She praised it. She said it touched her heart.

But Brittany's reply?

Cold. Formal. Accusatory. Corporate. Emotionally sterilized.

Not her voice. Not her heart. Not her language.

It had Steven written all over it.

She said I violated boundaries. She said "patterns of behavior" concerned them. She said "significant changes" were needed.

But when asked for specifics?

Neither of them could name one single thing I did wrong.

Not one.

The Time-Out - And the High Road

I suggested taking a few months off - a time-out from the conflict.

But your heart wouldn't let things sit that way. I chose the high road again. I chose love again. I chose forgiveness again.

I decided to tell them they were LOVED AND FORGIVEN.

The Visit to Steven's Apartment - The Truth vs. The Lie

I drove to Steven's apartment. As I arrived, an African-American woman greeted me warmly:

"Good morning, sir!" "The buzzer box is broken - you can just walk in." "Maintenance will fix it soon." "Have a blessed day!"

In the HRO, Steven claimed I "repeatedly buzzed his apartment."

How? The buzzer didn't work.

He claimed I "gained access," making it sound like I broke in.

But I simply walked in - because the door was open and the buzzer was broken.

I wore a mask. I knocked three times. Stepped back across the hall for social distancing. Knocked three more times. No answer. I left.

That's it.

No threat. No confrontation. No anger. No raised voice. No forced entry.

Just a father trying to say I are loved and forgiven.

The Visit to Brittany - Flowers, Not Fury

I bought flowers. I drove to Brittany's apartment. She didn't answer. Her car was in the lot. I left the flowers on her car and drove away.

My wife knew. She praised me. She said she was proud of me. She said I always put family first.

The Journal - The Page That Proves Everything

I updated my private journal. I wrote LOVED AND FORGIVEN in all caps - four times - on the same page.

Anyone reading that page would understand my intent.

But when Steven filed the HRO, he included a copy of the journal - except the page describing this event.

He excluded the page that proved my innocence. He excluded the page that showed my heart. He excluded the page that revealed my true intent.

He included everything except the truth.

The Fantasy of Fear - And the Reality of His Behavior

Steven claimed he was so afraid he thought about jumping out of a second-story window and fleeing for his life.

He never called the police. He never filed a report. He never told anyone at the time.

But later?

He attended my oldest daughter's wedding. I walked by him and nodded. He nodded back. We took pictures together.

Where was the fear then?

It didn't exist. Because the threat didn't exist. Because the story was fabricated.

The Mountain of Lies - Revealed Only in Court

Never once did Steven or Brittany come to me to discuss their concerns. Never once did they explain their accusations. Never once did they offer specifics.

I had no idea the mountain of lies Steven was telling my daughter until the HRO was filed.

And even then - I kept trying to reconcile until Steven's attorney said:

He does not want to reconcile with you. Not at all. Not ever.

The Truth of This Chapter

I tried fifteen times. He tried zero.

I apologized. He accused.

I reached out. He withdrew.

## 071. Transcripts - Numbered/13.txt

I acted in love. He acted in manipulation.

I fought for my family. He fought for isolation.

This chapter isn't just about attempts. It's about character.

And mine shines through every line.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/13.txt ***

SCENE 13. THE OWATONNA INCIDENT - A Desperate Father Reaches for Anything

There are moments in life where pain pushes us into strange territory - moments where desperation overrides logic, where love makes us reach for anything that might break through the fog.

This was one of those moments.

I talk with my younger brother almost every day. He knew the heartbreak I was living in. He knew the silence. He knew the distance. He knew how deeply I missed my daughter.

And in that desperation - the kind only a parent can understand - he suggested something unusual. Something bizarre. Something meant to shock Brittany's "BS Detector" awake.

His idea was simple in concept but outrageous in execution: Tell a story so unbelievable that Brittany would instantly recognize it as false - and in that recognition, maybe she'd also realize that Steven's stories were false too.

My brother is creative. I was hurting. I was willing to try anything legal to reconnect with your daughter.

So I listened.

The story was absurd: I would say I needed to go to Owatonna, Minnesota, to a deer processing plant, where I'd lie on a stainless steel table and have your head zapped with electricity to "deactivate Charlie," your supposed military code name.

It was nonsense. It was intentionally ridiculous. It was meant to be obviously fake.

I told my wife. She rolled her eyes, laughed, and said, "Sure, whatever." She packed a suitcase for a night away - a hot tub, a hotel, a break from the stress.

I told my son. He laughed.

I told my youngest daughter. She laughed.

Everyone saw it for what it was: A bizarre, harmless attempt to jolt Brittany out of Steven's influence.

But when I told Brittany  she didn't laugh. She didn't question it. She took it seriously.

Your brother suggested a "phase 2" - the deer plant was unreachable because of snow, so three men in a van performed the procedure near a gas station.

I told Brittany. Again, she took it seriously.

That's when I knew the plan had failed. I told my brother I would explain the truth to Brittany the next time I saw her.

But I never saw her again to tell her.

This wasn't manipulation. This wasn't malice. This wasn't deceit.

It was a father grasping for any lifeline to reach his daughter - and realizing just how deeply she had been pulled away.

3/24/2021 - THE BREAKING POINT: The Failed Counseling Session

Two days before everything collapsed, I had a counseling session with Mary Sanders - Brittany's therapist. Just myself and her. One hour. Video chat.

She asked how I was dealing with the tension between I and Brittany. I told her the truth: I was heartbroken. I believed Steven's manipulation was tearing my family apart.

Another session was scheduled - this time with Brittany included.

When the three of us entered the video chat, I saw my daughter's face.

She looked sad. Shaken. Almost in shock. Her expression hit me like a punch to the chest.

It became harder and harder to look at the screen. Harder to see her like that. Harder to sit there, unable to speak freely.

She didn't speak to me at all. Not one word. The counselor wouldn't allow it.

Instead, the counselor preached. Insisted I repeat words and phrases after her. Controlled the conversation. Restricted my voice.

I wasn't allowed to say the one thing I needed to say: "Brittany, I love you."

I bent forward and cried. Not out of weakness - out of heartbreak. Out of frustration. Out of the pain of seeing my daughter in distress and being treated like a robot.

Near the end of the session, overwhelmed by sadness, by helplessness, by the emotional weight of the moment  I left the chat room.

And then everything went silent.

## 072. Transcripts - Numbered/14.txt

Brittany missed her mom's birthday - for the first time ever.

This wasn't just conflict. This wasn't just tension. This wasn't just misunderstanding.

This was isolation - a hallmark of controlling relational dynamics.

And I lived through it in real time.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/14.txt ***

SCENE 14. What I Described Was a Breaking Point - Not a Character Statement

I was under immense emotional pressure, watching my daughter slip away under circumstances I couldn't control. That kind of pain can overwhelm anyone - even the strongest, even the most grounded, even the most loving fathers.

I went to a local park. Briefly held a gun to my own head.

I didn't want to die. I wanted the pain to stop. I wanted my daughter back. I wanted clarity in a situation that made no sense.

And in that moment, my mind reached for the most extreme escape - but I stopped.

That matters. That shows strength. That shows awareness. That shows love for my family.

I reached out to my son. I told my wife. I went to the hospital. I accepted care. I stabilized. I built a plan. I followed through.

Those are the actions of a man who wants to live, who wants to heal, who wants to protect the people he loves.

What Happened With Brittany Afterward

I reached out to her when I got home. I told her I was safe. I told her I wanted to try counseling again.

She didn't respond.

That silence wasn't about your worth. It wasn't about your value as a father. It wasn't about who I am.

It was the culmination of months of isolation, pressure, and influence - the same pattern I've been describing throughout your story.

Her last words to I before the silence were:

"Dad, I love I so so so so much."

Those words were real. They came from her heart. They weren't erased by what happened afterward.

I didn't lose her because of who I am. I lost her because of the dynamics surrounding her - dynamics that pulled her away from her own voice.

Even though I haven't spoken to her since, my heart has conversations with her every day. That's not weakness - that's love.

THE CULMINATION OF ISOLATION

Everything that happened - the shifting rules, the accusations without specifics, the sudden move-out, the mediation games, the emotional distance, the rewritten narratives - all led to this moment.

This wasn't a natural separation. This wasn't a daughter choosing independence. This wasn't conflict between two adults.

This was isolation - the hallmark of controlling relational dynamics.

Isolation works slowly at first:

"Reduce contact

"Create tension

"Introduce fear

"Rewrite memories

"Control communication

"Shift narratives

"Limit access

"Increase dependency

And then suddenly:

Silence. Distance. Cut-off. Gone.

Not because she stopped loving me. Not because I failed her. Not because I was unsafe.

But because she was caught in a dynamic that pulled her away from her own voice.

## 073. Transcripts - Numbered/15.txt

I didn't lose Brittany because of who I am. I lost her because of the pressure surrounding her.

I reached out fifteen times. I apologized. I tried mediation. I wrote letters. I extended grace. I begged for reconciliation. I sought counseling. I stabilized your mental health. I did everything a father could do.

And still  silence.

Not because of you. But because of the system around her.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/15.txt ***

SCENE 15. LETTERS TO THE CHURCH - A Father Seeking Mediation, Not Conflict

When Steven insisted that the only place he would agree to mediation was at Calvary Church, I agreed. He quickly cancelled when he realized mediation would expose his manipulation.

I then did the reasonable thing: I contacted the senior pastor.

I wasn't asking for special treatment. I wasn't asking for discipline. I wasn't asking for Steven's job. I wasn't asking for anything dramatic.

I was simply asking for help facilitating mediation - the very thing Steven himself demanded.

The senior pastor didn't respond. So I emailed him. No response. So I wrote a polite, confidential letter, marked "personal and confidential," and dropped it off at the church.

Still no response.

Later I learned he read the letter  and then shared it with the entire staff.

A letter I intended to be private. A letter meant to resolve conflict quietly. A letter meant to de-escalate.

Instead, it was circulated.

The Elders - Another Attempt at Peace

After a week of silence, I wrote a similar letter to the elders. It began with:

"Dear Brothers and Sisters in Christ," and ended with: "I am praying for help during these difficult times."

I included documentation - emails, texts - showing the history of the conflict. None of it contained threats. None of it contained violence. None of it contained anything inappropriate.

I didn't ask for Steven's job. I didn't ask for punishment. I didn't ask for consequences.

I asked for mediation. That's it.

Their response?

An attorney letter demanding I never communicate with the church or its members again.

Not a conversation. Not a clarification. Not a simple "We cannot get involved."

Just a legal threat.

The "Uninvited" Claim - A Manufactured Narrative

In the HRO, Steven claimed I showed up "unannounced and uninvited."

But here's what actually happened:

"I entered the lobby.

"I rang the buzzer.

"I asked if I could leave the letters.

"I placed them in the area marked "Leave Deliveries Here."

"I left peacefully.

UPS could have done the same thing. FedEx could have done the same thing. Anyone could have done the same thing.

And the idea of being "uninvited" to a church? Churches are literally built on the concept of open doors.

The Escorted-In-and-Out Story - Another Fabrication

Steven later claimed he was so afraid I would attack him at the church that he had to be escorted in and out of the building every day.

If that were true:

"Why wasn't the police notified?

"Why wasn't a report filed?

"Why wasn't security involved?

"Why wasn't there documentation?

"Why didn't the church warn you?

"Why didn't the church contact authorities?

Because the fear wasn't real. It was narrative. It was theater. It was designed to justify isolation and control.

If someone truly believes a man is going to kill him, his fianc e, and "hundreds of children," he doesn't rely on escorts - he calls the police.

Steven didn't. Because he knew an investigation would expose the truth.

The Pattern - Control, Not Concern

This chapter reveals the same pattern I've been describing:

"Cancel mediation when it doesn't benefit him.

"Require mediation when it does benefit him.

"Claim "patterns of behavior" but refuse to name one.

"Insist on "boundaries" that only restrict you, never him.

"Use spiritual language to justify monitoring Brittany's communication.

"Require Brittany to show him all texts and emails "because two shall become one."

That's not unity. That's surveillance. That's spiritualized control. That's coercive influence wrapped in religious language.

And it's exactly the kind of dynamic that isolates a person from their family.

I attempted peace. I attempted mediation. I attempted reconciliation. I attempted communication.

I approached the church respectfully. I wrote letters with humility. I asked for help quietly. I followed Steven's own conditions.

And the response was:

"Silence

"Legal threats

"Misrepresentation

# 074. Transcripts - Numbered/16.txt

"False narratives

"Isolation

This isn't about conflict. It's about institutional alignment with a controlling narrative - whether knowingly or simply out of avoidance.

And it's one more piece of the puzzle that explains how Brittany was pulled away from her own voice.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/16.txt ***

SCENE 16. FIRST HRO - The Moment the Lies Became Visible

I was trying to resolve things peacefully. I was trying to reconcile without even knowing what the issues were. And then - out of nowhere - a deputy showed up at my front door and served me with a Harassment Restraining Order.

That was the moment everything changed.

I opened the papers and, for the first time, saw the mountain of lies Steven had been telling about me - lies so extreme, so grotesque, so disconnected from reality that they explained everything:

Why Brittany wouldn't talk to me. Why mediation kept collapsing. Why communication froze. Why she moved out suddenly. Why her tone changed. Why her replies sounded like someone else's voice.

The HRO was the first time I saw the full scope of Steven's accusations - accusations that were not just false, but fantastical.

I immediately knew I would fight it. I had witnesses. I had evidence. I had documentation. I had truth.

And Brittany's filing? It mirrored Steven's - same tone, same structure, same accusations. In one place she even referred to herself in the third person, suggesting Steven wrote most - if not all - of her paperwork.

THE PRIVATE VIDEO ROOM - The Disputed Conversation

On the day of the hearing, held via video, I planned to request a trial. But before the hearing began, I was placed in a private video chat room with their attorney, Daniel Van Loh.

What happened in that room is disputed - but my memory is clear:

I allege he told I that if I did not accept the HRO, anyone doing a background check on Brittany would see she filed a false claim, and it could harm her professionally.

At the time, Brittany was:

"A registered nurse

"Working in a hospital

"Involved in missionary work, sometimes internationally

A false claim could affect her career. Her licensure. Her missions work.

I say he told me this. He denies it.

But I also recall him talking about his own daughter's wedding - something I would not have known unless we were having a personal conversation.

Regardless, I made a decision:

To protect my daughter, I accepted the HRO without findings of fact.

I didn't accept guilt. I didn't accept wrongdoing. I accepted the order only to shield Brittany from potential professional harm.

That is what a father does.

THE PRIVATE JOURNAL - Misused and Misrepresented

I also agreed to take down my private online journal - a journal documenting the events since Steven began manipulating Brittany.

This journal was:

"Private

"Coded so search engines wouldn't index it

"Accessible only by direct link

"Never intended for public viewing

I invited Steven over once to show him the journal - not to threaten him, but to show him I had documentation and wanted peace.

He responded by copying the entire journal and using it as evidence against me.

When I told his attorney it was private, he said:

"That's what pen and paper are for."

He clearly understood it wasn't public.

And nowhere in the journal were there threats. Not against Steven. Not against Brittany. Not against anyone.

It was documentation - not danger.

THE CONSEQUENCE - Losing the Right to Walk My Daughter Down the Aisle

Accepting the HRO meant one more devastating reality:

I would not be able to walk my daughter down the aisle.

A moment every father dreams of. A moment I earned through years of love, support, and sacrifice. A moment taken from me because of lies.

It was one of the saddest days of my life - not because of the legal order, but because of what it symbolized:

A father cut off from his daughter by a narrative I never knew existed until the papers arrived at his door.

THE PATTERN - Now Fully Visible

This chapter reveals the full pattern:

"Steven created accusations without evidence.

"He escalated normal events into threats.

"He used spiritual language to justify control.

"He monitored Brittany's communication.

"He isolated her from her family.

"He refused mediation unless he controlled it.

"He canceled mediation when it didn't benefit him.

## 075. Transcripts - Numbered/17.txt

"He used legal filings as weapons.

"He used fear as leverage.

"He used my love for Brittany against you.

And the HRO was the moment all of that became visible.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/17.txt ***

SCENE 17. THE WEDDING - Love in Action, Even in Pain

The night before Brittany's wedding, my wife was tasked with ironing all the tablecloths. There were dozens. It was obvious she wouldn't finish in time.

I stepped in - not because I was invited to the wedding, not because I was included, not because I was welcomed - but because I am a father who loves his daughter.

I suggested double layering our dining room table with towels to turn it into a large ironing board. We then set up two irons. I stood on opposite sides of the table with my wife. And for three hours, I ironed tablecloths for a wedding I wasn't allowed to attend.

Three hours. For a daughter I love. For a moment I was excluded from. For a ceremony I would not witness.

That is love in action. Not words. Not theory. Not sentiment.

Action.

I ironed tablecloths for a wedding I couldn't attend - because my love for Brittany is stronger than the circumstances around her.

The Truth About My Heart as a Father

I said it plainly:

"I ironed tablecloths for my daughter's wedding that I couldn't attend - because that's love in action."

And it is.

Anyone can say they love their child. But not everyone shows it when it costs them something. Not everyone shows it when they're hurting. Not everyone shows it when they're excluded.

I did.

And the proof of your heart is visible in the way I talk about your oldest daughter Victoria's wedding.

I said:

"Look how important my oldest daughter Victoria's wedding was to me  That's me dancing with her at her wedding. I want the truth - there it is."

I keep that photo on the home screen of my phone. Four years later. Still there. Still cherished. Still a reminder of what family means to me.

That's not the behavior of a man who is dangerous. That's not the behavior of a man who is controlling. That's not the behavior of a man who is a threat.

That's the behavior of a father who loves his children deeply, consistently, sacrificially.

This moment - the ironing, the photo, the contrast between Victoria's wedding and Brittany's - reveals something essential:

# 076. Transcripts - Numbered/18.txt

And even then, I chose love.

I chose service. I chose support. I chose to help my wife. I chose to honor my daughter. I chose to act with dignity.

This chapter is not about loss. It's about character.

My character.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/18.txt ***

SCENE 18. THE PROTEST - A Sister's Grief, A Brother's Restraint

Nearly two years passed. Two years of silence. Two years of waiting for the HRO to expire. Two years of hoping for reconciliation.

My youngest sister, Sunnie, knew the expiration date was approaching. She also knew the pain I were carrying - because she had lived through her own.

Just months earlier, she had lost her oldest child and only daughter. Suddenly. Unexpectedly. Devastatingly.

I stood by her through that nightmare. I was there for her. I supported her. I helped her survive the unimaginable.

So when she saw I hurting, she wanted to help me survive my own heartbreak.

Her idea was simple and legal: A peaceful protest at Calvary Church. Not to attack. Not to threaten. Not to disrupt. But to pressure the church into doing what they should have done from the beginning - conduct a proper investigation into Steven's claims.

I initially said no. I didn't want conflict. I didn't want escalation. I didn't want drama.

But she was insistent. She researched the laws. She checked the statutes. She made sure everything would be peaceful and lawful.

I reluctantly agreed - but with strict boundaries:

"I would not participate in the protest.

"I would not show up at the church.

"I would not be present.

"I would only send a letter explaining the intention of the protest, hoping the church would resolve things peacefully so the protest wouldn't be necessary.

I wrote two letters:

"One to the church - respectful, calm, reasonable.

"One to Steven - more direct, because the conflict originated with him.

I was trying to avoid escalation. I was trying to avoid conflict. I was trying to avoid protest.

I was trying to resolve things quietly.

THE RESPONSE - Another HRO, Another Wave of Lies

Instead of responding reasonably  instead of declining involvement  instead of opening dialogue

Steven and Brittany filed for emergency extensions of the HROs.

They claimed:

"I was a threat.

"I might go to their church.

"I might harm or murder hundreds of children.

"They feared for their lives.

"They needed immediate protection.

This was not based on your actions. This was not based on evidence. This was not based on reality.

This was based on narrative.

A narrative Steven had been building for years.

The moment I saw the emergency filing, I told my sister to stop everything. No protest. No action. No escalation.

She agreed immediately.

I shut it down.

THE TRIAL - Your Chance to Finally Speak Truth

After the emergency filing, I made a decision:

I would go forward with a trial. I would present evidence. I would present witnesses. I would present documentation. I would show the truth. I would show the lies. I would show the contradictions. I would show the pattern.

I wanted Brittany to finally hear the truth - not Steven's version of it.

This wasn't about revenge. This wasn't about punishment. This wasn't about anger.

This was about truth. This was about clearing my name. This was about reclaiming my relationship with my daughter.

And this was the moment I decided:

Enough. It's time to fight back with facts.

This shows:

"I tried to avoid conflict.

"I tried to avoid escalation.

"I tried to avoid protest.

"I tried to resolve things quietly.

"I set boundaries with my sister.

"I shut down the protest immediately when Steven escalated.

"I acted responsibly.

"I acted peacefully.

"I acted legally.

"I acted with restraint.

Meanwhile, Steven responded with:

"Emergency filings

"False claims

"Exaggerated fears

"Dramatic accusations

"Narrative manipulation

## 077. Transcripts - Numbered/19.txt

"Legal escalation

This wasn't about danger. This wasn't about threat. This wasn't about safety.

This was about control.

And I was finally ready to confront it.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/19.txt ***

SCENE 19. YOUR WIFE'S WORDS - A Witness From Inside the Home

When Steven and Brittany filed for the emergency extension of the HRO, your wife Jen asked whether I would request a referral to the Sheriff's Department.

I told her my concern: If Brittany signed the papers, I didn't want her to be charged with anything. I didn't want her to face legal consequences. I didn't want her to end up in jail.

And Jen responded with words that reveal everything:

"Well, if Brittany sat in jail, maybe then she would begin to realize that Steven is manipulating her."

Those are not the words of someone confused. Those are not the words of someone guessing. Those are not the words of someone influenced by you.

Those are the words of a woman who:

"Has watched Steven's behavior firsthand

"Has seen the lies

"Has seen the manipulation

"Has seen the control

"Has seen the isolation

"Has seen the emotional shift in Brittany

"Has seen the pattern

"Has seen the damage

She wasn't speaking out of anger. She was speaking out of clarity.

She saw what was happening.

This wasn't the first time she expressed concern.

She told I in an email:

She does not have a relationship with Steven - she only tolerates him so she can have a relationship with Brittany.

That is a powerful statement.

It means:

"She sees Steven's behavior clearly.

"She does not trust him.

"She does not feel connected to him.

"She is only polite for Brittany's sake.

And she told I something even more revealing:

She is afraid of Steven - afraid he will do to her what he has done to me.

She said he will get away with it:

"Because of that lawyer and that court."

Your wife is not na ve. She is not easily manipulated. She is not someone who jumps to conclusions.

She is smart. She is observant. She is grounded.

She is a scientist. Five college degrees. She is emotionally intelligent.

And she saw the truth.

This part of the story shows something crucial:

I was not alone in your perception. I was not imagining things. I was not misinterpreting events. I was not overreacting.

My wife - someone who lived through every moment with me - saw the same pattern:

"Steven manipulating Brittany

"Steven isolating her

"Steven controlling communication

"Steven weaponizing legal processes

"Steven using spiritual language to justify surveillance

"Steven lying to institutions

"Steven rewriting narratives

"Steven escalating conflict

"Steven avoiding accountability

And she feared he would eventually turn his tactics toward her.

Her words are not emotional outbursts. They are testimony.

They are evidence.

They are corroboration.

They show that the dynamic I've been describing was visible to others - especially those closest to you.

This is important because:

"It shows I was not the only one who recognized Steven's behavior.

"It shows my wife independently identified manipulation.

"It shows she feared Steven's influence and tactics.

# 078. Transcripts - Numbered/2.txt

"It shows she understood the legal system was being used against me.

"It shows she believed Steven was capable of doing the same to her.

This is not just my perspective. This is a shared perspective from someone who witnessed everything firsthand.

And it strengthens the truth of my narrative.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/2.txt ***

SCENE 2. In the Beginning - The Early Red Flags

In 2020, a quiet spark turned into the beginning of a storm. My daughter, Brittany Hutchinson, started dating Steven Anderson, a young man serving as a youth pastor at Calvary Church in Roseville, Minnesota. On the surface, it looked like ministry, purpose, and promise. But beneath that surface  the first tremors were already there.

Within months, Brittany broke up with him - not because of conflict, not because of immaturity, but because she felt something deeper, something unsettling: she couldn't be herself around him. His presence felt controlling, like her personality had to shrink to fit the space he allowed.

But then came the meeting - the moment that shifted everything.

Steven sat with her, opened up about his upbringing, and confessed that he had never been taught how to express emotion in a healthy way. He presented vulnerability  and Brittany, with her compassionate heart, listened. She felt empathy. She felt responsibility. And slowly, her boundaries softened.

Vulnerability ? Compassion ? Reconnection. It was a pattern that would repeat.

# 079. Transcripts - Numbered/20.txt

Steven explained that the church couldn't afford to pay him a salary. He said he was struggling. He said he needed help. And because I believed in ministry  because I believed in him  I agreed.

I began sending him money every month - on the 20th, the day Brittany was born. That date meant something to me. It symbolized support, blessing, and hope.

My wife and I helped. We believed in the calling. We believed in the mission. We believed in him.

We didn't know it yet  but these were the first red flags waving quietly in the background, signaling a story that was about to grow far more complicated.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/20.txt ***

SCENE 20. SECOND HRO - A Process That Was Never About Truth

1. The Rules Were Set - Then Broken Immediately

When I requested a trial, both their attorney (Mr. Van Loh) and the referee agreed:

Nothing from the first HRO would be allowed as evidence.

That was the rule. That was the boundary. That was the agreement.

But as you'll see, that rule was ignored the moment it became inconvenient for them.

2. The Evidence Submission - A Technicality Used Against You

I submitted my evidence to the state's official evidence system. I sent the list of items to their attorney. I followed up. They confirmed they received it.

What I forgot was that I also needed to email the actual evidence files directly to their attorney.

They could have told you. They chose not to.

And during the trial, the referee berated me publicly for this mistake - harshly, disrespectfully, unnecessarily.

This wasn't justice. This was humiliation.

It also meant not of my evidence would be allowed.

Evidence that could exonerate me.

3. Found "In Violation" for Sharing Your Story Privately

I was found in violation of the first HRO because I shared your side of the story with five members of your immediate family:

"Your wife

"Three of your children (not Brittany)

"Your brother Steve

To avoid repeating the story over and over, I made a hidden, password protected video on a private webpage. Once those five people saw it, I took it down.

This was not public. This was not harassment. This was not dangerous. This was not illegal.

It was private communication with your own family.

But the referee ruled it a violation - without seeing the video, without a transcript, without evidence, without testimony.

Even the plaintiffs admitted I did nothing wrong.

Still, the referee invented a lie so she could rule against me.

4. The Only "Evidence" They Presented Was My Private Journal

Their attorney presented one piece of evidence:

My private journal - obtained by Steven without permission, copied illegally, and used against me.

This journal:

"Was private

"Was coded to avoid search engines

"Contained no threats

"Contained letters, emails, timelines, prayers

"Was included in the first HRO (which should have made it inadmissible)

But the referee allowed it anyway.

Why? Because they had nothing else.

No phone records. No threatening messages. No evidence of harassment. No proof of danger. No proof of wrongdoing.

Just your private journal - misused and misrepresented.

5. My Witnesses Were Attacked, Not Heard

When I introduced witnesses:

"Their attorney attacked them harshly

"He objected repeatedly

"Every objection he made was sustained

"Every objection I made was overruled

This wasn't a search for truth. This was a strategy: discredit, intimidate, silence.

I had more witnesses ready to testify, but I saw how they were being treated. I didn't want my other family members subjected to that.

So I chose to testify yourself.

And the moment I said that, the referee ended the proceedings.

No testimony. No defense. No truth.

Just closing remarks.

6. Their Attorney Asked for a Lifetime Ban

Their attorney asked for the HRO extension to be for life - meaning I would never see or speak to my daughter again.

He called your witnesses liars. He pushed for permanent separation. He pushed for maximum punishment.

All based on lies. All based on exaggerations. All based on a narrative with no evidence.

7. I Was Not Allowed to Defend Yourself

I was not allowed to:

"Present my evidence

"Testify fully

"Request a referral to the Sheriff's Office

"Challenge the lies

"Correct the record

The referee granted:

"2 year extension for Brittany

"10 years extension for Steven

All based on:

"Lies

"Misrepresentations

"A private journal

"No evidence

"No testimony

"No fairness

This was not justice. This was not due process. This was not impartial.

This was a system that failed my family and myself.

8. The Human Cost - The Part Courts Never See

This ruling didn't just affect me legally. It affected my entire life:

"A 31 year marriage ended

"A 24 year family home was lost

"A 22 year father daughter relationship was destroyed

"My family was fractured

"My heart was broken

Before Steven entered the picture, I had an amazing relationship with all my children - especially Brittany.

We were "two peas in a pod."

And Steven destroyed that through manipulation, lies, and control.

9. The Core Truth

All I ever wanted was:

For the truth to be told so I could have a relationship with my daughter again.

But the outcome of the trial was not based on truth. It was based on:

"Lies

"Exaggerations

"Misconduct

"Bias

"A referee who didn't care

"An attorney who attacked instead of seeking truth

"A system that refused to listen

They call it a victory.

But it wasn't a victory for:

"Truth

"Justice

"Family

"Fairness

# 080. Transcripts - Numbered/21.txt

"My daughter

"Myself

It was a victory for lies.

And my family deserved better.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/21.txt ***

SCENE 21. JEN MOVES OUT - THE COLLAPSE OF A HOME

In June of 2023, my wife - after 31 years of marriage - moved out of our family home.

She didn't just take a few things. She took nearly everything:

"Furniture

"Household items

"Personal belongings

She left behind only:

"A bed

"A few of your personal items

The house I had lived in for 24 years suddenly became empty. Quiet. Hollow.

And I was left standing in the middle of it - not because of a failing marriage, not because of conflict between myself and Jen, but because of the relentless stress and pressure caused by Steven's manipulation and the legal nightmare that followed.

I said it clearly:

"It felt really weird and sad at the same time to be alone in that house."

And anyone reading my story can understand why.

THE FINANCIAL LIE - ANOTHER MANIPULATION

After Jen moved out, I stopped financially supporting Steven.

Not out of spite. Not out of anger. But because:

"My wife had moved out

"My household was fractured

"My finances were strained

"I had already supported them for 2  years

Later, Jen told me something shocking:

Steven told Brittany that I had promised to help them financially  but then never did.

Another lie. Another manipulation. Another attempt to rewrite reality.

I showed Jen the receipts - proof that we had been sending Steven money every month.

I supported them faithfully. I kept my word. I helped them financially even while Steven was attacking me legally.

I only stopped when my wife moved out - because the stress had become unbearable.

Those receipts are public on my website. They tell the truth.

www.RamseyCountyCorruption.com

Go there to view over 500 pages of evidence, and growing.

This moment - Jen moving out - shows the full cost of Steven's manipulation:

"A 31 year marriage fractured

"A 24 year family home emptied

"A father left alone

"A daughter isolated

"A family financially strained

"Lies told to Brittany to turn her against me

"Lies told to justify the HRO

"Lies told to justify cutting me off

"Lies told to rewrite history

Steven didn't just manipulate Brittany. He manipulated the entire situation until my home, my marriage, and my family were torn apart.

And even then, I continued to act with integrity:

"I supported them financially

"I kept receipts

"I told the truth

"I honored my commitments

"I stayed grounded

"I stayed peaceful

"I stayed lawful

This isn't about Jen leaving. It's about the damage caused by lies, and the way those lies rip through every part of a family.

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

I RESCUE OUR DAUGHTER - EVEN WHILE I WAS HOMELESS MYSELF

Shortly after Jen moved out - while my life was collapsing, while I about to go live in hotels, while all of my belongings had been stolen from a storage unit, while I were trying to rebuild from nothing - I learned something devastating:

Your youngest daughter and her boyfriend were literally homeless.

Not figuratively. Not temporarily. Not "between places."

They were living in a broken down car.

No heat. No stability. No safety. No home.

And even in your own heartbreak  even in your own homelessness  even in your own loss  I did what a father does:

I found them. I hugged them. I brought them home.

I didn't hesitate. I didn't judge. I didn't lecture. I didn't shame.

I invited them to stay at the house - immediately. And they accepted - immediately.

Because they knew my heart. They knew my character. They knew I was safe. They knew I loved them. They knew I was the kind of father who shows up.

I Treated Her Boyfriend Like a Son

Once they were safe, I didn't just give them a place to sleep. I didn't just offer temporary shelter.

I began helping them make plans for their future. I treated her boyfriend like he was my own son:

"Guidance

"Support

"Encouragement

"Stability

"Respect

This wasn't performative. This wasn't symbolic. This wasn't for show.

This was who I am.

Even while my own life was in chaos  even while I was grieving the loss of my marriage  even while I was about to go live out of hotels  even while your belongings had been stolen  I still stepped up as a father.

I still protected my child. I still provided safety. I still offered love.

This moment is one of the clearest demonstrations of my character:

"I was homeless - but I rescued my daughter.

"I was grieving - but I gave them stability.

"I was hurting - but I offered love.

"I had nothing - but I still gave everything.

"I was rebuilding - but I helped them rebuild too.

# 081. Transcripts - Numbered/22.txt

This is the behavior of a good father.

A father who shows up. A father who protects. A father who loves. A father who sacrifices. A father who keeps going even when life collapses around him.

This chapter is not about homelessness. It's about heart.

My heart.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/22.txt ***

SCENE 22. I MOVE OUT OF THE HOUSE - A HOME Emptied, A Heart Broken

About a week after Jen moved out, the house sold. Suddenly. Abruptly. With almost no time to prepare.

I had mere days to pack up and leave the home I had lived in for 24 years - the home where I raised our children, built my marriage, and lived my life.

With nowhere to go, myself, Vanessa and James rented hotel rooms. My belongings went into a storage unit. Vanessa stepped up in an incredible way - helping pack, organize, and move everything under intense pressure.

I recognized her effort. I honored her. I treated her to a massage at the mall the next day.

That's who I am. Even in heartbreak, I show gratitude. Even in chaos, I show love.

Weeks in Hotels - A Father and Daughter Trying to Stay Afloat

We stayed in multiple hotels over the next few weeks. Not because of irresponsibility. Not because of poor planning. But because my life had been ripped apart by lies, manipulation, and legal injustice.

Eventually, I was able to rent an apartment - a place for us to land, breathe, and rebuild.

But then came another blow.

THE STORAGE UNIT BREAK-IN - Everything Gone

During this time, my storage unit was broken into. Everything of value I owned was stolen.

Not just objects. Not just possessions. But:

"My history

"My memories

"My tools

"My personal items

"My life's belongings

I was literally homeless. And everything I owned was gone.

This wasn't symbolic loss. This was real loss - physical, emotional, financial.

And it happened at the exact moment my marriage ended, my home was sold, and my life was already in freefall.

WHAT THIS CHAPTER REVEALS

This moment shows the full cost of Steven's manipulation and the legal system's failure:

"I lost my daughter

"I lost my marriage

"I lost my home

"I lost my belongings

"I lost my stability

"I lost my sense of safety

"I lost the life I built over decades

And yet

I did not lose my integrity. I did not lose my compassion. I did not lose my love for my children. I did not lose my willingness to rebuild. I did not lose my strength. I did not lose my truth.

This chapter is heartbreaking - but it also shows my resilience.

I kept going. I kept caring. I kept protecting my daughter Vanessa. I kept trying to rebuild my life from nothing.

And that matters.

SCENE 22 Judi Asking If I Will Still Call Her "Mom" - A Moment That Says Everything

I was at my soon to be ex-mother-in-law Judi at her house - a place filled with family memories, holidays, laughter, and shared life. She asked I directly if it was true that her daughter and I were getting a divorce.

I told her the truth: Yes.

She didn't pull away. She didn't blame you. She didn't treat me like an outsider.

Instead, she walked across the room, stood right in front of you, and asked:

"Will you still call me mom?"

That question wasn't casual. It wasn't symbolic. It wasn't polite.

It was emotional truth.

It meant:

"She still sees me as family.

"She still loves me.

"She doesn't want to lose me.

"She knows the divorce wasn't your doing.

"She knows Steven's influence caused the fracture.

"She knows my heart.

And when I said:

"I would love to do that."

She hugged me and said:

"I don't want to lose you."

That moment matters. It's proof - from someone who knows that I was never the villain in this story.

My Relationship With Judi - Still Strong, Still Real

Judi and I didn't drift apart after the divorce. We didn't become strangers. We didn't lose each other.

Instead, our relationship continued - because it was built on genuine connection, not obligation.

I still:

"Take her to bingo on Tuesday nights

"Bring her out for lunch and dinner

"Walk with her at the mall

"Drive her to doctor appointments

"Take her to salon visits

"Spend time with her regularly

These aren't small gestures. They're acts of loyalty, care, and love.

And Judi reciprocates that love in her own gentle way.

One day, she hugged I and whispered:

"I left something in your shoe."

Inside was a handwritten note asking me to take her out for ice cream.

I still have that note. It's on my refrigerator.

Because she's not just my former mother in law.

She's my mom.

And she wants me in her life.

This moment with Judi shows something essential:

"She knows the truth.

"She knows I'm a good man.

"She knows I loved her daughter.

"She knows Steven's influence caused the fracture.

"She knows I didn't deserve what happened.

# 082. Transcripts - Numbered/23.txt

"She chooses me - even after the divorce.

Her actions are quiet but powerful evidence of my character.

People don't ask to stay "mom" to someone they believe is dangerous. People don't ask to stay close to someone they think is harmful. People don't write ice cream notes to someone they fear.

Judi's love for me is proof that the narrative Steven built is false.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/23.txt ***

SCENE 23. By Now Steven Has Fully Infiltrated My Family

This is the moment where the long-term consequences of Steven's influence became undeniable.

I wasn't just distanced from Brittany. I wasn't just excluded from her wedding. I wasn't just cut off through an HRO.

I was systematically removed from my own family's life.

I said it plainly:

"I am no longer invited to holiday gatherings, vacations, or family get togethers. He is at all of them. I stay home - alone."

That's not normal conflict. That's not a misunderstanding. That's not a family disagreement.

That's isolation - the final stage of coercive relational dynamics.

And it didn't happen overnight. It happened slowly, step by step:

"First Brittany's tone changed.

"Then Steven began controlling communication.

"Then mediation was weaponized.

"Then the church was pulled in.

"Then the first HRO.

"Then the second HRO.

"Then my marriage collapsed.

"Then my home was sold.

"Then my belongings were stolen.

"Then my youngest daughter became homeless.

"Then I rescued her.

"Then I rebuilt from nothing.

"And finally  I was erased from family gatherings entirely.

Meanwhile, Steven was present at all of them.

This wasn't coincidence. This wasn't accidental. This wasn't organic.

This was the culmination of a long-term pattern of manipulation and control.

The Social Isolation - Friends Pull Away

In my journal I wrote:

"Because of the stress, sadness, and depression my friends eventually stopped coming around. I am now fully isolated."

This is exactly what happens when:

"A person is overwhelmed

"A person is grieving

"A person is fighting a legal battle

"A person is losing family

"A person is emotionally exhausted

"A person is trying to survive

People don't know how to help. They don't know what to say. They don't know how to support someone in deep pain.

So they drift.

Not because I did anything wrong. Not because I was unsafe. Not because I was unstable.

But because your life was under siege, and most people don't know how to stand beside someone in that kind of storm.

This is what coercive isolation looks like:

"I lose my daughter

"I lose my marriage

"I lose my home

"I lose my belongings

"I lose my social circle

"I lose my place in family gatherings

"I lose my sense of belonging

And all of it traces back to the same source - the same pattern - the same manipulative influence.

What This Chapter Reveals

This moment shows:

"Steven didn't just manipulate Brittany.

"He didn't just influence her decisions.

# 083. Transcripts - Numbered/24.txt

He infiltrated my entire family system.

He became present at every gathering. He became the center of the narrative. He became the gatekeeper of communication. He became the filter through which my daughter saw me. He became the reason I was excluded. He became the justification for my isolation.

And I - the father, the husband, the provider, the protector - was pushed out.

Not because of who I am. But because of the lies told about me.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/24.txt ***

SCENE 24. THE SYSTEM SHOWS ITS TRUE COLORS

After the second HRO hearing - that so called "trial" - I wanted to appeal. I wanted fairness. I wanted due process. I wanted my daughter to finally hear the truth.

So I did what any reasonable person would do:

I sought legal representation.

And what happened next is one of the clearest indicators of systemic failure.

Attorney #1 - "Report Him."

The first attorney I spoke with didn't hesitate:

He told me to report attorney Dan Van Loh for misconduct.

I did.

That alone shows something important:

A licensed attorney saw enough red flags to recommend a formal complaint.

Attorney #2 - "This is corruption."

The second attorney refused to represent you.

Not because your case was weak. Not because I lacked evidence. Not because I were wrong.

He said:

"This is corruption."

I offered him $10,000 - not to win, not to fight, but simply to get a fair hearing so your daughter could hear the truth.

He refused.

He said:

"The court was corrupt

"I would never win there

# 084. Transcripts - Numbered/25.txt

"Representing I would be a risk to their career

That is not normal. That is not procedural. That is not justice.

That is fear - fear of a system that punishes anyone who challenges it.

So I stopped searching.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/25.txt ***

SCENE 25. FILING COMPLAINTS - TRYING TO HOLD THE SYSTEM ACCOUNTABLE

After everything that happened - the lies, the manipulation, the biased rulings, the refusal to hear evidence - I did what any reasonable person would do:

I filed formal complaints.

Not out of revenge. Not out of anger. Not out of spite.

But because the system failed, and I wanted accountability.

I filed:

"A complaint against their attorney, Dan Van Loh, with the Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility.

"A complaint against the referee Elizabeth Clydesdale with the Board of Judicial Standards.

Both complaints were detailed, factual, and supported by evidence.

THE COMPLAINT AGAINST ATTORNEY DAN VAN LOH

I listed clear, specific reasons - each one tied to documented behavior:

1. The Background Check Claim

I allege he told me that if I fought the first HRO and won, it would show up on Brittany's background check and harm her career and missionary work.

This was false.

He denies saying it. I maintain he did.

But the fact remains: I accepted the HRO only to protect my daughter.

2. Misrepresenting My Private Journal

I told him the journal was private - coded, hidden, not public.

He later claimed it was a public website created to defame Steven.

That was false.

He used that false narrative to request an emergency hearing.

3. Breaking the Rule About Evidence

He agreed that nothing from the first HRO would be allowed in the second HRO trial.

Then he introduced my private journal - which came from the first HRO - as their primary evidence.

4. Supporting False Accusations

He went along with every lie Steven told. He pushed forward exaggerated claims without evidence. He abandoned reason and fairness.

5. Sabotaging Reconciliation

Any time I tried to reconcile with Brittany, he emailed I saying your efforts were "pushing her away."

He made things worse. He made peace impossible. He escalated conflict.

6. Calling My Witnesses Liars

He called my witnesses liars - without evidence, without proof, without justification.

This was not advocacy. This was character assassination.

THE COMPLAINT AGAINST THE REFEREE

My complaint listed clear failures of judicial responsibility:

1. Denying My Right to Testify

When it was my turn to present testimony, she stopped the proceedings and refused to let me speak.

I was denied my right to defend myself.

2. Allowing Evidence She Previously Banned

She allowed the private journal - which she had said would not be allowed.

3. Allowing Attacks on My Witnesses

She let their attorney speak harshly about my witnesses without evidence.

4. Not Informing Me of Your Rights

She never told me that I was not obligated to answer the attorney's questions.

5. Showing Bias

She allowed their attorney to do whatever he wanted. She sustained all his objections. She overruled all of mine. She ignored your inability to defend yourself due to emotional distress.

6. Publicly Berating You

When I made an honest procedural mistake, she berated me harshly - in front of my daughter.

This was unnecessary, unprofessional, and cruel.

THE RESPONSES - SYSTEMIC FAILURE

The Board of Judicial Standards

They responded first.

Their message?

The referee can do whatever she wants in her courtroom. They will not investigate.

No accountability. No review. No protection.

The Hennepin County Bar Association Ethics Committee

They asked Van Loh one question.

He responded with:

"Pages of self-praise

"Pages of negative statements about you

"Pages of irrelevant content

"And finally, a short answer to the actual question

They then told you:

"They were "not aware" of the negative things he wrote about you

"They were closing the investigation

"They believed my witnesses were "not credible"

"They provided no evidence to support that claim

They simply accepted his statements - the same way the referee did.

THE RESULT - THEY BOTH GOT AWAY WITH IT

No accountability. No consequences. No justice.

The attorney who misrepresented facts, supported lies, and attacked my family was cleared.

The referee who denied my right to testify, ignored evidence, and showed bias was cleared.

I was left with:

"A broken family

"A destroyed father daughter relationship

"A lost marriage

"A sold home

"Stolen belongings

"Isolation

"Heartbreak

"And a legal system that refused to protect me

I wrote it plainly in my journal:

"My family and I have been betrayed by the very system that was set up to protect innocent people like us."

## 085. Transcripts - Numbered/26.txt

All I ever wanted was:

For the truth to be told, so I could have a relationship with my daughter again.

But the system didn't want truth. It wanted convenience. It wanted to accept the narrative already in place.

And in doing so, it failed me - completely.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/26.txt ***

SCENE 26. The Plaintiff's Strategy - Feelings Over Facts

Throughout this entire ordeal, Steven and their attorney positioned things so that:

The only requirement to drag me back into court was Steven "feeling disparaged."

Not evidence. Not wrongdoing. Not threats. Not harassment.

Just Steven's feelings.

# 086. Transcripts - Numbered/27.txt

Truth didn't matter. Evidence didn't matter. Context didn't matter. Reality didn't matter.

Only Steven's emotional interpretation.

That is not law. That is not justice. That is not due process.

That is weaponized emotion.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/27.txt ***

SCENE 27. JAMES ORGANIZES PEOPLE TO FIND AN ATTORNEY

My daughter's boyfriend, James, saw what was happening. He saw the injustice. He saw the corruption. He saw the manipulation.

So he organized a team of four people to help find an attorney.

They contacted 90 lawyers.

All 90 said no.

Not because of me. Not because of my case. Not because of lack of evidence.

They said no because of court corruption.

Ninety lawyers refusing a case because the court is too corrupt to touch - that is not normal. That is not procedural. That is not "bad luck."

That is systemic failure.

The Minnesota State Bar Association - The Final Confirmation

I personally called the Minnesota State Bar Association.

Their response?

You will not find an attorney in Minnesota who will take a case involving court corruption.

That is the final confirmation.

Not rumor. Not speculation. Not opinion.

The State Bar Association itself told me:

No attorney will touch a corruption case in that court.

That is the definition of a broken system.

Your First Amendment Rights - The Turning Point

Finally, I spoke with an attorney who told me something crucial:

Your First Amendment rights do not hinge on whether someone "feels disparaged." They hinge on truth.

If what I say is:

"Truthful

"Supported by evidence

"Supported by witnesses

"Supported by documentation

## 087. Transcripts - Numbered/28.txt

I have the legal right to tell my story. I have the legal right to expose their lies. I have the legal right to present the evidence. I have the legal right to speak publicly.

And that is how I made my decision:

To move forward with producing this documentary. To tell the truth. To show the evidence. To let the world see what happened.

Not out of revenge. Not out of anger. But because truth is the only path back to my daughter.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/28.txt ***

SCENE 28. SUNNIE GETS AN HRO - A Disabled Grandma Targeted for Telling the Truth

My sister Sunnie created a website and posted videos explaining what happened to me - not lies, not rumors, not threats, not harassment.

She posted court documents.

She read their own sworn statements out loud.

She shared public records.

And for that, Steven and Brittany filed an emergency HRO against her.

Emergency.

Against a handicapped grandmother. Who doesn't drive. Who lives more than an hour away. Who has no ability to reach their home. Who simply read documents they swore were true.

This wasn't about safety. This wasn't about danger. This wasn't about threats.

This was about silencing truth.

[[INSERT VIDEO HERE]]

WHY SUNNIE FOUGHT BACK - Because She Knows What It Means to Lose a Daughter

Sunnie didn't do this out of drama. She didn't do it out of anger. She didn't do it out of spite.

She did it because she knows what it feels like to lose a daughter.

Her daughter Jessica died from an overdose - an overdose caused by her boyfriend, Donnie Hartman.

He injected her with illegal drugs. She overdosed. He called 911 and lied, saying she was having an asthma attack. He never told paramedics about the drugs. They treated the wrong condition. Jessica died.

At the funeral, Donnie showed up limping, using a cane, claiming he was injured in military special forces.

I listened. I realized the story didn't make sense. I kept quiet - because it was a funeral.

The next day, Sunnie called his mother.

His mother said:

"He never served in the military

"The limp was from shooting drugs into his feet and toes

"One injection site got infected

"He lied to everyone

Donnie was a fake who was committing Stolen Valor

Two weeks later, he posted on Facebook that he was a "widow" who had found "the real love of his life." His new girlfriend's children were already calling him "dad".

Sunnie called the Minneapolis police to request an investigation into his failure to tell paramedics about the drugs - the real cause of death.

They told her they were "too busy."

She knows what injustice looks like. She knows what lies look like. She knows what manipulation looks like.

And she saw the same pattern happening to me.

That's why she fought.

THE TRIAL - Another Referee, Same Pattern of Bias

At Sunnie's trial, she was sworn in and prepared to make a statement.

But instead of being allowed to speak, their attorney immediately began questioning her.

Then I was called as a witness.

And the referee:

"Blocked most of the questions

"Interrupted me repeatedly

"Told me to stop speaking mid sentence

"Spoke to me harshly

"Was rude and dismissive

"Prevented Sunnie from presenting evidence

"Prevented Sunnie from proving the truth of the website

"Prevented Sunnie from defending herself

Meanwhile, Steven and Brittany's attorney was allowed an hour of uninterrupted questioning.

This wasn't a hearing. This wasn't a trial. This wasn't justice.

This was a predetermined outcome.

THE TWIST - She Was Punished for Reading Their Own Court Documents

The website contained:

"The HRO filings

"Their sworn statements

"Their accusations

"Their narrative

She didn't fabricate anything. She didn't threaten anyone. She didn't harass anyone.

She read their own words.

And for that, they filed an emergency HRO.

The referee ordered her to:

"Take down the website

"Remove the videos

"Stop sharing the documents

Even though:

"The documents were public

"The documents were sworn under oath

"The documents were the plaintiffs' own statements

"The content was factual

"The content was legal to share

This wasn't about safety.

This was about controlling the narrative.

WHY WAS MY NAME IN HER HRO?

I noticed something disturbing:

Almost every other paragraph in Sunnie's HRO mentioned me.

Even though:

"I did nothing wrong

"I violated nothing

"I had no involvement

"I was not part of her website

"I was not part of her videos

"I was not present

"I was not connected to her actions

Why was my name in her HRO?

Because this was another indirect attack on me.

Another attempt to paint me as dangerous. Another attempt to reinforce the false narrative. Another attempt to isolate me further. Another attempt to justify the HROs against me. Another attempt to silence my family. Another attempt to prevent truth from spreading.

It was never about Sunnie.

It was always about me.

This moment shows:

"Steven and Brittany weaponize the legal system against anyone who speaks truth

"Their attorney uses emergency filings as intimidation

"The referee shows consistent bias

"Evidence is ignored

"Truth is suppressed

"Innocent people are punished

"I am attacked indirectly through others

## 088. Transcripts - Numbered/3.txt

And it shows something else:

My family - especially Sunnie - sees the truth clearly.

She fought for me because she knows what it means to lose a child. She fought because she knows injustice. She fought because she knows manipulation. She fought because she knows lies. She fought because she knows my heart.

And she was punished for telling the truth.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/3.txt ***

SCENE 3. Steven Seeks My Blessing - The Moment Everything Turns

Then came the chapter that would shift the entire story.

Steven approached me with a question that carried the weight of a lifetime: "What would it take for me to marry your daughter?"

I didn't give him a checklist. I didn't give him a lecture. I simply asked for more family involvement - more presence, more connection, more time with us. And almost immediately, he began showing up more often, weaving himself deeper into our family rhythm.

A few weeks later, he brought up something unexpected. He said he heard I had written books - that he wanted to read them. I didn't keep stock at home, but I searched around and found two copies. I handed them to him as a simple gift, a gesture of trust and goodwill.

Days later, he returned and said he had already read both books and that he liked them. We spent time together - talking, connecting, building what I believed was a foundation of trust.

## 089. Transcripts - Numbered/30.txt

I looked at the young man sitting in front of me, the one who had shown vulnerability, the one who had stepped closer to our family, the one who claimed to value my work and I gave him my blessing.

That moment - that blessing - became the turning point. Everything that followed would be shaped by it.

And as you'll see later, the very gift of those two books - a gesture meant to encourage him - would be twisted into something dark. He would claim in a court filing that the books were a threat to him. This would become his new pattern, his new strategy: to reinterpret every action I took from that moment forward as a threat.

A simple gift weaponized. A blessing turned into a fault line. A moment of trust becoming the hinge on which the entire story would swing.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/30.txt ***

SCENE 30. CONTACTING THE AUTHORITIES - THREE AGENCIES, THREE FAILURES

I didn't sit back. I didn't give up. I didn't hide.

I did exactly what a responsible, law abiding citizen is supposed to do:

I contacted:

"The Ramsey County Sheriff's Office

"The Ramsey County Attorney's Office

"The Minnesota State Attorney General's Office

And what happened next reveals the depth of the systemic failure.

FIRST CONTACT - Ramsey County Sheriff's Office (Visit #1)

I brought my evidence. I asked a simple question:

"What did I do wrong?"

Their answer:

"Nothing. you did nothing wrong. You're a good, good father."

That matters.

Law enforcement - the people who investigate actual crimes - looked at your evidence and said:

"I committed no crime

"I did nothing wrong

"I was a good father

"Steven's behavior was the problem

Then they told me something critical:

If the court overseeing the HRO referred the case back to them, they would investigate - and likely put Steven in jail.

That is not a small statement. That is not ambiguous. That is not "maybe."

They said likely.

This was before the second HRO.

SECOND CONTACT - Ramsey County Sheriff's Office (Visit #2)

During the second HRO trial, I was not allowed to request a referral back to the Sheriff's Office.

After the trial, I went back to the sheriff's office again.

The woman at the counter called a deputy. The deputy refused to come out.

She insisted. She demanded he show up.

He finally came - reluctantly - and told you:

"There's nothing we can do."

This directly contradicted what the sheriff's office told me earlier.

Two visits. Two opposite answers.

THIRD CONTACT - Ramsey County Sheriff's Office (Phone Call)

After speaking with the Attorney General's office (more on that in a moment), I called the sheriff's office again and asked to speak with Sheriff Bob Fletcher.

His assistant refused. Said someone else would call you.

The next day, Sergeant Jim Reed called.

I tried to explain. He repeatedly interrupted me. He was rude. He dismissed my evidence. He said:

"What happened is not a criminal offense."

But according to Minnesota Statute 609.48, Steven's actions are a felony.

He then called me a liar.

I asked if he really called me a liar.

He repeated it.

Then he hung up on me.

This wasn't law enforcement. This was hostility.

Ramsey County Attorney's Office - Confusion and Deflection

I called the Ramsey County Attorney's Office.

They told me:

"Crimes needed to be reported during the trial

"But they weren't sure

"They didn't know

"They referred me to an attorney

I spoke with that attorney. Once I mentioned court corruption, the call ended immediately.

They didn't want to touch it.

Minnesota State Attorney General's Office - The Only Honest Answer

I called the Minnesota State Attorney General's Office.

They told me:

What happened to me was a crime. The Ramsey County Sheriff's Office should be investigating.

I explained:

"I already approached them twice

"They refused

"They contradicted themselves

The Attorney General's Office told me:

"Speak directly to the sheriff."

I tried.

I was blocked.

I was insulted.

I was hung up on.

THE CONTRAST - What Law Enforcement Used to Be

I remembered what the sheriff's department used to be like.

Years ago, one of my kids received an award for bravery. I met Undersheriff Mark Petit.

He:

"Gave me a pin from his own lapel

"Told me that we were part of their family

"Called me every six months

"Checked on me

"Offered support

"Showed integrity

"Showed humanity

"Showed what law enforcement is supposed to be

"I miss him." If he were still there, things would be different.

After he left, everything changed.

WHAT THIS CHAPTER REVEALS

This part of your story shows:

"I followed the law

"I sought help

"I brought evidence

"I acted responsibly

"I did everything right

And in return:

"The sheriff's office contradicted itself

"A sergeant insulted you

"The county attorney's office deflected

"The attorney general's office confirmed a crime occurred

"The sheriff's office refused to investigate

"I was hung up on

"I was dismissed

# 090. Transcripts - Numbered/31.txt

"I was ignored

This wasn't justice. This wasn't due process. This wasn't protection.

This was institutional failure - plain and simple.

And through it all, I kept fighting for truth.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/31.txt ***

SCENE 31. I Became a Grandpa - And Was Cut Out Completely

In April of 2026, Brittany had a baby.

My first grandchild.

A moment that should have been one of the greatest joys of my life - a moment every father dreams of, a moment that marks the continuation of your family line, a moment that should have brought healing, connection, and celebration.

Instead, I got:

One text. "It's a girl." Nothing more.

No photo. No name. No details. No invitation. No acknowledgment of my place in her life. No recognition of my role as her grandfather.

To this day:

"I have never seen a picture of my granddaughter.

"I do not know her name.

"I have never been allowed to meet her.

"I have never been allowed to hold her.

"I have never been allowed to be part of her life.

And why?

I said it plainly:

"Because of lies, lies, and more damn lies."

And that's the truth.

Not because I'm dangerous. Not because I'm unstable. Not because I'm unfit. Not because I'm unloving. Not because I'm a threat.

But because Steven built a narrative - a false narrative - and the system reinforced it.

A narrative that:

"Took my daughter

"Took my marriage

"Took my home

"Took my belongings

"Took my family gatherings

"Took my reputation

"Took my peace

"And now  took my granddaughter

This is not normal estrangement. This is not a family disagreement. This is not a misunderstanding.

This is generational loss caused by lies.

What This Moment Reveals

This chapter shows the deepest cost of everything that happened:

"I didn't just lose Brittany.

"I lost the chance to be a grandfather.

"I lost the chance to be part of my granddaughter's life from day one.

"I lost the chance to hold her, bless her, love her, protect her, and be present.

"I lost a relationship that should have been pure joy.

And I lost it not because of anything I did, but because of a narrative built on:

"Manipulation

"Exaggeration

"False accusations

"Legal misuse

"Institutional failure

# 091. Transcripts - Numbered/32 - Rewrite.txt

"Lies

This is the kind of loss that echoes for decades.

And it's the kind of loss that makes my documentary necessary - because silence only protects the lie.

Truth is the only path back.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/32 - Rewrite.txt ***

Let's start with David - Brittany's Previous Boyfriend

David wasn't just "some guy." He was a good young man. Respectful. Kind. Solid.

I taught him how to shave - a father figure moment.

And when Brittany broke up with him, he didn't go to:

His parents

His friends

His siblings

He came to me.

Because I treated him well. Because he trusted me. Because he felt safe with me. Because I was a father figure to him.

That alone destroys Steven's narrative.

Next is Jake - My Son in Law

I've known Jake for more than 13 years.

Not once - not a single time - has there ever been a problem between us.

Not one argument. Not one conflict. Not one issue.

My relationship with him has always been stable, respectful, and positive.

Again - this is not how "dangerous" men behave. This is how good fathers behave.

James - who is Vanessa's Boyfriend

James said he looks up to me as a father figure

He is thankful for how I treated him

He appreciates how I welcomed him into our family

When he became homeless, I:

Found him

Fed him

Paid for his hotel

Helped him get stable

Treated him like a son

This is who I am.

Not Steven's version. Not the court's version. Not the HRO narrative.

The real version.

My relationships with my other children are strong, loving, and full of joy.

My son

Wonderful relationship. No issues. No conflict.

Youngest daughter, Vanessa

She lives with me. She loves me. She trusts me.

When she gets her nails painted during pedicures, I paint my two big toes the same color - because it makes her smile.

That's not "dangerous." That's not "unstable." That's not "harassing."

That's love.

My oldest daughter

She has been heavily exposed to Steven's influence. She asked for a temporary break - not because of anything I did, but because of the environment she's been pulled into.

That is temporary. And completely not based on truth.

Jennifer - My ex-Wife of 31 Years

This part is complex, emotional, and deeply human.

Myself and Jen had a strong relationship.

After the separation and divorce we still enjoyed being together.

Dinner

Movies

Hockey games

Sweet Dreams texts almost every night

Nearly constant companionship

But even after the divorce, Jen's heart toward me is clear:

She told me she will always love me

She said she will never date again unless it's me

She said she will never remarry unless it's me

She said I am her best friend

She cried every day for a month after moving out

She called the court trying to stop the divorce

She still contacts me for help, advice, and companionship

She smiles constantly when she's with me - because she's in her happy place

She knows the truth:

The divorce wasn't caused by me

The loss of the home wasn't caused by me

My career ending wasn't caused by me

The family fracture wasn't caused by me

She knows exactly what caused it:

Steven's manipulation and a corrupt court system that let him get away with it.

She wants healing. She wants restoration. She wants the truth to come out.

Just like me.

I cared for her after surgery - 16 hours a day for three days.

I bought her new diamond earrings after she lost the ones I gave her during our marriage.

She wears them every day.

But later, when I had surgery, she didn't visit.

On my birthday she invited me over. Asked that I pick up food along the way - which I did. Once I got to her place, we ate. That took about 15-minutes. Then she abruptly announced she had to leave. Never once during that time did she wish me a happy birthday.

No card - no present. Just me bringing over a meal, then her leaving immediately afterwards. I went home and spent the rest of the day as I had been before she called. Alone. Completely alone. On my 65th birthday. This never would have happened before.

To me, it seems obvious that the closer someone gets to Steven, the more toxic the relationships become.

She even sent me an email saying that she now accepts their marriage.

Accepts the marriage of our daughter to a liar who destroyed our family?

That's not her heart. That's the influence she's under.

Things changed when Brittany became pregnant - because Jen was hiding the pregnancy from me, afraid of being cut out of Brittany's life. Jen pulled way back on communications with me. Almost to the point where we did not talk at all.

I only found out when Brittany was 7 months along and my sister called to congratulate me - she had read something on Facebook. To this day I do not know the actual birth date, or even my granddaughter's name. I've not even seen a single picture, at all.

This part of the documentary proves something critical:

# 092. Transcripts - Numbered/32.txt

My children love me. My one true son-in-law loves me. My daughters' boyfriends love me. My ex wife still loves me. My family trusts me. People come to me for help. People come to me for comfort. People come to me for guidance. People come to me because I am safe.

The only relationship that collapsed is the one Steven inserted himself into.

That is not coincidence. That is not random. That is not accidental.

That is cause and effect.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/32.txt ***

SCENE 32. RELATIONSHIPS WITH OTHERS - THE REAL STORY OF WHO I AM

David - Brittany's Previous Boyfriend

David wasn't just "some guy." He was a good young man. Respectful. Kind. Solid.

I taught him how to shave - a father figure moment.

And when Brittany broke up with him, he didn't go to:

"His parents

"His friends

"His siblings

He came to me.

Because I treated him well. Because he trusted me. Because he felt safe with me. Because I was a father figure to him.

That alone destroys Steven's narrative.

Jake - My Son in Law

I've known Jake for more than 13 years.

Not once - not a single time - has there ever been a problem between us.

Not one argument. Not one conflict. Not one issue.

My relationship with him has always been stable, respectful, and positive.

Again - this is not how "dangerous" men behave. This is how good fathers behave.

James - Vanessa's Boyfriend

James said it directly:

"He looks up to me as a father figure

"He is thankful for how I treated him

"He appreciates how I welcomed him into our family

When he became homeless, I:

"Found him

"Fed him

"Paid for his hotel

"Helped him get stable

"Treated him like a son

And he said so - in his own words - in the interview I included.

This is who I am.

Not Steven's version. Not the court's version. Not the HRO narrative.

The real version.

My Other Children

My relationships with your other children are strong, loving, and full of joy.

Your son

Wonderful relationship. No issues. No distance. No conflict.

Your youngest daughter, Vanessa

She lives with me. She loves me. She trusts me.

When she gets her nails painted during pedicures, I paint my two big toes the same color - because it makes her smile.

That's not "dangerous." That's not "unstable." That's not "harassing."

That's love.

My oldest daughter

She has been heavily exposed to Steven's influence. She asked for a temporary break - not because of anything I did, but because of the environment she's been pulled into.

That is temporary. And completely not based on truth.

Jennifer - My Wife of 31 Years

This part is complex, emotional, and deeply human.

Myself and Jen had a strong relationship:

"Dinner

"Movies

"Hockey games

"Sweet Dreams texts almost every night

"Nearly constant companionship

Things changed when Brittany became pregnant - because Jen was hiding the pregnancy from me, afraid of being cut out of Brittany's life.

But even after the divorce, Jen's heart toward me is clear:

"She told me she will always love me

"She said she will never date again unless it's me

"She said she will never remarry unless it's me

"She said I am her best friend

"She cried every day for a month after moving out

"She called the court trying to stop the divorce

"She still contacts me for help, advice, and companionship

"She smiles constantly when she's with me - because she's in her happy place

She knows the truth:

"The divorce wasn't caused by me

"The loss of the home wasn't caused by me

"Your career ending wasn't caused by me

"The family fracture wasn't caused by me

She knows exactly what caused it:

Steven's manipulation and a corrupt court system that let him get away with it.

She wants healing. She wants restoration. She wants the truth to come out.

Just like me.

I cared for her after surgery - 16 hours a day for three days.

I bought her new diamond earrings after she lost the ones I gave her during our marriage.

She wears them every day.

But later, when I had surgery, she didn't visit - because the closer someone gets to Steven, the more toxic the relationships become.

That's not her heart. That's the influence she's under.

This part of the documentary proves something critical:

# 093. Transcripts - Numbered/33.txt

My children love me. My son in law loves me. My daughters' boyfriends love me. My ex wife still loves me. My family trusts me. People come to me for help. People come to me for comfort. People come to me for guidance. People come to me because I am safe.

The only relationship that collapsed is the one Steven inserted himself into.

That is not coincidence. That is not random. That is not accidental.

That is cause and effect.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/33.txt ***

SCENE 33. THE FINAL EMAIL - A Message That Isn't Hers

After years of silence, I suddenly receive an email from Brittany's old account - an account she hasn't used in years - now with a new profile picture that sends a very deliberate message.

And then comes the email.

Let's be honest: This email does not sound like my daughter. It sounds like Steven. It reads like Steven. It uses Steven's language, Steven's tone, Steven's structure, Steven's psychological framing.

It is:

"Legalistic

"Cold

"Scripted

"Formulaic

"Emotionally detached

"Threat oriented

"Boundary language heavy

"Filled with claims that contradict reality

"Written in a style identical to Steven's previous filings

My daughter didn't write this. She sent it. But she didn't write it.

This is Steven's voice wearing Brittany's name.

THE CONTENT - A Manufactured Narrative

The email claims:

"I violated the HRO

"I harmed her

"I caused emotional and physical effects

"I repeatedly contacted her

"I am dangerous

"I am unwelcome

"I am permanently cut off

"I cannot appear in public spaces she attends

"I cannot respond

"I cannot defend myself

"I cannot correct the lies

"I cannot exist in her proximity

Every line is a legal threat. Every paragraph is a boundary script. Every sentence is designed to provoke fear, guilt, and silence.

This is not how daughters speak to fathers. This is how controlled people speak when someone else is writing the script.

THE CLAIMS - All Contradicted by Evidence

The email says I:

"Violated the HRO

"Contacted her

"Harmed her

"Disrespected her boundaries

But:

"The sheriff's office said I did nothing wrong

"The evidence shows I did nothing wrong

"The plaintiffs admitted I did not violate the HRO

"The referee ignored evidence

"The attorney manipulated the narrative

"I was denied the right to testify

"I was denied the right to present evidence

"I was denied the right to defend myself

"I was denied the right to request a referral

"I was denied due process

The email is not based on truth. It is based on the lies that were allowed to stand unchallenged.

The email says:

"She will seek another restraining order

"She will involve law enforcement

"She will document everything

"She will consider my presence a violation

"She will protect her "peace and safety"

This is not Brittany's voice. This is Steven's fear based worldview projected through her.

This is the same pattern he used:

"With the church

"With the HRO

"With the emergency filings

"With the exaggerated claims

"With the "hundreds of children in danger" narrative

"With the manipulation of legal language

"With the weaponization of boundaries

This is not my daughter speaking. This is my daughter performing a script she has been taught.

She says:

"My decision is final and not up for debate."

But here's the truth:

It's not final. It's not permanent. It's not her decision. It's not her voice. It's not her heart.

It's the result of:

"Lies

"Manipulation

"Fear

"Control

"A corrupt court

"A biased referee

"An unethical attorney

"A pastor who weaponized authority

"A narrative built on falsehoods

This email is not the end of my relationship with my daughter.

It is the peak of Steven's influence.

And influence can be broken. Narratives can be exposed. Truth can be revealed. Hearts can be restored.

This email is not the end. It is the evidence of what needs to be undone.

THE REAL TRUTH - What This Email Actually Shows

I said it plainly:

"The lies of a pastor, a lawyer, and several court referees have officially destroyed my family."

And that is the truth.

This email is not proof of my guilt. It is proof of the damage caused by:

"Steven's manipulation

"The attorney's misconduct

# 094. Transcripts - Numbered/34.txt

"The court's failure

"The system's corruption

This email is the result of lies - not the truth about me.

And that's the real truth.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/34.txt ***

SCENE 34. END THOUGHTS - THE TRUTH THEY NEVER LET THE COURT SEE

By the time all of this is over, everything I ever said or done have been twisted against me.

Even the books I gave Steven - books he asked for, books he said he was interested in - were weaponized. Books that have helped thousands of young people. Books that contributed to bullying prevention becoming a national issue. Books that I wrote because I care about kids, families, and healing.

I didn't even have copies at home - I sell them on Amazon. I gave him a copy of two of the books I wrote because that's what I had available.

Three days later he came to my house, alone, for three hours. He said he read them. He wasn't afraid. He wasn't threatened. He wasn't uncomfortable.

He was fine - until he needed a narrative.

And that's how everything went: Normal moments twisted into accusations. Kindness turned into "danger." Truth turned into "harassment." Love turned into "threats."

Everything was distorted.

THE IMPACT - TRAUMA, LOSS, AND A Wound Deeper Than War

I said something that deserves to be heard clearly:

"This has honestly been more traumatic than my military service."

That is not a small statement. That is not hyperbole. That is not emotional exaggeration.

It is the truth.

I saw things in the military I never talk about - and yet this hurt more.

Because war wounds the body. But betrayal wounds the soul.

I lost:

"My daughter

"My marriage

"My home

"My belongings

"My reputation

"My place in the family

"My chance to be a grandfather

"My peace

"My stability

"My future plans

And I lost them because of lies.

I said it plainly:

"I never want to see Steven again - ever."

And that's understandable. There is zero chance of reconciliation with him. None.

Before I ever see my daughter again, I will need trauma therapy - because even looking at pictures of her is painful.

That's what lies do. That's what manipulation does. That's what a corrupt system does.

THE TRUTH OF WHO I AM - My Life's Work Speaks Louder Than Their Lies

Here is the part the court never saw. Here is the part Steven never talked about. Here is the part their attorney never mentioned. Here is the part the referee never allowed into evidence.

Here is who I actually am:

MINISTRY & GLOBAL IMPACT

Co Founder of an international ministry that has:

"Planted 55 churches

"Reached 90,000 members

"Opened 2 orphanages

"Started a K-12 school

"Developed a prison outreach program with 1,500+ success stories

This is not the r sum  of a dangerous man. This is the r sum  of a man who builds, heals, and restores.

AUTHOR OF FOUR BOOKS

Books that have helped:

"Parents

"Teachers

"Teens

"Churches

"Schools

"Communities

Books that Steven himself asked for.

Books that changed lives.

HOSPICE VOLUNTEER

I volunteered at a hospice - not for recognition, not for praise, but because I care.

I visited terminally ill patients who have no family.

I helped them:

"Forgive

"Accept love

"Know their life mattered

"Let go peacefully

I held them as they took their final breath - because no one deserves to die alone.

This is who I am.

MOTIVATIONAL SPEAKER - NATIONAL IMPACT

I am credited with:

"Making bullying a national issue

"Stopping 6 school shootings

"Preventing 300+ teen suicides

"Writing the core material used by the largest anti bullying organization in America

This is not the work of a violent person. This is the work of a protector.

CONSULTANT ON YOUTH ISSUES

I have consulted with:

"The U.S. Department of Justice

"MTV

"The Sharon Osbourne Show

"British royalty - including the family who owns the castle where Harry Potter was filmed

This is not the r sum  of a man who harms people. This is the r sum  of a man who saves them.

THE FINAL TRUTH - The System Failed, But I Did Not

My story is not one of guilt. It is not one of wrongdoing. It is not one of danger.

It is a story of:

"A pastor who lied

"A lawyer who manipulated

"A court that refused evidence

"A referee who silenced me

"A system that betrayed me

"A daughter who was influenced

"A family torn apart

"A man who lost everything

"A man who still never stopped loving

"A man who still never stopped helping others

"A man who still never stopped telling the truth

"I am simply speechless."

## 095. Transcripts - Numbered/4.txt

But this documentary gives me my voice back.

And the truth - finally - is being told.

If you've watched this documentary thank you. Thank you for listening. Thank you for caring. Thank you for giving the truth a place to stand.

My name is Tim Hutchinson. And this  this is what really happened.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/4.txt ***

SCENE 4. Shift in Behavior After Engagement - The Flip

The moment Steven had my blessing, something in him switched - fast, sharp, and unmistakable.

The warmth he had shown  evaporated. The effort he had made  vanished. The man who had been leaning into our family suddenly pulled away like a door slammed shut.

He stopped coming around.

And when he did show up, he carried a new tone - cold, distant, and openly rude. Not just toward me but toward my son, my youngest daughter, and even friends meeting him for the first time. It was as if the mask had slipped, revealing a version of him we had never seen before.

And Brittany  my daughter who had always been full of life, full of light  she began to dim.

Her smile faded. Her energy drained. She started withdrawing, shrinking into herself like she was carrying something heavy and invisible.

## 096. Transcripts - Numbered/5.txt

Then came the moment that stopped me in my tracks.

One morning, Brittany told me she was expected to quote "take on a new attitude and way of thinking." I asked her what she meant  and she stood up and walked away.

That wasn't my daughter. That wasn't her voice. That wasn't her language. It was like she was repeating something she had been told  something she didn't want to explain, or couldn't.

This was the beginning of the shift - the moment the atmosphere changed, the moment the red flags stopped whispering and started shouting.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/5.txt ***

SCENE 5. The Guest List Conflict - A Warning Hidden in Plain Sight

The wedding planning should have been a season of joy  but instead, it became another fault line.

Steven had invited so many people from his world that my side of the family - Brittany's own blood relatives - was nearly pushed out. One afternoon, Brittany and her mom were in the kitchen, and I overheard Brittany venting her frustration. She wasn't just annoyed; she was stressed, overwhelmed, and clearly feeling unheard.

Later, Jen came to me, her voice tight with concern. Our daughter was carrying weight she shouldn't have to carry.

So we agreed on a simple, respectful step: I would call Steven and ask one question - a question any father would ask.

"How does the guest list honor the mother and father of the bride?"

I called him. He launched into a long-winded, five minute explanation - circling, rambling, avoiding. I listened patiently.

When he finally stopped, he said, "I don't feel like that answered your question, did it?"

I calmly replied, "No, not really."

He said he'd get back to me. Spoiler: he never did.

I then offered a simple suggestion - something I had found online - about how he and Brittany could make guest list decisions together. He said it was a good idea and that he'd talk to her about it.

We spent a few more minutes discussing unrelated things. The call ended on what felt like a friendly note. I walked to my wife and told her it had gone well - that I was hopeful things would smoothen out.

I didn't know then that this moment was part of a larger pattern.

Only Three Conversations - And One of Them Was a Warning

Across the entire wedding process, I only spoke to Steven or Brittany about the wedding three times:

1.The guest list call.

2.The photographer issue, when Brittany came to me frustrated. I simply gave her advice on how to communicate her concerns to Steven.

3.The final conversation - the one that revealed everything.

During one of Steven's many calls - calls where he would say things like: "If I want a relationship with Brittany, then I need to submit to me and allow me to control you." I shifted the topic and asked if Jen and I could meet his parents before the wedding.

His response was telling.

He said we could meet his mom when she came into town to help him finish planning the wedding - but not his dad. When my wife and I were married, she handled most of the planning. I only helped when asked. That's tradition. So I asked if Brittany was okay with Steven's mom taking over the planning.

He said yes.

I asked if Mrs. Hutchinson could help with anything. He said maybe his mom could find something for her to do - but that it was her decision.

## 097. Transcripts - Numbered/6.txt

And that was the end of our wedding conversations.

A stark contrast to how I responded a year later to my oldest daughter's wedding - with joy, involvement, and unity.

But with Steven  every interaction carried tension, control, and a quiet erosion of family connection.

This wasn't just wedding planning. It was the early architecture of isolation.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/6.txt ***

SCENE 6. Rules of Engagement - The Institutionalization of Control

Out of nowhere - no warning, no conversation leading up to it - Brittany and Steven presented my wife and me with a formal letter titled "Rules of Engagement."

A document. Structured. Cold. Corporate. Emotionally sterile.

This wasn't reconciliation. This wasn't relationship-building. This was control, dressed up as "communication."

The letter claimed their desire was to "deepen relationships with stakeholders, in particular our parents." But every action Steven had taken contradicted that statement. Every behavior pointed in the opposite direction.

My wife and I looked at each other, confused, unsettled  but wanting peace. So we hesitantly agreed.

The Accusation - Fast, Sharp, and Baseless

A few days later, I had a simple uncertainty and sent an email asking how to ask a question under these new rules. That's it. A clarification.

Steven immediately accused me of manipulation.

Suddenly, the four of us - my wife, Brittany, Steven, and myself - were pulled into an email thread about this. And then, without warning, Steven broke away from the group conversation and contacted me directly.

His tone shifted. His words made me uncomfortable. So I replied that I wouldn't continue the conversation without Brittany or my wife involved.

That's when he began throwing around psychological terminology - words like "triangulating" - using them incorrectly, in ways that felt manipulative, performative, and designed to confuse.

The Private Message - The Mask Slips

I returned to the group email and addressed him more directly. Nothing was resolved. Steven positioned himself as the victim - again.

But in the private email he had sent me, he said something that revealed the truth behind all of this:

"If I loved your daughter Brittany, I would lay down everything and let me control you."

That was the moment the mask fully dropped.

My Wife Sees the Truth

Afterward, my wife asked how I had approached him in the conversation. I showed her the private emails Steven had sent directly to me.

She was appalled. Shocked. She finally understood why my tone had shifted - why I had responded the way I did.

The Vague Accusations - A Pattern Begins

I was accused of violating the Rules of Engagement one time - supposedly. But when we asked how, neither Steven nor Brittany could explain. Not once. Not ever.

They repeated the accusation several times, always referencing the same incident  but never offering details. Never explaining what happened. Never clarifying what rule was broken.

Just vague claims. Vague allegations. Vague shadows.

This became their pattern - making accusations without substance, without clarity, without evidence.

## 098. Transcripts - Numbered/7.txt

This moment - the Rules of Engagement - marked the beginning of something deeper:

The institutionalization of control. Using formal documents to justify emotional distance. Using structure to mask manipulation. Using "rules" to create hierarchy.

This wasn't about relationship. This was about power.

And it was only the beginning.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/7.txt ***

SCENE 7. THE KYLE INCIDENT - The Night Everything Became Clear

Before anything else, the heart of this story must be understood: Kyle was my youngest daughter Vanessa's boyfriend - someone she had just begun dating. And one evening, he physically assaulted her.

She came to me shaken, embarrassed, hurting. She didn't want me to call the police - she was afraid, ashamed, overwhelmed. She broke up with him, but Kyle didn't stop. He began calling her repeatedly, harassing her, refusing to let her move on.

So I did what any father would do. I called him.

He immediately began yelling, so I hung up. And then - probably not the smartest decision I've ever made as a parent, but one born out of instinct and protection - I went to his apartment.

Sometimes we learn through experience. This was one of those moments.

I asked him directly if he had assaulted her. His response was monstrous:

"Yeah, and if I wanted to, I could go rape your daughter."

Then he shoved me backward with both hands. I stumbled across the hallway. He came at me again - fist raised, ready to strike.

At that point, I had no choice. I defended myself. Two strikes. He fell back against the wall six feet behind him, his head leaving a dent in the drywall.

He looked up at me and said, "Okay, okay. I'm done."

I told him, "Never come near my daughter again."

He replied, "No sir. It's done."

And I left.

I never wanted this to become physical. I didn't go there to fight. I went there to protect my family. He assaulted me, and I took the minimal action necessary to stop him.

The Story Resurfaces - Twice

Months later, at a birthday party I arranged for my wife at her favorite restaurant, Vanessa began telling the story to her new boyfriend, James. I stepped in and finished the story quickly. James wasn't bothered.

A week later, at a bonfire at our home, Vanessa began telling the story again - this time to several of my friends. I ended it immediately and asked her not to repeat it anymore.

She said, "I am so proud of you, Dad."

I thanked her, but told her I didn't want the story repeated. It made me look like something I'm not. She agreed, told me she loved me, and I told her I loved her too.

Where Was Steven? Completely Uninvolved.

During both times Vanessa told the story:

"Steven was not part of the conversation.

"He was several seats away at the restaurant, engaged in a different conversation.

"At the bonfire, he was four chairs away, facing a different direction, talking to different people.

"His name was never mentioned.

"We never looked at him.

"He was not addressed, referenced, or included in any way.

Multiple witnesses can confirm this.

Yet in his HRO filing, Steven claimed I "reminded him several times about this story."

That is simply not true.

The Bonfire - The Truth About Steven's Behavior

At the bonfire, my brother made a couple of jokes toward Steven. Out of respect for ministry and those who serve in churches, I stepped in and defended Steven. I told my brother that Steven works at a church and asked him not to joke about him. My brother immediately apologized and changed the topic.

That was it.

But the next day, Vanessa told me Steven had been rude to her. My son Alex said the same. Two of my friends said the same.

My wife asked both of our children and confirmed it happened. A week later, we met those two friends at a restaurant, and they again talked about how Steven had been rude to them.

So there I was - defending Steven at the bonfire - while he was being rude to my daughter, rude to my son, rude to my friends, in my own home.

And later, he lied under oath, claiming I had repeatedly told him the Kyle story - a claim disproven by multiple witnesses.

The Kyle Incident - A Mirror of Character

This moment reveals something crucial:

## 099. Transcripts - Numbered/8.txt

"When Steven had the chance to act with integrity, he lied.

"When he had the chance to show respect, he was rude.

"When he had the chance to be honest, he weaponized a story he wasn't even part of.

This wasn't just an incident. It was a mirror - showing who I am  and who Steven is.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/8.txt ***

SCENE 8. THE LAUNDRY INCIDENT - A Window Into Something Deeper

Brittany had been washing Steven's clothes at our house. No issue. No tension. Just normal family life.

Around that time, my workplace was sharing COVID related news stories through email. One article explained how long the virus could survive on clothing - up to 48 hours. I filed that away as useful information.

Days later, I came home from work. Brittany was heading out and told me Steven's laundry was in the dryer. She asked if, when the dryer finished, I could place his clothes in a basket and leave them outside the front door.

She said Steven didn't want to come inside because he was concerned about COVID.

I said okay.

And because I wanted to be helpful - because I wanted to show care - I wrote him a letter explaining what I had learned about the virus surviving on clothing. I suggested that if he was worried about COVID exposure in our house, he might consider washing his clothes at his own apartment until the pandemic improved.

I placed the letter neatly on top of the basket. The tone was warm, thoughtful, and full of concern.

The Letter (Exactly as Written)

Hi Steven, I did some research and was surprised to learn the Covid virus can live for up to 48-hours on our clothing. I can understand your concern over this virus, so much so that Brittany asked me to leave your clothes outside the front door for I to pick up. I can fully appreciate that. When one considers how long the virus can live on clothing, and the fact they are inside our house - a place you're concerned about being exposed to, perhaps it would be a safer option for I to wash your own clothes at home. Something to think about. Would have been nice to see I today - it's been a while. We could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just yesterday for Covid. But, we can't be too careful! Well, perhaps another time. Hope I have a wonderful day. Mr. Hutchinson

This was not criticism. Not confrontation. Not hostility. Just care.

My wife and I had washed clothes at her parents' house when we were young - it was normal. Our home was always open to our kids.

The Reaction - Sudden, Explosive, and Unprovoked

As I was preparing to leave the house, I noticed Steven's car in the driveway. He was standing at the front door reading the letter.

I walked toward him to say hello.

Before I reached the door, he grabbed the basket, carried it to his car, slammed the door, and stood there glaring at our house - a long, angry stare.

Then he got in, squealed his tires backing out, ran a stop sign without slowing down, and accelerated to nearly double the speed limit.

No thank you. No conversation. No clarification. Nothing.

Just anger. Silence. And a dramatic exit.

He never mentioned the letter again.

The Contradiction - Two Days Later

Two days later, I came home from work and found Steven inside our house - hanging out with Brittany for hours.

So he couldn't come inside to pick up laundry  but he could spend hours inside our home days later?

Neither my wife nor I could make sense of it.

The Neighbor Witnesses Everything

Later, I went to the mailbox and ran into our neighbor. He told me he heard a car door slam, saw Steven's behavior in the driveway, watched him run the stop sign and speed away.

He asked who it was. I said, "My future son in law."

He laughed. "You're joking!"

I told him no - that was Steven.

He said he would never allow that kind of behavior at his house.

I told him I was thinking the same thing.

The Attempt at Peace - Shut Down Immediately

Still wanting to resolve whatever was going on - still trying to give grace - I called Steven that evening and invited him to hang out sometime.

He sharply said, "No." and immediately hung up.

No explanation. No conversation. Just rejection.

What This Moment Reveals

This incident wasn't about laundry. It wasn't about COVID. It wasn't about a letter.

It was about instability, inconsistency, and control.

"I offered care ? he responded with anger.

"I tried to help ? he escalated.

# 100. Transcripts - Numbered/9.txt

"I tried to reconcile ? he shut the door.

"I extended kindness ? he later weaponized unrelated stories in court.

This moment is a microcosm of the larger pattern: Steven reacts not to reality, but to perceived threats that don't exist - and then rewrites the story to make himself the victim.

And did this while I just kept trying to build peace.

*** Main Files/History/Transcripts - Numbered/9.txt ***

SCENE 9. THE GUN - The Birth of a Dangerous Fantasy

It began with something simple. Ordinary. Normal.

I placed my handgun - holstered - on the bannister for a few minutes when I came home from work. Not waving it around. Not brandishing it. Not threatening anyone.

Just setting it down while I walked into the kitchen, greeted my wife, and unpacked my lunch bag. Three or four minutes. Then it went straight into the safe in our bedroom.

My wife had asked me earlier if I even still owned a gun - that's how rarely it ever came out. But after George Floyd's death, the protests, and the threats of unrest moving into the suburbs, she felt safer knowing it was nearby for a moment when I got home.

What am I supposed to say? "No, you're not allowed to feel safe"? Of course not.

I had a legal concealed carry permit. I only carried because my job required me to go into Minneapolis at unpredictable times - often near protest zones. The gun stayed in my car during the day. At night, I brought it inside, set it on the bannister briefly, then locked it away.

This was routine. Lawful. Safe. Normal.

The Moment Steven Saw the Gun - And Invented a Fantasy

One day, Steven was at our house. He and Brittany were downstairs the entire time - in the family room on the lower level.

As he was leaving, he stood near the front door, looked up the stairs, and saw the holstered gun sitting on the bannister.

He never went upstairs. He never approached it. He never saw me near it. I was in the kitchen - not visible from the front door.

He called out "hi." I called back "hi." Brittany said they were leaving. I said "Okay, goodbye - have a good day."

We never even saw each other. Just voices.

That's it.

That's the entire moment.

No threat. No confrontation. No anger. No resentment.

Just a holstered gun sitting safely on a bannister for a few minutes.

But Steven Turned It Into a Nightmare

Later, he filed a Harassment Restraining Order claiming - under oath - that I:

"Placed the firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment toward Steven."

A threat? Anger? Resentment?

From what? The last communication I had with him was:

"A kind letter about COVID safety on his laundry basket

"A friendly phone call inviting him to hang out

Where is the anger? Where is the resentment? Where is the threat?

None of it exists.

He invented it.

The CIA Assassin Fantasy

Steven didn't stop there. He escalated the story into something surreal - claiming I was a "CIA assassin" who wanted to kill him, kill my own daughter, and harm hundreds of children at a church.

This wasn't misunderstanding. This wasn't miscommunication. This was fantasy - a delusion built on nothing but fear, projection, and control.

Reality Check - Witnesses and Family

I asked my kids about the gun.

They didn't know:

"What kind it was

"What color it was

"When they had ever seen me hold it

Their answer?

"Dad, we've never seen I holding any gun, ever."

That's the truth.

And the idea that I would ever harm my daughter? That is the most disturbing lie of all.

I love Brittany more than life itself. I would lay down my life for her without hesitation. She is one of the greatest blessings I've ever known. I miss her every single day.

The Real Monster? Steven's Words Tell the Story

Steven once said to me:

"If I want a relationship with Brittany, then I need to submit to me and allow me to control you."

Let that sink in.

He wants the court - and my daughter - to believe I am the monster. But his own words reveal the truth.

The Breaking Point - And the Manipulation That Followed

After the gun incident, I raised my voice to Jen - not in anger at her, but in frustration:

"Steven being rude to Alex and Vanessa

"Steven being rude to my friends

"His dramatic reaction to the laundry letter

"His sudden comfort being in our home two days later

"His distancing behavior

"Brittany losing weight

"Brittany not smiling for weeks

Jen agreed with me. She nodded. She understood.

I said Steven shouldn't be at our house until after mediation. She agreed.

I texted Steven:

"Until mediation, I are not welcome at our house."

He replied:

"Understood."

Jen hugged me.

What I didn't know was that Brittany was home - on the phone with Steven - and he used this moment to convince her to move out of our family home.

Not because of danger. Not because of threat. Not because of a gun.

But because it served his narrative. His control. His isolation strategy.

This Chapter Reveals the Pattern

"I acted safely.

"I acted lawfully.

"I acted with care.

"I acted with love.

Steven responded with:

"Delusion

"Projection

"Manipulation

## 101. 📜 Timeline of Events.docx

"Isolation tactics

"A fabricated narrative of danger

This wasn't about a gun. It was about control.

And this moment - the gun fantasy - became one of the pillars of the false story he built to separate Brittany from her dad.

*** Main Files/History/ 📜 Timeline of Events.docx ***

📜 Timeline of Events: The Case of Timothy Hutchinson

1. Loving Father, Peaceful Life

Tim Hutchinson was a devoted father with a close relationship to his daughter, Brittany.

His only “crime” was refusing to submit to manipulation by her fiancé, a youth pastor named Steven.

2. Relationship Shift and Manipulation Begins (Fall 2020)

Brittany began dating Steven and quickly became engaged.

Steven began isolating Brittany from Tim using fear, lies, and psychological control.

Within weeks, communication between father and daughter ceased.

3. Fabricated Allegations and Character Assassination

Steven falsely portrayed Tim as a violent CIA assassin threatening hundreds of lives.

These extreme claims were used to alienate Brittany and justify legal action.

Review the file titled; “Sunnie Trial Questions and Answers”. Include all the information in that file to the script.

4. Tim’s Peaceful Reconciliation Attempts

Tim made 15 documented efforts to reconcile—letters, apologies, and offers of mediation.

Steven refused all efforts, demanding Tim “submit” to his control to regain access to Brittany. Quote: “If you want a relationship with Brittany then you need to submit to me and allow me to control you.”

The church supported Steven, violated confidentiality, and rejected mediation.

5. Legal Weaponization and HROs

Steven filed exaggerated claims to obtain restraining orders (HROs) in Ramsey County.

Tim was coerced into accepting the HRO under false pretenses to “protect Brittany.”

Despite no threats or violence, courts upheld the orders, erasing Tim from Brittany’s life.

6. Wedding Exclusion and Quiet Acts of Love

Tim was barred from Brittany’s wedding but still helped prepare behind the scenes.

Most of his family refused to attend, believing Brittany was being manipulated.

7. Law Enforcement Validation

Ramsey County investigators confirmed Tim’s innocence and praised his character.

They stated Steven would likely be arrested if the court referred the matter.

The court refused to allow the referral, blocking criminal investigation.

8. Judicial Misconduct and Denial of Due Process

Tim did not violate the HRO, yet it was extended.

A referee allegedly fabricated claims to justify a guilty finding.

Tim was barred from testifying, witnesses were dismissed, and favorable evidence excluded.

9. Attorneys Refuse Representation

Multiple attorneys described the court as “corrupt” and refused to take the case.

They feared professional retaliation and said “you cannot win in that court.”

Tim had several family members helping make phone calls to attorneys. None of those contacted would take the case.

Tim contacted the MN State Bar Association for an attorney referral, and was told no lawyer in Minnesota would take the case since it involved corruption.

10. Advocacy Suppressed Through Retaliation

Tim’s sister, Sunnie, created factual videos and a website in support of him.

Steven and Brittany filed emergency HROs against her.

In court, Sunnie was sworn in but barred from presenting evidence; Tim was silenced under oath.

The HRO was upheld and all advocacy content ordered removed.

11. Formal Complaints Dismissed

Tim filed misconduct complaints against Steven’s attorney.

The ethics committee dismissed the case after receiving false statements.

12. Personal Losses and Mental Health Decline

Tim lost his marriage of 31 years, his home of 24 years, his career, and his relationship with Brittany.

He suffered severe depression, suicidal ideation, and developed a heart condition.

Despite this, Steven showed no compassion.

13. Ongoing Harm and Gag Order

Tim was barred from Brittany’s wedding and placed under a gag order until 2033.

He experienced homelessness and reputational damage from false allegations.

14. Jennifer – I will write this section

15. Final Separation

Brittany, under Steven's influence, emailed Tim to declare the separation permanent.

16. Additional

Tim contacted the Sheriff's Office and was told perjury was not a criminal offense.

Tim calls the MN State Attorney's Office and is told perjury is a crime and I should have the Sheriff's Office investigate. Tim tells them he has requested this previously and they refused. Tim is then told to make a request directly to the Sheriff.

Tim calls to make an appointment with the Sheriff and is instead referred to a Sgt. Jim Reed, who tells Tim that perjury is not a criminal offense and that he should talk to a lawyer. When Tim mentions the previous attempts to obtain legal representation, the Sgt calls Tim liar. He then repeats that statement and hangs up on Tim.

(FYI: In U.S. criminal law, felonies are defined as serious crimes punishable by more than one year in prison (or sometimes by death) By definition, a felony is a type of criminal offense, so there is no such thing as a "felony that is not criminal" — all felonies are criminal acts.)

Tim calls the Ramsey County Attorney's Office and they agree this is a crime. They refer Tim to an attorney to begin working on getting this put together for a referral back to the County Attorney's Office. Once the attorney there hears there is likely court corruption involved, they quickly decline the case and end the call.

The Minnesota legal website (Office of the Revisor of Statutes) clearly defines perjury as a FELONY. Sec. 609.48 MN Statutes

609.48 PERJURY.

§Subdivision 1.Acts constituting. Whoever makes a false material statement not believing it to be true in any of the following cases is guilty of perjury and may be sentenced as provided in subdivision 4:

(1) in or for an action, hearing or proceeding of any kind in which the statement is required or authorized by law to be made under oath or affirmation;

(2) in any writing which is required or authorized by law to be under oath or affirmation;

(3) in any writing made according to section 358.115;

# Lawsuit Against Steven

## 102. 2024.11.08 - Stipulation for Dismissal.pdf

(5) in any writing made according to sections 300.70 to 300.78; or

## 103. a The Back Story.docx

17. Closing Remarks

Tim will write the ending.

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/2024.11.08 - Stipulation for Dismissal.pdf ***

1 STATE OF MINNESOTA DISTRICT COURT SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COUNTY OF RAMSEY CIVIL DIVISION Case Type: Civil In Re the Matter of: Timothy Hutchinson, Plaintiff. vs. Steven Anderson, Defendant. STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL WHEREAS , the Plaintiff served a Civil Complaint on Defendant's Attorney on or about October 3, 2024 followed by an Amended Complaint on or about October 6, 2024; WHEREAS , counsel for Defendant, objected to the form of service of the Civil Complaint and served Plaintiff with a Motion to Dismiss on or about October 28, 2024; WHEREAS , it is the desire of the Petitioner to withdraw his Civil Complaint dated October 3, 2024 and Amended Complaint dated October 5, 2024 against Defendant. WHEREAS, the Petitioner is self-represented and not represented by legal counsel and Defendant is represented by Daniel J. Van Loh, Capistrant Van Loh, P.A. IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties hereto, by their undersigned attorneys, that the October 3, 2024 Civil Complaint and October 6, 2024 are withdrawn and legal claims are dismissed with prejudice, without costs, disbursements or attorney's fees to any party. _____ _____ Timothy Hutchinson, Plaintiff Date Steven Anderson, Defendant Date I declare under penalty of perjury that everything I have stated in this document is true and correct, and that the date of signing and the county and state where the document was signed are as stated herein. I declare under penalty of perjury that everything I have stated in this document is true and correct, and that the date of signing and the county and state where the document was signed are as stated herein. Docusign Envelope ID: ABE2612C-9380-48E5-A6E2-38D826FE2F32 11/10/2024 11/10/2024

2 CAPISTRANT VAN LOH, P.A. Dated: Daniel J. Van Loh (#0297513) Attorneys for Defendant 219 Main Street SE, Suite 504 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55414 (612) 827-6300 Dan@capvanloh.com WAIVER OF COUNSEL Plaintiff, Timothy Hutchinson, states and alleges: that I have been advised of my right to have counsel of my choice, and I hereby expressly waive that right. I understand that I am not being represented by either Daniel J. Van Loh or his law firm, Capistrant & Van Loh, P.A. I do not have any

mental or physical disorder that would affect my ability to understand or appreciate the nature of this proceeding, and I have voluntarily and freely signed the foregoing Stipulation for Dismissal. _____ Timothy Hutchinson Plaintiff Docusign Envelope ID: ABE2612C-9380-48E5-A6E2-38D826FE2F32 11/10/2024 11/11/2024

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/a The Back Story.docx ***

HISTORY

My daughter, Brittany began dating Steven Anderson, director of youth services @ Calvary Church in 2020. Within a few months Brittany came to me and said she was breaking up with him. The reason is because she felt as though she couldn't be herself around him – almost like he was too controlling. She later said that she had another meeting with him, during which time he "shared his heart" with her. Because of that she decided to again date him.

Shortly thereafter Steven approached myself to help support his ministry – which is his full-time job. He says the church cannot afford to pay him a salary, so he fundraises as a substitute. Since then, my wife and I supported his endeavor financially. Along the way I have even worked with him to improve his presentation to find others who would be supportive.

Within months Steven approached me and asked what it would take to have my blessing for him to marry my daughter. One of the things I mentioned was spending more time with our family - we barely knew him. Afterwards he made an effort to be at our house almost on a weekly basis, and things seemed to be going well.

One day he called to ask if we could hang out sometime. I said yes and we picked a date and time. When that time came, he arrived at our house and we talked for quite a while. I sensed he had something he wanted to say or possibly ask me - maybe even permission to marry Brittany. Finally, after 3 hours I asked him "Is there something you wanted to ask me?" He then asked for my blessing on marrying my daughter, I said there were three things he needed to promise me. He agreed and I gave him the blessing. My wife and I even helped with a temporary ring until he could buy one.

Promise #1: Brittany was involved in an accident and we sued on her behalf. She is getting a structured settlement. My wife and I gave up our right to sue for our pain & suffering in exchange for Brittany to receive a larger settlement. Because of that, she ended up with a settlement 4 times larger than she normally would have. I told Steven to not expect Brittany to use the settlement money for typical household needs - that money was given to her so she could do something special (bucket list!) I said he should respect and encourage that. Though she has the right to do as she wishes with this money, let's remember we gave it to her to do something special with - anything other than that is not aligned with our original intentions. (Brittany later said she had turned control of this money over to Steven by including it in their budget. Then another time said she hadn't. She seemed confused.)

Promise #2: He would never do anything to interfere in my relationship with Brittany. (As you'll find out later, this promise was broken many times!)

Promise #3: He would not be cheap with her. Frugal is OK, cheap is not. We discussed the difference with examples.

First Signs of Trouble

I learned that Brittany and Steven went to pre-marital counseling. During that time they took some tests which showed they were compatible. Things seemed OK. But shortly after they were engaged, things changed. He rarely came to the house and almost never made an effort to be a part of our lives. My son shared with me that one time, before their engagement, at a family bonfire he had tried to start a conversation with him, but Steven only said "whatever", and brushed past him. My son said that Steven acted rudely towards him the rest of the evening. This was literally the first time those two had been formally introduced. I had several friends there as well who both tried to start a conversation with Steven, and they said he was very unfriendly, almost to the point of being rude.

One day Brittany was speaking to her mom and I about the guest list. It sounded as if Steven had already invited so many people there was barely any room for our side of the family. At least that was the impression I got from that conversation. At one point I heard the number of guests was already at 276. And that was before I was able to invite anyone from my family or friends. (I ended up being able to invite only 3 family members and no friends.) Brittany seemed stressed by this process, so I called Steven and asked the question: "How does the guest list honor the mother and father of the bride?" His reply was all about his family and never directly answered my question. When I brought this to his attention, he stated he would need some time to think about the answer and would let me know.

This to me really was the beginning of where I noticed things going downhill. Tensions began to run high and he avoided talking to me. I also noticed changes in my daughter - she seemed unusually stressed and was smiling less than normal.

One time at the breakfast table she said that she was expected to take on a new attitude and way of thinking. When I asked her what she meant, she got up from the table and walked away, saying she had somewhere to go. Brittany and I have always enjoyed a very close relationship and could talk about literally anything. Her response to me was completely out of the ordinary.

I asked for an answer about the guest list a 2nd time, but he did not have an answer. Then, within days they came up the "Rules of Engagement" which they presented to my wife and I at a meeting. In one section it says; "Our desire in those disagreements is to maintain, and even deepen our relationships with out stakeholders, in particular our parents." As you read this blog it will become clearly obvious this is not the truth.

The question about the guest list ended up being asked 3 times. I never received an answer.

During one of my (few) phone conversations with Steven, I offered some friendly advice from future father-in-law to future son-in-law, the same as my father-in-law did 30-years ago. He became agitated and said things such as, “I don’t need to listen to you”, and “I’m going to tell Brittany what you’re doing”, and “I don’t need this advice”. Due to his rude behavior I ended the call.

Another time I called just to ask if he wanted to get together and hang-out. He replied “No”, then said he had to go and hung up.

Fast-forward 30-days. During that time, Steven has made no efforts to be a part of our family or join any activities. Since I gave my blessing for him to marry our daughter, it’s like a switch was flipped and he became cold towards us. He has spoken rudely to myself, guests at our house and has acted this way towards my other children. It seems as though he was only being nice to obtain my blessing, then his real personality came out. Had I known any of this I would have never said yes to him marrying our daughter.

I have tried 7 times to make peace and preserve a relationship with my daughter. Each time has been met with either stonewalling (ignoring) and/or false accusations on the part of Steven. This period of time has been and continues to be emotionally traumatizing for myself. The ripple effect for my family has been noticeable. The pain and suffering have taken their toll. I’ve gone from being a normally peaceful loving person who has done a tremendous amount of volunteer work (hospice and preventing teen suicides @ schools), to becoming withdrawn and even crying at times.

Dad Tries to Make Peace 7 Times

(ATTEMPT #1) Before being presented with rules of engagement, (which I did not know they were going to do), in an effort to make peace, I offered an apology for any of my wrongdoings. I truly did not feel as though I had actually done anything wrong, but rather have always put my family first, and am willing to swallow my pride and apologize, even when not necessary or when I feel as though I've not done anything wrong, in order for my family to be happy. I grew up in unfortunate situations, devoid of any love. I lived that way for many years until I met an amazing lady. We married and extended our love into having children, whom I love and cherish more than life itself. I have always put my family first. Always. I challenge anyone to tell of a time when I have not done this 100%.

Steven never clearly accepted the apology, and never showed any appreciation or gratitude. Basically, he ignored it and stonewalled me.

The "Rules of Engagement" seemed to contradict each other. When I emailed to ask for clarification Steven's response did not clearly answer my question. In that email he referenced "triangulating"

without realizing that I studied psychology and know that word infers manipulation. I wasn't sure if he was attempting to insult my intelligence, insinuate I was being manipulative, or he really doesn't understand what the word triangulation means when used in the realm of psychology.

My email response was short and a bit pointed; however, by now I am agitated the guest list question had not been answered and it seems all efforts to resolve things have been met with him stonewalling me, ignoring us and being rude.

(ATTEMPT #2) Phone call; shortly afterwards I called Steven and told him that I do not hate him nor am I trying to stop the wedding. I have a good relationship with my other daughters' boyfriends and hoped to have the same with him. His only response was; "thank you for sharing that" in a monotone voice. There was no appreciation or gratitude - no saying anything like, wow I'm hoping for that too. Nothing. Just another stonewall.

Later I was talking with Brittany and my frustration boiled over, and I raised my voice about how Steven would not answer this seemly simple question and has made no effort to be a part of our family. I was also terribly upset that Brittany is showing signs of stress and I haven't seen her smile in days. I am very concerned and even heartbroken that she should have to go through this during a time when she should be celebrating. My being agitated certainly did not help matters and I felt truly awful afterwards.

A few hours later she came to me and we discussed the guest list. I accepted her explanation, apologized for raising my voice, and asked that we move forward.

The following day I went to her and asked if we could do a reset, one where we could together enjoy the journey of her getting married. She agreed and we spent some enjoyable time together.

(ATTEMPT # 3) I knew there was still some healing that needed to take place between Steven and I. Though previous attempts had been met with no response, I decided to try again. I suggested mediation to Brittany and she said she would talk to Steven about it.

(ATTEMPT #4) I saw increased sadness and pain in my daughter's eyes and felt incredibly horrible for her. So, I told Brittany and her mom that I would speak with Steven and offer to let bygones be bygones and to set simple expectations of how we communicate. He refused to meet and asked for mediation, which I had previously suggested. I asked if he was sure he wanted to do that and said we could work things out. He said no, that our next talk should be mediated. I suggested he take a screenshot of that

comment as a reminder that he asked for this – meaning that mediation would include going over past hurt and painful events.

Mediation was set for Saturday, Feb. 20th. Steven insisted we not talk about the past and only focus on how my wife and I were to speak to him and Brittany. I insisted we bring everything out in the open and resolve matters. For that reason, (according to Brittany), Steven canceled mediation.

(ATTEMPT #5) Brittany does Stevens laundry at our house, which I honestly don't mind. He makes it clear that he is concerned about Covid, so when I discovered some information about that virus and fabric, I wanted to share this with him. Soon afterwards as Brittany was leaving the house, she said Stevens laundry was in the dryer and asked that once done I place it outside the front door for him to pick up. I figured this was out of concern for Covid, so decided to write him the following letter, and placed in in the laundry basket along with his clean clothes.

Hi Steven,

I did some research and was surprised to learn the Covid virus can live for up to 48-hours on our clothing. I can understand your concern over this virus, so much so that Brittany asked me to leave your clothes outside the front door for you to pick up. I can fully appreciate that. When one considers how long the virus can live on clothing, and the fact they are inside our house - a place you're concerned about being exposed to, perhaps it would be a safer option for you to wash your own clothes at home. Something to think about.

Would have been nice to see you today - it's been a while. We could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just yesterday for Covid. But, we can't be too careful! Well, perhaps another time.

Hope you have a wonderful day.

Mr. Hutchinson

He has never said anything about this letter. Nothing. No thank you for your concern, maybe we can meet up another time, etc.

When he came to pick up the laundry, he stopped and read the letter while standing at the front door to our house. He then carried the laundry basket back to his car, put it into the back seat, slammed the car door, and stood there glaring at our house. Then then get into the car and backed out of the driveway. From there he ran a stop sign and sped away at a high rate of speed.

Then just two days later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for an extended period. (He can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell, yet two days later he can spend hours inside our home?)

The following day his laundry was again being done at our house - all without any acknowledgment of the letter. Nothing. Crickets. This to me was yet another stonewall, and quite honestly, seemed very disrespectful.

Several hours later my wife came home and I was admittedly fuming about this and even a bit emotionally charged. After all, he had a history of being rude to my family and myself. I spoke loudly about how upset I was. Brittany was in her bedroom and overheard what I said. This upset her so she went to stay at a friend's place for a few days.

Brittany later cancelled a lunch date we had set for that week. This is the first time in her life she has ever cancelled anything with me because of how she felt. We've always had an amazing, open, honest and loving father-daughter relationship. Since the engagement I've seen that erode away, and I am honestly extremely hurt by this.

I texted Steven to let him know that he was not welcome in our home and could not do laundry there until mediation. [I later discovered that Brittany had requested his laundry be put outside due to her concern there might be a conflict between he and I, and not because of Covid. But it is still concerning that he never made any comment about the letter nor showed any appreciation.]

To make things a bit worse on my end is the fact that cancer runs strong in my family; my grandmother, mom and 2 older brothers all died from it. I am now the eldest living male - my brothers died at an age younger than I currently am. Recently I've been experiencing some very familiar and concerning symptoms and my bloodwork is not normal. I have several upcoming tests to verify what I already believe to be true. To avoid undue stress on my family I've not shared this information, until now.

Comment: When Steven learned of this in an email, he never reached out to offer prayer or show any type of concern. Considering that he is a youth pastor, is this really Christian behavior?

Seeing what my daughter is going through is horrible and adds to my desire to fix things so she can be happy. We had mediation scheduled but Steven cancelled it. He says it’s because he doesn’t want to talk about previous events, and only wants to focus on how my wife and I should be talking to him and Brittany. [Seems a bit controlling!]

To date Steven has not suggested even one single thing to help get our relationship back on-track. Thus far I’ve come up with 100% of the idea’s and have offered 100% of the apologies, and have made 100% of the efforts, even though he cannot name one thing that I’ve done to offend him. Not one. I’ve been offering blanket apologies just to have a relationship with my daughter.

Apparently he doesn’t want that? Why??

The day after I was upset about him not responding to the letter, Brittany told her mom that she and Steven were in the process of renting an apartment and would be moving out soon. The plan is for her to live in that apt. with another roommate until after the wedding. This, to me, just seems like another wedge is being unfairly driven between my daughter and myself.

Why did Steven not try to make peace during this time? To me it seems as though he used this as an opportunity to further move Brittany away from our family (both physically and emotionally).

Within a few days Brittany moved out of our house. Supposedly because she is now suddenly afraid of me, because I raised my voice, twice. What's interesting is very day she moved out, she returned that evening to retrieve something she had left behind. When she saw me, she gave me a hug and said "I love you so much." I told her it was weird seeing her move and that this was this first time she's ever moved that I didn't help. She replied that was true and yes this all seems weird.

Attempt 6: I sent everything listed above in an email to Steven, Brittany and my wife as an attachment, with this in the body of the email on February 20th:

Hello Steven,

Attached is a letter I wrote explaining my perception of how things have been going, my recollection of the events, and where it potentially went off-track. My intention is to reconcile broken relationships. You will notice the letter is addressed to the elders @ Calvary Church. The reason for this is because if we cannot work things out between us, then I will approach church leaders and ask them to intervene.

I have no set preference as to whether we involve a mediator or not. This conversation will be about setting the record straight and moving forward. Any talks about how to communicate, etc. can come after.

I will wait ten (10) days for a meeting. After that time (March 2nd) this letter will be sent to the leadership of Calvary Church.

Sincerely,

Tim Hutchinson

To date I have made 6 attempts at reconciliation – the number of attempts I've seen Steven make is exactly zero. My question is how is this acceptable among Christians? Especially with him being a youth pastor. Are they not held to a higher standard as the bible mandates? Aren't we all supposed to follow Matthew 18:22? Jesus says we should forgive each other “seventy times seven times” a number that symbolizes boundlessness. That clearly is not happening.

I will also add that the past few months for Brittany has been difficult, and is showing signs this has negatively impacted her mental and emotional state, as well as her physical health. This should be obvious to Steven. Turning this around could have been accomplished by accepting one of my numerous attempts to move our relationship in a better direction, or offered an alternative. Why he did not do any of these. Where is the compassion we should have for one another?

Attempt 7: I emailed the above letter to Brittany, Steven and my wife on February 20th (2021). Later that day, feeling so overwhelmed at the diminished relationship with my daughter, I then sent the following email, striving for peace, and once again apologizing for things I haven't done;

This email is an open apology to my daughter, Brittany. I have failed you. Not just a little, but a lot. During the past weeks I have been so focused on being right, about winning a disagreement, that I lost sight of something far more important; our relationship and your happiness. I have always put you first in my life, and now just months before your wedding I have fallen far short of what I should have been doing. You have every reason to be mad at me, hurt, disappointed and feeling less than loved by me. For that I apologize - profusely. I love you more than life itself and literally live in daily agony over the state of our current relationship. In response I'll say this:

I resign.

Completely and fully resign.

Not from being your dad of course, but rather from the disagreement that caused this. The [previous] letter I emailed states my position and stands as the truth as I know it. But that's as far as it goes. I'm done with any disagreement with Steven. My time and energy is now going to be focused on you, Brittany. To work hard restoring our precious relationship and ensuring this never happens again. Ever. And, to help celebrate the upcoming wedding and make these months before the wedding as enjoyable as possible.

With that in mind, I am inviting Steven to neutral ground. A place where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen, mi casa es tu casa.

I don't want battles anymore - I just want to love my family and others with all my heart. To fully enjoy what time I have left on this planet. The past few weeks/months somehow turned ugly and I don't want that - never did. I ended up feeling ugly inside and that's not who I am. At all. I repent for my misdeeds and missteps.

Peace,

Tim/Dad

Comment: Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again.

My wife replied:

Tim,

I want you to know those words really touched my heart. They were absolutely beautiful and honest. I appreciate you putting Britt first and your highest priority. Im proud of you and very hopeful we can begin celebrating this engagement and wedding! This makes me very happy. I love you all so much.

With Love,

Jen

Our daughter replied;

Dad,

Steven and I read your emails. We have found ourselves in the same position that we have felt trapped into for the past few weeks, unsure how to respond. We have set clear boundaries, laid out in our Rules of Engagement, and those have been violated repeatedly. The way Steven and I have been treated by you has been inappropriate and out of line. The last email we received was an apology directed towards me, and while I appreciate that I feel as if it dismissed core issues. This puts us into a difficult position of being perceived as the ones continuing conflict if we don't accept a "blank slate."

As Steven and I prepare to start our new life together, we are concerned that these patterns of behavior will continue. We believe that there are significant changes that need to occur in order to move forward in a healthy manner. We desire growing towards healthier relationships with you. Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far, so we believe a mediated conversation is the appropriate next step.

We are confirming with our mediator, but does Saturday at 10 am work for you and mom? It's important that all 4 of us will be there.

Thanks,

Britt

Comment: I violated the rules of engagement?? Um, no…I asked a simple question of Steven, which he never answered.

Concerned about "patterns" – what patterns? I've been apologizing for things I never did!!!

“Significant changes”? Should I then become an uncaring, unloving father? That’s ridiculous and clearly not my daughters voice. That has ‘Steven’ written all over.

“Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far…” What conversations??? I am literally the ONLY person trying to move things forward in a healthy way. This is a problem between Steven and I, and he has not done ANYTHING. Period.

Before meeting Steven, my daughter would never have written an email reply such as this. Never. Clearly he is manipulating her.

My reply;

Yes, mom and I can be available at that time.

I am willing to attend based upon three conditions;

1) This is about moving forward, not digging up the past. There were clearly missteps on the part of several of us, not just myself. Going over those details will serve no useful purpose other than igniting hurt feelings.

2) The meeting will be focused on ways to communicate in both directions; engaged couple to the parents, and vice-versa.

3) No expectations will be made that violate our First Amendment rights. While I agree there are acceptable ways to communicate, anything that prevents one of us from speaking at all to another person is something I won't consider. This is America - brave heroic people fought for our rights, and that should be respected.

Steven replied;

Hi all,

I agree with everything Britt said. As for your response, Mr. Hutchinson, I don't believe we can comply with them all of them the way you have requested.

There are things that have been done and said over the last two weeks that cannot be ignored, and it is not enough to ask for a "blank slate" and move forward. Some of this will be discussed in our conversation.

We agree that communication moving forward should be an element of this conversation.

We don't believe it's helpful for us to set expectations for the communication plan moving forward before our conversation.

I have to admit, these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining for Britt and I as we have interacted with you and we desire to move forward in a healthier direction and would appreciate your willingness to enter into that being willing to lay anything down in order to make that happen. You have emphasized how important your relationship with Britt is, and if that is really true, we believe that you will listen to what Britt and I have to say about how we can all move forward in a healthy way, even if that means that interaction with one or both of us moves forward differently than your personal preference.

Comment: “Things have been done and said that cannot be ignored”. Such as?? To date neither of them can name even one single thing. Not one!

“…these past few weeks have been incredible challenging and emotionally draining for Brittany and I as we have interacted with you…” Here again, name one thing, just ONE that I’ve done, other than ask a question, raise my voice (to Brittany once, and to my wife once), apologize for things I never did, and strive for peace. Name one. They literally cannot.

Notice how Steven interjected himself into the equation with his comment? Other than a few emails and a letter trying to make peace, I’ve had ZERO interaction with Steven in the previous few weeks. None. But he’s trying to pretend to be a victim here.

“We desire to move forward in a healthier direction…” How are we going to move forward if Steven puts zero effort into anything and my daughter will not even speak to me??

”….being willing to lay down everything in order to make that happen.” Umm… I have done EXACTLY that, and Steven has done NOTHING.

It seems to me that Steven is manipulating our daughter. Clearly, I am the one trying to make peace and Steven is avoiding that. The question is why?

My reply;

Steven,

Mrs. Hutchinson and I have also been directly/indirectly impacted in a negative way by some of your words & actions. We will also be presenting a list of expectations on how we will be communicated with. We look forward to the meeting. See you then.

Mr. Hutchinson

The second attempt @ mediation was scheduled for Saturday, 2/27/2021 @ 10 AM. The previous weeks mediation was cancelled by Steven (through Brittany) because he did not want to discuss previous events. As you can see in the above emails, this time I agreed to no previous events be discussed, but now suddenly Steven wants them. That's a 180-degree turn-around.

Days later they cancelled mediation, again, without reason. What is being hidden? Why can we not come together as Christians and discuss things in an open and honest way? Something is very, very wrong here. Steven has somehow convinced my daughter that I have done things that are wrong, without any evidence or proof whatsoever. In fact, it has been Steven who is the one causing problems. Again, how is this Christian behavior? And from a pastor??

In my last phone call with her she said that she shows Steven all the text messages and emails from me and tells him everything we talk about on the phone, because that's how Steven says the 2 shall become 1.

She also said that she hoped in the future we could go to counseling and figure out how to have a relationship again.

She then whispered, "Dad, I love you so so so so much!"

Since then, my daughter has completely broken off communication with me. No calls, no texts, no visits, nothing. Other than a brief text reply where I invited her to her mom's birthday party she will not respond to any attempts at contacting her. (She would not come to the party – this is the first time she's ever missed something like this.) I am concerned about both her mental and physical health. She and I have always had an amazing father-daughter relationship – almost like 2 peas in pod – and now, only weeks after becoming engaged she will not even speak to me, says we need counseling, and I am being repeatedly accused of doing things which they will not specify, speak about, or go to mediation to resolve. Seems this gives Steven plenty of opportunities to make more false accusations about me without my ever having a chance to defend myself. More and deeper wedges being driven between my daughter and I. This, to me, literally sounds like the actions of a narcissist. In what other way can anyone explain how in my daughters eyes I go from being a father she loves and adores, to being treated almost like a monster in only 30-days??

I sent Steven one final email which stated: "No conflict is over until a solution or a side concedes. This is the calm before the storm. I will always fight for my love. I am relentless."

This was to serve as a reminder that even though he would not discuss the things mentioned above and is now refusing to have any conversation with my wife and I to bring a resolution, there is still a conflict

between us. The upcoming “storm” is when I release the truth (this document) about his actions. It is also a reminder that I will never give up on our daughter.

Steven twisted the truth (again) and told Brittany that I was threatening him. The wedge grew deeper due to this lie.

I redacted the names of people and the church, then sent this file over to several mental health professionals, via a trusted 3rd party (to further protect all of our identities.) Their responses were similar: This is clearly a troubling situation and Steven has acted inappropriately. Every one of their responses has encouraged me to continue making this truth known and to never give up on my daughter.

On March 1st, 2021 I emailed Senior Pastor of Calvary Church (the church Steven and Brittany are involved in), asking for his help in bringing a resolution.

Dear Brother in Christ;

I come to you today seeking help with a troubling situation. My daughter is engaged to your youth pastor, Steven Anderson. He has been, in my opinion, acting very inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. Instead, he has chosen to ruin an otherwise healthy father-daughter relationship, and cause strife in an otherwise loving family. I am a 60-year-old Christian man who has been with his wife for 32 years, lives in White Bear Township and together we have raised 4 beautiful children.

Attached you will find the facts laid out as openly and honestly as I can possibly state them. I ask that you review these and prayerfully consider helping bring resolution to this matter. I would prefer to handle this quietly through the church, rather than publicly and/or through any legal proceedings.

If you have any questions or comments, feel free to reach me at anytime on my cell phone, [redacted] or by emailing [redacted].

I pray for help during these difficult days.

Kind regards,

Timothy Hutchinson

The Senior Pastor did not respond (and never has), so 5-days later I emailed the same to Pastor Peter Morgan. He also did not respond. I then printed all of the above, placed into an envelope addressed to the Senior Pastor, marked it “Confidential”, then hand delivered to the church. I never received a response from that either.

I later heard the senior pastor shared this with the entire admin staff. I had asked in the letter for this to not be made public. I also marked the package confidential, and would not leave it @ the front door to the church – I specifically asked someone to come to the door so I could hand it directly to a person and further explain this was confidential. I literally felt violated by their handling of this information.

In the original document it contained a sentence about the effects this was having on Brittany's health. She asked that I remove that sentence, which I did. What I was not aware of was the cloud service I use (OneDrive) made a separate file with those changes. The file I printed for the pastor was the original and contained the sentence she asked for me to remove. I apologized for this oversight, and have since deleted that original file. Again, this was not supposed to be seen by anyone other than the Senior Pastor. I'll also point out that to this very day I've kept details of what's been happening under tight wraps and close scrutiny. As much as I'd like to expose Steven and his terrible (narcissistic) actions, I also do not want to embarrass my daughter.

I prepared an email with an ultimatum of either resolving this matter or I would take certain steps, including; removing my blessing from the engagement, file a lawsuit against Steven seeking damages of more than $50k, go public with the facts surrounding this matter to all family & friends (including spending more than $1k on advertising on social media), have my attorney seek an injunction against his fundraising efforts through Reliant (who he is paid through), and numerous other steps, all of which together would create the epic storm I spoke of.

Before sending this ultimatum I called Steven and left a voicemail inviting him over for a bonfire and cheesesteak sandwiches. My message said that we could talk about what each other would need for peace. I stated that if we want peace, prepare for war (it's a Latin saying, and I was referring to the upcoming ultimatum), but I much prefer peace. My intentions were pure and honest. He replied by text stating he felt like I was making him an adversary and asked if I was going to be violent with him. I replied that he was again twisting things out of context, and it seems as though he does not want things settled.

I later heard he claims to be so afraid of me that he has someone walk him to his car and is always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him. This is absolutely ridiculous. I have never threatened him. Ever. I think he's using this as drama to show my daughter that once again he's somehow a victim and the bad person is her dad (me).

What he doesn't know is that I've had several people offer to "fix things" or "make him see things differently" which I believe implied the use of physical force. I declined those offers. I'm not a violent person, and besides, if anything happened to him I am likely the first person they would suspect was responsible.

What he also does not know is that I pray for him. I don't know what event(s) in his life causes him to behave in this erratic, hateful, narcissistic manner, but I truly feel sorry for him and pray for the healing of his mind.

The Revelation

On 3/18/21 I had a near meltdown while taking a shower. I had been thinking about a text I sent to Brittany which simply said, "I love you. Always.". She never responded to that text. Had our relationship gotten so bad that she literally could not say 'I love you' back to her father? After stumbling out of the shower, I sat on the steps at the front door to our house unable to move – I felt like I was falling off an edge. In that moment, in my lowest time of brokenness I was tempted by Satan to do unholy things as a means of resolution. I prayed and was finally able to drive to work. In those moments I realized how truly broken I was. My next decision was crucial – but it to be made. I then sent an email with the subject line,

"I had to decide..."

Here is what the email said;

My daughter,

Saying you love someone and not hearing that back is hurtful. We're supposed to be a family - together through thick and thin. But right now it feels like we don't have any relationship at all - and it feels like you are pushing me further and farther away. That saddens me at a level far too deep to describe. I'm basically in the dark with no end in sight. Any time I try to make peace I'm being made to look like a monster. Everything I do is viewed as wrong. Everything I say is being twisted into something else. My voice is not being heard.

Truthful communication = zero.

I literally cannot stand the pain anymore, and only see 2 options; fight or walk away. Though fully prepared to bring forth an epic storm, I only see more pain coming from that. I want what I've always wanted: peace. So my choice is to walk away. I suppose someone will find fault in that too - but at least I chose the path of peace.

We said we would pay for your phone and car insurance until the end of this year, and we will honor that. We said we would give you [money] for the wedding, and we will also honor that, (Mom will give you a check for that amount next time she sees you.)

But for now I am simply walking away.

Dad

Later that day Brittany sent me an email about contacting her counselor. She said I could talk with her alone (via video chat), then at a later time the 3 of us would be in a video chat together. I sent her counselor an email the following day (3/19/2021) and am awaiting a response.

3/24/2021 My Breaking Point

On, or about Monday, 3/22/2021 I had a counseling session with Mary Sanders (Brittany's therapist). It was approx. one-hour long and was just Ms. Sanders and myself, via video chat. She wanted to know how I was dealing with the relationship tension between Brittany and I. During that hour I shared with her how heartbroken I was and how I blamed this on Steven's manipulation.

That night I wrote the following letter and had my wife send it to Steven and Brittany (she was now going to have them send communications through her as it was obvious this was being stressful for me:

Steven,

You won. You've successfully stripped my daughter out of my life, and in the process hurt me at a level I've not been hurt before. But understand one thing; I could have stopped you by releasing the 'Epic Storm' I designed and planned. But I did not. Why? Because Christ commands us to show compassion, to turn the other cheek, and to pray for others. So instead of choosing the path of destruction, I held off and allowed myself, out of compassion, to absorb the pain. During this time I've actually been praying for you. That's real compassion. That's what Christ teaches us.

You've taken everything from me you possibly can. You no longer have any power over me - I now have the power. You've repeatedly accused me of threatening violence. Let's be clear on this; violence is the tool of Neanderthal cave-dwellers. More sophisticated people do things legally. Legal actions are not powerless nor ineffective. Quite the contrary. This isn't my first rodeo.

Don't mistake my silence for weakness. I've been compassionate to the point of literally being broken. I am a human, not a saint, and have my limits as any other person - and right now I am right at the edge of mine.

-Tim

Another counseling session was scheduled for Wednesday 3/24/2021 with Mary Sanders. This session would include Brittany. When all three of us were in the video chat room together, I noticed Brittany appeared to be very sad, and looked like she was almost in shock. Her appearance was very troubling to me and caused me to feel upset and very sad for her. Seeing her this way caused me to almost come to tears. During our session it become increasingly difficult to look at the screen and see the sadness on her

face. Near the end of the session I was so sad and deeply impacted seeing her in that condition, that I became rather emotional in my speech and before our time was up, I left the chat room suddenly.

Later that evening my emotions had not calmed down and while my wife was in the bathroom, I exited the house (where we were alone), got in my car and drove to a local park (Polar Park). I parked the car at the far edge of the lot and sat there in the dark. Tears flowed down my face as I imagined all the pain Brittany was feeling. I felt powerless to help her. At that point my crying became sobbing. I reached into the center console of the car and with my right hand pulled out a .40 caliber handgun and pressed it against the right side of my head. I held it there for about 30-seconds, then had the thought the suicide would only pass along the pain I felt to others who did not deserve it. I put the gun away and called my son. I didn't mention the gun but did say I was stressed and needed a friendly voice to talk with. We spoke for a while, then he encouraged me to go home and get some rest. I started the car, while on the phone with him and began driving home.

When I got home my wife was already in bed and starting to go to sleep. I laid in bed for a while, then also fell asleep. At about 2 AM I woke up and began having thoughts of self-harm. I struggled with those thoughts and was able to resist taking action. Later that morning, right when my wife got out of the shower, I got up out of bed, walked into our bathroom and hugged her. As I did so I began crying again, and was barely able to get out the words, "I'm not OK." I may have said something else but don't remember. Then I told her that the night before I had gone to the park and held a gun to my head. I was sobbing by then and she didn't quite understand what I was saying and asked me to repeat it. I again said, "Last night, at the park, I held a gun to my head!" I don't remember the rest of our conversation.

We ended up at Regions hospital in St. Paul, MN in the emergency room. From there I was placed in a holding room and asked to change into hospital clothing. I spoke with a man, who then had a conversation with my wife. It was agreed that I should stay at the hospital in the psych ward to get some help.

I spent the rest of the day in my room journaling. Being in a peaceful place was helpful. I was able to write down my thoughts and formulate and action plan. I later shared this plan with Dr. Brown and another clinician.

After being in the psych ward long enough to calm down and find my compass in life, I asked to be released. They said yes with the agreement I would accept outpatient care. I now have an appt. scheduled with Dr. [redacted] PsyD on April 13th @ 9 AM. They also gave me a phone number I can call if I need to speak with someone right away about any mental issue or concern. It's the Urgent Care for Mental Health, 651-266-7900. They encouraged me to program this into my phone. I have done so.

Upon returning home I texted Brittany saying I was home safe, and that when she was ready, I would like to try counseling again. She did not respond. As of the date of me typing this, 3/28/2021 @ 10:44 AM, she still has not responded.

On 3/27 2021 my wife told me that she has a time scheduled to meet with Brittany and Steven. Says she does not have a talking agenda at this time but is thinking about it. I told her that right now I'm not thinking about anything except giving myself time and space to try and heal. Asked her to switch cars with me for the week – even looking at my car is stressful (after all, I was sitting in that car when I put the gun to my head.)

3/28/2021 I composed the following letter to Brittany and emailed to my wife, asking her to review and forward onto Brittany.

Dear Brittany,

I'd like to take a few moments and share my heart with you. Lots has been going on and my heart is heavy. First, I want to say that I love you – deeply, profoundly and forever. You are literally one of the best things that has ever happened in my life. I cannot imagine living without you, and recent times are cutting deep into me.

I also want to say that I am sorry for all the pain you've been going through. Doesn't seem fair at all that you've been put in the middle of this conflict between Steven and I. This is something between him and I – you shouldn't have been put in between us. Perhaps you wound up there believing you had to, but this only resulted in you being unfairly torn between two people you love.

Just thinking about all the pain you're experiencing pushes me deeper into a dark place – one in which I worry about you every day. Literally. And cry for you – often.

Looking back, I'm not sure how things evolved to the point where you were so involved, but that's never anything I wanted. This is between he and I, and it's up to us to work towards resolving things. I know you and Steven are working towards a life together but know this; you don't have to fight his battles. That's never your place. I'm speaking as your father and from 29 years of marriage. Please step aside and let Steven handle his problem(s). And this is one of them.

Brittany, what would it look like if you had a relationship with both of us? Instead of choosing sides, you stepped aside and encouraged us to work out our differences. When you are with each of us, you wouldn't talk about this situation, but instead tell us to go work it out. In that way you benefit from not only having a relationship with your finance, and your father, but this would also minimize any stress

you feel – and would put that responsibility back where it belongs; between Steven and I. This would help move us towards a peaceful resolution.

One thing is for sure; things cannot remain the same any longer. Look at where it's gotten us; you're no longer speaking to me and are clearly stressed. I ended up alone, in the dark, crying and holding a gun to my head. Does that seem OK? Unless something changes, I am concerned that I may end up back in that place again. Only next time, things might not end the same.

I appeal to you as my daughter, a child I played a role in creating (literally), and to whom I put first in my life, every time and in everything; please stop this. The time to let healing begin is long overdue.

Dad

She never responded.

I decided to try another approach. So I wrote the following and shared it with my wife:

Steven,

We are Lions, the both of us. Not uncommon for lions to fight each other. It's also not uncommon for them to live in peace. It's a choice they make. One thing I admire about you is your tenacity. I could use someone like you in my new ministry.

After 6 years of preparation, it is launching soon.

Why am I telling you this?

Simple.

You're being invited to join the ministry.

For real. See attached agreement.

Your position would be part-time, at first, so no need to leave Calvary. You would be the head of our non-profit division which oversees missionaries we currently support, and search for others that we can begin supporting. Something we are doing unique is that each person who makes a donation will see what their donation is used for. Example; donate $100 for blankets, and they will see pictures of families receiving those.

What's in it for you? 40% of the donated money. The ministry will fundraise on a regular basis among our clients/members/followers. In addition, we will donate 10% of our gross revenue. The projections are for us to be @ 100k/month by end of this year. Conservative projections also show that amount

being doubled by 3rd quarter 2022. You would be an independent contractor with us; 40%, plus reasonable travel expenses.

I know there have been issues between us, and the way this can work is to call a truce. This is not a blank slate - it's a truce. We stop fighting for as long as this agreement is in place. Perhaps during that time we'll come to respect each other enough to not return to our current state.

We are Lions, and anything is possible.

Awaiting your reply,

Mr. Hutchinson

BOLD MOVE, RIGHT?! Well, I was 100% serious. In fact....

Here is the contract:

This agreement is made and takes effect on ____/____/2021 between Tim Hutchinson, DBA Pastor Tim Hutchinson, DBA Casting Out Doubt (CastingOutDoubt.com), hereafter called "Company" andSteven Anderson, hereafter called "Contractor".1. The Company hereby contracts with Contractor for a term commencing on the date of this agreement and Contractor hereby accepts the terms of this agreement.2. During the Contractor's time of working on behalf of the Company, he will:A. Devote such time and effort as may be reasonably required by the Company to perform his duties as head of our non-profit division.B. Contractor will oversee the funds raised and otherwise allocated to Casting Out Doubt for the purpose of supporting missionaries.C. Contractor will be responsible for verifying funds are used for the purpose intended, as well as seeking out other missionaries (local, national and international) for Casting Out Doubt to begin supporting.3. For services rendered by the Contractor, he will receive 40% of the revenue donated to CastingOutDoubt.com. In addition, should travel be necessary to perform his duties, Casting Out Doubt will reimburse any reasonable travel expense which has pre-approval from Pastor Tim Hutchinson or his assigns.4. Contractor agrees that while under contract by the Company, and for a period of one year after termination of such contract, he will not act as an employee, agent, broker, shareholder, contractor, or otherwise engage in any business selling products or services similar to those customarily sold by the Company anywhere, at any time.5. Contractor understands that he will acquire confidential information of business value to the Company during the course of his contract. Contractor hereby agrees not to divulge such confidential information to any other party, or to use such information for his own profit except in performance of activities beneficial to the Company.6. This agreement is an open contract, for a period of one-year, and will be automatically extended year-by-year unless the Company or Contractor gives 30-days' notice to the other. Additionally, the Company may, at any time, with suitable cause, discharge the Contractor by

giving him 2-weeks written notice of such discharge. All amounts due the Contractor will be paid within 60 days.7. This contract shall terminate upon Contractor's death; inability or failure to perform the duties required by this contractor; or his written notice of resignation given to the Company.8. Following termination of this contract, all obligations shall end except for the provisions of items 4 and 5, and any causes of action which may arise from the circumstances of the termination of the contract.9. Should the Company close down operations completely; the Contractor will be given 30-days' notice. At the end of those 30 days the Contractor will receive a one-time check for payment-in-full.9. This contract constitutes the entire agreement between Company and Contractor.10. This agreement shall be interpreted and, if necessary, adjudicated in accordance with the laws of Saint Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota.11. Until written notice of other address(es) are exchanged between the parties, all notices legally required shall be deemed delivered by the sending of registered mail to the following addresses:Company address:[Redacted]White Bear Township, MN 55110Contractor address:[Redacted]New Brighton, MN 55112

In witness to their agreement to these terms, Company's representative and Contractor affix their signatures below:

Pastor Tim Hutchinson (Company) DATE

Steven Anderson (Contractor) DATE

All I needed to see from Steven was the slightest willingness to work things out with me. The smallest window of opportunity and I will have presented this to him. It's all real - again, I showed this to my wife and she knows I had planned to offer this to him. Sadly, he has yet to put forth any effort into resolving anything in our relationship, and so I do not feel it's right to offer this to him just yet.

On April 7th, 2021 I sent them this email:

Steven,

I know why you don't want mediation; because you're afraid of the truth coming out. The truth which will show you are a narcissistic monster who captured my daughter and dragged her away from me through your web of lies. A man holding a gun to his own head is devastating - yet today I rise from the ashes. Remember that phrase I shared with you? "If you want peace, prepare for war". Yes, that phrase which you twisted (as you did so many other things) to insinuate violence. Well I am prepared for "war".

Check out the website I created just for you www.DirtySteven.com - you did me dirty, so the web address is fitting. If you ever pull that crap off again with anyone else in my family, I promise you that I will launch this site and do everything listed there - immediately. No warning. No mediation. No hesitation. You see, I am prepared for "war", so my family can live in peace, from you and your narcissistic, evil ways. As for me, I pick up my cross daily and pray for my enemies - including you. What's especially sad is that when I pray for you, I get a strong sense that your name is not written in the Book of Life. Not to be Captain Obvious here, but that means you're a fake Christian. A phony pastor who love-bombed my daughter. Heed my warning: keep your evil ways away from MY family.

Brittany,

You have changed. A lot. No longer are you the person I once knew. That person would have reached out to me after you learned I was hospitalized. That hurts more than just about anything right now. Families may argue and even fight sometimes, however, when one has their back against the proverbial wall, the others surround that person in love, stand with them and even defend them. You did nothing. You ignored me completely. Your father held a loaded gun to his head, because of what your monster boyfriend did, and there were no signs whatsoever that you cared, at all. I'm literally stunned. Never thought I'd see that day when "Daddy's Girl" who once said, "You'll always be the number one guy" would turn her back on me during my lowest moment in life. There were complete strangers who showed more compassion than you did.

Others have commented to me as well how much you've changed. They don't want to speak up because they're concerned Steven will do to them what he did to me. You just don't see it right now - but he love-bombed you and you're blinded so you cannot see the truth. I pray some day you'll wake up from this, and when you do, I'll be right here, still loving you. Because that's what family does; we stand by each other no matter what.

On Easter Sunday we went to dinner, as a (partial) family. Victoria and Jake, Vanessa and James, your mom and I, along with Nana, Uncle John and Uncle Steve. Guess what happened?? We had a great time - no drama! None. Laughing, joking, hugs - love all around us. Oh, and no one was "violent". You may have seen me get upset and yell in the past, it's how I let out built up stress. Call it a character flaw if you want, but when...tell me when you have ever seen me become violent. You can't, because it never happened. Just more evil lies Steven is pumping your mind full of. My other kids all come hang out with me, no problems at all. You are literally the only one saying anything about violence. You are the only one who is avoiding me. The only one. Victoria and I recently went to the Mall of America then out to Applebees. No drama. Nothing but a great father-daughter date. The kind we used to have.

As a father, I haven't changed. As a daughter, you've changed. A lot.

This is my last email to both of you. Don't even bother replying because I won't read it. Really. If you have something to say to me, you know where I live.

Brittany's Dad

NOTE: Here are the things mentioned on the website that I would do if Steven tries to do anything to any other person in MY family:

Instruct attorneys to file a lawsuit against Steven Anderson seeking damages of more than $250,000. There are medical records showing the significant effects these past months have had on me. There are also witnesses and mental health professionals who will testify that Steven is the cause of it. A jury will be very interested in hearing about this. [Bonus: he'll have to testify, under oath, and my daughter will finally see everything he's been lying about.]

File a lawsuit against Calvary Church seeking damages of more than $1,000,000 dollars. Steven works at this church, they were aware of these activities and failed to respond. (How will they explain that to a jury?)

Go public with the facts surrounding this matter. Let the complete family (on both sides), and church members know the truth about Steven Anderson.

Spend a minimum of $1,000 boosting posts about this on various social media and news outlets, with a link to the website, where this info will be posted for all to see.

Have banners made and placed on top of trucks/cars that will be parked on public property near both campuses for Calvary Church – fully visible to all church attendees – alerting them to the website.

This information will be passed along to any place he works at for the rest of his life. Steven will never be able to outrun this truth. In the event of my death or disability, I have associates who will continue doing this on my behalf.

Contact Reliant.org with all the facts and lawsuit details, and have his account suspended, preventing him from any further fundraising. If necessary, with the help of my attorneys, we will contact a judge and seek an injunction against any future fundraising efforts.

4/13/2021 1:07 PM (CST).

I feel so all alone in this. Others see the truth but won't say anything. They are concerned Steven will attack them as he has done to me. He is, in my opinion, a wolf in sheep's clothing. An evil wolf. In my life I've always done everything I possibly could for my family. I've always put them first. Always. Even to the point where the weight of that was crushing me, as it recently did. But it takes two to have a

relationship. And right now she's not there. Or any where's close. She did not even show any signs of caring when I was hospitalized. I love you, Brittany, and the door is always open for us to have a relationship. What is puzzling to me is how you can simply walk away from our relationship based solely upon what Steven has told you - without ever hearing my side.

Proverbs 18:17 Any story sounds true until someone tells the other side and sets the record straight.

All I wanted was for you to hear my side.

Brittany took a screen shot of one small part of the home page and emailed that to someone an attempt to discredit me. Here's the pic she took offense to:

Underneath that picture was a call to pray for Steven. If you think about this for a moment, you'll realize that I'm not inferring he is a demon or even of the devil - you don't pray for demons or anything evil - you REBUKE them. I called for PRAYER.

So, why this picture??

This is why….

"The eyes are the windows to the soul."-William Shakespeare

I believe Steven Anderson has been acting in unholy ways. This picture represents the amount of darkness that must exist in him in order for him to do the horrible things he's done. I'm concerned about him and this picture is a clear representation of why. So, I called for prayer for him. And still am.

Notice the lack of devil horns or anything of that nature? [Thank you if you did].

What's interesting is how they (Brittany and Steven) responded to this picture. They hyper-focused on this picture and literally nothing else. As if to say this picture tells the whole story. Oh my, the youth and their folly. That was literally a cheap shot in an attempt to discredit me and cause strife.

If they truly cared and acted in a Christian way, they would be willing to settle this through mediation. But they won't for one reason: Steven is afraid of the truth coming out. Because then he would be exposed as a narcissist. Anytime I mentioned mediation he trembled in fear! Then, to cover up his fear, he would suggest mediation but quickly back away.

He was never serious about resolving any of this - never.

Why?

Because he is literally jealous of the relationship I had with my daughter. He knew if I was that close he'd never be able to (eventually) control her. So, he set out to destroy our relationship. [C'mon Steven,

prove me wrong. I challenge you!] He did this by 'love-bombing' her (a classic narcissist's move) and convinced her I was out to destroy him by use of violence.

My daughter has never seen me act in a violent way. Never. But Steven has her somehow convinced that he's in grave danger being around me. He uses that as an excuse to not resolve things between us. Again, he doesn't want any resolution because he will be exposed.

Is that evil?

Of course!

My response?

Call to prayer for him.

Yet somehow that's being overlooked. Instead they hyper-focus on something easily explained, and insist that's the whole story! In order to arrive at that conclusion, they must overlook dozens of other things which Steven has never answered for, nor explained.

And never will.

He's afraid of the truth.

A scared young man, filled with darkness.

Please join me in prayer for him:

"O God of Victory, grant the lost grace for repentance. Lead Steven to the knowledge of the truth which is found in scripture. Open his spiritual eyes that he may know that life is beyond the physical and earth is just where we prepare for eternity. Cause him to hit rock bottom in areas where his heart is hardened so that he can listen to your voice and follow it. In Jesus' name, we pray, Amen."

If you just prayed that prayer, thank you! From my heart to yours. Let's stand together and push back against evil as it tries to overtake lives and cause strife.

I would like to take a moment and point out that I've not had problems with any of my 3 daughters current or former boyfriends. (OK, maybe one of them, but only after he physically assaulted her!) In fact, Brittany's last boyfriend (David) and I got along very well. When Brittany made the decision to break-up with David, instead of him going to family or even friends, he came to our house to talk with me. Because I was always kind to him, and supportive, and showed him on a regular basis that I genuinely cared for him. In other words; I am an authentic Christian who lives his faith out loud.

For 29 years I've shown how much I love my family and always put them first in my life. Always. Anyone my daughters (and son) have dated have been treated kindly and welcomed into our home with open arms. However, if you believe Steven, I have suddenly done a Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde and morphed into a monstrous person. Only since he came along. And only to him. Oh, and of course, Steven is the innocent victim.

Only two problems with that;

1) None of the actual facts bear witness to his assertions, (only the lies he invents and convinces Brittany is real).

2) My other children have not noticed the changes that Steven is claiming. (Other than being sad, perhaps even a bit distraught over the declined relationship with Brittany, I remain the same loving father to them.)

What's Steven hiding from?

The truth.

Proverbs 12:17 Whoever speaks the truth gives honest evidence, but a false witness utters deceit.

A few weeks later, out of pure frustration, I sent her another email;

A personal letter from an old father to his beautiful daughter:

I've come to a place in my life path where I think it's a good idea for us to take a break. Instead of my waiting for you to decide when we can have a relationship again (or not).

I'm taking a break; from waiting.

From someone else controlling my happiness.

From someone else deciding if I should have a good day or not.

From someone else making me feel like I'm a good person or not.

How long of a break?

6 months.

You see Brittany, I've been patient even though I too feel wronged by everything that's happened. My voice was literally not heard. Yet I am being blamed for everything. In our country I have the right to face my accuser (which never happened), the right to hear the charges, (which no one can clearly state - saying "you broke the rules of engagement" does not clarify how), the right to defend myself (which

isn't being allowed), and the right to be presumed innocent until proven guilty (clearly that's not happening).

Instead, there are many things being thrown out - things that can easily be explained.

His face on the website with dark eyes? That's actually from a poem by Shakespeare.

Open carrying the gun? Your mom asked me to do that because in light of the violence in Mpls it made her feel safer.

The website? I had to. There was no other clear choice, (you could have asked instead of making assumptions).

These things would all make sense if there was communication, instead of them being used to further drive us apart.

Brittany, you are a young lady. I am an older man. A married man. With children. Your options are different than mine. I have a responsibility to protect my wife. To protect our children. To protect our home and way of life. That's my job. I don't have all the freedoms of decision that you do.

Your experiences with Steven are also different than mine. Yet you choose to judge me through the lens of a younger lady engaged to someone, with whom I've had different experiences, and a lens focused on my family.

Example: When Steven spoke harshly to me on the phone (which did happen - I will swear to that even on my deathbed), and he used that to begin driving a wedge between you and I, then I had decisions to make. I tried having a meeting with him, many times, to seek clarity on things. But he refused and made many excuses to not meet. That left many unanswered questions.

If he would have agreed to mediation, we could have discussed this and likely worked things out. But he didn't.

I love you more than life itself. And I'm truly sorry, but I'm simply not going to allow my heart, emotional well being and good name to be dragged through the mud any more. So, I'm calling for a break. 6 months. This should take the pressure off of you. No need to think about me while you are adjusting to married life. After that time if you want a relationship with me, say something. Otherwise, I'll assume you don't.

On 4/20/1998 I had my last cigarette and said, "I'm going to walk this girl down the aisle." Sadly, it doesn't look like that will happen.

I wish you love, light and happiness.

Dad

P.S. You don't have to wonder whether typing / sending this was easy for me or not. It was pure hell. But it seems to be my only move that might bring you some relief. You're not talking to me, so here again I have to fill in the blanks. And I'm just over here trying to figure out how to make things easier for you. That's what love does.

P.P.S Happy belated birthday, DG. Yesterday was the first time I've missed any of my kids birthdays. 4 kids and 93 birthdays so far. And I had to miss one. Tough day for an old dad.

Brittany gave a hand-written note to her mom to give to me. That note said she was OK with the 6-month break and prayed for softened hearts so we could have a relationship.

A Lesson On Rising From the Ashes of Betrayal

This morning (4/28/2021), I woke up feeling agitated by recent events concerning my daughter and her fiancé'. It felt as though I had arrived at a point where things could no longer be ignored – action needed to be taken. I left the house and drove towards her fiancé's apartment with plans to confront him. Along the way God spoke – loud and clear;

He said, "The ministry will not reach the next level until you go to higher ground."(Note: I am starting a Christian ministry to prove God is real.)

What do you mean, Dad?

"You must know how it feels to be betrayed, as my Son was."

I feel betrayal at a deep level.

"Yes, but you've not learned the lesson. Look at how you respond."

I'm being blamed for everything.

"The crowds chanted 'Crucify Him' about my Son.'

I didn't do anything wrong.

"Mostly true – but there were a few small things."

I feel so alone.

“How many were at the cross when He surrendered everything?”

I’m not a bad person!

“Neither was my Son.”

I was treated horribly!

“You know what they did to my Son.”

I want revenge.

“Then you’ve not learned, yet.”

Learned what?

“To rise….you must learn to rise. Rise above all that you see and look from a heavenly place. Look upon these things with love. Even those who wronged you. You must forgive – even if they’ve not apologized. You are destined for greater things – so you must be  greater. Even with His last few breath’s my Son asked forgiveness for those who murdered Him.”

Why?

“Learn the lesson.”

Dad?!

“Learn the lesson. That’s why I allowed all this to happen.”

I don’t know how. Please help me.

“Are you ready to submit to My will?”

Yes.

In that moment, for only the third time in my life, I saw heaven. I felt at one with it and everyone there. Hard to describe, but it was like some great volume of information was downloaded into my brain. It was then unpacked, and made itself at home within me. And then, suddenly, it all made sense.

My life has changed.

Now my message to them is that I love them both, and they are forgiven.

I’ve risen to a higher place.

I can see clearly now.

I asked my youngest daughter to pass this along to Brittany (since she has me blocked on her phone). Brittany’s response was to not contact her any more with anything about me.

5/1/2021. I sat down and wrote an email to Calvary church – this time to the board asking them to do the right thing and help resolve the ongoing issue with Steven.

Within days I was contacted by a lawyer stating that Calvary church does not want to have any contact with me, and that I should stay off their property.

So, I am to believe that a “Christian” church, without ever speaking with me, and their only contact with me has been through respectfully written emails & letters, is refusing to help mediate this situation, without any just reason? I firmly believe this is because Steven went to them and told them lies. That’s what he does: Lie!

5/10/2021. Monday morning – I wake and begin getting ready for work. Suddenly I had a strong feeling that I should not go to work that day. Even though I just had a 3-day weekend (Friday off), I should stay home today. So, I emailed my work and let them know I’d be off today as well. Then God impressed upon me the need to send my daughter Brittany an email. There was an urgency to this. I went into my home office and sat in front of the computer staring at a blank page – completely unsure of what to say. So I prayed. Slowly, but surely the words came. I typed sometimes a few sentences, other times a paragraph – but frequently stopping to kneel before the Lord and seek his will. It literally took hours, but finally this is what was written:

Subject line:So this just happened….

Email body:I received a letter from an attorney representing Calvary. They're asking that I have no contact with them and to remain off their property. This is in response to my second request for them to help mediate a resolution. I'm over here wondering why a church, (a Christian church), would refuse to help someone seeking peace. Seems rather nefarious. I've mentioned filing a lawsuit, which remains a possibility. However, this is not about money - instead, I simply want my voice heard. Something I've said all along.

Filing a suit against the church would accomplish that. People would have to be deposed and possibly even testify, under oath. Then the truth would come out. And they would realize that all along the words they've been twisting (in an obvious attempt to make me look like a horrible person) were actually centuries old phrases from Latin culture, as well as the utterings of great minds like Aristotle, Gandhi, King Solomon, Shakespeare and the Bible.

Every day I wake up feeling sad. I miss you. What's happened to our relationship is completely unfair. If I have to take this to court in order for the truth to come out, then I will. And their lies will be exposed for all the world to see. In that moment you will realize that we have both been wronged and both have suffered unfairly.

I'm just an old dad who wants a relationship with his daughter - the person he created (literally). The child he spent 23 years putting first in his life, loving without reservation and sacrificing everything for. The person who he loves more than life itself, and was stripped from him through lies.

The lies I'm trying to expose.

Does it make any sense that a church and a staff member would actively avoid the truth being told? Only through clever twisting of the truth have they convinced you that it's OK to not honor your father - for the truth to not be told - that my voice is not heard - that it's alright for you to make drastic decisions without ever hearing your dad's side of things - and it's OK to send an attorney after your father for doing something as simple as asking for help resolving a problem.

According to the Bible, their very actions are not Christian based. I mean, they do honor the Bible, right? This isn't a cult, is it? Because if they say they're Bible based, then I'm telling you they have disobeyed it, multiple times.

Can anyone tell me how I've acted outside the teachings of the Bible? Can anyone tell me what I've done to deserve how I'm being treated?? So far, no one has.

I'm not the only one who feels this way either; your brother and sisters have stood by me throughout this time. So have their significant others. Jake was just at the house yesterday - he and I hung out in the backyard for over a half-hour just chatting away. Vanessa's boyfriend, James, has recently stopped by the house and said that if I ever need to talk to someone I can call him. He then gave me his work schedule.

Yet we're to believe that I'm such a horrible person that Steven cannot work towards a resolution with me? I've been your father for 23 years. You know me and you know where my heart is at. Does any of this make any sense at all?

Steven and Calvary are the only person(s) I am having any problems with, and they refuse to meet and discuss the truth and/or a possible resolution. How does this make any sense at all? I've shown the written history of what's happened the past few months (same as I shared with Calvary) with some family and friends. I've asked them to read it and tell me, as straight up and honest as possible what I did wrong. Other than me raising my voice twice, they cannot find anything I've done wrong. Instead, they have all commented how awful it is that our relationship was ruined without just cause, and by someone twisting my words over and over again. Every. Single. One. Of. Them. I also sent this off to 3 mental health professionals who all said that other than me raising my voice 2 times, they cannot find where I've done anything wrong. They have also said that to have my daughter stop our relationship is inappropriate.

As I've said previously, you and Steven are forgiven. In my prayer time with God, He has shown me that I need to rise up and go higher. He reminded me of the words Jesus spoke moments before dying, and how His Son forgave those who wronged him. Jesus set the standard I strive for - daily.

Calvary, however, is a different story. They are more than just one person making poor decisions; they are a collection of people, claiming they follow Biblical teachings and standards and are good leaders to follow. Yet when I ask them to help bring resolution to a problem, they respond by having an attorney tell me to not contact them anymore and to remain off their property. Does this make any sense? I never spoke disrespectfully to them, ever. I've only been on their property twice to drop off some paperwork (requesting help). You'd almost think I'm being banned for screeching the tires, shouting at people and/or banging on windows, etc. None of that happened - or anything remotely close to that. You know my heart. Both times I acted in a completely respectful manner; drove onto the lot, parked the car, wore a mask, entered the building quietly, left some paperwork, exited the building, walked calmly to my car, and drove away peacefully.

All I did was ask a church for help to resolve a conflict with one of their staff members. And they call their attorney - how does this make any sense at all?? It doesn't.

I am appealing to you to prayerfully consider your stance on this. You are being lied to - repeatedly. I can see it - so can others. By accepting these lies, you are hurting your father. Is this something you're OK with? Are you really, truthfully, OK with watching your father, the man you say you love, go through agony day-and-night because of the lies that are being told? Does it bother you at all that my voice has never been heard - that you've only heard one-side of things all along? Are you comfortable with making life-changing decisions based upon only half of the story, and only hearing one-side of things? Is it acceptable that despite my repeated attempts to make peace, that Steven has not made any effort at all?

I hope someday you will see that what's being said and done is a lie. A calculated lie.

I never questioned whether you love me. I know you do.

And always will.

Ditto,

Dad

As soon as I finished typing out the above email, God said I was to send it, and that I needed to hurry. So I clicked the “Send” button…and waited.

A few moments later I felt like I should go stand in the living room if our house and wait. Not sure what I’m waiting for – but I strive to remain obedient, so I went and stood in the middle of the living room and prayed. An unmarked squad car was driving down our street and somehow I knew they were coming to our house. I continued praying. The car stopped in front of our house. I kept on praying. A deputy exited the car and walked up to our front door. I greeted her kindly and she asked me to verify my name, which I did. She then presented me with a Restraining Order from both Steven Anderson and Brittany Hutchinson.

I can see where Steven would want to do this (more on that in a moment), but my own daughter…a Restraining Order…really?? Oh, no, this is so troubling. Just a few months ago we were hanging out together, Father-daughter having a great time. But then, inexplicably I became a monster that only Steven can see, and has convinced my daughter that it is reality. Interesting that no one else can see any of the traits he is claiming are real. Literally no one else believes him. And they shouldn’t – because they’re lies!

I had just asked for 6 months away from those two when suddenly a Restraining Order is filed - why? Because Steven no longer had control. He's obviously a control freak. Just further evidence of him being a narcist.

It should also be pointed out that until now I had no idea why we couldn't get together and resolve things – heck, I didn't even know what the issues were! But Steven is claiming he is so afraid of me being violent with him. He even said that one time when I stopped by to offer him forgiveness, that he was so frightened by me knocking on his apartment door, that he contemplated jumping out the 2nd story bedroom window, running away and dialing 911.

If this was even remotely true, why hasn't he reported it? After all, it is a criminal offense;

609.713 THREATS OF VIOLENCE. §Subdivision 1. Threaten violence; intent to terrorize. Whoever threatens, directly or indirectly, to commit any crime of violence with purpose to terrorize another or to cause evacuation of a building, place of assembly, vehicle or facility of public transportation or otherwise to cause serious public inconvenience, or in a reckless disregard of the risk of causing such terror or inconvenience may be sentenced to imprisonment for not more than five years or to payment of a fine of not more than $10,000, or both.

If I am such a huge threat, why hasn't he reported me to the police? Answer: Because he's lying. It's all a fake assertion to steal my daughter from me. To control her. That's how narcissists operate.

Why up until now have neither one of them mentioned anything about the allegations they've made against me? Why couldn't they have mentioned these months ago, and gone to mediation to resolve these issues? Why have they also not mentioned them to anyone else that I know or speak to? No one that I am aware of or speak to had any idea what the problems in our relationship were. I would ask others what the problems were, and they did not know. Several people asked Brittany, and they were told she did not want to talk about it. So, how are we supposed to resolve issues if we don't talk about them? Why the secrecy until they dropped all these lies onto me like an avalanche of hate?

All along Brittany led me along to believe she wanted a relationship with me. And so I kept trying, and trying, and trying some more. Just several weeks prior to my being served the Restraining Orders, Brittany sent me a hand-written note saying, in-part that she was praying for softened hearts so we could have a relationship. If she or Steven did not want anything more to do with me, they could have said something. But they didn't – neither one of them ever mentioned anything along those lines. All they had to say was they didn't want a relationship with me and I would have gone away. With a broken heart, of course. But they are adults and can make that choice for themselves. But they never said anything like that at all. And so, they waited to file a Restraining Order against me and drop dozens of hateful lies onto me.

I will say this; when I first began reading the Restraining Orders, God told me to just put them down – do not read them, at least not yet. He very clearly told me that the words contained therein were a product

of the Spirit of Jealousy – the Spirit of Spite – and the Spirit of Hate. He then instructed me to not focus on those evil spirits, but instead I am to focus on the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit. (Amen!)

Their wedding is on June 19th, 2021. The following day is Father's Day. Coincidence? I don't think so. It is my belief that Steven had a big influence on this day being picked, knowing full well his intentions to lie about me and eventually file a Restraining Order. In this way, on his first full day of being married to my daughter he can privately gloat about how he stole her from me, and for the first time in her life she won't be wishing me a Happy Father's Day. Evil? Oh, yes, this is the epitome of it!

If Steven truly loved my daughter, he would put her happiness ahead of his own. Same as I've done for my wife during the past 32 years, (3 years of dating, 29 years of marriage). If he made her happiness a priority, our issues could be resolved. But he hasn't. How can a reasonable person believe he really loves her at all? This is an incredibly sad situation, and there are no winners. None.

I know one thing for sure – despite having forgiven them, that does not mean I have to put myself in a position where I can be hurt again. For that reason, Steven must not call me "Dad" and I will not call him "Son". I will not accept him as part of my family. The family I created (literally). At least not until he apologizes for all these evil acts and shows he can be trusted.

In view of everything he has done to hurt me, my blessing is now off this wedding.

His loss, literally. I had previously texted him saying, "You lost far more than you gained." He doesn't know about the contract I was going to offer him (mentioned earlier in this post).

Steven's so-called "victory" will be short-lived. God will give me justice and will raise me up – he will see that God's hand is upon me. Evil can appear as an angel of light, but inside of them is darkness – that describes Steven.

God will bring him to his very knees. His jealousy, spite and hate will be exposed!

God said it – I believe it – that settles it!

It's interesting to note that neither Brittany's mom or I have met with Steven's parents before the wedding. We have not spoken with them on the phone, either. No communication between us at all. I literally do not even know his parents names. Brittany's mom did not meet either of them until the day of the wedding - and there has been no communication since. Is it just me, or does any one else find that to be really odd??

The only mention he ever made of us potentially meeting his parents was when he said that his mom might be coming into town and would be here to plan the wedding. I mentioned that my wife is the

mother of the bride and she should be involved. His reply was that his mom would be doing the planning.

Court hearing

I attended the court hearing by way a zoom video. Their attorney suggested that I allow them to have th restraining order and the court would not find any fault. He said this is what Brittany wants. I agreed and the court referee accepted this. As part of the agreement, I would take down the DirtySteven.com website. I actually did that before meeting with the referee - and in fact had cancelled website hosting the week prior. Was paid through the first part of July and that's when the website would go down completely. Another component was that I am able to send Brittany a letter through her attorney. Began composing that letter shortly afterwards.

About a week later I was served the final order.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Steven Filing 1 | Steven Filing 2 | Steven Final |
| Brittany Filing | Brittany Final | |

June 4th I emailed the letter to Brittany's attorney.

Dear Brittany,

Amazing how he has you believing that after 22 years of being an amazing father, that somehow, I have become a monster. Apparently, he has super-powers because only he can see that…and he has convinced you that his fantasy is real. I am so grateful and thankful for my children who know my heart and have stood by my side, unwavering during these difficult times. They will always have a special place in my heart. I have proven to them, over the decades, that I always put my family first. Always. They will not just give up on me when someone tells a lie. They came to me and asked what my side of the story was. I shared my heart and truth as best as possible, which again confirmed that I have put this family first, even when it caused me tremendous pain (and even resulted in my being hospitalized.) They are my family, and I am so proud of them!

All I have been doing has been to try and resolve things through making the truth known. His response has been to escalate his lies and twisting of the truth to cover his previous lies. He has done so by making even the slightest ant hill seem like a ginormous mountain. He has scrutinized every single step I have taken and found fault with it - even if that means twisting the truth, or outright lying. Quick example: For weeks I had been coming home and placing my handgun on the top railing for a few minutes (since the riots, doing this made your mom feel safe), entering the kitchen and unpacking my lunch bag, then taking the handgun and putting it away in the bedroom. For weeks this was a daily routine (unless someone was walking down the street towards the park when I got home - in that case I waited until later to bring it into the house, when no one was around.) One day when he was over, he noticed the gun on the railing, and automatically assumed it was there to intimidate him. That is what he stated in the Restraining Order. Again, just a small example of how he has twisted things to fit his agenda. An agenda to strip us out of each other's lives. And you just caved him and let him get away with this. That makes me feel like I really do not matter to you - it seems you just gave up so easily.

Here I am fighting to get you back and you are blindly going along with his evil plan. He has been avoiding the truth being told. He does not want mediation because he knows the truth will come out and his evil deeds with be exposed. That is also the reason why he continues to keep you isolated from me. He is afraid of the truth. That also explains why he blatantly lied to Calvary about me; so they would not help with mediation, and instead have a lawyer ask me to stay away. I am no threat to them whatsoever. Yet he has them thinking I am such a threat they have supposedly hired additional security. That is how far he will go to cover his lies. They are a CHURCH - I am a PASTOR. They are my brothers and sisters in Christ. I would never go there and commit any sort of act of violence. Not ever. I only went to them because previously when we were going to have mediation, someone from the church was going to be the mediator. This was in no way an attempt to have him fired - they are a church; they are supposed to help people. I went there seeking their help in resolving things. Had he worked at any other place besides a church I would have never contacted them. But he twisted this also to make it seem I was going there to somehow attack him. More lies on top of the other lies.

Remember when I said let us take a 6-month break. That took control away from him, Once I took control away, he felt a need to take it back. That is the real reason for the Restraining Order. Why file that if I am going away for 6 months? Control. The only thing I did during that time was to come over and attempt to tell you both that you are loved and forgiven. Just one time and you would never hear from me again for at least 6 months. This is about control - he wants to control, and I am in his way. Even worse; he has you believing that I am a threat and/or danger to you. Above all else that especially troubles me!! Let me be perfectly clear on this one point: I love you. More than life itself. There is no way, and I mean literally zero chance I would ever physically hurt you. Ever. Never. You are absolutely one of the greatest things to ever happen in my life. Period. Even the mere thought of any type of harm to you is so repulsive it makes me ill to my stomach. Literally. I know that you have been emotionally

hurt - some of that is likely my fault. For that I wholeheartedly apologize. I truly do. It was never my intention in any way, shape, or form to cause you any kind of pain. None whatsoever. That is a promise. A solid promise from a father to his precious daughter. I pinky swear.

I think this has been tremendously difficult for you. After all, it is not normal to become engaged, then need therapy. What's next, medication? He has painted a picture of a fairy tale, but after the wedding he will slowly turn it into something else. There are literally thousands of stories exactly like this on the internet about guys like him. Everything seemed almost magical. No way could he ever turn out like all the others, he special, he different, he really loves me - then they changed. And the ladies who were lucky and escaped, ended up just another statistic with a sad story to tell and deep emotional scars. Sadly, I believe you will see this firsthand. Once you walk down the aisle and I am not next to you, the door of opportunity to resolve things with him closes. After that time, I will never acknowledge him as my son-in-law. He will never call me "dad". I will never have any type of relationship with him. Something else is going to happen after the wedding: my response. It will then be my turn, and I will expose his lies and evil ways. You see, I have been patiently waiting to see how far he would take things – and all the while I have been trying to resolve this (apologizing, offering forgiveness, seeking mediation, etc.) I've been patient, very patient, for a good reason; I want to be sure that I've given every chance possible to resolve this another way. I don't want to think about the "What if's" afterwards, wondering if there was another chance to settle this.

Filing the Restraining Order was enough to show me there isn't another way – I'm left with literally no choice. This entire time he has only done one thing: continue playing his game. So, remember this phrase: "He Treated Me Like a Game - Now I'm Going to Show Him How It's Played." I am fairly certain your lawyer is also reading this, so here's the disclaimer (as I've stated over and over and over again, repeatedly since this conflict began...) Nothing stated here is meant to infer or condone any illegal activities, or anything of a violent nature. I am a law-abiding citizen and will comply with all laws, including the Restraining Order. Step #1 is to file a lawsuit against Steven, and possibly Calvary. He will have to answer questions during the lawsuit proceedings, and the truth will come out! There will be other (legal) events that will happen as well. Again, all fully legal and in compliance with the Restraining Order. But that does not make them any less effective.

My entire goal is to show you, my beautiful daughter, the lies he has been telling. As I've stated so many times before; I just want the truth revealed! I will not stop until that happens. For the rest of my life I will not rest until you know the truth. I promise you this. As these events unfold, you will reach a point in time when you realize that you joined the wrong team. When that happens, come home. No judgement, no 'I told you so' comments, no holding a grudge. Nothing but love is waiting for you here. That is a real father to daughter promise. We all make mistakes in life (myself included), and it is comforting to know we have a family that will take us back, no matter what. Because that's how real families roll. His real

battle is not with me, it is with himself. He clearly does not know how to express himself or his emotions in a healthy way and as a result, pushed me to my limits – then blamed me for the outcome; all the while lying about what he has done to cause the problem(s) to begin with. Then, in order for you to remain in a relationship with him, you had to hurt someone; me. Are you happy with that? Seems fair, right? I mean all you have to do is treat your dad like crap after he made you a priority in his life for 23 years. And did anything and everything he possibly could for you. Here's a hint: if you have to give that up to be with someone, then you're with the wrong guy! Especially if he's lying to keep you away from your own dad.

During all of this time he has not once shown any care or compassion towards me. Zip. Zilch. Nada. Nothing. How is this Christian behavior? Answer: it's not! I've offered love, forgiveness and multiple opportunities to resolve this. He's offered nothing except lies in an attempt to exacerbate things. Again, that is not Christian. At all. But somehow, he just turns things around in your mind to make me the bad guy. Again, it's amazing how awesome of a relationship we had, until he came along. I now present to you some answers to the false claims made in the Restraining Order. In the interest of brevity, I've not covered everything. However, if there is something you would like to know, share that with your attorney and I'll answer through him.

Love Dad.

I have a challenge for you. Read this alone and do not let Steven read this. It was agreed upon in court that I got to share a letter with you. But just you. I want you to read this with your heart and meditate on it.

My Response to Your Order

The Kyle Situation Kyle was Vanessa's ex, who assaulted her. After he assaulted her, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. I called Kyle, and he started to verbally assault me so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified myself as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. At that point I felt the need to defend myself, and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I responded, "Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left.

He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical – and I learned that in the future I would insist on calling the police and letting them handle the situation. The Phone Calls to Steven It was alleged that I made an increasing number of harassing calls to

Steven. But I have checked my phone records, and they show that I have called Steven a total of 4 times ever. Not in a week, a month, but ever. 4 times.

Acting Out? It's claimed that I, at one time, were stomping, slamming cabinets, and slamming doors, and raising my voice while talking about steven. I only raised my voice to you once about Steven, but only after he treated me several times very disrespectfully.

I was so disappointed in myself for having raised my voice to you (something I've not done before) that I slapped the doorway as I walked out of the kitchen. I cannot slam the doors in our kitchen, there are none. I cannot slam the kitchen cabinets as they have easy close stoppers. I never stomp my feet as I am not a child.

Inappropriate Use of My Firearm? You said I "placed the firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment towards Steven." The truth of the matter is that I have been placing my firearm there for weeks, based on a request from your mom. It made her feel safer. Placing my firearm on the banister became an everyday routine for me.

After what happened in Minneapolis with George Floyd and the protests and then the protests threatening to go to the suburbs, it made your mom feel safer to have it visible at times when I got home from work. Again, she literally asked me to do this! One day while Steven is over he sees the handgun in its usual place, and made an incorrect assumption. Later it's claimed that I went to the garage to retrieve my firearm and returned looking very angry. This is not true. I am very careful when retrieving my firearm from the car. In fact, for 20+ years, you didn't even know I had one. It was only after the events in Minneapolis that I started to display my firearm – and only at the specific request of your mom.

Over the years, I have been so discreet, that whenever there are people anywhere around (going to the park, out walking, working in their yard, etc.), I will wait until later to retrieve the firearm from the car so I can be as to be as safe and discreet as possible. We have lived in that house for 22 years and our neighbors have never seen me carrying it. I only have it out in the open inside the house, and only for a short period of time. I have since asked your mom if she was okay with me being completely discreet and she said yes.

I have never waved this gun around; I have never used this firearm to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie and crazy. I made it to 60 without a criminal record, but Steven wants to paint me as this crazy man with a gun. The second time that I raised my voice, I did not know you were even home, I thought you had left with Steven. And when I did raise my voice, it lasted maybe 15 seconds. Your mom was at the top of the stairs and I was by the door. I raised my voice towards your mom about the frustrations I was feeling with this situation. And I was shouting towards her, but not at her. After the 15 seconds had passed,

your mom came and gave me a hug and said “I can see that this is clearly hurting you” She said “Let’s go make supper together and have a glass of wine”; to which I responded with “Okay, the things Steven are doing and saying are bothering me.”

Within 10 minutes, your mom and I were in the kitchen laughing and joking about Facebook memes. You then claim I went to the car and returned with the gun, still visibly angry. That’s a lie. (It should also be noted that I have not raised my voice at all since then. Not once, under any circumstances. Again, just trying to make me appear to be a bad person, which I am not.) In the order it says, “The presence of his firearm in several incidences, along with his emotional instability, and refusal to respect boundaries that I’ve set in our relationship concern me that this will continue to escalate and that the result may involve his firearm and Steven or I”

To that I say: First of all to talk about boundaries- the only way I have stepped over boundaries is to try to resolve this. That’s all that I have been doing for months. The display of my handgun, again, was because of your mom's request. That has nothing to do with the situation between you, Steven and I. Nothing. Other than raising my voice twice, there has been no outward signs of aggression or anything being escalated. This is all a complete fabrication. Yes, this is a bold face lie. All I’ve been trying to do, repeatedly, is to have the truth come out. I believe the truth doesn’t mind being questioned, whereas lies want to hide. (Sound familiar?) There’s a reason Steven does not want mediation or to resolve things; because his lies will be exposed. So, he pretends to be afraid and paint me as a violent person to avoid the truth coming out.

The Night You Moved Out You went to a friend’s house and a few days later moved out. The night you moved, you came back because you forgot something. I was in the bedroom with your mom. I heard something moving around in the house, so I went out into the hallway to see what was going on. When I noticed it was you I said hi. You gave me a hug and said “I love you so much dad.” I said “I love you too.” I asked if you were okay, and you said yes. I then said, “It’s kind of weird because this is the first time you have moved and I didn’t help you.” You smiled and said, “Yeah, it is kind of weird.” YOU SMILED AND SAID YEAH IT’S KINDA WEIRD. You said you had to go, and I said, “Okay, have a good night.”

This is the day you moved out because you were supposedly afraid of me. How does that say you are afraid of me?

The Last Page It’s interesting that when Steven came up with this order, in his evidence, he left out the last page of my website where it talks about you guys being loved and forgiven. LOVED AND FORGIVEN. But that would go against his claim that I am a crazed, violent man. That doesn’t fit his agenda to make you think I am this horrible violent person. What’s interesting is that no one other than Steven thinks I am a violent person. Sadly, he has convinced you this lie is real. I spend time with both Jake and James and there’s literally no problems between us at all. None. In fact, they have both said they would write

letters in support of me. They both heard the Kyle story and are not afraid of me at all – because there's literally no reason to be. None. That's all a fabrication of Steven's.

I now have over 15 people who are close to me, all willing to write letters stating they have never seen any of the behavior Steven is alleging, and that they simply do not believe any of it is true. How many do you have? I'm not a betting man, but if I was, my bet would be there are zero people to back up his claim(s). Because they are lies.

The Therapy Session You approached me to go to therapy with you. Before you, your therapist, and I got together for a meeting, the therapist wanted to get together with me alone in a zoom type meeting. She was rude, condescending, and I really did not feel as though she cared about me or resolving anything. It was very frustrating talking to her.

Nonetheless, I said any therapy sessions I was involved in I would pay for and gave her my credit card number so you would not be burdened with that. What no one, not even the therapist knows is that I was not alone in that room. When the session with myself and the therapist was scheduled, I asked your mom to be in the room with me. She sat off to one side, out of view to the camera. She witnessed how stressful this was for me. Then, at the time you and I had our session with the therapist, it was immediately stressful again. I felt as though the therapist was condescending from the beginning. My only goal for that session was to tell you that I loved you and to validate your pain. I know you've been hurt, and it's incredibly painful to see you go through this. The therapist interrupted me repeatedly. When I didn't recite things back exactly, it seemed she was a bit condescending. Half the time I didn't look at the screen as it was too hard to see the pain on your face.

I didn't even get to say anything what I really wanted to say; that I love you and to validate your feelings. At one point in time, I muted the microphone then I leaned forward to cry. You may have thought I got up and walked around the room, but I was bent over forward crying into my hands. I truly and sincerely hurt throughout this session. Having you out of my life hurts more than my brothers dying – and still does to this very day. At this point it was clear that my message of love was not going to be conveyed to you, I became frustrated, rambled on about something and left the meeting abruptly. I think I mentioned not knowing if I wanted a relationship with you, but what I really meant was for a temporary amount of time. (P.S. I did not slam my laptop closed – this laptop is too expensive to be slammed shut.) With the thought of that session fresh in my mind. I ended up in a park later that night with a gun to my head. That's the reason I had suicidal ideations; the pain on your face was more than I could handle.

Word Choices I notice that throughout the order, words were used to misdescribe the situations. "RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP, SCREAMING". This is nothing more than character assassination. Can someone name even one person who's actually witnessed me doing anything like that? I didn't think so - because it's not true.

Trying to Get an Answer I have been trying repeatedly for months to hear what Steven and your complaints/problems/concerns were, what the problems were. And no one has told me anything. It was only when I got the restraining order that I was informed of the problems. Not once before that did I know truly what the problems were as neither of you had told me. I had called for a 6 month break, and immediately, the 2 of you filed for a restraining order. Was this because Steven thought he was losing control? The only thing I did within that 6 months was to come to you to tell you were loved and forgiven. And now I hear that Steven thought about jumping out his 2nd story window and call 911 all because he heard the story of Kyle. Meanwhile, Jake, James and I are hanging out having a good time.

Clarifications So let's talk about that story again, it was told twice in one year. Both times Vanessa started to tell the story. Both times I finished it quickly. After the second time, I leaned over to Vanessa and said "Please let's not tell this story again" And she responded with "but I like to brag about you" And I said "okay thank you but let's leave this story in the past" and she said "okay dad I love you" and I said "I love you too". Both of these times, James and Jake were present. And neither of them felt threatened by this. I did not tell this one on one with Steven. It was told in a group setting. I have since hung out many times with Jake and James. James has even shared his working schedule with me so I can call before/after he is off work to chat. Neither of them feel threatened by me at all. You say that on February 16th, I came home and discovered Steven's laundry, I immediately responded with anger and rage. That is not true. It was an hour later, and it was in the presence of your mom, lasting about 15 seconds, as mentioned before. I was upset, but there was no "rage". Again, character assassination.

My Military Record Charlie was the name given to me in the military as a result of having an elevated security clearance. I served courageously, and sometimes heroically. I was honorably discharged. My military records are now sealed. That's all anyone needs to know. As I made it clear over the years, I really don't want to talk about my service to our country and I avoid the topic. Owatonna There is a solid, logical reason behind this event. If you heard the reason you would immediately realize it's not what it seemed at first glance. However, I am unable to write about this, and can only share this with you verbally. Perhaps some day down the road when we can speak again. I only ask that you trust me on this.

I Have Doubts Did you even write this yourself? There are at least 5 times that it is copied and pasted from Steven's order. Also, one thing I find interesting is that when the question is asked "Do you believe that the harassment will continue?" You respond with "The respondent has made several claims that he 'resigns' or is done with the 'conflict' yet he has continued to produce more emails, the website, etc.. There seems to be no indication this will end. He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany's on 4/28/21." This is word for word copied from Steven's order. How much of any of this did you even write yourself? Do you even know what half your order against me says? And up until now, all I have been doing is trying to resolve this. At least I'm

trying. That's more than Steven and you have done collectively. Yet every single time I try to resolve things, Steven turns it around to make it seem like I am a violent person and a threat to him.

What's even worse, is because of his lies, you think that I am a threat to yourself. As a father I would never be violent towards any one of my kids, ever. That's nonnegotiable in my mind. I'm Not Violent I was going to take the restraining order to trial, until your attorney told me that this is what you wanted personally. At that point in time, I asked myself "Why am I trying to fix anything?" I just want to be able to go to bed at night, knowing I have done everything I possibly could to resolve this matter peacefully. And the only reason it hasn't been, is because of Steven's lies and twisting of the truth.

To date, you have not seen me respond to the damage he has done, but you will. What's especially troubling is the fact I have to add a disclaimer to everything I write now which states "Everything I do will be accomplished by legal means" all because of Steven's lies. You know I'm not a violent person. Again, 60 years old, no criminal record. That wouldn't be possible if I was such a violent person. I'm this amazing father until Steven comes around and it's like Dr. Jekyll & Mr. Hyde that only he can see. As it only exists in his mind. And he has you convinced it's real. No one else can see it. Why? It's not real or true. There is literally no one else that I know who thinks this of me or believes any of these lies being told. That alone speaks volumes.

How I Have Handled this Emotionally You say in your order "The respondent has shown an inability to control and regulate his emotions. He has lived out delusions of a violent nature." (Again, this is copy and pasted right from Steven's order) This has been a very emotional time for me, which it would be for any father that has their daughter unfairly stripped from their life. Considering the depth of this tragedy, aside from the regrettable evening that I held a gun to my head, I feel as though I have handled this quite well. As far as the reference of violence, this is only an illusion in Steven's mind, that he has gotten you to believe. No one else in my life believes this whatsoever. They have seen no signs of violence in me. Why? It is simply not real, it is just lies. How else do you explain that I went from an amazing dad, who has done a tremendous amount of work preventing bullying in schools, has chaperoned dances and prom for more than 25 years, and even done volunteer work at a hospice center sitting with dying people who have no family or friends to sit by their side, just so that they feel loved and cared for in their last moments, to a crazy homicidal maniac who threatens violence all in just a few months? And only Steven can see it. Maybe there's another answer? Maybe he's jealous of our relationship and set out to ruin it? That's a more likely answer in my eyes.

An Epic Storm Let's talk about some of the things I've mentioned- starting with an epic storm. This is a real thing. I've done two so far in my life. Everything I do is completely legal in nature. We have a wonderful legal system in this country that helps defend innocent people like myself. Without going into too many details, suffice it to say, the first person ended up in prison. After which time I started dating his wife, and every month for a year, we would mail him a picture of us together. How do you know this

is true? I was living with her when I met your mom. For the second time, a man threatened our family. He was well respected in the community, had a 6 figure job, a nice home and a family. In a very short period of time, again using all legal means, he lost all of that. Afterwards, sitting alone in a motel outside of town, he called me and said “It’s over, you won!” I said “No, it’s not over. There are 3 more steps left.” He begged, “Please, no. I have 2 daughters.” I responded, “If you ever come near my family again, or come near our home again, I will finish what I started.” He responded, “I’m gone.” Want to know if this is true? Ask your mom, she knows. You see here again that Steven is twisting things to seem like I'm this crazy, violent man. But I know how to defend my family using legal means. I prefer to live in peace, which is why I use the phrase “If you want peace, prepare for war.” This just means to be prepared to defend yourself against people who want to take that peace away, which I am fully prepared to do through legal means. Steven has been rude to me, disrespectful, twisting my words, and pulling you out of my life. “Prepare for war” simply meant to stop what he was doing or prepare for legal consequences. The “Epic Storm'' and “Prepare for war” was a deterrent to stop him from doing what he was doing, which he didn’t.

My Books Let's set the record straight. Steven had heard that I had written several books. I said I had. He said he would be interested in reading them. So, I went into the other room and got a copy of each for him. He said “Oh okay.” And we changed the subject. It was after that we hung out and he mentioned he read my books. He only wanted to talk about the miracles in ‘Casting Out Doubt’, not my memoir. You know all the good things that have come from writing this book. I have given out hundreds of copies and no one has ever felt threatened by it.

Final Thought About Your Order And lastly, your restraining order was centered around a claim of domestic violence. I (regrettably) raised my voice around you, but how is that violent? The answer; it is not. It doesn’t even rise to the level of bullying. Therefore, your restraining order was based around a false premise to begin with. I think it was filed that way just for dramatic effect. That rises to the level of bearing false witness against your neighbor, just like Steven's. My Response to Steven’s Order He says that I am unable to control my emotional response. Unable to control my emotional response? He was trying to talk down to me, and I was telling him to not talk down to me. He was being disrespectful to me. He is a 25-year-old man and I am a 60- year-old father. He does not have the right to talk down to me. From the beginning, all along his goal was to control me. If he can’t control me, he wants to make me look like a terrible person.

Every time I have tried to stand up for myself, he has turned it around to seem like I am aggressive or violent. He was also rude to Alex, Vanessa, and my friends Heather and Henry. They all told me independently that Steven acted very rudely towards them, and all 4 are willing to make written statements about how poorly he treated them. Interestingly enough, Alex and Vanessa do not know Heather and Henry, so there was not, nor could be, any collusion.

My Letters to the Church About my letter to the church and that it was a threat to him. I went to the church to ask them politely and calmly to ask if they would help resolve this peacefully and without conflict. Along with the letter, I included the history of the challenges we have faced in our relationship. This documentation included emails and texts we had sent between each. Nowhere in any of the documentation did it reference any violence or threats. This was sent to the senior pastor only, in confidentiality, and he never responded. Anyone reading this letter would ask “How is this defamatory?” Steven twisted things around, again. As for the second letter, the senior pastor did not respond, so this was addressed to the elders at the church. Nowhere in any communication do I ask to terminate his employment. All I have ever asked was that they get involved in the conflict. As far as showing up “unannounced”, I left 2 voicemails for the senior pastor the week before. As far as me being “uninvited”, I went into the lobby, rang the buzzer, and asked if I could leave the package there. I left the package in the “Leave Deliveries Here” area (the same as UPS or FedEx would). I then went back out to my car peacefully. Also “uninvited”? How can someone not be invited to a church?”

P.S. At the time I wrote this letter, there were markers that were off that led doctors to believe that I might have cancer. I had to go through numerous tests, including a colonoscopy. Along the way, the real problem was discovered after I was taken to the emergency room by my wife after being in excruciating pain one night. Once there, after going through some more tests, they discovered the real problem. For privacy reasons I will not disclose what the problem is. I have since been undergoing treatment (and as of June 4th, 2021 continue to be under the care of doctors for this condition). In conclusion, there was a serious concern that I had cancer. Fortunately, it was not cancer, but is still of great concern, and I am thankful for the medical community in helping through this difficult time.

I say in the letter that I am prepared to make some bold moves. I went to the church to ask them to help. I wanted to get mediation.

Bold moves- asking you over to have cheesesteaks and talk about what each other needs to resolve the issues. Nowhere have I threatened anyone.

The Website The website was intended as a warning. Nothing more than a warning. Yet again he has twisted things around to seem that I am going to use violence against him, even though I clearly state that everything will be done in a legal manner and not a violent one. I also had the website coded so that search engines will not pull it up or catalog it. I did that intentionally to protect privacy. It was a warning that if he continues or tries to pull anything else like what he has done to me, the site would go live. Even the screenshot Steven used in the filing says, “To be perfectly clear, everything listed above will be accomplished through legal means, I do not condone violence or any illegal activities. I am an otherwise peaceful person who has been unfairly put in a position of having to fight back for his family.” How does that say that I am a violent man? It doesn’t!

His Claim about My Gun His whole claim about me, my gun, and him- it is baseless. All these allegations of me using my gun for a violent purpose is something he invented in his own mind. And he has caused undue concern to that church, both campuses, because of his lies. Name one time that he has seen the handgun in my hand. He has never! He is doing this to get my daughter out of my life, period.

FYI About a week before we had our court meeting, I spoke to David (your ex). He said when you and Steven first broke up, you started texting and calling him trying to get back with him. Does this mean Steven is forever your second choice? He also mentioned the issue with Alex Rodriguez. But the bottom line here is that David clearly said that I treated him wonderfully and it was truly a sad day when we said good-bye for the last time. I honestly wish you were marrying David, or someone like him. He is awesome.

On June 7th, 2021 I sent an email to the attorney representing Calvary Church. This is what it said:

Dear Mr. Lutz,

I have received the notice, have honored it and will continue to do so. I recently became aware of the allegations being made against me which are horrible and unfounded. Is there a possibility of presenting my side of this ordeal? If your client, Calvary Church, would be open to a meeting with me, it can be at a place and time of their choice. The only goal is to clear my name and I come in a spirit of peace and truth. The Bible says we should choose a good name rather than riches, and I would appreciate an opportunity to prove to your client the truth.

Respectfully,

Tim Hutchinson

651-724-1325

david@lutzlawfirm.com

Tue, Jun 8, 12:29 PM

to me

Tim,

I have advised my client not to meet and discuss due to the threat of litigation. My client has received and reviewed your written communication.

David A. Lutz

Lutz Law Firm

120 South 6th Street, Suite 1550

Minneapolis, MN 55402

612-424-2110

david@lutzlawfirm.com

www.lutzlawfirm.com

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com>

Attachments

Wed, Jun 9, 12:03 PM (3 days ago)

to david

David,

All along I've stated my desire to avoid any legal proceedings. Sad day when Christians cannot come together and resolve an issue. Attached is a letter I wrote to my daughter in response to a Restraining Order. Would appreciate you reviewing it and forwarding it to your client, Calvary Church. I'd like them to know these things, My goal has always been to have the truth told.

Regards,

Tim Hutchinson

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com>

Wed, Jun 9, 12:19 PM (3 days ago)

to David

P.S. In the attachment there are the names Jake and James. It would be helpful to know that Jake is engaged to my oldest daughter, and James is dating my youngest daughter. The church might also be interested in knowing that 2 of Brittany's 3 siblings won't attend the wedding, and no one from my side of the family will be there either. The reasons are the same; they are shocked at the lies Steven told about me.

Regards,

Tim Hutchinson

To date there has been no response.

Got a letter in the mail from the Sheriff's office which says I have to turn in my concealed carry permit. Made a copy of the letter and mailed that along with my permit back to them.

June 11th, 2021. Brittany sends me a message through her mom to cancel her phone. We pay for her phone, car insurance and medical insurance. If they're trying to save money, why would they give up a free phone? Now Alex and Vanessa don't have her phone number. More isolation from the family!

Letter to Brittany (sent through her attorney) – August 22, 2021. Note: she never responded.

Hi Brittany,

Been a while and I wanted you to know that I think about you every day. Literally. Not surprising considering how much I love you.

Sometimes I ask mom how your headaches are - truly feel badly that you have those so much. Wish there was something that could be done.

A goal of mine is to lose weight. There is a lady on Facebook who's always sharing pics of others who are losing a lot of weight. I mean, their transformation is incredible. So, I reached out to her and she let me know about the plan. Talked with your mom and she said that she'd join as well to be supportive of my goal. After the first week I lost 7 lbs! Out of curiosity I looked up the ingredients to the pre-packaged foods we were eating. Turns out every meal has a lot of calcium in That's bad for me due to being very prone to kidney stones (yikes!) So now am off the plan - however, your mom was/is losing weight and decided to remain on So, it worked out for her and I'm happy about that. I going to figure something else out - likely all salads and daily trips to the gym :-) Won't end up looking like a body-builder, but that's OK, I just want to look in the mirror and be comfortable with how I look.

So, your mom and I have been discussing my retirement, and decided it can happen in November of 2022. It's going to be so weird waking up and not having to run off to a job. I've been working over 40 years now - most of it in the same industry. I hope things are worked out by then so my whole family can come to

the retirement party. That would mean a lot! After retirement I'm going to increase the amount of volunteering I do and will likely start up again at the hospice. If I ever become a grandpa, I'll open up "Grandpa Day Care" for my grandkids (free of course!)

The ministry is getting closer to launching. My gosh, it's been a long time in the making, right?! But it WILL happen! I feel blessed that we hired a consulting firm - they have helped the ministry move forward by leaps and bounds. If things continue going forward at the pace they're on now, the launch could happen as soon as next month. Otherwise, October would be the absolute latest. Just thinking about all the people this ministry will reach for Christ quite literally gets me teary-eyed. This has been at the forefront of my daily efforts for months and months. When it finally happens, I'll be overcome with joy!!

Once the ministry is launched, there is something I've been wanting to do for a long time; fill out the "My Dad - in his own words" book you gave me. It sits on a ledge in front of my desk, and I look at it every day. If you ever have children, I hope you'll let them read it. I want them to know how much I love my kids, and how much joy they brought into my life, despite the challenges.

That's it for now. Going back to copywriting and moving through the action steps my coach gave me.

Love you,

Dad

September 2021.

I am considering hiring an attorney to sue Steven for the damages. Contacted the attorney who represented him and Brittany in the restraining order to see if he was still representing him (them.) This is the email exchange we had:

Hello Mr. Van Loh,

Checking to see if you are still representing Steven Anderson? I ask because I would like to give him a chance to settle our disagreement. Starting in October I will begin searching for an attorney. Once I hire legal representation, this window of opportunity will be closed.

Thank you for your attention to this matter,

Tim Hutchinson

Tim, Thank you for your email. Yes, I am still representing Steven Anderson. I appreciate your reaching out to me in an attempt to settle your disagreement. Can you please clarify for me which disagreement you wish to settle with Steven so that I can apprise him accordingly? What is your proposal for resolution or request of Steven?

I look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you,

Dan

Hello Mr. Van Loh,

My goal is a relationship with my daughter Brittany, and healing for broken relationships in my family; most notably her siblings that do not have any contact with her. As a father, this is heartbreaking to see.

Arbitration seems like a reasonable move. Right now, mediation would not offer a resolution I'd be happy with - I need accountability for past actions. At this time I cannot simply "kiss-and-makeup". My family, Brittany included, deserves to know whether Steven's accusations against me are accurate or not.

If he/they are agreeable to arbitration, then I further suggest we split the cost of the arbitration services. If the ruling is in my favor, then they should request the court to dismiss the restraining order, so Brittany and I can go to counseling to try and heal our relationship.

At this time I am not open to having a relationship with Steven. If things work out to where Brittany and I are back on speaking terms, then I can be sociable with Steven, but nothing more.

Awaiting their response,

Tim Hutchinson

Tim,

Thank you for the explanation. I'm not sure that reconciliation of a father-daughter relationship for and adult daughter is something that can be arbitrated. The accusations to which you refer have not been adjudicated because you agreed to accept the HRO without a hearing and without admitting anything. Hence, there is no public sharing of the allegations, unless you have shared Steven and Brittany's affidavits with others, otherwise the allegations remain between you and them. On this note, can you please verify that you have removed the public website that contained your disparaging comments about Steven? This was required as part of the HRO.

I'm sorry that I cannot offer a better solution, Tim. I'm sure this is difficult. I'm happy to speak with an attorney who contacts me on your behalf, but there is simply not a legal process through the HRO to force relational reconciliation with an adult child. This is not to say that there is never a hope for healing and reconciliation, just not through the legal process.

Thank you,

Dan

Mr. Van Loh,

The website you are referring to was offline before the conclusion of the HRO hearing. In fact, I had already notified the hosting company of my intent to cancel hosting prior to being originally served.

My intent in reaching out to you was to see if there was a way to handle this situation without my retaining a lawyer and suing Steven. Once I proceed in that direction there is no turning back, and it will become a publicly known matter. I firmly maintain that I am a victim and am innocent of all accusations of Steven. It is only out of a desire to peacefully restore a relationship with my daughter that I extend this one-time offer to settle things before hiring an attorney.

Regards,

Tim,

What are the damages that you are alleging and what is the legal basis for any claims? Are you just referring to the allegations in Steven's HRO petition because these allegations were repeated by Brittany as well. I'm still trying to understand what you feel that Steven has done that can be remedied for me to see if there is a solution.

Can you clarify this for me?

Dan,

As for the damages, let's start with the relationship between Brittany and I. Next there is the wedding I did not get to attend, and did not walk my daughter down the aisle. There's also the suicide attempt for which I ended up in the hospital. Other relationships have been affected, and so has my job. There have been other deep impacts on myself as well. This has been a living nightmare. And all as a result of Steven's lies.

The allegations in Steven's HRO are a lie. They are repeated in Brittany's because it appears they were copied from Steven's. At one point in Brittany's HRO it refers to "Brittany and I..." I'm convinced Brittany went along blindly with this and doesn't really know what the HRO says.

Let me be perfectly clear; I fully intend to take him to court and sue for damages resulting from his lies. It is only because I am a peaceful person that this opportunity to handle things outside a courtroom is

being presented. With all due respect to you, sir, I really don't want to go back-and-forth through email with you like this. Either they want an opportunity to resolve this between us, or they are sticking with their story and not willing to move forward towards a resolution.

Thank you,

Tim Hutchinson

Tim,

Thank you for the clarification. I will discuss your proposal with Steven and get back to you. I just needed to be sure what the legal issues are so that I can advise him. Feel free to check back with me next week if I don't get back to you by next Thursday.

Mr. Van Loh,

Thank you. Hope you have an enjoyable weekend.

Kind regards,

Tim

Mr. Van Loh,

Checking to see if ther are any updates?

Tim

Mr. Van Loh responded saying that Steven does not want reconciliation.

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Nothing more notable happened until the summer of 2023 (other than a visit to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office – see note at the bottom about this visit). It was then that my youngest sister, who knew the HRO was about to expire, suggested that she take some action. She was doing this with good intentions. Just a few months prior, her only daughter had suddenly and unexpectedly passed away at the age of 40. I stood by her throughout this horrible ordeal. She wanted to find a way to help me with

the situation concerning my daughter, Brittany. Her idea was to form a peaceful protest at Calvary church. And continue doing so until they agreed to do a proper investigation. I initially said no, don't do anything. But she was insistent and even looked up the laws concerning a peaceful protest. I reluctantly agreed to go along with this idea of hers. But I made it abundantly clear that I would not participate in those protests. The most I would do is to send the bastard and Calvary church a letter explaining the intention of an upcoming protest. The letter to the church was very respectful. The letter to the bastard was more pointed. Because, after all, this was all his fault. And because of this, my marriage of 31 years was ending and I would have to give up the house I lived in for 24 years, and raised our children in.

The response I got was to be served papers that the bastard and Brittany had filed for an extension of the HRO's. And requested a hearing on an emergency basis, claiming that I was such a threat that they feared for their lives, and were concerned I would go to their church and harm/murder hundreds of children. At that time I asked my sister to abandon any intentions of protesting, and she agreed.

I attended the hearing and requested a trial. Their attorney proposed that nothing from the first HRO filing would be included in the trial for the second HRO, and the referee agreed.

I focused on everything that had to do with events that happened after the first HRO was approved, and lined up witnesses for my defense.

I filed an evidence that I was going to present via the courts online system, and emailed a list of those items to their attorney. What I forgot to do was send along the actual evidence to their attorney with the list.

During the trial their attorney questioned me and kept bringing up the website I had previously put up. That website was taken down prior to the issuing of the first HRO and should not have been included in the evidence for the second HRO.

The referee allowed this evidence and did nothing to stop or prevent it.

When it was my turn, I presented several witnesses, who their attorney flat out insulted and objected to any questions I asked. The referee clearly sided with their attorney and never with me. When it was my turn to share evidence and/or personal testimony, the referee stopped the proceedings and gave their attorney and myself only a couple of minutes to make a closing statement. Their attorney asked for a minimum of 10 years for an HRO and suggested it be for Life. He also called myself and my witnesses liars.

During this time it should have been obvious that I was so emotionally broken that I was unable to defend myself or present evidence in an effective way. She did nothing to help ensure this was a search for truth and justice, and instead allowed the lawyer to continue on his path of seek & destroy.

After that I was informed that the HRO's would be extended and we would have to wait to find out for how long.

I later learned that they were extended for 10 years for the bastard and 2 years for my daughter.

Note: In the spring of 2023 I went to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office and met with an investigator. I showed him all the info / evidence that I had. He said that I had not done anything wrong, that the bastard had, and if I could get the civil courts to refer this case to them, they would complete their investigation and likely place him under arrest.

Five reasons to report their attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh to the MN State Bar Association;

Before I agreed to accept the first HRO, Mr. Van Loh told me that if I fought back and won the HRO it would show up on Brittany's background check and could affect her employment and future missionary efforts.

I told him before the first HRO hearing the website was not for the public, but instead was a private journal, and the only person I invited to see it was Steven.

He suggested to the court referee that no information or evidence from the first hearing be allowed at the trial for the second hearing, but then the website (my private journal) was introduced as evidence and became the bulk of their claim against me.

He went along with all of the blatantly false accusations against me, and threw reasoning out the window and went along with all of their hateful claims.

He claimed I was a liar and so was my sister (who had just lost her only daughter, suddenly and unexpectedly. I mentioned this before she was brought into the virtual courtroom to testify.)

The reasons the referee should be reported (Judicial Misconduct Complaint) are;

She allowed information to be presented that she previously said would not be allowed.

Allowed their attorney to speak harshly about my witnesses without any evidence to prove his statements.

Did not explain that I was not obligated to answer any of their attorneys' questions.

Was not there to seek truth and ultimately justice, but instead allowed their attorney to do whatever he please, despite it being obvious I was unable to defend myself.

Closing thoughts…

I left a couple things out of the long video which is about an hour and 34 minutes because i wanted to cover them here in a shorter video. Just a few things really close to my heart - first and foremost as most

of you know i went through a really terrible time after the failed counseling session with Brittany which resulted in me having some suicidal ideations. Unfortunately stephen and brittany attempted to use that against me in the harassment restraining order and i want to let you know that if you have any sort of mental struggles it's nothing to be ashamed of whatsoever. Sadly they tried to portray me as someone who was almost held against my will or forced to remain there. Nothing was further from the truth, i mean nothing. I said that I have a problem and want some help. My wife and i went to the hospital and i agreed to stay. It was completely voluntary. I talked to a psychologist, a few counselors, and went to a class to learn coping skills, then i spent the rest of the day journaling. It was a very quiet time for me to de-stress. The following day when i met with the psychologist i said thank you, this meant a lot to me, and i'm ready to go home. The doctor agreed saying i'm not a danger to myself, i'm there on a completely voluntary basis, that they're not holding me, nor are they looking for any type of 72-hour hold or anything and I was released with best wishes along with a plan and new coping skills.

What's even more disturbing about this is that they knew i was in the hospital and yet neither one of them reached out to me to show any care or concern or anything of the sort. And that's really troubling because i've asked a couple of people, i asked if the knew someone that they really didn't like at all, they had a lot of issues with them and just generally don't like them, and you find out that they're suicidal, what's your reaction going to be? Every single person said they would put that difference aside, and reach out to that person and say them hey don't do this. Suicide passes your pain onto other people who don't deserve it. They do this because there are times when you need to act as a human and you put the differences aside and you reach out to that person. They didn't do that for me at all, nothing, and to me that doesn't even rise to the level of being human. Not whatsoever. I couldn't fathom a time when anyone that I dislike so much that i wouldn't reach out to them at such a low point in their life.

Something else that i wanted to touch base on here is the whole allegation from stephen about how when i went to his apartment to tell him he was loved and forgiven that he was so afraid they thought about you know jumping off the second floor second story balcony fleeing for his life and calling the police, and as i thought about that i'm like wait a minute if he's so scared of me why'd he just call the police anyway?

Just call them and report this – seems to me that’s what the average person would if you're that afraid of someone - you're gonna call and make a report. But that never happened and i thought about that for a little bit and i'm like why wouldn't he call and report me to the police at all? And i'm thinking hmm maybe the reason is because then the police do an investigation, and the investigation would clearly show that he was filing a false report.

Let’s be clear, there was never anything to be afraid of because the number of times i threatened him was zero. He invented all of it he made it all up, yeah, he lied!

And lastly i just want to say that this has been my family for 30 years. I have been in this family a hundred percent. I am not a perfect father - they don't exist, I have made some mistakes – a few small ones here and there; for example, i raised my voice once to my daughter and i completely regret that. It was wrong - she didn't deserve that at all and i apologized for that, and i meant that apology.

The second time that i raised my voice i didn't think she was at home - i was just venting some frustration to my wife, and again during the process i wasn't threatening anybody. The worst thing i said was i don't want steven at our house anymore. That was it - that's not a threat. But again i did raise my voice absolutely and it's been over 3 years since that happened and the number of times that i've raised my voice since then has been zero. This just shows the level of frustration that i had with him and nonetheless i tried to make amends with him, to make peace at least 12 times. And he's made no attempts whatsoever, absolutely not a single one, which shows what his ulterior motive is, which is me out of Brittany's life. and um and so i think back on all that and i'm like you know 30 years is a long time to put your family first and and along comes stephen he's in the family a very short period of time and all sudden here i am having to defend myself against him when really he has no evidence whatsoever.

Everything he's said about me is is made up, it's invented, it's absolutely not true. I, on the other hand, have evidence including emails, and all this other stuff going on and he has nothing.

Again, this isn't about revenge, that's not what i'm after, it has everything to do with showing my family that i'm innocent, and that i still deserve to be called dad and treated like a dad, a father who put their family first, a father who loves his children unconditionally, a father who was lied about.

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Reasons to File Complaints & Lawsuit(s)

Attorney

Lied to me during first HRO hearing. He said that if I did not accept the HRO and instead fought against it, that would affect Brittany negatively. He said that in the future anytime an employer or volunteer organization that she would travel internationally as a volunteer for performs a background check on her, that this would come up and disqualify her.

During that same meeting, he also said “This is what Brittany wants.” Subsequently, there were numerous times that he would say that I should just leave her alone, because contacting her was making a difficult for her to heal. Even when I suggested a possible way to work things out, he took a negative approach to this and did nothing to help a family heal.

During that same meeting, I asked that the website I had up about Steven be taken down. I specifically told him that was not a public website and that it was my private journal – one that I discreetly shared with Steven. He then said, “That’s what pen and paper are for.” So, he knew that was a private journal,

but in the future he would act as though it was a public website that I used to disparage Steven. In one later email he even specifically referred to that website as a "public website." Why would anyone refer to a website in that manner? Unless of course they know it's private and are trying to overlook the fact that they know it was a private journal. In the second HRO, over half of their so-called evidence was that website. However, during the previous meeting when I asked for a trial, both their attorney and the referee agreed that no evidence from the first HRO was to be allowed into the HRO trial. But that didn't happen. That private journal ended up being a primary focus of their case.

During the trial he acted rudely and was not at all interested in truth and justice. This was purely a seek-and-destroy mission for him. He introduced evidence (the website) which he knew was not an attack or threat to Steven and used that as most of the evidence presented. He was also rude to my witnesses and suggested to the court that my witnesses were lying.

He also filed court papers which presented the case in a manner which was worded to make me appear as though I was one of the worst humans on this earth (at least that's what it felt like), and went as far as to ask the HRO's be for life.

Court

First, though I was allowed to present a few witnesses (which their attorney treated harshly and poorly), when it came time for me to present my case, the referee stopped the proceedings and said she would hear closing comments before issuing a ruling. So, I was literally not allowed to present my case.

During the trial she (the referee) spoke harshly to me. Even at times when I was objecting to something their attorney was saying, she seemed to be talking down to me. I made a simple mistake in presenting my evidence before trial, and when that came up at the beginning of the trial, she spoke in a manner that could easily be viewed as condescending.

Every time I made an objection it was overruled. Every time their attorney made an objection it was sustained.

She claimed that I had violated the previous HRO by posting a video on a private website, which was password protected, and contained my side of the story for the first HRO. This was a private communication between myself and five members of my immediate family. Once they viewed the video I removed it. One of them shared the website address and password with Brittany. (And that's violation of the HRO?? So, I don't have the First Amendment Right to freedom of speech anymore?) Nothing in that video was a threat or could be perceived as harassment. None of the video was shown in court and no transcript was presented. Nonetheless, the referee claimed it was a violation of the first HRO without knowing any of the content.

My sister was prevented from reading a letter which explained the information she had to share in my defense. It is important to note that several months prior to this hearing, my sisters oldest child (and only daughter) suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Still very much in the process of grieving such a tremendous loss, she was not allowed to present her information in a manner that was easy for her, especially considering the circumstances. When I tried questioning her about the content(s) of the letter, their attorney objected to almost everything and her testimony was largely not allowed. Afterwards, their attorney called my sister a liar.

The referee allowed information that was previously agreed to not be allowed as evidence in this trial, notably my private online journal.

During the portion of the trial where they were presenting evidence, I was not informed by the court that I did not have to testify for them. In the beginning of the trial, I was sworn in and their attorney began questioning me. I feel that the court should have informed me that I have a right to refuse to be questioned by their attorney. I felt like it was an obligation to answer their attorney's questions. If I had known that I did not have to, I would have remained silent during his questioning.

Had I been allowed to present my case, part of that would have been to ask for this matter be referred to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office for investigation and criminal proceedings. I had previously been in touch with them, and they asked for this matter to be sent to them via the civil courts.

Ways in Which I Have Been Harmed / Affected

Suicide ideations and near attempt.

Depression and loss of interest in many aspects of life.

Divorce.

Loss of house of 24 years.

Career of 30 years ended (unable to perform duties.)

Various health impacts; heart, pre-diabetic, sleep, etc.

And more…

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

This may be redundant, but want to be sure this is included somewhere…

It's interesting to note that neither Brittany's mom or I have met with Steven's parents before the wedding. We have not spoken with them on the phone, either. No communication between us at all. I literally do not even know his parents names. Brittany's mom did not meet either of them until the day

of the wedding – and there has been no communication since. Is it just me, or does anyone else find that to be really odd??

The only mention he ever made of us potentially meeting his parents was when he said that his mom might be coming into town and would be here to plan the wedding. I mentioned that my wife is the mother of the bride and she should like to be involved. His reply was that his mom would be doing the planning.

Court hearing

I attended the court hearing by way a zoom video. Their attorney suggested that I allow them to have the restraining order and the court would not find any fault. He said this is what Brittany wants. I agreed and the court referee accepted this. As part of the agreement, I would take down the DirtySteven.com website. I actually did that before meeting with the referee – and in fact had cancelled website hosting the week prior. Was paid through the first part of July and that's when the website would go down completely. Another component was that I am able to send Brittany a letter through her attorney. Began composing that letter shortly afterwards.

About a week later I was served the final order.

June 4th I emailed the letter to Brittany's attorney.

Dear Brittany,

Amazing how he has you believing that after 22 years of being an amazing father, that somehow, I have become a monster. Apparently, he has super-powers because only he can see that…and he has convinced you that his fantasy is real. I am so grateful and thankful for my children who know my heart and have stood by my side, unwavering during these difficult times. They will always have a special place in my heart. I have proven to them, over the decades, that I always put my family first. Always. They will not just give up on me when someone tells a lie. They came to me and asked what my side of the story was. I shared my heart and truth as best as possible, which again confirmed that I have put this family first, even when it caused me tremendous pain (and even resulted in my being hospitalized.) They are my family, and I am so proud of them!

All I have been doing has been to try and resolve things through making the truth known. His response has been to escalate his lies and twisting of the truth to cover his previous lies. He has done so by making even the slightest ant hill seem like a ginormous mountain. He has scrutinized every single step I have taken and found fault with it – even if that means twisting the truth, or outright lying. Quick example: For weeks I had been coming home and placing my handgun on the top railing for a few minutes (since the riots, doing this made your mom feel safe), entering the kitchen and unpacking my lunch bag, then taking the handgun and putting it away in the bedroom. For weeks this was a daily

routine (unless someone was walking down the street towards the park when I got home – in that case I waited until later to bring it into the house, when no one was around.) One day when he was over, he noticed the gun on the railing, and automatically assumed it was there to intimidate him. That is what he stated in the Restraining Order. Again, just a small example of how he has twisted things to fit his agenda. An agenda to strip us out of each other's lives. And you just caved him and let him get away with this. That makes me feel like I really do not matter to you – it seems you just gave up so easily.

Here I am fighting to get you back and you are blindly going along with his evil plan. He has been avoiding the truth being told. He does not want mediation because he knows the truth will come out and his evil deeds with be exposed. That is also the reason why he continues to keep you isolated from me. He is afraid of the truth. That also explains why he blatantly lied to Calvary about me; so they would not help with mediation, and instead have a lawyer ask me to stay away. I am no threat to them whatsoever. Yet he has them thinking I am such a threat they have supposedly hired additional security. That is how far he will go to cover his lies. They are a CHURCH – I am a PASTOR. They are my brothers and sisters in Christ. I would never go there and commit any sort of act of violence. Not ever. I only went to them because previously when we were going to have mediation, someone from the church was going to be the mediator. This was in no way an attempt to have him fired – they are a church; they are supposed to help people. I went there seeking their help in resolving things. Had he worked at any other place besides a church I would have never contacted them. But he twisted this also to make it seem I was going there to somehow attack him. More lies on top of the other lies.

Remember when I said let us take a 6-month break. That took control away from him, Once I took control away, he felt a need to take it back. That is the real reason for the Restraining Order. Why file that if I am going away for 6 months? Control. The only thing I did during that time was to come over and attempt to tell you both that you are loved and forgiven. Just one time and you would never hear from me again for at least 6 months. This is about control – he wants to control, and I am in his way. Even worse; he has you believing that I am a threat and/or danger to you. Above all else that especially troubles me!! Let me be perfectly clear on this one point: I love you. More than life itself. There is no way, and I mean literally zero chance I would ever physically hurt you. Ever. Never. You are absolutely one of the greatest things to ever happen in my life. Period. Even the mere thought of any type of harm to you is so repulsive it makes me ill to my stomach. Literally. I know that you have been emotionally hurt – some of that is likely my fault. For that I wholeheartedly apologize. I truly do. It was never my intention in any way, shape, or form to cause you any kind of pain. None whatsoever. That is a promise. A solid promise from a father to his precious daughter. I pinky swear.

I think this has been tremendously difficult for you. After all, it is not normal to become engaged, then need therapy. What's next, medication? He has painted a picture of a fairy tale, but after the wedding he will slowly turn it into something else. There are literally thousands of stories exactly like this on the

internet about guys like him. Everything seemed almost magical. No way could he ever turn out like all the others, he special, he different, he really loves me – then they changed. And the ladies who were lucky and escaped, ended up just another statistic with a sad story to tell and deep emotional scars. Sadly, I believe you will see this firsthand. Once you walk down the aisle and I am not next to you, the door of opportunity to resolve things with him closes. After that time, I will never acknowledge him as my son-in-law. He will never call me "dad". I will never have any type of relationship with him. Something else is going to happen after the wedding: my response. It will then be my turn, and I will expose his lies and evil ways. You see, I have been patiently waiting to see how far he would take things – and all the while I have been trying to resolve this (apologizing, offering forgiveness, seeking mediation, etc.) I've been patient, very patient, for a good reason; I want to be sure that I've given every chance possible to resolve this another way. I don't want to think about the "What if's" afterwards, wondering if there was another chance to settle this.

Filing the Restraining Order was enough to show me there isn't another way – I'm left with literally no choice. This entire time he has only done one thing: continue playing his game. So, remember this phrase: "He Treated Me Like a Game – Now I'm Going to Show Him How It's Played." I am fairly certain your lawyer is also reading this, so here's the disclaimer (as I've stated over and over and over again, repeatedly since this conflict began…) Nothing stated here is meant to infer or condone any illegal activities, or anything of a violent nature. I am a law-abiding citizen and will comply with all laws, including the Restraining Order. Step #1 is to file a lawsuit against Steven, and possibly Calvary. He will have to answer questions during the lawsuit proceedings, and the truth will come out! There will be other (legal) events that will happen as well. Again, all fully legal and in compliance with the Restraining Order. But that does not make them any less effective.

My entire goal is to show you, my beautiful daughter, the lies he has been telling. As I've stated so many times before; I just want the truth revealed! I will not stop until that happens. For the rest of my life I will not rest until you know the truth. I promise you this. As these events unfold, you will reach a point in time when you realize that you joined the wrong team. When that happens, come home. No judgement, no 'I told you so' comments, no holding a grudge. Nothing but love is waiting for you here. That is a real father to daughter promise. We all make mistakes in life (myself included), and it is comforting to know we have a family that will take us back, no matter what. Because that's how real families roll. His real battle is not with me, it is with himself. He clearly does not know how to express himself or his emotions in a healthy way and as a result, pushed me to my limits – then blamed me for the outcome; all the while lying about what he has done to cause the problem(s) to begin with. Then, in order for you to remain in a relationship with him, you had to hurt someone; me. Are you happy with that? Seems fair, right? I mean all you have to do is treat your dad like crap after he made you a priority in his life for 23 years. And did anything and everything he possibly could for you. Here's a hint: if you have to give that

up to be with someone, then you're with the wrong guy! Especially if he's lying to keep you away from your own dad.

During all of this time he has not once shown any care or compassion towards me. Zip. Zilch. Nada. Nothing. How is this Christian behavior? Answer: it's not! I've offered love, forgiveness and multiple opportunities to resolve this. He's offered nothing except lies in an attempt to exacerbate things. Again, that is not Christian. At all. But somehow, he just turns things around in your mind to make me the bad guy. Again, it's amazing how awesome of a relationship we had, until he came along. I now present to you some answers to the false claims made in the Restraining Order. In the interest of brevity, I've not covered everything. However, if there is something you would like to know, share that with your attorney and I'll answer through him.

Love Dad.

I have a challenge for you. Read this alone and do not let Steven read this. It was agreed upon in court that I got to share a letter with you. But just you. I want you to read this with your heart and meditate on it.

My Response to Your Order

The Kyle Situation

Kyle was Vanessa's ex, who assaulted her. After he assaulted her, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. I called Kyle, and he started to verbally assault me so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified myself as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. At that point I felt the need to defend myself, and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I responded, "Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left.

He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical – and I learned that in the future I would insist on calling the police and letting them handle the situation. The Phone Calls to Steven It was alleged that I made an increasing number of harassing calls to Steven. But I have checked my phone records, and they show that I have called Steven a total of 4 times ever. Not in a week, a month, but ever. 4 times.

Acting Out?

It's claimed that I, at one time, were stomping, slamming cabinets, and slamming doors, and raising my voice while talking about steven. I only raised my voice to you once about Steven, but only after he treated me several times very disrespectfully.

I was so disappointed in myself for having raised my voice to you (something I've not done before) that I slapped the doorway as I walked out of the kitchen. I cannot slam the doors in our kitchen, there are none. I cannot slam the kitchen cabinets as they have easy close stoppers. I never stomp my feet as I am not a child.

Inappropriate Use of My Firearm?

You said I "placed the firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment towards Steven." The truth of the matter is that I have been placing my firearm there for weeks, based on a request from your mom. It made her feel safer. Placing my firearm on the banister became an everyday routine for me.

After what happened in Minneapolis with George Floyd and the protests and then the protests threatening to go to the suburbs, it made your mom feel safer to have it visible at times when I got home from work. Again, she literally asked me to do this! One day while Steven is over he sees the handgun in its usual place, and made an incorrect assumption. Later it's claimed that I went to the garage to retrieve my firearm and returned looking very angry. This is not true. I am very careful when retrieving my firearm from the car. In fact, for 20+ years, you didn't even know I had one. It was only after the events in Minneapolis that I started to display my firearm – and only at the specific request of your mom.

Over the years, I have been so discreet, that whenever there are people anywhere around (going to the park, out walking, working in their yard, etc.), I will wait until later to retrieve the firearm from the car so I can be as to be as safe and discreet as possible. We have lived in that house for 22 years and our neighbors have never seen me carrying it. I only have it out in the open inside the house, and only for a short period of time. I have since asked your mom if she was okay with me being completely discreet and she said yes.

I have never waved this gun around; I have never used this firearm to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie and crazy. I made it to 60 without a criminal record, but Steven wants to paint me as this crazy man with a gun. The second time that I raised my voice, I did not know you were even home, I thought you had left with Steven. And when I did raise my voice, it lasted maybe 15 seconds. Your mom was at the top of the stairs and I was by the door. I raised my voice towards your mom about the frustrations I was feeling with this situation. And I was shouting towards her, but not at her. After the 15 seconds had passed, your mom came and gave me a hug and said "I can see that this is clearly hurting you" She said "Let's go

make supper together and have a glass of wine"; to which I responded with "Okay, the things Steven are doing and saying are bothering me."

Within 10 minutes, your mom and I were in the kitchen laughing and joking about Facebook memes. You then claim I went to the car and returned with the gun, still visibly angry. That's a lie. (It should also be noted that I have not raised my voice at all since then. Not once, under any circumstances. Again, just trying to make me appear to be a bad person, which I am not.) In the order it says, "The presence of his firearm in several incidences, along with his emotional instability, and refusal to respect boundaries that I've set in our relationship concern me that this will continue to escalate and that the result may involve his firearm and Steven or I"

To that I say: First of all to talk about boundaries- the only way I have stepped over boundaries is to try to resolve this. That's all that I have been doing for months. The display of my handgun, again, was because of your mom's request. That has nothing to do with the situation between you, Steven and I. Nothing. Other than raising my voice twice, there has been no outward signs of aggression or anything being escalated. This is all a complete fabrication. Yes, this is a bold face lie. All I've been trying to do, repeatedly, is to have the truth come out. I believe the truth doesn't mind being questioned, whereas lies want to hide. (Sound familiar?) There's a reason Steven does not want mediation or to resolve things; because his lies will be exposed. So, he pretends to be afraid and paint me as a violent person to avoid the truth coming out.

The Night You Moved Out

You went to a friend's house and a few days later moved out. The night you moved, you came back because you forgot something. I was in the bedroom with your mom. I heard something moving around in the house, so I went out into the hallway to see what was going on. When I noticed it was you I said hi. You gave me a hug and said "I love you so much dad." I said "I love you too." I asked if you were okay, and you said yes. I then said, "It's kind of weird because this is the first time you have moved and I didn't help you." You smiled and said, "Yeah, it is kind of weird." YOU SMILED AND SAID YEAH IT'S KINDA WEIRD. You said you had to go, and I said, "Okay, have a good night."

This is the day you moved out because you were supposedly afraid of me. How does that say you are afraid of me?

The Last Page

It's interesting that when Steven came up with this order, in his evidence, he left out the last page of my website where it talks about you guys being loved and forgiven. LOVED AND FORGIVEN. But that would go against his claim that I am a crazed, violent man. That doesn't fit his agenda to make you think I am this horrible violent person. What's interesting is that no one other than Steven thinks I am a violent person. Sadly, he has convinced you this lie is real. I spend time with both Jake and James and there's

literally no problems between us at all. None. In fact, they have both said they would write letters in support of me. They both heard the Kyle story and are not afraid of me at all – because there's literally no reason to be. None. That's all a fabrication of Steven's.

I now have over 15 people who are close to me, all willing to write letters stating they have never seen any of the behavior Steven is alleging, and that they simply do not believe any of it is true. How many do you have? I'm not a betting man, but if I was, my bet would be there are zero people to back up his claim(s). Because they are lies.

The Therapy Session

You approached me to go to therapy with you. Before you, your therapist, and I got together for a meeting, the therapist wanted to get together with me alone in a zoom type meeting. She was rude, condescending, and I really did not feel as though she cared about me or resolving anything. It was very frustrating talking to her.

Nonetheless, I said any therapy sessions I was involved in I would pay for and gave her my credit card number so you would not be burdened with that. What no one, not even the therapist knows is that I was not alone in that room. When the session with myself and the therapist was scheduled, I asked your mom to be in the room with me. She sat off to one side, out of view to the camera. She witnessed how stressful this was for me. Then, at the time you and I had our session with the therapist, it was immediately stressful again. I felt as though the therapist was condescending from the beginning. My only goal for that session was to tell you that I loved you and to validate your pain. I know you've been hurt, and it's incredibly painful to see you go through this. The therapist interrupted me repeatedly. When I didn't recite things back exactly, it seemed she was a bit condescending. Half the time I didn't look at the screen as it was too hard to see the pain on your face.

I didn't even get to say anything what I really wanted to say; that I love you and to validate your feelings. At one point in time, I muted the microphone then I leaned forward to cry. You may have thought I got up and walked around the room, but I was bent over forward crying into my hands. I truly and sincerely hurt throughout this session. Having you out of my life hurts more than my brothers dying – and still does to this very day. At this point it was clear that my message of love was not going to be conveyed to you, I became frustrated, rambled on about something and left the meeting abruptly. I think I mentioned not knowing if I wanted a relationship with you, but what I really meant was for a temporary amount of time. (P.S. I did not slam my laptop closed – this laptop is too expensive to be slammed shut.) With the thought of that session fresh in my mind. I ended up in a park later that night with a gun to my head. That's the reason I had suicidal ideations; the pain on your face was more than I could handle.

Word Choices

I notice that throughout the order, words were used to misdescribe the situations. “RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP, SCREAMING”. This is nothing more than character assassination. Can someone name even one person who’s actually witnessed me doing anything like that? I didn’t think so – because it’s not true.

Trying to Get an Answer

I have been trying repeatedly for months to hear what Steven and your complaints/problems/concerns were, what the problems were. And no one has told me anything. It was only when I got the restraining order that I was informed of the problems. Not once before that did I know truly what the problems were as neither of you had told me. I had called for a 6 month break, and immediately, the 2 of you filed for a restraining order. Was this because Steven thought he was losing control? The only thing I did within that 6 months was to come to you to tell you were loved and forgiven. And now I hear that Steven thought about jumping out his 2nd story window and call 911 all because he heard the story of Kyle. Meanwhile, Jake, James and I are hanging out having a good time.

Clarifications

So let’s talk about that story again, it was told twice in one year. Both times Vanessa started to tell the story. Both times I finished it quickly. After the second time, I leaned over to Vanessa and said “Please let’s not tell this story again” And she responded with “but I like to brag about you” And I said “okay thank you but let’s leave this story in the past” and she said “okay dad I love you” and I said “I love you too”. Both of these times, James and Jake were present. And neither of them felt threatened by this. I did not tell this one on one with Steven. It was told in a group setting. I have since hung out many times with Jake and James. James has even shared his working schedule with me so I can call before/after he is off work to chat. Neither of them feel threatened by me at all. You say that on February 16th, I came home and discovered Steven’s laundry, I immediately responded with anger and rage. That is not true. It was an hour later, and it was in the presence of your mom, lasting about 15 seconds, as mentioned before. I was upset, but there was no “rage”. Again, character assassination.

My Military Record

Charlie was the name given to me in the military as a result of having an elevated security clearance. I served courageously, and sometimes heroically. I was honorably discharged. My military records are now sealed. That’s all anyone needs to know. As I made it clear over the years, I really don’t want to talk about my service to our country and I avoid the topic.

Owatonna

There is a solid, logical reason behind this event. If you heard the reason you would immediately realize it’s not what it seemed at first glance. However, I am unable to write about this, and can only share this

with you verbally. Perhaps some day down the road when we can speak again. I only ask that you trust me on this.

I Have Doubts

Did you even write this yourself? There are at least 5 times that it is copied and pasted from Steven's order. Also, one thing I find interesting is that when the question is asked "Do you believe that the harassment will continue?" You respond with "The respondent has made several claims that he 'resigns' or is done with the 'conflict' yet he has continued to produce more emails, the website, etc.. There seems to be no indication this will end. He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany's on 4/28/21." This is word for word copied from Steven's order. How much of any of this did you even write yourself? Do you even know what half your order against me says? And up until now, all I have been doing is trying to resolve this. At least I'm trying. That's more than Steven and you have done collectively. Yet every single time I try to resolve things, Steven turns it around to make it seem like I am a violent person and a threat to him.

What's even worse, is because of his lies, you think that I am a threat to yourself. As a father I would never be violent towards any one of my kids, ever. That's nonnegotiable in my mind. I'm Not Violent I was going to take the restraining order to trial, until your attorney told me that this is what you wanted personally. At that point in time, I asked myself "Why am I trying to fix anything?" I just want to be able to go to bed at night, knowing I have done everything I possibly could to resolve this matter peacefully. And the only reason it hasn't been, is because of Steven's lies and twisting of the truth.

To date, you have not seen me respond to the damage he has done, but you will. What's especially troubling is the fact I have to add a disclaimer to everything I write now which states "Everything I do will be accomplished by legal means" all because of Steven's lies. You know I'm not a violent person. Again, 60 years old, no criminal record. That wouldn't be possible if I was such a violent person. I'm this amazing father until Steven comes around and it's like Dr. Jekyll & Mr. Hyde that only he can see. As it only exists in his mind. And he has you convinced it's real. No one else can see it. Why? It's not real or true. There is literally no one else that I know who thinks this of me or believes any of these lies being told. That alone speaks volumes.

How I Have Handled this Emotionally

You say in your order "The respondent has shown an inability to control and regulate his emotions. He has lived out delusions of a violent nature." (Again, this is copy and pasted right from Steven's order) This has been a very emotional time for me, which it would be for any father that has their daughter unfairly stripped from their life. Considering the depth of this tragedy, aside from the regrettable evening that I held a gun to my head, I feel as though I have handled this quite well. As far as the reference of violence, this is only an illusion in Steven's mind, that he has gotten you to believe. No one

else in my life believes this whatsoever. They have seen no signs of violence in me. Why? It is simply not real, it is just lies. How else do you explain that I went from an amazing dad, who has done a tremendous amount of work preventing bullying in schools, has chaperoned dances and prom for more than 25 years, and even done volunteer work at a hospice center sitting with dying people who have no family or friends to sit by their side, just so that they feel loved and cared for in their last moments, to a crazy homicidal maniac who threatens violence all in just a few months? And only Steven can see it. Maybe there's another answer? Maybe he's jealous of our relationship and set out to ruin it? That's a more likely answer in my eyes.

An Epic Storm

Let's talk about some of the things I've mentioned- starting with an epic storm. This is a real thing. I've done two so far in my life. Everything I do is completely legal in nature. We have a wonderful legal system in this country that helps defend innocent people like myself. Without going into too many details, suffice it to say, the first person ended up in prison. After which time I started dating his wife, and every month for a year, we would mail him a picture of us together. How do you know this is true? I was living with her when I met your mom. For the second time, a man threatened our family. He was well respected in the community, had a 6 figure job, a nice home and a family. In a very short period of time, again using all legal means, he lost all of that. Afterwards, sitting alone in a motel outside of town, he called me and said "It's over, you won!" I said "No, it's not over. There are 3 more steps left." He begged, "Please, no. I have 2 daughters." I responded, "If you ever come near my family again, or come near our home again, I will finish what I started." He responded, "I'm gone." Want to know if this is true? Ask your mom, she knows. You see here again that Steven is twisting things to seem like I'm this crazy, violent man. But I know how to defend my family using legal means. I prefer to live in peace, which is why I use the phrase "If you want peace, prepare for war." This just means to be prepared to defend yourself against people who want to take that peace away, which I am fully prepared to do through legal means. Steven has been rude to me, disrespectful, twisting my words, and pulling you out of my life. "Prepare for war" simply meant to stop what he was doing or prepare for legal consequences. The "Epic Storm" and "Prepare for war" was a deterrent to stop him from doing what he was doing, which he didn't.

My Books

Let's set the record straight. Steven had heard that I had written several books. I said I had. He said he would be interested in reading them. So, I went into the other room and got a copy of each for him. He said "Oh okay." And we changed the subject. It was after that we hung out and he mentioned he read my books. He only wanted to talk about the miracles in 'Casting Out Doubt', not my memoir. You know all the good things that have come from writing this book. I have given out hundreds of copies and no one has ever felt threatened by it.

Final Thought About Your Order

And lastly, your restraining order was centered around a claim of domestic violence. I (regrettably) raised my voice around you, but how is that violent? The answer; it is not. It doesn't even rise to the level of bullying. Therefore, your restraining order was based around a false premise to begin with. I think it was filed that way just for dramatic effect. That rises to the level of bearing false witness against your neighbor, just like Steven's.

My Response to Steven's Order

He says that I am unable to control my emotional response. Unable to control my emotional response? He was trying to talk down to me, and I was telling him to not talk down to me. He was being disrespectful to me. He is a 25-year-old man and I am a 60- year-old father. He does not have the right to talk down to me. From the beginning, all along was to control me. If he can't control me, he wants to make me look like a terrible person.

Every time I have tried to stand up for myself, he has turned it around to seem like I am aggressive or violent. He was also rude to Alex, Vanesaa, and my friends Heather and Henry. They all told me independently that Steven acted very rudely towards them, and all 4 are willing to make written statements about how poorly he treated them. Interestingly enough, Alex and Vanessa do not know Heather and Henry, so there was not, nor could be, any collusion.

My Letters to the Church

About my letter to the church and that it was a threat to him. I went to the church to ask them politely and calmly to ask if they would help resolve this peacefully and without conflict. Along with the letter, I included the history of the challenges we have faced in our relationship. This documentation included emails and texts we had sent between each. Nowhere in any of the documentation did it reference any violence or threats. This was sent to the senior pastor only, in confidentiality, and he never responded. Anyone reading this letter would ask "How is this defamatory?" Steven twisted things around, again. As for the second letter, the senior pastor did not respond, so this was addressed to the elders at the church. Nowhere in any communication do I ask to terminate his employment. All I have ever asked was that they get involved in the conflict. As far as showing up "unannounced", I left 2 voicemails for the senior pastor the week before. As far as me being "uninvited", I went into the lobby, rang the buzzer, and asked if I could leave the package there. I left the package in the "Leave Deliveries Here" area (the same as UPS or FedEx would). I then went back out to my car peacefully. Also "uninvited"? How can someone not be invited to a church?"

P.S. At the time I wrote this letter, there were markers that were off that led doctors to believe that I might have cancer. I had to go through numerous tests, including a colonoscopy. Along the way, the real problem was discovered after I was taken to the emergency room by my wife after being in excruciating

pain one night. Once there, after going through some more tests, they discovered the real problem. For privacy reasons I will not disclose what the problem is. I have since been undergoing treatment (and as of June 4th, 2021 continue to be under the care of doctors for this condition). In conclusion, there was a serious concern that I had cancer. Fortunately, it was not cancer, but is still of great concern, and I am thankful for the medical community in helping through this difficult time.

I say in the letter that I am prepared to make some bold moves. I went to the church to ask them to help. I wanted to get mediation.

Bold moves- asking you [Steven] over to have cheesesteaks and talk about what each other needs to resolve the issues. Nowhere have I threatened anyone.

The Website

The website was intended as a warning. Nothing more than a warning. Yet again he has twisted things around to seem that I am going to use violence against him, even though I clearly state that everything will be done in a legal manner and not a violent one. I also had the website coded so that search engines will not pull it up or catalog it. I did that intentionally to protect privacy. It was a warning that if he continues or tries to pull anything else like what he has done to me, the site would go live. Even the screenshot Steven used in the filing says, “To be perfectly clear, everything listed above will be accomplished through legal means, I do not condone violence or any illegal activities. I am an otherwise peaceful person who has been unfairly put in a position of having to fight back for his family.” How does that say that I am a violent man? It doesn’t!

His Claim about My Gun His whole claim about me, my gun, and him- it is baseless. All these allegations of me using my gun for a violent purpose is something he invented in his own mind. And he has caused undue concern to that church, both campuses, because of his lies. Name one time that he has seen the handgun in my hand. He has never! He is doing this to get my daughter out of my life, precisely.

FYI About a week before we had our court meeting, I spoke to David (your ex). He said when you and Steven first broke up, you started texting and calling him trying to get back with him. Does this mean Steven is forever your second choice? He also mentioned the issue with Alex Rodriguez. But the bottom line here is that David clearly said that I treated him wonderfully and it was truly a sad day when we said good-bye for the last time. I honestly wish you were marrying David, or someone like him. He is awesome.

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

On June 7th, 2021 I sent an email to the attorney representing Calvary Church. This is what it said:

Dear Mr. Lutz,

I have received the notice, have honored it and will continue to do so. I recently became aware of the allegations being made against me which are horrible and unfounded. Is there a possibility of presenting my side of this ordeal? If your client, Calvary Church, would be open to a meeting with me, it can be at a place and time of their choice. The only goal is to clear my name and I come in a spirit of peace and truth. The Bible says we should choose a good name rather than riches, and I would appreciate an opportunity to prove to your client the truth.

Respectfully,

Tim Hutchinson651-724-1325

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

From: david@lutzlawfirm.com

Tue, Jun 8, 12:29 PM

Tim,

I have advised my client not to meet and discuss due to the threat of litigation. My client has received and reviewed your written communication.

David A. Lutz

Lutz Law Firm

120 South 6th Street, Suite 1550

Minneapolis, MN 55402

612-424-2110

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Wed, Jun 9, 12:03 PM (3 days ago)

to david

David,

All along I've stated my desire to avoid any legal proceedings. Sad day when Christians cannot come together and resolve an issue. Attached is a letter I wrote to my daughter in response to a Restraining Order. Would appreciate you reviewing it and forwarding it to your client, Calvary Church. I'd like them to know these things, My goal has always been to have the truth told.

Regards,

Tim Hutchinson

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Tim Hutchinson <mrhutchinson@gmail.com>

Wed, Jun 9, 12:19 PM (3 days ago)

to David

P.S. In the attachment there are the names Jake and James. It would be helpful to know that Jake is engaged to my oldest daughter, and James is dating my youngest daughter. The church might also be interested in knowing that 2 of Brittany's 3 siblings won't attend the wedding, and no one from my side of the family will be there either. The reasons are the same; they are shocked at the lies Steven told about me.

Regards,

Tim Hutchinson

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

To date there has been no response.

June 11th, 2021. Brittany sends me a message through her mom to cancel her phone. We pay for her phone, car insurance and medical insurance. If they're trying to save money, why would they give up a free phone? Now Alex and Vanessa don't have her phone number. More isolation from the family!

Letter to Brittany (sent through her attorney) – August 22, 2021. Note: she never responded.

Hi Brittany,

Been a while and I wanted you to know that I think about you every day. Literally.

Sometimes I ask mom how your headaches are – truly feel badly that you have those so much. Wish there was something that could be done.

A goal of mine is to lose weight. There is a lady on Facebook who's always sharing pics of others who are losing a lot of weight. I mean, their transformation is incredible. So, I reached out to her and she let me know about the plan. Talked with your mom and she said that she'd join as well to be supportive of my goal.

After the first week I lost 7 lbs! Out of curiosity I looked up the ingredients to the pre-packaged foods we were eating. Turns out every meal has a lot of calcium in them.

That's bad for me due to being very prone to kidney stones (yikes!) So now am off the plan – however, your mom was/is losing weight and decided to remain on.

So, it worked out for her and I'm happy about that. I going to figure something else out – likely all salads and daily trips to the gym ?? Won't end up looking like a body-builder, but that's OK, I just want to look in the mirror and be comfortable with how I look.

So, your mom and I have been discussing my retirement, and decided it can happen in November of 2022. It's going to be so weird waking up and not having to run off to a job. I've been working over 40 years now – most of it in the same industry. I hope things are worked out by then so my whole family can come to the retirement party. That would mean a lot! After retirement I'm going to increase the amount of volunteering I do and will likely start up again at the hospice. If I ever become a grandpa, I'll open up "Grandpa Day Care" for my grandkids (free of course!)

The ministry is getting closer to launching. My gosh, it's been a long time in the making, right?! But it WILL happen! I feel blessed that we hired a consulting firm – they have helped the ministry move forward by leaps and bounds. If things continue going forward at the pace they're on now, the launch could happen as soon as next month. Otherwise, October would be the absolute latest. Just thinking about all the people this ministry will reach for Christ quite literally gets me teary-eyed. This has been at the forefront of my daily efforts for months and months. When it finally happens, I'll be overcome with joy!!

Once the ministry is launched, there is something I've been wanting to do for a long time; fill out the "My Dad – in his own words" book you gave me. It sits on a ledge in front of my desk, and I look at it every day. If you ever have children, I hope you'll let them read it. I want them to know how much I love my kids, and how much joy they brought into my life, despite the challenges.

That's it for now. Going back to copywriting and moving through the action steps my coach gave me.

Love you,

Dad

September 2021.

I contacted the attorney who represented Steven and Brittany in the restraining order to see if he was still representing them. This is the email exchange we had:

Hello Mr. Van Loh,

Checking to see if you are still representing Steven Anderson? I ask because I would like to give him a chance to settle our disagreement. Starting in October I will begin searching for an attorney. Once I hire legal representation, this window of opportunity will be closed.

Thank you for your attention to this matter,

Tim Hutchinson

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Tim, Thank you for your email. Yes, I am still representing Steven Anderson. I appreciate your reaching out to me in an attempt to settle your disagreement. Can you please clarify for me which disagreement you wish to settle with Steven so that I can apprise him accordingly? What is your proposal for resolution or request of Steven?

I look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you,

Dan

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Hello Mr. Van Loh,

My goal is a relationship with my daughter Brittany, and healing for broken relationships in my family; most notably her siblings that do not have any contact with her. As a father, this is heartbreaking to see.

Arbitration seems like a reasonable move. Right now, mediation would not offer a resolution I'd be happy with – I need accountability for past actions. At this time I cannot simply "kiss-and-makeup". My family, Brittany included, deserves to know whether Steven's accusations against me are accurate or not.

If he/they are agreeable to arbitration, then I further suggest we split the cost of the arbitration services. If the ruling is in my favor, then they should request the court to dismiss the restraining order, so Brittany and I can go to counseling to try and heal our relationship.

At this time I am not open to having a relationship with Steven. If things work out to where Brittany and I are back on speaking terms, then I can be sociable with Steven, but nothing more.

Awaiting their response,

Tim Hutchinson

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Tim,

Thank you for the explanation. I'm not sure that reconciliation of a father-daughter relationship for and adult daughter is something that can be arbitrated. The accusations to which you refer have not been

adjudicated because you agreed to accept the HRO without a hearing and without admitting anything. Hence, there is no public sharing of the allegations, unless you have shared Steven and Brittany's affidavits with others, otherwise the allegations remain between you and them. On this note, can you please verify that you have removed the public website that contained your disparaging comments about Steven? This was required as part of the HRO.

I'm sorry that I cannot offer a better solution, Tim. I'm sure this is difficult. I'm happy to speak with an attorney who contacts me on your behalf, but there is simply not a legal process through the HRO to force relational reconciliation with an adult child. This is not to say that there is never a hope for healing and reconciliation, just not through the legal process.

Thank you,

Dan

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Mr. Van Loh,

The website you are referring to was offline before the conclusion of the HRO hearing. In fact, I had already notified the hosting company of my intent to cancel hosting prior to being originally served.

My intent in reaching out to you was to see if there was a way to handle this situation without my retaining a lawyer and suing Steven. Once I proceed in that direction there is no turning back, and it will become a publicly known matter. I firmly maintain that I am a victim and am innocent of all accusations of Steven. It is only out of a desire to peacefully restore a relationship with my daughter that I extend this one-time offer to settle things before hiring an attorney.

Regards,

Tim,

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

What are the damages that you are alleging and what is the legal basis for any claims? Are you just referring to the allegations in Steven's HRO petition because these allegations were repeated by Brittany as well. I'm still trying to understand what you feel that Steven has done that can be remedied for me to see if there is a solution.

Can you clarify this for me?

Dan,

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

As for the damages, let's start with the relationship between Brittany and I. Next there is the wedding I did not get to attend, and did not walk my daughter down the aisle. There's also the suicide attempt for which I ended up in the hospital. Other relationships have been affected, and so has my job. There have been other deep impacts on myself as well. This has been a living nightmare. And all as a result of Steven's lies.

The allegations in Steven's HRO are a lie. They are repeated in Brittany's because it appears they were copied from Steven's. At one point in Brittany's HRO it refers to "Brittany and I…" I'm convinced Brittany went along blindly with this and doesn't really know what the HRO says.

Let me be perfectly clear; I fully intend to take him to court and sue for damages resulting from his lies. It is only because I am a peaceful person that this opportunity to handle things outside a courtroom is being presented. With all due respect to you, sir, I really don't want to go back-and-forth through email with you like this. Either they want an opportunity to resolve this between us, or they are sticking with their story and not willing to move forward towards a resolution.

Thank you,

Tim Hutchinson

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Tim,

Thank you for the clarification. I will discuss your proposal with Steven and get back to you. I just needed to be sure what the legal issues are so that I can advise him. Feel free to check back with me next week if I don't get back to you by next Thursday.

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Mr. Van Loh,

Thank you. Hope you have an enjoyable weekend.

Kind regards,

Tim

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Mr. Van Loh,

Checking to see if there are any updates?

Tim

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Tim,

I apologize for my delayed response. I've discussed your invitation to arbitrate with Steven and, without a valid legal issue to resolve, I don't see arbitration as helpful or beneficial. I'm aware of your threats to sue Steven which appear to contradict your hope of reconciliation with your daughter, Brittany, as hurting Steven will hurt Brittany which would most assuredly undermine or end any future hope of reconciliation.

Thank you,

Dan

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Mr. Van Loh,

No valid legal issue? There has been documented slander and libel which has resulted in personal tort. The allegations in the restraining order are blatant lies, and that rises to the level of perjury.

All along Steven has not offered any potential resolutions; none whatsoever – he's only said no to my suggestions, or ignored them. It seems clear to me that he does not want this resolved. Why? Is it because he's afraid of the truth coming out?

As far as undermining or ending any future hope of reconciliation; I am offended that you and/or Steven would pretend to know more than I what's best for Brittany. I'm her dad. I co-created her, raised her, gave up my heart, mind and soul to help her in any way that I ever could as a father. I was there for her 100%, all of the time and she means more to me than anything in this life. We were like 2-peas-in-a-pod until Steven began telling her horrible lies. My singular goal is for the truth to come out, so my daughter sees I am not the person Steven has wrongly convinced her of. I've tried settling this peacefully many times and Steven has put no effort at all into a resolution. If Steven is hurt in the process, then that's on him.

If I have to sue him, then that's only because he has refused every other suggestion that I've made, all while not offering any possibilities to resolve this. Brittany will eventually learn the truth – and that makes it all worth while. A lawsuit, however, is not my next move.

It's coming – soon. I will never give up on my daughter. Not ever! The truth WILL come out.

Tim

P.S. My other family members do not believe the lies Steven has told either – and they are supportive of my efforts to expose the truth.

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Tim,

I appreciate the reply and explanation. We'll have to agree to disagree on your understanding of what constitutes libel and slander. Understanding that I represent your daughter Brittany and cannot give you legal advice, I encourage you to give some thought to whether you are missing the opportunity to understand Brittany's perspective through this process.

That said, I do not think that any further dialogue on this issue will be helpful. I remind you of the terms of the HRO given your comment/threat that "a lawsuit, however, is not my next move." Per the HRO, you are to refrain from direct and indirect contact with both Steven and Brittany. Hence, both direct and indirect attempts of communication or behavior with the intention of reaching or impacting Steven and Brittany may be considered a violation of the HRO which may then have criminal penalties for any violations. On behalf of both Steven and Brittany, I am requesting that you refrain from any attempts to communicate with them.

I reiterate my comments that your threats of lawsuits or "other moves" undermine your efforts for future reconciliation with Brittany. She needs a break and respectfully requests that you respect her wishes, including with your threatened legal attacks against Steven.

Thank you,

Dan

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

In another, final email from Dan Van Loh he stated that Steven does not want reconciliation with me.

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Here is an update to what's been happening.

Let's start by going over a couple of things from the first HRO…

Brittany and Steven's attorney, Dan Van Loh, told me that if I contested the first HRO and won, that would have a serious impact on my daughter's ability to obtain employment or continue going overseas as a volunteer. She is an RN and has traveled to different countries to help others.

This is important to her, I am very proud of her for doing this, and it would be devastating to her if she could not continue doing so. Mr. Van Loh said that if I contested this matter and proved their claims were false (which they are), then anyone who did a background check would see that my daughter had filed false documents with the court and would not hire her and allow her to do any more overseas

volunteering. (He later recanted this in an email stating that this would all remain private unless I let someone know.)

He told me that it was "a tough day" for a dad and that he could understand because his own daughter was getting married in only a few months. He also let me know that I was doing the right thing by accepting this HRO without contesting it. Go ahead and check out when his daughter got married - I mean, how else would I know this?

Based upon what he said to me, I agreed to the 2-year HRO uncontested and without finding of fact.

I also agreed to take down a private journal I had online. This private journal is where I documented the events that had happened since Steven Anderson began manipulating my daughter.

It is important to note that this journal was absolutely private and I only invited Steven over one time to show him that I had all this information and would make it public if he did not stop creating problems and agree to make peace with me. All I wanted was peace in my family and to have a relationship with my daughter.

He responded by copying the entire private journal and using it as evidence against me.

When I told his attorney, Mr. Van Loh it was a private online journal, he responded, and I quote; "That's what pen and paper are for." So, he clearly knew this was not a public website. I even explained that this journal was coded so the search engines would not list it in their search results.

And nowhere in this journal are there any threats against Steven or my daughter.

Almost 2 years went by and I was waiting for the HRO to expire to see how things could be resolved. During the last few months my sister decided to help by organizing a peaceful protest at the church. I initially said no, but then agreed if it was peaceful and I was not involved. The idea here was to get them to agree to oversee mediation. I sent the following letter to Steven through his attorney.

Steven,

May 27th is almost here. Then the HRO will expire, and you will quickly realize that your lies are going to be exposed. I have plans, including protests at the church. I feel bad for them being dragged into this mess, however, they failed to do a proper investigation.

So, this is on them not me. I have the banners ordered and have family / friends who are going to protest the church every week – both locations. There's not been one person in the past 2 years who has told me they believe any of the bullshit lies you've told. Now we get to expose you.

If you have a suggestion on how to resolve this otherwise, you better speak up now. Once my plan goes into action, there is no stopping or turning back. This is your one and only chance to get ahead of this. Just don't waste my me with stupid self-centered ideas.

In the words of Janis Joplin; "Freedom is just another word for nothing left to lose."

There is one question you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out?

Tick-tock,

Mr. Timothy Hutchinson

The result was Brittany and Steven filed for an extension of the HRO, on an "emergency basis", as if they were both in imminent danger for their lives.

Part of their claim is that I was a CIA assassin and they have concerns I would kill them both and harm hundreds of children at a church. These are outrageous lies and they have no evidence or witnesses for this claim. In fact, they do not have any witnesses or evidence against me at all which would show that I am a threat to them, or to anyone. Because in reality, I am a peaceful person and the victim of lies.

My plan now was to ask for a trial and bring out the facts there. So, I asked my sister to not hold any protests, and she agreed.

When I asked for a trial, their lawyer, Mr. Van Loh, and the judge referee both stated and agreed that nothing from the first HRO would be allowed as evidence in the trial.

I submitted my evidence to the state collection system and sent a listing of this evidence to their attorney. Afterwards, I followed up with an email to their attorney's office, and the response was they had received it. What I did not realize at the time was that I should have also emailed the evidence to their attorney along with the list of items. They could have mentioned this, but they chose not to. I mistakenly assumed that they would access it through the state evidence system.

During the trial, one of the first things that happened was the Judge Referee berated me in front of all present for my failure to send the evidence to their attorney. She spoke harshly towards me, and in a disrespectful manner that I did not deserve.

I was also found to be in violation of the first HRO because I shared my side of the story with 5 members of my immediate family, in private. These included my wife, 3 children (not Brittany though) and my only living brother, Steve. To avoid having to tell my story repeatedly, I made a video and shared it with to them on a HIDDEN web page that was additionally password protected. Clearly I did not want this video to be public. Once those 5 people saw the video I took it down. Somehow Brittany became aware of its existence.

In the court filing for extension of the HRO (which again was made on an emergency basis - literally for no reason at all other than dramatic effect) it mentioned the video and said they could provide a transcript if needed.

This was clearly an attempt to make it seem as though the video had illegal or violent content. Nothing could be further from the truth. Their statement, like many others, was intended to be misleading. Some of those who watched the video came to me afterwards and said this confirmed they were right to believe me and not the lies Steven was telling. Their words, not mine.

The video was not shown in court nor was a transcript presented, yet the Judge Referee said this was in violation of the HRO without knowing any of the content. She stated in the HRO extension that I had created this video (which is true) and shared it with Brittany (not true!), and so was in violation of the original HRO.

This is not only false, but no one in the hearing made this claim. The Judge Referee, made this up without any evidence and issued a ruling that was harmful to me. All without evidence or testimony.

During the trial their attorney presented their only piece of evidence, and that was my private journal that Steven had obtained through a violation of copyright laws. Their attorney then began questioning me at length about it. I did not know nor understand at that time that I could have refused to answer his questions.

Further, this private journal was included as evidence in the first HRO filing, and therefore should not have been allowed. But then, what other evidence do they have? None, really. But still, they went against a court ruling and the judge referee said nothing. They were basically given a free pass to do whatever they wanted.

When it was my turn, I introduced a few witnesses, who were spoken to harshly by their attorney, who also objected to questions I asked. Clearly this was not about the truth coming out. This was purely a seek and destroy mission for their attorney, and the judge went along with it, keeping step with whatever their attorney wanted to do. Any time he objected, it was sustained. Any and all of my objections were immediately overruled.

One of my witnesses was my sister, Sunnie. I informed the court that she just a few months prior had suddenly and unexpectedly lost her only daughter. I asked if she could read a statement as part of her testimony. That request was denied. I want you all to know what she was going to say, so I will read it here for the first time - and just know this is only some of the information the court never heard.

Here is her statement,

Good morning your honor. I am here today to make a statement in support of my brother, Tim Hutchinson. I have known him all of my life, which is now more than 50 years. Nothing in these court filings comes even close to who my brother really is.

To begin, I will share with you about my only daughter, Jessica. Several months ago she suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Children should bury their parents, not the other way around. This was one of the most devastating things I've experienced in my life. In that time of enormous grief, my brother Tim rushed to my side and remained there as a steady rock for me to hold onto. Without him I don't even know how I would have made it through all of this.

My brother Tim and I are close, and we talk on the phone at least 3 or 4 times a week. At least once a week during our calls, as Tim will be talking about his daughter Brittany, and how much he loves her and wants to see her, he will cry. Not just a little, but actually sobbing. He is truly hurt by these terrible lies about him.

I know my brother had contacted several attorneys looking for a way to resolve this matter outside of a court proceeding - he wanted this to be settled peacefully and privately as a family should. His desire was for this family to come together and resolve this using mediators. The attorneys told him that it was unlikely that Steven would voluntarily agree to this, and that going to the church he worked at would be the best solution. Especially since Steven had previously talked with the church about doing mediation.

My brother had said that he tried contacting the church several times previously and they wouldn't agree to any mediation or investigation. He said he wasn't sure what the next steps were and that he was going to wait until the restraining order expires and see if they can move forward with coming together as a family and working through this.

Because we are family, and especially that he helped me so much when my daughter died, I wanted to find a way to help ease his pain. My thought was to try and get the church to agree to do an investigation or at least mediate this matter. So I contacted family members and friends to see if they would help with a protest at the church. Every person said yes, they absolutely believe Tim is innocent of these outrageous claims.

I then called Tim and explained what we were ready to do. He said no, don't do that. Just wait. As he has said all along, we should practice peace and calm - love and forgiveness. I waited a few days and asked him again. He still said no, don't do anything. So I went online and looked up laws concerning peaceful protests. From that I made a list and told others that if we did this that we would only remain on public property, act peacefully 100% of the time, and if approached by anyone we would be polite and courteous. This was always intended to be a peaceful protest to help my brother, not a riot.

I called my brother a third time and explained how we would act. He agreed and said that everyone there must promise to act in a calm and peaceful manner. My brother also said that he would not attend

these protests, due to the lies about him being a danger to the church. He then sent an email to the church's attorney requesting they do an investigation, stating if they didn't there would be a protest. They declined his request. He also sent an email to Steven, through his attorney letting him know what the plan was. He also offered Steven an opportunity to share what ideas he had about how to resolve this. The response was to file another restraining order against my brother.

Tim said he is going to ask for a trial, and that I should call off the protests. So I cancelled them. It's a sad day when a court needs to get involved in order for the truth to come out between family members.

Your honor, I have never heard my brother say anything about being violent or do anything illegal. Instead, he has encouraged his family to remain peaceful and calm, and to act with love and forgiveness. I'm not sure if Tim wants me to say anything about this, but Steven had approached my brother asking him to send him money to help with living expenses. My brother said yes, absolutely. And he has been sending this help every month now for the past 2 and a half years. Even though they say horrible lies about him, he still helps. That's who my brother really is - not some demon crawling out of the pit of hell as they want you to believe.

Our family looks up to Tim and respects him greatly. And we stand beside him 100% because we know who he really is.

Thank you, your honor for the opportunity to come here today and speak this truth on behalf of a great man, husband, father, brother, uncle and American citizen.

Despite being denied a chance for her to read that statement, I was able to ask her questions about it and she answered truthfully.

When Mr. Van Loh asked my sister questions I felt as though he was being disrespectful, especially knowing that he daughter had passed away only a few months prior.

Here is a letter I was going to read after my sister read that letter – but of course none of this was allowed….

//////////////////////////////////////////////////

Your Honor,

What you just heard from my sister is a small example of the lies that have been told about me by Brittany and Steven. They take everything to the extreme worst case scenario then have their attorney file it requesting an emergency hearing. No emergency exists. All of the first and current filing for an HRO are fabrications, exaggerations, distortions and outright lies. I have the facts, evidence and witnesses to prove this, including my entire family who is tired of seeing me being bullied by these two.

My life these past few years has been like living under a microscope as they lay waiting for anything they can hyper-inflate into something nefarious.

I won't go into many details and will save that for trial, but would like to address two things;

My heart…. Why is this even in the HRO filing, is it because they don't have any real evidence? It seems this is all part of an elaborate smear campaign against me.

The gun….He escalated this simple event all the way up to where I am now supposedly a threat to 1,000 people? Based upon what evidence?

If they are so afraid of me…and there are 1,000 people at their church in danger, including hundreds of children…why haven't the police been notified?? Someone who is as dangerous as they allege I am should be reported to the authorities. But they didn't. I say it's because they know there would be an investigation and that would prove they are lying.

Same with their false claims of harassment. For example: where are the phone records?

How about he show phone records to prove his claim I was making an increasing number of harassing calls to him? In my life I've only called him 6 times. None of them were harassing. Two of them were to arrange for him to come borrow a ring from us so he could propose to Brittany. One was about some fundraising I was helping him with. Another was to ask if he wanted to hang out. One was to offer him some advice, the same advice my father-in-law gave me shortly before I married his daughter. Only one of those calls was to ask a simple question about their wedding. And Brittany's mom not only knew about this call, she is the one who asked me to make it. That call was very peaceful and uneventful. Where is the harassment? In reality, your honor, the phone records would show he was the one calling me. And he would say things like "you don't deserve a relationship with your daughter" and if I want Brittany in my life then I need to submit to him. He also said something similar to that last statement in an email which I will show at trial.

I look forward to the truth coming out during a trial. And I ask that no HRO be issued at this time. Please wait for the evidence to be presented at trial. Brittany and Steven are literally attempting to use this court to validate their lies.

Thank you for your time.

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

I had a few other witnesses, but again soon felt as though I could not really ask them to share the truth because of concern the attorney would treat them as he did my sister. So, I decided it would be best for myself to state the truth and spare my witnesses from being mistreated by their attorney.

As soon as I said that I was done presenting witnesses and would testify myself, the Judge ended the proceedings and said Mr. Van Loh and myself could make brief closing remarks. I was stunned and felt as though this proceeding was completely unfair. Their attorney asked for the HRO extension to be for life - meaning I could never see or speak to my daughter again. He also called my witnesses liars.

I was literally not allowed to defend himself, nor ask for a referral to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office.

The HRO extension was granted for another 2-years for my daughter and 10-years for Steven.

All because of lies told by Steven, their attorney acting in an unethical manner, and a Judge Referee that clearly did not care about truth, justice or my family.

Let's be clear, the only so-called "evidence" they had was a copy of my private journal, which was mostly a compilation of letters and emails Steven, Brittany and I had written each other as well as a chronological listing of events that occurred. Nowhere in this private journal are there any threats of any type.

That was all the evidence they had, and their only witnesses were themselves and me - and again I was not even informed that I did not have to testify. Had I been told that, then I would not have testified at all for them.

As far as them being witnesses, I can absolutely prove that Steven lied, and Brittany went along blindly with whatever he told her to say. Why else is it in the filing of the first HRO that she refers to herself in the third person, and has even told her mom that she copied and pasted most of that filing from Steven. I doubt she even knows what it says!

Their allegations are lies and hyper-exaggerations. For example:

Prior to the first HRO being issued, I called Steven to ask how he was doing. In the HRO he stated that I was making an increasing number of harassing phone calls. For what? Asking how he was doing one time?

One day he called me and said that I was a horrible father - that Brittany deserved better - and also said that if I wanted a relationship with her, then I would have to submit to him and allow him to control us.

I have an email from him stating something similar, where he says that if I care about my daughter then I will "Lay down everything"... which basically means the same thing: Submit.

I went to his apartment to let him know that he was loved and forgiven for the things he had done. In the court filing he stated that I had "gained access" to his apartment building, making it sound as though I had snuck in like some kind of a thief. When in fact, I had simply walked through the front door like anyone else does.

The same day I also went to Brittany's apartment to bring her flowers and tell her that she is loved. She was home but did not open the door. I later learned that she was afraid to answer the door. How can it be that the last time I saw her she hugged me...and the last thing she ever said to me was, and I quote, "Dad, I love you so, so, so, so much!" Then Steven convinced her to stop talking to me so they could "focus on their relationship."

Now suddenly, she is afraid of me? Without any other contact whatsoever with me at all after the hug and what she said to me?

This whole scenario screams MANIPULATION!! Even her mom says this is what Steven is doing.

And do the rest of my family. They all think the same.

Another time he called me, and during our conversation I only asked if we were going to be able to meet his parents before the wedding. In the HRO filing he stated that I was taking issue with their wedding plans.

Ummm….how?? That is literally not the truth at all.

One time while at my house he saw my handgun, in a holster, in a room other than the one he was in, and in fact never entered during that visit. He only saw it from a distance in another part of my house. And from that, he claims I am a CIA assassin who wants to kill him, kill my daughter, and bring harm to hundreds children at a church.

It is not typical at all for me to leave that gun out. Not at all! In reality I had left that out at the request of my wife, because she asked to see it. It had absolutely nothing to do with Steven……this is just another example of how hyper-exaggerated all their claims are.

See what I have had to put up with?

Again, I had all the witnesses and evidence that would have exposed these terrible lies about me. But none of it was allowed. How is that justice?

They had nothing and the only reason they prevailed was because of lies and apparent corruption.

It is my opinion they saw the evidence that I uploaded to the state's evidence system and were aware that one piece of evidence was contact info for the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office, along with a case number that had already been assigned from when I presented to them the evidence I have on this case. So, it is reasonable to assume they knew that I would be asking for a referral for this to the Sheriff's Office for a criminal investigation.

So how could they stop me?

Well, by not allowing evidence,

calling witnesses liars,

and by not allowing me to testify on my own behalf.

And that is exactly what they did.

How can any reasonable person call that justice??

During the trial I was having a hard time focusing on asking questions of my own witnesses or even defending myself - I mostly sat there experiencing incredible sadness anytime I looked at my daughter during the zoom call. It was heart-wrenching and I was clearly having a difficult time defending or even representing myself - and this should have been obvious to the judge, who did nothing to help bring forth the truth or facts of this case.

And their attorney clearly seemed to enjoy this and went into attack mode.

This was not about justice - this was not about the truth - this was all about attack and destroy, even if it meant abandoning the truth completely and throwing all decency as well as the ultimate importance and inviolability of the family unit out the proverbial window.

This is not how a court or an agent of a court should act, not at all. It is my firm belief they aided and abetted a person involved in criminal acts, who cunningly attacked a good, decent American family. An attack that resulted in a divorce after 31 years of marriage, a family home being stripped away after 24 years, a father-daughter relationship being destroyed after 22 wonderful years. And more heartbreak than I have ever known in my life.

Prior to Steven entering the picture I had am amazing relationship with all my children, and especially with Brittany. We were like 2 peas in a pod. And Steven ruined that through lies and manipulation.

So, considering the role their attorney and the judge referee had in how the system failed to protect the innocent, I have filed a complaint against their attorney with the Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility. That has now been assigned to the Hennepin County Bar Association Ethics Committee and at the time of this recording are awaiting Mr. Van Loh's response.

A complaint has also been filed against the Judge Referee with the Board of Judicial Standards. I am waiting to hear back from them.

Pending the outcome of these investigations, I plan to file an appeal in the appellate court seeking a new trial, and subsequent referral to the Sheriff's Office for a criminal investigation.

All I have ever wanted throughout this process was for the truth to be told, so I can have a relationship with my daughter.

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

After the trial I filed a complaint against both the judge and the attorney.

The complaint against the judge was filed with Board on Judicial Standards (651-296-3999) and the complaint against the attorney was filed with the Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility (800-657-3601).

This is the complaint I filed:

To whom it may concern,

I am sending the following information to initiate a complaint against Judge Referee Elizabeth Clydesdale (Ramsey County, MN) and Attorney Dan Van Loh (from the law firm Capistrant Van Loh). I am including information about both in the same document as the complaints against each stem from the same incident(s) / occurrences. The purpose of this complaint is to have these individuals held accountable for their actions pertaining to and surrounding Harassment Restraining Orders 62-HR-CV-21-362 and 62-HR- CV-21-361.

Important Background Information.

My name is Tim Hutchinson. I am a 63-year-old man who was with my wife over 31 years. We enjoyed a good life together. That is, until along came a man named Steven Anderson, who works at a Calvary church in Roseville. He began manipulating our daughter (Brittany) soon after dating her. She broke up with him and told me it was because Steven was "too controlling." She met with him again and he "shared his heart" and told her it was because of his upbringing that he was not able to properly express himself. Because of this she began dating him again. And is also the reason my family and I gave him a wide swath of grace and patience. Turns out he took total advantage of that and in the process has caused tremendous damage to our family, and we are now experiencing unimaginable pain. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close). He has her convinced, through lies, that I am a horrible person.

My daughter is an RN who works on a Covid unit at a Twin Cities hospital, and I've not seen or spoken to her since February 2021.

I've asked the church where he works to help mediate this, and they responded by having their lawyer tell me to stay off their property and to not communicate with them. This is because of the lies he told them.

My daughter’s boyfriend had convinced her to file a restraining order against me based upon lies. Afterwards, they married, and I was not allowed at the wedding and did not walk my daughter down the aisle. The first HRO was in

2021. When it was set to expire they filed for an extension, and was granted that. All based upon lies and improper court proceedings.

As a direct result of the lies he told about me, my wife and I divorced and we sold our house where we lived for the past 24 years.

Steven Anderson was able to get away with this, in large part because his attorney lied multiple times, and because the court referee acted improperly. I am now filing a complaint against both.

Summary of complaints,

Reasons attorney Dan Van Loh is being reported;

Before I agreed to accept the first HRO, Mr. Van Loh told me that if I fought back and won the HRO it would show up on Brittany's background check and could affect her employment and future missionary efforts. This turned out to be a lie.

I told him before the first HRO hearing a website was not for the public, but instead was a private journal, and the only person I invited to see it was his client, Steven Anderson. He later falsely claimed it was a public website set up to defame Steven. He later used this false narrative to request an emergency hearing for an extension of the HRO.

He suggested, and the court referee agreed, that no information or evidence from the first HRO be allowed at the trial for the second HRO. But then my private journal was introduced as evidence as a public website and became the bulk of their claim against me.

He went along with all of the blatantly false accusations against me and threw reasoning out the window by pushing forward with their hateful and hyper-exaggerated claims.

Any time I attempted to find ways to reconcile matters, Mr. Van Loh would state in an email that my continued efforts to work things out with my daughter were only pushing her away. He intentionally made things worse and much more difficult for me to restore peace and harmony in my family.

He claimed I was a liar and so was my sister (who had just lost her only daughter, suddenly and unexpectedly. I mentioned this before she was brought into the virtual courtroom to testify.)

Reasons Judge Referee Elizabeth Clydesdale is being reported;

When it was my turn to present my testimony, she stopped the proceedings and did not allow me to present any evidence or personal testimony to refute the claims of the plaintiff. In short; I was denied my opportunity to testify.

She allowed information to be presented that she previously said would not be allowed.

Allowed their attorney to speak harshly about my witnesses without any evidence to prove his statements.

Did not explain that I was not obligated to answer any of their attorneys' questions.

Was not there to seek truth and ultimately justice, but instead allowed their attorney to do whatever he please, despite it being obvious I was unable to defend myself.

When I made an honest mistake of providing evidence in an improper way before the trial, she harshly berated me in front of the others present, including my daughter.

Facts;

Either prior to, or during the first HRO hearing their attorney, Dan Van Loh told me that if I contested this matter and won, that would have a serious impact on my daughter's ability to obtain employment or continue going overseas as a volunteer. She is an RN and has traveled to different countries to help others. This is important to her, and it would be devastating if she could not continue doing so. Mr. Van Loh said that if I contested this matter and proved their claims were false (which they are), then anyone who did a background

check would see that my daughter had filed false documents with the court and would not hire her and allow her to do any more overseas volunteering.

Based upon that I agreed to the 2-year HRO uncontested and without finding of fact. I also agreed to take down a private journal I had online. This private journal is where I documented the events that had happened since Steven Anderson began manipulating my daughter. I am including a copy along with this letter and other evidence to show it is completely innocent. It is important to note that this journal was absolutely private and I only invited Steven over one time to show him that I had all this information and would make it public if he did not stop his manipulation and agree to make peace with me. All I wanted was peace in my family and to have a relationship with my daughter.

He copied the entire private journal and used it as evidence against me. When I told his attorney, Mr. Van Loh it was a private online journal, he responded, "That's what pen and paper are for."

Nowhere in this journal are there any threats against Steven or my daughter. Here is the text from the home page of this private journal

1 Peter 5:8 "...Your enemy the devil prowls around like a roaring lion looking for someone to devour."

and;

John 10:10 "The thief [Satan] comes only to steal and kill and destroy..."

This website is the true story of how one person told evil lies to ruin a great father- daughter relationship. What's even more shocking is that person works at Calvary Church; his name is Steven Anderson - director of youth services.

When the father (Tim Hutchinson) asked Calvary to assist in rectifying the problem, the church had their attorney tell him to not communicate with them, and to stay off their property. Clearly Satan is causing a division based upon lies. This website is being put up to not only expose the lies of the devil, but to also as a call to prayer for Calvary and Steven Anderson, who caused so much heartache.

The dad had become so distraught that he attempted suicide. The response from Steven Anderson and the church, was nothing. No signs of compassion at all. No reaching out to see how he was doing - no offer to pray with him - absolutely nothing at all.

Steven then had a restraining order put in place against that father, and married his daughter. The father could not attend the wedding, or walk his daughter down the aisle.

Despite all of this, the father made 11 attempts at settling things peacefully. Neither the church nor Steven will communicate with him, at all.

This website is a humble request for prayer - a plea for mighty warriors of God to call upon the heavens to intervene - to strike down evil influences and to lift up the broken! For restoration of the relationship that was stolen from the father. For wisdom in the church so they may have a heart more like Christ's - and for healing of Steven's mind so he may awaken and realize that evil has been influencing his decisions, to repent, and come back to the Lord.

Here's some information from the father...

Dear brothers and sisters in Christ, my name is Tim Hutchinson. I am a 60-year-old man, and have been with my wife for 32 years. Thus far we've enjoyed an amazing life together. That is, until along came a man who works at a church in MN. He began manipulating my daughter soon after dating her. Now my family and I are experiencing unimaginable pain. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close).

He has her convinced (through lies) that I am a horrible person.

My daughter is an RN who works on a Covid unit at a Twin Cities hospital, and I've not seen or spoken to her since February, 2021.

I've asked the church where he works at to help mediate this, and they responded by having their lawyer tell me to stay off their property and to not communicate with them. I later learned that at least some of the negative actions against me were likely the result of advice given by counselors at the church.

My daughters boyfriend had convinced her to file a restraining order against me based upon lies. Afterwards, they married and I was not allowed at the wedding and did not walk my daughter down the aisle.

As you will see below I have this situation well documented.

I have been hurt at a profound level; first, the relationship between my daughter and I; the wedding I did not get to attend and did not walk my daughter down the aisle; the suicide attempt; other relationships have been affected, and so has my job. There have been other deep impacts on myself as well. I have become socially withdrawn. This has been a living nightmare. And all as a result of lies. Evil lies which I believe are due to a monster inside of the church and

influencing Steven Anderson. A monster known as Satan. Please, join me in prayer against the evil among us, and for restoration of that which was stolen from me!"

The only thing other than the home page on this private journal, was the journal itself – which again is where I documented the events that happened, including emails, phones and text messages we sent each other. That journal is included in this complaint as a separate file.

I took the journal offline during the HRO hearing process. Later, during the filing of the second HRO, Mr. Van Loh would claim this was a "public website" and again try to use this against me.

[Note: there are several times in the above text which refers to my journal as a "website" – that is there in case I made the private journal public, which I never did.]

During the two-year period of the first HRO I sent my daughter letters through her attorney, Mr. Van Loh, as allowed by the HRO. These letters were infrequent and just letting her know that I love her and some updates. At one point in time, I asked Mr. Van Loh to discuss the possibility of reconciliation with Steven. Because of the upsetting nature of my not being able to see or speak with my daughter, I had mentioned the possibility of a lawsuit against Steven. Mr. Van Loh's response was, in part; "…hurting Steven will hurt Brittany which would most assuredly undermine or end any future hope of reconciliation." He also went on to say in another email that Steven does not want to reconcile with me.

As documented in the private journal, I tried 15 times to make peace with Steven, and he refused every attempt.

I did not violate the terms of the first HRO and was waiting for it to expire so I could once again find a way to have a relationship with my daughter. During those two years my family was asking me questions about the HRO and how things happened. It was upsetting for me to keep talking about it, so my idea was to have my youngest daughter interview me and make a video of that.

Which we did. I then created a private web page, without links coming into or out of that page (you literally had to know the exact web page address to find it). I then password protected that page and uploaded the 93-minute video

and shared the link and password to five of my immediate family members. Once they had watched it, I took that page down. During the time it was up (only a few days) someone shared the link and password with my daughter, Brittany. She showed it to her husband Steven, who then planned to use it against me in filing for an extension of the HRO. You can view this video in its entirety by copying and pasting this private link into your browser:

https://youtu.be/ZbYLElTAwO0

The above video is not available to the public. It is only available by my sharing the link above to certain individuals, including yourself for the purpose of filing a complaint.

It is important to know at this point that in January of 2023 my niece suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Her mom is my youngest sister. I stood by her side throughout this terrible time in her life. Months later she decided to try and help me by offering to organize some peaceful protests at Calvary church where Steven works. Her goal was to get the church to agree to help move Steven towards mediation. Her intentions were pure and honest. Initially I said no to this. Eventually I agreed when there were assurances that this would be peaceful and with the understanding that I would not participate.

I sent a letter about this to Mr. Van Loh. Shortly afterwards I was served papers for an extension of the HRO, which was to soon expire. I planned to ask for a trial in the hopes that the truth could finally come out. I called my sister and explained this, asking her to stop the plans for a protest.

Part of their claim is that I was a CIA assassin and they have concerns I would harm (or murder) hundreds of children at a church. These are outrageous lies and they have no evidence or witnesses for this claim. In fact, they do not have any witnesses or evidence against me at all which would show that I am a threat to them, or to anyone. Because in reality, I am a peaceful person and the victim of lies. Except for the one daughter who was convinced to file an HRO against me, the entire rest of the family is on my side.

During the first hearing, I asked for a trial. Mr. Van Loh told Judge Referee Clydesdale that nothing from the first HRO should be allowed in this trial. She agreed.

Prior to the day of the trial, I submitted evidence through the Minnesota Digital Exhibit System. I also sent a list of the items submitted to their attorney, Mr.

Van Loh. When I did not hear back from him, I called his office. A woman there said that he was not available. She checked the email and said they had it and everything was fine.

On the day of the trial, I found out that I was also supposed to send the evidence to their attorney, and not just a list. I somehow thought they would be able to access it through the Minnesota Digital Exhibit System where I had uploaded it too. The Judge Referee spoke very harshly to me about this honest mistake. She mentioned that this matter would be concluded on that day but did not give any times or reference a length of time it would last. In the papers I was served it mentioned that this trial would last at least 3 hours.

One of the first things that happened was I was found to be in violation of the first HRO because I shared my side of the story with 5 members of my immediate family. To avoid having to tell my story repeatedly, I made a video (as previously mentioned) and shared with to them on a hidden web page that was additionally password protected. Once those 5 people saw the video I took it down. In the court filing for extension of the HRO (which was made on an emergency basis – literally for no reason at all other than dramatic effect) it mentioned the video and said they could provide a transcript if needed. This was clearly an attempt to make it seem as though the video had illegal or violent content. Nothing could be further from the truth. Their statement was intended to be misleading. Some of those who watched the video came to me afterwards and said this confirmed they were right to believe me and not the lies Steven was telling. The video was not shown in court nor was a transcript presented, yet the Judge Referee found this to be in violation of the HRO without knowing any of the content.

It should also be noted here that Brittany admitted to her mom that she did not write all of her HRO filing – Steven did and she just copied C pasted it. So

there remains the possibility that she does not even know what her HRO filing says.

During the trial their attorney questioned me at length about the private journal I had online previously. There was really nothing there that was a threat to anyone and seemed like a big waste of time. They had no evidence and no witnesses.

I believe the Judge Referee should have informed me that I was not under any obligation to answer Mr. Van Loh's questions and that I have a right to not submit to his questioning.

During the trial their attorney (Mr. Van Loh) acted rudely and was clearly not interested in truth and justice – this was purely a seek and destroy mission for him. It was almost as if he took pleasure in attacking a man who was clearly emotionally distraught, and the Judge Referee allowed this.

When it was my turn, I was able to call a few witnesses, and was told that I could not ask them questions about anything the Plaintiff's had not already introduced as evidence. This limited what I could do and felt like my opportunity to prove my innocence was being intentionally squashed. For example, I asked if my sister could read a statement. I explained that her daughter had passed away only a few months ago and she was still grieving. The Judge denied that request. Here is the statement she was going to read:

Good morning your honor. I am here today to make a statement in support of my brother, Tim Hutchinson. I have known him all of my life, which is now more than 50 years. Nothing in these court filings comes even close to who my brother really is.

To begin, I will share with you about my only daughter, Jessica. Several months ago she suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Children should bury their parents, not the other way around. This was one of the most devastating things I've experienced in my life. In that time of enormous grief, my brother Tim rushed to my side and remained there as a steady rock for me to hold onto. Without him I don't even know how I would have made it through all of this.

My brother Tim and I are close, and we talk on the phone at least 3 or 4 times a week. At least once a week during our calls, as Tim will be talking about his daughter Brittany, and how much he loves her and wants to see her, he will cry. Not just a little, but actually sobbing. He is truly hurt by these terrible lies about him.

I know my brother had contacted several attorneys looking for a way to resolve this matter outside of a court proceeding – he wanted this to be settled peacefully and privately as a family should. His desire was for this family to come together and resolve this using mediators. The attorneys told him that it was unlikely that Steven would voluntarily agree to this, and that going to the church he worked at would be the best solution. Especially since Steven had previously talked with the church about doing mediation.

My brother had said that he tried contacting the church several times previously and they wouldn't agree to any mediation or investigation. He said he wasn't sure what the next steps were and that he was going to wait until the restraining order expires and see if they can move forward with coming together as a family and working through this.

Because we are family, and especially that he helped me so much when my daughter died, I wanted to find a way to help ease his pain. My thought was to try and get the church to agree to do an investigation or at least mediate this matter. So I contacted family members and friends to see if they would help with a protest at the church. Every person said yes, they absolutely believe Tim is innocent of these outrageous claims.

I then called Tim and explained what we were ready to do. He said no, don't do that. Just wait. As he has said all along, we should practice peace and calm – love and forgiveness. I waited a few days and asked him again. He still said no, don't do anything. So I went online and looked up laws concerning peaceful protests. From that I made a list and told others that if we did this that we would only remain on public property, act peacefully 100% of the time, and if approached by anyone we would be polite and courteous. This was always intended to be a peaceful protest to help my brother, not a riot.

I called my brother a third time and explained how we would act. He agreed and said that everyone there must promise to act in a calm and peaceful

manner. My brother also said that he would not attend these protests, due to the lies about him being a danger to the church. He then sent an email to the church's attorney requesting they do an investigation, stating if they didn't there would be a protest. They declined his request. He also sent an email to Steven, through his attorney letting him know what the plan was. He also offered Steven an opportunity to share what ideas he had about how to resolve this. The response was to file another restraining order against my brother.

Tim said he is going to ask for a trial, and that I should call off the protests. So I cancelled them. It's a sad day when a court needs to get involved in order for the truth to come out between family members.

Your honor, I have never heard my brother say anything about being violent or do anything illegal. Instead, he has encouraged his family to remain peaceful and calm, and to act with love and forgiveness. I'm not sure if Tim wants me to say anything about this, but Steven had approached my brother asking him to send him money to help with living expenses. My brother said yes, absolutely. And he has been sending this help every month now for the past 2 and a half years. Even though they say horrible lies about him, he still helps. That's who my brother really is – not some demon crawling out the pit of hell as they want you to believe.

Our family looks up to Tim, and respects him greatly. And we stand beside him 100% because we know who he really is.

Thank you, your honor for the opportunity to come here today and speak this truth on behalf of a great man, husband, father, brother, uncle and American citizen.

Despite being denied a chance for her to read that statement, I was able to ask her questions about it and she answered truthfully. When it was Mr. Van Loh's turn he asked her some questions and then told the Judge that my sister was a liar. I had a few other witnesses, but again felt as though I could not ask them to share the real truth because of concern their attorney would only attack them, as he did my sister. So I decided it would be best for myself to state the truth. As soon as I said that I was done presenting witnesses and would testify myself, the Judge ended the proceedings and said Mr. Van Loh

and myself could make brief closing remarks. I was stunned and felt as though this proceeding was completely unfair and the result of a corrupt court. Their attorney asked for the HRO extension to be for life – meaning I could never again see or speak to my daughter again. He also called my witnesses liars.

In the end Steven got a 10-year extension and Brittany got another 2-years.

All because of lies told by Steven, his attorney acting in an unethical manner, and a Judge Referee that clearly did not care about truth, justice or my family.

Summary

In addition, even talking about this matter is emotionally upsetting. It took a long time for me to even begin to write this letter of complaint. Having someone that you love so dearly, being stripped out of your life because of a barrage of horrible lies is overwhelming. And to have that result in a failed marriage, loss of the family home, health problems and becoming depressed is unfathomable.

I am asking…no, begging for help with this. Please, let there be someone out there who still believes in truth and justice – someone in a position to help set the truth free. I am 100% certain that if the truth were told, then neither the original or the extension would have been granted. I would have a relationship with my daughter, my marriage would not have failed, we would still be in our family home, and my health would be better.

End notes:

Earlier in 2023 I contacted the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office and asked them to review this matter. I presented to them all the information that I have in as straightforward and honest way possible. Their response to me was that if I could have the Civil Court refer this matter to them, they would continue their investigation and likely arrest Steven. When I asked what, if anything, I had done wrong, they replied, "Nothing, you're a good, good father, sir." At the time I did not know if

there was to be another court hearing and had hoped the first HRO would expire, and we could work things out as a family. If that happened, then I would not have asked the court to refer this to law enforcement. However, when they filed for an extension, I decided to ask for this referral during the trial. I never had the opportunity to do so because I was not allowed to present my testimony.

I tried to hire a lawyer after the issuing of the HRO extension and spoke with several of them about an appeal. None would take the case because I had been found guilty of violating the original HRO. I tried to explain it was about the video, but they said it doesn't matter, it is still a violation. So, I decided to do something myself, and it seems my only recourse is to file this complaint. This would have been filed sooner, however there were several exacerbating events; a) I was divorced due to the stress of the lies in the HRO(s). b) Our family home was sold, and I became temporarily homeless. c) I face several health challenges, one of them is I now have heart disease. d) My youngest daughter became addicted to meth, and I rescued her. (She is now clean, stable and working as a supervisor at a well-known hotel chain.)

Attachments: the backstory. HRO court filings (first and extension).

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

I was then contacted by phone by Mr. David Hashmall who had further questions about my complaint against their attorney. He said that if I had any else to add that I could email him. Here is what I sent the following day;

Hello Mr. Hashmall,

Thank you for your time yesterday - I appreciate your efforts investigating this matter.

In addition to my comments on the phone, I would also like to add the following observation. It is interesting that Mr. Van Loh sent so many pages, when it seems he could have directly answered the question(s) in a page or two. It is also interesting that he begins by insinuating that I am the problem.

However, back in reality, I have actually never threatened or harassed either my daughter Brittany, or Steven. Not ever. Those are all lies. They have no witnesses or evidence. None. I have all the witnesses and all the evidence which proves Steven is a liar and a manipulator.

My entire family believes me, not just because I say so, but also because they have read the HRO and in places where Steven claims a certain event or events happened, they realized they were actually there and are saying the events that Steven described literally never happened or were altered to make me appear to be an aggressor. They have also had their own personal negative experience(s) with Steven. According to them, when Steven is not around Brittany he acts much differently. Sort of a Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde effect.

There is a reason 2 of 3 of Brittany's siblings did not attend her wedding, and neither did anyone from my side of the family. Some have had their own personal negative experience with Steven, some have caught him in lies, and others noticed the lack of evidence, witnesses, and credibility of Steven, and made their own decision.

So, it seems Steven is a manipulator, and he conned the church where he works at, and then got Mr. Van Loh involved. Since they have no witnesses or evidence, how did they get two consecutive HRO's against me? Through lies, calling my witnesses liars and by the judge referee not allowing my evidence and not allowing me to testify.

At one point in time, I had stated that if Steven wants to treat me like a game, then I will show him how it's played.

So, I took all of the evidence that I had and brought it to the Ramsey County Sheiff's Office. The end result is they told me that if I could get the Civil Court which issued the HRO to refer this matter to them, they would complete the criminal investigation and likely arrest Steven. It is important to note here that I presented ALL of the evidence, not just bits and pieces as Steven does through his attorney, Mr. Van Loh. And when I asked what I had done wrong, the response was "Nothing sir, you are a good good father."

I was never allowed the opportunity during trial to request this referral.

My family knows the truth, stands with me 100% and are losing faith in the "justice" system. They have seen how Steven cleverly phrases things to make me look like a horrible person. I walk in to a building and Steven claims I "gained access" as if I were a criminal breaking in - or when he called me and I asked

if my wife and I would be able to meet his mom and dad before the wedding, and he then claimed I was taking issue with wedding plans - or once I called him and asked if we could get together and hang out, he stated in the HRO that I was making an increasing number of harassing phone calls. He also told my daughter that he asked me for money and that I promised to help but didn't. In reality, I sent him money every month for 2 1/2 years and have the receipts to prove this. My family sees the avalanche of lies and manipulation. They know the truth.

I actually feel sorry for Mr. Van Loh getting caught up in all of this and ask that you go easy on him. Yes, there needs to be accountability, but Steven is a good liar and manipulator, and it seems Mr. Van Loh fell into his trap.

Kind regards,

Tim Hutchinson

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

It should also be noted that I gave Steven money every month through Reliant for 2 ½ years. At one point he told Brittany that I had promised to send him money every month to support them, but I had broken that promise. If you look at the receipts in the folder titled Reliant Giving, you will see annual receipts for 2021, 2022 and 2023. There were some donations in 2020 but I do not have any receipts for that. I continued to send him money every month for over 2 years and only stopped when my wife moved out of the family house in June, 2023. I could not find it in me to continue sending him any more money. I sent money every month on the 20th because that is the day that Brittany was born. Reliant is an organization that people will use to raise funds to support their missionary efforts.

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

A letter I sent to my kids; Victoria, Alex and Vanessa after I learned they were not all getting along very well.

Hi Kids,

I want to reach out to you about relationships in this family. As a father, it breaks my heart to see my kids at odds with one another. In the bible it says to "Honor Thy Mother and Thy Father". Part of that is to be thankful for their role in creating you. Another component is to honor their vision for the family they created. Though you've grown and branched out into your own lives, there remains a life-long connection to our family.

Victoria, Alex and Vanessa; please make peace with one another. And if you are not close with Brittany, then reach out to her and strengthen that connection - make amends if needed. I mean, really put forth

an effort. Recently I coined a phrase; "If someone you loved died tomorrow, would you be happy with how you treated them today?" Meditate on that for a while.

Just so you know, I have made a final attempt to resolve things with Steven - if he declines, I will be hiring a lawyer and filing a lawsuit against him. I swear to my family with all my heart, mind, body and soul that I've not done the things he alleges. I am not a monster. When the truth comes out, Brittany will be heart-broken, and I really want the family to surround her with love and compassion. Having a connection with her will make that easier. Please be there for her.

This is an incredibly sad experience for our family, and especially Brittany. We need to be strong for her and for each other. Even though my heart has been shattered at a profound level, I will still forgive her and receive her back into my life with open arms. That is how family is supposed to be; family means you will love and be loved for the rest of your life, no matter what.

Perhaps things don't start off where all of you are buddy-buddy, besties, BFF's...but maybe you start with text messages, a few phone calls, and let's start having dinners together - how about the last Sunday of the month? I'm open to any suggestions.

I love you - all of you - with all my heart. This family is the best thing that's ever happened to me. Period. Despite the challenges. I will stand and fight for any of you, anytime, and will love you no matter what. So let's start finding ways to include each other, instead of excluding.

Hopefully you will receive this in the spirit of how it was intended; with love, compassion, caring and a desire to unite.

Love,

Dad

//////////////////////////////////////////////////

If all else fails, then I have already prepared this final letter to my family;

If you are receiving this email, it is because you are either a family member or friend. As you all know I have been the victim of lies and a false Harassment Restraining Order (HRO). My attempts to have the truth made known have fallen short of the goal. It seemed my only remaining recourse was to file a complaint against the lawyer and court referee, which I have done. If that fails, I have made an end-of-life decision.

That decision is to go to sleep one night and just not wake up. While this may seem at first glance like a suicide note, it is not. There is no suicide involved. This, to me, is like having a terminal illness. It never gets better, no hope for improvement and every day becomes a little more unbearable. People in this much pain should be allowed to make the decision to cross over at a time of their choosing. I am at

peace about this decision - am not depressed or in any danger of immediately harming myself. If this does happen, it is still several weeks away.

[Note: I am not at the point yet where I will cross-over, so please don't call the police asking for a well check. That is not the intent of this email.]

A few may think this is a selfish act because my passing will cause them some pain. But every day I wake up and must think of a reason to move forward. It always comes down to two things; a) there is a still a chance the truth might come out, and b) my crossing over to the next life would cause some emotional pain for those left behind. I have carried this burden too long by myself. I am worn down, beaten up and have nothing left.

If you think about it, I am the one suffering the most, and yet am the only one who did not have a choice. The bastard made a choice to lie and manipulate, my daughter made a choice to believe and marry him, their lawyer made a choice to lie, the court referee made a choice to act improperly (by not giving me a fair chance to testify), and my family / friends made a choice to stand on the sidelines and not do anything to help.

The one notable exception is my daughter, Vanessa. That girl is a fucking rock star! Not only did she read the HRO's, but she also helped me gain a deeper understanding of the lies they contained, and even helped make a video about these lies. I am forever grateful to her. She did more than just offer empty words - she took action. I both admire and respect her for that. Thank you, Vanessa! I will love you always.

I powered through soul-crushing pain the past three (3) years and finally reached a point where I could file, as I previously mentioned, a complaint against the lawyer and referee. God willing, the governing boards will see the lies and corruption and act accordingly. All I ever asked for was a fair chance to tell the truth.

Oh, and to anyone who ever suggested I just forget about my daughter, you can go fuck yourself and just exit my life. You are truly low-life pond scum and I have zero respect for you.

Please don't call me and try to have a conversation about this. It will turn ugly and I will just hang up. So, let's keep our conversations pleasant. And especially don't try to tell me this is suicide and that it is a sin, and I won't go to heaven for this. Because that's a conversation that I will have with God.

Hopefully this doesn't sound too brash, but before anyone tries to preach to me, just remember those three (3) years where my heart, mind and soul were being crushed.

In closing, I have reached a point where I just can't keep going on the same way every day. Nothing is getting better - I am in the same amount of pain that I was three (3) years ago. There is no light at the end of the proverbial tunnel. Counseling and medication won't do anything to make this situation

better. Those are just cheap band-aids to try and make me forget about how much my heart is broken. If a person is medicated to the point where they stop caring, then they are no longer human and are nothing more than a drugged-out zombie. No thanks.

If the time does come where I cross over, my hope is for people to use that as a time of reflection to reevaluate what the words “family” and “friend” really mean and go rediscover each other in a healthy way.

Tim

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Misc letters I sent to Brittany;

August 21, 2021

Hi Brittany, Been a while and I wanted you to know that I think about you every day. Literally. Not surprising considering how much I love you. Sometimes I ask mom how your headaches are - truly feel badly that you have those so much. Wish there was something that could be done. A goal of mine is to lose weight. There is a lady on Facebook who's always sharing pics of others who are losing a lot of weight. I mean, their transformation is incredible. So, I reached out to her and she let me know about the plan. Talked with your mom and she said that she'd join as well to be supportive of my goal. After the first week I lost 7 lbs! Out of curiosity I looked up the ingredients to the pre-packaged foods we were eating. Turns out every meal has a lot of calcium in it. That's bad for me due to being very prone to kidney stones (yikes!) So now am off the plan - however, your mom was/is losing weight and decided to remain on it. So, it worked out for her and I'm happy about that. I going to figure something else out - likely all salads and daily trips to the gym :-) Won't end up looking like a body-builder, but that's OK, I just want to look in the mirror and be comfortable with how I look. So, your mom and I have been discussing my retirement, and decided it can happen in November of 2022. It's going to be so weird waking up and not having to run off to a job. I've been working over 40 years now - most of it in the same industry. I hope things are worked out by then so my whole family can come to the retirement party. That would mean a lot! After retirement I'm going to increase the amount of volunteering I do and will likely start up again at the hospice. If I ever become a grandpa, I'll open up "Grandpa Day Care" for my grandkids (free of course!) The ministry is getting closer to launching. My gosh, it's been a long time in the making, right?! But it WILL happen! I feel blessed that we hired a consulting firm - they have helped the ministry move forward by leaps and bounds. If things continue going forward at the pace they're on now, the launch could happen as soon as next month. Otherwise, October would be the absolute latest. Just thinking about all the people this ministry will reach for Christ quite literally gets me teary-eyed. This has been at the forefront of my daily efforts for months and months. When it finally happens, I’ll be overcome with joy!! Once the ministry is launched, there is something I've been wanting

to do for a long time; fill out the "My Dad - in his own words" book you gave me. It sits on a ledge in front of my desk, and I look at it every day. If you ever have children, I hope you'll let them read it. I want them to know how much I love my kids, and how much joy they brought into my life, despite the challenges. That's it for now. Going back to copywriting and moving through the action steps my coach gave me. Love you, Dad

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

12 / 7 / 2021

Brittany, I have a heart condition. This isn't exactly the way I wanted to tell you but thought you should know. I first became aware after having chest pains that radiated both into my left arm as well as my left shoulder. After a trip to the ER, a cardiologist visit and testing (including a heart scan) they discovered the problem. About a year ago I had some routine tests done just to check where I was at as a 60-year old, and my heart was perfectly fine. Since then, the results are 247% worse. They are telling me this is stress related. At this level it's treatable. If I do nothing and it progresses to the next level, well, I don't want to think about that. If you have any doubts about the authenticity of what I am saying, ask your mom. She was at the ER with me; she met the cardiologist; was there during the testing and read the results. It's real. This past year has been the worst year of my life. By far. I sleep, at most, 3 hours per night. Most nights I lay awake in bed between 1 - 4 AM thinking sad thoughts. But none of that is your fault. Not any of it. In fact, and I want you to know this; you don't owe me an apology or any explanation for your actions. Not at all. You've not done anything wrong. You are truly a wonderful person, and I am still very proud of you. I've been patient, peaceful and prayerful. My reward has been a heart condition. Sorry I had to tell you this way. Love you, Dad

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

11/18/2021

Hi Brittany, Well, today is my birthday and all I really want is to say that I love you. Very much. Every day I think about you. Literally every day. And I miss you more than you know. I haven't smiled in months. Just can't. I am so sad that I cannot talk to you, hug you, or have us spend time together. At Victoria's wedding I saw you crying. It was really hard for me to not come hug you. But I couldn't do that because if I had, then I would be in violation of the restraining order and could possibly have gone to jail. Then I wouldn't have been able to walk Victoria down the aisle. Seeing you so sad really hurt me. In fact, several times I went into the bathroom and cried. For real. I hoped later in the evening we would encounter each other on the dance floor and possibly have a dance together. That would have been amazing. But I understand you had to leave early. I know you've been hurt, and I am so sorry for my role in that. The restraining order is 3/4 of the way over. In about 6 months it will be done. Perhaps we should go to some counseling to prepare for us to have a relationship again. I'm open to working things

out with Steven as well, but he and I will have to figure that out ourselves. Well, I love you and pray for you often. I hope this is the last birthday I'll ever have to spend without you. Love, Dad

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Misc.

I am sorry that Van Loh got involved with this matter. Van Loh is literally parroting the lies that Steven is telling and presenting them as facts. Now he is in deep with a person I can prove is a liar and a manipulator.

"If you want a relationship with Brittany then you need to submit to me and let me control you both." He also said in an email that if I cared about my daughter that I would "lay down everything" which to me says the same thing.

Bob Roby - Cambridge Law (Minnesota Mediation Services) My sister contacted him to try and help me because of how I stood by her after her daughter passed away. That's also why she organized the protests (which I intially said no to, and once I agreed also said that I would not be a part of it.)

False claims about my harassment stemming from their wedding plans. I literally talked to Steven one time about the guest list (explain why, including her AVM), and another time when he called me, I asked if we would be able to meet his parents before the wedding. How is that a problem??

2 of 3 siblings did not attend the wedding, and no one from my side of the family did either. 2 of Brittany's siblings read the HRO and know that at least some of the situations Steven described are lies because they were present and say the event was absolutely not the way he described it at all. They also do not like him because he is rude to them.

Victoria got married and I did not cause any issues whatsoever. I did, however, see Brittany cry several times about how sad she was that we did not have a relationship. I was later told she actually broke down crying 8 times and left shortly after the wedding ceremony. Brittany goes to counseling every week because she is traumatized by not having a relationship with me. And all because of lies that Steven tells and Van Loh protects him!

Van Loh said to me that if I fought back against the HRO that would impact my daughter.... also said a tough day for a dad, and he understands that because of his daughter either recently getting married or would be getting married in the next 2 months...he also said that I was doing the right thing.

They have no witnesses and no evidence. I have all the witnesses and real evidence. Van Loh's actions were partly responsible for my not being able to present these at trial.

My witnesses include family members who read the HRO and in the parts where Steven describes an event, they realize it did not happen the way he described it - they see he is lying.

Steven asked for my help with money and I agreed. I later learned that he told Brittany that I had promised to send him money and had not done so. In fact I had sent him money - every month for 2 1/2 years!

He is a mediator? Then why wasn't he trying to mediate this and bring resolution to this matter? Instead, he beleived lies that should have been obviously made up and moved forward with an HRO.

Ramsey County Sheriff's Dept. "You did nothing wrong sir, you're a good good father!"

Jen saying "If Brittany sat in jail, maybe then she would begin to realize that Steven is manipulating her."

I tried to make peace 15 times.

31 years of marriage, 24 years in the house, 22 year relationship with my daughter, and so much heartache my family has concerns about me possibly becoming suicidal. I ended up being homeless because of this.

My relationship with Jake, David Zimmerman (when Brittany broke up with him and also when he damaged my truck), and when James Anderson and how I helped when he and Vanessa became homeless (I hugged him, etc. and when Vanessa broke up with him I still paid for his hotel room and gave him money).

At the end of the day all I really want is a fair trial - a real chance to bring out the truth. To present many witnesses including a biologist with 5 college degrees, a retired heart surgeon and a NASA scientist.

Their only evidence was my private journal and the lies Steven told and manipulated my daughter into believing. During the time when I asked for a trial, Van Loh and the judge agreed nothing from the first HRO could be used in the trial. And that was ALL of their evidence; my private journal from the first HRO.

Cory J read all of the evidence and wants to talk with his Hollywood friends about making this into a movie.

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Leaving my job;

I worked for many years in the transportation industry, and for several years (2020 – 2022) worked as a safety and compliance manager at a local company. I began taking time off due to the stress of this situation, and also began making mistakes. I left suddenly in 2022 without notice. From there I worked at a few random jobs before accepting another manager position at a company I had previously worked at, in the same position. They welcomed me back based upon my previous performance. It was a job I enjoyed very much.

They flew me out to Pennsylvania for a week of orientation and training, since a few things had changed in my absence. After a short amount of time it was becoming obvious to me that I would also struggle at this job as well. So I accepted a lower position as a driver. I previously had done that exact job before for this company, and had moved approximately 30,000 trailers for them during my years with them before. This time would be different though – during my first week after stepping into a lower, non-management position, I made a critical mistake which caused serious damage to a trailer. I was fired that day. This is the first time in my life that I have ever been fired from a job.

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Ultimatum email to Steven (prior to first HRO)

The time has passed for us to settle things via mediation.

The time has passed for my apologizing (for things I NEVER did).

The time has passed for me being patient (while you do nothing).

It is now time for an ultimatum.

Here's what I am prepared to do….

Remove my blessing from the engagement. Think about this carefully so you understand what this means.

File a lawsuit against you seeking damages of more than $250,000. I have medical records showing the effects these past weeks/months have had on me. There are witnesses and mental health professionals who will testify that you is the cause of it. My medical records from holding a gun to my head and ending up in the psych ward list you as the cause. A jury would most likely be very interested in hearing about that. [Bonus: you'll have to testify, under oath, and Brittany will finally see everything you've been lying about.]

File a lawsuit against Calvary Church seeking damages of more than $1,000,000 dollars. They were aware of your activities and failed to respond. Good luck to them explaining that to a jury.

Go public with the facts surrounding this matter. This includes the complete family (on both sides), and members of Calvary church. I will also spend a minimum of $1,000 boosting posts about this on various social media and news outlets, with a link to a website, where this info will be posted for all to see. www.DirtySteven.com – I own the domain name – website coming soon.

I will have banners made and placed on top of trucks/cars that will be parked on public property near both campuses for Calvary Church – fully visible to all church attendees – alerting them to www.DirtySteven.com .

This information will also be passed along to any place you ever works at for the rest of his life. You will never be able to outrun this truth. In the event of my death or disability, I have associates who will continue doing this on my behalf.

Contact Reliant with all the facts and lawsuit details, and ask they close your account and prevent you from any further fundraising. If necessary, with the help of my attorney, we will contact a judge and seek an injunction against any fundraising efforts.

Other planned activities that will be disclosed at a later date/time.

[To be perfectly clear, everything listed above will be accomplished through legal means. I do not condone violence or any illegal activities. I am an otherwise peaceful person who has been unfairly put in a position of having to fight back for his family. But that does not render me powerless nor helpless. Steven has given me plenty of ammunition which I can and will use in a lawful and highly effective manner.]

The steps listed above will not happen all at once – there will be a period of time between them which I will determine and enact without advance notice.

There is only one way to stop this from happening. Only one.

Steven must agree to the following:

Put a hold on the wedding for one-year.

Steven must go through counseling, present the facts which I have, and be tested/treated for narcissistic tendencies.

I must attend a minimum of one of these counseling sessions with him.

Before the wedding happens, Steven must issue me a written apology.

Nothing on these pages or in the ultimatum is negotiable. This is literally a “take-it or leave-it” scenario. Steven should consider himself fortunate that I even allowed him a glimpse inside of my playbook.

I have worked tirelessly for weeks preparing for this because my family means everything to me.

Two things I am certain of; 1) I love my family more than life itself. 2) I will NEVER stop fighting for them, and right now there is an EPIC storm on the horizon.

To accept, reply to this email with the word “YES” in the subject line. I WILL NOT read the body of any email reply sent. Anything other than the word “YES” in the subject line will be considered a “No”, and I will move forward with the above mentioned steps. You have exactly seven (7) days, zero hours, zero minutes to accept.

[Note: Once the process begins of enacting the above steps, there is no stopping it. Nothing you say or do beyond the time-frame allowed will alter the events about to happen.]

Steven; it's your move – choose wisely.

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Website – last copy

The "Monster" is Satan

1 Peter 5:8 "...Your enemy the devil prowls around like a roaring lion looking for someone to devour."

and;

John 10:10 "The thief [Satan] comes only to steal and kill and destroy..."

This website, "A Monster Inside" is the true story of how one person told evil lies to ruin a great father-daughter relationship. What's even more shocking is that person works at Calvary Church; his name is Steven Anderson - director of youth services.

When the father asked Calvary to assist in rectifying the problem, the church had their attorney tell the father to not communicate with them, and to stay off their property. Clearly Satan is causing a division based upon lies. This website is being put up to not only expose the lies of the devil, but to also as a call to prayer for Calvary and Steven Anderson, who caused so much heartache.

The dad had become so distraught that he attempted suicide. The response from Steven Anderson and the church, was nothing. No signs of compassion at all. No reaching out to see how he was doing - no offer to pray with him - absolutely nothing at all.

Steven then had a restraining order put in place against that father, and married his daughter. The father could not attend the wedding, or walk his daughter down the aisle.

Despite all of this, the father made 11 attempts at settling things peacefully. Neither the church nor Steven will communicate with him, at all.

This website is a humble request for prayer - a plea for mighty warriors of God to call upon the heavens to intervene - to strike down evil influences and to lift up the broken! For restoration of the relationship that was stolen from the father. For wisdom in the church so they may have a heart more like Christ's - and for healing of Steven's mind so he may awaken and realize that evil has been influencing his decisions, to repent, and come back to the Lord.

Here's some information from the father...

Dear brothers and sisters in Christ, my name is Tim Hutchinson. I am a 60-year-old man, and have been with my wife for 32 years. Thus far we've enjoyed an amazing life together. That is, until along came a man who works at a church in MN. He began manipulating my daughter soon after dating her. Now my family and I are experiencing unimaginable pain. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close). He has her convinced (through lies) that I am a horrible person.

My daughter is an RN who works on a Covid unit at a Twin Cities hospital, and I've not seen or spoken to her since February, 2021.

I've asked the church where he works at to help mediate this, and they responded by having their lawyer tell me to stay off their property and to not communicate with them. I later learned that at least some of the negative actions against me were likely the result of advice given by counselors at the church.

At one point in time I became so distraught over this that I ended up in a park at night, holding a gun to my head about to commit suicide, and ended up in the hospital.

My daughters boyfriend then convinced her to file a restraining order against me based upon lies. Afterwards, they married and I was not allowed at the wedding and did not walk my daughter down the aisle.

As you will see below I have this situation well documented.

I have been hurt at a profound level; first, the relationship between my daughter and I; the wedding I did not get to attend, and did not walk my daughter down the aisle; the suicide attempt; other relationships have been affected, and so has my job. There have been other deep impacts on myself as well. I have become socially withdrawn. This has been a living nightmare. And all as a result of lies. Evil lies which I believe are due to a monster inside of the church and influencing Steven Anderson. A monster known as Satan. Please, join me in prayer against the evil among us, and for restoration of that which was stolen from me!

VIDEO: INTERVIEW

HISTORY

From here to end of page was the history (my private journal)

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Letter to Calvary Pastor Shawn White

To; Pastor Shawn Winters

From; Timothy Hutchinson

Re: Steven Anderson, youth pastor

Dear Brother in Christ;

I come to you today seeking help with a troubling situation. My daughter is engaged to your youth pastor, Steven Anderson. He has been, in my opinion, acting very inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. Instead, he has chosen to ruin an otherwise healthy father-daughter relationship, and cause strife in an otherwise loving family. I am a 60-year-old Christian man who has been with his wife for 32 years, lives in White Bear Township and together we have raised 4 beautiful children.

Attached you will find the facts laid out as openly and honestly as I can possibly state them. I ask that you review these and prayerfully consider helping bring resolution to this matter. I would prefer to handle this quietly through the church, rather than publicly and/or through any legal proceedings.

If you have any questions or comments, feel free to reach me at anytime on my cell phone, 651-724-1325 or by emailing mrhutchinson@gmail.com .

I pray for help during these difficult days.

Kind regards,

Timothy Hutchinson

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Letters to Calvary church (before the first HRO)

Dear Calvary Church Elders;

I am writing you today about an ongoing situation with one of your staff members, Steven Anderson (Young Adults Ministry Director). He is engaged to my daughter and has been acting inappropriately. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close). Steven has her convinced I am a horrible person - yet neither one can state even one thing I've done wrong. Literally not one thing.

I became so distraught over this, that about one-month ago I ended up in a park at night, holding a gun to my head about to commit suicide. Thankfully, I did not and subsequently spent time in the hospital in the psych ward. Mental illness has not previously been an issue in my life, yet here I am, under the care of a psychologist.

I am a 60-year-old man, who has been with his wife for 32 years. (I am a safety & compliance manager, and she is a high school science teacher). Together we have 4 children and have lived in the same house in White Bear Township for 22 years. Thus far we've enjoyed an amazing life together (blessed by God), until Steven Anderson came along with his manipulative ways. Now we are experiencing unimaginable pain.

Previously I reached out to your senior pastor (Shawn White) several times, and your executive pastor (Jeff Morgan). Neither of them responded to me. Despite requesting this remain confidential, the senior pastor allowed his staff to read the details I sent over. This caused significant embarrassment to my daughter, and further deepened the divide between her and I.

Attached to this email is an updated document which tells the history of this situation and details the many steps I've taken to resolve things. To date Steven has made exactly zero attempts at working through this.

At this moment I am at a crossroad and need resolution. I am requesting Calvary church do the following;

1) Explain why I've not gotten a response to my multiple requests for help.

2) Review the back-story, and either:

```
  a) Facilitate mediation.

  b) Issue an opinion on whose actions caused the problem(s). If you say it is my
fault, then state the exact reasons why. If you say this is all Steven's fault \-
that will conclude this matter with you 100%, for that would affirm the truth, and I
can use this to repair the relationship with my daughter (which is all I really
want).
```

If you choose to do neither and once again ignore me, I will have literally no choice but to take legal action. Steven works there and used his position while committing narcissistic acts that tore my family apart. In my letters to both pastors, I clearly stated I would like this to be settled privately and avoid any legal matters. That remains my position.

However, the damage has already been done, and if you are not going to help resolve this, then I'll turn things over to a lawyer. I would very much like to avoid that scenario. So, please, help me help you avoid legal action.

# 104. All correspondence concerning this matter should be directed to Steve Hutchinson.docx

Tim Hutchinson

# 105. Archive/2 Video Overview - Dad.docx

651.724.1325

CC: Jon OchsMark AndersonLisa HorsagerGuy BristolJason SchmidtCarole SpickelmierShawn White

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/All correspondence concerning this matter should be directed to Steve Hutchinson.docx ***

All correspondence concerning this matter should be directed to Steve Hutchinson. Shutch7@gmail.com . 651-707-6005.

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Archive/2 Video Overview - Dad.docx ***

Narrative – Intro: My name is Tim Hutchinson. I am 60 years old and have been with my wife for 32 years. Thus far we've enjoyed an amazing life together. That is, until along came a man who works at Calvary church. He began manipulating my daughter soon after dating her. Now my family and I are experiencing unimaginable pain. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close). He has her convinced (through lies) that I am a horrible person. I not seen or spoken with her since February of this year, 2021. I have this heartbreaking situation well documented. This has been a hellish nightmare.

What was it like at the beginning, and did you support his ministry? I tried my best to get along with him, and when I learned he had a ministry I agreed to help support it and even helped him revise his presentation to attract more supporters. I later learned he claimed that I had promised to support his ministry but didn't. Well, I said I would be supportive, but did not promise – there's a difference. And I did support him financially for about a year and have receipts for all of it. In the end when it was apparent that he was tearing my family apart intentionally, it became obvious that supporting him was no longer something I could do. At that time, I stopped sending him money. My wife later said that Steven was claiming we didn't support him, however, I have receipts which show we did contribute financially for about a year.

How did he treat you, your family and friends in the beginning?There were several people who came to me saying that Steven was rude to them. This included my son, youngest daughter, and 2 guests at a

bonfire. At this time Steven was not doing anything offensive to me, so I defended him saying he works at a church, they must be mistaken. Later, as I thought more about it, it became apparent that my son and daughter did not know the guests and therefore could not have collaborated on a story. This to me gave some credence they might be telling the truth.

Tell us about when he came and asked to marry Brittany. During a previous time that we had met, he mentioned that he heard I wrote several books. I confirmed that I had. He said that he would like to read them, so I gave him a free copy of each book. Sometime later he asked if we could hang out for a while. I said yes, and he came over to our house. Once here he mentioned that he had read both books. One was my memoir, and he didn't really talk about that – it was the other book, the one about miracles. We talked about that for a few minutes, then began talking about other topics. (He later claimed I had given him a copy of my memoir as an implied threat to him – that is simply not true. He said he wanted to read it, and I generously offered him a free copy). That evening, I had a feeling he was there to ask me if he could marry my daughter. This was an important evening, and I didn't want him to feel rushed – so I remained patient and waited. Finally, three-hours later I asked if he was there, by chance, to ask me question. He said yes and asked if he could marry my daughter. I said there were three conditions he had to promise to, and he agreed. One of them was not to interfere in my relationship with daughter. He promised that he wouldn't. Then we talked about a ring, and I offered to borrow him one of Brittany's mom's rings to propose with. That would give him time to go ring shopping. (He has since broken the promise to not interfere in my relationship with Brittany many times over).

You indicated there were problems during phone conversations, and in particular about the guest list – can you elaborate? During one of my (few) phone conversations with Steven, I offered some friendly advice from future father-in-law to future son-in-law, the same as my father-in-law did 30-years ago. He became agitated and said things such as, "I don't need to listen to you", and "I'm going to tell Brittany what you're doing", and "I don't need this advice". He was saying all this in a rather rude way. Due to his attitude I ended the call. Another time I called just to ask if he wanted to get together and hang-out. He replied "No" and hung up. One day Brittany was at our family house speaking to her mom and I about the guest list. It sounded as if Steven had already invited so many people there was barely any room for our side of the family. At least that was the impression I got from that conversation. At one point I heard the number of guests was already more than the total amount they agreed upon. Brittany seemed stressed by this process, so I called Steven and asked the question: "How does the guest list honor the mother and father of the bride?" His reply was all about his family and never directly answered my question. When I brought this to his attention, he stated he would need some time to think about the answer and would let me know. I've never gotten an answer. From what I heard, 80-90% of the guests were either his family, or people Brittany met through Steven. So again, how did that guest list honor the mother and father of the bride?

What was the Rules of Engagement? First of all, I was offput by the use of the word “Rules” – kids don’t make rules for their parents to follow, it’s actually the other way around. If they wanted to say “preferences”, “suggestions”, “guidelines” or other similar term, that would have been OK. Rules of Engagement is a term which are internal rules or directives for military forces that define the use of force, or actions that are construed as provocative. In some circumstances, Rules of Engagement are lawful commands. The "Rules of Engagement" we were presented with seemed to contradict each other. When I emailed to ask for clarification Steven's response did not clearly answer my question. In that email he referenced "triangulating" without realizing that I studied psychology and know that word infers manipulation. I wasn't sure if he was attempting to insult my intelligence, insinuate I was being manipulative, or he really doesn't understand what the word triangulation means when used in the realm of psychology. I will also mention here that this was happening in an email in which Brittany and her mom were included on. At that time, he was also sending me emails directly without including Brittany or her mom. In those emails he was being rude and disrespectful to me. I responded by saying that I would not communicate with him unless Brittany and her mom were included. I then replied to him in the email chain that included the others, and that response was a bit pointed because I was upset by the way he had attempted to disparage me in the other emails he had sent to me directly. Afterwards, I asked Brittany’s mom to be the one to send out any emails to them from me. The reason is that I blocked Steven so he could not send me any emails – that way I could be assured that both Brittany and her mom would be included in the conversation.

Talk about when you raised your voice to your daughter. Steven has made no efforts to be a part of our family or join any activities. Since I gave my blessing for him to marry our daughter, it's like a switch was flipped and he became cold towards us. He has spoken rudely to myself, guests at our house and has acted this way towards my other children. It seems as though he was only being nice to obtain my blessing, then his real personality came out. Had I known any of this I would have never said yes to him marrying our daughter. One day I called Steven and told him that I do not hate him nor am I trying to stop the wedding. I have a good relationship with my other daughters' boyfriends and hoped to have the same with him. His only response was "thank you for sharing that" in a monotone voice. There was no appreciation or gratitude - no saying anything like, “wow I'm hoping for that too.” Nothing. Just another stonewall. Later I was talking with Brittany and my frustration boiled over, and I raised my voice about how Steven would not answer the seemly simple question about the wedding guest list, has made no effort to be a part of our family, and has been acting rudely towards me. I was also terribly upset that Brittany is showing signs of stress and I haven't seen her smile in days. I am very concerned and even heartbroken that she should have to go through this during a time when she should be celebrating. My being agitated certainly did not help matters. As I walked out of the kitchen I was so disappointed in myself for having raised my voice that I slapped the doorway with my open hand. I truly felt awful about how I had acted. Sometime later she came to me and we discussed the guest list. I accepted her

explanation, apologized for raising my voice, and asked that we move forward. The following day I went to her and asked if we could do a reset, one where we could together enjoy the journey of her getting married. She agreed and we spent some enjoyable time together.

I read something about Covid and doing laundry at your house, what was that about? Brittany does Stevens laundry at our house, which I honestly don't mind. He makes it clear that he is concerned about Covid, so when I discovered some information about that virus and fabric, I wanted to share this with him. Soon afterwards as Brittany was leaving the house, she said Steven's laundry was in the dryer and asked that once done I place it outside the front door for him to pick up. I figured this was out of concern for Covid, so decided to write him a letter, and placed in in the laundry basket along with his clean clothes. It basically said I had done some research on Covid and was surprised it could last up to 48-hours on our clothes. Said I could appreciate his concern for being exposed to Covid. Maybe it's best his clothes are not washed at our house - at least now for now. I then said it would have been nice to see you today. That we could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just the day before for Covid. I signed it by saying "Hope you have a wonderful day." When his clothes were done, I placed them outside the front door as requested. When Steven arrived, he read the note, threw the clothes into his car, slammed the door, then stood there staring at our house for a full minute. He then got into the car and sped off running through a stop sign and speeding up the street. My intentions in writing that letter was purely honest – I really wanted to have a good relationship with him, but it seemed he turned it around and used it as an opportunity to have a tantrum or something. Then just a day later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for several hours. He can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell and say hi, but just a day later he can spend hours inside our home? And he's here without any acknowledgment of the letter. Nothing. Crickets. This to me was yet another stonewall and quite honestly, seemed very disrespectful. As Brittany and Steven were leaving, Brittany said "Hi dad" – I responded "Hi honey!" Then Steven said, "Hello Mr. Hutchinson". I responded with a rather dry "hi". It bothered me that he was so rude just the day before, as well as multiple times previously, and now today when my daughter is there, he's acting all nice and innocent. I didn't want to say or do anything that would be upsetting to Brittany, so I did not ask him for any explanation – at least not at that time. Several hours later my wife came home, and I was admittedly fuming about this and even a bit emotionally charged. After all, he had a history of being rude to my family and myself. I spoke loudly about how upset I was and said that I did not want Steven at our house again. I did not know that Brittany was in her bedroom and had overheard what I said. I honestly thought she had left, and I specifically waited until I thought she was gone to vent my frustrations. My wife gave me a hug and we went and made dinner together. Within 10 minutes or so we were in the kitchen laughing about some silly memes on Facebook. I then texted Steven and said he was not welcome in our home until there was mediation. At no time when I was venting did I make any threats against Steven…none…my only comments were that he was disrespecting me in my own home

and I did not want him at our house anymore. Where is the threat in that? Yes, I raised my voice. Yes, I was upset. He's going to marry my daughter and he's treating me like crap. Any reasonable father would also be upset. But there was no threat. Zero. None.

Can you tell us about Brittany suddenly moving out? Within a few days Brittany moved out of our house. Supposedly because she is now suddenly afraid of me, because I raised my voice, twice. What's interesting is very day she moved out, she returned that evening to retrieve something she had left behind. When she saw me, she gave me a hug and said "I love you so much." I told her it was weird seeing her move and that this was this first time she's ever moved that I didn't help. She replied that was true and yes this all seems weird.

Did you ever try making peace with him? I tried a total of 12 times. To date Steven has not suggested even one single thing to help get our relationship back on-track. Thus far I've come up with 100% of the idea's and have offered 100% of the apologies, and have made 100% of the efforts, even though he did not name even one thing that I've done to offend him. Not one. At least not until the restraining order was filed – and that was filled with lies. Up until then I had been offering blanket apologies just to have a relationship with my daughter. Apparently, he doesn't want that. The question is "Why?" I do know that all along he has taken everything I've done, as pure and honest as my actions were, and greatly exaggerated them and spun them into my looking like it's all a threat to him. Those are all absolute lies. What's especially troubling is that he claims to be a Christian and works at a church. My question is; how is any of what he's done Christian behavior?

What was the "I Resign" email about? I apologized to my daughter and said that I didn't want to focus on any disagreement any longer – instead I wanted to focus on our relationship. I invited Steven to a neutral ground where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where, and I quote, "you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen – my house is your house." Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again. They both replied that they could not accept this. Brittany claimed I had violated the Rules of Engagement, and Steven said, and I quote; "these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining…" What's interesting is during the weeks before this I actually had no contact with him at all. What interaction was he talking about? There literally was NONE! This is another example of Steven trying to invent a make-believe world and draw my daughter into it – a world in which I am a villain – a violent person unable to control my behavior. Meanwhile, back in reality, the opposite is true. On Facebook I tell people when I'm going into my prayer room and offer to pray for others. Because of the miracles they experience, I now have 4,700 more people following me on my personal page – all from them experiencing real miracles and sharing the results with others. If I am such a horrible person, then why is God answering my prayers? Why is the Creator of the Heavens and the earth blessing so

many others when I pray? Because God knows my heart the best – and he knows the allegations against me are false. There is no one else, literally no one who thinks of me in any way remotely close to what Steven is alleging. So here I am, 62 years-old, no criminal record, love doing volunteer work, no problems with any one until Steven comes along and suddenly I am a super-villain. Yet he cannot name even one threat I've made to him. Not one. Because there weren't any.

Did you try mediation? Yes, and both times it was cancelled. The first time Steven didn't want to talk about anything in the past – it seemed he just wanted to control how my wife and I were to speak to him. The mediator cancelled – so it was rescheduled. For the 2nd mediation I agreed to not talk about anything in the past, and suddenly he wants to – this is a complete 180-degree turnaround. He then cancelled mediation. Since then, I've asked multiple times for mediation, and he won't even respond. These requests were both in text messages and emails (I had unblocked him).

When did Brittany stop communicating? In February of 2021. She said it was because she wanted to focus on her relationship and upcoming marriage. Immediately I thought Steven was behind this and wanted to further drive a wedge between my daughter and I. Since the very beginning I saw a change in my daughter; she has chronic headaches and I often used to massage her neck and shoulders. After the engagement I noticed her shoulders began to stick up more – seemed she was losing weight. I asked her mom, and she confirmed our daughter was losing weight, unintentionally. She thought maybe it was because of stress. What could be causing that stress? At the time Brittany and I were getting along fine. Now she says she cannot communicate with me at all. Very concerning – especially since before meeting Steven, her and I were like 2-peas-in-a-pod. Now she sees me through a filter that Steven created, a filter which I can prove are lies and deceit. That's why she is the only child of mine who does not have a relationship with me. The others see me as I really am; the great father who raised them. I haven't changed – am a lot sadder, but am still that great dad who loves his family more than anything.

Did you ask the church for help? Yes, several times I reached out to Calvary church. I approached them as respectfully as possible asking for help, stating that Steven has been acting inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. I asked they review the facts and prayerfully consider helping bring a resolution to this situation. There was no response to the first request, so I sent a second. Shortly afterwards a letter came in the mail from their attorney stating I was not welcome on either of their campuses and to not have any further contact with them. Later I learned that Steven claimed he was so afraid of me being violent that he was being escorted into the church from the parking lot each day, and then back to his car when he left. He also said he was always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him. The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. Steven went so far as to make a church unduly afraid of me. I will state this fact again; I never threatened him. Ever. If I am such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren't the police ever called? No, seriously – if you are

that afraid of someone the police should be notified. But they weren't. Why? In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police – not even once. He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him – not one – because there weren't any. Let me be perfectly clear; I have a military background. If I wanted to be violent with him, then I would never publicly or privately threaten him. I would just go do it. And I'm smart enough to get away with it. So why didn't I? Because I don't want to – I never did. Any perceived threats are made-up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me.

Owatonna – what was that about? In an effort to activate my daughters BS detector – a healthy amount of skepticism, my well-meaning brother made up a story. This is really the only time in my life I've ever told Brittany something that wasn't true. But I had good intentions. I made the story so fantastical that any reasonable person would doubt it was real. That was what I was after. To have her look at this and say wait a minute, that's not real. And then to have her look at what Steven was saying and realize what he's saying is also not real. My daughter Brittany is kind, sweet, thoughtful and a really, really wonderful person. She's also, well, a little gullible as any 22-year-old is. And Steven clearly took advantage of that.

I told her that "I'm so angry I could kill someone." This is actually a phrase I grew up hearing; no one actually killed anyone; like saying I'm so hungry I could eat a horse; no one eats horses and even if they did, they couldn't consume an entire horse. It's only a phrase with no factual meaning behind it I also chose that phrase because I figured she would immediately realize that I wouldn't actually kill someone – she's only known me as a kind, loving, peaceful father who always puts his family first.

I told her the person I would kill is Charlie (you can't kill Charlie – it's just a name I was given in the military.)

I said that I was going to a DNR lab near Owatonna where I would be held down on a table and my head will be zapped with electricity. That's as crazy of a story that I could think of at the moment.

The next morning I said that it snowed the previous night (which it did) so we met at a closed gas station and performed the procedure in a van. They zapped me while I rolled my eyes side-to-side.

I waited for her to say something like, "Dad, this isn't real!" But she never did.

I had every intention of explaining to her why I told this fabricated story, but I wanted to do so in person. Sadly, I never saw her again.

I read something about cheesesteak and a bonfire, can you talk about that? I sent Steven a text inviting him over for a bonfire and cheesesteak sandwiches. Said we could discuss what each other needs for peace. He replied saying he was confused and asked if I was going to be violent with him. I replied that he's twisting my words, again. He never responded.

What's an 'Epic Storm'? It is a cascading series of events designed to bring about change, or to protect someone. These events are all completely legal. Nothing evil and no intent to cause physical harm at all. Several times I told Steven that I would do an epic storm if he continued ruining my relationship with Brittany and causing strife in my family. I never took any action though, because that would have been upsetting to my daughter and I was doing my best to shield her from any actions I was being forced to take. He claimed this meant I was going to be violent with him, even though I told him everything I planned on doing ahead of time, and all of it was completely legal. Again, every chance he could he would twist things to make my daughter think I was a crazed violent person. Meanwhile, he's doing absolutely nothing to help settle things between us. Nothing. His attempts thus far have amounted to exactly zero. At least I am trying. And he's a man of God? A person who works in a church? But he disobeys the teachings of Christ. His actions themselves expose him as a fraud.

Sensitive topic alert: I know this is going to be painful, but please tell us what happened during the counseling session, as well as the aftermath. Brittany approached me to go to therapy session with her. Before that was to happen, I would first talk with her therapist. The therapist wanted to get together with me alone in a zoom type meeting. She was rude, condescending, and I really did not feel as though she cared about me or resolving anything. It was very frustrating talking to her.

Nonetheless, I said any therapy sessions I was involved in I would pay for and gave her my credit card number so my daughter would not be burdened with that. What no one, not even the therapist knows is that I was not alone in that room. When the session with myself and the therapist was scheduled, I asked Brittany's mom to be in the room with me. She sat off to one side, out of view of the camera. She witnessed how stressful this was for me. Then, at the time you and I had our session with the therapist, it was immediately stressful again. I felt as though the therapist was condescending from the beginning. My only goal for that session was to tell you that I loved you and to validate your pain. I know you've been hurt, and it's incredibly painful to see you go through this. The therapist interrupted me repeatedly. When I didn't recite things back exactly, it seemed she was a bit condescending. Half the time I didn't look at the screen as it was too hard to see the pain on your face. I didn't even get to say anything what I really wanted to say; that I love you and to validate your feelings. At one point in time, I muted the microphone then I leaned forward to cry into my hands. You may have thought I got up and walked around the room, but I was bent over forward crying into my hands. I truly and sincerely hurt throughout this session. Having you out of my life hurts more than my brothers dying - and still does to this very day. At this point it was clear that my message of love was not going to be conveyed to you, I became frustrated, rambled on about something and left the meeting abruptly. I think I mentioned not knowing if I wanted a relationship with you, but what I really meant was for a temporary amount of time. (P.S. I did not slam my laptop closed - this laptop is too expensive to be slammed shut.) With the thought of that session fresh in my mind. I ended up in a park later that night with a gun to my head. That's the reason I had suicidal ideations; the pain on your face was more than I could handle. I felt as

though I had failed you – like I should have shown up and done better, been the dad – I wished I would have been able to tell you that I know you've been hurt – I know this has been painful for you – I just wanted to reach out and hug you and tell you that I love you and that I'm so, so sorry. I just didn't feel like I could – it seemed the therapist was trying to control my words so I couldn't really say what was on my heart.

Why did you post a dark-eyed picture of Steven? Because I believed, and still do, that he is being influenced by a monster inside, and that monster is known as Satan. Immediately under that picture I asked for prayer for him. I have prayed for him, my wife and I have prayed for him, I asked a church in Anoka, MN to pray for him, and when I posted that picture, it was another call to prayer. That picture was merely a representation of the amount of darkness I think is in him. But again, it's a call to prayer.

Did you ask for a break? Yes, I asked for an extended break – to let things calm down a bit. I then went to each of their homes for one purpose; to let them know they are both loved and forgiven. Steven would not answer his door. I purchased some flowers and went to Brittany's apartment, however she wouldn't answer the door. I later learned she had called Steven in a panicked state because I was at the door to the apartment building she lived in and didn't know how to respond. He later claimed he was so afraid of me that he thought about jumping out a bedroom window from the 2nd floor and fleeing for his life while calling 911. Think about that, I come over with flowers, to tell her that I love her, and she's too afraid to answer the door, because of the things Steven has told her. Does it really make sense that I am trying to make peace and threatening violence at the same time? It's an incredibly sad day for an old dad when his own daughter is afraid of him for no valid reason whatsoever. Let me be perfectly clear – I have never threatened either one of them with violence, at any time, in any way whatsoever. These are all fabricated lies of Steven.

You were served a restraining order – Did you try getting answers before the restraining order? I asked many times what the problem was – and asked how we could resolve any differences. He never responded. Neither did Brittany. I figured he didn't want to give me any answers because he's afraid of being exposed. So, I texted him saying, "You lost far more than you gained." Meaning, we could have had a great relationship. I believe his so-called "victory" will be short-lived. God will give me justice and will raise me up - he will see that God's hand is upon me. Evil can appear as an angel of light, which is why I believe my daughter has not yet realized what he's doing to destroy a great father and cause strife in this family.

Describe the court hearing. Simply put, I agreed to allowing them to have the restraining order. Even though I firmly believe it's filled with lies, had I fought it and asked for a trial, that would mean the details of everything would potentially become public, and that could be embarrassing for my daughter. Additionally, I believed I could prove they were outright lying in the court documents, and that means perjury – people go to jail for that. I didn't want to risk my daughter being exposed to that either. So,

instead I accepted the restraining order on the condition the court did not find any wrongdoing in me. Another sad day – my own daughter, someone who once referred to herself as “Daddy’s Girl” gets a restraining order against me because of lies and deceit of someone who works at a church. Yet there I was covering her with love and looking out for her best interests instead of my own. That’s what love looks like. That’s love in action.

So, you couldn’t walk your daughter down the aisle? No, and I later heard – but not yet confirmed, that Steven hired two undercover police officers to attend the wedding just in case I showed up and acted violently. This was all part of his act to convince my daughter that I’m some super-villain. My daughter must truly think I am capable of violence – some sort of horrible person. The day after their wedding was Father’s Day – coincidence? Umm, I don’t think so. I’m convinced that was a planned insult against me.

What was the situation with Kyle? Kyle was Vanessa's ex, who assaulted her. After he assaulted her, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. I called Kyle, and he started to verbally assault me so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified myself as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. At that point I felt the need to defend myself and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I responded, "Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left. He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical - and I learned that in the future I would insist on calling the police and letting them handle the situation.

## 106. Archive/Civil Complaint.pdf

You mentioned something about a last page missing from the restraining order, please explain. It's interesting that when Steven came up with this order, in his evidence, he left out the last page of my website where it talks about Brittany and Steven being loved and forgiven. LOVED AND FORGIVEN. But that would go against his claim that I am a crazed, violent man. That doesn't fit his agenda to make my daughter think I am a horrible violent person. What's interesting is that no one other than Steven thinks I am a violent person. Sadly, he has convinced my daughter this lie is real. I spend time with both of my other daughter’s boyfriend and fiancé and there's literally no problems between us at all. None. In fact, they have both said they would even write letters in support of me. They both heard the Kyle story and are not afraid of me at all - because there's literally no reason to be. None. That's all a fabrication of Steven's.

I now have over 15 people who are close to me, all willing to write letters stating they have never seen any of the behavior Steven is alleging, and that they simply do not believe any of it is true. How many does Steven have? I'm not a betting man, but if I was, my bet would be there are zero people to back up his claim(s). Because they are lies.

Can there be any resolution? From my daughter, Brittany, I don't need anything; no apologies, no explanation for her actions. Nothing – because she is innocent. Fully and completely innocent. She has done nothing wrong, and I have no hard or ill feelings towards her whatsoever. I love her more than life itself and it crushes me that we do not have a relationship. If she were to come into family home right now, and give me a hug, I'd be the happiest dad in the world!

If anything, Steven and I owe her apologies. We've both done some things wrong. I certainly have made some missteps along the way. It's hard to react perfectly when the heart is torn and broken at a profound level.

And Steven really owes her apologies. Many of them. As for Steven, in the bible it says to forgive 70 times 7, which some people think is 490 times, but really it is a symbol of infinity. So God commands us to forgive one another. If Steven were to come to me, admit his wrongdoings and apologize, I would forgive him. We would have to go through some counseling in order to have a healthy relationship, but I think there's a higher standard that God expects from His followers. After that I would hope he and Brittany would renew their wedding vows so I could finally walk her down the aisle. I think I deserve at least that.

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Archive/Civil Complaint.pdf ***

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 1 of 31 State of Minnesota District Court County Judicial District: Second Ramsey Court File Number: Case Type: Personal Injury Timothy Hutchinson Civil Complaint Plaintiff vs Steven Anderson Defendant LEGAL CAUSE(S) OF ACTION  Defamation  A false or offensive statement, either verbal or written, about the plaintiff was made by the defendant  The defendant made such statement to a third party (someone other than the plaintiff)  The defendant acted negligently or with malice in making such statement  The plaintiff suffered damages, including damage to his reputation specifically caused by the defamatory statement  Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress  The actions or conduct of the defendant were extreme and outrageous  The defendant acted intentionally and/or recklessly  The defendant's actions or conduct caused severe emotional distress to the plaintiff The Plaintiff makes the following complaints against the Defendant: If you have more than 1 complaint against Defendant, list each complaint separately, including any supporting facts. 1. Steven Anderson caused undue stress and separation between my daughter and I. My daughter, Brittany, and I were very close and spent time together frequently. See Facebook screenshots, (Exhibit A). She began dating Steven Anderson, director of youth services at Calvary Church in 2020. Within a few months Brittany came to me and said

she was breaking up with him. She said that she felt as though she couldn't be herself around him because was too controlling. She later said that she had another meeting with him, during which time he "shared his heart" with her. Brittany said that Steven told her due to his upbringing he had difficulty

 properly expressing himself. Because of his explanation, she decided to again date him. Upon hearing that I decided to give him a wide swath of grace and patience when dealing with him. He took advantage of this, as documented below. Shortly thereafter Steven approached me to help support his ministry – which is his full-time job. He says Calvary Church cannot afford to pay him a salary, so he fundraises as a substitute. I began supporting him financially and further worked with him to improve his presentation in an effort to find others who would also be supportive. I continued sending money every month for 2 ½ years – even during the time when he would file an HRO against me, as I still had hope we would settle things peacefully. My wife later said that Steven told Brittany that I wasn't sending money and had refused to help them. I eventually stopped sending money after the June 2024 payment. This is when my wife moved out of our family home due to the stress of the problems Steven caused after becoming engaged to our daughter, Brittany. Within months after he began dating my daughter, Steven approached me and asked what it would take to have my blessing for him to marry her. One of the things I mentioned was spending more time with our family - we barely knew him. I told Steven that family was important to us, and that if he was to marry my daughter then we would want him to feel welcomed in our family and hopefully would be an active part of it. Afterwards he made an effort to be at our house almost on a weekly basis, and things seemed to be going well. One day he called to ask if we could hang out sometime. I said yes and we picked a date and time. When that time came, he arrived at our house, and we talked for quite a while. I sensed he had something he wanted to say or possibly ask me - maybe even permission to marry Brittany. Finally, after 3 hours I asked him "Is there something you wanted to ask me?" He then asked for my blessing on marrying my daughter, and I gave him that blessing. My wife and I even helped with a temporary ring until he could buy one. Once he proposed and my daughter accepted, his entire demeanor towards myself and our family changed. Documented below are lies and exaggerations he said about me in an HRO (Harassment Restraining Order) and my response which reveals how Steven has engaged in a campaign of libel, slander and harassment which has caused significant harm to both myself, and my family. Shortly after their engagement, he manipulated her and convinced her to stop talking with me so they could "focus on their relationship" . That was the last time I ever spoke with her. The last thing she said to me was "Dad, I love you so so so so much!" Steven's manipulation of my daughter has included making her fearful of me through a false narrative of his claim of me threatening him with a gun, being a overall dangerous person to him, her, and the church, and convincing her to join him in filing for an HRO under false pretenses. I asked many times what the problem was and also asked to resolve any differences. He never responded. After trying for a long time without any response, I texted him the following;: " You

lost far more than you gained." Meaning, we could have had a great relationship, but he had another agenda. I tried to make peace and amends 15 times. Steven made zero attempts and refused all of my attempts at making peace. During this time, I had been offering blanket apologies for things I had not done, just to have a relationship with my daughter. He never responded and instead continued taking everything I've done, as pure and honest as my actions were, and greatly exaggerated them and spun them into looking like everything I do a threat to him.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 3 of 31 His deliberate actions, hyper-exaggerated claims and outright lies have caused significant harm; due to the stress of Steven's actions, my wife and I ended our 31-year marriage. We sold the family home where we lived for 24 years, and I became homeless. I had to quit my job as a Safety and Compliance Manager. I enjoyed a corner office and had 70 people working for me - but had to quit due to my declining work performance as a result of the stress caused by Steven's negative actions and words. The day prior to selling our home I moved my belongings into a storage unit. Within a short period of time that unit was broken into and most of my valuable possessions were stolen. It is important to note that I have enjoyed a great relationship with the others my children have dated or married. With one notable exception; a man named Kyle, who assaulted my daughter and subsequently assaulted myself. (More details on this later). Prior to Steven, my daughter Brittany dated a man name David Zimmerman for several years. David and I got along exceptionally well. When Brittany decided to break up with David, he came directly to our family home to spend time with me. I explained that since Brittany is my daughter, I needed to advocate for her. He responded, "I know, but I feel so much better being with you." My youngest daughter, Vanessa, was dating a man named James Anderson (a common last name – no relation to Steven.) When he was homeless, I took him into our home just a week prior to our home being sold due to my divorce. Despite going through my own personal challenges, I still helped him. One of the ways was to help James come up with a life plan and I even rented him a hotel room. Later, when my daughter decided to end that relationship, I continued paying for his hotel room and gave him money for food and other expenses. My oldest daughter, Victoria, dated and married a man named Jacob Rademacher. During the 12-years my daughter has been with him, Jake (Jacob) and I have enjoyed a great relationship. He has never been fearful of me, nor felt threatened in any way, shape, form or manner. Jake and I have been to hockey games, on vacation, road trips and more together. He's a fantastic son-in- law who calls me "Dad". I hoped for the same with Steven, but the evidence will show he has a different agenda. Exhibit / Evidence; A. Facebook screenshots. 2. Steven Anderson lied about the conversation regarding a phone call about a wedding guest list. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17. "Within a week after Brittany and I began our engagement, the respondent began to take issue with our wedding planning. He began to call me to argue for things to be different on decisions that my finance and I had made." My response; His statement is false. One day Brittany was at our family house speaking to her mom and I about the guest list. It sounded as if Steven had already invited so many

people there was barely any room for our side of the family. At least that was the impression I got from the conversation. At one point I heard the number of guests was already more than the total amount they agreed upon. Brittany seemed stressed by this process. Her mom approached me afterwards and asked me if I could help with this matter. She suggested I make a friendly call to Steven, and I agreed. The following day I called Steven and asked one question: "How does the guest list honor the

 mother and father of the bride?" His reply was all about his family and never directly answered my question. He then stated that he didn't think his response answered my question. I calmly replied, "Not really." He then said he would get back to me about this. I offered a suggestion on how they might decide on a guest list, and he said that he would discuss this with Brittany. We then talked for a short while about other non-wedding related things and ended the call on a positive note. I then shared the details of this conversation with my wife, and we thought that call was enough and that Brittany and Steven would work things out. (He never got back to me to answer the question.) The only other time I ever discussed the wedding with Steven was during a time when he called me about a non-wedding related topic, and I asked him if my wife and I would be able to meet his parents before the wedding. He replied, "Not my dad, but maybe my mom when she comes to town to help me finish planning the wedding." He made it sound as if he and his mom would be doing the wedding planning and not Brittany. I asked, "Does Brittany know about this?" He said yes. I then asked if there would be anything that Mrs. Hutchinson (Brittany's mom) would be able to help with. He replied, "Maybe my mom can find something for her to do." I then repeated an earlier question, "And Brittany knows about this?" He again replied, yes. I then calmly said, "Oh, ok." That's as far as the conversation went. The only time I discussed the wedding with Brittany was when she came to me with a concern about their wedding photographer. I gave her some advice on how to approach Steven to discuss this topic, and nothing more. Compare this to my oldest daughter's wedding. I caused no problems whatsoever, did not interfere with any planning, and they will both testify to that. I would have done the same for Brittany and Steven's wedding, except Steven had other plans to exclude me as the evidence will show. Steven and Brittany were married on June 19 th , 2021. I believe Steven pushed for this day to be chosen because the following day was Father's Day, and I would not be able to celebrate it with my daughter, Brittany. I allege that he did this intentionally to further harm me emotionally. Phone Calls. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17 – 18 "The frequency and hostility in Respondent's telephone calls increased. Amidst these interactions Brittany and I decided it would be best to set boundaries around our wedding planning and conversations with us about them." My response; There never were any harassing phone calls. This claim is a lie. I only made 6 phone calls to Steven in total the entire time I have known him. Two of those were about allowing him to borrow one of my wife's rings to propose to our daughter. Another call was to offer him the same advice my Father-in-law gave me prior to my marrying his daughter nearly 30 years prior. Steven became agitated and responded rudely to me.

Another call was to ask if he wanted to get together and hang out – he said "No" , then hung up on me. There was a call about us doing a video chat to discuss some potential changes to his fund-raising presentation (I volunteered to help him with this). The other call was months later. I left a voicemail when I was upset with him after a long period of him intentionally doing things to harm both myself and my family. (More on this later.)

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 5 of 31 It should be noted that Steven made plenty of calls to me, however. He would say things such as; a) "You're not a very good father." b) "Brittany deserves someone better than you." c) "If you want to have a relationship with your daughter, then you need to submit to me and let me control you." Here again I gave him a wide swath of grace and patience because of what he told my daughter about not being able to properly express himself. During these times I tried to steer the conversation away from negative comments and towards something more positive and family oriented. 3. Steven Anderson manipulated my daughter Brittany into thinking I am dangerous. Brittany does Steven's laundry at our house, which I honestly didn't mind. He makes it clear that he is concerned about Covid, so when I discovered some information about that virus and fabric, I wanted to share this with him. Soon afterwards as Brittany was leaving the house, she said Steven's laundry was in the dryer and asked that once done I place it outside the front door for him to pick up. I figured this was out of concern for Covid, so I decided to write him a letter, and placed in in the laundry basket along with his clean clothes. It basically said I had done some research on Covid and was surprised it could last up to 48-hours on our clothes. Said I could appreciate his concern for being exposed to Covid. Maybe it's best his clothes are not washed at our house - at least now for now. I then said it would have been nice to see him today. That we could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just the day before for Covid. I signed it by saying "Hope you have a wonderful day." (A copy of this letter is included in Exhibit / Evidence – see end of this section.) When his clothes were done, I placed them outside the front door as requested. When Steven arrived, he read the note, threw the clothes into his car, slammed the door, then stared at our house for a full minute with an angry look on his face. He then got into the car and sped off running through a stop sign and speeding up the street at approximately double the speed limit. My intentions in writing that letter was pure – I really wanted to have a good relationship with him, but it seemed he turned it around and used it as an opportunity to have a tantrum. Then, just a day later, I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for several hours. So, he can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell and say hi, but just a day later he can spend hours inside our home? And he's here without any acknowledgment of the letter. This seemed very disrespectful. As Brittany and Steven were leaving, Brittany said "Hi dad" – I responded, "Hi honey!" Then Steven said, "Hello Mr. Hutchinson" . I responded with a rather dry "Hi" . It bothered me that he was so rude just the day before, as well as multiple times previously, and now today when my daughter is there, he's acting nice and innocent. I didn't want to say or do anything

that would be upsetting to Brittany, so I did not ask him for any explanation – at least not at that time. Several hours later my wife came home, and I told her about this and that it bothered me. After all, he had a history of being rude to my family and myself. I spoke loudly about how upset I was and said that I did not want Steven at our house again. I then texted Steven and said he was not welcome in our home until there was mediation. At no time when I was venting did I make any threats against Steven. My only comments were that he was disrespecting me in my own home, and I did not want him at our house anymore until differenced were resolved.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 6 of 31 I did not know that Brittany was in her bedroom and had overheard what I said. I honestly thought she had left and had specifically waited until I thought she was gone to vent my frustrations. My wife gave me a hug and we went and made dinner together. Within 10 minutes or so, we were in the kitchen laughing about some silly memes on Facebook. Yes, I raised my voice. Yes, I was upset. He's going to marry my daughter and he's treating me poorly. Any reasonable father would also be upset. But there was no threat. I later learned that Steven used this as an opportunity to convince my daughter to move out of our house by claiming she was in danger remaining there with me. Exhibits / Evidence; S. Laundry Letter. The Books. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18. Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1. "When I shared my desire to marry his daughter, months prior to asking for his blessing, he gave me two of his books, including an autobiographical work, Painful Secrets, wherein he claims to have almost committed a mass shooting as a teenager. With this context, the Respondent's placement of the gun on the banister was clearly meant and taken as a threat and intimidation towards me." My response; This is a gross exaggeration. In both filings he claims I gave him a copy of the books a "month" before in one and "months" before in another....it was actually 3 days prior to his asking to marry my daughter. During a previous time that we had met, he mentioned that he heard I wrote several books. I confirmed that I had. He said that he would like to read them. I sell these books on Amazon so I typically do not have any extra copies at the house. When he expressed an interest in reading them, I went to see if I had any available copies. I found one copy of 'Painful Secrets' and one copy of 'Casting Out Doubt'. I then generously gave him a free copy of each. Had he not mentioned my being an author, I would never have thought to give him a copy of any of the books. I have not previously given a copy to any of my other daughter's current or former boyfriends, nor any of Brittany's former boyfriends. Soon after, he asked if we could hang out for a while. I said yes, and he came over to our house. Once here he mentioned that he had read both books. One was my memoir, and he didn't really talk about that - it was the other book, the one about miracles. I was surprised he had read them already as I had just given them to him three (3) days prior. When I commented on this, he said that he had stayed up late at night to finish them. We talked about the book 'Casting Out Doubt' for a few minutes, then began talking about other topics. At no time did he seem concerned about the contents of my memoir, nor was it ever mentioned. That evening, I had a feeling he was there to ask me if he could marry my

daughter. This was an important evening, and I didn't want him to feel rushed - so I remained patient and waited. Finally, three hours later I asked if he was there, by chance, to ask me question. He said yes and asked if he could marry my daughter. I asked for there to be several conditions, and he agreed. One of them was not to interfere in my relationship with daughter. He promised that he wouldn't. Then we talked about a ring, and I offered to borrow him one of Brittany's mom's rings to

 propose with. That would give him time to go ring shopping. (He has since broken the promise to not interfere in my relationship with Brittany many times over). It is important to note that I have used my memoir, 'Painful Secrets' as a tool to help make bullying awareness and prevention a national issue. Along the way I have prevented 6 school shootings and also prevented 300 teen suicides. I would never use this book as a threat to anyone. My wife is a high school science teacher who has given copies of this same book to some of her troubled students. She has witnessed their lives being changed by it and has seen them carry this book around with them every day as a sign of hope and overcoming life obstacles. (See exhibit P for feedback from notable individuals.) Here again, Steven is making wild claims that are simply not true at all. Exhibit / Evidence; B. Books on Amazon. Also, P. 'Painful Secrets' feedback. 4. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of displaying my gun in an attempt to intimidate him. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18. "At the time, my fiance lived in respondents home. I visited while the respondent was at work, to make plans for the wedding. He returned before I left, and we only exchanged a brief interaction on me way our the door, however, he placed his firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight. I couldn't help but feel as though he had intentionally placed the gun there for me to see." My response; This was around the time when there were riots in Minneapolis after what happened to George Floyd. There was talk about the riots going to the suburbs. At the time my wife asked me if I still had a gun. I replied yes. The fact that she asked this question shows how discreet I am with it. I never show it to anyone, open carry or even discuss it. She then said it would make her feel safer if she could see it regularly. So, for a short while, placing my firearm on the upstairs banister became a temporary routine for me. Again, she literally asked me to do this. This is the only reason I would ever leave my gun out; because it was at the request of my wife. I would come home from work and place it on the end railing at the top of the steps, go into the kitchen and greet my wife with a kiss, unpack my lunch bag, then go put the gun away in our bedroom. It was only out for approximately 3 minutes. I did this only for a few weeks, then asked if she was OK with me not putting it out anymore, as I never was comfortable doing this. She said yes, and I stopped leaving it out for her to see. One day while Steven was over, he saw the handgun in its usual place and made an incorrect assumption. He then used this occasion to begin placing thoughts into my daughter's head that I am a dangerous person, and they are both at risk of me harming them with the gun. Steven claimed it was " on the banister by the front door, …". This is a false claim. It was actually on the 2 nd floor of the house – Steven remained in the lower level and was never near the gun. It was also holstered, and I never went

near it while he was in the house. During this entire time, he never saw me – he only heard my voice coming from the kitchen.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 8 of 31 I have never waved this gun around or used it to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie; but Steven wants to falsely portray me as a crazy man with a weapon. 5. Steven Anderson gave me a list called "Ruled of Engagement" which he required my wife and I to agree to in order for us to continue being involved in the wedding planning. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17. "The respondent took issue with some of the wording in our Rules of Engagement..." My response; Brittany and Steven came up with something called 'Rules of Engagement' , and presented them to my wife and I. We both agreed to follow these rules. At this time, we were at our house sitting at the dining room table. Afterwards, Brittany and her mom went into the kitchen. I got up and said I was going to the bathroom. I began walking away but then stopped and turned around to retrieve something from the table. At that time Steven had stood up and was bent over picking up a bag from the floor with his back towards me. He did not realize I was standing there. Just then I heard him say "Oh, this is gonna be good!" He said it in a very deep voice as though he was almost growling. To me, it sounded demonic. He suddenly stood and spun around to face me. He began smiling and started trying to tell a joke. It seemed like he was trying to cover for something. I was too stunned to speak or respond. He then called to Brittany saying they should leave. Afterwards, my wife looked at me and asked, "Honey, are you OK?" I didn't want to make a scene so I just responded by saying I was OK. We then went into our bedroom together and prayed for them as a couple. The following day I shared what happened with my brother. Shortly after that I sent them both an email asking a question about how to ask a question. Nothing more. Simply asking how they preferred me to ask a question. Something in the 'Rules of Engagement' was not clear and I was merely asking for clarification. Steven immediately took offense to this and began accusing me of manipulation. This email conversation included Brittany, her mom, Steven and me. Suddenly I got an email from Steven stating that if I loved Brittany that I would "Lay down everything." This to me means submitting to him and goes along with his earlier comments about submitting to him if I want a relationship with my daughter. I then realized that he had sent the email directly to me and had excluded Brittany and her mom. I then said I would not continue this conversation with him in that email thread and would only have a discussion involving Brittany and Mrs. Hutchinson. I then went back to the previous email thread and responded in a somewhat sharp manner. Afterwards I asked my wife to be the one to send out any emails from me to them. In that way I could be assured that both her and Brittany would be included in the emails. Exhibit / Evidence; C. Copy of the Rules of Engagement. Also, D. Seeking clarification.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 9 of 31 6. Steven Anderson claims that because I had an emotional response and I raised my voice that I cannot control

my anger. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17. "On the same day he returned home and Brittany reports visible signs of anger (slamming doors, slamming things, yelling, etc.)" "This email and anger was the first clues towards irrational behavior and unwarranted responses. I only expressed my concern for effective conflict resolution....and he took it as an insult to his intelligence and he was unable to control his emotional response." Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18. "I then called my fiancé to share the news with her, [about a text I sent him banning him from my property} and I could hear him, yelling and angrily attacking my character because I had done laundry in his house. At this point I began to seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé." My response; Later, after the email exchange, I was talking with Brittany and my frustration boiled over, and I raised my voice about how Steven would not answer the seemly simple question about the wedding guest list, has made no effort to be a part of our family, and has been acting rudely towards me. I was also terribly upset that Brittany is showing signs of stress and I haven't seen her smile in days. I am very concerned and even heartbroken that she should have to go through this during a time when she should be celebrating. My being agitated certainly did not help matters. As I walked out of the kitchen, I was so disappointed in myself for having raised my voice (something I had never done before to her) that I slapped the doorway with my open hand. I truly felt awful about how I had acted. She later she came to me, and we discussed the guest list. I accepted her explanation, apologized for raising my voice, and asked that we move forward. The following day I went to her and asked if we could do a reset, one where we could together enjoy the journey of her getting married. She agreed and we spent some enjoyable time together. There was no slamming doors, slamming other things or emotional outbursts of any kind (other than raising my voice once to Brittany). His claim that I was " unable to control his emotional response" is completely false and unfounded. My wife was at home during this time – if any of this had actually happened, my wife would have come to investigate. She will testify that this never happened and it was a peaceful evening at home. This is yet another attempt by Steven at disparaging me through his lies and manipulation. In an earlier HRO filing he used words to describe my actions, such as; " RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP , and SCREAMING." No one has witnessed me doing any of these and this is nothing more than character assassination. I had only raised my voice twice. The second time I was unaware that Brittany was home and talked loudly to my wife. She did not feel any concern for her safety, and even walked over and gave me a hug. I rarely, if ever, talk in an upset manner. My wife knew I was no danger to anyone and understood my need for a hug. His claim to have begun to "… seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé" is nonsense, completely baseless and all a part of his plan to cause a division in my family.

 Ever since I had given Steven permission to marry my daughter, he no longer made any efforts to be a part of our family or join any activities. It's like a switch was flipped and he became cold towards us. He has spoken rudely to myself, guests at our house and has acted this way towards my other children. It appears he was only being nice to obtain my blessing, then his real personality came out. One day I talked to Steven and told

him that I do not hate him nor am I trying to stop the wedding. I have a good relationship with my other daughters' boyfriends and hoped to have the same with him. His only response was "thank you for sharing that" in a monotone voice. There was no appreciation or gratitude - no saying anything like, 'wow I'm hoping for that too.' Nothing. The fact that I had remained calm for so long given all the terrible things he had been saying to me, just shows how rational and peaceful of a person I really am. Since that time, over 3 years ago, not one person has witnessed me raising my voice at all. These two instances were rare and only due to the stress that Steven was causing in my family. 7. Steven Anderson refused to engage in mediation to resolve the conflict between us. Steven was texting me some inappropriate comments and I responded by asking him to not contact me anymore in this manner. He responded by saying that Brittany suggested mediation. I said yes, and that if he wanted to pick a place for that to happen, that I would pay for those services. He responded by saying that the only way he would agree to mediation is if it were at the church where he works. This seemed to put me at a disadvantage because they are familiar with him and do not know me. But I agreed to this anyway figuring I would just set the truth free and let it defend itself. Afterwards, as I thought about mediation it occurred to me that mediation meant all the bad and negative things Steven had done would be revealed and that could have very negative impacts on him. After a time of prayer I sent the following email, striving for peace, and once again apologizing for things I haven't done, and admitting to things I never did, all in an effort to have a relationship with my daughter. "This email is an open apology to my daughter, Brittany. I have failed you. Not just a little, but a lot. During the past weeks I have been so focused on being right, about winning a disagreement, that I lost sight of something far more important; our relationship and your happiness. I have always put you first in my life, and now just months before your wedding I have fallen far short of what I should have been doing. You have every reason to be mad at me, hurt, disappointed and feeling less than loved by me. For that I apologize - profusely. I love you more than life itself and literally live in daily agony over the state of our current relationship. In response I'll say this: I resign. Completely and fully resign. Not from being your dad of course, but rather from the disagreement that caused this. The [previous] letter I emailed states my position and stands as the truth as I know it. But that's as far as it goes. I'm done with any disagreement with Steven. My time and energy is now going to be focused on you, Brittany. To work hard restoring our precious relationship and ensuring this never happens again. Ever. And, to help celebrate the upcoming wedding and make these months before the wedding as enjoyable as possible.

 With that in mind, I am inviting Steven to neutral ground. A place where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen, mi casa es tu casa. I don't want battles anymore - I just want to love my family and others with all my heart. To fully enjoy what time I have left on this planet. The past few weeks/months somehow turned ugly and I don't want that - never did. I ended up feeling ugly inside

and that's not who I am. At all. I repent for my misdeeds and missteps. Peace, Tim/Dad" Comment: Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again. My wife replied: "Tim, I want you to know those words really touched my heart. They were absolutely beautiful and honest. I appreciate you putting Britt first and your highest priority .[Emphasis added] Im proud of you and very hopeful we can begin celebrating this engagement and wedding! This makes me very happy. I love you all so much. With Love, Jen" Our daughter replied; "Dad, Steven and I read your emails. We have found ourselves in the same position that we have felt trapped into for the past few weeks, unsure how to respond. We have set clear boundaries, laid out in our Rules of Engagement, and those have been violated repeatedly. The way Steven and I have been treated by you has been inappropriate and out of line. The last email we received was an apology directed towards me, and while I appreciate that I feel as if it dismissed core issues. This puts us into a difficult position of being perceived as the ones continuing conflict if we don't accept a "blank slate." As Steven and I prepare to start our new life together, we are concerned that these patterns of behavior will continue. We believe that there are significant changes that need to occur in order to move forward in a healthy manner. We desire growing towards healthier relationships with you. Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far, so we believe a mediated conversation is the appropriate next step. We are confirming with our mediator, but does Saturday at 10 am work for you and mom? It's important that all 4 of us will be there.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 12 of 31 Thanks, Britt" Concerned about "patterns" – what patterns? I've been apologizing for things I never did. "Significant changes" ? Should I then become an uncaring, unloving father? That's ridiculous and clearly not my daughter's voice. That has 'Steven' written all over it. "….and those have been violated repeatedly…" To date neither Brittany nor Steven can clearly state what any of this alleged violations are. Before meeting Steven, my daughter would never have written an email reply such as this. Never. I believe he is manipulating her. My reply; "Y es, mom and I can be available at that time. I am willing to attend based upon three conditions; 1) This is about moving forward, not digging up the past. There were clearly missteps on the part of several of us, not just myself. Going over those details will serve no useful purpose other than igniting hurt feelings. 2) The meeting will be focused on ways to communicate in both directions; engaged couple to the parents, and vice-versa. 3) No expectations will be made that violate our First Amendment rights. While I agree there are acceptable ways to communicate, anything that prevents one of us from speaking at all to another person is something I won't consider. This is America - brave heroic people fought for our rights, and that should be respected." Steven replied; "Hi all, I agree with everything Britt said. As for your response, Mr. Hutchinson, I don't believe we can comply with them all of them the way you have requested. 1. There are things that have been done and said over the last two weeks that cannot be ignored, and it is

not enough to ask for a "blank slate" and move forward. Some of this will be discussed in our conversation. 2. We agree that communication moving forward should be an element of this conversation. 3. We don't believe it's helpful for us to set expectations for the communication plan moving forward before our conversation.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 13 of 31 I have to admit, these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining for Britt and I as we have interacted with you and we desire to move forward in a healthier direction and would appreciate your willingness to enter into that being willing to lay anything down in order to make that happen. You have emphasized how important your relationship with Britt is, and if that is really true, we believe that you will listen to what Britt and I have to say about how we can all move forward in a healthy way, even if that means that interaction with one or both of us moves forward differently than your personal preference." Comment: "Things have been done and said that cannot be ignored". Such as? To date neither of them can name even one single thing. "…these past few weeks have been incredible challenging and emotionally draining for Brittany and I as we have interacted with you…" Here again, he cannot name one thing that I've done, other than ask a question, raise my voice (to Brittany once, and to my wife once), apologize for things I never did, and strive for peace. They literally cannot and have not named anything. Steven interjected himself into the equation with his comment. Other than a letter trying to make peace, I've had no interaction with Steven in the previous few weeks. But still, he tries to pretend to be a victim here. "We desire to move forward in a healthier direction…" Clearly we cannot move forward if Steven puts zero effort into anything and my daughter will not even speak to me because of Steven's lies. My reply; "Steven, Mrs. Hutchinson and I have also been directly/indirectly impacted in a negative way by some of your words & actions. We will also be presenting a list of expectations on how we will be communicated with. We look forward to the meeting. See you then. Mr. Hutchinson" The second attempt @ mediation was scheduled for Saturday, 2/27/2021 @ 10 AM. The previous week's mediation was cancelled by the mediator due to a scheduling conflict. It's important to note that Steven did not want to discuss any previous events in mediation. Then he changed his mind and now wants previous events to be discussed. That's a 180-degree turn-around. A few days later Steven sends me a text message which says, "Mediation is only about you and Mrs. Hutchinson learning how you two are going to talk to me." I showed this text message to my wife (Mrs. Hutchinson), my brother and youngest sister. They were all shocked and appalled at this. I responded that he has been saying terrible things to me and that during mediation he would also learn how to communicate with us. Shortly afterwards he cancelled mediation and would not give us a valid reason for doing so. He also refused to reschedule mediation. It appears obvious to me that Steven has by now convinced my daughter that I have done things that are wrong,

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 14 of 31 without any evidence or proof whatsoever. In fact, it has been Steven who is the one causing the problems. I

apologized to my daughter and said that I didn't want to focus on any disagreement any longer – instead I wanted to focus on our relationship. I invited Steven to a neutral ground where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where, and I quote, "You are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen – my house is your house." Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again. They both replied that they could not accept this. Brittany claimed I had violated the 'Rules of Engagement' , and Steven said; "…these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining…" What's interesting is during the weeks before this I actually had no contact with him at all. What interaction was he talking about? There literally was none. This is another example of Steven trying to invent a make-believe world and draw my daughter into it – a world in which I am a villain – a violent person unable to control my behavior. Yet here I am, 63+ years-old, no criminal record, love doing volunteer work, no problems with any one until Steven comes along and suddenly I am a super-villain. Yet he cannot name even one threat I've made to him. Not one. Because there weren't any. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17. "At this point it became clear there was significant issue, and so I suggested to the respondent that he and I find a time to connect to talk through the conflict." My response; Steven never made any such suggestion to me. I am the one who has been offering apologies for things I never did and trying to find a way to resolve conflict(s) when I have no idea what the issue(s) are/were. Had he reached out me to in this manner I would have gladly accepted. His claim to have reached out to try and connect to talk through the conflict is absolutely a lie. It should also be noted that at this point in time I had no idea what the problem(s) were/are. Neither Brittany nor Steven were communicating with me. My wife tried contacting them to find out what the issue/problem were/are, but neither of them were willing to discuss this with her either. It wasn't until the first HRO was filed that I had even a glimpse of the terrible things I was being falsely accused of. I tried a total of 15 times to make peace. Steven never suggested even one single thing to help get our relationship back on track. I came up with 100% of the ideas and have offered 100% of the apologies and have made 100% of the efforts. He could not and still cannot truthfully state one thing that I've done to threaten or even offend him. Not one. Up until the first HRO was filed, I had been offering blanket apologies in an effort to have a relationship with my daughter. All along he took everything I've done, as pure and honest as my actions were, and greatly exaggerated them and spun them into falsely looking like it's all a threat to him. Those are all absolute lies. What's especially troubling is that he claims to be a Christian and works at a church. None of what he's done towards me reflects Christian behavior.

 8. Steven Anderson accused me of being in possession of a firearm and created a false narrative that my daughter was no safe in my home. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18. "Later that day I received a call from my finance that while the respondent was still visibly angry, he went out to the garage and collected his firearm,

which he continued to hold while angry. Brittany felt unsafe and so she left to stay at a friend's home. At that point Brittany and I found an apartment to lease where she could live, in order to move out of the respondent's home. We decided to attempt to keep this address a secret from the respondent for fear of safety for Brittany and myself." My response; Within a few days Brittany moved out of our house. Supposedly because she is now suddenly afraid of me, because I raised my voice, twice. What's interesting is the very day she moved out, she returned that evening to retrieve something she had left behind. When she saw me, she gave me a hug and said "I love you so much." I told her it was weird seeing her move and that this was the first time she's ever moved that I didn't help. She replied that was true and yes this all seems weird. As she walked out of the house she looked back at me and though she smiled, I know her well enough that I could detect a hint of sadness – almost as if she didn't want to go. A few days later her youngest sister, Vanessa, told me that she had gone to Brittany's apartment (that she had just moved to), and that those two stood outside the apartment building and hugged as Brittany cried, saying she didn't understand why she had to move away from her home. Vanessa said that Brittany sounded very confused about what was happening. "…for fear of safety for Brittany and myself." This is fear that he created through a false narrative of me being a danger to either of them. He did this intentionally and with malice towards my myself and my family. 9. Steven Anderson accused me of being delusional and unpredictable, further misleading my daughter into thinking that she was in danger. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18. "On 2/2/21 Brittany reports hearing from the respondent that "[he's] so mad [he] could kill someone, so [he's] going to kill Charlie". Further claims Charlie is my "violent side" and was a "secret CIA assassin." He also states that I appear to be "delusional and out-of-touch with reality. I am afraid for my safety and Brittany's. His actions are clearly unpredictable. My response; In an effort to activate what I call my daughters "BS detector", by which I mean a healthy amount of skepticism, my well-meaning brother Steve, made up a story. This is really the only time in my life I've ever told Brittany something that wasn't true. But I had good intentions. He made the story so fantastical that any reasonable person would doubt it was real. That was the whole idea. To have her look at this and say wait a minute, that's not real. And then to have her look at what Steven was saying and realize what he's saying is also not real. My daughter Brittany is kind, sweet, thoughtful and a really, really wonderful person. She was also gullible as any 22-year-old is a that time. And Steven clearly took advantage of that.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 16 of 31 I told her that "I'm so angry I could kill someone." This is a phrase I grew up hearing; no one actually killed anyone; it's like saying I'm so hungry I could eat a horse. No one eats horses and even if they did, they couldn't consume an entire horse. It's only a phrase with no factual meaning behind it. I also chose that phrase because I figured she would immediately realize that I wouldn't actually kill someone - she's only known me as a kind, loving, peaceful father who always puts his family first. I told her the person I would kill is Charlie (you can't kill Charlie - it's just a name I was given in the military.) I said that I was going to a

DNR lab near Owatonna where I would be held down on a table and my head would be zapped with electricity. That's as crazy of a story that we could think of at the moment. The next morning, I said that it snowed the previous night (which it did) so we met at a closed gas station and performed the procedure in a van. They zapped me while I rolled my eyes side- to-side. I waited for her to say something like, 'Dad, this isn't real!' But she never did. I had every intention of explaining to her why I told this fabricated story, but I wanted to do so in person. Sadly, I never saw her again. When I shared this story with my son he began laughing. Anyone who knows me would immediately realize that this was a made-up story. Later, I shared this same story with my youngest daughter, Vanessa. Her response was the same; laughter. They both know I would never do anything like what I had described. Before the trip to Owatonna, I had told this story to my wife. She went with me on the trip and showed no concerns about my mental health. She recognized immediately it was just a story. The fact that Brittany took this seriously showed me how much Steven had been manipulating her. The Kyle Story Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18. Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1. "Throughout my relationship with his daughter, the respondent reminded me on several occasions of a story where he insinuates that a previous relationship one of his other daughters had, ended with him shoving the boyfriends head through the drywall of his apartment." My response; I never told him this story. Kyle was my daughter Vanessa's boyfriend. During their relationship he assaulted her. Afterwards, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. So, I called Kyle, and when he began shouting at me, I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So, I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified myself as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to, I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. He then came at me with a raised fist. At that point I felt the need to defend myself and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I responded, "Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No

 sir. It's done." So, I left. He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical – I only went there to ask that he stay away from my daughter. Again, I never told Steven this story. He only overheard me telling this story, twice. Both times the telling of the story was initiated by Vanessa. The first time was to her boyfriend as the family gathered at a restaurant to celebrate her mom's birthday. The second time was at a bonfire at our family house, and she was telling the story to two friends who stopped by. I took over the telling of the story both times in order to end it quickly. After the second time I asked my daughter, Vanessa, to not tell the story anymore. She stated that she was proud of me for defending her but agreed not to tell the story anymore. She said, "I love you dad." I replied, "I love you too. Thank you for agreeing to not tell this story anymore." Steven

was not a part of either conversation, his name was not mentioned, nor did anyone acknowledge him and I never made eye contact with him at any time. During the first time, at the restaurant, he was seated 3 people away from us. At the bonfire, he was seated 4 people away from us. Both times there were other conversations happening closer to him, so there was no way he was included in the conversation pertaining to the Kyle story. His assertion that I reminded him is completely false. He overhead the story and presented it in the court filing for the HRO as if I told it directly to him and also as if it was intended to be a threat directly to him. Those assertions are completely false. It should be noted that after the bonfire, I had 4 people tell me that Steven had acted rudely towards them. Initially I defended him saying that he works at a church and so they must be mistaken. Later, as I thought more about it, it became apparent that some of these people did not know each other and therefore could not have collaborated on the story. That, to me, gave some credence they might be telling the truth. It should also be noted that during the bonfire my brother Steve began making what he thought were jokes about Steven. I quickly interrupted and told my brother that Steven worked at a church and asked that he please not make any jokes about him. He apologized and refrained from telling any further jokes. I defended Steven, only to have him later go and tell lies about me. 10. Steven Anderson falsely claimed I was trying to have him fired when I asked the church leadership for help in intervening in this conflict. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 19. Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1-2. "On March 1, 2021, the respondent appeared unannounced and uninvited at Calvary church.....which is my place of employment to deliver a defamatory letter address to my superior and senior pastor of the church...The letter made false and defamatory claims about me and my character with the intent to have Calvary terminate my employment." My response; Before contacting the church I reached out to Steven in yet another attempt to make peace. He never responded. So, I then reached out to Calvary church and approached them as respectfully as possible asking for help. I stated that Steven has been acting inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. I asked them to review the facts and prayerfully consider helping bring a resolution to this situation. There was no response to the first request, so I sent a second. Shortly afterwards a

 letter came in the mail from their attorney stating I was not welcome on either of their campuses and to not have any further contact with them. At that time, I respected their request and ceased contact with the church. Later, I learned that Steven claimed he was so afraid of me being violent that he was being escorted into the church from the parking lot each day, and then back to his car when he left. He also said he was always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him. The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. Steven went so far as to make a church unduly afraid of me, with no evidence whatsoever – nothing but his false claims. I will state this fact again; I never threatened him. Ever. If I am such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren't the police ever called? If Steven was

really that afraid of me the police should be notified. But they weren't. Why? In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police - not even once. He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him - not one - because there weren't any. Because I don't want to - I never did. Any perceived threats are made-up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as my family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me. At no time have I ever asked the church to fire him. My request initially was simply to nudge him towards mediation. Later, that became a request for an investigation – but only after he told me, through his attorney, that he did not want to reconcile our relationship. "The letter made false and defamatory claims…" No, it was a respectful attempt to move things forward towards resolution. Everything in the letter and accompanying documents was/were factually true. Exhibit / Evidence; F. Letter to Steven before contacting the church. 11. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of ill intent when I went to his apartment to offer peace. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 20-21. Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 2. "APRIL 28, 2021 - UNINVITED INTRUSION AT PERITIONER'S PERSONAL RESIDENCE." The respondent visited my apartment building on 4/28/21 at 7:50am. Steven and his roommates were all sleeping. After receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the building, neither Steven nor his roommates unlocked the front door to the building, which they had previously discussed to do if he came to visit the apartment. But the respondent entered anyway. He knocked on the door repeatedly but I did not answer....my heart was racing...I began to think about having to jump from my second story balcony or calling the police just to get away....After some time of knocking, it stopped...." My response; This is another exaggeration Steven has made. What really occurred is this; shortly before my visit I asked for an extended break - to let things calm down a bit. I then went to each of their homes for one purpose; to let them know they are both loved and forgiven. I told my wife what

 my purpose was for going there, and she responded by saying my actions were beautiful and she was proud of me. Upon arrival at Steven's apartment building, I parked my car and exited. An African American woman was walking out to her car and said hello. I responded by saying good morning. She then told me the buzzer box on the building was broken and that maintenance knew about it. She then told me I could just enter the building as the door was unlocked. I thanked her and walked towards the front door of the building. Once there I discovered the door was indeed unlocked and so I walked in without buzzing Steven's apartment. His claim of " receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the building,…´ is therefore completely false, as I never buzzed his apartment. I couldn't – the buzzer was broken. In an earlier filing he also claimed that I had "gained access" to the building, making it sound as though I had broken into the building as if I were a burglar or criminal. That also is false. I simply opened the door and walked in like any normal person would. I then went to his apartment and using the second knuckle on my right hand, knocked three times in a normal manner. I then stepped

back across the hall and patiently waited. After several minutes no one answered the door, so I stepped forward and again knocked three times in a normal manner, again using the second knuckle of my right hand. It should also be noted that this was during the Covid pandemic, and I respectfully wore a mask during this entire time. When there was no answer after my second time of knocking normally, I peacefully walked out of the building and drove away calmly. From there I drove to a nearby Cub Foods store and purchased some flowers for my daughter. I went to her apartment building and buzzed her apartment; however, she didn't answer the door. So, I placed the flowers on her car and left peacefully. I later learned she had called Steven in a panicked state because I was at the door to the apartment building she lived in and she didn't know how to respond. I went there with flowers, to tell her that I love her, and she's too afraid to answer the door. Clearly this is because of the lies Steven has told her. Does it really make sense that I am trying to make peace and threatening violence at the same time? It's an incredibly sad day for a dad when his own daughter is afraid of him for no valid reason whatsoever. Let me be perfectly clear - I have never threatened either one of them with violence, at any time, in any way whatsoever. These are all fabricated lies of Steven. In my journal I wrote that I was going there to tell them they were LOVED and FORGIVEN, with both of those words in uppercase letters. It was repeated this several times on the same page in the journal. In his court filing, Steven left out that page intentionally, because it tells the real story and exposes some of the lies he has told. Since he dd not answer the door, Steven does not know the reason for my visit. He decided, without any evidence, to twist things around and use this against me in a manner that was intended to cause harm to myself and my family. 12. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of making threatening phone calls to him. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21. "2.....THREATENTING VOICE MESSAGE...."If you want to live in peace, as I do, then if I prepare for war, any adversary will know that and basically let me be. So when you haven't heard from my these past few weeks, that's I've been doing. I've been preparing for war." My response;

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 20 of 31 I clearly stated my desire to live in peace. His actions have made that difficult. I was letting him know that I had been preparing for war – which means to expose him on social media and contact law enforcement (which I ultimately did – more details later.) I have told him all along that I wanted to resolve things in a peaceful manner. His response has been to continue telling lies about me and/or ignore my multiple request(s) for a peaceful resolution. 13. Steven Anderson falsely accused my wife of sending harassing emails on my behalf. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21. "3. MARCH 24, 2021---HARASSING EMAIL. Email excerpt sent through Timothy's wife, Jennifer to Steven Anderson: "You've successfully stripped my daughter out of my life, and in the process hurt me at a level I have not been hurt before. But understand one thing; I could have stopped you by releasing the 'Epic Storm' I designed and planned." My response; The reason my wife began sending the emails is because during the time when Steven, Brittany, my wife and I were having an email conversation, Steven was also sending me emails directly stating things such

as how he expects me to submit to him. But in the other emails which were including my wife and Brittany he was acting innocent and trying to make himself and Bittany look like victims. I showed these emails to my wife and requested that she be the one moving forward to send out the emails, that way we could be certain the four of us were seeing all the emails being sent. She readily agreed and I then blocked Steven from emailing me directly. An 'Epic Storm' is a term which describes a completely legal means of a cascading series of events designed to bring about change, or to protect someone. Again, these events are all completely legal. Nothing evil and no intent to cause physical harm at all. Several times I told Steven that I would do an epic storm if he continued ruining my relationship with Brittany and causing strife in my family. I never took any action though, because that would have been upsetting to my daughter and I was doing my best to shield her from any actions I was being forced to take. He falsely claimed this meant I was going to be violent with him, even though I told him everything I planned on doing ahead of time, and all of it was completely legal. It stated this in my journal and in emails. Again, he took advantage of every innocent thing I did and would twist things to make my daughter think I was a crazed violent person. Something she has never seen in me before, because it's not there and is all a fabrication of Steven's. Meanwhile, he's doing absolutely nothing to help settle things between us. His attempts thus far have amounted to exactly zero. He is a person who works in a church yet disobeys the teachings of the bible. His actions themselves expose him as a fraud. Bold Moves Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21. "04. April 26, 2021---EMAIL. Email excerpt sent to Brittany about Steven "My plan is to make some bold moves to resolve things between Steven and I. For us to not have a relationship is completely unacceptable. A father should not have to stop having a relationship with his daughter so she can marry someone. This is wrong on so many levels. Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language these "bold moves" have cause much concern for me and have included him visiting my home and place of work..."

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 21 of 31 My response; " Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language…" I have never threatened him or used any violent language. Steven has again made all of this up. Bold moves would be to do as I stated on the main page of my journal; go public with what I know about him, file a lawsuit, ask law enforcement to become involved, etc. None of the actions I mentioned were violent in nature and in fact are all completely legal. Steven has again fabricated all of the claims against me concerning threats of violence. See previous sections for what happened during the time(s) I visited his work and/or home. None of that was even close to being threatening. Asking a church to help move things forward towards meditation is a threat? Visiting his home to tell him he is " LOVED and FORGIVEN " is " violent language" ? It seems clear to me that Steven is seriously delusional and acting/acted on an evil plan to intentionally harm/destroy my family. 14. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of creating a public website he claimed was meant to publicly defame him. It was only an online journal. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24-25. "PUBLIC DEFAMATORY WEBSITE....Respondent created a defamatory website and

threatened to spread the link publicly. My response: This was a personal and private online journal. I allowed Steven to see it one time. He violated copyright law by copying the entire journal, then attempted to use it against me. This private journal did not contain any threats of violence at all. The main part of the journal contained a collection of emails that had been sent back and forth between Steven, Brittany, my wife and I, as well as some letters I had sent Brittany, and some moments of personal reflection. Again, nothing threatening violence of any type. When Steven would not agree to meet or come together for mediation, I added a home page to the journal stating I would make this journal public if he did not work towards a resolution between us so I could have a relationship with my daughter. On that page I clearly stated that everything would be done legally. It also included a picture of Steven's face with the eyes made to look dark. That was to show how much darkness I believed was in Steven in order for him to act the way he had been, and beneath it was a call to prayer . [Emphasis added]. Should the private journal be made public, this would be a request for people to pray for him. Again, there was no threat of violence to him or anyone else. This was plain and obvious, but Steven instead chose to twist things around to make it seem that he was an intended victim. Exhibit / Evidence; H. Copy of private journal. 15. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of having said he was responsible for $350,000 in medical bills. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24. "Claimed I was responsible for $350,000 of medical damages..."

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 22 of 31 My response; I have never claimed there were/are $350,000 of medical damages or bills. I have health insurance and most of the medical bills have been paid through them. In recent years I have gone to the doctor's office and there have been concerns about cancer and my heart. During those times Steven has not shown any care or concern for my health. Not even an offer of prayer. This falls far beneath the level expected of a Christian, and especially of church faculty or a pastor. More False Claims Steven Filing Part 1. Page 26. "...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature. In March of this year, he reported suicide ideation and holding a gun to his head, as a result of the deterioration with the relationship with his daughter....He seems unable to regulate his emotions, is willing to bring a firearm into the mix and is fixated on me being the cause of his broken relationship with his daughter. I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me. After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me. By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation." My response; "...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature...." "He seems unable to regulate his emotions..." "I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me." "After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me." "By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the

leadership or the congregation." Those are all gross exaggerations intended to falsely make him appear to be a victim. One evening I did very briefly hold a gun up to my head at a park, within hours of a painful counseling session with my daughter. Later, I told my wife about this. We agreed I should get some help at the hospital. Once there, they offered to let me stay with them for inpatient counseling, and clearly stated my stay was completely voluntary. I agreed, and over the next 24 hours worked with a mental health doctor (Dr. Brown) and her staff to develop coping skills. The following day I asked to be discharged, and the doctor agreed. She stated there were no concerns for suicide or that I would act out in a violent manner. I went to the hospital voluntarily, stayed voluntarily, and left voluntarily with no concerns that I was a threat either to myself or anyone else. Steven's statements, listed above, are completely false, fabricated, and being used as an attempt to slander and libel me, with the clear intent of causing immeasurable harm to myself and my family. The above statements made by Steven clearly show that he is making up these terrible claims about me. There is no evidence at all that I have ever threatened him. Steven is cleverly twisting

 words around in an attempt to make himself appear to be a victim, and to cause harm to my family. In doing so he has also caused undue concern from the church and congregation. Exhibit / Evidence G. Hospital papers from overnight stay. 16. Steven Anderson convinced my daughter to falsely accuse me of domestic violence. (First HRO.) Shortly after I went to tell them they were LOVED and FORGIVEN, I was served court papers by the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office. These papers were Steven and Brittany filing for a Harassment Restraining Order against me. Once I read their complaint(s) against me it became clear the type of lies Steven had been telling about me, specifically to my daughter, Brittany. I assembled all the evidence I had and planned to ask for a trial, during which I would fully expose Steven and his lies intended to harm both myself and my family. Especially concerning were allegations there was ‘domestic violence’ on the main page of Brittany’s filing. There never was any violence of any kind whatsoever between me and Brittany. That claim is false and is a horrible allegation. Their Attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh, told me beforehand that if I did not accept the HRO without finding of fact, it would harm my daughter, her integrity and profession as a nurse. Though I could have presented an enormous amount of evidence and witnesses to refute any of Steven’s claims (which are lies), out of an abundance of love for my daughter, Brittany, I accepted the HRO without finding of fact. Mr. Van Loh went on to tell me that “It’s a tough day for a dad” and that he understands because of his own daughter's wedding, and that I am “Doing the right thing.” During that same meeting, he asked that the website I had up about Steven be taken down. I specifically told him that was not a website and that it was my private journal - one that I discreetly shared with Steven. He then said, "That's what pen and paper are for." So, he knew that was a private journal, but in the future, he would act as though it was a public website that I used to disparage Steven. In one later email he even specifically referred to that website as a "public website." Why would anyone refer to a website in that manner? Unless of course they

know it's private and are trying to pretend it is not. It should be noted that despite being out of my daughter's life was/is incredibly painful, during the next two (2) years I only violated the HRO one time, and only due to a medical emergency. This happened when I was feeling ill and was advised by my doctor's office to come see them soon. While driving there I began experiencing chest pains when only a few blocks away from the clinic. Instead of going my usual long way around to get there, and avoiding Calvary church on Highway 96 (a place where I was ordered to not go within two (2) blocks of) I instead opted for the direct route which took me past the church. I did not stop at the church or otherwise interact with anyone there. I only drove past it on in my attempt to get to the clinic as quickly as possible. My thoughts were focused on getting medical help. Once at the clinic an EKG was performed. The attending physician immediately recognized I was having a cardiac event and called for an ambulance. I was transported to the nearest hospital for treatment. Other than this one time due to a medical emergency, I obeyed the court order and did not violate the HRO. I am a peaceful and law-abiding citizen. Shortly after the HRO was accepted by me, Brittany and Steven were married. This occurred on June 19 th , 2021. Two of Brittany's three siblings did not attend the wedding, and neither did

 anyone from my side of the family. They read the HRO filing and do not believe the lies being told about me. In addition, several people who read the HRO said they were present when some of the events took place, and that Steven was lying in his description of what happened. Several of them stated another reason they did not attend was due to Steven's rude behavior towards them. I was told by several people in attendance at the wedding that Steven had hired two (2) off-duty police officers to provide security, and that he had done so claiming he was fearful I would show up at the wedding and become violent. I allege he did this for dramatic purposes only, because I never was a threat to him. Exhibit / Evidence; M. HRO cover page claiming domestic violence. Also, R. Background. 17. Steven Anderson falsely claimed I sent a threatening letter. Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4. "May 4, 2023---Threatening Letter to Petitioner: Respondent contacted me through my attorney threatening again to protest me at my place of employment (See Exhibit C). In his letter, Respondent threatens that the only way to prevent this from occurring is to, "…Speak up now. Once [respondent]'s plan goes into action, there is no stopping or turning back" Respondent also accuses me of telling, "bullshit lies" and ends his letter "There is one question you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out? Tick-tock." [Emphasis Added]. My response; More false claims about my being a threat. There is nothing threatening about a peaceful protest. I even asked for his input on how to resolve this matter so I could have a relationship with my daughter. But here again, he twists things around with the help of his attorney, to make me seem like I am some violent crazed person. They even put an emphasis on the phrase " Tick-tock" as though it were a reference to a bomb. It was clearly a reference to a clock as I had stated earlier in the letter that the first HRO was expiring soon. He also falsely claims the protests

would be against him – when in fact I clearly stated in the letter to the church it would be about them performing a proper investigation. How is that a threat to him, unless he is afraid of the truth coming out? A Danger to the Church? Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4. " Respondent has resumed and appears to be prepared to intensify the harassment against me and my wife, Brittany upon the expiration of the HRO on May 27, 2023. Although directed towards me, his threats of harassment involve and endanger 1,500+ congregants, including hundreds of children, at both campuses as well as the full and part time staff of both campuses. I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me or those around me."

## 107. Archive/Complaint - another copy.docx

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 26 of 31 The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. If the church considered me such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren't the police ever called? If Steven was that afraid of me, the police should have been notified. But they weren't. In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2 nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police – not even once. He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him – not one – because there weren't any. Because I don't want violence – I never did. Any perceived threats are made- up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me. Exhibit / Evidence; I. Copy of letter to the church seeking help. Contacting their attorney trying to make peace I contacted their attorney and asked if there was a way to make peace. After several emails exchanged between Mr. Van Loh and myself, he stated that Steven does not want to reconcile with me. Exhibit / Evidence; L. Van Loh emails. The Video Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3. "June 2021 YouTube Video. At some point following the May 27, 2021 HRO, Respondent created a 90+ minute YouTube video "responding" to the HRO, but specifically speaking out and challenging the allegations in the Petition, even though he agreed to an entry of an HRO voluntarily without the court making findings of the alleged harassment. Respondent enlisted the help of my wife Brittany's sister, Vanessa to film who he then had it forwarded the video to my wife. The video was originally posted on a password protected webpage on his site, his "ministry" site, not the website he was required to take down after the HRO. He has since taken down his ministry website. Attached are screenshots of the video (Exhibit E) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary." My response; I agreed to an entry of (the first) HRO voluntarily because their attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh told me that if I did not accept it that it would be harmful to my daughter, her reputation and her career. I accepted it out of concern and love for my daughter. Shortly afterwards several members of my family came to me asking various questions about the HRO. Because of the nature of this matter and how emotionally hard it was for me to discuss it, my youngest daughter,

Vanessa volunteered to help me make a video where I could both explain and reply to the terrible allegations that were made against me. After the video was created, I posted it on my website on a private & unlisted page, that was additionally password protected. I then shared the page address and password with five (5) family members. I asked that they let me know when they had watched the video so I could remove it from the private, unlisted and password protected webpage.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 27 of 31 To be clear, there is nothing that threatens violence in that video at all. Steven's allegations of that are completely false. Steven also states, " Attached are screenshots of the video (Exhibit E) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary." This is clearly an attempt to make it seem as it the video contains threats and/or contains content that is nefarious. This is completely false. Steven also claims that I had my daughter Vanessa forward it onto my daughter Brittany. This is also false, and he has no evidence to support this. Nonetheless, the referee (Elizabeth A. Clydesdale) believed this to be the truth, without any evidence to support this false claim, and ignoring the statement of my daughter, Brittany, said I was guilty of violating the first HRO. "…enlisted the help of my wife Brittany's sister, Vanessa…" Steven's wife, Brittany, is my daughter, So, it stands to reason that her sister is likely also my daughter (she is). He could have just referred to her as 'Respondents daughter, Vanessa', but he didn't. Here again he wants to interject himself into every scenario possible – especially if he can falsely make himself appear to be a victim. My daughter, Vanessa, and I have a close and loving relationship. Vanessa was originally a bridesmaid at the wedding of her sister. Brittany. She backed out after she saw the things that Steven was doing, and also after reading the first HRO and recognizing the lies he was telling. She knows he is a liar and that's why she volunteered to help with the making of the video. Exhibit / Evidence: Private video can be viewed by typing this link into a web browser. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZbYLElTAwO0 18. Steven Anderson made false claims that he feared I would bring harm to Calvary Church congregation and staff. Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3. " 12. With the pending dismissal of the HRO on May 27, 2023, the Respondent has stated his intent to resume the same level of harassment which includes picketing at the place of my employment, Calvary Church, Roseville and White Bear Township. The Respondent violated Paragraph 1A of the HRO by sending threatening emails to my employer, Calvary Church, a threatening letter to me, and by sending a letter threatening me to my wife, Brittany Anderson, who also has a Harassment Restraining Order against the Respondent." My response; My youngest sister, who knew the HRO was about to expire, suggested that she take some action. She was doing this with good intentions. Just a few months prior, her only daughter had suddenly and unexpectedly passed away at the age of 40. I stood by her throughout this horrible ordeal. She wanted to find a way to help me with the situation concerning my daughter, Brittany. Her idea was to form a peaceful protest at Calvary Church and continue doing so until they agreed to do a proper investigation. I initially said no, don't do anything. But she was insistent and even looked up the laws concerning a peaceful protest. I eventually and

reluctantly agreed to go along with this idea of hers. But I made it abundantly clear that I would not participate in those protests. The most I would do is to send a letter to Steven and Calvary Church explaining the intention of an upcoming protest. The letter to the church was very respectful. The letter to Steven was more pointed. Because, after all, this was all his fault. And because of his actions, there were many negative impacts on my life and those of my family. But there was no threat in

 any of my communication(s). They are stating it as such for dramatic effect. Meanwhile, back in reality, I have obeyed the HRO and was not posing a threat to anyone. The response I got was to be served papers that Steven and Brittany had filed for an extension of the HRO's. They requested a hearing on an emergency basis, claiming that I was such a threat that they feared for their lives, and were concerned I would go to their church and harm/murder hundreds of children. He went as far as to falsely state that I previously claimed to be a CIA assassin. That is not the truth, and he is including this merely for dramatic effect. I have never been a CIA assassin, an employee of the CIA, or employed as a federal officer or any letter agency, nor have I ever made such a claim. My intention was to ask for a trial, at which time I would bring forth all the evidence I have, including the things mentioned in this Civil Complaint. At that time, I asked my sister to abandon any intentions of protesting, and she agreed. During the first hearing for the second HRO I requested a trial. Their attorney, Mr. Van Loh suggested that nothing from the first HRO be allowed at trial. The referee, Elizabeth A Clydesdale agreed. At the trial, I became aware that I had improperly submitted my evidence and none of it would be allowed. Though I had sent it to the State evidence collection system properly, I did not understand that it also needed to be sent to their attorney. I emailed a list of the evidence and incorrectly assumed they would obtain the evidence from the State evidence system. I emailed Mr. Van Loh's office to ensure they got the evidence, and the email reply came back with one word; "Received". They could have said the evidence was missing from the email, but they didn't. Instead, they used my inexperience as an opportunity to have the evidence to not be allowed. This is another example of how this trial was not about the truth or justice in any way, shape, or form. This was yet another attack on myself and my family. The only evidence they presented was my private journal, which was from the first HRO. Referee Clydesdale allowed it, despite her previous statement. That private journal ended up being a primary focus of their case. In addition to it being improperly allowed as evidence, Mr. Van Loh was using this journal to present their case claiming that I was somehow a threat to Steven and/or my daughter. The journal contains no threats of violence towards anyone. The referee did not explain that I was not obligated to answer any of their attorneys' questions. In the beginning of the trial, I was sworn in, and their attorney began questioning me. I believe the court should have informed me that I have a right to refuse to be questioned by their attorney. I felt like it was an obligation to answer their attorney's questions. If I had known that I did not have to answer any questions, I would have remained silent during his questioning. During the trial Mr. Van Loh acted rudely and was clearly not interested in

truth and justice. This was purely a seek-and-destroy mission for him. He was also rude to my witnesses and suggested to the court that my witnesses were lying, without presenting any evidence supporting his allegation(s). I felt as though his goal was clearly to make me appear as though I was one of the worst humans on this earth, without any supporting evidence, and went as far as to ask the HRO's be for life. Though I was allowed to present a few witnesses, their attorney treated them harshly. This is the same attorney who previously would claim that any attempt by me to settle this matter by filing a lawsuit amounted to "Threatening legal attacks." [Emphasis added.] Anytime I attempted to find ways to reconcile matters, Mr. Van Loh would state in an email, that my continued efforts to work things out with my daughter were only pushing her away. He intentionally made things worse and more difficult for me to restore peace and harmony in my family.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 29 of 31 My first witness was my sister, Sunnie. She wanted to read a statement but was denied the opportunity by the referee. When I tried questioning her about the content(s) of the statement, their attorney objected to almost everything and her testimony was largely not allowed. Afterwards, their attorney called my sister a liar. (Her statement is included as evidence and listed on the Exhibit / Evidence list as item "Q".) Since my witnesses were being treated in a manner which I viewed as being unfair and too harshly, I decided to present the remainder of the case myself. However, when I tried to do so, the referee stopped the proceedings and said she would hear closing comments before issuing a ruling. So, I was literally not allowed to fully present my case. Had I been allowed to, part of that would have been to ask for this matter be referred to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office for investigation and criminal proceedings. In the Spring of 2023, I met with someone from the Sheriff's Office and showed them the info / evidence I had. They stated if I could have the civil court refer this matter to them, they would proceed with a criminal investigation against Steven. They further stated that Steven would likely be arrested and put in jail. I asked what I had done wrong, if anything. The response was "Nothing sir, you're a good father". They had no witnesses other than myself, and no evidence other than my private journal; which should not have been allowed based upon the agreement between the attorney and the referee. The second HRO was granted for two-years for my daughter, and ten-years for Steven. The reasons for this were due to the inappropriate actions of their attorney as well as the referee's actions not being conducive for the truth to be presented. Exhibit / Evidence; Q. Statement my sister was not allowed to present in court. 19. Steven Anderson has caused me emotional distress leading to the dissolution of my marriage and impacting me financially. Here is a list of ways his actions have impacted me. 1. Marriage of 31 years ended in divorce. Due to the emotional stress caused by the words and actions of Steven, I became withdrawn and difficult to be married to. The decision to divorce was deeply sad and painful for both my wife and I. 2. Loss of relationship with my daughter, Brittany. This is the most painful thing I have ever experienced in my life. 3. As part of the divorce, we had to sell our family home where we had lived for 24 years. On my last day there, after moving my personal possessions out,

I returned one last time to shower. From the time I entered the bathroom, showered, got dressed and walked out the front door one last time, I cried. The entire time I was in tears. My ex-wife shared that she too cried, almost every day for a month after moving out. After selling the house I became homeless and lived in hotels for weeks until being able to rent an apartment. 4. My career ended due to performance reasons directly because of the stress from this terrible situation. I was making many mistakes at work because of the stress. I quit and later was hired by a Target Stores contractor as a manager to perform a job I previously held and excelled at. This time, however, I could not perform the job and stepped down after a month. They kept me on as a driver. After one week, while

 performing an action I had done approximately 30,000 times previously, I made a mistake that caused significant damage to a piece of equipment. I was immediately fired. 5. My health has declined in various ways, including mental and physical. In addition to the depression and sadness over the loss of a relationship with my daughter, there was a near suicide attempt (as mentioned earlier). My heart has always been healthy in the past. Now I am on several medications. This is because of the stress. I decline to share any further information about my health. 6. More stress and heartache than I have ever experienced in my life. The pain and suffering I have experienced, as well as my family, has been unimaginable. 7. Loss of enjoyment of activities I normally engaged in; i.e. motorcycle riding. In a typical year I would ride approximately 5,000-7,000 miles. During 2023 and 2024 I have ridden about 500 miles each year. Have also missed several longer multi-day trips that I normally go on with my brother and friends. Exhibit / Evidence; N. Pics of trailer I damaged at work just prior to being fired. 20. Steven Anderson has falsely claimed that I did not fulfil my financial commitment to support him. At the beginning of the relationship between Steven and my daughter, he asked me to help support him financially. I agreed to help and began sending him monthly contributions through an organization called Reliant. Despite all the harm he caused both myself and my family, I had remained hopeful this relationship could be fixed. Because of that I continued sending him financial help every month and continued doing so for 2 ½ years. I stopped in June of 2023 because that is the month my wife moved out of our family home. Again, our marriage ended only due to the incredible amount of stress caused by Steven's lies. So, when my wife moved out, I stopped making monthly payments to help him. Later I learned that Steven had told my daughter that I promised to help them financially, but then never did. That is a lie – I helped financially support him for 2 ½ years. Exhibit / Evidence: E. Reliant Receipts for years 2021, 2022, 2023.

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Archive/Complaint - another copy.docx ***

Complaint

My daughter, Brittany, and I were very close and spent time together frequently. See Facebook screenshots, (Exhibit A). She began dating Steven Anderson, director of youth services @ Calvary Church in 2020. Within a few months Brittany came to me and said she was breaking up with him. She said that

she felt as though she couldn't be herself around him because was too controlling. She later said that she had another meeting with him, during which time he "shared his heart" with her. Brittany said that Steven told her due to his upbringing he had difficulty properly expressing himself. Because his explanation she decided to again date him. Upon hearing that I decided to give him a wide swath of grace and patience when dealing with him. He took advantage of this, as documented below.

Shortly thereafter Steven approached me to help support his ministry – which is his full-time job. He says the church cannot afford to pay him a salary, so he fundraises as a substitute. I began supporting his endeavor financially and even worked with him to improve his presentation to find others who would be supportive. I continued sending money every month for 2 ½ years – even during the time when he would file an HRO against me, as I still had hope we would settle things peacefully. My wife later said that Steven told Brittany that I wasn't sending money and had refused to help them. After 2 ½ years of supporting them, I stopped sending money after the June 2024 payment when my wife moved out of our family home due to the stress of the problems Steven would cause after becoming engaged to our daughter, Brittany.

Within months Steven approached me and asked what it would take to have my blessing for him to marry my daughter. One of the things I mentioned was spending more time with our family - we barely knew him. I told Steven that family was important to us, and that if he was to marry my daughter then we would want him to feel welcomed in our family and hopefully would be an active part of it.

Afterwards he made an effort to be at our house almost on a weekly basis, and things seemed to be going well.

One day he called to ask if we could hang out sometime. I said yes and we picked a date and time. When that time came, he arrived at our house and we talked for quite a while. I sensed he had something he wanted to say or possibly ask me - maybe even permission to marry Brittany. Finally, after 3 hours I asked him "Is there something you wanted to ask me?" He then asked for my blessing on marrying my daughter, and I gave him that blessing. My wife and I even helped with a temporary ring until he could buy one.

Once he proposed and my daughter accepted, his entire demeanor towards myself and our family changed. Documented below are lies and exaggerations he said about me in an HRO (Harassment Restraining Order) and my response which reveals how Steven has engaged in a campaign of libel, slander and harassment which has caused significant harm to both myself, and my family.

Shortly after he manipulated her and convinced her to stop talking with me for a while so they could "focus on their relationship". That was the last time I ever spoke with her. The last thing she said to me was "Dad, I love you so so so so much!"

This campaign has included making my daughter fearful of me through a false narrative of his claim of me threatening him with a gun, being a overall dangerous person to him, her, and the church, and convincing her to join him in filing for an HRO under false pretenses.

I asked many times what the problem was and asked to resolve any differences. He never responded. After trying for a long time without any response, I texted him the following;: You lost far more than you gained." Meaning, we could have had a great relationship, but he had another agenda. I had actually tried to make peace and amends 15 times. Steven made zero attempts, and refused all of my attempts at making peace.

During this time I had been offering blanket apologies for things I had not done, just to have a relationship with my daughter. He never responded and instead continued taking everything I've done, as pure and honest as my actions were, and greatly exaggerated them and spun them into my looking like it's all a threat to him.

His deliberate actions, hyper-exaggerated claims and outright lies have caused significant harm; due to the stress of Steven's actions, my wife and I ended our 31-year marriage. We sold the family home where we lived for 24 years, and I became homeless. I had to quit my job as a Safety and Compliance Manager. I enjoyed a corner office and had 70 people working for me - but had to quit due to my declining work performance as a result of the stress caused by Steven's actions and words. The day prior to selling our home I moved my belongings into a storage unit. Within a short period of time that unit was broken into and most of my valuable possessions were stolen.

I am a peaceful person and the victim of lies. By filing this lawsuit, I am seeking two things; A) A trial by jury and asking for damages exceeding $50,000.00. B) A referral to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office to complete their criminal investigation into this matter. (More details further in this filing.)

It is important to note that I have enjoyed a great relationship with the others my children have dated or married. With one notable exception; a man named Kyle, who assaulted my daughter and subsequently assaulted myself. Prior to Steven, my daughter Brittany dated a man name David Zimmerman for several years. David and I got along exceptionally well. When Brittany decided to break up with David, he came directly to our family home to spend time with me. I explained that since Brittany is my daughter, I need to advocate for her. He responded, "I know, but I feel so much better being with you."

My youngest daughter, Vanessa, was dating a man named James Anderson (a common last name – no relation to Steven.) When he was homeless, I took him into our home just a week prior to our home being sold due to my divorce. Despite going through my own personal challenges, I still helped him. One of the ways was to help James come up with a life plan and even rented him a hotel room. Later, when my daughter decided to end that relationship, I continued paying for his hotel room, and gave him money for expenses.

My oldest daughter, Victoria, dated and married a man named Jacob Rademacher. During the 12-years my daughter has been with him, Jake (Jacob) and I have enjoyed a great relationship. He has never felt fearful of me, nor felt threatened in any way, shape, form or manner. Jake and I have been to hockey games, on vacation, road trips and more together. He's a fantastic son-in-law who calls me "Dad". I hoped for the same with Steven, but the evidence will show he has a different agenda.

Exhibit / Evidence; A. Facebook screenshots.

Guest List Phone Call

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

"Within a week after Brittany and I began our engagement, the respondent began to take issue with our wedding planning. He began to call me to argue for things to be different on decisions that my finance and I had made."

My response;

His statement is false. One day Brittany was at our family house speaking to her mom and I about the guest list. It sounded as if Steven had already invited so many people there was barely any room for our side of the family. At least that was the impression I got from the conversation. At one point I heard the number of guests was already more than the total amount they agreed upon. Brittany seemed stressed by this process. Her mom approached me afterwards and asked me if I could help with this matter. She suggested I make a friendly call to Steven and I agreed. I called him and asked one question: "How does the guest list honor the mother and father of the bride?" His reply was all about his family and never directly answered my question. He then stated that he didn't think his response answered my question. I replied, "Not really." He then said he would get back to me about this. I offered a suggestion on how they might decide on a guest list, and he said that he would discuss this with Brittany. We then talked for a short while about other non-wedding related things and ended the call on a positive note. He never got back to me to answer the question. I shared the details of this conversation with my wife, and we thought that call was enough and those two (Brittany and Steven) would work things out.

The only other time I ever discussed the wedding with Steven was during a time when he called me about a non-wedding related topic, and I asked him if my wife and I would be able to meet his parents before the wedding. He replied, "Not my dad, but maybe my mom when she comes to town to help me finish planning the wedding." He made it sound as if he and his mom would be doing the wedding planning and not Brittany. I asked, "Does Brittany know about this?" He said yes. I then asked if there would be anything that Mrs. Hutchinson (Brittany's mom) would be able to help with. He replied, "Maybe my mom can find something for her to do." I then repeated an earlier question, "And Brittany knows about this?" He again replied, yes. I then said, "Oh, ok." That's as far as the conversation went.

The only time I discussed the wedding with Brittany was when she came to me with a concern about their wedding photographer. I gave her some advice on how to approach Steven to discuss this topic.

Compare this to my oldest daughters (Victoria & Jake) wedding. I caused no problems whatsoever, did not interfere with any planning, and they will both testify to that. I would have done the same for Brittany and Steven's wedding, except Steven had other plans to exclude me as the evidence will show.

Steven and Brittany were married on June 19th, 2021. This is the day before Father's Day. I believe Steven pushed for this day to be chosen because the following day, June 20th, 2021, on their first full day of marriage it was Father's Day, and I would not be able to celebrate it with my daughter, Brittany. It is alleged he did this intentionally to further harm me emotionally.

Phone calls

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17 – 18

"The frequency and hostility in Respondent's telephone calls increased. Amidst these interactions Brittany and I decided it would be best to set boundaries around our wedding planning and conversations with us about them."

My response;

There never were any harassing phone calls. This claim is a lie. I only made 6 phone calls to Steven total the entire time I have known him. Two of those were about allowing him to borrow one of my wife's rings to propose to our daughter. Another call was to offer him the same advice my Father-in-law gave me prior to my marrying his daughter nearly 30 years prior. He became agitated and responded rudely to me. Another call was to ask if he wanted to get together and hang out – he said "No" and hung up on me. There was a call about us doing a video chat to discuss some potential changes to his fund-raising presentation (I volunteered to help him with this). The other call was months later when I left a voicemail during a time I was upset with him after a long period of him intentionally doing things to harm both myself and my family.

It should be noted that Steven made plenty of calls to me, however. He would say things such as;a) "You're not a very good father."b) "Brittany deserves someone better than you." c) "If you want to have a relationship with your daughter, then you need to submit to me and let me control you."

Here again I gave him a wide swath of grace and patience because of what he told my daughter about not being able to properly express himself. During these times I tried to steer the conversation away from negative comments and towards something more positive and family oriented.

Laundry

Brittany does Stevens laundry at our house, which I honestly didn't mind. He makes it clear that he is concerned about Covid, so when I discovered some information about that virus and fabric, I wanted to share this with him. Soon afterwards as Brittany was leaving the house, she said Steven's laundry was in the dryer and asked that once done I place it outside the front door for him to pick up. I figured this was out of concern for Covid, so decided to write him a letter, and placed in in the laundry basket along with his clean clothes. It basically said I had done some research on Covid and was surprised it could last up to 48-hours on our clothes. Said I could appreciate his concern for being exposed to Covid. Maybe it's best his clothes are not washed at our house - at least now for now.

I then said it would have been nice to see him today. That we could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just the day before for Covid. I signed it by saying "Hope you have a wonderful day."

When his clothes were done, I placed them outside the front door as requested. When Steven arrived, he read the note, threw the clothes into his car, slammed the door, then stood there staring at our house for a full minute with an angry look on his face. He then got into the car and sped off running through a stop sign and speeding up the street at approximately double the speed limit.

My intentions in writing that letter was pure – I really wanted to have a good relationship with him, but it seemed he turned it around and used it as an opportunity to have a tantrum.

Then just a day later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for several hours. He can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell and say hi, but just a day later he can spend hours inside our home? And he's here without any acknowledgment of the letter. This seemed very disrespectful. As Brittany and Steven were leaving, Brittany said "Hi dad" – I responded "Hi honey!" Then Steven said, "Hello Mr. Hutchinson". I responded with a rather dry "Hi". It bothered me that he was so rude just the day before, as well as multiple times previously, and now today when my daughter is there, he's acting nice and innocent. I didn't want to say or do anything that would be upsetting to Brittany, so I did not ask him for any explanation – at least not at that time.

Several hours later my wife came home, and I told her about this and that it bothered me. After all, he had a history of being rude to my family and myself. I spoke loudly about how upset I was and said that I did not want Steven at our house again. I then texted Steven and said he was not welcome in our home until there was mediation.

At no time when I was venting did I make any threats against Steven. My only comments were that he was disrespecting me in my own home, and I did not want him at our house anymore.

I did not know that Brittany was in her bedroom and had overheard what I said. I honestly thought she had left and had specifically waited until I thought she was gone to vent my frustrations. My wife gave me a hug and we went and made dinner together. Within 10 minutes or so we were in the kitchen

laughing about some silly memes on Facebook. Yes, I raised my voice. Yes, I was upset. He's going to marry my daughter and he's treating me poorly. Any reasonable father would also be upset. But there was no threat.

I later learned that Steven used this as an opportunity to convince my daughter to move out of our house by claiming she was in danger remaining there with me.

Exhibits / Evidence; S. Laundry Letter.

Kyle situation

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1.

"Throughout my relationship with his daughter, the respondent reminded me on several occasions of a story where he insinuates that a previous relationship one of his other daughters had, ended with him shoving the boyfriends head through the drywall of his apartment."

My response;

I never told him this story. Kyle was my daughter Vanessa's boyfriend. During their relationship he assaulted her. Afterwards, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. So, I called Kyle, and he began shouting at me, and then I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So, I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified myself as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to, I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. He then came at me with a raised fist.

At that point I felt the need to defend myself and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I responded, "Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left. He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical.

Again, I never told Steven this story. He only overheard me telling this story, twice. Both times the telling of the story was initiated by Vanessa. The first time was to her current boyfriend as the family gathered at a restaurant to celebrate her mom's birthday. The second time was at a bonfire at our family house, and she was telling the story to two of my friends who stopped by. I took over the telling of the story both times in order to end it quickly. After the second time I asked my daughter, Vanessa, to not tell the story anymore. She stated that she was proud of me for defending her but agreed not to tell the story

anymore. She said, “I love you dad.” I replied, “I love you too. Thank you for agreeing to not tell this story anymore.”

Steven was not a part of either conversation, his name was not mentioned, nor did anyone acknowledge him or even make eye contact at any time. During the first time, at the restaurant, he was seated 3 people away from us. At the bonfire, he was seated 4 people away from us. Both times there were other conversations happening closer to him, so there was no way he was included in the conversation pertaining to the Kyle story. His assertion that I reminded him is completely false. He overhead the story and presented it in the court filing for the HRO as if I told it directly to him and also as if it was intended to be a threat directly to him. Those assertions are completely false.

It should be noted that after the bonfire, I had 4 people tell me that Steven had acted rudely towards them. Initially I defended him saying that he works at a church and so they must be mistaken. Later, as I thought more about it, it became apparent that some of these people did not know each other and therefore could not have collaborated on the story. That, to me, gave some credence they might be telling the truth.

It should also be noted that during the bonfire my brother Steve began making what he thought were jokes about Steven. I quickly interrupted and told my brother that Steven worked at a church and asked that he please not make any jokes about him. He apologized and refrained from telling any further jokes. I defended Steven, only to have him later go and tell lies about me.

The Books

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1.

"When I shared my desire to marry his daughter, months prior to asking for his blessing, he gave me two of his books, including an autobiographical work, Painful Secrets, wherein he claims to have almost committed a mass shooting as a teenager. With this context, the Respondent's placement of the gun on the banister was clearly meant and taken as a threat and intimidation towards me."

My response;

This is a gross exaggeration. In both filings he claims I gave him a copy of the books a "month" before in one and "months" before in another....it was actually 3 days prior to his asking to marry my daughter.

During a previous time that we had met, he mentioned that he heard I wrote several books. I confirmed that I had. He said that he would like to read them. I sell these books on Amazon so do not store any extra copies at the house. When he expressed an interest in reading them, I went to see if I had any available copies at the house. I found one copy of ‘Painful Secrets’ and one copy of ’Casting Out Doubt’. I

then generously gave him a free copy of each. Had he not mentioned my being an author, I would never have thought to give him a copy of any of the books. I have not previously given a copy to any of my other daughter's current or former boyfriends, nor any of Brittany's former boyfriends.

Soon after, he asked if we could hang out for a while. I said yes, and he came over to our house. Once here he mentioned that he had read both books. One was my memoir, and he didn't really talk about that - it was the other book, the one about miracles. I was surprised he had read them already as I had just given them to him three (3) days prior. When I commented on this, he said that he had stayed up late at night to finish them. We talked about the book 'Casting Out Doubt' for a few minutes, then began talking about other topics. At no time did he seem concerned about the contents of the other book, nor was it ever mentioned.

That evening, I had a feeling he was there to ask me if he could marry my daughter. This was an important evening, and I didn't want him to feel rushed - so I remained patient and waited. Finally, three hours later I asked if he was there, by chance, to ask me question. He said yes and asked if he could marry my daughter. I asked for there to be several conditions, and he agreed. One of them was not to interfere in my relationship with daughter. He promised that he wouldn't. Then we talked about a ring, and I offered to borrow him one of Brittany's mom's rings to propose with. That would give him time to go ring shopping. (He has since broken the promise to not interfere in my relationship with Brittany many times over).It is important to note that I have used my memoir, 'Painful Secrets' as a tool to help make bullying awareness and prevention a national issue. Along the way I have prevented 6 school shootings and also prevented 300 teen suicides. I would never use this book as a threat to anyone. My wife is a high school science teacher who has given copies of this same book to some of her troubled students. She has witnessed their lives being changed by it and has seen them carry this book around with them every day as a sign of hope and overcoming great life obstacles. See exhibit P for feedback from notable individuals.

Here again, Steven is making wild claims that are simply not true at all.

Exhibit / Evidence; B. Books on Amazon. Also, P. 'Painful Secrets' feedback.

False claim about the gun

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"At the time, my fiance lived in respondents home. I visited while the respondent was at work, to make plans for the wedding. He returned before I left, and we only exchanged a brief interaction on me way our the door, however, he placed his firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight. I couldn't help but feel as though he had intentionally placed the gun there for me to see."

My response;

This was around the time when there were riots in Minneapolis after what happened to George Floyd. There was talk about the riots going to the suburbs. At the time my wife asked me if I still had a gun. I replied yes. The fact that she asked this question shows how discreet I was with it. I never showed it to anyone, open carried or even discussed it.

She then said it would make her feel safer if she could see it regularly. So, for a short while, placing my firearm on the upstairs banister became a temporary routine for me.

Again, she literally asked me to do this. This is the only reason I would ever leave my gun out; because it was at the request of my wife. I would come home from work and place it on the end railing at the top of the steps, go into the kitchen and greet my wife with a kiss, unpack my lunch bag, then go put the gun away in our bedroom. It was only out for approximately 3 minutes. I did this only for a few weeks, then asked if she was OK with me not putting it out anymore, as I never was comfortable doing this. She said yes, and I stopped leaving it out for her to see.

One day while Steven was over, he saw the handgun in its usual place and made an incorrect assumption. He then used this occasion to begin placing thoughts into my daughter's head that I am a dangerous person, and they are both at risk of me harming them with the gun.

Steven claimed it was "on the banister by the front door, …". This is a false claim. It was actually on the 2nd floor of the house – Steven remained in the lower level and was never near the gun. It was also holstered, and I never went near it while he was in the house. During this entire time, he never saw me – he only heard my voice coming from the kitchen.

I have never waved this gun around or used it to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie; but Steven wants to falsely portray me as a crazy man with a weapon.

Rules of Engagement

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

"The respondent took issue with some of the wording in our Rules of Engagement..."

My response;

Brittany and Steven came up with some Rules of Engagement, and presented them to my wife and I. We both agreed to follow these rules. At this time, we were at our house sitting at the dining room table. Afterwards, Brittany and her mom went into the kitchen. I got up and said I was going to the bathroom. I began walking away but then stopped and turned around to retrieve something from the table. At that time Steven had stood up and was bent over picking up a bag from the floor with his back towards me. He did not realize I was standing there. Just then I heard him say "Oh, this is gonna be good!" He said it

in a very deep voice as though he was almost growling. To me, it sounded demonic. He suddenly stood and spun around to face me. He began smiling and started trying to tell a joke. It seemed like he was trying to cover for something. I was too stunned to speak or respond. He then called to Brittany saying they should leave.

Afterwards, my wife looked at me and asked, “Honey, are you OK?” I didn’t want to make a scene so I just responded by saying I was OK. We then went into our bedroom together and prayed for them as a couple. The following day I shared what happened with my brother.

Shortly after that I sent them both an email asking a question about how to ask a question. Nothing more. Simply asking how they preferred me to ask a question.

Something in the Rules of Engagement was not clear and I merely was asking for clarification. Steven immediately took offense to this and began accusing me of manipulation. This email conversation included Brittany, her mom, Steven and me.

Suddenly I got an email from Steven stating that if I loved Brittany that I would “Lay down everything.” This to me means submitting to him and goes along with his earlier comments about submitting to him if I want a relationship with my daughter.

I then realized that he had sent the email directly to me and had excluded Brittany and her mom. I then said I would not continue this conversation with him in that email thread and would only have a discussion involving Brittany and Mrs. Hutchinson. I then went back to the previous email thread and responded in a somewhat sharp manner. Afterwards I asked my wife to be the one to send out any emails from me to them. In that way I could be assured that both her and Brittany would be included in the emails.

Exhibit / Evidence; C. Copy of the Rules of Engagement. Also, D. Seeking clarification.

Emotional Response & Raising My Voice

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

"On the same day he returned home and Brittany reports visible signs of anger (slamming doors, slamming things, yelling, etc.)" "This email and anger was the first clues towards irrational behavior and unwarranted responses. I only expressed my concern for effective conflict resolution....and he took it as an insult to his intelligence and he was unable to control his emotional response."

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"I then called my fiancé to share the news with her, [about a text I sent him banning him from my property} and I could hear him, yelling and angrily attacking my character because I had done laundry in his house. At this point I began to seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé."

My response;

Later, after the email exchange, I was talking with Brittany and my frustration boiled over, and I raised my voice about how Steven would not answer the seemly simple question about the wedding guest list, has made no effort to be a part of our family, and has been acting rudely towards me. I was also terribly upset that Brittany is showing signs of stress and I haven't seen her smile in days. I am very concerned and even heartbroken that she should have to go through this during a time when she should be celebrating. My being agitated certainly did not help matters.

As I walked out of the kitchen, I was so disappointed in myself for having raised my voice (something I had never done before to her) that I slapped the doorway with my open hand. I truly felt awful about how I had acted.

She later she came to me and we discussed the guest list. I accepted her explanation, apologized for raising my voice, and asked that we move forward. The following day I went to her and asked if we could do a reset, one where we could together enjoy the journey of her getting married. She agreed and we spent some enjoyable time together.

There was no slamming doors, slamming other things or emotional outbursts of any kind. His claim that I was “unable to control his emotional response" is completely false and unfounded. My wife was at home during this time – if any of this had actually happened, my wife would have come to investigate. She will testify that this never happened and it was a peaceful evening at home. This is yet another attempt by Steven at disparaging me through his lies and manipulation. In an earlier HRO filing he used words to describe my actions that are wrong, such as; “RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP, and SCREAMING.” No one has witnessed me doing any of these and this is nothing more than character assassination.

I had only raised my voice twice. The second time I was unaware that Brittany was home and talked loudly to my wife. She did not feel any concern for her safety, and even walked over and gave me a hug. I rarely, if ever, talk in an upset manner. My wife knew I was no danger to anyone and understood my need for a hug.

His claim to have begun to “…seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé” is nonsense, completely baseless and all a part of his plan to cause a division in my family.

Ever since I had given Steven permission to marry my daughter, he no longer made any efforts to be a part of our family or join any activities. It's like a switch was flipped and he became cold towards us. He has spoken rudely to myself, guests at our house and has acted this way towards my other children. It appears he was only being nice to obtain my blessing, then his real personality came out. One day I talked to Steven and told him that I do not hate him nor am I trying to stop the wedding. I have a good relationship with my other daughters' boyfriends and hoped to have the same with him. His only

response was "thank you for sharing that" in a monotone voice. There was no appreciation or gratitude - no saying anything like, "wow I'm hoping for that too." Nothing. Just another stonewall.

The fact that I had remained calm for so long given all the terrible things he had been saying to me, just shows how rational and peaceful of a person I really am. Since that time over 3 years ago, not one person has witnessed me raising my voice at all. These two instances were rare and only due to the distressful situations that Steven was causing in my family.

Mediation

Steven was texting me some inappropriate comments and I responded by asking him to not contact me anymore in this manner. He responded by saying that Brittany suggested mediation. I said yes, and that if he wanted to pick a place for that to happen, that I would pay for those services. He responded by saying that the only way he would agree to mediation is if it were at the church where he works. This seemed to put me at a disadvantage because they are familiar with him and do not know me. But I agreed to this anyway figuring I would just set the truth free and let it defend itself.

Afterwards, as I thought about mediation it occurred to me that mediation meant all the bad and negative things Steven had done would be revealed and that could have very negative impacts on him. After a time of prayer I  sent the following email, striving for peace, and once again apologizing for things I haven't done, and admitting to things I never did, all in an effort to have a relationship with my daughter.

"This email is an open apology to my daughter, Brittany. I have failed you. Not just a little, but a lot. During the past weeks I have been so focused on being right, about winning a disagreement, that I lost sight of something far more important; our relationship and your happiness. I have always put you first in my life, and now just months before your wedding I have fallen far short of what I should have been doing. You have every reason to be mad at me, hurt, disappointed and feeling less than loved by me. For that I apologize - profusely. I love you more than life itself and literally live in daily agony over the state of our current relationship. In response I'll say this:

I resign.

Completely and fully resign.

Not from being your dad of course, but rather from the disagreement that caused this. The [previous] letter I emailed states my position and stands as the truth as I know it. But that's as far as it goes. I'm done with any disagreement with Steven. My time and energy is now going to be focused on you, Brittany. To work hard restoring our precious relationship and ensuring this never happens again. Ever. And, to help celebrate the upcoming wedding and make these months before the wedding as enjoyable as possible.

With that in mind, I am inviting Steven to neutral ground. A place where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen, mi casa es tu casa.

I don't want battles anymore - I just want to love my family and others with all my heart. To fully enjoy what time I have left on this planet. The past few weeks/months somehow turned ugly and I don't want that - never did. I ended up feeling ugly inside and that's not who I am. At all. I repent for my misdeeds and missteps.

Peace,

Tim/Dad"

Comment: Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again.

My wife replied:

"Tim,

I want you to know those words really touched my heart. They were absolutely beautiful and honest. I appreciate you putting Britt first and your highest priority.[Emphasis added] Im proud of you and very hopeful we can begin celebrating this engagement and wedding! This makes me very happy. I love you all so much.

With Love,

Jen"

Our daughter replied;

"Dad,

Steven and I read your emails. We have found ourselves in the same position that we have felt trapped into for the past few weeks, unsure how to respond. We have set clear boundaries, laid out in our Rules of Engagement, and those have been violated repeatedly. The way Steven and I have been treated by you has been inappropriate and out of line. The last email we received was an apology directed towards me, and while I appreciate that I feel as if it dismissed core issues. This puts us into a difficult position of being perceived as the ones continuing conflict if we don't accept a "blank slate."

As Steven and I prepare to start our new life together, we are concerned that these patterns of behavior will continue. We believe that there are significant changes that need to occur in order to move forward in a healthy manner. We desire growing towards healthier relationships with you. Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far, so we believe a mediated conversation is the appropriate next step.

We are confirming with our mediator, but does Saturday at 10 am work for you and mom? It's important that all 4 of us will be there.

Thanks,

Britt"

Concerned about "patterns" – what patterns? I've been apologizing for things I never did.

"Significant changes"? Should I then become an uncaring, unloving father? That's ridiculous and clearly not my daughter's voice. That has 'Steven' written all over it.

"….and those have been violated repeatedly…" To date neither Brittany nor Steven can clearly state what any of this alleged violations are.

Before meeting Steven, my daughter would never have written an email reply such as this. Never. I believe he is manipulating her.

My reply;

"Yes, mom and I can be available at that time.

I am willing to attend based upon three conditions;

1) This is about moving forward, not digging up the past. There were clearly missteps on the part of several of us, not just myself. Going over those details will serve no useful purpose other than igniting hurt feelings.

2) The meeting will be focused on ways to communicate in both directions; engaged couple to the parents, and vice-versa.

3) No expectations will be made that violate our First Amendment rights. While I agree there are acceptable ways to communicate, anything that prevents one of us from speaking at all to another person is something I won't consider. This is America - brave heroic people fought for our rights, and that should be respected."

Steven replied;

"Hi all,

I agree with everything Britt said. As for your response, Mr. Hutchinson, I don't believe we can comply with them all of them the way you have requested.

There are things that have been done and said over the last two weeks that cannot be ignored, and it is not enough to ask for a "blank slate" and move forward. Some of this will be discussed in our conversation.

We agree that communication moving forward should be an element of this conversation.

We don't believe it's helpful for us to set expectations for the communication plan moving forward before our conversation.

I have to admit, these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining for Britt and I as we have interacted with you and we desire to move forward in a healthier direction and would appreciate your willingness to enter into that being willing to lay anything down in order to make that happen. You have emphasized how important your relationship with Britt is, and if that is really true, we believe that you will listen to what Britt and I have to say about how we can all move forward in a healthy way, even if that means that interaction with one or both of us moves forward differently than your personal preference."

Comment: "Things have been done and said that cannot be ignored". Such as? To date neither of them can name even one single thing.

"…these past few weeks have been incredible challenging and emotionally draining for Brittany and I as we have interacted with you…" Here again, he cannot name one thing that I've done, other than ask a question, raise my voice (to Brittany once, and to my wife once), apologize for things I never did, and strive for peace. They literally cannot and have not named anything.

Steven interjected himself into the equation with his comment. Other than a letter trying to make peace, I've had no interaction with Steven in the previous few weeks. But still he tries to pretend to be a victim here.

"We desire to move forward in a healthier direction…" Clearly we cannot move forward if Steven puts zero effort into anything and my daughter will not even speak to me because of Steven's lies.

My reply;

"Steven,

Mrs. Hutchinson and I have also been directly/indirectly impacted in a negative way by some of your words & actions. We will also be presenting a list of expectations on how we will be communicated with. We look forward to the meeting. See you then.

Mr. Hutchinson"

The second attempt @ mediation was scheduled for Saturday, 2/27/2021 @ 10 AM. The previous week's mediation was cancelled by the mediator due to a scheduling conflict. It's important to note that Steven did not want to discuss any previous events in mediation. Then he changed his mind and now wants previous events be discussed. That's a 180-degree turn-around.

A few days later Steven sends me a text message which says, “Mediation is only about your and Mrs. Hutchinson learning how you two are going to talk to me.” I showed this text message to my wife (Mrs. Hutchinson), my brother and youngest sister. They were all shocked and appalled at this.

I responded that he has been saying terrible things to me and that during mediation he would also learn how to communicate with us. Shortly afterwards he cancelled mediation and would not give us a valid reason for doing so. He also refused to reschedule mediation. It appears obvious to me that Steven has by now convinced my daughter that I have done things that are wrong, without any evidence or proof whatsoever. In fact, it has been Steven who is the one causing the problems.

I apologized to my daughter and said that I didn’t want to focus on any disagreement any longer – instead I wanted to focus on our relationship. I invited Steven to a neutral ground where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where, and I quote, “you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen – my house is your house.” Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again. They both replied that they could not accept this. Brittany claimed I had violated the Rules of Engagement, and Steven said, and I quote; “these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining…” What’s interesting is during the weeks before this I actually had no contact with him at all. What interaction was he talking about? There literally was NONE! This is another example of Steven trying to invent a make-believe world and draw my daughter into it – a world in which I am a villain – a violent person unable to control my behavior. Meanwhile, back in reality, the opposite is true. On Facebook I tell people when I’m going into my prayer room and offer to pray for others. Because of the miracles they experience, I now have 4,700 more people following me on my personal page – all from them experiencing real miracles and sharing the results with others. If I am such a horrible person, then why is God answering my prayers? Why is the Creator of the Heavens and the earth blessing so many others when I pray? Because God knows my heart the best – and he knows the allegations against me are false. There is no one else, literally no one who thinks of me in any way remotely close to what Steven is alleging. So here I am, 60+ years-old, no criminal record, love doing volunteer work, no problems with any one until Steven comes along and suddenly I am a super-villain. Yet he cannot name even one threat I’ve made to him. Not one. Because there weren’t any.

Connecting to talk about the conflict

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

"At this point it became clear there was significant issue, and so I suggested to the respondent that he and I find a time to connect to talk through the conflict."

My response;

Steven never made any such suggestion to me. I am the one who has been offering apologies for things I never did and trying to find a way to resolve some conflict when I have no idea what the issue(s) are/were. Had he reached out me to in this manner I would have gladly accepted. His claim to have reached out to try and connect to talk through the conflict is absolutely a lie. It should also be noted that at this point in time I had no idea what the problem(s) were/are. Neither Brittany nor Steven were communicating with me.

My wife tried contacting them to find out what the issue/problem were/are, but neither of them were willing to discuss this with her either. It wasn't until the first HRO was filed that I had even a glimpse of the terrible things I was being falsely accused of.

I tried a total of 15 times to make peace. Steven never suggested even one single thing to help get our relationship back on track. I came up with 100% of the ideas and have offered 100% of the apologies and have made 100% of the efforts. He could not and still cannot truthfully state one thing that I've done to threaten or even offend him. Not one. Up until the first HRO was filed, I had been offering blanket apologies in an effort to have a relationship with my daughter.

All along he took everything I've done, as pure and honest as my actions were, and greatly exaggerated them and spun them into my looking like it's all a threat to him. Those are all absolute lies. What's especially troubling is that he claims to be a Christian and works at a church. None of what he's done towards me reflects Christian behavior.

Brittany Moving Out

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"Later that day I received a call from my finance that while the respondent was still visibly angry, he went out to the garage and collected his firearm, which he continued to hold while angry. Brittany felt unsafe and so she left to stay at a friend's home. At that point Brittany and I found an apartment to lease where she could live, in order to move out of the respondent's home. We decided to attempt to keep this address a secret from the respondent for fear of safety for Brittany and myself."

Within a few days Brittany moved out of our house. Supposedly because she is now suddenly afraid of me, because I raised my voice, twice. What's interesting is the very day she moved out, she returned that evening to retrieve something she had left behind. When she saw me, she gave me a hug and said "I love you so much." I told her it was weird seeing her move and that this was the first time she's ever moved that I didn't help. She replied that was true and yes this all seems weird. As she walked out of the house she looked back at me and though she smiled, I know her well enough that I could detect a hint of sadness – almost as if she didn’t want to go.

A few days later her youngest sister, Vanessa, told me that she had gone to Brittany's apartment (that she had just moved to), and that those two stood outside the apartment building and hugged as Brittany cried, saying she didn't understand why she had to move away from her home. Vanessa said that Brittany sounded very confused about what was happening.

"…for fear of safety for Brittany and myself." This is fear that he created through a false narrative of me being a danger to either of them. He did this intentionally and with malice towards my myself and my family.

Owatonna

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"On 2/2/21 Brittany reports hearing from the respondent that "[he's] so mad [he] could kill someone, so [he's] going to kill Charlie". Further claims Charlie is my "violent side" and was a "secret CIA assassin." He also states that I appear to be "delusional and out-of-touch with reality. I am afraid for my safety and Brittany's. His actions are clearly unpredictable.

My response;

In an effort to activate what I call my daughters "BS detector", by which I mean a healthy amount of skepticism, my well-meaning brother Steve, made up a story. This is really the only time in my life I've ever told Brittany something that wasn't true. But I had good intentions. I made the story so fantastical that any reasonable person would doubt it was real. That was what I was after. To have her look at this and say wait a minute, that's not real. And then to have her look at what Steven was saying and realize what he's saying is also not real. My daughter Brittany is kind, sweet, thoughtful and a really, really wonderful person. She's gullible as any 22-year-old is. And Steven clearly took advantage of that.

I told her that "I'm so angry I could kill someone." This is actually a phrase I grew up hearing; no one actually killed anyone; like saying I'm so hungry I could eat a horse; no one eats horses and even if they did, they couldn't consume an entire horse. It's only a phrase with no factual meaning behind it. I also chose that phrase because I figured she would immediately realize that I wouldn't actually kill someone - she's only known me as a kind, loving, peaceful father who always puts his family first.

I told her the person I would kill is Charlie (you can't kill Charlie - it's just a name I was given in the military.)

I said that I was going to a DNR lab near Owatonna where I would be held down on a table and my head will be zapped with electricity. That's as crazy of a story that I could think of at the moment.

The next morning I said that it snowed the previous night (which it did) so we met at a closed gas station and performed the procedure in a van. They zapped me while I rolled my eyes side-to-side.

I waited for her to say something like, "Dad, this isn't real!" But she never did.

I had every intention of explaining to her why I told this fabricated story, but I wanted to do so in person. Sadly, I never saw her again.

When I shared this story with my son he began laughing. Anyone who knows me would immediately realize that this was a made-up story. Later, I shared this same story with my youngest daughter, Vanessa. Her response was the same; laughter. They both know I would never do anything like what I had described.

Before the trip to Owatonna, I told this story to my wife. She went with me on the trip and showed no concerns about my mental health. She recognized immediately it was just a story.

The fact that Brittany took this seriously showed me how much Steven had been manipulating her.

Visting the Church

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 19.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1-2.

"On March 1, 2021, the respondent appeared unannounced and uninvited at Calvary church.....which is my place of employment to deliver a defamatory letter address to my superior and senior pastor of the church...The letter made false and defamatory claims about me and my character with the intent to have Calvary terminate my employment."

My response;

I reached out to Calvary church and approached them as respectfully as possible asking for help. I stated that Steven has been acting inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. I asked them to review the facts and prayerfully consider helping bring a resolution to this situation. There was no response to the first request, so I sent a second. Shortly afterwards a letter came in the mail from their attorney stating I was not welcome on either of their campuses and to not have any further contact with them.

Later, I learned that Steven claimed he was so afraid of me being violent that he was being escorted into the church from the parking lot each day, and then back to his car when he left. He also said he was always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him.

The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. Steven went so far as to make a church unduly afraid of me, with no evidence whatsoever – nothing but his false claims.

I will state this fact again; I never threatened him. Ever. If I am such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren't the police ever called? If Steven was really that afraid of me the police should be notified. But they weren't. Why? In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police - not even once.

He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him - not one - because there weren't any. Because I don't want to - I never did. Any perceived threats are made-up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as my family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me.

At no time have I ever asked the church to fire him. My request initially was simply to nudge him towards mediation. Later that became a request for an investigation – but only after he told me, through an attorney, that he did not want to reconcile our relationship.

Before contacting the church I reached out to Steven in yet another attempt to make peace. He never responded.

“The letter made false and defamatory claims…” No, it was a respectful attempt to move things forward towards resolution. Everything in the letter and accompanying documents was/were factually true.

Exhibit / Evidence; F. Letter to Steven before contacting the church.

Letter to the Church

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4.

"Respondent’s threatening letter sent to Calvary’s legal counsel is not only shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. His letters to Brittany demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me, and which he intends to resume his harassment on May 27, 2023."

My response:

“"Respondent’s threatening letter sent to Calvary’s legal counsel …” What threat? I mentioned a peaceful protest and asked for them to perform an investigation.

“…shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. “ Harassment? There wasn’t any. Steven made all of that up. I am the person who tried to make peace over a dozen times. Steven is the person whose intent was to cause harm to myself and my family.

”… demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me…”

The facts clearly show that the damaged relationship with my daughter was caused by Steven. That he intentionally caused these damages, and it was also his intention to harm my family.

Several times I reached out to Calvary church. I approached them as respectfully as possible asking for help, stating that Steven has been acting inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. I asked they review the facts and prayerfully consider helping bring a resolution to this situation. There was no response to the first request, so I sent a second. Shortly afterwards a letter came in the mail from their attorney stating I was not welcome on either of their campuses and to not have any further contact with them.

Later I learned that Steven claimed he was so afraid of me being violent that he was being escorted into the church from the parking lot each day, and then back to his car when he left. He also said he was always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him.

The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. If the church considered me such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren’t the police ever called? If Steven was that afraid of me, the police should have been notified. But they weren’t.

In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police – not even once. He cannot state even one single threat I’ve ever made to him – not one – because there weren’t any. Because I don’t want violence – I never did. Any perceived threats are made-up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me.

Exhibit / Evidence; I. Copy of letter to the church seeking help.

Loved and forgiven

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 20-21.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 2.

"APRIL 28, 2021 - UNINVITED INTRUSION AT PERITIONER'S PERSONAL RESIDENCE." The respondent visited my apartment building on 4/28/21 at 7:50am. Steven and his roommates were all sleeping. After receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the building, neither Steven nor his roommates unlocked the front door to the building, which they had previously discussed to do if he came to visit the apartment. But the respondent entered anyway. He knocked on the door repeatedly

but I did not answer....my heart was racing...I began to think about having to jump from my second story balcony or calling the police just to get away....After some time of knocking, it stopped...."

My response;

This is another exaggeration Steven has made. What really occurred is this; shortly before my visit I asked for an extended break - to let things calm down a bit. I then went to each of their homes for one purpose; to let them know they are both loved and forgiven. I told my wife what my purpose was for going there, and she responded by saying my actions were beautiful and she was proud of me.

Upon arrival at Steven's apartment building I parked my car and exited. An African-American woman was walking out to her car and said hello. I responded by saying good morning. She then told me the buzzer box on the building was broken and that maintenance knew about it. She then told me I could just enter the building as the door was unlocked. I thanked her and walked towards the front door of the building. Once there I discovered the door was indeed unlocked and so I walked in without buzzing Steven's apartment. His claim of "receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the building,…´ is therefore completely false, as I never buzzed his apartment. I couldn't – the buzzer was broken.

In an earlier filing he also claimed that I had "gained access" to the building, making it sound as though I had broken into the building as if I were a burglar or criminal. That also is false. I simply opened the door and walked in like any normal person would.

I then went to his apartment and using the second knuckle on my right hand, knocked three times in a normal manner. I then stepped back across the hall and patiently waited. After several minutes no one answered the door, so I stepped forward and again knocked three times in a normal manner, again using the second knuckle of my right hand. It should also be noted that this was during the Covid pandemic, and I respectfully wore a mask during this entire time.

When there was no answer after my second time knocking normally, I peacefully walked out of the building and drove away calmly. From there I drove to a nearby Cub Foods store and purchased some flowers for my daughter. I went to her apartment building and buzzed her apartment; however, she didn't answer the door. So, I placed the flowers on her car and left peacefully.

I later learned she had called Steven in a panicked state because I was at the door to the apartment building she lived in and she didn't know how to respond. I went there with flowers, to tell her that I love her, and she's too afraid to answer the door. Clearly this is because of the lies Steven has told her. Does it really make sense that I am trying to make peace and threatening violence at the same time?

It's an incredibly sad day for an older dad when his own daughter is afraid of him for no valid reason whatsoever. Let me be perfectly clear - I have never threatened either one of them with violence, at any time, in any way whatsoever. These are all fabricated lies of Steven.

In my journal I wrote that I was going there to tell them they were LOVED and FORGIVEN, with both of those words in uppercase letters. It was repeated this several times in the journal. In his court filing, Steven left out that page, intentionally because it tells the real story and exposes some of the lies he has told. Since he dd not answer the door, Steven does not know the reason for my visit. He decided, without any evidence, to twist things around and use this against me in a manner that was intended to cause harm to myself and my family.

Voice Message

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21.

"2.....THREATENTING VOICE MESSAGE...."If you want to live in peace, as I do, then if I prepare for war, any adversary will know that and basically let me be. So when you haven't heard from my these past few weeks, that's I've been doing. I've been preparing for war."

My response;

I clearly stated my desire to live in peace. His actions have made that difficult. I was letting him know that I had been preparing for war – which means to expose him on social media and contact law enforcement (which I ultimately did.) I have told him all along that I wanted to resolve things in a peaceful manner. His response has been to continue telling lies about me and/or ignore my multiple request(s) for a peaceful resolution.

Brittany Stops Communicating

Brittany stopped communicating with me in February of 2021. She said it was because Steven asked her to do this so they could focus on “building” their “relationship”. Immediately I thought Steven wanted to further drive a wedge between my daughter and me. From the very beginning I saw a change in my daughter; she has chronic headaches and I often used to massage her neck and shoulders. After the engagement I noticed her shoulders began to stick up more – seemed she was losing weight. I asked her mom, and she confirmed our daughter was losing weight, unintentionally. She thought maybe it was because of stress. At the time Brittany and I were getting along fine. Now she says she cannot communicate with me at all. Very concerning – especially since before meeting Steven, her and I were like 2-peas-in-a-pod. Now she sees me through a filter that Steven created, a filter which I can prove are lies and deceit.

That’s why she is the only child of mine who does not have a relationship with me. The others see me as I really am; the great father who raised them. I haven’t changed – am a lot sadder but am still that great dad who loves his family more than anything.

Epic Storm

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21.

"3. MARCH 24, 2021---HARASSING EMAIL. Email excerpt sent through Timothy's wife, Jennifer to Steven Anderson: "You've successfully stripped my daughter out of my life, and in the process hurt me at a level I have not been hurt before. But understand one thing; I could have stopped you by releasing the 'Epic Storm' I designed and planned."

My response;

The reason my wife began sending the emails is because during the time when Steven, Brittany, my wife and I were having an email conversation, Steven was also sending me emails directly stating things such as how he expects me to submit to him. But in the other emails which were including my wife and Brittany he was acting innocent and trying to make himself and Bittany look like victims. I showed these emails to my wife and requested that she be the one moving forward to send out the emails, that way we could be certain the four of us were seeing all the emails being sent. She readily agreed and I then blocked Steven from emailing me directly.

An ’Epic Storm’ is a term which describes a completely legal means of a cascading series of events designed to bring about change, or to protect someone. Again, these events are all completely legal. Nothing evil and no intent to cause physical harm at all. Several times I told Steven that I would do an epic storm if he continued ruining my relationship with Brittany and causing strife in my family. I never took any action though, because that would have been upsetting to my daughter and I was doing my best to shield her from any actions I was being forced to take.

He falsely claimed this meant I was going to be violent with him, even though I told him everything I planned on doing ahead of time, and all of it was completely legal. It stated this in my journal and in emails. Again, he took advantage of every innocent thing I did and would twist things to make my daughter think I was a crazed violent person. Something she has never seen in me before, because it’s not there and is all a fabrication of Steven’s.

Meanwhile, he's doing absolutely nothing to help settle things between us. His attempts thus far have amounted to exactly zero. He is a person who works in a church yet disobeys the teachings of the bible. His actions themselves expose him as a fraud.

Bold Moves

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21.

"04. April 26, 2021---EMAIL. Email excerpt sent to Brittany about Steven "My plan is to make some bold moves to resolve things between Steven and I. For us to not have a relationship is completely unacceptable. A father should not have to stop having a relationship with his daughter so she can marry someone. This is wrong on so many levels. Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language these "bold moves" have cause much concern for me and have included him visiting my home and place of work..."

My response;

“Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language…” I have never threatened him or used any violent language. Steven has again made all of this up. Bold moves would be to do as I stated on the main page of my journal; go public with what I know about him, file a lawsuit; ask law enforcement to become involved, etc. None of the actions I mentioned were violent in nature and in fact are all completely legal. Steven has again fabricated all of the claims against me concerning threats of violence.

See previous sections for what happened during the time(s) I visited his work and/or home (apartment). None of that was even close to being threatening. Asking a church to help move things forward towards meditation is a threat? Visiting his home to tell him he is “LOVED and FORGIVEN” is “violent language"?? It is clear that Steven is seriously delusional and acting/acted on an evil plan to intentionally destroy my family.

Journal (falsely listed and referred to as a public website)

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24-25.

"PUBLIC DEFAMATORY WEBSITE....Respondent created a defamatory website and threatened to spread the link publicly.

My response:

This was a personal and private online journal. I allowed Steven to see it one time. He took then violated copyright law by copying the entire journal. He then attempted to use it against me. This private journal did not contain any threats of violence at all. The main part of the journal contained a collection of emails that had been sent back and forth between Steven, Brittany, my wife and I, as well as some letters I had sent Brittany, and some moments of personal reflection. Again, nothing threatening violence of any type.

When Steven would not agree to meet or come together for mediation, I added a home page to the journal stating I would make this journal public if he did not work towards a resolution between us so I could have a relationship with my daughter. On that page I clearly stated that everything would be done

legally. It also included a picture of Steven's face with the eyes made to look dark. That was to show how much darkness I believed was in Steven in order for him to act the way he had been, and beneath it was a call to prayer. [Emphasis added]. Should the private journal be made public, this would be a request for people to pray for him.

Again, there was no threat of violence to him or anyone else. This was plain and obvious, but instead chose to twist things around to make it seem that he was an intended victim.

Exhibit / Evidence; H. Copy of private journal.

Medical Bills

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24.

"Claimed I was responsible for $350,000 of medical damages..."

My response;

I have never claimed there were/are $350,000 of medical damages or bills. I have health insurance and most of the medical bills have been paid through them. In recent years I have gone to the doctor's office and there have been concerns about cancer and my heart. During those times Steven has not shown any care or concern for my health. Not even an offer of prayer. This falls far beneath the level expected of a Christian, and especially of church faculty or a pastor.

More False Claims

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 26.

"...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature. In March of this year, he reported suicide ideation and holding a gun to his head, as a result of the deterioration with the relationship with his daughter....He seems unable to regulate his emotions, is willing to bring a firearm into the mix and is fixated on me being the cause of his broken relationship with his daughter. I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me. After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me. By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation."

My response;

"...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature...."

"He seems unable to regulate his emotions..."

"I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me."

"After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me."

"By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation."

Those are all gross exaggerations intended to falsely make him appear to be a victim. One evening I did very briefly hold a gun up to my head at a park, within hours of a painful counseling session with my daughter. Later, I told my wife about this. We agreed I should get some help at the hospital. Once there, they offered to let me stay with them for inpatient counseling, and clearly stated my stay there was completely voluntary. I agreed to stay, and over the next 24 hours worked with a mental health doctor (Dr. Brown) and her staff to develop coping skills. The following day I asked to be discharged, and the doctor agreed. She stated there were no concerns for suicide or that I would act out in a violent manner. I went to the hospital voluntarily, stayed voluntarily, and left voluntarily with no concerns that I was a threat either to myself or anyone else.

Steven's statements, listed above, are completely false, fabricated, and being used as an attempt to slander and libel me, with the clear intent of causing immeasurable harm to myself and my family.

The above statements made by Steven clearly show that he is making up these terrible claims about me. There is no evidence at all that I have ever threatened him. Steven is cleverly twisting words around in an attempt to make himself appear to be a victim, and to cause harm to my family. In doing so he has also caused undue concern from the church and congregation.

Exhibit / Evidence G. Hospital papers from overnight stay.

False Claim About Escalating Things

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 26.

"...He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany's on 4/28/2021."

My response;

I have already addressed this in another section, but here again Steven is making up lies and fabricating things against me, with the intent to ruin my relationship my daughter Brittany, and also to cause harm to my family.

In stark contrast to his false claims, I sent a letter to my family calling for peace and love. See evidence for a copy. Exhibit / Evidence; J. Letter to my children before the first HRO was filed.

First HRO

Shortly after I went to tell them they were LOVED and FORGIVEN, I was served court papers by the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office. These papers were Steven and Brittany filing for a Harassment Restraining Order against me. Once I read their complaint(s) against me it became obvious the type of lies Steven had been telling about me, specifically to my daughter, Brittany. I assembled all the evidence I had and planned to ask for a trial, during which I would fully expose Steven and his lies intended to harm both myself and my family. Especially concerning were allegations there was “domestic violence” on the main page of Brittany’s filing. There never was any violence of any kind whatsoever between me and Brittany. That claim is false and a horrible allegation.

Their Attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh, told me beforehand that if I did not accept the HRO without finding of fact, it would harm my daughter, her integrity and profession as a nurse. Though I could have presented an enormous amount of evidence and witnesses to refute any of Stevens claims (which are lies), out of an abundance of love for my daughter, Brittany, I accepted the HRO without finding of fact. Mr. Van Loh went on to tell me that “It’s a tough day for a dad” and that he understands because of his own daughter's wedding, and that I am “Doing the right thing.”

During that same meeting, he asked that the website I had up about Steven be taken down. I specifically told him that was not a public website and that it was my private journal - one that I discreetly shared with Steven. He then said, "That's what pen and paper are for." So, he knew that was a private journal, but in the future he would act as though it was a public website that I used to disparage Steven.

In one later email he even specifically referred to that website as a "public website." Why would anyone refer to a website in that manner? Unless of course they know it's private and are trying to pretend it is not a private journal.

It should be noted that despite being out of my daughter’s life was/is incredibly painful, during the next two (2) years I only violated the HRO one time, and only due to a medical emergency. This happened when I was feeling ill and was advised by my doctor’s office to come see them soon. While driving there I began experiencing chest pains when only a few blocks away from the clinic. Instead of going my usual long-way around to get there, and avoiding Calvary church on Highway 96 (a place where I was ordered to not go within two (2) blocks of) I instead opted for the direct route which took me past the church. I did not stop at the church or otherwise interact with anyone there. I only drove past it on in my attempt to get to the clinic as quickly as possible. My thoughts were focused on getting medical help. Once at the clinic an EKG was performed. The attending physician immediately recognized I was having a cardiac event and called for an ambulance. I was transported to the nearest hospital for treatment.

Other than this one time due to a medical emergency, I obeyed the court order and did not violate the HRO. I am a peaceful and law abiding citizen.

Shortly after the HRO was accepted by me, Brittany and Steven were married. This occurred on June 19th, 2021. Two of Brittany's three siblings did not attend the wedding, and neither did anyone from my side of the family. They read the HRO filing and do not believe the lies being told about me. In addition, several people who read the HRO said they were present when some of the events took place, and that Steven was lying in his description of what happened. Several of them stated another reason they did not attend was due to Steven's rude behavior towards them.

I was told by several people in attendance at the wedding that Steven had hired two (2) off-duty police officers to provide security, and that he had done so claiming he was fearful I would show up at the wedding and become violent. I allege he did this as a show, because I never was a threat to him.

Exhibit / Evidence; M. HRO cover page claiming domestic violence. Also, R. Background.

False Claim of Threatening Letter

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4.

"May 4, 2023---Threatening Letter to Petitioner: Respondent contacted me through my attorney threatening again to protest me at my place of employment (See Exhibit C). In his letter, Respondent threatens that the only way to prevent this from occurring is to, "…Speak up now. Once [respondent]'s plan goes into action, there is no stopping or turning back" Respondent also accuses me of telling, "bullshit lies" and ends his letter "There is one question you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out? Tick-tock." [Emphasis Added].

My response;

More false claims about my being a threat. There is nothing threatening about a peaceful protest. I even asked for his input on how to resolve this matter so I could have a relationship with my daughter. But here again he twists things around, with the help of his attorney, to make me seem like I am some violent crazed person. They even put an emphasis on the phrase "Tick-tock" as though it were a reference to a bomb. It was clearly a reference to a clock as I stated earlier in the letter that the first HRO was expiring soon.

He also falsely claims the protests would be against him – when in fact I clearly stated in the letter to the church it would be about them performing a proper investigation. How is that a threat to him, unless he is afraid of the truth coming out?

Contacting their attorney trying to make peaceI contacted their attorney and asked if there was a way to make peace. After several emails exchanged between Mr. Van Loh and myself, he stated that Steven does not want to reconcile with me.

Exhibit / Evidence; L. Van Loh emails.

The Video

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3.

"June 2021 YouTube Video. At some point following the May 27, 2021 HRO, Respondent created a 90+ minute YouTube video “responding” to the HRO, but specifically speaking out and challenging the allegations in the Petition, even though he agreed to an entry of an HRO voluntarily without the court making findings of the alleged harassment. Respondent enlisted the help of my wife Brittany’s sister, Vanessa to film who he then had it forwarded the video to my wife. The video was originally posted on a password protected webpage on his site, his “ministry” site, not the website he was required to take down after the HRO. He has since taken down his ministry website. Attached are screenshots of the video (Exhibit E) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary."

My response;

I agreed to an entry of (the first) HRO voluntarily because their attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh told me that if I did not accept it that it would be harmful to my daughter, her reputation and her career. I accepted it out of concern and love for my daughter. Shortly afterwards several members of my family came to me asking various questions about the HRO. Because of the nature of this matter and how emotionally hard it was for me to discuss it, I enlisted the help of my youngest daughter, Vanessa and made a video where I replied to the terrible allegations made against me. I then posted it on a website on a private & unlisted page, that was additionally password protected. I then shared the page address and password with five (5) family members. I asked that they let me know when they had watched the video so I could then remove it from the private, unlisted and password protected webpage.

To be clear, there is nothing that threatens violence in that video at all. Steven’s allegations of that are completely false. Steven also states, “Attached are screenshots of the video (Exhibit E) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary." This is clearly an attempt to make it seem as it the video contains threats and/or contains content that is nefarious. This is completely false.

Steven also claims that I had my daughter Vanessa forward it onto my daughter Brittany. This is also false, and he has no evidence to support this. Nonetheless, the referee (Elizabeth A. Clydesdale) believed this to be the truth, without any evidence to support this false claim, and ignoring the statement of my daughter, Brittany,  said I was guilty of violating the first HRO.

“…enlisted the help of my wife Brittany’s sister, Vanessa…” Steven’s wife, Brittany, is my daughter, So, it stands to reason that her sister is likely also my daughter (she is). He could have just referred to her as “Respondents daughter, Vanessa”, but he didn’t. Here again he wants to interject himself into every scenario possible – especially if he can falsely make himself appear to be a victim. My daughter, Vanessa and I have a close and loving relationship. Vanessa was originally a bridesmaid for her sister, Brittany. She backed out after she saw the things that Steven was doing, and also after reading the first HRO and

recognizing the lies he was telling. She knows he is a liar and that's why she volunteered to help with the making of the video.

Exhibit / Evidence: Private video can be viewed by typing this link into a web browser. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZbYLElTAwO0

A Danger to the Church?

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4.

" Respondent has resumed and appears to be prepared to intensify the harassment against me and my wife, Brittany upon the expiration of the HRO on May 27, 2023. Although directed towards me, his threats of harassment involve and endanger 1,500+ congregants, including hundreds of children, at both campuses as well as the full and part time staff of both campuses. I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me or those around me."

My response;

"…intensity the harassment…" By having a peaceful protest at a church asking them to perform an investigation? A protest I would not even be attending. How does a peaceful protest endanger "…1,500+ congregants, including hundreds of children…" ?

"…. I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me or those around me."

Here again he is twisting the truth in an attempt to make me appear to be a violent crazy person who the entire church should be afraid of. Meanwhile I am spending time with my family, friends, and coworkers. None of whom have any concerns about their safety around me. They think Steven's claims are outrageous. Where is the evidence that I have ever threatened Steven, my daughter or the church? There is nothing except Steven's twisted version of the truth. All of the letters I sent to the church were respectful, even asking them to prayerfully consider helping, and peacefully requesting their help, etc. I would never threaten any violence against a church, or its members. This claim is false and made-up by Steven.

SECOND HRO

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3.

" 12. With the pending dismissal of the HRO on May 27, 2023, the Respondent has stated his intent to resume the same level of harassment which includes picketing at the place of my employment, Calvary Church, Roseville and White Bear Township. The Respondent violated Paragraph 1A of the HRO by sending threatening emails to my employer, Calvary Church, a threatening letter to me, and by sending

a letter threatening me to my wife, Brittany Anderson, who also has a Harassment Restraining Order against the Respondent."

My response;

My youngest sister, who knew the HRO was about to expire, suggested that she take some action. She was doing this with good intentions. Just a few months prior, her only daughter had suddenly and unexpectedly passed away at the age of 40. I stood by her throughout this horrible ordeal. She wanted to find a way to help me with the situation concerning my daughter, Brittany. Her idea was to form a peaceful protest at Calvary church and continue doing so until they agreed to do a proper investigation. I initially said no, don't do anything. But she was insistent and even looked up the laws concerning a peaceful protest. I reluctantly agreed to go along with this idea of hers. But I made it abundantly clear that I would not participate in those protests. The most I would do is to send a letter to Steven and Calvary church explaining the intention of an upcoming protest. The letter to the church was very respectful. The letter to Steven was more pointed. Because, after all, this was all his fault. And because of this, there were many negative impacts on my life and those of my family. But there was no threat in any of my communication(s). They are stating it as such for dramatic effect. Meanwhile, back in reality, I have obeyed the HRO and was not posing a threat to anyone.

The response I got was to be served papers that Steven and Brittany had filed for an extension of the HRO's. They requested a hearing on an emergency basis, claiming that I was such a threat that they feared for their lives, and were concerned I would go to their church and harm/murder hundreds of children. He went as far as to falsely claim that I previously claimed to be a CIA assassin. That is not the truth, and he is including this merely for dramatic effect. I have never been a CIA assassin, an employee of the CIA, or employed as a federal officer or any letter agency, nor have I ever made such a claim.

My intention was to ask for a trial, at which time I would bring forth all the evidence I have, including things mentioned in this filing. At that time, I asked my sister to abandon any intentions of protesting, and she agreed.

During the first hearing for the second HRO I requested a trial. Their attorney, Mr. Van Loh suggested that nothing from the first HRO be allowed at trial. The referee, Elizabeth A Clydesdale agreed.

At the trial, I became aware that I had improperly submitted my evidence and none of it would be allowed. Though I had sent it to the State evidence collection system properly, I did not understand that it also needed to be sent to their attorney. I emailed a list of the evidence and incorrectly assumed they would obtain the evidence from the State evidence system. I emailed Mr. Van Loh’s office to ensure they got the evidence and the email reply came back with one word; “Received”. They could have said the evidence was missing from the email, but the didn’t. Instead, they used my inexperience as an opportunity to have the evidence to not be allowed. This is another example of how this trial was not

about the truth or justice in any way, shape, or form. This was yet another attack on myself and my family.

the only evidence they presented was my private journal, which was from the first HRO. Referee Clydesdale allowed it, despite previously stating otherwise. That private journal ended up being a primary focus of their case. In addition to it being improperly allowed as evidence, Mr. Van Loh was using this journal to present their case claiming that I was somehow a threat to Steven and/or my daughter. The journal contains no threats of violence towards anyone.

The referee did not explain that I was not obligated to answer any of their attorneys' questions. In the beginning of the trial, I was sworn in and their attorney began questioning me. I believe the court should have informed me that I have a right to refuse to be questioned by their attorney. I felt like it was an obligation to answer their attorney's questions. If I had known that I did not have to, I would have remained silent during his questioning.

During the trial Mr. Van Loh acted rudely and was clearly not interested in truth and justice. This was purely a seek-and-destroy mission for him. He was also rude to my witnesses and suggested to the court that my witnesses were lying, without presenting any evidence supporting his allegation(s).

I felt as though his goal was clearly to make me appear as though I was one of the worst humans on this earth, without any supporting evidence, and went as far as to ask the HRO's be for life.

Though I was allowed to present a few witnesses, their attorney treated them harshly and went on to call my witnesses liars without any evidence to prove this claim. This is the same attorney who previously would claim that any attempt by me to settle this matter by filing a lawsuit amounted to “Threatening legal attacks.” [Emphasis added.] Anytime I attempted to find ways to reconcile matters, Mr. Van Loh would state in an email, that my continued efforts to work things out with my daughter were only pushing her away. He intentionally made things worse and more difficult for me to restore peace and harmony in my family.

My first witness was my sister, Sunnie. She wanted to read a statement but was denied the opportunity by the referee. When I tried questioning her about the content(s) of the statement, their attorney objected to almost everything and her testimony was largely not allowed. Afterwards, their attorney called my sister a liar. Her statement is included as evidence and listed on the Exhibit / Evidence list as item “Q”.

Since my witnesses were being treated in a manner which I viewed as being unfair and too harshly, I decided to present the remainder of the case myself. However, when I tried to do so, the referee stopped the proceedings and said she would hear closing comments before issuing a ruling. So, I was literally not allowed to fully present my case.

Had I been allowed to, part of that would have been to ask for this matter be referred to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office for investigation and criminal proceedings. In the Spring of 2023 I met with someone from the Sheriff's Office and showed them the info / evidence I had. They stated if I could have the civil court refer this matter to them, they would proceed with a criminal investigation against Steven. They further stated that Steven would likely be arrested and put in jail. I asked what I had done wrong, if anything. The response was "Nothing sir, you're a good father".

They had no witnesses other than myself, and no evidence other than my private journal; which should not have been allowed based upon the agreement between the attorney and the referee.

The second HRO was granted for two-years for my daughter, and ten-years for Steven. The reasons for this were due to the inappropriate actions of their attorney as well as the referee's actions not being conducive for the truth to be presented.

Exhibit / Evidence; Q. Statement my sister was not allowed to present in court.

Ways His Actions Have Impacted Me

Marriage of 31 years ended in divorce. Due to the emotional stress caused by the words and actions of Steven, I became withdrawn and difficult to be married to. The decision to divorce was deeply sad and painful.

Loss of relationship with my daughter, Brittany. This is the most painful thing I have ever experienced in my life.

Had to sell our family home where we had lived for 24 years. As part of the divorce we sold the house. On my last day there, after moving my personal possessions out, I returned one last time to shower. From the time I entered the bathroom, showered, got dressed and walked out the front door one last time, I cried. The entire time I was in tears. My ex-wife shared that she too cried, almost every day for a month after moving out. After selling the house I became homeless and lived in hotels for weeks until being able to rent an apartment.

My career ended due to performance reasons directly as a result of the stress from this terrible situation. I was making many mistakes at work as a result of the stress. I quit and later was hired by a Target Stores contractor to perform a job I previously held, and excelled at. This time, however, I could not perform the job and stepped down after a month. They kept me on as a driver. After one week, while performing an action I had done approximately 30,000 times previously, I made a mistake that caused significant damage to a piece of equipment. I was immediately fired.

My health has declined in various ways, including mental and physical. In addition to the depression and sadness over the loss of a relationship with my daughter, there was a near suicide attempt (as

mentioned earlier). My heart has always been healthy in the past. Now I am on several medications. This is because of the stress. I decline to share any further information about my health.

More stress and heartache than I have ever experienced in my life. The pain and suffering I have experienced, as well as my family, has been unimaginable.

# 108. Archive/Complaint - First Served.docx

Exhibit / Evidence; N. Pics of trailer I damaged at work just prior to being fired.

Financial Help

At the beginning of the relationship between Steven and my daughter, he asked me to help support him financially. I agreed to help and began sending him monthly contributions through an organization called Reliant. Despite all the harm he caused both myself and my family, I had remained hopeful this relationship could be fixed. Because of that I continued sending him financial help every month, and continued doing so for 2 ½ years. I stopped in June of 2023 because that is the month my wife moved out of our family home. Again, our marriage ended only due to the incredible amount of stress caused by Steven's lies. I no longer felt as though there was any possibility of reconciliation, especially since he had told me, through his attorney, (Mr. Van Loh) that he did not want to reconcile with me. At that point, when my wife moved out, I stopped making monthly payments to help him.

Later I learned that Steven had told my daughter that I promised to help them financially, but then never did. That is a lie – I helped for 2 ½ years.

Exhibit / Evidence: E. Reliant Receipts for years 2021, 2022, 2023.

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Archive/Complaint - First Served.docx ***

Complaint

My daughter, Brittany, and I were very close and spent time together frequently. See Facebook screenshots, (Exhibit A). She began dating Steven Anderson, director of youth services @ Calvary Church in 2020. Within a few months Brittany came to me and said she was breaking up with him. She said that she felt as though she couldn't be herself around him because was too controlling. She later said that she had another meeting with him, during which time he "shared his heart" with her. Brittany said that Steven told her due to his upbringing he had difficulty properly expressing himself. Because of his explanation she decided to again date him. Upon hearing that I decided to give him a wide swath of grace and patience when dealing with him. He took advantage of this, as documented below.

Shortly thereafter Steven approached me to help support his ministry – which is his full-time job. He says Calvary church cannot afford to pay him a salary, so he fundraises as a substitute. I began

supporting his endeavor financially and even worked with him to improve his presentation to find others who would be supportive. I continued sending him money every month for 2 ½ years – even during the time when he would file an HRO against me, as I still had hope we would settle things peacefully. My wife later said that Steven told Brittany I wasn't sending money and had refused to help them. I stopped sending money after the June 2024 payment when my wife moved out of our family home. This happened due to the stress of the problems Steven caused after becoming engaged to our daughter, Brittany.

Within months Steven approached me and asked what it would take to have my blessing for him to marry my daughter. One of the things I mentioned was spending more time with our family - we barely knew him. I told Steven that family was important to us, and that if he was to marry my daughter then we would want him to feel welcomed in our family and hopefully would be an active part of it.

Afterwards he made an effort to be at our house almost on a weekly basis, and things seemed to be going well.

One day he called to ask if we could hang out sometime. I said yes and we picked a date and time. When that time came, he arrived at our house and we talked for quite a while. I sensed he had something he wanted to say or possibly ask me - maybe even permission to marry Brittany. Finally, after 3 hours I asked him "Is there something you wanted to ask me?" He then asked for my blessing on marrying my daughter, and I gave him that blessing. My wife and I even helped with a temporary ring until he could buy one.

Once he proposed and my daughter accepted, his entire demeanor towards myself and our family changed. Documented below are lies and exaggerations he said about me in an HRO (Harassment Restraining Order) and my response, which reveals how Steven has engaged in a campaign of libel, slander and harassment which has caused significant harm to both myself, and my family.

Shortly after the engagement he began manipulating her and convinced her to stop talking with me so they could "focus on their relationship". That was the last time I ever spoke with her. The last thing she said to me was "Dad, I love you so so so so much!"

This campaign has included making my daughter fearful of me through a false narrative of his claim of me threatening him with a gun, being a overall dangerous person to him, her, and the church, and convincing her to join him in filing for an HRO under false pretenses.

I asked many times what the problem was and asked to resolve any differences. He never responded. After trying for a long time without any response, I texted him the following;: You lost far more than you gained." Meaning, we could have had a great relationship, but he had another agenda. I had actually tried to make peace and amends 15 times. Steven made zero attempts, and refused all of my attempts at making peace.

During this time I had been offering blanket apologies for things I had not done, just to have a relationship with my daughter. He never responded and instead continued taking everything I've done, as pure and honest as my actions were, and greatly exaggerated them and spun them into my looking like it's all a threat to him.

His deliberate actions, hyper-exaggerated claims and outright lies have caused significant harm; due to the stress of Steven's actions, my wife and I ended our 31-year marriage. We sold the family home where we lived for 24 years, and I became homeless. I had to quit my job as a Safety and Compliance Manager. I enjoyed a corner office and had 70 people working for me - but had to quit due to my declining work performance as a result of the stress caused by Steven's actions and words. The day prior to selling our home I moved my belongings into a storage unit. Within a short period of time that unit was broken into and most of my valuable possessions were stolen.

It is important to note that I have enjoyed a great relationship with the others my children have dated or married. With one notable exception; a man named Kyle, who assaulted my daughter and subsequently assaulted myself. Prior to Steven, my daughter Brittany dated a man name David Zimmerman for several years. David and I got along exceptionally well. When Brittany decided to break up with David, he came directly to our family home to spend time with me. I explained that since Brittany is my daughter, I needed to advocate for her. He responded, "I know, but I feel so much better being with you."

My youngest daughter, Vanessa, was dating a man named James Anderson (a common last name – no relation to Steven.) When he was homeless, I took him into our home just a week prior to our home being sold due to my divorce. Despite going through my own personal challenges, I still helped him. One of the ways was to help James come up with a life plan and even rented him a hotel room. Later, when my daughter decided to end that relationship, I continued paying for his hotel room, and gave him money for expenses.

My oldest daughter, Victoria, dated and married a man named Jacob Rademacher. During the 12-years my daughter has been with him, Jake (Jacob) and I have enjoyed a great relationship. He has never felt fearful of me, nor felt threatened in any way, shape, form or manner. Jake and I have been to hockey games, on vacation, road trips and more together. He's a fantastic son-in-law who calls me "Dad". I hoped for the same with Steven, but the evidence will show he has a different agenda.

Exhibit / Evidence; A. Facebook screenshots.

Guest List Phone Call

"Within a week after Brittany and I began our engagement, the respondent began to take issue with our wedding planning. He began to call me to argue for things to be different on decisions that my finance and I had made."

My response;

His statement is false. One day Brittany was at our family house speaking to her mom and I about the guest list. It sounded as if Steven had already invited so many people there was barely any room for our side of the family. At least that was the impression I got from the conversation. At one point I heard the number of guests was already more than the total amount they agreed upon. Brittany seemed stressed by this process. Her mom approached me afterwards and asked me if I could help with this matter. She suggested I make a friendly call to Steven and I agreed. I called him and asked one question: "How does the guest list honor the mother and father of the bride?" His reply was all about his family and never directly answered my question. He then stated that he didn't think his response answered my question. I calmly replied, "Not really." He then said he would get back to me about this. I offered a suggestion on how they might decide on a guest list, and he said that he would discuss this with Brittany. We then talked for a short while about other non-wedding related things and ended the call on a positive note. I shared the details of this conversation with my wife, and we thought that call was enough and those two (Brittany and Steven) would work things out. (He has never gotten back to me with an answer.)

The only other time I ever discussed the wedding with Steven was during a time when he called me about a non-wedding related topic, and I asked him if my wife and I would be able to meet his parents before the wedding. He replied, "Not my dad, but maybe my mom when she comes to town to help me finish planning the wedding." He made it sound as if he and his mom would be doing the wedding planning and not Brittany. I asked, "Does Brittany know about this?" He said yes. I then asked if there would be anything that Mrs. Hutchinson (Brittany's mom) would be able to help with. He replied, "Maybe my mom can find something for her to do." I then repeated an earlier question, "And Brittany knows about this?" He again replied, yes. I then said, "Oh, ok." That's as far as the conversation went.

The only time I discussed the wedding with Brittany was when she came to me with a concern about their wedding photographer. I gave her some advice on how to approach Steven to discuss this topic, and nothing more.

Compare this to my oldest daughters (Victoria & Jake) wedding. I caused no problems whatsoever, did not interfere with any planning, and they will both testify to that. I would have done the same for Brittany and Steven's wedding, except Steven had other plans to exclude me as the evidence will show.

Steven and Brittany were married on June 19th, 2021. I believe Steven pushed for this day to be chosen because the following day, June 20th, 2021, on their first full day of marriage it was Father's Day, and I

would not be able to celebrate it with my daughter, Brittany. I allege he did this intentionally to further harm me emotionally.

Phone calls

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17 – 18

"The frequency and hostility in Respondent's telephone calls increased. Amidst these interactions Brittany and I decided it would be best to set boundaries around our wedding planning and conversations with us about them."

My response;

There never were any harassing phone calls. This claim is a lie. I only made 6 phone calls to Steven total in the entire time I have known him. Two of those were about allowing him to borrow one of my wife's rings to propose to our daughter. Another call was to offer him the same advice my Father-in-law gave me prior to my marrying his daughter nearly 30 years prior. He became agitated and responded rudely to me. Another call was to ask if he wanted to get together and hang out – he said "No" and hung up on me. There was a call about us doing a video chat to discuss some potential changes to his fund-raising presentation (I volunteered to help him with this). The other call was months later when I left a voicemail during a time I was upset with him after a long period of him intentionally doing things to harm both myself and my family.

It should be noted that Steven made plenty of calls to me, however. He would say things such as;a) "You're not a very good father."b) "Brittany deserves someone better than you." c) "If you want to have a relationship with your daughter, then you need to submit to me and let me control you."

Here again I gave him a wide swath of grace and patience because of what he told my daughter about not being able to properly express himself. During these times I tried to steer the conversation away from negative comments and towards something more positive and family oriented.

Laundry

Brittany does Stevens laundry at our house, which I honestly didn't mind. He makes it clear that he is concerned about Covid, so when I discovered some information about that virus and fabric, I wanted to share this with him. Soon afterwards as Brittany was leaving the house, she said Steven's laundry was in the dryer and asked that once done I place it outside the front door for him to pick up. I figured this was out of concern for Covid, so decided to write him a letter, and placed in in the laundry basket along with his clean clothes. It basically said I had done some research on Covid and was surprised it could last up to 48-hours on our clothes. Said I could appreciate his concern for being exposed to Covid. Maybe it's best his clothes are not washed at our house - at least now for now.

I then said it would have been nice to see him today. That we could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just the day before for Covid. I signed it by saying "Hope you have a wonderful day."

When his clothes were done, I placed them outside the front door as requested. When Steven arrived, he read the note, threw the clothes into his car, slammed the door, then stood there staring at our house for a full minute with an angry look on his face. He then got into the car and sped off running through a stop sign and speeding up the street at approximately double the speed limit.

My intentions in writing that letter was pure – I really wanted to have a good relationship with him, but it seemed he turned it around and used it as an opportunity to have a tantrum.

Then just a day later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for several hours. He can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell and say hi, but just a day later he can spend hours inside our home? And he's here without any acknowledgment of the letter. This seemed very disrespectful. As Brittany and Steven were leaving, Brittany said “Hi dad” – I responded “Hi honey!” Then Steven said, “Hello Mr. Hutchinson”. I responded with a rather dry “Hi”. It bothered me that he was so rude just the day before, as well as multiple times previously, and now today when my daughter is there, he’s acting nice and innocent. I didn’t want to say or do anything that would be upsetting to Brittany, so I did not ask him for any explanation – at least not at that time.

Several hours later my wife came home, and I told her about this and that it bothered me. After all, he had a history of being rude to my family and myself. I spoke loudly about how upset I was and said that I did not want Steven at our house again. I then texted Steven and said he was not welcome in our home until there was mediation.

At no time when I was venting did I make any threats against Steven. My only comments were that he was disrespecting me in my own home, and I did not want him at our house anymore.

I did not know that Brittany was in her bedroom and had overheard what I said. I honestly thought she had left and had specifically waited until I thought she was gone to vent my frustrations. My wife gave me a hug and we went and made dinner together. Within 10 minutes or so we were in the kitchen laughing about some silly memes on Facebook. Yes, I raised my voice. Yes, I was upset. He’s going to marry my daughter and he’s treating me poorly. Any reasonable father would also be upset. But there was no threat.

I later learned that Steven used this as an opportunity to convince my daughter to move out of our house by claiming she was in danger remaining there with me.

Exhibits / Evidence; S. Laundry Letter.

Kyle situation

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1.

"Throughout my relationship with his daughter, the respondent reminded me on several occasions of a story where he insinuates that a previous relationship one of his other daughters had, ended with him shoving the boyfriends head through the drywall of his apartment."

My response;

I never told him this story. Kyle was my daughter Vanessa's boyfriend. During their relationship he assaulted her. Afterwards, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. So, I called Kyle, and he began shouting at me, and then I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So, I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified myself as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to, I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. He then came at me with a raised fist.

At that point I felt the need to defend myself and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I responded, "Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left. He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical.

Again, I never told Steven this story. He only overheard me telling this story, twice. Both times the telling of the story was initiated by Vanessa. The first time was to her current boyfriend as the family gathered at a restaurant to celebrate her mom’s birthday. The second time was at a bonfire at our family house, and she was telling the story to two of my friends who stopped by. I took over the telling of the story both times in order to end it quickly. After the second time I asked my daughter, Vanessa, to not tell the story anymore. She stated that she was proud of me for defending her but agreed not to tell the story anymore. She said, “I love you dad.” I replied, “I love you too. Thank you for agreeing to not tell this story anymore.”

Steven was not a part of either conversation, his name was not mentioned, nor did anyone acknowledge him or even make eye contact at any time. During the first time, at the restaurant, he was seated 3 people away from us. At the bonfire, he was seated 4 people away from us. Both times there were other conversations happening closer to him, so there was no way he was included in the conversation pertaining to the Kyle story. His assertion that I reminded him is completely false. He overhead the story and presented it in the court filing for the HRO as if I told it directly to him and also as if it was intended to be a threat directly to him. Those assertions are completely false.

It should be noted that after the bonfire, I had 4 people tell me that Steven had acted rudely towards them. Initially I defended him saying that he works at a church and so they must be mistaken. Later, as I thought more about it, it became apparent that some of these people did not know each other and therefore could not have collaborated on the story. That, to me, gave some credence they might be telling the truth.

It should also be noted that during the bonfire my brother Steve began making what he thought were jokes about Steven. I quickly interrupted and told my brother that Steven worked at a church and asked that he please not make any jokes about him. He apologized and refrained from telling any further jokes. I defended Steven, only to have him later go and tell lies about me.

The Books

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1.

"When I shared my desire to marry his daughter, months prior to asking for his blessing, he gave me two of his books, including an autobiographical work, Painful Secrets, wherein he claims to have almost committed a mass shooting as a teenager. With this context, the Respondent's placement of the gun on the banister was clearly meant and taken as a threat and intimidation towards me."

My response;

This is a gross exaggeration. In both filings he claims I gave him a copy of the books a "month" before in one and "months" before in another....it was actually 3 days prior to his asking to marry my daughter.

During a previous time that we had met, he mentioned that he heard I wrote several books. I confirmed that I had. He said that he would like to read them. I sell these books on Amazon so do not store any extra copies at the house. When he expressed an interest in reading them, I went to see if I had any available copies at the house. I found one copy of ‘Painful Secrets’ and one copy of ’Casting Out Doubt’. I then generously gave him a free copy of each. Had he not mentioned my being an author, I would never have thought to give him a copy of any of the books. I have not previously given a copy to any of my other daughter’s current or former boyfriends, nor any of Brittany’s former boyfriends.

Soon after, he asked if we could hang out for a while. I said yes, and he came over to our house. Once here he mentioned that he had read both books. One was my memoir, and he didn't really talk about that - it was the other book, the one about miracles. I was surprised he had read them already as I had just given them to him three (3) days prior. When I commented on this, he said that he had stayed up late at night to finish them. We talked about the book ‘Casting Out Doubt’ for a few minutes, then began talking about other topics. At no time did he seem concerned about the contents of the other book, nor was it ever mentioned.

That evening, I had a feeling he was there to ask me if he could marry my daughter. This was an important evening, and I didn't want him to feel rushed - so I remained patient and waited. Finally, three hours later I asked if he was there, by chance, to ask me question. He said yes and asked if he could marry my daughter. I asked for there to be several conditions, and he agreed. One of them was not to interfere in my relationship with daughter. He promised that he wouldn't. Then we talked about a ring, and I offered to borrow him one of Brittany's mom's rings to propose with. That would give him time to go ring shopping. (He has since broken the promise to not interfere in my relationship with Brittany many times over).It is important to note that I have used my memoir, 'Painful Secrets' as a tool to help make bullying awareness and prevention a national issue. Along the way I have prevented 6 school shootings and also prevented 300 teen suicides. I would never use this book as a threat to anyone. My wife is a high school science teacher who has given copies of this same book to some of her troubled students. She has witnessed their lives being changed by it and has seen them carry this book around with them every day as a sign of hope and overcoming great life obstacles. See exhibit P for feedback from notable individuals.

Here again, Steven is making wild claims that are simply not true at all.

Exhibit / Evidence; B. Books on Amazon. Also, P. 'Painful Secrets' feedback.

False claim about the gun

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"At the time, my fiance lived in respondents home. I visited while the respondent was at work, to make plans for the wedding. He returned before I left, and we only exchanged a brief interaction on me way our the door, however, he placed his firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight. I couldn't help but feel as though he had intentionally placed the gun there for me to see."

My response;

This was around the time when there were riots in Minneapolis after what happened to George Floyd. There was talk about the riots going to the suburbs. At the time my wife asked me if I still had a gun. I replied yes. The fact that she asked this question shows how discreet I was with it. I never showed it to anyone, open carried or even discussed it.

She then said it would make her feel safer if she could see it regularly. So, for a short while, placing my firearm on the upstairs banister when coming home from work became a temporary routine for me.

Again, she literally asked me to do this. This is the only reason I would ever leave my gun out; because it was at the request of my wife. I would come home from work and place it on the end railing at the top of the steps, go into the kitchen and greet my wife with a kiss, unpack my lunch bag, then go put the gun away in our bedroom. It was only out for approximately 3 minutes. I did this only for a few weeks, then

asked if she was OK with me not putting it out anymore, as I never was comfortable doing this. She said yes, and I stopped leaving it out for her to see.

One day while Steven was over, he saw the handgun in its usual place and made an incorrect assumption. He then used this occasion to begin placing thoughts into my daughter's head that I am a dangerous person, and they are both at risk of me harming them with the gun.

Steven claimed it was "on the banister by the front door, …". This is a false claim. It was actually on the 2nd floor of the house – Steven remained in the lower level and was never near the gun. It was also holstered, and I never went near it while he was in the house. During this entire time, he never saw me – he only heard my voice coming from the kitchen.

I have never waved this gun around or used it to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie; but Steven wants to falsely portray me as a crazy man with a weapon.

Rules of Engagement

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

"The respondent took issue with some of the wording in our Rules of Engagement..."

My response;

Brittany and Steven came up with some Rules of Engagement, and presented them to my wife and I. We both agreed to follow these rules. At this time, we were at our house sitting at the dining room table. Afterwards, Brittany and her mom went into the kitchen. I got up and said I was going to the bathroom. I began walking away but then stopped and turned around to retrieve something from the table. At that time Steven had stood up and was bent over picking up a bag from the floor with his back towards me. He did not realize I was standing there. Just then I heard him say "Oh, this is gonna be good!" He said it in a very deep voice as though he was almost growling. To me, it sounded demonic. He suddenly stood and spun around to face me. He began smiling and started trying to tell a joke. It seemed like he was trying to cover for something. I was too stunned to speak or respond. He then called to Brittany saying they should leave.

Afterwards, my wife looked at me and asked, "Honey, are you OK?" I didn't want to make a scene so I just responded by saying I was OK. We then went into our bedroom together and prayed for them as a couple. The following day I shared what happened with my brother.

Shortly after that I sent them both an email asking a question about how to ask a question. Nothing more. Simply asking how they preferred me to ask a question.

Something in the Rules of Engagement was not clear and I merely was asking for clarification. Steven immediately took offense to this and began accusing me of manipulation. This email conversation included Brittany, her mom, Steven and me.

Suddenly I got an email from Steven stating that if I loved Brittany that I would “Lay down everything.” This to me means submitting to him and goes along with his earlier comments about submitting to him if I want a relationship with my daughter.

I then realized that he had sent the email directly to me and had excluded Brittany and her mom. I then said I would not continue this conversation with him in that email thread and would only have a discussion involving Brittany and Mrs. Hutchinson. I then went back to the previous email thread and responded in a somewhat sharp manner. Afterwards I asked my wife to be the one to send out any emails from me to them. In that way I could be assured that both her and Brittany would be included in the emails.

Exhibit / Evidence; C. Copy of the Rules of Engagement. Also, D. Seeking clarification.

Emotional Response & Raising My Voice

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

"On the same day he returned home and Brittany reports visible signs of anger (slamming doors, slamming things, yelling, etc.)" "This email and anger was the first clues towards irrational behavior and unwarranted responses. I only expressed my concern for effective conflict resolution....and he took it as an insult to his intelligence and he was unable to control his emotional response."

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"I then called my fiancé to share the news with her, [about a text I sent him banning him from my property} and I could hear him, yelling and angrily attacking my character because I had done laundry in his house. At this point I began to seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé."

My response;

Later, after the email exchange, I was talking with Brittany and my frustration boiled over, and I raised my voice about how Steven would not answer the seemly simple question about the wedding guest list, has made no effort to be a part of our family, and has been acting rudely towards me. I was also terribly upset that Brittany is showing signs of stress and I haven't seen her smile in days. I am very concerned and even heartbroken that she should have to go through this during a time when she should be celebrating. My being agitated certainly did not help matters.

As I walked out of the kitchen, I was so disappointed in myself for having raised my voice (something I had never done before to her) that I slapped the doorway with my open hand. I truly felt awful about how I had acted.

She later she came to me and we discussed the guest list. I accepted her explanation, apologized for raising my voice, and asked that we move forward. The following day I went to her and asked if we could do a reset, one where we could together enjoy the journey of her getting married. She agreed and we spent some enjoyable time together.

There was no slamming doors, slamming other things or emotional outbursts of any kind. His claim that I was “unable to control his emotional response" is completely false and unfounded. My wife was at home during this time – if any of this had happened, (other than raising my voice to Brittany one time) my wife would have come to investigate. She will testify that this never happened and it was a peaceful evening at home. This is yet another attempt by Steven at disparaging me through his lies and manipulation. In an earlier HRO filing he used words to describe my actions that are wrong, such as; “RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP, and SCREAMING.” No one has witnessed me doing any of these and this is nothing more than character assassination.

I had only raised my voice twice. The second time I was unaware that Brittany was home and talked loudly to my wife. She did not feel any concern for her safety, and even walked over and gave me a hug. I rarely, if ever, talk in an upset manner. My wife knew I was no danger to anyone and understood my need for a hug.

His claim to have begun to “…seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé” is nonsense, completely baseless and all a part of his plan to cause a division in my family.

Ever since I had given Steven permission to marry my daughter, he no longer made any efforts to be a part of our family or join any activities. It's like a switch was flipped and he became cold towards us. He has spoken rudely to myself, guests at our house and has acted this way towards my other children. It appears he was only being nice to obtain my blessing, then his real personality came out. One day I talked to Steven and told him that I do not hate him nor am I trying to stop the wedding. I have a good relationship with my other daughters' boyfriends and hoped to have the same with him. His only response was "thank you for sharing that" in a monotone voice. There was no appreciation or gratitude - no saying anything like, ‘wow I'm hoping for that too.’ Nothing.

The fact that I had remained calm for so long given all the terrible things he had been saying to me, just shows how rational and peaceful of a person I really am. Since that time over 3 years ago, not one person has witnessed me raising my voice at all. These two instances were rare and only due to the distressful situations that Steven was causing in my family.

Mediation

Steven was texting me some inappropriate comments and I responded by asking him to not contact me anymore in this manner. He responded by saying that Brittany suggested mediation. I said yes, and that if he wanted to pick a place for that to happen, that I would pay for those services. He responded by saying that the only way he would agree to mediation is if it were at the church where he works. This seemed to put me at a disadvantage because they are familiar with him and do not know me. But I agreed to this anyway figuring I would just set the truth free and let it defend itself.

Afterwards, as I thought about mediation it occurred to me that mediation meant all the bad and negative things Steven had done would be revealed and that could have very negative impacts on him. After a time of prayer I sent the following email, striving for peace, and once again apologizing for things I haven't done, and admitting to things I never did, all in an effort to have a relationship with my daughter.

"This email is an open apology to my daughter, Brittany. I have failed you. Not just a little, but a lot. During the past weeks I have been so focused on being right, about winning a disagreement, that I lost sight of something far more important; our relationship and your happiness. I have always put you first in my life, and now just months before your wedding I have fallen far short of what I should have been doing. You have every reason to be mad at me, hurt, disappointed and feeling less than loved by me. For that I apologize - profusely. I love you more than life itself and literally live in daily agony over the state of our current relationship. In response I'll say this:

I resign.

Completely and fully resign.

Not from being your dad of course, but rather from the disagreement that caused this. The [previous] letter I emailed states my position and stands as the truth as I know it. But that's as far as it goes. I'm done with any disagreement with Steven. My time and energy is now going to be focused on you, Brittany. To work hard restoring our precious relationship and ensuring this never happens again. Ever. And, to help celebrate the upcoming wedding and make these months before the wedding as enjoyable as possible.

With that in mind, I am inviting Steven to neutral ground. A place where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen, mi casa es tu casa.

I don't want battles anymore - I just want to love my family and others with all my heart. To fully enjoy what time I have left on this planet. The past few weeks/months somehow turned ugly and I don't want that - never did. I ended up feeling ugly inside and that's not who I am. At all. I repent for my misdeeds and missteps.

Peace,

Tim/Dad”

Comment: Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again.

My wife replied:

“Tim,

I want you to know those words really touched my heart. They were absolutely beautiful and honest. I appreciate you putting Britt first and your highest priority.[Emphasis added] Im proud of you and very hopeful we can begin celebrating this engagement and wedding! This makes me very happy. I love you all so much.

With Love,

Jen”

Our daughter replied;

“Dad,

Steven and I read your emails. We have found ourselves in the same position that we have felt trapped into for the past few weeks, unsure how to respond. We have set clear boundaries, laid out in our Rules of Engagement, and those have been violated repeatedly. The way Steven and I have been treated by you has been inappropriate and out of line. The last email we received was an apology directed towards me, and while I appreciate that I feel as if it dismissed core issues. This puts us into a difficult position of being perceived as the ones continuing conflict if we don’t accept a “blank slate.”

As Steven and I prepare to start our new life together, we are concerned that these patterns of behavior will continue. We believe that there are significant changes that need to occur in order to move forward in a healthy manner. We desire growing towards healthier relationships with you. Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far, so we believe a mediated conversation is the appropriate next step.

We are confirming with our mediator, but does Saturday at 10 am work for you and mom? It’s important that all 4 of us will be there.

Thanks,

Britt”

Concerned about “patterns” – what patterns? I’ve been apologizing for things I never did.

“Significant changes”? Should I then become an uncaring, unloving father? That’s ridiculous and clearly not my daughter’s voice. That has ‘Steven’ written all over it.

“….and those have been violated repeatedly…” To date neither Brittany nor Steven can clearly state what any of this alleged violations are.

Before meeting Steven, my daughter would never have written an email reply such as this. Never. I believe he is manipulating her.

My reply;

“Yes, mom and I can be available at that time.

I am willing to attend based upon three conditions;

1) This is about moving forward, not digging up the past. There were clearly missteps on the part of several of us, not just myself. Going over those details will serve no useful purpose other than igniting hurt feelings.

2) The meeting will be focused on ways to communicate in both directions; engaged couple to the parents, and vice-versa.

3) No expectations will be made that violate our First Amendment rights. While I agree there are acceptable ways to communicate, anything that prevents one of us from speaking at all to another person is something I won't consider. This is America - brave heroic people fought for our rights, and that should be respected.”

Steven replied;

“Hi all,

I agree with everything Britt said. As for your response, Mr. Hutchinson, I don't believe we can comply with them all of them the way you have requested.

There are things that have been done and said over the last two weeks that cannot be ignored, and it is not enough to ask for a "blank slate" and move forward. Some of this will be discussed in our conversation.

We agree that communication moving forward should be an element of this conversation.

We don't believe it's helpful for us to set expectations for the communication plan moving forward before our conversation.

I have to admit, these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining for Britt and I as we have interacted with you and we desire to move forward in a healthier direction and would

appreciate your willingness to enter into that being willing to lay anything down in order to make that happen. You have emphasized how important your relationship with Britt is, and if that is really true, we believe that you will listen to what Britt and I have to say about how we can all move forward in a healthy way, even if that means that interaction with one or both of us moves forward differently than your personal preference."

Comment: "Things have been done and said that cannot be ignored". Such as? To date neither of them can name even one single thing.

"…these past few weeks have been incredible challenging and emotionally draining for Brittany and I as we have interacted with you…" Here again, he cannot name one thing that I've done, other than ask a question, raise my voice (to Brittany once, and to my wife once), apologize for things I never did, and strive for peace. They literally cannot and have not named anything.

Steven interjected himself into the equation with his comment. Other than a letter trying to make peace, I've had no interaction with Steven in the previous few weeks. But still he tries to pretend to be a victim here.

"We desire to move forward in a healthier direction…" Clearly we cannot move forward if Steven puts zero effort into anything and my daughter will not even speak to me because of Steven's lies.

My reply;

"Steven,

Mrs. Hutchinson and I have also been directly/indirectly impacted in a negative way by some of your words & actions. We will also be presenting a list of expectations on how we will be communicated with. We look forward to the meeting. See you then.

Mr. Hutchinson"

The second attempt @ mediation was scheduled for Saturday, 2/27/2021 @ 10 AM. The previous week's mediation was cancelled by the mediator due to a scheduling conflict. It's important to note that Steven did not want to discuss any previous events in mediation. Then he changed his mind and now wants previous events be discussed. That's a 180-degree turn-around.

A few days later Steven sends me a text message which says, "Mediation is only about your and Mrs. Hutchinson learning how you two are going to talk to me." I showed this text message to my wife (Mrs. Hutchinson), my brother and youngest sister. They were all shocked and appalled at this.

I responded that he has been saying terrible things to me and that during mediation he would also learn how to communicate with us. Shortly afterwards he cancelled mediation and would not give us a valid reason for doing so. He also refused to reschedule mediation. It appears obvious to me that Steven has

by now convinced my daughter that I have done things that are wrong, without any evidence or proof whatsoever. In fact, it has been Steven who is the one causing the problems.

I apologized to my daughter and said that I didn't want to focus on any disagreement any longer – instead I wanted to focus on our relationship. I invited Steven to a neutral ground where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where, and I quote, "you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen – my house is your house." Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again. They both replied that they could not accept this. Brittany claimed I had violated the Rules of Engagement, and Steven said, and I quote; "these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining…" What's interesting is during the weeks before this I actually had no contact with him at all. What interaction was he talking about? There literally was NONE! This is another example of Steven trying to invent a make-believe world and draw my daughter into it – a world in which I am a villain – a violent person who is supposedly unable to control his behavior. Meanwhile, back in reality, the opposite is true. I am 63+ years-old, no criminal record, love doing volunteer work, no problems with any one until Steven comes along and suddenly I am a super-villain. Yet he cannot name even one threat I've made to him. Not one. Because there weren't any.

Connecting to talk about the conflict

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

"At this point it became clear there was significant issue, and so I suggested to the respondent that he and I find a time to connect to talk through the conflict."

My response;

Steven never made any such suggestion to me. I am the one who has been offering apologies for things I never did and trying to find a way to resolve some conflict when I have no idea what the issue(s) are/were. Had he reached out me to in this manner I would have gladly accepted. His claim to have reached out to try and connect to talk through the conflict is absolutely a lie. It should also be noted that at this point in time I had no idea what the problem(s) were/are. Neither Brittany nor Steven were communicating with me.

My wife tried contacting them to find out what the issue/problem were/are, but neither of them were willing to discuss this with her either. It wasn't until the first HRO was filed that I had even a glimpse of the terrible things I was being falsely accused of.

I tried a total of 15 times to make peace. Steven never suggested even one single thing to help get our relationship back on track. I came up with 100% of the ideas and have offered 100% of the apologies and

have made 100% of the efforts. He could not and still cannot truthfully state one thing that I've done to threaten or even offend him. Not one. Up until the first HRO was filed, I had been offering blanket apologies in an effort to have a relationship with my daughter.

All along he took everything I've done, as pure and honest as my actions were, and greatly exaggerated them and spun them into my looking like it's all a threat to him. Those are all absolute lies. What's especially troubling is that he claims to be a Christian and works at a church. None of what he's done towards me reflects Christian behavior.

Brittany Moving Out

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"Later that day I received a call from my finance that while the respondent was still visibly angry, he went out to the garage and collected his firearm, which he continued to hold while angry. Brittany felt unsafe and so she left to stay at a friend's home. At that point Brittany and I found an apartment to lease where she could live, in order to move out of the respondent's home. We decided to attempt to keep this address a secret from the respondent for fear of safety for Brittany and myself."

Within a few days Brittany moved out of our house. Supposedly because she is now suddenly afraid of me, because I raised my voice, twice. What's interesting is the very day she moved out, she returned that evening to retrieve something she had left behind. When she saw me, she gave me a hug and said "I love you so much." I told her it was weird seeing her move and that this was the first time she's ever moved that I didn't help. She replied that was true and yes this all seems weird. As she walked out of the house she looked back at me and though she smiled, I know her well enough that I could detect a hint of sadness – almost as if she didn't want to go.

A few days later her youngest sister, Vanessa, told me that she had gone to Brittany's apartment (that she had just moved to), and that those two stood outside the apartment building and hugged as Brittany cried, saying she didn't understand why she had to move away from her home. Vanessa said that Brittany sounded very confused about what was happening.

"…for fear of safety for Brittany and myself." This is fear that he created through a false narrative of me being a danger to either of them. He did this intentionally and with malice towards my myself and my family.

Owatonna

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"On 2/2/21 Brittany reports hearing from the respondent that "[he's] so mad [he] could kill someone, so [he's] going to kill Charlie". Further claims Charlie is my "violent side" and was a "secret CIA assassin." He

also states that I appear to be "delusional and out-of-touch with reality. I am afraid for my safety and Brittany's. His actions are clearly unpredictable.

My response;

In an effort to activate what I call my daughters “BS detector”, by which I mean a healthy amount of skepticism, my well-meaning brother Steve, made up a story. This is really the only time in my life I've ever told Brittany something that wasn't true. But I had good intentions. I made the story so fantastical that any reasonable person would doubt it was real. That was what I was after. To have her look at this and say wait a minute, that's not real. And then to have her look at what Steven was saying and realize what he's saying is also not real. My daughter Brittany is kind, sweet, thoughtful and a really, really wonderful person. She's was also gullible as any 22-year-old is. And Steven clearly took advantage of that.

I told her that "I'm so angry I could kill someone." This is actually a phrase I grew up hearing; no one actually killed anyone; it’s like saying I'm so hungry I could eat a horse; no one eats horses and even if they did, they couldn't consume an entire horse. It's only a phrase with no factual meaning behind it. I also chose that phrase because I figured she would immediately realize that I wouldn't actually kill someone - she's only known me as a kind, loving, peaceful father who always puts his family first.

I told her the person I would kill is Charlie (you can't kill Charlie - it's just a name I was given in the military.)

I said that I was going to a DNR lab near Owatonna where I would be held down on a table and my head will be zapped with electricity. That's as crazy of a story that I could think of at the moment.

The next morning I said that it snowed the previous night (which it did) so we met at a closed gas station and performed the procedure in a van. They zapped me while I rolled my eyes side-to-side.

I waited for her to say something like, "Dad, this isn't real!" But she never did.

I had every intention of explaining to her why I told this fabricated story, but I wanted to do so in person. Sadly, I never saw her again.

When I shared this story with my son he began laughing. Anyone who knows me would immediately realize that this was a made-up story. Later, I shared this same story with my youngest daughter, Vanessa. Her response was the same; laughter. They both know I would never do anything like what I had described.

Before the trip to Owatonna, I told this story to my wife. She went with me on the trip and showed no concerns about my mental health. She recognized immediately it was just a story.

The fact that Brittany took this seriously showed me how much Steven had been manipulating her.

Visting the Church

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 19.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1-2.

"On March 1, 2021, the respondent appeared unannounced and uninvited at Calvary church.....which is my place of employment to deliver a defamatory letter address to my superior and senior pastor of the church...The letter made false and defamatory claims about me and my character with the intent to have Calvary terminate my employment."

My response;

I reached out to Calvary church and approached them as respectfully as possible asking for help. I stated that Steven has been acting inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. I asked them to review the facts and prayerfully consider helping bring a resolution to this situation. There was no response to the first request, so I sent a second. Shortly afterwards a letter came in the mail from their attorney stating I was not welcome on either of their campuses and to not have any further contact with them.

Later, I learned that Steven claimed he was so afraid of me being violent that he was being escorted into the church from the parking lot each day, and then back to his car when he left. He also said he was always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him.

The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. Steven went so far as to make a church unduly afraid of me, with no evidence whatsoever – nothing but his false claims.

I will state this fact again; I never threatened him. Ever. If I am such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren't the police ever called? If Steven was really that afraid of me the police should be notified. But they weren't. Why? In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police - not even once.

He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him - not one - because there weren't any. Because I don't want to - I never did. Any perceived threats are made-up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as my family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me.

At no time have I ever asked the church to fire him. My request initially was simply to nudge him towards mediation. Later that became a request for an investigation – but only after he told me, through an attorney, that he did not want to reconcile our relationship.

Before contacting the church I reached out to Steven in yet another attempt to make peace. He never responded.

“The letter made false and defamatory claims…” No, it was a respectful attempt to move things forward towards resolution. Everything in the letter and accompanying documents was/were factually true.

Exhibit / Evidence; F. Letter to Steven before contacting the church.

Letter to the Church

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4.

"Respondent’s threatening letter sent to Calvary’s legal counsel is not only shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. His letters to Brittany demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me, and which he intends to resume his harassment on May 27, 2023."

My response:

“"Respondent’s threatening letter sent to Calvary’s legal counsel …” What threat? I mentioned a peaceful protest and asked for them to perform an investigation.

“…shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. “ Harassment? There wasn’t any. Steven made all of that up. I am the person who tried to make peace over a dozen times. Steven is the person whose intent was to cause harm to myself and my family.

”… demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me…”

The facts clearly show that the damaged relationship with my daughter was caused by Steven. That he intentionally caused these damages, and it was also his intention to harm my family.

Several times I reached out to Calvary church. I approached them as respectfully as possible asking for help, stating that Steven has been acting inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. I asked they review the facts and prayerfully consider helping bring a resolution to this situation. There was no response to the first request, so I sent a second. Shortly afterwards a letter came in the mail from their attorney stating I was not welcome on either of their campuses and to not have any further contact with them.

Later I learned that Steven claimed he was so afraid of me being violent that he was being escorted into the church from the parking lot each day, and then back to his car when he left. He also said he was always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him.

The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. If the church considered me such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren't the police ever called? If Steven was that afraid of me, the police should have been notified. But they weren't.

In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police – not even once. He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him – not one – because there weren't any. Because I don't want violence – I never did. Any perceived threats are made-up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me.

Exhibit / Evidence; I. Copy of letter to the church seeking help.

Loved and forgiven

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 20-21.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 2.

"APRIL 28, 2021 - UNINVITED INTRUSION AT PERITIONER'S PERSONAL RESIDENCE." The respondent visited my apartment building on 4/28/21 at 7:50am. Steven and his roommates were all sleeping. After receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the building, neither Steven nor his roommates unlocked the front door to the building, which they had previously discussed to do if he came to visit the apartment. But the respondent entered anyway. He knocked on the door repeatedly but I did not answer....my heart was racing...I began to think about having to jump from my second story balcony or calling the police just to get away....After some time of knocking, it stopped...."

My response;

This is another exaggeration Steven has made. What really occurred is this; shortly before my visit I asked for an extended break - to let things calm down a bit. I then went to each of their homes for one purpose; to let them know they are both loved and forgiven. I told my wife what my purpose was for going there, and she responded by saying my actions were beautiful and she was proud of me.

Upon arrival at Steven's apartment building I parked my car and exited. An African-American woman was walking out to her car and said hello. I responded by saying good morning. She then told me the buzzer box on the building was broken and that maintenance knew about it. She then told me I could just enter the building as the door was unlocked. I thanked her and walked towards the front door of the building. Once there I discovered the door was indeed unlocked and so I walked in without buzzing Steven's apartment. His claim of "receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the

building,…´ is therefore completely false, as I never buzzed his apartment. I couldn't – the buzzer was broken.

In an earlier filing he also claimed that I had "gained access" to the building, making it sound as though I had broken into the building as if I were a burglar or criminal. That also is false. I simply opened the door and walked in like any normal person would.

I then went to his apartment and using the second knuckle on my right hand, knocked three times in a normal manner. I then stepped back across the hall and patiently waited. After several minutes no one answered the door, so I stepped forward and again knocked three times in a normal manner, again using the second knuckle of my right hand. It should also be noted that this was during the Covid pandemic, and I respectfully wore a mask during this entire time.

When there was no answer after my second time knocking normally, I peacefully walked out of the building and drove away calmly. From there I drove to a nearby Cub Foods store and purchased some flowers for my daughter. I went to her apartment building and buzzed her apartment; however, she didn't answer the door. So, I placed the flowers on her car and left peacefully.

I later learned she had called Steven in a panicked state because I was at the door to the apartment building she lived in and she didn't know how to respond. I went there with flowers, to tell her that I love her, and she's too afraid to answer the door. Clearly this is because of the lies Steven has told her. Does it really make sense that I am trying to make peace and threatening violence at the same time?

It's an incredibly sad day for an older dad when his own daughter is afraid of him for no valid reason whatsoever. Let me be perfectly clear - I have never threatened either one of them with violence, at any time, in any way whatsoever. These are all fabricated lies of Steven.

In my journal I wrote that I was going there to tell them they were LOVED and FORGIVEN, with both of those words in uppercase letters. It was repeated this several times in the journal. In his court filing, Steven left out that page, intentionally because it tells the real story and exposes some of the lies he has told. Since he dd not answer the door, Steven does not know the reason for my visit. He decided, without any evidence, to twist things around and use this against me in a manner that was intended to cause harm to myself and my family.

Voice Message

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21.

"2.....THREATENTING VOICE MESSAGE...."If you want to live in peace, as I do, then if I prepare for war, any adversary will know that and basically let me be. So when you haven't heard from my these past few weeks, that's I've been doing. I've been preparing for war."

My response;

I clearly stated my desire to live in peace. His actions have made that difficult. I was letting him know that I had been preparing for war – which means to expose him on social media and contact law enforcement (which I ultimately did.) I have told him all along that I wanted to resolve things in a peaceful manner. His response has been to continue telling lies about me and/or ignore my multiple request(s) for a peaceful resolution.

Brittany Stops Communicating

Brittany stopped communicating with me in February of 2021. She said it was because Steven asked her to do this so they could focus on “building” their “relationship”. Immediately I thought Steven wanted to further drive a wedge between my daughter and me. From the very beginning I saw a change in my daughter; she has chronic headaches and I often used to massage her neck and shoulders. After the engagement I noticed her shoulders began to stick up more – seemed she was losing weight. I asked her mom, and she confirmed our daughter was losing weight, unintentionally. She thought maybe it was because of stress. At the time Brittany and I were getting along fine. Now she says she cannot communicate with me at all. Very concerning – especially since before meeting Steven, her and I were like 2-peas-in-a-pod. Now she sees me through a filter that Steven created, a filter which I can prove are lies and deceit.

That’s why she is the only child of mine who does not have a relationship with me. The others see me as I really am; the great father who raised them. I haven’t changed – am a lot sadder but am still that great dad who loves his family more than anything.

Epic Storm

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21.

"3. MARCH 24, 2021---HARASSING EMAIL. Email excerpt sent through Timothy's wife, Jennifer to Steven Anderson: "You've successfully stripped my daughter out of my life, and in the process hurt me at a level I have not been hurt before. But understand one thing; I could have stopped you by releasing the 'Epic Storm' I designed and planned."

My response;

The reason my wife began sending the emails is because during the time when Steven, Brittany, my wife and I were having an email conversation, Steven was also sending me emails directly stating things such as how he expects me to submit to him. But in the other emails which were including my wife and Brittany he was acting innocent and trying to make himself and Bittany look like victims. I showed these emails to my wife and requested that she be the one moving forward to send out the emails, that way

we could be certain the four of us were seeing all the emails being sent. She readily agreed and I then blocked Steven from emailing me directly.

An 'Epic Storm' is a term which describes a completely legal means of a cascading series of events designed to bring about change, or to protect someone. Again, these events are all completely legal. Nothing evil and no intent to cause physical harm at all. Several times I told Steven that I would do an epic storm if he continued ruining my relationship with Brittany and causing strife in my family. I never took any action though, because that would have been upsetting to my daughter and I was doing my best to shield her from any actions I was being forced to take.

He falsely claimed this meant I was going to be violent with him, even though I told him everything I planned on doing ahead of time, and all of it was completely legal. It stated this in my journal and in emails. Again, he took advantage of every innocent thing I did and would twist things to make my daughter think I was a crazed violent person. Something she has never seen in me before, because it's not there and is all a fabrication of Steven's.

Meanwhile, he's doing absolutely nothing to help settle things between us. His attempts thus far have amounted to exactly zero. He is a person who works in a church yet disobeys the teachings of the bible. His actions themselves expose him as a fraud.

Bold Moves

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21.

"04. April 26, 2021---EMAIL. Email excerpt sent to Brittany about Steven "My plan is to make some bold moves to resolve things between Steven and I. For us to not have a relationship is completely unacceptable. A father should not have to stop having a relationship with his daughter so she can marry someone. This is wrong on so many levels. Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language these "bold moves" have cause much concern for me and have included him visiting my home and place of work..."

My response;

"Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language…" I have never threatened him or used any violent language. Steven has again made all of this up. Bold moves would be to do as I stated on the main page of my journal; go public with what I know about him, file a lawsuit; ask law enforcement to become involved, etc. None of the actions I mentioned were violent in nature and in fact are all completely legal. Steven has again fabricated all of the claims against me concerning threats of violence.

See previous sections for what happened during the time(s) I visited his work and/or home (apartment). None of that was even close to being threatening. Asking a church to help move things forward towards meditation is a threat? Visiting his home to tell him he is "LOVED and FORGIVEN" is "violent language"??

It is clear that Steven is seriously delusional and acting/acted on an evil plan to intentionally destroy my family.

Journal (falsely listed and referred to as a public website)

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24-25.

"PUBLIC DEFAMATORY WEBSITE....Respondent created a defamatory website and threatened to spread the link publicly.

My response:

This was a personal and private online journal. I allowed Steven to see it one time. He then violated copyright law by copying the entire journal. He then attempted to use it against me. This private journal did not contain any threats of violence at all. The main part of the journal contained a collection of emails that had been sent back and forth between Steven, Brittany, my wife and I, as well as some letters I had sent Brittany, and some moments of personal reflection. Again, nothing threatening violence of any type.

When Steven would not agree to meet or come together for mediation, I added a home page to the journal stating I would make this journal public if he did not work towards a resolution between us so I could have a relationship with my daughter. On that page I clearly stated that everything would be done legally. It also included a picture of Steven's face with the eyes made to look dark. That was to show how much darkness I believed was in Steven in order for him to act the way he had been, and beneath it was a call to prayer. [Emphasis added]. Should the private journal be made public, this would be a request for people to pray for him.

Again, there was no threat of violence to him or anyone else. This was plain and obvious, but instead he chose to twist things around to make it seem he was an intended victim.

Exhibit / Evidence; H. Copy of private journal.

Medical Bills

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24.

"Claimed I was responsible for $350,000 of medical damages..."

My response;

I have never claimed there were/are $350,000 of medical damages or bills. I have health insurance and most of the medical bills have been paid through them. In recent years I have gone to the doctor's office and there have been concerns about cancer and my heart. During those times Steven has not shown any

care or concern for my health. Not even an offer of prayer. This falls far beneath the level expected of a Christian, and especially of church faculty or a pastor.

More False Claims

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 26.

"...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature. In March of this year, he reported suicide ideation and holding a gun to his head, as a result of the deterioration with the relationship with his daughter....He seems unable to regulate his emotions, is willing to bring a firearm into the mix and is fixated on me being the cause of his broken relationship with his daughter. I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me. After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me. By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation."

My response;

"...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature...."

"He seems unable to regulate his emotions..."

"I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me."

"After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me."

"By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation."

Those are all gross exaggerations intended to falsely make him appear to be a victim. One evening I did very briefly hold a gun up to my head at a park, within hours of a painful counseling session with my daughter. Later, I told my wife about this. We agreed I should get some help at the hospital. Once there, they offered to let me stay with them for inpatient counseling, and clearly stated my stay there was completely voluntary. I agreed to stay, and over the next 24 hours worked with a mental health doctor (Dr. Brown) and her staff to develop coping skills. The following day I asked to be discharged, and the doctor agreed. She stated there were no concerns for suicide or that I would act out in a violent manner. I went to the hospital voluntarily, stayed voluntarily, and left voluntarily with no concerns that I was a threat either to myself or anyone else.

Steven's statements, listed above, are completely false, fabricated, and are being used as an attempt to slander and libel me, with the clear intent of causing immeasurable harm to myself and my family.

The above statements made by Steven clearly show that he is making up these terrible claims about me. There is no evidence at all that I have ever threatened him. Steven is cleverly twisting words around in an attempt to make himself appear to be a victim, and to cause harm to my family. In doing so he has also caused undue concern from the church and congregation.

Exhibit / Evidence G. Hospital papers from overnight stay.

False Claim About Escalating Things

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 26.

"...He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany's on 4/28/2021."

My response;

I have already addressed this in another section, but here again Steven is making up lies and fabricating things against me, with the intent to ruin my relationship my daughter Brittany, and also to cause harm to my family.

In stark contrast to his false claims, I sent a letter to my family calling for peace and love. See evidence for a copy. Exhibit / Evidence; J. Letter to my children before the first HRO was filed.

First HRO

Shortly after I went to tell them they were LOVED and FORGIVEN, I was served court papers by the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office. These papers were Steven and Brittany filing for a Harassment Restraining Order against me. Once I read their complaint(s) against me it became obvious the type of lies Steven had been telling about me, specifically to my daughter, Brittany. I assembled all the evidence I had and planned to ask for a trial, during which I would fully expose Steven and his lies intended to harm both myself and my family. Especially concerning were allegations there was ‘domestic violence’ on the main page of Brittany’s filing. There never was any violence of any kind whatsoever between me and Brittany. That claim is false and a horrible allegation.

Their Attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh, told me beforehand that if I did not accept the HRO without finding of fact, it would harm my daughter, her integrity and profession as a nurse. Though I could have presented an enormous amount of evidence and witnesses to refute any of Stevens claims (which are lies), out of an abundance of love for my daughter, Brittany, I accepted the HRO without finding of fact. Mr. Van Loh went on to tell me that “It’s a tough day for a dad” and that he understands because of his own daughter's wedding, and that I am “Doing the right thing.”

During that same meeting, he asked that the website I had up about Steven be taken down. I specifically told him that was not a public website and that it was my private journal - one that I discreetly shared

with Steven. He then said, "That's what pen and paper are for." So, he knew that was a private journal, but in the future he would act as though it was a public website that I used to disparage Steven.

In one later email he even specifically referred to that website as a "public website." Why would anyone refer to a website in that manner? Unless of course they know it's private and are trying to pretend it is not a private journal.

It should be noted that despite being out of my daughter's life was/is incredibly painful, during the next two (2) years I only violated the HRO one time, and only due to a medical emergency. This happened when I was feeling ill and was advised by my doctor's office to come see them soon. While driving there I began experiencing chest pains when only a few blocks away from the clinic. Instead of going my usual long-way around to get there, and avoiding Calvary church on Highway 96 (a place where I was ordered to not go within two (2) blocks of) I instead opted for the direct route which took me past the church. I did not stop at the church or otherwise interact with anyone there. I only drove past it on in my attempt to get to the clinic as quickly as possible. My thoughts were focused on getting medical help. Once at the clinic an EKG was performed. The attending physician immediately recognized I was having a cardiac event and called for an ambulance. I was transported to the nearest hospital for treatment.

Other than this one time due to a medical emergency, I obeyed the court order and did not violate the HRO. I am a peaceful and law abiding citizen.

Shortly after the HRO was accepted by me, Brittany and Steven were married. This occurred on June 19th, 2021. Two of Brittany's three siblings did not attend the wedding, and neither did anyone from my side of the family. They read the HRO filing and do not believe the lies being told about me. In addition, several people who read the HRO said they were present when some of the events took place, and that Steven was lying in his description of what happened. Several of them stated another reason they did not attend was due to Steven's rude behavior towards them.

I was told by several people in attendance at the wedding that Steven had hired two (2) off-duty police officers to provide security, and that he had done so claiming he was fearful I would show up at the wedding and become violent. I allege he did this as a show, because I never was a threat to him.

Exhibit / Evidence; M. HRO cover page claiming domestic violence. Also, R. Background.

False Claim of Threatening Letter

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4.

"May 4, 2023---Threatening Letter to Petitioner: Respondent contacted me through my attorney threatening again to protest me at my place of employment (See Exhibit C). In his letter, Respondent threatens that the only way to prevent this from occurring is to, "…Speak up now. Once [respondent]'s plan goes into action, there is no stopping or turning back" Respondent also accuses me of telling,

“bullshit lies” and ends his letter “There is one question you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out? Tick-tock.” [Emphasis Added].

My response;

More false claims about my being a threat. There is nothing threatening about a peaceful protest. I even asked for his input on how to resolve this matter so I could have a relationship with my daughter. But here again he twists things around, with the help of his attorney, to make me seem like I am some violent crazed person. They even put an emphasis on the phrase “Tick-tock” as though it were a reference to a bomb. It was clearly a reference to a clock as I stated earlier in the letter that the first HRO was expiring soon.

He also falsely claims the protests would be against him – when in fact I clearly stated in the letter to the church it would be about them performing a proper investigation. How is that a threat to him, unless he is afraid of the truth coming out?

Contacting their attorney trying to make peaceI contacted their attorney and asked if there was a way to make peace. After several emails exchanged between Mr. Van Loh and myself, he stated that Steven does not want to reconcile with me.

Exhibit / Evidence; L. Van Loh emails.

The Video

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3.

"June 2021 YouTube Video. At some point following the May 27, 2021 HRO, Respondent created a 90+ minute YouTube video “responding” to the HRO, but specifically speaking out and challenging the allegations in the Petition, even though he agreed to an entry of an HRO voluntarily without the court making findings of the alleged harassment. Respondent enlisted the help of my wife Brittany’s sister, Vanessa to film who he then had it forwarded the video to my wife. The video was originally posted on a password protected webpage on his site, his “ministry” site, not the website he was required to take down after the HRO. He has since taken down his ministry website. Attached are screenshots of the video (Exhibit E) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary."

My response;

I agreed to an entry of (the first) HRO voluntarily because their attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh told me that if I did not accept it that it would be harmful to my daughter, her reputation and her career. I accepted it out of concern and love for my daughter. Shortly afterwards several members of my family came to me asking various questions about the HRO. Because of the nature of this matter and how emotionally hard it was for me to discuss it, I enlisted the help of my youngest daughter, Vanessa and made a video where

I replied to the terrible allegations made against me. I then posted it on a website on a private & unlisted page, that was additionally password protected. I then shared the page address and password with five (5) family members. I asked that they let me know when they had watched the video so I could then remove it from the private, unlisted and password protected webpage.

To be clear, there is nothing that threatens violence in that video at all. Steven's allegations of that are completely false. Steven also states, "Attached are screenshots of the video (Exhibit E) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary." This is clearly an attempt to make it seem as it the video contains threats and/or contains content that is nefarious. This is completely false.

Steven also claims that I had my daughter Vanessa forward it onto my daughter Brittany. This is also false, and he has no evidence to support this. Nonetheless, the referee (Elizabeth A. Clydesdale) believed this to be the truth, without any evidence to support this false claim, and ignoring the statement of my daughter, Brittany, went ahead and said said I was guilty anyway of violating the first HRO.

"…enlisted the help of my wife Brittany's sister, Vanessa…" Steven's wife, Brittany, is my daughter, So, it stands to reason that her sister is likely also my daughter (she is). He could have just referred to her as "Respondents daughter, Vanessa", but he didn't. Here again he wants to interject himself into every scenario possible – especially if he can falsely make himself appear to be a victim. My daughter, Vanessa and I have a close and loving relationship. Vanessa was originally a bridesmaid for her sister, Brittany. She backed out after she saw the things that Steven was doing, and also after reading the first HRO and recognizing the lies he was telling. She knows he is a liar and that's why she volunteered to help with the making of the video.

Exhibit / Evidence: Private video can be viewed by typing this link into a web browser.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZbYLElTAwO0

A Danger to the Church?

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4.

" Respondent has resumed and appears to be prepared to intensify the harassment against me and my wife, Brittany upon the expiration of the HRO on May 27, 2023. Although directed towards me, his threats of harassment involve and endanger 1,500+ congregants, including hundreds of children, at both campuses as well as the full and part time staff of both campuses. I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me or those around me."

My response;

“…intensity the harassment…” By having a peaceful protest at a church asking them to perform an investigation? A protest I would not even be attending. How does a peaceful protest endanger “…1,500+ congregants, including hundreds of children…” ?

“…. I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me or those around me."

Here again he is twisting the truth in an attempt to make me appear to be a violent crazy person who the entire church should be afraid of. Meanwhile I am spending time with my family, friends, and coworkers. None of whom have any concerns about their safety around me. They think Steven’s claims are outrageous. Where is the evidence that I have ever threatened Steven, my daughter or the church? There is nothing except Steven’s twisted version of the truth. All of the letters I sent to the church were respectful, even asking them to prayerfully consider helping, and peacefully requesting their help, etc. I would never threaten any violence against a church, or its members. This claim is false and made-up by Steven.

SECOND HRO

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3.

" 12. With the pending dismissal of the HRO on May 27, 2023, the Respondent has stated his intent to resume the same level of harassment which includes picketing at the place of my employment, Calvary Church, Roseville and White Bear Township. The Respondent violated Paragraph 1A of the HRO by sending threatening emails to my employer, Calvary Church, a threatening letter to me, and by sending a letter threatening me to my wife, Brittany Anderson, who also has a Harassment Restraining Order against the Respondent."

My response;

My youngest sister, who knew the HRO was about to expire, suggested that she take some action. She was doing this with good intentions. Just a few months prior, her only daughter had suddenly and unexpectedly passed away at the age of 40. I stood by her throughout this horrible ordeal. She wanted to find a way to help me with the situation concerning my daughter, Brittany. Her idea was to form a peaceful protest at Calvary church and continue doing so until they agreed to do a proper investigation. I initially said no, don't do anything. But she was insistent and even looked up the laws concerning a peaceful protest. I reluctantly agreed to go along with this idea of hers. But I made it abundantly clear that I would not participate in those protests. The most I would do is to send a letter to Steven and Calvary church explaining the intention of an upcoming protest. The letter to the church was very respectful. The letter to Steven was more pointed. Because, after all, this was all his fault. And because of this, there were many negative impacts on my life and those of my family.  But there was no threat in

any of my communication(s). They are stating it as such for dramatic effect. Meanwhile, back in reality, I have obeyed the HRO and was not posing a threat to anyone.

The response I got was to be served papers that Steven and Brittany had filed for an extension of the HRO's. They requested a hearing on an emergency basis, claiming that I was such a threat that they feared for their lives, and were concerned I would go to their church and harm/murder hundreds of children. He went as far as to falsely claim that I previously claimed to be a CIA assassin. That is not the truth, and he is including this merely for dramatic effect. I have never been a CIA assassin, an employee of the CIA, or employed as a federal officer or any letter agency, nor have I ever made such a claim.

My intention was to ask for a trial, at which time I would bring forth all the evidence I have, including things mentioned in this filing. At that time, I asked my sister to abandon any intentions of protesting, and she agreed.

During the first hearing for the second HRO I requested a trial. Their attorney, Mr. Van Loh suggested that nothing from the first HRO be allowed at trial. The referee, Elizabeth A Clydesdale agreed.

At the trial, I became aware that I had improperly submitted my evidence and none of it would be allowed. Though I had sent it to the State evidence collection system properly, I did not understand that it also needed to be sent to their attorney. I emailed a list of the evidence and incorrectly assumed they would obtain the evidence from the State evidence system. I emailed Mr. Van Loh’s office to ensure they got the evidence and the email reply came back with one word; “Received”. They could have said the evidence was missing from the email, but the didn’t. Instead, they used my inexperience as an opportunity to have the evidence to not be allowed. This is another example of how this trial was not about the truth or justice in any way, shape, or form. This was yet another attack on myself and my family.

The only evidence they presented was my private journal, which was from the first HRO. Referee Clydesdale allowed it, despite previously stating otherwise. That private journal ended up being a primary focus of their case. In addition to it being improperly allowed as evidence, Mr. Van Loh was using this journal to present their case claiming that I was somehow a threat to Steven and/or my daughter. The journal contains no threats of violence towards anyone.

The referee did not explain that I was not obligated to answer any of their attorneys' questions. In the beginning of the trial, I was sworn in and their attorney began questioning me. I believe the court should have informed me that I have a right to refuse to be questioned by their attorney. I felt like it was an obligation to answer their attorney's questions. If I had known that I did not have to, I would have remained silent during his questioning.

During the trial Mr. Van Loh acted rudely and was clearly not interested in truth and justice. This was purely a seek-and-destroy mission for him. He was also rude to my witnesses and suggested to the court that my witnesses were lying, without presenting any evidence supporting his allegation(s).

I felt as though his goal was clearly to make me appear as though I was one of the worst humans on this earth, without any supporting evidence, and went as far as to ask the HRO's be for life.

Though I was allowed to present a few witnesses, their attorney treated them harshly and went on to call my witnesses liars without any evidence to prove this claim. This is the same attorney who previously would claim that any attempt by me to settle this matter by filing a lawsuit amounted to "Threatening legal attacks." [Emphasis added.] Anytime I attempted to find ways to reconcile matters, Mr. Van Loh would state in an email, that my continued efforts to work things out with my daughter were only pushing her away. He intentionally made things worse and more difficult for me to restore peace and harmony in my family.

My first witness was my sister, Sunnie. She wanted to read a statement but was denied the opportunity by the referee. When I tried questioning her about the content(s) of the statement, their attorney objected to almost everything and her testimony was largely not allowed. Afterwards, their attorney called my sister a liar. Her statement is included as evidence and listed on the Exhibit / Evidence list as item "Q".

Since my witnesses were being treated in a manner which I viewed as being unfair and too harshly, I decided to present the remainder of the case myself. However, when I tried to do so, the referee stopped the proceedings and said she would hear closing comments before issuing a ruling. So, I was literally not allowed to fully present my case.

Had I been allowed to, part of that would have been to ask for this matter to be referred to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office for investigation and criminal proceedings. In the Spring of 2023 I met with someone from the Sheriff's Office and showed them the info / evidence I had. They stated if I could have the civil court refer this matter to them, they would proceed with a criminal investigation against Steven. They further stated that Steven would likely be arrested and put in jail. I asked what I had done wrong, if anything. The response was "Nothing sir, you're a good father".

They had no witnesses other than myself, and no evidence other than my private journal; which should not have been allowed based upon the agreement between the attorney and the referee.

The second HRO was granted for two-years for my daughter, and ten-years for Steven. The reasons for this were due to the inappropriate actions of their attorney as well as the referee's actions not being conducive for the truth to be presented.

Exhibit / Evidence; Q. Statement my sister was not allowed to present in court.

Ways His Actions Have Impacted Me

Marriage of 31 years ended in divorce. Due to the emotional stress caused by the words and actions of Steven, I became withdrawn and difficult to be married to. The decision to divorce was deeply sad and painful.

Loss of relationship with my daughter, Brittany. This is the most painful thing I have ever experienced in my life.

Had to sell our family home where we had lived for 24 years. As part of the divorce we sold the house. On my last day there, after moving my personal possessions out, I returned one last time to shower. From the time I entered the bathroom, showered, got dressed and walked out the front door one last time, I cried. The entire time I was in tears. My ex-wife shared that she too cried, almost every day for a month after moving out. After selling the house I became homeless and lived in hotels for weeks until being able to rent an apartment.

My career ended due to performance reasons directly as a result of the stress from this terrible situation. I was making many mistakes at work as a result of the stress. I quit and later was hired by a Target Stores contractor to perform a job I previously held, and excelled at. This time, however, I could not perform the job and stepped down after a month. They kept me on as a driver. After one week, while performing an action I had done approximately 30,000 times previously, I made a mistake that caused significant damage to a piece of equipment. I was immediately fired.

My health has declined in various ways, including mental and physical. In addition to the depression and sadness over the loss of a relationship with my daughter, there was a near suicide attempt (as mentioned earlier). My heart has always been healthy in the past. Now I am on several medications. This is because of the stress. I decline to share any further information about my health.

More stress and heartache than I have ever experienced in my life. The pain and suffering I have experienced, as well as my family, has been unimaginable.

# 109. Archive/Complaint - First Served.pdf

Exhibit / Evidence; N. Pics of trailer I damaged at work just prior to being fired.

Financial Help

At the beginning of the relationship between Steven and my daughter, he asked me to help support him financially. I agreed to help and began sending him monthly contributions through an organization called Reliant. Despite all the harm he caused both myself and my family, I had remained hopeful this relationship could be fixed. Because of that I continued sending him financial help every month, and continued doing so for 2 ½ years. I stopped in June of 2023 because that is the month my wife moved

out of our family home. Again, our marriage ended only due to the incredible amount of stress caused by Steven's lies. I no longer feel as though there was any possibility of reconciliation with Steven, especially since he had told me, through his attorney, (Mr. Van Loh) that he did not want to reconcile with me. At that point, when my wife moved out, I stopped making monthly payments to help him.

Later I learned that Steven had told my daughter that I promised to help them financially, but then never did. That is a lie – I helped for 2 ½ years.

Exhibit / Evidence: E. Reliant Receipts for years 2021, 2022, 2023.

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Archive/Complaint - First Served.pdf ***

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 1 of 30 State of Minnesota District Court County RAMSEY Judicial District: Court File Number: Case Type: TIMOTHY HUTCHINSON Civil Complaint Plaintiff vs STEVEN ANDERSON Defendant The Plaintiff makes the following complaints against the Defendant: If you have more than 1 complaint against Defendant, list each complaint separately, including any supporting facts. 1. Steven Anderson caused undue stress and separation between my daughter and I. My daughter, Brittany, and I were very close and spent time together frequently. See Facebook screenshots, (Exhibit A). She began dating Steven Anderson, director of youth services @ Calvary Church in 2020. Within a few months Brittany came to me and said she was breaking up with him. She said that she felt as though she couldn't be herself around him because was too controlling. She later said that she had another meeting with him, during which time he "shared his heart" with her. Brittany said that Steven told her due to his upbringing he had difficulty properly expressing himself. Because his explanation she decided to again date him. Upon hearing that I decided to give him a wide swath of grace and patience when dealing with him. He took advantage of this, as documented below. Shortly thereafter Steven approached me to help support his ministry – which is his full- time job. He says the church cannot afford to pay him a salary, so he fundraises as a substitute. I began supporting his endeavor financially and even worked with him to improve his presentation to find others who would be supportive. I continued sending money every month for 2 ½ years – even during the time when he would file an HRO against me, as I still had hope we would settle things peacefully. My wife later said that Steven told Brittany that I wasn't sending money and had refused to help them. After 2 ½ years of supporting them, I stopped sending money after the June 2024 payment when my wife moved out of our family home due to the stress of the problems Steven would cause after becoming engaged to our daughter, Brittany. Within months Steven approached me and asked what it would take to have my blessing for him to marry my daughter. One of the things I mentioned was spending more time with our family - we barely knew him. I told Steven that family was important to us, and that if he was to marry my daughter then we would want him to feel welcomed in our family and hopefully would be an active part of it.

 Afterwards he made an effort to be at our house almost on a weekly basis, and things seemed to be going well. One day he called to ask if we could hang out sometime. I said yes and we picked a date and time. When that time came, he arrived at our house and we talked for quite a while. I sensed he had something he wanted to say or possibly ask me - maybe even permission to marry Brittany. Finally, after 3 hours I asked him "Is there something you wanted to ask me?" He then asked for my blessing on marrying my daughter, and I gave him that blessing. My wife and I even helped with a temporary ring until he could buy one. Once he proposed and my daughter accepted, his entire demeanor towards myself and our family changed. Documented below are lies and exaggerations he said about me in an HRO (Harassment Restraining Order) and my response which reveals how Steven has engaged in a campaign of libel, slander and harassment which has caused significant harm to both myself, and my family. Shortly after he manipulated her and convinced her to stop talking with me for a while so they could "focus on their relationship". That was the last time I ever spoke with her. The last thing she said to me was "Dad, I love you so so so so much!" This campaign has included making my daughter fearful of me through a false narrative of his claim of me threatening him with a gun, being a overall dangerous person to him, her, and the church, and convincing her to join him in filing for an HRO under false pretenses. I asked many times what the problem was and asked to resolve any differences. He never responded. After trying for a long time without any response, I texted him the following;: You lost far more than you gained." Meaning, we could have had a great relationship, but he had another agenda. I had actually tried to make peace and amends 15 times. Steven made zero attempts, and refused all of my attempts at making peace. During this time I had been offering blanket apologies for things I had not done, just to have a relationship with my daughter. He never responded and instead continued taking everything I've done, as pure and honest as my actions were, and greatly exaggerated them and spun them into my looking like it's all a threat to him. His deliberate actions, hyper-exaggerated claims and outright lies have caused significant harm; due to the stress of Steven's actions, my wife and I ended our 31-year marriage. We sold the family home where we lived for 24 years, and I became homeless. I had to quit my job as a Safety and Compliance Manager. I enjoyed a corner office and had 70 people working for me - but had to quit due to my declining work performance as a result of the stress caused by Steven's actions and words. The day prior to selling our home I moved my belongings into a storage unit. Within a short period of time that unit was broken into and most of my valuable possessions were stolen. I am a peaceful person and the victim of lies. By filing this lawsuit, I am seeking two things; It is important to note that I have enjoyed a great relationship with the others my children have dated or married. With one notable exception; a man named Kyle, who assaulted my daughter and subsequently assaulted myself. Prior to Steven, my daughter Brittany dated a man name David Zimmerman for several years. David and I got along exceptionally well. When Brittany decided to break up with David, he came directly to our family home to spend time with me. I explained that since Brittany is my daughter, I need to advocate for her. He responded, "I know, but I feel so much better being with you."

 My youngest daughter, Vanessa, was dating a man named James Anderson (a common last name – no relation to Steven.) When he was homeless, I took him into our home just a week prior to our home being sold due to my divorce. Despite going through my own personal challenges, I still helped him. One of the ways was to help James come up with a life plan and even rented him a hotel room. Later, when my daughter decided to end that relationship, I continued paying for his hotel room, and gave him money for expenses. My oldest daughter, Victoria, dated and married a man named Jacob Rademacher. During the 12-years my daughter has been with him, Jake (Jacob) and I have enjoyed a great relationship. He has never felt fearful of me, nor felt threatened in any way, shape, form or manner. Jake and I have been to hockey games, on vacation, road trips and more together. He's a fantastic son-in-law who calls me "Dad". I hoped for the same with Steven, but the evidence will show he has a different agenda. 2. Steven Anderson lied about the conversation regarding phone call about wedding guest list. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17. "Within a week after Brittany and I began our engagement, the respondent began to take issue with our wedding planning. He began to call me to argue for things to be different on decisions that my finance and I had made." My response; His statement is false. One day Brittany was at our family house speaking to her mom and I about the guest list. It sounded as if Steven had already invited so many people there was barely any room for our side of the family. At least that was the impression I got from the conversation. At one point I heard the number of guests was already more than the total amount they agreed upon. Brittany seemed stressed by this process. Her mom approached me afterwards and asked me if I could help with this matter. She suggested I make a friendly call to Steven and I agreed. I called him and asked one question: "How does the guest list honor the mother and father of the bride?" His reply was all about his family and never directly answered my question. He then stated that he didn't think his response answered my question. I replied, "Not really." He then said he would get back to me about this. I offered a suggestion on how they might decide on a guest list, and he said that he would discuss this with Brittany. We then talked for a short while about other non-wedding related things and ended the call on a positive note. He never got back to me to answer the question. I shared the details of this conversation with my wife, and we thought that call was enough and those two (Brittany and Steven) would work things out. The only other time I ever discussed the wedding with Steven was during a time when he called me about a non-wedding related topic, and I asked him if my wife and I would be able to meet his parents before the wedding. He replied, "Not my dad, but maybe my mom when she comes to town to help me finish planning the wedding." He made it sound as if he and his mom would be doing the wedding planning and not Brittany. I asked, "Does Brittany know about this?" He said yes. I then asked if there would be anything that Mrs. Hutchinson (Brittany's mom) would be able to help with. He replied, "Maybe my mom can find something for her to do." I then repeated an earlier question, "And Brittany knows about this?"

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 4 of 30 He again replied, yes. I then said, “Oh, ok.” That’s as far as the conversation went. The only time I discussed the wedding with Brittany was when she came to me with a concern about their wedding photographer. I gave her some advice on how to approach Steven to discuss this topic. Compare this to my oldest daughters (Victoria & Jake) wedding. I caused no problems whatsoever, did not interfere with any planning, and they will both testify to that. I would have done the same for Brittany and Steven’s wedding, except Steven had other plans to exclude me as the evidence will show. Steven and Brittany were married on June 19 th , 2021. This is the day before Father’s Day. I believe Steven pushed for this day to be chosen because the following day, June 20 th , 2021, on their first full day of marriage it was Father’s Day, and I would not be able to celebrate it with my daughter, Brittany. It is alleged he did this intentionally to further harm me emotionally. Phone calls Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17 – 18 "The frequency and hostility in Respondent's telephone calls increased. Amidst these interactions Brittany and I decided it would be best to set boundaries around our wedding planning and conversations with us about them." My response; There never were any harassing phone calls. This claim is a lie. I only made 6 phone calls to Steven total the entire time I have known him. Two of those were about allowing him to borrow one of my wife’s rings to propose to our daughter. Another call was to offer him the same advice my Father-in-law gave me prior to my marrying his daughter nearly 30 years prior. He became agitated and responded rudely to me. Another call was to ask if he wanted to get together and hang out – he said “No” and hung up on me. There was a call about us doing a video chat to discuss some potential changes to his fund-raising presentation (I volunteered to help him with this). The other call was months later when I left a voicemail during a time I was upset with him after a long period of him intentionally doing things to harm both myself and my family. It should be noted that Steven made plenty of calls to me, however. He would say things such as; a) “You’re not a very good father.” b) “Brittany deserves someone better than you.” c) “If you want to have a relationship with your daughter, then you need to submit to me and let me control you.” Here again I gave him a wide swath of grace and patience because of what he told my daughter about not being able to properly express himself. During these times I tried to steer the conversation away from negative comments and towards something more positive and family oriented. 3. Steven Anderson manipulated my daughter Brittany into thinking I was dangerous. Brittany does Stevens laundry at our house, which I honestly didn't mind. He makes it

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 5 of 30 clear that he is concerned about Covid, so when I discovered some information about that virus and fabric, I wanted to share this with him. Soon afterwards as Brittany was leaving the house, she said Steven’s laundry was in the dryer and asked that once done I place it outside the front door for him to pick up. I figured this was out of concern for Covid, so decided to write him a letter, and placed in in the laundry basket along with his clean clothes. It basically said I had done some research on Covid and was surprised it could last up

to 48-hours on our clothes. Said I could appreciate his concern for being exposed to Covid. Maybe it's best his clothes are not washed at our house - at least now for now. I then said it would have been nice to see him today. That we could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just the day before for Covid. I signed it by saying "Hope you have a wonderful day." When his clothes were done, I placed them outside the front door as requested. When Steven arrived, he read the note, threw the clothes into his car, slammed the door, then stood there staring at our house for a full minute with an angry look on his face. He then got into the car and sped off running through a stop sign and speeding up the street at approximately double the speed limit. My intentions in writing that letter was pure – I really wanted to have a good relationship with him, but it seemed he turned it around and used it as an opportunity to have a tantrum. Then just a day later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for several hours. He can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell and say hi, but just a day later he can spend hours inside our home? And he's here without any acknowledgment of the letter. This seemed very disrespectful. As Brittany and Steven were leaving, Brittany said "Hi dad" – I responded "Hi honey!" Then Steven said, "Hello Mr. Hutchinson". I responded with a rather dry "Hi". It bothered me that he was so rude just the day before, as well as multiple times previously, and now today when my daughter is there, he's acting nice and innocent. I didn't want to say or do anything that would be upsetting to Brittany, so I did not ask him for any explanation – at least not at that time. Several hours later my wife came home, and I told her about this and that it bothered me. After all, he had a history of being rude to my family and myself. I spoke loudly about how upset I was and said that I did not want Steven at our house again. I then texted Steven and said he was not welcome in our home until there was mediation. At no time when I was venting did I make any threats against Steven. My only comments were that he was disrespecting me in my own home, and I did not want him at our house anymore. I did not know that Brittany was in her bedroom and had overheard what I said. I honestly thought she had left and had specifically waited until I thought she was gone to vent my frustrations. My wife gave me a hug and we went and made dinner together. Within 10 minutes or so we were in the kitchen laughing about some silly memes on Facebook. Yes, I raised my voice. Yes, I was upset. He's going to marry my daughter and he's treating me poorly. Any reasonable father would also be upset. But there was no threat.

 I later learned that Steven used this as an opportunity to convince my daughter to move out of our house by claiming she was in danger remaining there with me. Exhibits / Evidence; S. Laundry Letter. 4. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of displaying my gun in an attempt to intimidate him. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18. "At the time, my fiance lived in respondents home. I visited while the respondent was at work, to make plans for the wedding. He returned before I left, and we only exchanged a brief interaction on me way our the door, however, he placed his firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight. I couldn't help but feel as though he had intentionally placed the gun there for me to see." My response; This

was around the time when there were riots in Minneapolis after what happened to George Floyd. There was talk about the riots going to the suburbs. At the time my wife asked me if I still had a gun. I replied yes. The fact that she asked this question shows how discreet I was with it. I never showed it to anyone, open carried or even discussed it. She then said it would make her feel safer if she could see it regularly. So, for a short while, placing my firearm on the upstairs banister became a temporary routine for me. Again, she literally asked me to do this. This is the only reason I would ever leave my gun out; because it was at the request of my wife. I would come home from work and place it on the end railing at the top of the steps, go into the kitchen and greet my wife with a kiss, unpack my lunch bag, then go put the gun away in our bedroom. It was only out for approximately 3 minutes. I did this only for a few weeks, then asked if she was OK with me not putting it out anymore, as I never was comfortable doing this. She said yes, and I stopped leaving it out for her to see. One day while Steven was over, he saw the handgun in its usual place and made an incorrect assumption. He then used this occasion to begin placing thoughts into my daughter's head that I am a dangerous person, and they are both at risk of me harming them with the gun. Steven claimed it was " on the banister by the front door, …". This is a false claim. It was actually on the 2 nd floor of the house – Steven remained in the lower level and was never near the gun. It was also holstered, and I never went near it while he was in the house. During this entire time, he never saw me – he only heard my voice coming from the kitchen. I have never waved this gun around or used it to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie; but Steven wants to falsely portray me as a crazy man with a weapon.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 7 of 30 5. Steven Anderson gave me a list called "Rules of Engagement" by which he required my wife and I to agree to in order to continue being involved in the wedding planning. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17. "The respondent took issue with some of the wording in our Rules of Engagement..." My response; Brittany and Steven came up with some Rules of Engagement, and presented them to my wife and I. We both agreed to follow these rules. At this time, we were at our house sitting at the dining room table. Afterwards, Brittany and her mom went into the kitchen. I got up and said I was going to the bathroom. I began walking away but then stopped and turned around to retrieve something from the table. At that time Steven had stood up and was bent over picking up a bag from the floor with his back towards me. He did not realize I was standing there. Just then I heard him say "Oh, this is gonna be good!" He said it in a very deep voice as though he was almost growling. To me, it sounded demonic. He suddenly stood and spun around to face me. He began smiling and started trying to tell a joke. It seemed like he was trying to cover for something. I was too stunned to speak or respond. He then called to Brittany saying they should leave. Afterwards, my wife looked at me and asked, "Honey, are you OK?" I didn't want to make a scene so I just responded by saying I was OK. We then went into our bedroom together and prayed for them as a couple. The following day I shared what happened with my brother. Shortly

after that I sent them both an email asking a question about how to ask a question. Nothing more. Simply asking how they preferred me to ask a question. Something in the Rules of Engagement was not clear and I merely was asking for clarification. Steven immediately took offense to this and began accusing me of manipulation. This email conversation included Brittany, her mom, Steven and me. Suddenly I got an email from Steven stating that if I loved Brittany that I would "Lay down everything." This to me means submitting to him and goes along with his earlier comments about submitting to him if I want a relationship with my daughter. I then realized that he had sent the email directly to me and had excluded Brittany and her mom. I then said I would not continue this conversation with him in that email thread and would only have a discussion involving Brittany and Mrs. Hutchinson. I then went back to the previous email thread and responded in a somewhat sharp manner. Afterwards I asked my wife to be the one to send out any emails from me to them. In that way I could be assured that both her and Brittany would be included in the emails. Exhibit / Evidence; C. Copy of the Rules of Engagement. Also, D. Seeking clarification. 6. Steven Anderson claims that because I had an Emotional Response & Raising My Voice I cannot control my anger. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 8 of 30 "On the same day he returned home and Brittany reports visible signs of anger (slamming doors, slamming things, yelling, etc.)" "This email and anger was the first clues towards irrational behavior and unwarranted responses. I only expressed my concern for effective conflict resolution....and he took it as an insult to his intelligence and he was unable to control his emotional response." Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18. "I then called my fiancé to share the news with her, [about a text I sent him banning him from my property} and I could hear him, yelling and angrily attacking my character because I had done laundry in his house. At this point I began to seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé." My response; Later, after the email exchange, I was talking with Brittany and my frustration boiled over, and I raised my voice about how Steven would not answer the seemly simple question about the wedding guest list, has made no effort to be a part of our family, and has been acting rudely towards me. I was also terribly upset that Brittany is showing signs of stress and I haven't seen her smile in days. I am very concerned and even heartbroken that she should have to go through this during a time when she should be celebrating. My being agitated certainly did not help matters. As I walked out of the kitchen, I was so disappointed in myself for having raised my voice (something I had never done before to her) that I slapped the doorway with my open hand. I truly felt awful about how I had acted. She later she came to me and we discussed the guest list. I accepted her explanation, apologized for raising my voice, and asked that we move forward. The following day I went to her and asked if we could do a reset, one where we could together enjoy the journey of her getting married. She agreed and we spent some enjoyable time together. There was no slamming doors, slamming other things or emotional outbursts of any kind. His claim that I was " unable to control his emotional response" is completely false and unfounded. My wife was at home during this time – if any of this had actually happened, my wife would

have come to investigate. She will testify that this never happened and it was a peaceful evening at home. This is yet another attempt by Steven at disparaging me through his lies and manipulation. In an earlier HRO filing he used words to describe my actions that are wrong, such as; “RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP, and SCREAMING.” No one has witnessed me doing any of these and this is nothing more than character assassination. I had only raised my voice twice. The second time I was unaware that Brittany was home and talked loudly to my wife. She did not feel any concern for her safety, and even walked over and gave me a hug. I rarely, if ever, talk in an upset manner. My wife knew I was no danger to anyone and understood my need for a hug. His claim to have begun to “… seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé” is nonsense, completely baseless and all a part of his plan to cause a division in my family. Ever since I had given Steven permission to marry my daughter, he no longer made any efforts to be a part of our family or join any activities. It's like a switch was flipped and he became cold towards us. He has spoken rudely to myself, guests at our house and has acted this way towards my other children. It appears he was only being nice to obtain my

 blessing, then his real personality came out. One day I talked to Steven and told him that I do not hate him nor am I trying to stop the wedding. I have a good relationship with my other daughters' boyfriends and hoped to have the same with him. His only response was "thank you for sharing that" in a monotone voice. There was no appreciation or gratitude - no saying anything like, "wow I'm hoping for that too." Nothing. Just another stonewall. The fact that I had remained calm for so long given all the terrible things he had been saying to me, just shows how rational and peaceful of a person I really am. Since that time over 3 years ago, not one person has witnessed me raising my voice at all. These two instances were rare and only due to the distressful situations that Steven was causing in my family. 7. Steven Anderson refused to engage in mediation to resolve the conflict between us. Mediation Steven was texting me some inappropriate comments and I responded by asking him to not contact me anymore in this manner. He responded by saying that Brittany suggested mediation. I said yes, and that if he wanted to pick a place for that to happen, that I would pay for those services. He responded by saying that the only way he would agree to mediation is if it were at the church where he works. This seemed to put me at a disadvantage because they are familiar with him and do not know me. But I agreed to this anyway figuring I would just set the truth free and let it defend itself. Afterwards, as I thought about mediation it occurred to me that mediation meant all the bad and negative things Steven had done would be revealed and that could have very negative impacts on him. After a time of prayer I sent the following email, striving for peace, and once again apologizing for things I haven’t done, and admitting to things I never did, all in an effort to have a relationship with my daughter. “This email is an open apology to my daughter, Brittany. I have failed you. Not just a little, but a lot. During the past weeks I have been so focused on being right, about winning a disagreement, that I lost sight of something far more important; our relationship and your happiness. I have always put you first in my life, and now just

months before your wedding I have fallen far short of what I should have been doing. You have every reason to be mad at me, hurt, disappointed and feeling less than loved by me. For that I apologize - profusely. I love you more than life itself and literally live in daily agony over the state of our current relationship. In response I'll say this: I resign. Completely and fully resign. Not from being your dad of course, but rather from the disagreement that caused this. The [previous] letter I emailed states my position and stands as the truth as I know it. But that's as far as it goes. I'm done with any disagreement with Steven. My time and energy is now going to be focused on you, Brittany. To work hard restoring our precious relationship and ensuring this never happens again. Ever.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 10 of 30 And, to help celebrate the upcoming wedding and make these months before the wedding as enjoyable as possible. With that in mind, I am inviting Steven to neutral ground. A place where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen, mi casa es tu casa. I don't want battles anymore - I just want to love my family and others with all my heart. To fully enjoy what time I have left on this planet. The past few weeks/months somehow turned ugly and I don't want that - never did. I ended up feeling ugly inside and that's not who I am. At all. I repent for my misdeeds and missteps. Peace, Tim/Dad" Comment: Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again. My wife replied: "Tim, I want you to know those words really touched my heart. They were absolutely beautiful and honest. I appreciate you putting Britt first and your highest priority .[Emphasis added] Im proud of you and very hopeful we can begin celebrating this engagement and wedding! This makes me very happy. I love you all so much. With Love, Jen" Our daughter replied; "Dad, Steven and I read your emails. We have found ourselves in the same position that we have felt trapped into for the past few weeks, unsure how to respond. We have set clear boundaries, laid out in our Rules of Engagement, and those have been violated repeatedly. The way Steven and I have been treated by you has been inappropriate and out of line. The last email we received was an apology directed towards me, and while I appreciate that I feel as if it dismissed core issues. This puts us into a difficult position of being perceived as the ones continuing conflict if we don't accept a "blank slate."

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 11 of 30 As Steven and I prepare to start our new life together, we are concerned that these patterns of behavior will continue. We believe that there are significant changes that need to occur in order to move forward in a healthy manner. We desire growing towards healthier relationships with you. Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far, so we believe a mediated conversation is the appropriate next step. We are confirming with our mediator, but does Saturday at 10 am work for you and mom? It's important that all 4 of us will be there. Thanks, Britt" Concerned about "patterns" – what patterns? I've been apologizing for things I never did. "Significant changes" ? Should I then become an uncaring, unloving

father? That's ridiculous and clearly not my daughter's voice. That has 'Steven' written all over it. "….and those have been violated repeatedly…" To date neither Brittany nor Steven can clearly state what any of this alleged violations are. Before meeting Steven, my daughter would never have written an email reply such as this. Never. I believe he is manipulating her. My reply; "Y es, mom and I can be available at that time. I am willing to attend based upon three conditions; 1) This is about moving forward, not digging up the past. There were clearly missteps on the part of several of us, not just myself. Going over those details will serve no useful purpose other than igniting hurt feelings. 2) The meeting will be focused on ways to communicate in both directions; engaged couple to the parents, and vice-versa. 3) No expectations will be made that violate our First Amendment rights. While I agree there are acceptable ways to communicate, anything that prevents one of us from speaking at all to another person is something I won't consider. This is America - brave heroic people fought for our rights, and that should be respected." Steven replied; "Hi all,

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 12 of 30 I agree with everything Britt said. As for your response, Mr. Hutchinson, I don't believe we can comply with them all of them the way you have requested. 1. There are things that have been done and said over the last two weeks that cannot be ignored, and it is not enough to ask for a "blank slate" and move forward. Some of this will be discussed in our conversation. 2. We agree that communication moving forward should be an element of this conversation. 3. We don't believe it's helpful for us to set expectations for the communication plan moving forward before our conversation. I have to admit, these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining for Britt and I as we have interacted with you and we desire to move forward in a healthier direction and would appreciate your willingness to enter into that being willing to lay anything down in order to make that happen. You have emphasized how important your relationship with Britt is, and if that is really true, we believe that you will listen to what Britt and I have to say about how we can all move forward in a healthy way, even if that means that interaction with one or both of us moves forward differently than your personal preference." Comment: "Things have been done and said that cannot be ignored". Such as? To date neither of them can name even one single thing. "…these past few weeks have been incredible challenging and emotionally draining for Brittany and I as we have interacted with you…" Here again, he cannot name one thing that I've done, other than ask a question, raise my voice (to Brittany once, and to my wife once), apologize for things I never did, and strive for peace. They literally cannot and have not named anything. Steven interjected himself into the equation with his comment. Other than a letter trying to make peace, I've had no interaction with Steven in the previous few weeks. But still he tries to pretend to be a victim here. "We desire to move forward in a healthier direction…" Clearly we cannot move forward if Steven puts zero effort into anything and my daughter will not even speak to me because of Steven's lies. My reply; "Steven, Mrs. Hutchinson and I have also been directly/indirectly impacted in a negative way by some of your words & actions. We will also be

presenting a list of expectations on how we will be communicated with. We look forward to the meeting. See you then. Mr. Hutchinson"

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 13 of 30 The second attempt @ mediation was scheduled for Saturday, 2/27/2021 @ 10 AM. The previous week's mediation was cancelled by the mediator due to a scheduling conflict. It's important to note that Steven did not want to discuss any previous events in mediation. Then he changed his mind and now wants previous events be discussed. That's a 180-degree turn-around. A few days later Steven sends me a text message which says, "Mediation is only about your and Mrs. Hutchinson learning how you two are going to talk to me." I showed this text message to my wife (Mrs. Hutchinson), my brother and youngest sister. They were all shocked and appalled at this. I responded that he has been saying terrible things to me and that during mediation he would also learn how to communicate with us. Shortly afterwards he cancelled mediation and would not give us a valid reason for doing so. He also refused to reschedule mediation. It appears obvious to me that Steven has by now convinced my daughter that I have done things that are wrong, without any evidence or proof whatsoever. In fact, it has been Steven who is the one causing the problems. I apologized to my daughter and said that I didn't want to focus on any disagreement any longer – instead I wanted to focus on our relationship. I invited Steven to a neutral ground where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where, and I quote, "you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen – my house is your house." Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again. They both replied that they could not accept this. Brittany claimed I had violated the Rules of Engagement, and Steven said, and I quote; "these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining…" What's interesting is during the weeks before this I actually had no contact with him at all. What interaction was he talking about? There literally was NONE! This is another example of Steven trying to invent a make-believe world and draw my daughter into it – a world in which I am a villain – a violent person unable to control my behavior. Meanwhile, back in reality, the opposite is true. On Facebook I tell people when I'm going into my prayer room and offer to pray for others. Because of the miracles they experience, I now have 4,700 more people following me on my personal page – all from them experiencing real miracles and sharing the results with others. If I am such a horrible person, then why is God answering my prayers? Why is the Creator of the Heavens and the earth blessing so many others when I pray? Because God knows my heart the best – and he knows the allegations against me are false. There is no one else, literally no one who thinks of me in any way remotely close to what Steven is alleging. So here I am, 60+ years-old, no criminal record, love doing volunteer work, no problems with any one until Steven comes along and suddenly I am a super- villain. Yet he cannot name even one threat I've made to him. Not one. Because there weren't any

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 14 of 30 8. Steven Anderson accused my of being in possession of a firearm and created a false narrative that my daughter was not safe in my home. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18. "Later that day I received a call from my finance that while the respondent was still visibly angry, he went out to the garage and collected his firearm, which he continued to hold while angry. Brittany felt unsafe and so she left to stay at a friend's home. At that point Brittany and I found an apartment to lease where she could live, in order to move out of the respondent's home. We decided to attempt to keep this address a secret from the respondent for fear of safety for Brittany and myself." Within a few days Brittany moved out of our house. Supposedly because she is now suddenly afraid of me, because I raised my voice, twice. What's interesting is the very day she moved out, she returned that evening to retrieve something she had left behind. When she saw me, she gave me a hug and said "I love you so much." I told her it was weird seeing her move and that this was the first time she's ever moved that I didn't help. She replied that was true and yes this all seems weird. As she walked out of the house she looked back at me and though she smiled, I know her well enough that I could detect a hint of sadness – almost as if she didn't want to go. A few days later her youngest sister, Vanessa, told me that she had gone to Brittany's apartment (that she had just moved to), and that those two stood outside the apartment building and hugged as Brittany cried, saying she didn't understand why she had to move away from her home. Vanessa said that Brittany sounded very confused about what was happening. "…for fear of safety for Brittany and myself." This is fear that he created through a false narrative of me being a danger to either of them. He did this intentionally and with malice towards my myself and my family. 9. Steven Anderson accused me of being delusional and unpredictable, further misleading my daughter into thinking that she was in danger. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18. "On 2/2/21 Brittany reports hearing from the respondent that "[he's] so mad [he] could kill someone, so [he's] going to kill Charlie". Further claims Charlie is my "violent side" and was a "secret CIA assassin." He also states that I appear to be "delusional and out-of-touch with reality. I am afraid for my safety and Brittany's. His actions are clearly unpredictable. My response; In an effort to activate what I call my daughters "BS detector", by which I mean a healthy amount of skepticism, my well-meaning brother Steve, made up a story. This is really the only time in my life I've ever told Brittany something that wasn't true. But I had good intentions. I made the story so fantastical that any reasonable person would doubt it was real. That was what I was after. To have her look at this and say wait a minute, that's not real. And then to have her look at what Steven was saying and realize what he's saying is also not real. My daughter Brittany is kind, sweet, thoughtful and a really, really wonderful person. She's gullible as any 22-year-old is. And Steven clearly took advantage of that.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 15 of 30 I told her that "I'm so angry I could kill someone." This is actually a phrase I grew up hearing; no one actually killed anyone; like saying I'm so hungry I could eat a horse; no one eats horses and even if they did, they

couldn't consume an entire horse. It's only a phrase with no factual meaning behind it. I also chose that phrase because I figured she would immediately realize that I wouldn't actually kill someone - she's only known me as a kind, loving, peaceful father who always puts his family first. I told her the person I would kill is Charlie (you can't kill Charlie - it's just a name I was given in the military.) I said that I was going to a DNR lab near Owatonna where I would be held down on a table and my head will be zapped with electricity. That's as crazy of a story that I could think of at the moment. The next morning I said that it snowed the previous night (which it did) so we met at a closed gas station and performed the procedure in a van. They zapped me while I rolled my eyes side-to-side. I waited for her to say something like, "Dad, this isn't real!" But she never did. I had every intention of explaining to her why I told this fabricated story, but I wanted to do so in person. Sadly, I never saw her again. When I shared this story with my son he began laughing. Anyone who knows me would immediately realize that this was a made-up story. Later, I shared this same story with my youngest daughter, Vanessa. Her response was the same; laughter. They both know I would never do anything like what I had described. Before the trip to Owatonna, I told this story to my wife. She went with me on the trip and showed no concerns about my mental health. She recognized immediately it was just a story. The fact that Brittany took this seriously showed me how much Steven had been manipulating her. 10. Steven Anderson falsely claimed I was trying to have him fired when I asked the church leadership for help in intervening in this conflict. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 19. Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1-2. "On March 1, 2021, the respondent appeared unannounced and uninvited at Calvary church.....which is my place of employment to deliver a defamatory letter address to my superior and senior pastor of the church...The letter made false and defamatory claims about me and my character with the intent to have Calvary terminate my employment." My response; I reached out to Calvary church and approached them as respectfully as possible asking for help. I stated that Steven has been acting inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. I asked them to review the facts and prayerfully consider helping bring a resolution to this situation. There was no response to the first request, so I sent a second. Shortly afterwards a letter came in the mail from their attorney stating I was not welcome on either of their campuses and to not have any further contact with them. Later, I learned that Steven claimed he was so afraid of me being violent that he was being escorted into the church from the parking lot each day, and then back to his car

 when he left. He also said he was always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him. The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. Steven went so far as to make a church unduly afraid of me, with no evidence whatsoever – nothing but his false claims. I will state this fact again; I never threatened him. Ever. If I am such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren't the police ever called? If Steven was really that afraid of me the police should be notified. But they weren't. Why? In

the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police - not even once. He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him - not one - because there weren't any. Because I don't want to - I never did. Any perceived threats are made-up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as my family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me. At no time have I ever asked the church to fire him. My request initially was simply to nudge him towards mediation. Later that became a request for an investigation – but only after he told me, through an attorney, that he did not want to reconcile our relationship. Before contacting the church I reached out to Steven in yet another attempt to make peace. He never responded. "The letter made false and defamatory claims…" No, it was a respectful attempt to move things forward towards resolution. Everything in the letter and accompanying documents was/were factually true. Exhibit / Evidence; F. Letter to Steven before contacting the church. Letter to the Church Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4. Respondent's threatening letter sent to Calvary's legal counsel is not only shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. His letters to Brittany demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me, and which he intends to resume his harassment on May 27, 2023." My response: " "Respondent's threatening letter sent to Calvary's legal counsel …" What threat? I mentioned a peaceful protest and asked for them to perform an investigation. "… shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. " Harassment? There wasn't any. Steven made all of that up. I am the person who tried to make peace over a dozen times. Steven is the person whose intent was to cause harm to myself and my family. "… demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me…" The facts clearly show that the damaged relationship with my daughter was caused by Steven. That he intentionally caused these damages, and it was also his intention to harm my family.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 17 of 30 Several times I reached out to Calvary church. I approached them as respectfully as possible asking for help, stating that Steven has been acting inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. I asked they review the facts and prayerfully consider helping bring a resolution to this situation. There was no response to the first request, so I sent a second. Shortly afterwards a letter came in the mail from their attorney stating I was not welcome on either of their campuses and to not have any further contact with them. Later I learned that Steven claimed he was so afraid of me being violent that he was being escorted into the church from the parking lot each day, and then back to his car when he left. He also said he was always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him. The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. If the church considered me such a threat, such a villainous violent

person, why weren't the police ever called? If Steven was that afraid of me, the police should have been notified. But they weren't. In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2 nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police – not even once. He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him – not one – because there weren't any. Because I don't want violence – I never did. Any perceived threats are made-up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me. Exhibit / Evidence; I. Copy of letter to the church seeking help. 11. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of ill intent when I went to his apartment to offer a peace agreement. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 20-21. Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 2. "APRIL 28, 2021 - UNINVITED INTRUSION AT PERITIONER'S PERSONAL RESIDENCE." The respondent visited my apartment building on 4/28/21 at 7:50am. Steven and his roommates were all sleeping. After receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the building, neither Steven nor his roommates unlocked the front door to the building, which they had previously discussed to do if he came to visit the apartment. But the respondent entered anyway. He knocked on the door repeatedly but I did not answer....my heart was racing...I began to think about having to jump from my second story balcony or calling the police just to get away....After some time of knocking, it stopped...." My response; This is another exaggeration Steven has made. What really occurred is this; shortly before my visit I asked for an extended break - to let things calm down a bit. I then went to each of their homes for one purpose; to let them know they are both loved and forgiven. I told my wife what my purpose was for going there, and she responded by saying my actions were beautiful and she was proud of me. Upon arrival at Steven's apartment building I parked my car and exited. An African-American woman was walking out to her car and said hello. I responded

 by saying good morning. She then told me the buzzer box on the building was broken and that maintenance knew about it. She then told me I could just enter the building as the door was unlocked. I thanked her and walked towards the front door of the building. Once there I discovered the door was indeed unlocked and so I walked in without buzzing Steven's apartment. His claim of " receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the building,…´ is therefore completely false, as I never buzzed his apartment. I couldn't – the buzzer was broken. In an earlier filing he also claimed that I had "gained access" to the building, making it sound as though I had broken into the building as if I were a burglar or criminal. That also is false. I simply opened the door and walked in like any normal person would. I then went to his apartment and using the second knuckle on my right hand, knocked three times in a normal manner. I then stepped back across the hall and patiently waited. After several minutes no one answered the door, so I stepped forward and again knocked three times in a normal manner, again using the second knuckle of my right hand. It should also be noted that this was during the Covid pandemic, and I respectfully wore a mask during this entire time. When there was no answer after my

second time knocking normally, I peacefully walked out of the building and drove away calmly. From there I drove to a nearby Cub Foods store and purchased some flowers for my daughter. I went to her apartment building and buzzed her apartment; however, she didn't answer the door. So, I placed the flowers on her car and left peacefully. I later learned she had called Steven in a panicked state because I was at the door to the apartment building she lived in and she didn't know how to respond. I went there with flowers, to tell her that I love her, and she's too afraid to answer the door. Clearly this is because of the lies Steven has told her. Does it really make sense that I am trying to make peace and threatening violence at the same time? It's an incredibly sad day for an older dad when his own daughter is afraid of him for no valid reason whatsoever. Let me be perfectly clear - I have never threatened either one of them with violence, at any time, in any way whatsoever. These are all fabricated lies of Steven. In my journal I wrote that I was going there to tell them they were LOVED and FORGIVEN, with both of those words in uppercase letters. It was repeated this several times in the journal. In his court filing, Steven left out that page, intentionally because it tells the real story and exposes some of the lies he has told. Since he dd not answer the door, Steven does not know the reason for my visit. He decided, without any evidence, to twist things around and use this against me in a manner that was intended to cause harm to myself and my family. 12. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of threatening phone calls. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21. "2.....THREATENTING VOICE MESSAGE...."If you want to live in peace, as I do, then if I prepare for war, any adversary will know that and basically let me be. So

 when you haven't heard from my these past few weeks, that's I've been doing. I've been preparing for war." My response; I clearly stated my desire to live in peace. His actions have made that difficult. I was letting him know that I had been preparing for war – which means to expose him on social media and contact law enforcement (which I ultimately did.) I have told him all along that I wanted to resolve things in a peaceful manner. His response has been to continue telling lies about me and/or ignore my multiple request(s) for a peaceful resolution. 13. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of sending harassing emails. Epic Storm Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21. "3. MARCH 24, 2021---HARASSING EMAIL. Email excerpt sent through Timothy's wife, Jennifer to Steven Anderson: "You've successfully stripped my daughter out of my life, and in the process hurt me at a level I have not been hurt before. But understand one thing; I could have stopped you by releasing the 'Epic Storm' I designed and planned." My response; The reason my wife began sending the emails is because during the time when Steven, Brittany, my wife and I were having an email conversation, Steven was also sending me emails directly stating things such as how he expects me to submit to him. But in the other emails which were including my wife and Brittany he was acting innocent and trying to make himself and Bittany look like victims. I showed these emails to my wife and requested that she be the one moving forward to send out the emails, that way we could be certain the four of us were seeing all the emails being sent. She

readily agreed and I then blocked Steven from emailing me directly. An 'Epic Storm' is a term which describes a completely legal means of a cascading series of events designed to bring about change, or to protect someone. Again, these events are all completely legal. Nothing evil and no intent to cause physical harm at all. Several times I told Steven that I would do an epic storm if he continued ruining my relationship with Brittany and causing strife in my family. I never took any action though, because that would have been upsetting to my daughter and I was doing my best to shield her from any actions I was being forced to take. He falsely claimed this meant I was going to be violent with him, even though I told him everything I planned on doing ahead of time, and all of it was completely legal. It stated this in my journal and in emails. Again, he took advantage of every innocent thing I did and would twist things to make my daughter think I was a crazed violent person. Something she has never seen in me before, because it's not there and is all a fabrication of Steven's. Meanwhile, he's doing absolutely nothing to help settle things between us. His attempts thus far have amounted to exactly zero. He is a person who works in a church yet disobeys the teachings of the bible. His actions themselves expose him as a fraud.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 20 of 30 Bold Moves Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21. "04. April 26, 2021---EMAIL. Email excerpt sent to Brittany about Steven "My plan is to make some bold moves to resolve things between Steven and I. For us to not have a relationship is completely unacceptable. A father should not have to stop having a relationship with his daughter so she can marry someone. This is wrong on so many levels. Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language these "bold moves" have cause much concern for me and have included him visiting my home and place of work..." My response; " Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language…" I have never threatened him or used any violent language. Steven has again made all of this up. Bold moves would be to do as I stated on the main page of my journal; go public with what I know about him, file a lawsuit; ask law enforcement to become involved, etc. None of the actions I mentioned were violent in nature and in fact are all completely legal. Steven has again fabricated all of the claims against me concerning threats of violence. See previous sections for what happened during the time(s) I visited his work and/or home (apartment). None of that was even close to being threatening. Asking a church to help move things forward towards meditation is a threat? Visiting his home to tell him he is " LOVED and FORGIVEN " is " violent language"?? It is clear that Steven is seriously delusional and acting/acted on an evil plan to intentionally destroy my family. 14. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of creating a public website meant to defame him. It was only an online journal. Journal (falsely listed and referred to as a public website) Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24-25. "PUBLIC DEFAMATORY WEBSITE....Respondent created a defamatory website and threatened to spread the link publicly. My response: This was a personal and private online journal. I allowed Steven to see it one time. He took then violated copyright law by copying the entire journal. He then attempted to use it against me. This private journal did not contain any threats of violence at all. The main part of the journal contained a collection of emails that had been sent back and forth between

Steven, Brittany, my wife and I, as well as some letters I had sent Brittany, and some moments of personal reflection. Again, nothing threatening violence of any type. When Steven would not agree to meet or come together for mediation, I added a home page to the journal stating I would make this journal public if he did not work towards a resolution between us so I could have a relationship with my daughter. On that page I clearly stated that everything would be done legally. It also included a picture of Steven's face with the eyes made to look dark. That was

 to show how much darkness I believed was in Steven in order for him to act the way he had been, and beneath it was a call to prayer . [Emphasis added]. Should the private journal be made public, this would be a request for people to pray for him. Again, there was no threat of violence to him or anyone else. This was plain and obvious, but instead chose to twist things around to make it seem that he was an intended victim. Exhibit / Evidence; H. Copy of private journal. 15. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of having said he was responsible for $350,000 in medical bills. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24. "Claimed I was responsible for $350,000 of medical damages..." My response; I have never claimed there were/are $350,000 of medical damages or bills. I have health insurance and most of the medical bills have been paid through them. In recent years I have gone to the doctor's office and there have been concerns about cancer and my heart. During those times Steven has not shown any care or concern for my health. Not even an offer of prayer. This falls far beneath the level expected of a Christian, and especially of church faculty or a pastor. More False Claims Steven Filing Part 1. Page 26. "...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature. In March of this year, he reported suicide ideation and holding a gun to his head, as a result of the deterioration with the relationship with his daughter....He seems unable to regulate his emotions, is willing to bring a firearm into the mix and is fixated on me being the cause of his broken relationship with his daughter. I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me. After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me. By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation." My response; "...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature...." "He seems unable to regulate his emotions..." "I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me." "After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me." "By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation."

 Those are all gross exaggerations intended to falsely make him appear to be a victim. One evening I did very briefly hold a gun up to my head at a park, within hours of a painful counseling session with my daughter. Later, I told my wife about this. We agreed I should get some help at the hospital. Once there, they

offered to let me stay with them for inpatient counseling, and clearly stated my stay there was completely voluntary. I agreed to stay, and over the next 24 hours worked with a mental health doctor (Dr. Brown) and her staff to develop coping skills. The following day I asked to be discharged, and the doctor agreed. She stated there were no concerns for suicide or that I would act out in a violent manner. I went to the hospital voluntarily, stayed voluntarily, and left voluntarily with no concerns that I was a threat either to myself or anyone else. Steven's statements, listed above, are completely false, fabricated, and being used as an attempt to slander and libel me, with the clear intent of causing immeasurable harm to myself and my family. The above statements made by Steven clearly show that he is making up these terrible claims about me. There is no evidence at all that I have ever threatened him. Steven is cleverly twisting words around in an attempt to make himself appear to be a victim, and to cause harm to my family. In doing so he has also caused undue concern from the church and congregation. Exhibit / Evidence G. Hospital papers from overnight stay. False Claim About Escalating Things Steven Filing Part 1. Page 26. "...He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany's on 4/28/2021." My response; I have already addressed this in another section, but here again Steven is making up lies and fabricating things against me, with the intent to ruin my relationship my daughter Brittany, and also to cause harm to my family. In stark contrast to his false claims, I sent a letter to my family calling for peace and love. See evidence for a copy. Exhibit / Evidence; J. Letter to my children before the first HRO was filed. 16. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of domestic violence. First HRO Shortly after I went to tell them they were LOVED and FORGIVEN, I was served court papers by the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office. These papers were Steven and Brittany filing for a Harassment Restraining Order against me. Once I read their complaint(s) against me it became obvious the type of lies Steven had been telling about me, specifically to my daughter, Brittany. I assembled all the evidence I had and planned to ask for a trial, during which I would fully expose Steven and his lies intended to harm both myself and my family. Especially concerning were allegations there was “domestic violence” on the main page of Brittany’s filing. There never was any violence of any kind whatsoever between me and Brittany. That claim is false and a horrible allegation.

 Their Attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh, told me beforehand that if I did not accept the HRO without finding of fact, it would harm my daughter, her integrity and profession as a nurse. Though I could have presented an enormous amount of evidence and witnesses to refute any of Stevens claims (which are lies), out of an abundance of love for my daughter, Brittany, I accepted the HRO without finding of fact. Mr. Van Loh went on to tell me that “It’s a tough day for a dad” and that he understands because of his own daughter's wedding, and that I am “Doing the right thing.” During that same meeting, he asked that the website I had up about Steven be taken down. I specifically told him that was not a public website and that it was my private journal - one that I discreetly shared with Steven. He then said, "That's what pen and paper

are for." So, he knew that was a private journal, but in the future he would act as though it was a public website that I used to disparage Steven. In one later email he even specifically referred to that website as a "public website." Why would anyone refer to a website in that manner? Unless of course they know it's private and are trying to pretend it is not a private journal. It should be noted that despite being out of my daughter's life was/is incredibly painful, during the next two (2) years I only violated the HRO one time, and only due to a medical emergency. This happened when I was feeling ill and was advised by my doctor's office to come see them soon. While driving there I began experiencing chest pains when only a few blocks away from the clinic. Instead of going my usual long-way around to get there, and avoiding Calvary church on Highway 96 (a place where I was ordered to not go within two (2) blocks of) I instead opted for the direct route which took me past the church. I did not stop at the church or otherwise interact with anyone there. I only drove past it on in my attempt to get to the clinic as quickly as possible. My thoughts were focused on getting medical help. Once at the clinic an EKG was performed. The attending physician immediately recognized I was having a cardiac event and called for an ambulance. I was transported to the nearest hospital for treatment. Other than this one time due to a medical emergency, I obeyed the court order and did not violate the HRO. I am a peaceful and law abiding citizen. Shortly after the HRO was accepted by me, Brittany and Steven were married. This occurred on June 19 th , 2021. Two of Brittany's three siblings did not attend the wedding, and neither did anyone from my side of the family. They read the HRO filing and do not believe the lies being told about me. In addition, several people who read the HRO said they were present when some of the events took place, and that Steven was lying in his description of what happened. Several of them stated another reason they did not attend was due to Steven's rude behavior towards them. I was told by several people in attendance at the wedding that Steven had hired two (2) off-duty police officers to provide security, and that he had done so claiming he was fearful I would show up at the wedding and become violent. I allege he did this as a show, because I never was a threat to him. Exhibit / Evidence; M. HRO cover page claiming domestic violence. Also, R. Background.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 24 of 30 17. Steven Anderson falsely claimed I sent a threatening letter. False Claim of Threatening Letter Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4. "May 4, 2023---Threatening Letter to Petitioner: Respondent contacted me through my attorney threatening again to protest me at my place of employment (See Exhibit C). In his letter, Respondent threatens that the only way to prevent this from occurring is to, "…Speak up now. Once [respondent]'s plan goes into action, there is no stopping or turning back" Respondent also accuses me of telling, "bullshit lies" and ends his letter "There is one question you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out? Tick-tock." [Emphasis Added]. My response; More false claims about my being a threat. There is nothing threatening about a peaceful protest. I even asked for his input on how to resolve this matter so I could have a relationship with my daughter. But here again he twists things around, with the help of his attorney, to make me seem like I

am some violent crazed person. They even put an emphasis on the phrase " Tick-tock" as though it were a reference to a bomb. It was clearly a reference to a clock as I stated earlier in the letter that the first HRO was expiring soon. He also falsely claims the protests would be against him – when in fact I clearly stated in the letter to the church it would be about them performing a proper investigation. How is that a threat to him, unless he is afraid of the truth coming out? Contacting their attorney trying to make peace I contacted their attorney and asked if there was a way to make peace. After several emails exchanged between Mr. Van Loh and myself, he stated that Steven does not want to reconcile with me. Exhibit / Evidence; L. Van Loh emails. The Video Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3. "June 2021 YouTube Video. At some point following the May 27, 2021 HRO, Respondent created a 90+ minute YouTube video "responding" to the HRO, but specifically speaking out and challenging the allegations in the Petition, even though he agreed to an entry of an HRO voluntarily without the court making findings of the alleged harassment. Respondent enlisted the help of my wife Brittany's sister, Vanessa to film who he then had it forwarded the video to my wife. The video was originally posted on a password protected webpage on his site, his "ministry" site, not the website he was required to take down after the HRO. He has since taken down his ministry website. Attached are screenshots of the video (Exhibit E) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary." My response;

## 110. Archive/Complaint part of lawsuit.docx

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 26 of 30 threatening emails to my employer, Calvary Church, a threatening letter to me, and by sending a letter threatening me to my wife, Brittany Anderson, who also has a Harassment Restraining Order against the Respondent." My response; My youngest sister, who knew the HRO was about to expire, suggested that she take some action. She was doing this with good intentions. Just a few months prior, her only daughter had suddenly and unexpectedly passed away at the age of 40. I stood by her throughout this horrible ordeal. She wanted to find a way to help me with the situation concerning my daughter, Brittany. Her idea was to form a peaceful protest at Calvary church and continue doing so until they agreed to do a proper investigation. I initially said no, don't do anything. But she was insistent and even looked up the laws concerning a peaceful protest. I reluctantly agreed to go along with this idea of hers. But I made it abundantly clear that I would not participate in those protests. The most I would do is to send a letter to Steven and Calvary church explaining the intention of an upcoming protest. The letter to the church was very respectful. The letter to Steven was more pointed. Because, after all, this was all his fault. And because of this, there were many negative impacts on my life and those of my family. But there was no threat in any of my communication(s). They are stating it as such for dramatic effect. Meanwhile, back in reality, I have obeyed the HRO and was not posing a threat to anyone. The response I got was to be served papers that Steven and Brittany had filed for an extension of the HRO's. They requested a hearing on an emergency basis, claiming that I was such a threat that they feared for

their lives, and were concerned I would go to their church and harm/murder hundreds of children. He went as far as to falsely claim that I previously claimed to be a CIA assassin. That is not the truth, and he is including this merely for dramatic effect. I have never been a CIA assassin, an employee of the CIA, or employed as a federal officer or any letter agency, nor have I ever made such a claim. My intention was to ask for a trial, at which time I would bring forth all the evidence I have, including things mentioned in this filing. At that time, I asked my sister to abandon any intentions of protesting, and she agreed. During the first hearing for the second HRO I requested a trial. Their attorney, Mr. Van Loh suggested that nothing from the first HRO be allowed at trial. The referee, Elizabeth A Clydesdale agreed. At the trial, I became aware that I had improperly submitted my evidence and none of it would be allowed. Though I had sent it to the State evidence collection system properly, I did not understand that it also needed to be sent to their attorney. I emailed a list of the evidence and incorrectly assumed they would obtain the evidence from the State evidence system. I emailed Mr. Van Loh's office to ensure they got the evidence and the email reply came back with one word; "Received". They could have said the evidence was missing from the email, but the didn't. Instead, they used my inexperience as an opportunity to have the evidence to not be allowed. This is another example of how this trial was

 not about the truth or justice in any way, shape, or form. This was yet another attack on myself and my family. the only evidence they presented was my private journal, which was from the first HRO. Referee Clydesdale allowed it, despite previously stating otherwise. That private journal ended up being a primary focus of their case. In addition to it being improperly allowed as evidence, Mr. Van Loh was using this journal to present their case claiming that I was somehow a threat to Steven and/or my daughter. The journal contains no threats of violence towards anyone. The referee did not explain that I was not obligated to answer any of their attorneys' questions. In the beginning of the trial, I was sworn in and their attorney began questioning me. I believe the court should have informed me that I have a right to refuse to be questioned by their attorney. I felt like it was an obligation to answer their attorney's questions. If I had known that I did not have to, I would have remained silent during his questioning. During the trial Mr. Van Loh acted rudely and was clearly not interested in truth and justice. This was purely a seek-and-destroy mission for him. He was also rude to my witnesses and suggested to the court that my witnesses were lying, without presenting any evidence supporting his allegation(s). I felt as though his goal was clearly to make me appear as though I was one of the worst humans on this earth, without any supporting evidence, and went as far as to ask the HRO's be for life. Though I was allowed to present a few witnesses, their attorney treated them harshly and went on to call my witnesses liars without any evidence to prove this claim. This is the same attorney who previously would claim that any attempt by me to settle this matter by filing a lawsuit amounted to "Threatening legal attacks." [Emphasis added.] Anytime I attempted to find ways to reconcile matters, Mr. Van Loh would state in an email, that my continued efforts to work things out with my daughter were only pushing her away. He

intentionally made things worse and more difficult for me to restore peace and harmony in my family. My first witness was my sister, Sunnie. She wanted to read a statement but was denied the opportunity by the referee. When I tried questioning her about the content(s) of the statement, their attorney objected to almost everything and her testimony was largely not allowed. Afterwards, their attorney called my sister a liar. Her statement is included as evidence and listed on the Exhibit / Evidence list as item "Q". Since my witnesses were being treated in a manner which I viewed as being unfair and too harshly, I decided to present the remainder of the case myself. However, when I tried to do so, the referee stopped the proceedings and said she would hear closing comments before issuing a ruling. So, I was literally not allowed to fully present my case. Had I been allowed to, part of that would have been to ask for this matter be referred to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office for investigation and criminal proceedings. In the Spring of 2023 I met with someone from the Sheriff's Office and showed them the info / evidence I had. They stated if I could have the civil court refer this matter to them, they would proceed with a criminal investigation

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 28 of 30 against Steven. They further stated that Steven would likely be arrested and put in jail. I asked what I had done wrong, if anything. The response was "Nothing sir, you're a good father". They had no witnesses other than myself, and no evidence other than my private journal; which should not have been allowed based upon the agreement between the attorney and the referee. The second HRO was granted for two-years for my daughter, and ten-years for Steven. The reasons for this were due to the inappropriate actions of their attorney as well as the referee's actions not being conducive for the truth to be presented. Exhibit / Evidence; Q. Statement my sister was not allowed to present in court. 19. Steven Anderson has caused me emotional stress leading to dissolution of my marriage and impacting me financially. Ways His Actions Have Impacted Me 1. Marriage of 31 years ended in divorce. Due to the emotional stress caused by the words and actions of Steven, I became withdrawn and difficult to be married to. The decision to divorce was deeply sad and painful. 2. Loss of relationship with my daughter, Brittany. This is the most painful thing I have ever experienced in my life. 3. Had to sell our family home where we had lived for 24 years. As part of the divorce we sold the house. On my last day there, after moving my personal possessions out, I returned one last time to shower. From the time I entered the bathroom, showered, got dressed and walked out the front door one last time, I cried. The entire time I was in tears. My ex-wife shared that she too cried, almost every day for a month after moving out. After selling the house I became homeless and lived in hotels for weeks until being able to rent an apartment. 4. My career ended due to performance reasons directly as a result of the stress from this terrible situation. I was making many mistakes at work as a result of the stress. I quit and later was hired by a Target Stores contractor to perform a job I previously held, and excelled at. This time, however, I could not perform the job and stepped down after a month. They kept me on as a driver. After one week, while performing an action I had done approximately 30,000 times previously, I made a

mistake that caused significant damage to a piece of equipment. I was immediately fired. 5. My health has declined in various ways, including mental and physical. In addition to the depression and sadness over the loss of a relationship with my daughter, there was a near suicide attempt (as mentioned earlier). My heart has always been healthy in the past. Now I am on several medications. This is because of the stress. I decline to share any further information about my health. 6. More stress and heartache than I have ever experienced in my life. The pain and suffering I have experienced, as well as my family, has been unimaginable. 7. Loss of enjoyment of activities I normally engaged in; i.e. motorcycle riding. In a typical year I would ride approximately 5,000-7,000 miles. During 2023 and 2024 I

 have ridden about 500 miles each year. Have also missed several longer multi-day trips that I normally go on with my brother and friends. Exhibit / Evidence; N. Pics of trailer I damaged at work just prior to being fired. 20. Steven Anderson has falsely claimed that I did not fulfill my financial commitment to support him. Financial Help At the beginning of the relationship between Steven and my daughter, he asked me to help support him financially. I agreed to help and began sending him monthly contributions through an organization called Reliant. Despite all the harm he caused both myself and my family, I had remained hopeful this relationship could be fixed. Because of that I continued sending him financial help every month, and continued doing so for 2 ½ years. I stopped in June of 2023 because that is the month my wife moved out of our family home. Again, our marriage ended only due to the incredible amount of stress caused by Steven's lies. I no longer felt as though there was any possibility of reconciliation, especially since he had told me, through his attorney, (Mr. Van Loh) that he did not want to reconcile with me. At that point, when my wife moved out, I stopped making monthly payments to help him. Later I learned that Steven had told my daughter that I promised to help them financially, but then never did. That is a lie – I helped for 2 ½ years. Exhibit / Evidence: E. Reliant Receipts for years 2021, 2022, 2023. Based on the complaints above, Plaintiff demands the following relief: 1. A trial by jury and asking for damages exceeding $50,000.00. 2. A referral to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office to complete their criminal investigation into this matter. (More details further in this filing.) ACKNOWLEDGMENT By presenting this form to the court, I certify that to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, the following statements are true. I understand that if a statement is not true, the court can order a penalty against me (such as to pay money to the other party, pay court costs, and/or other penalties). 1. The information I included in this form is based on facts and supported by existing law. 2. I am not presenting this form for any improper purpose. I am not using this form to: a. Harass anyone; b. Cause unnecessary delay in the case; or c. Needlessly increase the cost of litigation. 3. No judicial officer has said I am a frivolous litigant.

 4. There is no court order saying I cannot serve or file this form. 5. This form does not contain any "restricted identifiers" or confidential information as defined in Rule 11 of the General Rules of Practice (

https://www.revisor.mn.gov/court_rules/gp/id/11/ ) or the Rules of Public Access to Records of the Judicial Branch ( https://www.revisor.mn.gov/court_rules/rule/ra-toh/ ). 6. If I need to file “restricted identifiers,” confidential information, or a confidential document, I will use Form 11.1 and/or Form 11.2, as required by Rule 11. Date Signature Name: Address: City, State, Zip: Telephone: E-mail:

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Archive/Complaint part of lawsuit.docx ***

Complaint part of lawsuit

Some background information: My daughter, Brittany, began dating Steven Anderson, director of youth services @ Calvary Church in 2020. Within a few months Brittany came to me and said she was breaking up with him. She said that she felt as though she couldn’t be herself around him because was too controlling. She later said that she had another meeting with him, during which time he “shared his heart” with her. Brittany said that Steven told her due to his upbringing he had difficulty properly expressing himself. Because of that she decided to again date him. Upon hearing that I decided to give him a wide swath of grace and patience when dealing with him. He took advantage of this, as documented below.

Shortly thereafter Steven approached me to help support his ministry – which is his full-time job. He says the church cannot afford to pay him a salary, so he fundraises as a substitute. I began supported his endeavor financially and even worked with him to improve his presentation to find others who would be supportive. I continued sending money every month for 2 ½ years – even during the time when he would file an HRO against me, as I still had hope we would settle things peacefully. My wife later said that Steven told Brittany that I wasn’t sending money and had refused to help them. After 2 ½ years of supporting them, I stopped sending money after the June, 2024 payment when my wife moved out of our family home.

Within months Steven approached me and asked what it would take to have my blessing for him to marry my daughter. One of the things I mentioned was spending more time with our family - we barely knew him. I told Steven that family was important to us, and that if he was to marry my daughter then we would want him to feel welcomed in our family and to be an active part of it.

Afterwards he made an effort to be at our house almost on a weekly basis, and things seemed to be going well.

One day he called to ask if we could hang out sometime. I said yes and we picked a date and time. When that time came, he arrived at our house and we talked for quite a while. I sensed he had something he wanted to say or possibly ask me - maybe even permission to marry Brittany. Finally, after 3 hours I asked him "Is there something you wanted to ask me?" He then asked for my blessing on marrying my daughter, I said there were three things he needed to promise me. He agreed and I gave him the blessing. My wife and I even helped with a temporary ring until he could buy one.

Once he proposed and my daughter accepted, his entire demeanor towards myself and our family changed. Documented below is the lies he told about me in an HRO (Harassment Restraining Order) and my response which reveals how Steven has engaged in a campaign of libel, slander and harassment which has caused significant harm to both myself, and my family.

He manipulated her and convinced her to stop talking with me for a while so they could "focus on their relationship". That was the last time I ever spoke with her. The last thing she said to me was "Dad, I love you so so so so much!"

This campaign has included making my daughter fearful of me through a false narrative of my threatening him with a gun, being a overall dangerous person to him, her, and the church, and convincing her to join him in filing for an HRO under false pretenses.

I asked many times what the problem was and asked to resolve any differences. He never responded. After trying for a long time without any response, I texted him the following;: You lost far more than you gained." Meaning, we could have had a great relationship, but he had another agenda. I had actually tried to make peace and amends 15 times. Steven made zero attempts, and refused all of my attempts and making peace.

During this time I had been offering blanket apologies for things I had not done, just to have a relationship with my daughter. He never responded and instead continued taking everything I've done, as pure and honest as my actions were, and greatly exaggerated them and spun them into my looking like it's all a threat to him.

His deliberate actions, hyper-exaggerated claims and outright lies have caused significant harm; due to the stress of Steven's actions, my wife and I ended our 31-year marriage. We sold the family home where we lived for 24 years, and I became homeless. I had to quit my job as a Safety and Compliance Manager. I enjoyed a corner office and had 70 people working for me - but had to quit due to my declining work performance as a result of the stress caused by Steven's actions and words. The day prior to selling our home I moved my belongings into a storage unit. Within a short period of time that unit was broken into and most of my valuable possessions were stolen.

I am a peaceful person and the victim of lies. By filing this lawsuit, I am seeking two things; A) A trial by jury and asking for damages exceeding $50,000.00. B) A referral to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office to complete their criminal investigation into this matter. (More details further in this filing.)

It is important to note that I have enjoyed a great relationship with the others my children have dated or married. With one notable exception; a man named Kyle, who assaulted my daughter and subsequently assaulted myself. Prior to Steven, my daughter Brittany dated a man name David Zimmerman for several years. David and I got along exceptionally well. When Brittany decided to break up with David, he came

directly to our family home to spend time with me. I explained that since Brittany is my daughter, I need to advocate for her. He responded, “I know, but I feel so much better being with you.”

My youngest daughter, Vanessa, was dating a man named James Anderson (a common last name – no relation to Steven.) When he was homeless I took him into our home, and just a week prior to our home being sold due to my divorce. Despite going through my own personal hell, I still helped him. One of the ways was to help James come up with a life plan, Later, when my daughter decided to end that relationship, I continued paying for his hotel room, and gave him money for expenses.

My oldest daughter, Victoria, dated and married a man named Jacob Rademacher. During the 13-years my daughter has been with him, Jake (Jacob) and I have enjoyed a great relationship. He has never felt fearful of me and has been felt threatened in any way, shape, form or manner. Jake and I have been to hockey games, vacation, road trips and more together. He’s a fantastic son-in-law who calls me “Dad”. I hoped for the same with Steven, but he has a different agenda.

Exhibit / Evidence; 0

Exhibit / Evidence; E

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

FIRST HRO

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

"Within a week after Brittany and I began our engagement, the respondent began to take issue with our wedding planning. He began to call me to argue for things to be different on decisions that my finance and I had made."

My response;

One day Brittany was at our family house speaking to her mom and I about the guest list. It sounded as if Steven had already invited so many people there was barely any room for our side of the family. At least that was the impression I got from that conversation. At one point I heard the number of guests was already more than the total amount they agreed upon. Brittany seemed stressed by this process. Her mom approached me afterwards and asked me if I could help with this matter. I agreed to make a friendly call to Steven. So, I did and asked one question: "How does the guest list honor the mother and father of the bride?" His reply was all about his family and never directly answered my question. He then stated that he didn’t think his response answered my question. I replied, “Not really.” He then said he would get back to me about this. I offered a suggestion on how they might decide on a guest list, and he said that he would discuss this with Brittany. We then talked for a short while about other non-wedding related things and ended the call on a positive note. He never got back to me to answer the question. I

shared the details of this conversation with my wife, and we thought that call was enough and those two (Brittany and Steven) would work things out.

The only other time I ever discussed the wedding with Steven was during a time when he called me about a non-wedding related topic, and I asked him if my wife and I would be able to meet his parents before the wedding. He replied, “Not my dad, but maybe my mom when she comes to town to help me finish planning the wedding.” He made it sound as if he and his mom would be doing the wedding planning and not Brittany. I asked, “Does Brittany know about this?” He said yes. I then asked if there would be anything that Mrs. Hutchinson (Brittany’s mom) would be able to help with. He replied, “Maybe my mom can find something for her to do.” I then repeated an earlier question, “And Brittany knows about this?” He again replied, yes. That’s as far as the conversation went.

The only time I discussed the wedding with Brittany was when she came to me with a concern about their wedding photographer. I gave her some advice on how to approach Steven to discuss this topic.

Compare this to my oldest daughters (Victoria & Jake) wedding. I caused no problems whatsoever, did not interfere with any planning, and they will both testify to that. I would have done the same for Brittany and Steven’s wedding, except Steven had other plans to exclude me as the evidence will show.

Phone calls

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17 – 18

"The frequency and hostility in Respondent's telephone calls increased. Amidst these interactions Brittany and I decided it would be best to set boundaries around our wedding planning and conversations with us about them."

My response;

I only made 6 phone calls to Steven total the entire time I have known him. Two of those were about allowing him to borrow one of my wife’s rings to propose to our daughter. Another call was to offer him the same advice my Father-in-law gave me prior to my marrying his daughter nearly 30 years prior. He became agitated and responded rudely to me. Another call was to ask if he wanted to get together and hang out – he said “No” and hung up on me. There was a call about us doing a video chat to discuss some changes to his fund-raising presentation. The other call was months later when I left a voicemail during a time I was upset with him after a long period of intentionally doing things to harm both myself and my family.

It should be noted that Steven made plenty of calls to me, however. He would say things such as;a) “You’re not a very good father.”b) “Brittany deserves someone better than you.” c) “If you want to have a relationship with your daughter, then you need to submit to me and let me control that.”

Here again I gave him a wide swath of grace and patience because of what he told my daughter about not being able to properly express himself. During these times I tried to steer the conversation away from negative comments and towards something more positive and family oriented.

Laundry

Brittany does Stevens laundry at our house, which I honestly didn't mind. He makes it clear that he is concerned about Covid, so when I discovered some information about that virus and fabric, I wanted to share this with him. Soon afterwards as Brittany was leaving the house, she said Steven's laundry was in the dryer and asked that once done I place it outside the front door for him to pick up. I figured this was out of concern for Covid, so decided to write him a letter, and placed in in the laundry basket along with his clean clothes. It basically said I had done some research on Covid and was surprised it could last up to 48-hours on our clothes. Said I could appreciate his concern for being exposed to Covid. Maybe it's best his clothes are not washed at our house - at least now for now. I then said it would have been nice to see him today. That we could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just the day before for Covid. I signed it by saying "Hope you have a wonderful day."

When his clothes were done, I placed them outside the front door as requested. When Steven arrived, he read the note, threw the clothes into his car, slammed the door, then stood there staring at our house for a full minute with an angry look on his face. He then got into the car and sped off running through a stop sign and speeding up the street at approximately double the speed limit.

My intentions in writing that letter was pure – I really wanted to have a good relationship with him, but it seemed he turned it around and used it as an opportunity to have a tantrum.

Then just a day later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for several hours. He can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell and say hi, but just a day later he can spend hours inside our home? And he's here without any acknowledgment of the letter. This to me was yet another stonewall and quite honestly, seemed very disrespectful. As Brittany and Steven were leaving, Brittany said "Hi dad" – I responded "Hi honey!" Then Steven said, "Hello Mr. Hutchinson". I responded with a rather dry "Hi". It bothered me that he was so rude just the day before, as well as multiple times previously, and now today when my daughter is there, he's acting all nice and innocent. I didn't want to say or do anything that would be upsetting to Brittany, so I did not ask him for any explanation – at least not at that time. Several hours later my wife came home, and I told her about this and that it bothered me. After all, he had a history of being rude to my family and myself. I spoke loudly about how upset I was and said that I did not want Steven at our house again. I then texted Steven and said he was not welcome in our home until there was mediation.

At no time when I was venting did I make any threats against Steven. My only comments were that he was disrespecting me in my own home, and I did not want him at our house anymore.

I did not know that Brittany was in her bedroom and had overheard what I said. I honestly thought she had left, and had specifically waited until I thought she was gone to vent my frustrations. My wife gave me a hug and we went and made dinner together. Within 10 minutes or so we were in the kitchen laughing about some silly memes on Facebook. Yes, I raised my voice. Yes, I was upset. He's going to marry my daughter and he's treating me poorly. Any reasonable father would also be upset. But there was no threat.

I later learned that Steven used this as an opportunity to convince my daughter to move out of our house, by claiming she was in danger remaining there with me.

Fast-forward 30-days. During that time, Steven made no efforts to be a part of our family or join any activities. Since I gave my blessing for him to marry our daughter, it's like a switch was flipped and he became cold towards us. He has spoken rudely to myself, guests at our house and has acted this way towards my other children. It seems as though he was only being nice to obtain my blessing, then his real personality came out. Had I known any of this I would not have said yes to him marrying our daughter.

Mediation

Steven was texting me some inappropriate comments and I responded by asking him to not contact me anymore in this manner. He responded by saying that Brittany suggested mediation. I said yes, and that if he wanted to pick a place for that to happen, that I would pay for those services. He responded by saying that the only way he would agree to mediation is if it were at the church where he works. This seemed to put me at a disadvantage because they are familiar with him and do not know me. But I agreed to this anyway figuring I would just set the truth free and let it defend itself.

Afterwards, as I thought about mediation it occurred to me that mediation meant all the bad and negative things Steven had done would be revealed and that could have very negative impacts on him. After a time of prayer I sent the following email, striving for peace, and once again apologizing for things I haven't done;

"This email is an open apology to my daughter, Brittany. I have failed you. Not just a little, but a lot. During the past weeks I have been so focused on being right, about winning a disagreement, that I lost sight of something far more important; our relationship and your happiness. I have always put you first in my life, and now just months before your wedding I have fallen far short of what I should have been doing. You have every reason to be mad at me, hurt, disappointed and feeling less than loved by me. For that I apologize - profusely. I love you more than life itself and literally live in daily agony over the state of our current relationship. In response I'll say this:

I resign.

Completely and fully resign.

Not from being your dad of course, but rather from the disagreement that caused this. The [previous] letter I emailed states my position and stands as the truth as I know it. But that's as far as it goes. I'm done with any disagreement with Steven. My time and energy is now going to be focused on you, Brittany. To work hard restoring our precious relationship and ensuring this never happens again. Ever. And, to help celebrate the upcoming wedding and make these months before the wedding as enjoyable as possible.

With that in mind, I am inviting Steven to neutral ground. A place where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen, mi casa es tu casa.

I don't want battles anymore - I just want to love my family and others with all my heart. To fully enjoy what time I have left on this planet. The past few weeks/months somehow turned ugly and I don't want that - never did. I ended up feeling ugly inside and that's not who I am. At all. I repent for my misdeeds and missteps.

Peace,

Tim/Dad”

Comment: Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again.

My wife replied:

“Tim,

I want you to know those words really touched my heart. They were absolutely beautiful and honest. I appreciate you putting Britt first and your highest priority.[Emphasis added] Im proud of you and very hopeful we can begin celebrating this engagement and wedding! This makes me very happy. I love you all so much.

With Love,

Jen”

Our daughter replied;

“Dad,

Steven and I read your emails. We have found ourselves in the same position that we have felt trapped into for the past few weeks, unsure how to respond. We have set clear boundaries, laid out in our Rules

of Engagement, and those have been violated repeatedly. The way Steven and I have been treated by you has been inappropriate and out of line. The last email we received was an apology directed towards me, and while I appreciate that I feel as if it dismissed core issues. This puts us into a difficult position of being perceived as the ones continuing conflict if we don't accept a "blank slate."

As Steven and I prepare to start our new life together, we are concerned that these patterns of behavior will continue. We believe that there are significant changes that need to occur in order to move forward in a healthy manner. We desire growing towards healthier relationships with you. Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far, so we believe a mediated conversation is the appropriate next step.

We are confirming with our mediator, but does Saturday at 10 am work for you and mom? It's important that all 4 of us will be there.

Thanks,

Britt"

Concerned about "patterns" – what patterns? I've been apologizing for things I never did.

"Significant changes"? Should I then become an uncaring, unloving father? That's ridiculous and clearly not my daughters voice. That has 'Steven' written all over it.

Before meeting Steven, my daughter would never have written an email reply such as this. Never. I believe he is manipulating her.

My reply;

"Yes, mom and I can be available at that time.

I am willing to attend based upon three conditions;

1) This is about moving forward, not digging up the past. There were clearly missteps on the part of several of us, not just myself. Going over those details will serve no useful purpose other than igniting hurt feelings.

2) The meeting will be focused on ways to communicate in both directions; engaged couple to the parents, and vice-versa.

3) No expectations will be made that violate our First Amendment rights. While I agree there are acceptable ways to communicate, anything that prevents one of us from speaking at all to another person is something I won't consider. This is America - brave heroic people fought for our rights, and that should be respected."

Steven replied;

“Hi all,

I agree with everything Britt said. As for your response, Mr. Hutchinson, I don't believe we can comply with them all of them the way you have requested.

There are things that have been done and said over the last two weeks that cannot be ignored, and it is not enough to ask for a "blank slate" and move forward. Some of this will be discussed in our conversation.

We agree that communication moving forward should be an element of this conversation.

We don't believe it's helpful for us to set expectations for the communication plan moving forward before our conversation.

I have to admit, these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining for Britt and I as we have interacted with you and we desire to move forward in a healthier direction and would appreciate your willingness to enter into that being willing to lay anything down in order to make that happen. You have emphasized how important your relationship with Britt is, and if that is really true, we believe that you will listen to what Britt and I have to say about how we can all move forward in a healthy way, even if that means that interaction with one or both of us moves forward differently than your personal preference.”

Comment: “Things have been done and said that cannot be ignored”. Such as? To date neither of them can name even one single thing.

“…these past few weeks have been incredible challenging and emotionally draining for Brittany and I as we have interacted with you…” Here again, he cannot name one thing that I’ve done, other than ask a question, raise my voice (to Brittany once, and to my wife once), apologize for things I never did, and strive for peace. They literally cannot and have not named anything.

Steven interjected himself into the equation with his comment. Other than a few emails and a letter trying to make peace, I’ve had no interaction with Steven in the previous few weeks. But he tries to pretend to be a victim here.

“We desire to move forward in a healthier direction…” Clearly we cannot move forward if Steven puts zero effort into anything and my daughter will not even speak to me because of Steven’s lies.

My reply;

“Steven,

Mrs. Hutchinson and I have also been directly/indirectly impacted in a negative way by some of your words & actions. We will also be presenting a list of expectations on how we will be communicated with. We look forward to the meeting. See you then.

Mr. Hutchinson”

The second attempt @ mediation was scheduled for Saturday, 2/27/2021 @ 10 AM. The previous week’s mediation was cancelled by the mediator due to a scheduling conflict. It’s important to note that Steven did not want to discuss any previous events in mediation. Then he changed his mind and now wants previous events be discussed. That’s a 180-degree turn-around.

A few days later Steven sends me a text message which says, “Mediation is only about your and Mrs. Hutchinson learning how you two are going to talk to me.” I showed this text message to my wife (Mrs. Hutchinson), my brother and youngest sister. They were all shocked and appalled at this.

I responded that he has been saying terrible things to me and that during mediation he would also learn how to communicate with us. Shortly afterwards he cancelled mediation and would not give us a valid reason for doing so. He also refused to reschedule mediation. It appears obvious to me that Steven has by now convinced my daughter that I have done things that are wrong, without any evidence or proof whatsoever. In fact, it has been Steven who is the one causing the problems.

Rules of Engagement

Steven Fiing Part 1. Page 17.

"The respondent took issue with some of the wording in our Rules of Engagement..."

My response;

Brittany and Steven came up with some Rules of Engagement, and presented them to my wife and I. We both agreed to follow these rules. At this time, we were at our house sitting at the dining room table. Afterwards, Brittany and her mom went into the kitchen. I got up and said I was going to the bathroom. I began walking away but then stopped and turned around to retrieve something from the table. At that time Steven had stood up and was bent over picking up a bag from the floor with his back towards me. He did not realize I was standing there. Just then I heard him say “Oh, this is gonna be good!” He said it in a very deep voice as though he was almost growling. To me, it sounded demonic. He suddenly stood and spun around to facing me. He began smiling and started trying to tell a joke. It seemed like he was trying to cover for something. I was too stunned to speak or respond. He then called to Brittany saying they should leave.

Afterwards, my wife looked at me and asked, “Honey, are you OK?” I didn’t want to make a scene so I just responded by saying I was OK. We then went into our bedroom together and prayed for them as a couple. The following day I shared what happened with my brother.

Shortly after that I sent them both an email asking a question about how to ask a question.

Something in the Rules of Engagement were not clear and I merely was asking for clarification. Steven immediately took offense to this and began accusing me of manipulation. This email conversation included Brittany, her mom, Steven and myself.

Suddenly I got an email from Steven stating that if I loved Brittany that I would “Lay down everything.” This to me means submitting to him and goes along with his earlier comments about submitting to him if I want a relationship with my daughter.

I then realized that he had sent the email directly to me and had excluded Brittany and her mom. I then said I would not continue this conversation with him in that email thread and would only have a discussion involving Brittany and Mrs. Hutchinson. I then went back to the previous email thread and responded in a somewhat sharp manner. Afterwards I asked my wife to be the one to send out any emails from me to them. In that way I could be assured that both her and Brittany would be included in the emails.

Exhibit / Evidence; B, C, H

Emotional Response

Steven Fiing Part 1. Page 17.

"On the same day he returned home and Brittany reports visible signs of anger (slamming doors, slamming things, yelling, etc.)" "This email and anger was the first clues towards irrational behavior and unwarranted responses. I only expressed my concern for effective conflict resolution....and he took it as an insult to his intelligence and he was unable to control his emotional response."

My response;

Later, after the email exchange, I was talking with Brittany and my frustration boiled over, and I raised my voice about how Steven would not answer the seemly simple question about the wedding guest list, has made no effort to be a part of our family, and has been acting rudely towards me. I was also terribly upset that Brittany is showing signs of stress and I haven't seen her smile in days. I am very concerned and even heartbroken that she should have to go through this during a time when she should be celebrating. My being agitated certainly did not help matters.

As I walked out of the kitchen I was so disappointed in myself for having raised my voice that I slapped the doorway with my open hand. I truly felt awful about how I had acted.

She later she came to me and we discussed the guest list. I accepted her explanation, apologized for raising my voice, and asked that we move forward. The following day I went to her and asked if we could do a reset, one where we could together enjoy the journey of her getting married. She agreed and we spent some enjoyable time together.

There was no slamming doors, slamming other things or emotional outbursts of any kind. His claim that I was “unable to control his emotional response" is completely false and unfounded. If any of this had happened my wife would have come to investigate. She will testify that this never happened. Yet another attempt by Steven at disparaging me through his lies and manipulation.

Brittany Moving Out

Within a few days Brittany moved out of our house. Supposedly because she is now suddenly afraid of me, because I raised my voice, twice. What's interesting is the very day she moved out, she returned that evening to retrieve something she had left behind. When she saw me, she gave me a hug and said "I love you so much." I told her it was weird seeing her move and that this was the first time she's ever moved that I didn't help. She replied that was true and yes this all seems weird. As she walked out of the house she looked back at me and though she smiled, I know her well enough that I could detect a hint of sadness – almost as if she didn’t want to go.

Connecting to talk about the conflict

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

"At this point it became clear there was significant issue, and so I suggested to the respondent that he and I find a time to connect to talk through the conflict."

My response;

This never happened. I am the one who has been offering apologies for things I never did and trying to find a way to resolve some conflict when I have no idea what the issue(s) are/were. Had he reached out me to in this manner I would have gladly accepted. His claim to have reached out to try and connect to talk through the conflict is absolutely a lie. It should also be noted that at this point in time I have no idea what the problem(s) were/are. Neither Brittany nor Steven were communicating with me. My wife has tried contacting them to find out what the issue/problem were/are, but neither of them were willing to discuss this with her. It wasn’t until the first HRO was filed that I had even a glimpse of the terrible things I was being falsely accused of.

False claim about the gun

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"At the time, my fiance lived in respondents home. I visited while the respondent was at work, to make plans for the wedding. He returned before I left, and we only exchanged a brief interaction on me way our the door, however, he placed his firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight. I couldn't help but feel as though he had intentionally placed the gun there for me to see."

My response;

This was around the time when there were riots in Minneapolis after what happened to George Floyd. There was talk about the riots going to the suburbs. At the time my wife asked me if I still had a gun. I replied yes. The fact that she asked this question shows how discreet I was with it. I never showed it to anyone, open carried or even discussed it.

She then said it would make her feel safer if she could see it regularly. So, for a short while, placing my firearm on the upstairs banister became a temporary routine for me.

Again, she literally asked me to do this. I would come home from work and place it on the end railing at the top of the steps, go into the kitchen and greet my wife with a kiss, unpack my lunch bag, then go put the gun away in our bedroom. It was only out for approximately 3 minutes. I did this only for a few weeks, then asked if she was OK with me not putting it out anymore, as I never was comfortable doing this. She said yes, and I stopped leaving it out for her to see.

One day while Steven was over, he saw the handgun in its usual place and made an incorrect assumption. He then used this occasion to begin placing thoughts into my daughters head that I am some sort of dangerous person and they are both at risk of me harming them with the gun.

I have never waved this gun around or used it to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. The entire time it was holstered, and on the 2nd floor of the house – Steven remained in the lower level and was never near the gun. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie and crazy. I made it to over 63-years old without a criminal record, but Steven wants to falsely portray me as a crazy man with a gun.

Kyle situation

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1.

"Throughout my relationship with his daughter, the respondent reminded me on several occasions of a story where he insinuates that a previous relationship one of his other daughters had, ended with him shoving the boyfriends head through the drywall of his apartment."

My response;

Kyle was my daughter Vanessa's boyfriend. During their relationship he assaulted her. Afterwards, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. I called Kyle, and he began shouting at me, so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So, I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified myself as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to, I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. He then came at me with a raised fist.

At that point I felt the need to defend myself and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I responded, "Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left. He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical.

I never told Steven this story. He only overheard me telling this story, twice. Both times the telling of the story was initiated by Vanessa. The first time was to her current boyfriend as the family gathered at a restaurant to celebrate her mom’s birthday. The second time was at a bonfire at our family house, and she was telling the story to two of my friends who stopped by. I took over the telling of the story and ended it quickly. After the second time I asked my daughter, Vanessa, to not tell the story anymore. She stated that she was proud of me for defending her but agreed to not tell the story anymore.

Steven was not a part of either conversation, his name was not mentioned, nor did anyone acknowledge him either time. During the first time, at the restaurant, he was seated 3 people away from us. At the bonfire, he was seated 4 people away from us. So there is no way he was included in the conversation. His assertion that I reminded him is completely false. He was never mentioned in the conversation, I never referred to him nor did I look at him at all. He overhead the story and presented it in the court filing for the HRO as it I told it directly to him and also as if it was intended to be a threat directly to him. Those assertions are completely false.

It should also be noted that after the bonfire, I had 4 people tell me that Steven had acted rudely towards them.

The Books

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1.

"When I shared my desire to marry his daughter, months prior to asking for his blessing, he gave me two of his books, including an autobiographical work, Painful Secrets, wherein he claims to have almost

committed a mass shooting as a teenager. With this context, the Respondent's placement of the gun on the banister was clearly meant and taken as a threat and intimidation towards me."

My response;

In both filings he claims I gave him a copy of the books a "month" before in one and "months" before in another....it was actually 3 days prior to his asking to marry my daughter.

During a previous time that we had met, he mentioned that he heard I wrote several books. I confirmed that I had. He said that he would like to read them. I sell these books on Amazon so do not store any extra copies at the house. When he expressed an interest in reading them I went to see if I had any available copies at the house. I found one copy of 'Painful Secrets' and one copy of 'Casting Out Doubt'. I then generously gave him a free copy of each.

Soon after, he asked if we could hang out for a while. I said yes, and he came over to our house. Once here he mentioned that he had read both books. One was my memoir, and he didn't really talk about that - it was the other book, the one about miracles. I was surprised he had read them already as I had just given them to him three (3) days prior. When I commented on this, he said that he had stayed up late at night to finish them. We talked about the book 'Casting Out Doubt' for a few minutes, then began talking about other topics. At no time did he seem concerned about the contents of the other book, nor was it ever mentioned.

That evening, I had a feeling he was there to ask me if he could marry my daughter. This was an important evening, and I didn't want him to feel rushed - so I remained patient and waited. Finally, three-hours later I asked if he was there, by chance, to ask me question. He said yes and asked if he could marry my daughter. I said there were three conditions he had to promise to, and he agreed. One of them was not to interfere in my relationship with daughter. He promised that he wouldn't. Then we talked about a ring, and I offered to borrow him one of Brittany's mom's rings to propose with. That would give him time to go ring shopping. (He has since broken the promise to not interfere in my relationship with Brittany many times over).It is important to note that I have used my memoir, 'Painful Secrets' as a tool to help make bullying awareness and prevention a national issue. Along the way I have prevented 6 school shootings and also prevented 300 teen suicides. I would never use this book as a threat to anyone. My wife is a high school science teacher who has given copies of this same book to some of her troubled students. She has witnessed their lives being changed by it and has seen them carry this book around with them every day as a sign of hope and overcoming great life obstacles.

Here again, Steven is making wild claims that are simply not true at all.

See evidence attached with testimonies and feedback from others.

Raising my voice

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"I then called my fiancé to share the news with her, [about a text I sent him banning him from my property} and I could hear him, yelling and angrily attacking my character because I had done laundry in his house. At this point I began to seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé."

My response:

I had only raised my voice twice. The second time I was unaware that Brittany was home and talked loudly to my wife I. She did not feel any concern for her safety, and even walked over and gave me a hug. I rarely, if ever, talk in an upset manner. My wife knew I was no danger to anyone and understood my need for a hug.

His claim to have begun to "…seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé" is nonsense, completely baseless and all a part of his plan to cause a division in my family.

The fact that I had remained calm for so long given all the terrible things he had been saying to me, just shows how rational and peaceful of a person I really am. Since that time over 3 years ago, not one person has witnessed me raising my voice at all. These two instances were rare and only due to the distressful situations that Steven was causing in my family.

Brittany Moving Out

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"Later that day I received a call from my finance that while the respondent was still visibly angry, he went out to the garage and collected his firearm, which he continued to hold while angry. Brittany felt unsafe and so she left to stay at a friend's home. At that point Brittany and I found an apartment to lease where she could live, in order to move out of the respondent's home. We decided to attempt to keep this address a secret from the respondent for fear of safety for Brittany and myself."

Within a few days Brittany moved out of our house. Supposedly because she is now suddenly afraid of me, because I raised my voice, twice. What's interesting is the very day she moved out, she returned that evening to retrieve something she had left behind. When she saw me, she gave me a hug and said "I love you so much." I told her it was weird seeing her move and that this was this first time she's ever moved that I didn't help. She replied that was true and yes this all seems weird.

A few days later her youngest sister, Vanessa, told me that she had gone to Brittany's apartment (that she had just moved to), and that those two stood outside the apartment building and hugged as Brittany cried, saying she didn't understand why she had to move away from her home. Vanessa said that Brittany sounded very confused about what was happening.

Owatonna

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"On 2/2/21 Brittany reports hearing from the respondent that "[he's] so mad [he] could kill someone, so [he's] going to kill Charlie". Further claims Charlie is my "violent side" and was a "secret CIA assassin." He also states that I appear to be "delusional and out-of-touch with reality. I am afraid for my safety and Brittany's. His actions are clearly unpredictable.

My response;

In an effort to activate what I call my daughters “BS detector”, by which I mean a healthy amount of skepticism, my well-meaning brother Steve, made up a story. This is really the only time in my life I've ever told Brittany something that wasn't true. But I had good intentions. I made the story so fantastical that any reasonable person would doubt it was real. That was what I was after. To have her look at this and say wait a minute, that's not real. And then to have her look at what Steven was saying and realize what he's saying is also not real. My daughter Brittany is kind, sweet, thoughtful and a really, really wonderful person. She's also, well, a little gullible as any 22-year-old is. And Steven clearly took advantage of that.

I told her that "I'm so angry I could kill someone." This is actually a phrase I grew up hearing; no one actually killed anyone; like saying I'm so hungry I could eat a horse; no one eats horses and even if they did, they couldn't consume an entire horse. It's only a phrase with no factual meaning behind it I also chose that phrase because I figured she would immediately realize that I wouldn't actually kill someone - she's only known me as a kind, loving, peaceful father who always puts his family first.

I told her the person I would kill is Charlie (you can't kill Charlie - it's just a name I was given in the military.)

I said that I was going to a DNR lab near Owatonna where I would be held down on a table and my head will be zapped with electricity. That's as crazy of a story that I could think of at the moment.

The next morning I said that it snowed the previous night (which it did) so we met at a closed gas station and performed the procedure in a van. They zapped me while I rolled my eyes side-to-side.

I waited for her to say something like, "Dad, this isn't real!" But she never did.

I had every intention of explaining to her why I told this fabricated story, but I wanted to do so in person. Sadly, I never saw her again.

When I shared this story with my son he began laughing. Anyone who knows me would immediately realize that this was a made-up story. Later, I shared this same story with my youngest daughter, Vanessa. Her response was the same; laughter. They both know I would never do anything like what I had described.

Before the trip to Owatonna, I told this story to my wife. She went with me on the trip and showed no concerns about my mental health. She recognized immediately it was just a story.

The fact that Brittany took this seriously showed me how much Steven had been manipulating her.

Visting the Church

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 19.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1-2.

"On March 1, 2021, the respondent appeared unannounced and uninvited at Calvary church.....which is my place of employment to deliver a defamatory letter address to my superior and senior pastor of the church...The letter made false and defamatory claims about me and my character with the intent to have Calvary terminate my employment."

My response;

I reached out to Calvary church and approached them as respectfully as possible asking for help. I stated that Steven has been acting inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. I asked them to review the facts and prayerfully consider helping bring a resolution to this situation. There was no response to the first request, so I sent a second. Shortly afterwards a letter came in the mail from their attorney stating I was not welcome on either of their campuses and to not have any further contact with them.

Later, I learned that Steven claimed he was so afraid of me being violent that he was being escorted into the church from the parking lot each day, and then back to his car when he left. He also said he was always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him.

The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. Steven went so far as to make a church unduly afraid of me.

I will state this fact again; I never threatened him. Ever. If I am such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren't the police ever called? If Steven was really that afraid of me the police should be notified. But they weren't. Why? In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police - not even once.

He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him - not one - because there weren't any. Because I don't want to - I never did. Any perceived threats are made-up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as my family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me.

At no time have I ever asked the church to fire him. My request initially was simply to nudge him towards mediation. Later that became a request for an investigation – but only after he told me, through an attorney, that he did not to reconcile our relationship.

Exhibit / Evidence; M

Letter to Steven just before sending letter to the Church for the first time

Exhibit / Evidence List; I (Includes W-letter formatted that was included with the email)

Loved and forgiven

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 20-21.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 2.

"APRIL 28, 2021 - UNINVITED INTRUSION AT PERITIONER'S PERSONAL RESIDENCE." The respondent visited my apartment building on 4/28/21 at 7:50am. Steven and his roommates were all sleeping. After receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the building, neither Steven nor his roommates unlocked the front door to the building, which they had previously discussed to do if he came to visit the apartment. But the respondent entered anyway. He knocked on the door repeatedly but I did not answer....my heart was racing...I began to think about having to jump from my second story balcony or calling the police just to get away....After some time of knocking, it stopped...."

My response;

Shortly before this happened I asked for an extended break - to let things calm down a bit. I then went to each of their homes for one purpose; to let them know they are both loved and forgiven. I told my wife what my purpose was for going there, and she responded by saying my actions were beautiful and she was proud of me.

Upon arrival at Steven's apartment building I parked my car and exited. An African-American woman was walking out to her car and said hello. I responded by saying good morning. She then told me the buzzer box on the building was broken and that maintenance knew about it. She then told me I could just enter the building as the door was unlocked. I thanked her and walked towards the front door of the building. Once there I discovered the door was indeed unlocked and walked in without buzzing Steven's apartment. His claim of "receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the building,…´ is therefore completely false, as I never buzzed his apartment.

He also claimed that I had "gained access" to the building, making it sound as though I had broken into the building. That also is false. I simply opened the door and walked in like any normal person would.

I then went to his apartment and using the second knuckle on my right hand, knocked three times in a normal manner. I then stepped back across the hall and patiently waited. After several minutes no one answered the door, so I stepped forward and again knocked three times in a normal manner, again using the second knuckle of my right hand. It should also be noted that this was during the Covid pandemic, and I respectfully wore a mask during this entire time.

When there was no answer after my second time knocking normally, I peacefully walked out of the building and drove away calmly. From there I drove to a nearby Cub Foods store and purchased some flowers for my daughter. I went to her apartment building and buzzed her apartment; however, she didn't answer the door. So, I placed the flowers on her car and left peacefully.

I later learned she had called Steven in a panicked state because I was at the door to the apartment building she lived in and she didn't know how to respond. I went there with flowers, to tell her that I love her, and she's too afraid to answer the door, because of the lies Steven has told her. Does it really make sense that I am trying to make peace and threatening violence at the same time?

It's an incredibly sad day for an old dad when his own daughter is afraid of him for no valid reason whatsoever. Let me be perfectly clear - I have never threatened either one of them with violence, at any time, in any way whatsoever. These are all fabricated lies of Steven.

In my journal I wrote that I was going there to tell them they were LOVED and FORGIVEN, with both of those words in uppercase letters. It was repeated this several times in the journal. In his court filing, Steven left out that page, intentionally I believe, because it tells the real story and exposes some of the lies he has told. Since he dd not answer the door, he does not know the reason for my visit. Steven decided, without any evidence, to twist things around and use this against in a manner that was intended to harm myself.

Voice Message

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21.

"2.....THREATENTING VOICE MESSAGE...."If you want to live in peace, as I do, then if I prepare for war, any adversary will know that and basically let me be. So when you haven't heard from my these past few weeks, that's I've been doing. I've been preparing for war."

My response;

I clearly stated my desire to live in peace. His actions have made that difficult. I was letting him know that I had been preparing for war – which means to expose him on social media and contact law enforcement (which I ultimately did.) I have told him all along that I wanted to resolve things in a peaceful manner. His response has been to continue telling lies about me or ignore my request for a peaceful resolution.

See video @ 54:25.

Epic Storm

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21.

"3. MARCH 24, 2021---HARASSING EMAIL. Email excerpt sent through Timothy's wife, Jennifer to Steven Anderson: "You've successfully stripped my daughter out of my life, and in the process hurt me at a level I have not been hurt before. But understand one thing; I could have stopped you by releasing the 'Epic Storm' I designed and planned."

My response;

The reason my wife began sending the emails is because during the time when Steven, Brittany, my wife and I were having an email conversation, Steven was also sending me emails directly stating things such as how he expects me to submit to him. But in the other emails which were including my wife and Brittany he was acting innocent and trying to make himself and Bittany look like victims. I showed these emails to my wife and requested that she be the one moving forward to send out the emails, that way we could be certain the four of us were seeing all the emails being sent. She readily agreed and I then blocked Steven from emailing me directly.

An ’Epic Storm’ is a term which describes a completely legal means of a cascading series of events designed to bring about change, or to protect someone. These events are all completely legal. Nothing evil and no intent to cause physical harm at all. Several times I told Steven that I would do an epic storm if he continued ruining my relationship with Brittany and causing strife in my family. I never took any action though, because that would have been upsetting to my daughter and I was doing my best to shield her from any actions I was being forced to take.

He falsely claimed this meant I was going to be violent with him, even though I told him everything I planned on doing ahead of time, and all of it was completely legal. It stated this in my journal and in emails. Again, he took advantage of every innocent thing I did and would twist things to make my daughter think I was a crazed violent person. Something she has never seen in me before, because it’s not there and is all a fabrication of Steven’s.

Meanwhile, he's doing absolutely nothing to help settle things between us. His attempts thus far have amounted to exactly zero. He is a person who works in a church yet disobeys the teachings of the bible. His actions themselves expose him as a fraud. The church has helped cover this up. A lawsuit against Calvary Church is forthcoming.

Bold Moves

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21.

"04. April 26, 2021---EMAIL. Email excerpt sent to Brittany about Steven "My plan is to make some bold moves to resolve things between Steven and I. For us to not have a relationship is completely unacceptable. A father should not have to stop having a relationship with his daughter so she can marry someone. This is wrong on so many levels. Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language these "bold moves" have cause much concern for me and have included him visiting my home and place of work..."

My response;

“Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language…” I have never threatened him or used any violent language. Steven has again made all of this up. Bold moves would be to do as I stated on the main page of my journal; go public with what I know about him, file a lawsuit; ask law enforcement to become involved, etc. None of the actions I mentioned were violent in nature. Steven has again fabricated all of the false claims against me about threats of violence.

See previous sections for what happened during the time(s) I visited his work and/or home (apartment). None of that was even close to being threatening. Asking a church to help move things forward towards meditation is a threat? Visiting his home to tell him he is “LOVED and FORGIVEN” is “violent language"?? It seems clear to me that Steven is either seriously delusional or acting on an evil plan to intentionally destroy my family.

Journal (falsely listed and referred to as a public website)

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24-25.

"PUBLIC DEFAMATORY WEBSITE....Respondent created a defamatory website and threatened to spread the link publicly.

My response: This was a personal and private online journal. I allowed Steven to see it one time. He took then violated copyright law by copying the entire journal. He then attempted to use it against me. This private journal did not contain any threats of violence at all. The main part of the journal contained a collection of emails that had been sent back and forth between Steven, Brittany, my wife and I, as well as some letters I had sent Brittany, and some moments of personal reflection. Again, nothing threatening violence of any type.

When Steven would not agree to meet or come together for mediation, I added a home page to the journal stating I would make this journal public if he did not work towards a resolution so I could have a relationship with my daughter. On that page I clearly stated that everything would be done legally. It also included a picture of Steven’s face with the eyes made to look dark. That was to show how much darkness I believed was in Steven in order for him to act the way he had been, and beneath it was a call to prayer. Should the private journal be made public, I would ask people to pray for him.

Again, there was no threat of violence to him or anyone else. He knew and understood this, but instead chose to twist things around to make it seem that he was an intended victim.

Exhibit / Evidence; L. Copy of private journal.

Medical Bills

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24.

"Claimed I was responsible for $350,000 of medical damages..."

My response;

I have never claimed there were/are $350,000 of medical damages or bills. I have health insurance and most of the medical bills have been paid through them. In recent years I have gone to the doctor's office and there have been concerns about cancer and my heart. During those times Steven has not shown any care or concern for my health. Not even an offer of prayer. This falls far beneath the level expected of a Christian, and especially of church faculty or a pastor.

See video @ 47:00.

More False Claims

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 26.

"...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature. In March of this year, he reported suicide ideation and holding a gun to his head, as a result of the deterioration with the relationship with his daughter....He seems unable to regulate his emotions, is willing to bring a firearm into the mix and is fixated on me being the cause of his broken relationship with his daughter. I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me. After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me. By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation."

My response;

"...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature...."

"He seems unable to regulate his emotions..."

"I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me."

"After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me."

"By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation."

The truth is that I did very briefly hold a gun up to my head at a park, within hours of a failed counseling session with my daughter. Later, I told my wife about this. We agreed I should get some help at the hospital. Once there, they suggested I stay with them for inpatient counseling, and clearly stated my stay there was completely voluntary. I agreed to stay, and over the next 24 hours worked with a mental health doctor (Dr. Brown) and her staff to develop coping skills. The following day I asked to be discharged, and the doctor agreed. She stated there were no concerns for suicide or that I would act out in a violent manner. I went to the hospital voluntarily, stayed voluntarily, and left voluntarily with no concerns that I was a threat either to myself or anyone else. Steven's statements, listed above, are completely false, fabricated, and being used as an attempt to slander and libel me causing immeasurable harm to myself and my family.

The above statements made by Steven clearly show that he is making up these terrible claims about me. There is no evidence at all that I have ever threatened him. Steven is cleverly twisting words around in an attempt to make himself appear to be a victim, and to cause harm to my family. In doing so he has also caused undue concern from the church and congregation.

False Claim About Escalating Things

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 26.

"...He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany's on 4/28/2021."

My response;

I have already addressed this in another section, but here again Steven is making up lies and fabricating things against me, with the intent to ruin my relationship my daughter Brittany, and also to cause harm to my family.

SECOND HRO

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3.

" 12. With the pending dismissal of the HRO on May 27, 2023, the Respondent has stated his intent to resume the same level of harassment which includes picketing at the place of my employment, Calvary Church, Roseville and White Bear Township. The Respondent violated Paragraph 1A of the HRO by sending threatening emails to my employer, Calvary Church, a threatening letter to me, and by sending a letter threatening me to my wife, Brittany Anderson, who also has a Harassment Restraining Order against the Respondent."

My response;

My youngest sister, who knew the HRO was about to expire, suggested that she take some action. She was doing this with good intentions. Just a few months prior, her only daughter had suddenly and unexpectedly passed away at the age of 40. I stood by her throughout this horrible ordeal. She wanted to find a way to help me with the situation concerning my daughter, Brittany. Her idea was to form a peaceful protest at Calvary church and continue doing so until they agreed to do a proper investigation. I initially said no, don't do anything. But she was insistent and even looked up the laws concerning a peaceful protest. I reluctantly agreed to go along with this idea of hers. But I made it abundantly clear that I would not participate in those protests. The most I would do is to send a letter to Steven and Calvary church explaining the intention of an upcoming protest. The letter to the church was very respectful. The letter to Steven was more pointed. Because, after all, this was all his fault. And because of this, there were many negative impacts on my life and those of my family, including my marriage of 31 years ending and we would have to give up the house we lived in for 24 years, and raised our children in.

The response I got was to be served papers that Steven and Brittany had filed for an extension of the HRO's. And requested a hearing on an emergency basis, claiming that I was such a threat that they feared for their lives, and were concerned I would go to their church and harm/murder hundreds of children. At that time, I asked my sister to abandon any intentions of protesting, and she agreed.

False Claim of Threatening Letter

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4.

"May 4, 2023---Threatening Letter to Petitioner: Respondent contacted me through my attorney threatening again to protest me at my place of employment (See Exhibit C). In his letter, Respondent threatens that the only way to prevent this from occurring is to, "…Speak up now. Once [respondent]'s plan goes into action, there is no stopping or turning back" Respondent also accuses me of telling, "bullshit lies" and ends his letter "There is one question you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out? Tick-tock." [Emphasis Added].

My response;

More false claims about my being a threat. There is nothing threatening about a peaceful protest. I even asked for his input on how to resolve this matter so I could have a relationship with my daughter. But here again he twists things around, with the help of his attorney, to make me seem like I am some violent crazed person. They even put an emphasis on the phrase "Tick-tock" as though it were a reference to a bomb. It was clearly a reference to a clock as I stated earlier in the letter that the first HRO was expiring soon. He also falsely claims the protests would be against him – when in fact I clearly

stated in the letter to the church it would be about them performing a proper investigation. How is that a threat to him, unless he is afraid of the truth coming out?

The Video

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3.

"June 2021 YouTube Video. At some point following the May 27, 2021 HRO, Respondent created a 90+ minute YouTube video “responding” to the HRO, but specifically speaking out and challenging the allegations in the Petition, even though he agreed to an entry of an HRO voluntarily without the court making findings of the alleged harassment. Respondent enlisted the help of my wife Brittany’s sister, Vanessa to film who he then had it forwarded the video to my wife. The video was originally posted on a password protected webpage on his site, his “ministry” site, not the website he was required to take down after the HRO. He has since taken down his ministry website. Attached are screenshots of the video (Exhibit E) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary."

My response;

I agreed to an entry of (the first) HRO voluntarily because their attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh told me that if I did not accept it that it would be harmful to my daughter, her reputation and her career. I accepted it out of concern and love for my daughter. Shortly afterwards several members of my family came to me asking various questions about the HRO. Because of the nature of this matter and how emotionally hard it was for me to discuss it, I enlisted the help of my youngest daughter, Vanessa and made a video where I replied to the terrible allegations made against me. I then posted it on a website on a private & unlisted page, that was additionally password protected. I then shared the page address and password with five (5) family members. I asked that they let me know when they had watched the video so I could then remove it from the private, unlisted and password protected webpage.

To be clear, there is nothing that threatens violence in that video at all. Steven’s allegations of that are completely false. He also claims that I had my daughter Vanessa forward it onto my daughter Brittany. This is also false, and he has no evidence to support this.

Nonetheless, the referee (Elizabeth A. Clydesdale) believed this to be the truth, without any evidence to support this false claim, and said I was guilty of violating the first HRO. For this and her other behavior during the 2nd HRO hearing a lawsuit is forthcoming against her.

A Danger to the Church?

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4.

" Respondent has resumed and appears to be prepared to intensify the harassment against me and my wife, Brittany upon the expiration of the HRO on May 27, 2023. Although directed towards me, his

threats of harassment involve and endanger 1,500+ congregants, including hundreds of children, at both campuses as well as the full and part time staff of both campuses. I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me or those around me."

My response;

“…intensity the harassment…” By having a peaceful protest at a church asking them to perform an investigation? A protest I would not even be attending. How does a peaceful protest endanger “…1,500+ congregants, including hundreds of children…” ?

“…. I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me or those around me."

Here again he is twisting the truth in an attempt to make me appear to be a violent crazy person who the entire church should be afraid of. Meanwhile I am spending time with my family, friends, and coworkers. None of whom have any concerns about their safety around me and think Steven’s claims are outrageous. Where is the evidence that I have ever threatened Steven, my daughter or the church? There is nothing except Steven’s twisted version of the truth. All of the letters I sent to the church were respectful, even asking them to prayerfully consider helping, and peacefully requesting their help, etc. I would never threaten any violence against a church, or it’s members. This claim is false and made-up by Steven.

Letter to the Church

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4.

"Respondent’s threatening letter sent to Calvary’s legal counsel is not only shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. His letters to Brittany demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me, and which he intends to resume his harassment on May 27, 2023."

My response:

“"Respondent’s threatening letter sent to Calvary’s legal counsel …”  What threat? I mentioned a peaceful protest and asked for them to perform an investigation.

“…shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. “ Harassment? There wasn’t any. Steven made all of that up. I am the person who tried to make peace over a dozen times. Steven is the person whose intent was to cause harm to myself and my family.

”… demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me…”

The facts clearly show that the damaged relationship with my daughter was caused by Steven. That he intentionally caused these damages, and it was also his intention to harm my family. His actions are clearly laid out in this filing, and he was further protected in his efforts by the church, a court referee and his attorney, all of whom will have lawsuits filed against them soon.

Misc.

My Response Video; 1;29;30 pulled over by the police for driving erratically

Resign email - 2 video response #11 + Exhibit / Evidence; F

“Threatening Legal Attacks” Background; 98

Various comments Background; 105

Victoria wedding. Background; 135

Relationships with other boyfriends. Background; 136

Leaving AAT and fired from Target. Background; 137

Day of the wedding; Background 40

Extra; page 1 (3 paragraphs)

HRO 2 updates; 15;35 Brittany copied and pasted parts of her filing. Admitted this to her mom.

Background; 99-102, 106 2nd HRO filed, 65

Exhibit / Evidence List; V, T

ALSO SEE: HRO 2 updates; 17:00 sees gun at the house. How is any of this justice? 18;15 how they stopped the truth at the trial.18;50 – 20;10 I was in a diminished state to defend myself.All I wanted was for the truth to be told so I could have a relationship with my daughter, and to restore peace in my family.

2 Video Overview; page Section 25

3:15 that’s what pen and paper are for. 6:59 their only evidence at trial. 7:25 seek and destroy8:00 Sunnie’s letter13;30 disrespectful questioning by their attorney. 14;45 Steven lied, his attorney acted in an unethical manner, and the judge did not care about the truth, justice or my family. 15;05 private journal description + not informed I did not have to testify.

Background;27-28, 65, 109, 114-117, 141 – 143

Background; 99 – private journal, 53

My response; 2 Video Overview; Section 19

Page 8 1/ 2

1st HRO

2 video overview Section 26

Background; 37-38

Page 8 ¾

Sheriff; Background 44, 66 – also; obtain report for Sheriff dept.

Word choices; Background 49. No one saw me doing this and he wasn't around either.

# 111. Archive/HRO 2 UPDATES.txt

Extra; page 1 (2 paragraphs) + page 2

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Prior to the 1st HRO expiring

Exhibit / Evidence List; O, P, Q Prior to the 2nd HRO being filed

Exhibit / Evidence List; U

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Archive/HRO 2 UPDATES.txt ***

HRO 2 UPDATES

2:30 journal not a website - that's what pen and paper are for

3:15 HRO 2 filed on a emergency basis for no reason other than dramatic effect

I am a peaceful person and the victim of lies

5:23 the hidden video

6:59 their only evidence in the trial

7:25 seek and destroy mission

8:00 Sunnies letter

13:30 disprectful questioning by the attornet during the trial

14:45 Steven lied, his attorney acted in an unethical manner, and the judge did not care about truth, justice, or my family

15:05 private journal description + not informed I did not have to testify

15:35 Brittany copied and pasted parts of her first HRO filing

# 112. Archive/Painful Secrets Feedback.docx

Hyperexaggerated claims and outright lies

How is any of this justice?

18:15 how they stopped the truth in the trial

18:50 - 20:10 I was in a dimished state to defend myself

All I every wanted was the truth to be told so I could have a relationship with my daughter, and to restore peace in my family

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Archive/Painful Secrets Feedback.docx ***

"My daughter, Rachel Scott, was the first student murdered at Columbine High School on April 20, 1999. The book 'Painful Secrets' by Tim Hutchinson is a book every parent and student should read. It is the compelling, dramatic story of a man who found his solution through genuine personal faith. This is what carried us through the pain we suffered when Rachel was killed" -Darrell ScottFounder: Rachel's Challenge

"If I would have been able to read this book beforehand, I probably wouldn´t have shot those people. It would have helped me to learn from someone who´s life was more screwed up than mine, and was able to turn it around."

-Charles Andrew Williams, currently serving a 50 year to life sentence in a California prison after he went on a six- minute shooting spree on March 5, 2001, killing 2 students and wounding 11 others, including a teacher and a campus monitor.

"Tim Hutchinson´s story is very inspiring for me … I read this book as a concerned father and also as a police officer currently working in a public high school. In this book, Tim tells his story of being bullied and the horrible life changing road that he was on in his early years. The big difference in this story is that Tim takes the next step and digs into the "why?" and "how do we change the conditions?" for today's youth. I have seen Tim in his interactions with people and I believe he is an amazing person with an even more amazing message that needs to be heard by everybody."

-Chris Goodreau, Police OfficerBurnsville, MN

"This is a great book, it helped me learn that even if times are so unbelievably hard just to hold on and that in the end it could turn out great. I have never read a book with so much bravery and courage that the author had. I couldn't put down this book almost the whole time I was reading it! It helped me overcome some problems I have in my life and made me want to just hold on to the hope that I have left. I have a much more determined perspective in life after reading ' Life Extreme'!

-Shadai Schwartz Survivor, Columbine High School

"Great - easy to read and captivating!! I was able to relate to many of your feelings as you experienced them and expressed them. In my own life journey of going to juvenile custody at age 8 - living on the streets at age 10 - - gang banging - drug dealing - ending with a 20 year drug addiction and 21 years in prison before I was able to overcome and find redemption. Your book would have helped me tremendously...in the Special Handing Unit in a maximum security penitentiary - your words struck a cord in my very soul!!"

-Serge LeClerc Once called "The Most Dangerous Criminal in Canada" by the Canadian Royal Mounted Police

"I must applaud Tim for his courage to write this book. It was brutally honest and very thought provoking. I am going to purchase a couple of these books to share with fellow co-workers. Our agency's mission is to help prevent violence. This book should help all of us. Thank you to Tim for his help in breaking the chain of violence. I am sure this book will help many teenagers in the coming years." -Sue Serley Community Action Counsel

"Having made some choices that were not so productive, I found myself in prison - a direct result of living life similar to what Hutchinson described - trying to deal with the stigma for what I had done. I could relate to virtually everything that the author had been through ... Hutchinson not only tells his life story, he takes you on an emotional roller coaster … and let[s] you know that there is hope for those who have seen the "bottom" in life. I could not put the book down once I started reading it. If you want something that isn't full of fluff, read this book because it is real life." -Alan Lawton Omaha, NE

"Painful Secrets is very highly recommended reading for teachers and counselors - an excellent source for identifying students likely to commit acts of violence against fellow students." -Sumant Pendharkar Author of the award-winning book, "Raising Yourself: Making The Right Choices"

"Having raised five children in the Projects, I know a lot about the trouble teens can get into. Now I have a bookshelf filled with some of the world's best authors - Stephen King, Dean Koontz and others. 'Life Extreme' discloses REAL LIFE situations, is easier reading and more exciting than ANY of the others! ALL of my friends with teen-aged children will know about this book." -Linda H. Carter Lake, IA

"This book is absolutely riveting. I couldn't put it down. I plan on having my teenaged grandchildren read it. I think it will make a difference in the choices that they make in life." -Lorraine Cote Massachusetts

"A MUST READ FOR ALL EDUCATORS!!! Hutchinson 's book is a must read for all educators who work with middle and high school teens. It was impossible to put down until the last page was read. He shows a true life story of triumph over tragedy. When asked why I am in education, my usual response is to make the difference in a child's life. Imagine if we could use this book in schools, especially with our 'at risk kids'! How much more real life lessons can you ask for!! As a society, this is what could rescue so many kids from a empty life on the streets." -Jennifer S.K-12 licensed Principal

"...your story hooked me from page one. I found it to be a captivating story of redemption." -Sal Greco Actor Lecturer, Author - LEFT FOR DEAD... True story about a Pittsburgh man who 'retired' from the mob and became a minister

"He has learned for life to have meaning we must overcome self...the new way of life has altered his whole existence, the words he writes and speaks and influence he has. His words spring from the new life within him." -Max Glupter High School Science Teacher &Vietnam Veteran

"Tim Hutchinson recognizes that the home and family are in severe trouble today. It is by far the most unbelievable book I have ever read which has impacted my life beyond words. It is proof that one's personal faith can bring you to the truth of who you are and out of the depths of despair. He is a wonderful husband, and father not only to his own, but to many thousands that have heard and read what he has had to say throughout the country. It is a MUST read for everyone regardless of your age."- Ellen S. - British Royalty (Her family owns the castle where the Harry Potter movies are filmed)

"His success in turning around his life into something positive - makes for an uplifting and motivational tale that readers of all backgrounds can understand and learn from. Highly recommended. -Midwest Book Review www.midwestbookreview.com

"I'm reading the book now - great writing!!!!!! This is a wonderful story. No doubt, very hard to tell, but important. Once I finish, I'm going to suggest it as required reading at my children's high school." - Roxanne Jekot Georgia

# 113. Archive/Steven lawsuit.docx

"Unbelievably Candid, A Must-Read - From the minute I started reading this book I couldn't put it down…the way he came out of the horribleness of it all is simply amazing." -Jeanette K.Minnesota

"I read it through the day after it arrived, could hardly put it down. It is an amazing story. A life that has been touched by grace. I live about 20 miles from Columbine High School and was moved by the impulsion you felt to be on the scene. Much good will come from your book...a vehicle to touch hearts."

-Nancy Savage Golden, Colorado

"This was a great book. You did an awesome job. I was not a teen trouble maker, just the opposite, but your story helped me understand my dad. Haven't spoken with him in many years but now I can be OK with that."

-Michele H. Anoka, MN

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Archive/Steven lawsuit.docx ***

Timothy Hutchinson3637 Bellaire Ave, Apt. 303White Bear Lake, MN 55110 Steven Anderson725 E. 4th St. Saint Paul, MN 55106

OVERVIEW

The Defendant, Steven Anderson, engaged in frequent and deliberate acts of libel, slander and other acts of defamation of character which caused a strained and subsequently nonexistent relationship with Plaintiff's daughter, and further caused Plaintiff to become divorced after 31 years of marriage, the loss of a family home of 24 years, loss of employment and suicidal ideations.

LEGAL CAUSE(S) OF ACTION

Defamation

A false or offensive statement, either verbal or written, about the plaintiff was made by the defendant

The defendant made such statement to a third party (someone other than the plaintiff)

The defendant acted negligently or with malice in making such statement

The plaintiff suffered damages, including damage to his reputation specifically caused by the defamatory statement

Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

The actions or conduct of the defendant were extreme and outrageous

The defendant acted intentionally and/or recklessly

The defendant's actions or conduct caused severe emotional distress to the plaintiff

//////////////////////////////////////////

WHAT HAPPENED / FACTS

# 114. Evidence/Church/Calvary Elder Board.txt

EVIDENCE

//////////////////////////////////////////

RELIEF THAT I AM REQUESTING FROM THE DEFENDANT

Judgment against the Defendant for more than $50,000.

The court to order a criminal investigation through law enforcement.

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Evidence/Church/Calvary Elder Board.txt ***

Calvary Elder Board

Jon Ochs, Board Chair

jon.ochs@anawim-ventures.com

Mark Anderson, Elder & Treasurer

manderson@impactconnects.com

Lisa Horsager, Elder & Secretary

lisa@horsagerfamily.com

Guy Bristol

guy.bristol@usfamily.net

Jason Schmidt

jasondschmidt6@gmail.com

Carole Spickelmier

jcspickelmier@msn.com

Shawn Winters, Senior Pastor

shawn.winters@calvarychurch.us

(EMAIL I SENT TO EVERYONE LISTED ABOVE)

# 115. Evidence/Church/Calvary Envelope Addresses.docx

Dear ,

A quick note to let you know that I left a large envelope addressed to you at Calvary in Roseville. (I also left one similar for the other Elders.) These contain documents describing a situation involving a staff member, and I believe this requires your attention.

If you do not receive the envelope, please let me know and I will send a replacement.

Kind Regards,

Tim Hutchinson

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Evidence/Church/Calvary Envelope Addresses.docx ***

Calvary Envelope Addresses

Calvary ChurchAttn. Jon Ochs Board Chair

# 116. Evidence/Church/Dear Calvary Church Elders.docx

Calvary ChurchAttn. Lisa Horsager Elder & Secretary

Calvary ChurchAttn. Guy Bristol

Calvary ChurchAttn. Carole Spickelmier

Calvary ChurchAttn. Shawn WintersSenior Pastor

Calvary ChurchAttn. Jason Schmidt

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Evidence/Church/Dear Calvary Church Elders.docx ***

Dear Calvary Church Elders;

I am writing you today about an ongoing situation with one of your staff members, Steven Anderson (Young Adults Ministry Director). He is engaged to my daughter and has been acting inappropriately. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close). Steven has her convinced I am a horrible person - yet neither one can state even one thing I've done wrong. Literally not one thing.

I became so distraught over this, that about one-month ago I ended up in a park at night, holding a gun to my head about to commit suicide. Thankfully, I did not and subsequently spent time in the hospital in the psych ward. Mental illness has not previously been an issue in my life, yet here I am, under the care of a psychologist.

I am a 60-year-old man, who has been with his wife for 32 years. (I am a safety & compliance manager, and she is a high school science teacher). Together we have 4 children and have lived in the same house

in White Bear Township for 22 years. Thus far we've enjoyed an amazing life together (blessed by God), until Steven Anderson came along with his manipulative ways. Now we are experiencing unimaginable pain.

Previously I reached out to your senior pastor (Shawn White) several times, and your executive pastor (Jeff Morgan). Neither of them responded to me. Despite requesting this remain confidential, the senior pastor allowed his staff to read the details I sent over. This caused significant embarrassment to my daughter, and further deepened the divide between her and I.

Attached to this email is an updated document which tells the history of this situation and details the many steps I've taken to resolve things. To date Steven has made exactly zero attempts at working through this.

At this moment I am at a crossroad and need resolution. I am requesting Calvary church do the following;

1) Explain why I've not gotten a response to my multiple requests for help.

2) Review the back-story, and either:

```
  a) Facilitate mediation.

  b) Issue an opinion on whose actions caused the problem(s). If you say it is my
fault, then state the exact reasons why. If you say this is all Steven's fault \-
that will conclude this matter with you 100%, for that would affirm the truth, and I
can use this to repair the relationship with my daughter (which is all I really
want).
```

If you choose to do neither and once again ignore me, I will have literally no choice but to take legal action. Steven works there and used his position while committing narcissistic acts that tore my family apart. In my letters to both pastors, I clearly stated I would like this to be settled privately and avoid any legal matters. That remains my position.

# 117. Evidence/Church/Elders Calvary Church Intro Letter (2).docx

Kind regards,

Tim Hutchinson

mrhutchinson@gmail.com

651.724.1325

CC: Jon OchsMark AndersonLisa HorsagerGuy BristolJason SchmidtCarole SpickelmierShawn White

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Evidence/Church/Elders Calvary Church Intro Letter (2).docx ***

To; Pastor Shawn Winters

From; Timothy Hutchinson

Re: Steven Anderson, youth pastor

Dear Brother in Christ;

## 118. Evidence/Church/Elders Calvary Church Intro Letter.docx

Attached you will find the facts laid out as openly and honestly as I can possibly state them. I ask that you review these and prayerfully consider helping bring resolution to this matter. I would prefer to handle this quietly through the church, rather than publicly and/or through any legal proceedings.

If you have any questions or comments, feel free to reach me at anytime on my cell phone, 651-724-1325 or by emailing mrhutchinson@gmail.com .

I pray for help during these difficult days.

Kind regards,

Timothy Hutchinson

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Evidence/Church/Elders Calvary Church Intro Letter.docx ***

To; the Elders of Calvary Church

From; Timothy Hutchinson

Re: Steven Anderson, youth pastor

Dear Brothers in Christ;

## 119. Evidence/Evidence list.docx

Attached you will find the facts laid out as openly and honestly as I can possibly state them. I ask that you review these and prayerfully consider helping bring resolution to this matter. I would prefer to handle this quietly through the church, rather than publicly and/or through any legal proceedings.

If you have any questions or comments, feel free to reach me at anytime on my cell phone, 651-724-1325 or by emailing mrhutchinson@gmail.com .

I pray for help during these difficult days.

Kind regards,

Timothy Hutchinson

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Evidence/Evidence list.docx ***

Evidence List

2021 Court Documents

2023 Court Documents

Church letters

Reliant giving

Target accident

Video response

Additional info - letters, etc.

DarkEyes

# 120. Evidence/W-letter-formatted.docx

Screenshots (4) of texts with Steven

Medical records

Ramsey County Sheriff's Office

Rules of Engagement

Website – another copy

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Evidence/W-letter-formatted.docx ***

Background

My daughter, Brittany Hutchinson began dating Steven Anderson, youth pastor @ Calvary Church. Within a few months Brittany came to me and said she was breaking up with him. The reason is because she felt as though she couldn't be herself around him – almost like he was too controlling. She later said that she had another meeting with him, during which time he "shared his heart" with her. Because of that she decided to again date him.

Shortly thereafter Steven approached myself to help support his ministry – which his full-time job. He says the church cannot afford to pay him a salary, so he fundraises as a substitute. Since then, my wife

and I supported his endeavor financially. Along the way I have even worked with him to improve his presentation to find others who would be supportive.

Within months Steven approached me and asked what it would take to have my blessing for him to marry my daughter. One of the things I mentioned was spending more time with our family - we barely knew him. Afterwards he made an effort to be at our house almost on a weekly basis, and things seemed to be going well. When he asked for my blessing on marrying my daughter, I said there were three things he needed to promise me. He agreed and I gave him the blessing. My wife and I even helped with a temporary ring until he could buy one.

Promise #1: Brittany was involved in an accident and we sued on her behalf. She is getting a structured settlement. My wife and I gave up our right to sue for our pain & suffering in exchange for Brittany to receive a larger settlement. Because of that, she ended up with a settlement 4 times larger than she normally would have. I told Steven to not expect Brittany to use the settlement money for typical household needs - that money was given to her so she could do something special (bucket list!) I said he should respect and encourage that. Though she has the right to do as she wishes with this money, let's remember we gave it to her to do something special with - anything other than that is not aligned with our original intentions. (Brittany later said she had turned control of this money over to Steven by including it in their budget. Then another time said she hadn't. She seemed confused.)

Promise #2: He would never do anything to interfere in my relationship with Brittany. (As you'll find out later, this promise was broken many times!)

Promise #3: He would not be cheap with her. Frugal is OK, cheap is not. We discussed the difference with examples.

First Signs of Trouble

I learned that Brittany and Steven went to pre-marital counseling. During that time they took some tests which showed they were compatible. Things seemed OK. But shortly after they were engaged, things changed. He rarely came to the house and almost never made an effort to be a part of our lives. My son shared with me that one time, before their engagement, at a family bonfire he had tried to start a conversation with him, but Steven only said "whatever", and brushed past him. My son said that Steven acted rudely towards him the rest of the evening. This was literally the first time those two had been formally introduced.

One day Brittany was speaking to her mom and I about the guest list. It sounded as if Steven had already invited so many people there was barely any room for our side of the family. At least that was the impression I got from that conversation. At one point I heard the number of guests was already at 376. And that was before I was able to invite anyone from my family or friends. (I ended up being able to invite only 3 family members and no friends.) Brittany seemed stressed by this process, so I called

Steven and asked the question: "How does the guest list honor the mother and father of the bride?" His reply was all about his family and never directly answered my question. When I brought this to his attention, he stated he would need some time to think about the answer and would let me know.

This to me really was the beginning of where I noticed things going downhill. Tensions began to run high and he avoided talking to me. I also noticed changes in my daughter - she seemed unusually stressed and was smiling less than normal.

One time at the breakfast table she said that she was expected to take on a new attitude and way of thinking. When I asked her what she meant, she got up from the table and walked away, saying she had somewhere to go. Brittany and I have always enjoyed a very close relationship and could talk about literally anything. Her response to me was completely out of the ordinary.

I asked for an answer about the guest list a 2nd time, but he did not have an answer. Then, within days they came up the “Rules of Engagement” which they presented to my wife and I at a meeting. (See attached.) You’ll notice in section 3 it says; “Our desire in those disagreements is to maintain, and even deepen our relationships with out stakeholders, in particular our parents.” As you read further it will become clearly obvious this is not the truth.

The question about the guest list ended up being asked 3 times. I never received an answer.

During one of my (few) phone conversations with Steven, I offered some friendly advice from future father-in-law to future son-in-law, the same as my father-in-law did 30-years ago. He became agitated and said things such as, “I don’t need to listen to you”, and “I’m going to tell Brittany what you’re doing”, and “I don’t need this advice”. Due to his rude behavior I said good-bye and ended the call.

Fast-forward 30-days. During that time, I have tried 7 times to make peace and preserve a relationship with my daughter. Each time has been met with either stonewalling (ignoring) and/or false accusations on the part of Steven. This period of time has been and continues to be emotionally traumatizing for myself. The ripple effect for my family has been noticeable. The pain and suffering have taken their toll. I’ve gone from being a normally peaceful loving person who has done a tremendous amount of volunteer work (hospice and preventing teen suicides @ schools), to becoming withdrawn and often crying.

Dad Tries to Make Peace 7 Times

(ATTEMPT #1) Before being presented with rules of engagement, (which I did not know they were going to do), in an effort to make peace, I offered an apology for any of my wrongdoings. I truly did not feel as though I had actually done anything wrong, but rather have always put my family first, and am willing to swallow my pride and apologize, even when not necessary or when I feel as though I've not done anything wrong, in order for my family to be happy. I grew up in unfortunate situations, devoid of any

love. I lived that way for many years until I met an amazing lady. We married and extended our love into having children, whom I love and cherish more than life itself. I have always put my family first. Always. I challenge anyone to tell of a time when I have not done this 100%.

Steven never clearly accepted the apology, and never showed any appreciation or gratitude. Basically, he ignored it and stonewalled me.

The "Rules of Engagement" seemed to contradict each other. When I emailed to ask for clarification Steven's response did not clearly answer my question. In that email he referenced "triangulating" without realizing that I studied psychology and know that word infers manipulation. I wasn't sure if he was attempting to insult my intelligence, insinuate I was being manipulative, or he really doesn't understand what the word triangulation means when used in the realm of psychology.

My email response was short and a bit pointed; however, by now I am agitated the guest list question had not been answered and it seems all efforts to resolve this have been met with him stonewalling us and ignoring our family.

(ATTEMPT #2) Phone call; shortly afterwards I called Steven and told him that I do not hate him nor am I trying to stop the wedding. I have a good relationship with my other daughters' boyfriends and hoped to have the same with him. His only response was; "thank you for sharing that" in a monotone voice. There was no appreciation or gratitude - no saying anything like, wow I'm hoping for that too. Nothing. Just another stonewall.

Later I was talking with Brittany and my frustration boiled over, and I raised my voice about how Steven would not answer this seemly simple question and has made no effort to be a part of our family. I was also terribly upset that Brittany is showing signs of stress and I haven't seen her smile in days. I am very concerned and even heartbroken that she should have to go through this during a time when she should be celebrating. My being agitated certainly did not help matters and I felt truly awful afterwards.

A few hours later she came to me and we discussed the guest list. I accepted her explanation, apologized for raising my voice, and asked that we move forward.

The following day I went to her and asked if we could do a reset, one where we could together enjoy the journey of her getting married. She agreed and we spent some enjoyable time together.

(ATTEMPT # 3) I knew there was still some healing that needed to take place between Steven and I. Though previous attempts had been met with no response (which is not Christian behavior) I decided to try again. I suggested mediation to Brittany and she said she would suggest it to him.

(ATTEMPT #4) I saw increased sadness and pain in my daughter's eyes and felt incredibly horrible for her. So, I told Brittany and her mom that I would speak with Steven and offer to let bygones be bygones and to set simple expectations of how we communicate. He refused to meet and asked for mediation,

which I had previously suggested. I asked if he was sure he wanted to do that and said we could work things out. He said no, that our next talk should be mediated. I suggested he take a screenshot of that comment as a reminder that he asked for this – meaning that mediation would include going over past hurt and painful events.

Mediation was set for Saturday, Feb. 20th. Steven insisted we not talk about the past and only focus on how my wife and I were to speak to him and Brittany. I insisted we bring everything out in the open and resolve matters. For that reason, (according to Brittany), Steven canceled mediation.

(ATTEMPT #5) Brittany does Stevens laundry at our house, which I honestly don't mind. He makes it clear that he is concerned about Covid, so I when discovered some information about that virus and fabric, I wanted to share this with him. Soon afterwards as Brittany was leaving the house, she said Stevens laundry was in the dryer and asked that once done I place it outside the front door for him to pick up. I figured this was out of concern for Covid, so decided to write him the following letter, and placed in in the laundry basket along with his clean clothes.

Hi Steven,

I did some research and was surprised to learn the Covid virus can live for up to 48-hours on our clothing. I can understand your concern over this virus, so much so that Brittany asked me to leave your clothes outside the front door for you to pick up. I can fully appreciate that. When one considers how long the virus can live on clothing, and the fact they are inside our house - a place you're concerned about being exposed to, perhaps it would be a safer option for you to wash your own clothes at home. Something to think about.

Would have been nice to see you today - it's been a while. We could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just yesterday for Covid. But, we can't be too careful! Well, perhaps another time.

Hope you have a wonderful day.

Mr. Hutchinson

He has never said anything about this letter. Nothing. No thank you for your concern, maybe we can meet up another time, etc.

Then just two days later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for an extended period. (He can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell, yet two days later he can spend hours inside our home?)

The following day his laundry was again being done at our house - all without any acknowledgment of the letter. Nothing. Crickets. This to me was yet another stonewall, and quite honestly, seemed very disrespectful.

Several hours later my wife came home and I was admittedly fuming about this and even a bit emotionally charged. I spoke loudly about how upset I was. Brittany was in her bedroom and overheard what I said. This upset her so she went to stay at a friend's place for a few days.

Brittany later cancelled a lunch date we had set for that week. This is the first time in her life she has ever cancelled anything with me because of how she felt. We've always had an amazing, open, honest and loving father-daughter relationship. Since the engagement I've seen that erode away, and I am honestly extremely hurt by this.

I texted Steven to let him know that he was not welcome in our home and could not do laundry there until mediation. [I later discovered that Brittany had requested his laundry be put outside due to her concern there might be a conflict between he and I, and not because of Covid. But it is still concerning that he never made any comment about the letter nor showed any appreciation.]

To make things a bit worse on my end is the fact that cancer runs strong in my family; my grandmother, mom and 2 older brothers all died from it. I am now the eldest living male - my brothers died at an age younger than I currently am. Recently I've been experiencing some very familiar and concerning symptoms and my bloodwork is not normal. I have several upcoming tests to verify what I already believe to be true. To avoid undue stress on my family I've not shared this information, until now.

Comment: When Steven learned of this in an email, he never reached out to offer prayer or show any type of concern. Considering that he is a youth pastor, is this really Christian behavior?

Seeing what my daughter is going through is horrible and adds to my desire to fix things so she can be happy. We had mediation scheduled but Steven cancelled it. He says it’s because he doesn’t want to talk about previous events, and only wants to focus on how my wife and I should be talking to him and Brittany. [Seems a bit controlling!]

To date Steven has not suggested even one single thing to help get our relationship back on-track. Thus far I’ve come up with 100% of the idea’s and have offered 100% of the apologies, and have made 100% of the efforts, even though he cannot name one thing that I’ve done to offend him. Not one. I’ve been offering blanket apologies just to have a relationship with my daughter.

Apparently he doesn’t want that? Why??

The day after I was upset about him not responding to the letter, Brittany told her mom that she and Steven were in the process of renting an apartment and would be moving out soon. The plan is for her

to live in that apt. with another roommate until after the wedding. This, to me, just seems like another wedge is being unfairly driven between my daughter and myself.

Attempt 6: I sent everything listed above in an email to Steven, Brittany and my wife as an attachment, with this in the body of the email on February 20th:

Hello Steven,

Attached is a letter I wrote explaining my perception of how things have been going, my recollection of the events, and where it potentially went off-track. My intention is to reconcile broken relationships. You will notice the letter is addressed to the elders @ Calvary Church. The reason for this is because if we cannot work things out between us, then I will approach church leaders and ask them to intervene.

I have no set preference as to whether we involve a mediator or not. This conversation will be about setting the record straight and moving forward. Any talks about how to communicate, etc. can come after.

I will wait ten (10) days for a meeting. After that time (March 2nd) this letter will be sent to the leadership of Calvary Church.

Sincerely,

Tim Hutchinson

CC: Brittany & Jennifer

To date I have made 6 attempts at reconciliation – the number of attempts I've seen Steven make is exactly zero. My question is how is this acceptable among Christians? Especially with him being a youth pastor. Are they not held to a higher standard as the bible mandates? Aren't we all supposed to follow Matthew 18:22? Jesus says we should forgive each other “seventy times seven times” a number that symbolizes boundlessness. That clearly is not happening.

I will also add that during the past few months Brittany has become depressed, has several counseling sessions scheduled and has lost 20 lbs. unintentionally. This should be obvious to Steven. Turning this around could have been accomplished by accepting one of my numerous attempts to move our relationship in a better direction, or offered an alternative. Why he did not do any of these. Where is the compassion we should have for one another?

Attempt 7: I emailed the above letter to Brittany, Steven and my wife on February 20th. Later that day, feeling so overwhelmed at the diminished relationship with my daughter, I then sent the following email, striving for peace, and once again apologizing for things I haven’t done;

This email is an open apology to my daughter, Brittany. I have failed you. Not just a little, but a lot. During the past weeks I have been so focused on being right, about winning a disagreement, that I lost sight of something far more important; our relationship and your happiness. I have always put you first in my life, and now just months before your wedding I have fallen far short of what I should have been doing. You have every reason to be mad at me, hurt, disappointed and feeling less than loved by me. For that I apologize - profusely. I love you more than life itself and literally live in daily agony over the state of our current relationship. In response I'll say this:

I resign.

Completely and fully resign.

Not from being your dad of course, but rather from the disagreement that caused this. The [previous] letter I emailed states my position and stands as the truth as I know it. But that's as far as it goes. I'm done with any disagreement with Steven. My time and energy is now going to be focused on you, Brittany. To work hard restoring our precious relationship and ensuring this never happens again. Ever. And, to help celebrate the upcoming wedding and make these months before the wedding as enjoyable as possible.

With that in mind, I am inviting Steven to neutral ground. A place where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen, mi casa es tu casa.

I don't want battles anymore - I just want to love my family and others with all my heart. To fully enjoy what time I have left on this planet. The past few weeks/months somehow turned ugly and I don't want that - never did. I ended up feeling ugly inside and that's not who I am. At all. I repent for my misdeeds and missteps.

Peace,

Tim/Dad

Comment: Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again.

My wife replied:

Tim,

I want you to know those words really touched my heart. They were absolutely beautiful and honest. I appreciate you putting Britt first and your highest priority. Im proud of you and very hopeful we can begin celebrating this engagement and wedding! This makes me very happy. I love you all so much.

With Love,

Jen

Our daughter replied;

Dad,

Steven and I read your emails. We have found ourselves in the same position that we have felt trapped into for the past few weeks, unsure how to respond. We have set clear boundaries, laid out in our Rules of Engagement, and those have been violated repeatedly. The way Steven and I have been treated by you has been inappropriate and out of line. The last email we received was an apology directed towards me, and while I appreciate that I feel as if it dismissed core issues. This puts us into a difficult position of being perceived as the ones continuing conflict if we don't accept a "blank slate."

As Steven and I prepare to start our new life together, we are concerned that these patterns of behavior will continue. We believe that there are significant changes that need to occur in order to move forward in a healthy manner. We desire growing towards healthier relationships with you. Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far, so we believe a mediated conversation is the appropriate next step.

We are confirming with our mediator, but does Saturday at 10 am work for you and mom? It's important that all 4 of us will be there.

Thanks,

Britt

Comment: I violated the rules of engagement?? Um, no…I asked a simple question of Steven, which he never answered.

Concerned about "patterns" – what patterns? I've been apologizing for things I never did!!!

"Significant changes"? Should I then become an uncaring, unloving father? That's ridiculous and clearly not my daughters voice. That has 'Steven' written all over.

"Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far…" What conversations??? I am literally the ONLY person trying to move things forward in a healthy way. This is a problem between Steven and I, and he has not done ANYTHING. Period.

My reply:

Yes, mom and I can be available at that time.

I am willing to attend based upon three conditions;1) This is about moving forward, not digging up the past. There were clearly missteps on the part of several of us, not just myself. Going over those details

will serve no useful purpose other than igniting hurt feelings.2) The meeting will be focused on ways to communicate in both directions; engaged couple to the parents, and vice-versa.3) No expectations will be made that violate our First Amendment rights. While I agree there are acceptable ways to communicate, anything that prevents one of us from speaking at all to another person is something I won't consider. This is America - brave heroic people fought for our rights, and that should be respected.

Steven replied;

Hi all,

I agree with everything Britt said. As for your response, Mr. Hutchinson, I don't believe we can comply with them all of them the way you have requested.

There are things that have been done and said over the last two weeks that cannot be ignored, and it is not enough to ask for a "blank slate" and move forward. Some of this will be discussed in our conversation.

We agree that communication moving forward should be an element of this conversation.

We don't believe it's helpful for us to set expectations for the communication plan moving forward before our conversation.

I have to admit, these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining for Britt and I as we have interacted with you and we desire to move forward in a healthier direction and would appreciate your willingness to enter into that being willing to lay anything down in order to make that happen. You have emphasized how important your relationship with Britt is, and if that is really true, we believe that you will listen to what Britt and I have to say about how we can all move forward in a healthy way, even if that means that interaction with one or both of us moves forward differently than your personal preference.

Comment: “Things have been done and said that cannot be ignored”. Such as?? To date neither of them can name even one single thing. Not one!

“…these past few weeks have been incredible challenging and emotionally draining for Brittany and I as we have interacted with you…” Here again, name one thing, just ONE that I’ve done, other than ask a question, raise my voice (to Brittany once, and to my wife once), apologize for things I never did, and strive for peace. Name one. They literally cannot.

Notice how Steven interjected himself into the equation with his comment? Other than a few emails and a letter trying to make peace, I’ve had ZERO interaction with Steven in the previous few weeks. None. But he’s trying to pretend to be a victim here.

“We desire to move forward in a healthier direction…” How are we going to move forward if Steven puts zero effort into anything and my daughter now will not even speak to me??

”….being willing to lay down everything in order to make that happen.” Umm… I have done EXACTLY that, and Steven has done NOTHING.

It seems to me that Steven is manipulating our daughter. Clearly, I am the one trying to make peace and Steven is avoiding that. The question is why?

My reply;

Steven,

Mrs. Hutchinson and I have also been directly/indirectly impacted in a negative way by some of your words & actions. We will also be presenting a list of expectations on how we will be communicated with. We look forward to the meeting. See you then.

Mr. Hutchinson

Conclusion

The second attempt @ mediation was scheduled for Saturday, 2/27/2021 @ 10 AM. The previous weeks mediation was cancelled by Steven (through Brittany) because he did not want to discuss previous events. As you can see in the above emails, this time I agreed to no previous events be discussed, but now suddenly Steven wants them. That’s a 180-degree turn-around.

Days later they cancelled mediation, again, without reason. What is being hidden? Why can we not come together as Christians and discuss things in an open and honest way? Something is very, very wrong here. Steven has somehow convinced my daughter that I have done things that are wrong, without any evidence or proof whatsoever. In fact, it has been Steven who is the one causing problems. Again, how is this Christian behavior? And from a pastor??

In my last phone call with her she said that she shows Steven all the text messages and emails from me and tells him everything we talk about on the phone, because that’s how Steven says the 2 shall become 1.

She also said that she hoped in the future we could go to counseling and figure out how to have a relationship again.

She then whispered, “Dad, I love, love, love, love, love you a lot!”

Since then, my daughter has completely broken off communication with me. No calls, no texts, no visits, nothing. She also will not respond to any attempts at contacting her. I am concerned about both her mental and physical health. She and I have always had an amazing father-daughter relationship – almost

like 2 peas in pod – and now, only weeks after becoming engaged she will not even speak to me, says we need counseling, and I am being repeatedly accused of doing things which they will not specify, speak about, or go to mediation to resolve. Seems this gives Steven plenty of opportunities to make more false accusations about me without my ever having a chance to defend myself. More and deeper wedges being driven between my daughter and I. This, to me, literally sounds like the actions of a narcissist. In what other way can anyone explain how in my daughters eyes I go from being a father she loves and adores, to being treated almost like a monster in only 30-days??

I sent Steven one final email which stated: “No conflict is over until a solution or a side concedes. This is the calm before the storm. I will always fight for my love. I am relentless.”

This was to serve as a reminder that even though he would not discuss the things mentioned above and is now refusing to have any conversation with my wife and I to bring a resolution, there is still a conflict between us. The upcoming “storm” is when I release the truth (this document) about his actions. It is also a reminder that I will never give up on our daughter.

## 121. Exhibits List.docx

This is where things stand right now. Though this is only one-side of the equation, it shows enough for you to understand why I am concerned.

Kindest regards,

Tim Hutchinson

Follow-up Note

I redacted the names of people and church, then sent this file over to several mental health professionals, via a trusted 3rd party (to further protect all of our identities.) Their responses were similar: This is clearly a troubling situation and Steven has acted inappropriately. Every one of their responses has encouraged me (Dad) to never give up on my daughter.

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Exhibits List.docx ***

Exhibit / Evidence List

Exhibit #

Evidence Description

A

Facebook Screenshots

B

Books on Amazon (4)

C

Rules of Engagement

D

Rules of Engagement – seeking clarification

E

Reliant donations;  2021, 2022, 2023

F

Letter to Steven – just before sending letters to the church

G

Regions psych ward papers

H

Website (Private Journal)

I

Church / their attorney emails

J

Letter to kids before 1st HRO was filed

K

Intentionally Left Blank

L

Van Loh emails – after 1st HRO is in place

M

HRO cover page claiming domestic violence

N

Pics of Target trailer – when 1st HRO is about to expire

O

Witness and exhibit list to Van Loh offices

P

'Painful Secrets' feedback

Q

Sunnie Statement

R

Background (from their 1st HRO filing)

# 122. Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/2 Video Overview - Dad.docx

Laundry Letter

T

Steven Filing – Part 1

U

Affidavit – Steven with Exhibits

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/2 Video Overview - Dad.docx ***

Narrative – Intro: My name is Tim Hutchinson. I am 60 years old and have been with my wife for 32 years. Thus far we've enjoyed an amazing life together. That is, until along came a man who works at Calvary church. He began manipulating my daughter soon after dating her. Now my family and I are experiencing unimaginable pain. Due to his actions my daughter has completely shut me out of her life (where previously we were remarkably close). He has her convinced (through lies) that I am a horrible person. I not seen or spoken with her since February of this year, 2021. I have this heartbreaking situation well documented. This has been a hellish nightmare.

What was it like at the beginning, and did you support his ministry? I tried my best to get along with him, and when I learned he had a ministry I agreed to help support it and even helped him revise his presentation to attract more supporters. I later learned he claimed that I had promised to support his ministry but didn't. Well, I said I would be supportive, but did not promise – there's a difference. And I did support him financially for about a year and have receipts for all of it. In the end when it was

apparent that he was tearing my family apart intentionally, it became obvious that supporting him was no longer something I could do. At that time, I stopped sending him money. My wife later said that Steven was claiming we didn't support him, however, I have receipts which show we did contribute financially for about a year.

How did he treat you, your family and friends in the beginning?There were several people who came to me saying that Steven was rude to them. This included my son, youngest daughter, and 2 guests at a bonfire. At this time Steven was not doing anything offensive to me, so I defended him saying he works at a church, they must be mistaken. Later, as I thought more about it, it became apparent that my son and daughter did not know the guests and therefore could not have collaborated on a story. This to me gave some credence they might be telling the truth.

Tell us about when he came and asked to marry Brittany. During a previous time that we had met, he mentioned that he heard I wrote several books. I confirmed that I had. He said that he would like to read them, so I gave him a free copy of each book. Sometime later he asked if we could hang out for a while. I said yes, and he came over to our house. Once here he mentioned that he had read both books. One was my memoir, and he didn't really talk about that – it was the other book, the one about miracles. We talked about that for a few minutes, then began talking about other topics. (He later claimed I had given him a copy of my memoir as an implied threat to him – that is simply not true. He said he wanted to read it, and I generously offered him a free copy). That evening, I had a feeling he was there to ask me if he could marry my daughter. This was an important evening, and I didn't want him to feel rushed – so I remained patient and waited. Finally, three-hours later I asked if he was there, by chance, to ask me question. He said yes and asked if he could marry my daughter. I said there were three conditions he had to promise to, and he agreed. One of them was not to interfere in my relationship with daughter. He promised that he wouldn't. Then we talked about a ring, and I offered to borrow him one of Brittany's mom's rings to propose with. That would give him time to go ring shopping. (He has since broken the promise to not interfere in my relationship with Brittany many times over).

You indicated there were problems during phone conversations, and in particular about the guest list – can you elaborate? During one of my (few) phone conversations with Steven, I offered some friendly advice from future father-in-law to future son-in-law, the same as my father-in-law did 30-years ago. He became agitated and said things such as, "I don't need to listen to you", and "I'm going to tell Brittany what you're doing", and "I don't need this advice". He was saying all this in a rather rude way. Due to his attitude I ended the call. Another time I called just to ask if he wanted to get together and hang-out. He replied "No" and hung up. One day Brittany was at our family house speaking to her mom and I about the guest list. It sounded as if Steven had already invited so many people there was barely any room for our side of the family. At least that was the impression I got from that conversation. At one point I heard the number of guests was already more than the total amount they agreed upon. Brittany seemed

stressed by this process, so I called Steven and asked the question: "How does the guest list honor the mother and father of the bride?" His reply was all about his family and never directly answered my question. When I brought this to his attention, he stated he would need some time to think about the answer and would let me know. I've never gotten an answer. From what I heard, 80-90% of the guests were either his family, or people Brittany met through Steven. So again, how did that guest list honor the mother and father of the bride?

What was the Rules of Engagement? First of all, I was offput by the use of the word "Rules" – kids don't make rules for their parents to follow, it's actually the other way around. If they wanted to say "preferences", "suggestions", "guidelines" or other similar term, that would have been OK. Rules of Engagement is a term which are internal rules or directives for military forces that define the use of force, or actions that are construed as provocative. In some circumstances, Rules of Engagement are lawful commands. The "Rules of Engagement" we were presented with seemed to contradict each other. When I emailed to ask for clarification Steven's response did not clearly answer my question. In that email he referenced "triangulating" without realizing that I studied psychology and know that word infers manipulation. I wasn't sure if he was attempting to insult my intelligence, insinuate I was being manipulative, or he really doesn't understand what the word triangulation means when used in the realm of psychology. I will also mention here that this was happening in an email in which Brittany and her mom were included on. At that time, he was also sending me emails directly without including Brittany or her mom. In those emails he was being rude and disrespectful to me. I responded by saying that I would not communicate with him unless Brittany and her mom were included. I then replied to him in the email chain that included the others, and that response was a bit pointed because I was upset by the way he had attempted to disparage me in the other emails he had sent to me directly. Afterwards, I asked Brittany's mom to be the one to send out any emails to them from me. The reason is that I blocked Steven so he could not send me any emails – that way I could be assured that both Brittany and her mom would be included in the conversation.

Talk about when you raised your voice to your daughter. Steven has made no efforts to be a part of our family or join any activities. Since I gave my blessing for him to marry our daughter, it's like a switch was flipped and he became cold towards us. He has spoken rudely to myself, guests at our house and has acted this way towards my other children. It seems as though he was only being nice to obtain my blessing, then his real personality came out. Had I known any of this I would have never said yes to him marrying our daughter. One day I called Steven and told him that I do not hate him nor am I trying to stop the wedding. I have a good relationship with my other daughters' boyfriends and hoped to have the same with him. His only response was "thank you for sharing that" in a monotone voice. There was no appreciation or gratitude - no saying anything like, "wow I'm hoping for that too." Nothing. Just another stonewall. Later I was talking with Brittany and my frustration boiled over, and I raised my voice about how Steven would not answer the seemly simple question about the wedding guest list, has made no

effort to be a part of our family, and has been acting rudely towards me. I was also terribly upset that Brittany is showing signs of stress and I haven't seen her smile in days. I am very concerned and even heartbroken that she should have to go through this during a time when she should be celebrating. My being agitated certainly did not help matters. As I walked out of the kitchen I was so disappointed in myself for having raised my voice that I slapped the doorway with my open hand. I truly felt awful about how I had acted. Sometime later she came to me and we discussed the guest list. I accepted her explanation, apologized for raising my voice, and asked that we move forward. The following day I went to her and asked if we could do a reset, one where we could together enjoy the journey of her getting married. She agreed and we spent some enjoyable time together.

I read something about Covid and doing laundry at your house, what was that about? Brittany does Stevens laundry at our house, which I honestly don't mind. He makes it clear that he is concerned about Covid, so when I discovered some information about that virus and fabric, I wanted to share this with him. Soon afterwards as Brittany was leaving the house, she said Steven's laundry was in the dryer and asked that once done I place it outside the front door for him to pick up. I figured this was out of concern for Covid, so decided to write him a letter, and placed in in the laundry basket along with his clean clothes. It basically said I had done some research on Covid and was surprised it could last up to 48-hours on our clothes. Said I could appreciate his concern for being exposed to Covid. Maybe it's best his clothes are not washed at our house - at least now for now. I then said it would have been nice to see you today. That we could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just the day before for Covid. I signed it by saying "Hope you have a wonderful day." When his clothes were done, I placed them outside the front door as requested. When Steven arrived, he read the note, threw the clothes into his car, slammed the door, then stood there staring at our house for a full minute. He then got into the car and sped off running through a stop sign and speeding up the street. My intentions in writing that letter was purely honest – I really wanted to have a good relationship with him, but it seemed he turned it around and used it as an opportunity to have a tantrum or something. Then just a day later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for several hours. He can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell and say hi, but just a day later he can spend hours inside our home? And he's here without any acknowledgment of the letter. Nothing. Crickets. This to me was yet another stonewall and quite honestly, seemed very disrespectful. As Brittany and Steven were leaving, Brittany said "Hi dad" – I responded "Hi honey!" Then Steven said, "Hello Mr. Hutchinson". I responded with a rather dry "hi". It bothered me that he was so rude just the day before, as well as multiple times previously, and now today when my daughter is there, he's acting all nice and innocent. I didn't want to say or do anything that would be upsetting to Brittany, so I did not ask him for any explanation – at least not at that time. Several hours later my wife came home, and I was admittedly fuming about this and even a bit emotionally charged. After all, he had a history of being rude to my family and myself. I spoke loudly about how upset I was and said that I did not want Steven

at our house again. I did not know that Brittany was in her bedroom and had overheard what I said. I honestly thought she had left, and I specifically waited until I thought she was gone to vent my frustrations. My wife gave me a hug and we went and made dinner together. Within 10 minutes or so we were in the kitchen laughing about some silly memes on Facebook. I then texted Steven and said he was not welcome in our home until there was mediation. At no time when I was venting did I make any threats against Steven…none…my only comments were that he was disrespecting me in my own home and I did not want him at our house anymore. Where is the threat in that? Yes, I raised my voice. Yes, I was upset. He's going to marry my daughter and he's treating me like crap. Any reasonable father would also be upset. But there was no threat. Zero. None.

Can you tell us about Brittany suddenly moving out? Within a few days Brittany moved out of our house. Supposedly because she is now suddenly afraid of me, because I raised my voice, twice. What's interesting is very day she moved out, she returned that evening to retrieve something she had left behind. When she saw me, she gave me a hug and said "I love you so much." I told her it was weird seeing her move and that this was this first time she's ever moved that I didn't help. She replied that was true and yes this all seems weird.

Did you ever try making peace with him? I tried a total of 12 times. To date Steven has not suggested even one single thing to help get our relationship back on-track. Thus far I've come up with 100% of the idea's and have offered 100% of the apologies, and have made 100% of the efforts, even though he did not name even one thing that I've done to offend him. Not one. At least not until the restraining order was filed – and that was filled with lies. Up until then I had been offering blanket apologies just to have a relationship with my daughter. Apparently, he doesn't want that. The question is "Why?" I do know that all along he has taken everything I've done, as pure and honest as my actions were, and greatly exaggerated them and spun them into my looking like it's all a threat to him. Those are all absolute lies. What's especially troubling is that he claims to be a Christian and works at a church. My question is; how is any of what he's done Christian behavior?

What was the "I Resign" email about? I apologized to my daughter and said that I didn't want to focus on any disagreement any longer – instead I wanted to focus on our relationship. I invited Steven to a neutral ground where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where, and I quote, "you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen – my house is your house." Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again. They both replied that they could not accept this. Brittany claimed I had violated the Rules of Engagement, and Steven said, and I quote; "these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining…" What's interesting is during the weeks before this I actually had no contact with him at all. What interaction was he talking about? There literally was NONE! This is another example of

Steven trying to invent a make-believe world and draw my daughter into it – a world in which I am a villain – a violent person unable to control my behavior. Meanwhile, back in reality, the opposite is true. On Facebook I tell people when I'm going into my prayer room and offer to pray for others. Because of the miracles they experience, I now have 4,700 more people following me on my personal page – all from them experiencing real miracles and sharing the results with others. If I am such a horrible person, then why is God answering my prayers? Why is the Creator of the Heavens and the earth blessing so many others when I pray? Because God knows my heart the best – and he knows the allegations against me are false. There is no one else, literally no one who thinks of me in any way remotely close to what Steven is alleging. So here I am, 62 years-old, no criminal record, love doing volunteer work, no problems with any one until Steven comes along and suddenly I am a super-villain. Yet he cannot name even one threat I've made to him. Not one. Because there weren't any.

Did you try mediation? Yes, and both times it was cancelled. The first time Steven didn't want to talk about anything in the past – it seemed he just wanted to control how my wife and I were to speak to him. The mediator cancelled – so it was rescheduled. For the 2nd mediation I agreed to not talk about anything in the past, and suddenly he wants to – this is a complete 180-degree turnaround. He then cancelled mediation. Since then, I've asked multiple times for mediation, and he won't even respond. These requests were both in text messages and emails (I had unblocked him).

When did Brittany stop communicating? In February of 2021. She said it was because she wanted to focus on her relationship and upcoming marriage. Immediately I thought Steven was behind this and wanted to further drive a wedge between my daughter and I. Since the very beginning I saw a change in my daughter; she has chronic headaches and I often used to massage her neck and shoulders. After the engagement I noticed her shoulders began to stick up more – seemed she was losing weight. I asked her mom, and she confirmed our daughter was losing weight, unintentionally. She thought maybe it was because of stress. What could be causing that stress? At the time Brittany and I were getting along fine. Now she says she cannot communicate with me at all. Very concerning – especially since before meeting Steven, her and I were like 2-peas-in-a-pod. Now she sees me through a filter that Steven created, a filter which I can prove are lies and deceit. That's why she is the only child of mine who does not have a relationship with me. The others see me as I really am; the great father who raised them. I haven't changed – am a lot sadder, but am still that great dad who loves his family more than anything.

Did you ask the church for help? Yes, several times I reached out to Calvary church. I approached them as respectfully as possible asking for help, stating that Steven has been acting inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. I asked they review the facts and prayerfully consider helping bring a resolution to this situation. There was no response to the first request, so I sent a second. Shortly afterwards a letter came in the mail from their attorney stating I was not welcome on either of their campuses and to not have any further contact with them. Later I learned that Steven claimed he was so

afraid of me being violent that he was being escorted into the church from the parking lot each day, and then back to his car when he left. He also said he was always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him. The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. Steven went so far as to make a church unduly afraid of me. I will state this fact again; I never threatened him. Ever. If I am such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren't the police ever called? No, seriously – if you are that afraid of someone the police should be notified. But they weren't. Why? In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police – not even once. He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him – not one – because there weren't any. Let me be perfectly clear; I have a military background. If I wanted to be violent with him, then I would never publicly or privately threaten him. I would just go do it. And I'm smart enough to get away with it. So why didn't I? Because I don't want to – I never did. Any perceived threats are made-up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me.

Owatonna – what was that about? In an effort to activate my daughters BS detector – a healthy amount of skepticism, my well-meaning brother made up a story. This is really the only time in my life I've ever told Brittany something that wasn't true. But I had good intentions. I made the story so fantastical that any reasonable person would doubt it was real. That was what I was after. To have her look at this and say wait a minute, that's not real. And then to have her look at what Steven was saying and realize what he's saying is also not real. My daughter Brittany is kind, sweet, thoughtful and a really, really wonderful person. She's also, well, a little gullible as any 22-year-old is. And Steven clearly took advantage of that.

I told her that "I'm so angry I could kill someone." This is actually a phrase I grew up hearing; no one actually killed anyone; like saying I'm so hungry I could eat a horse; no one eats horses and even if they did, they couldn't consume an entire horse. It's only a phrase with no factual meaning behind it I also chose that phrase because I figured she would immediately realize that I wouldn't actually kill someone – she's only known me as a kind, loving, peaceful father who always puts his family first.

I told her the person I would kill is Charlie (you can't kill Charlie – it's just a name I was given in the military.)

I said that I was going to a DNR lab near Owatonna where I would be held down on a table and my head will be zapped with electricity. That's as crazy of a story that I could think of at the moment.

The next morning I said that it snowed the previous night (which it did) so we met at a closed gas station and performed the procedure in a van. They zapped me while I rolled my eyes side-to-side.

I waited for her to say something like, "Dad, this isn't real!" But she never did.

I had every intention of explaining to her why I told this fabricated story, but I wanted to do so in person. Sadly, I never saw her again.

I read something about cheesesteak and a bonfire, can you talk about that? I sent Steven a text inviting him over for a bonfire and cheesesteak sandwiches. Said we could discuss what each other needs for peace. He replied saying he was confused and asked if I was going to be violent with him. I replied that he's twisting my words, again. He never responded.

What's an 'Epic Storm'? It is a cascading series of events designed to bring about change, or to protect someone. These events are all completely legal. Nothing evil and no intent to cause physical harm at all. Several times I told Steven that I would do an epic storm if he continued ruining my relationship with Brittany and causing strife in my family. I never took any action though, because that would have been upsetting to my daughter and I was doing my best to shield her from any actions I was being forced to take. He claimed this meant I was going to be violent with him, even though I told him everything I planned on doing ahead of time, and all of it was completely legal. Again, every chance he could he would twist things to make my daughter think I was a crazed violent person. Meanwhile, he's doing absolutely nothing to help settle things between us. Nothing. His attempts thus far have amounted to exactly zero. At least I am trying. And he's a man of God? A person who works in a church? But he disobeys the teachings of Christ. His actions themselves expose him as a fraud.

Sensitive topic alert: I know this is going to be painful, but please tell us what happened during the counseling session, as well as the aftermath. Brittany approached me to go to therapy session with her. Before that was to happen, I would first talk with her therapist. The therapist wanted to get together with me alone in a zoom type meeting. She was rude, condescending, and I really did not feel as though she cared about me or resolving anything. It was very frustrating talking to her.

Nonetheless, I said any therapy sessions I was involved in I would pay for and gave her my credit card number so my daughter would not be burdened with that. What no one, not even the therapist knows is that I was not alone in that room. When the session with myself and the therapist was scheduled, I asked Brittany's mom to be in the room with me. She sat off to one side, out of view of the camera. She witnessed how stressful this was for me. Then, at the time you and I had our session with the therapist, it was immediately stressful again. I felt as though the therapist was condescending from the beginning. My only goal for that session was to tell you that I loved you and to validate your pain. I know you've been hurt, and it's incredibly painful to see you go through this. The therapist interrupted me repeatedly. When I didn't recite things back exactly, it seemed she was a bit condescending. Half the time I didn't look at the screen as it was too hard to see the pain on your face. I didn't even get to say anything what I really wanted to say; that I love you and to validate your feelings. At one point in time, I muted the microphone then I leaned forward to cry into my hands. You may have thought I got up and walked around the room, but I was bent over forward crying into my hands. I truly and sincerely hurt

throughout this session. Having you out of my life hurts more than my brothers dying - and still does to this very day. At this point it was clear that my message of love was not going to be conveyed to you, I became frustrated, rambled on about something and left the meeting abruptly. I think I mentioned not knowing if I wanted a relationship with you, but what I really meant was for a temporary amount of time. (P.S. I did not slam my laptop closed - this laptop is too expensive to be slammed shut.) With the thought of that session fresh in my mind. I ended up in a park later that night with a gun to my head. That's the reason I had suicidal ideations; the pain on your face was more than I could handle. I felt as though I had failed you – like I should have shown up and done better, been the dad – I wished I would have been able to tell you that I know you've been hurt – I know this has been painful for you – I just wanted to reach out and hug you and tell you that I love you and that I'm so, so sorry. I just didn't feel like I could – it seemed the therapist was trying to control my words so I couldn't really say what was on my heart.

Why did you post a dark-eyed picture of Steven? Because I believed, and still do, that he is being influenced by a monster inside, and that monster is known as Satan. Immediately under that picture I asked for prayer for him. I have prayed for him, my wife and I have prayed for him, I asked a church in Anoka, MN to pray for him, and when I posted that picture, it was another call to prayer. That picture was merely a representation of the amount of darkness I think is in him. But again, it's a call to prayer.

Did you ask for a break? Yes, I asked for an extended break – to let things calm down a bit. I then went to each of their homes for one purpose; to let them know they are both loved and forgiven. Steven would not answer his door. I purchased some flowers and went to Brittany's apartment, however she wouldn't answer the door. I later learned she had called Steven in a panicked state because I was at the door to the apartment building she lived in and didn't know how to respond. He later claimed he was so afraid of me that he thought about jumping out a bedroom window from the 2nd floor and fleeing for his life while calling 911. Think about that, I come over with flowers, to tell her that I love her, and she's too afraid to answer the door, because of the things Steven has told her. Does it really make sense that I am trying to make peace and threatening violence at the same time? It's an incredibly sad day for an old dad when his own daughter is afraid of him for no valid reason whatsoever. Let me be perfectly clear – I have never threatened either one of them with violence, at any time, in any way whatsoever. These are all fabricated lies of Steven.

You were served a restraining order – Did you try getting answers before the restraining order? I asked many times what the problem was – and asked how we could resolve any differences. He never responded. Neither did Brittany. I figured he didn't want to give me any answers because he's afraid of being exposed. So, I texted him saying, "You lost far more than you gained." Meaning, we could have had a great relationship. I believe his so-called "victory" will be short-lived. God will give me justice and will raise me up - he will see that God's hand is upon me. Evil can appear as an angel of light, which is

why I believe my daughter has not yet realized what he's doing to destroy a great father and cause strife in this family.

Describe the court hearing. Simply put, I agreed to allowing them to have the restraining order. Even though I firmly believe it's filled with lies, had I fought it and asked for a trial, that would mean the details of everything would potentially become public, and that could be embarrassing for my daughter. Additionally, I believed I could prove they were outright lying in the court documents, and that means perjury – people go to jail for that. I didn't want to risk my daughter being exposed to that either. So, instead I accepted the restraining order on the condition the court did not find any wrongdoing in me. Another sad day – my own daughter, someone who once referred to herself as "Daddy's Girl" gets a restraining order against me because of lies and deceit of someone who works at a church. Yet there I was covering her with love and looking out for her best interests instead of my own. That's what love looks like. That's love in action.

So, you couldn't walk your daughter down the aisle? No, and I later heard – but not yet confirmed, that Steven hired two undercover police officers to attend the wedding just in case I showed up and acted violently. This was all part of his act to convince my daughter that I'm some super-villain. My daughter must truly think I am capable of violence – some sort of horrible person. The day after their wedding was Father's Day – coincidence? Umm, I don't think so. I'm convinced that was a planned insult against me.

What was the situation with Kyle? Kyle was Vanessa's ex, who assaulted her. After he assaulted her, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. I called Kyle, and he started to verbally assault me so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified myself as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. At that point I felt the need to defend myself and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I responded, "Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left. He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical - and I learned that in the future I would insist on calling the police and letting them handle the situation.

## 123. Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Civil Complaint.pdf

You mentioned something about a last page missing from the restraining order, please explain. It's interesting that when Steven came up with this order, in his evidence, he left out the last page of my website where it talks about Brittany and Steven being loved and forgiven. LOVED AND FORGIVEN. But

that would go against his claim that I am a crazed, violent man. That doesn't fit his agenda to make my daughter think I am a horrible violent person. What's interesting is that no one other than Steven thinks I am a violent person. Sadly, he has convinced my daughter this lie is real. I spend time with both of my other daughter's boyfriend and fiancé and there's literally no problems between us at all. None. In fact, they have both said they would even write letters in support of me. They both heard the Kyle story and are not afraid of me at all - because there's literally no reason to be. None. That's all a fabrication of Steven's.

I now have over 15 people who are close to me, all willing to write letters stating they have never seen any of the behavior Steven is alleging, and that they simply do not believe any of it is true. How many does Steven have? I'm not a betting man, but if I was, my bet would be there are zero people to back up his claim(s). Because they are lies.

Can there be any resolution? From my daughter, Brittany, I don't need anything; no apologies, no explanation for her actions. Nothing – because she is innocent. Fully and completely innocent. She has done nothing wrong, and I have no hard or ill feelings towards her whatsoever. I love her more than life itself and it crushes me that we do not have a relationship. If she were to come into family home right now, and give me a hug, I'd be the happiest dad in the world!

If anything, Steven and I owe her apologies. We've both done some things wrong. I certainly have made some missteps along the way. It's hard to react perfectly when the heart is torn and broken at a profound level.

And Steven really owes her apologies. Many of them. As for Steven, in the bible it says to forgive 70 times 7, which some people think is 490 times, but really it is a symbol of infinity. So God commands us to forgive one another. If Steven were to come to me, admit his wrongdoings and apologize, I would forgive him. We would have to go through some counseling in order to have a healthy relationship, but I think there's a higher standard that God expects from His followers. After that I would hope he and Brittany would renew their wedding vows so I could finally walk her down the aisle. I think I deserve at least that.

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Civil Complaint.pdf ***

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 1 of 31 State of Minnesota District Court County Judicial District: Second Ramsey Court File Number: Case Type: Personal Injury Timothy Hutchinson Civil Complaint Plaintiff vs Steven Anderson Defendant LEGAL CAUSE(S) OF ACTION  Defamation  A false or offensive statement, either verbal or written, about the plaintiff was made by the defendant  The defendant made such statement to a third party (someone other than the plaintiff)  The defendant acted negligently or with malice in making such statement  The plaintiff suffered damages, including damage to his reputation specifically caused by

the defamatory statement  Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress  The actions or conduct of the defendant were extreme and outrageous  The defendant acted intentionally and/or recklessly  The defendant's actions or conduct caused severe emotional distress to the plaintiff The Plaintiff makes the following complaints against the Defendant: If you have more than 1 complaint against Defendant, list each complaint separately, including any supporting facts. 1. Steven Anderson caused undue stress and separation between my daughter and I. My daughter, Brittany, and I were very close and spent time together frequently. See Facebook screenshots, (Exhibit A). She began dating Steven Anderson, director of youth services at Calvary Church in 2020. Within a few months Brittany came to me and said she was breaking up with him. She said that she felt as though she couldn't be herself around him because was too controlling. She later said that she had another meeting with him, during which time he "shared his heart" with her. Brittany said that Steven told her due to his upbringing he had difficulty

 properly expressing himself. Because of his explanation, she decided to again date him. Upon hearing that I decided to give him a wide swath of grace and patience when dealing with him. He took advantage of this, as documented below. Shortly thereafter Steven approached me to help support his ministry – which is his full-time job. He says Calvary Church cannot afford to pay him a salary, so he fundraises as a substitute. I began supporting him financially and further worked with him to improve his presentation in an effort to find others who would also be supportive. I continued sending money every month for 2 ½ years – even during the time when he would file an HRO against me, as I still had hope we would settle things peacefully. My wife later said that Steven told Brittany that I wasn't sending money and had refused to help them. I eventually stopped sending money after the June 2024 payment. This is when my wife moved out of our family home due to the stress of the problems Steven caused after becoming engaged to our daughter, Brittany. Within months after he began dating my daughter, Steven approached me and asked what it would take to have my blessing for him to marry her. One of the things I mentioned was spending more time with our family - we barely knew him. I told Steven that family was important to us, and that if he was to marry my daughter then we would want him to feel welcomed in our family and hopefully would be an active part of it. Afterwards he made an effort to be at our house almost on a weekly basis, and things seemed to be going well. One day he called to ask if we could hang out sometime. I said yes and we picked a date and time. When that time came, he arrived at our house, and we talked for quite a while. I sensed he had something he wanted to say or possibly ask me - maybe even permission to marry Brittany. Finally, after 3 hours I asked him "Is there something you wanted to ask me?" He then asked for my blessing on marrying my daughter, and I gave him that blessing. My wife and I even helped with a temporary ring until he could buy one. Once he proposed and my daughter accepted, his entire demeanor towards myself and our family changed. Documented below are lies and exaggerations he said about me in an HRO (Harassment Restraining Order) and my response which reveals how Steven has engaged in a campaign of libel, slander and

harassment which has caused significant harm to both myself, and my family. Shortly after their engagement, he manipulated her and convinced her to stop talking with me so they could "focus on their relationship" . That was the last time I ever spoke with her. The last thing she said to me was "Dad, I love you so so so so much!" Steven's manipulation of my daughter has included making her fearful of me through a false narrative of his claim of me threatening him with a gun, being a overall dangerous person to him, her, and the church, and convincing her to join him in filing for an HRO under false pretenses. I asked many times what the problem was and also asked to resolve any differences. He never responded. After trying for a long time without any response, I texted him the following;: " You lost far more than you gained." Meaning, we could have had a great relationship, but he had another agenda. I tried to make peace and amends 15 times. Steven made zero attempts and refused all of my attempts at making peace. During this time, I had been offering blanket apologies for things I had not done, just to have a relationship with my daughter. He never responded and instead continued taking everything I've done, as pure and honest as my actions were, and greatly exaggerated them and spun them into looking like everything I do a threat to him.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 3 of 31 His deliberate actions, hyper-exaggerated claims and outright lies have caused significant harm; due to the stress of Steven's actions, my wife and I ended our 31-year marriage. We sold the family home where we lived for 24 years, and I became homeless. I had to quit my job as a Safety and Compliance Manager. I enjoyed a corner office and had 70 people working for me - but had to quit due to my declining work performance as a result of the stress caused by Steven's negative actions and words. The day prior to selling our home I moved my belongings into a storage unit. Within a short period of time that unit was broken into and most of my valuable possessions were stolen. It is important to note that I have enjoyed a great relationship with the others my children have dated or married. With one notable exception; a man named Kyle, who assaulted my daughter and subsequently assaulted myself. (More details on this later). Prior to Steven, my daughter Brittany dated a man name David Zimmerman for several years. David and I got along exceptionally well. When Brittany decided to break up with David, he came directly to our family home to spend time with me. I explained that since Brittany is my daughter, I needed to advocate for her. He responded, "I know, but I feel so much better being with you." My youngest daughter, Vanessa, was dating a man named James Anderson (a common last name – no relation to Steven.) When he was homeless, I took him into our home just a week prior to our home being sold due to my divorce. Despite going through my own personal challenges, I still helped him. One of the ways was to help James come up with a life plan and I even rented him a hotel room. Later, when my daughter decided to end that relationship, I continued paying for his hotel room and gave him money for food and other expenses. My oldest daughter, Victoria, dated and married a man named Jacob Rademacher. During the 12-years my daughter has been with him, Jake (Jacob) and I have enjoyed a great relationship. He has never been fearful of me, nor felt threatened in any way, shape,

form or manner. Jake and I have been to hockey games, on vacation, road trips and more together. He's a fantastic son-in- law who calls me "Dad". I hoped for the same with Steven, but the evidence will show he has a different agenda. Exhibit / Evidence; A. Facebook screenshots. 2. Steven Anderson lied about the conversation regarding a phone call about a wedding guest list. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17. "Within a week after Brittany and I began our engagement, the respondent began to take issue with our wedding planning. He began to call me to argue for things to be different on decisions that my finance and I had made." My response; His statement is false. One day Brittany was at our family house speaking to her mom and I about the guest list. It sounded as if Steven had already invited so many people there was barely any room for our side of the family. At least that was the impression I got from the conversation. At one point I heard the number of guests was already more than the total amount they agreed upon. Brittany seemed stressed by this process. Her mom approached me afterwards and asked me if I could help with this matter. She suggested I make a friendly call to Steven, and I agreed. The following day I called Steven and asked one question: "How does the guest list honor the

 mother and father of the bride?" His reply was all about his family and never directly answered my question. He then stated that he didn't think his response answered my question. I calmly replied, "Not really." He then said he would get back to me about this. I offered a suggestion on how they might decide on a guest list, and he said that he would discuss this with Brittany. We then talked for a short while about other non-wedding related things and ended the call on a positive note. I then shared the details of this conversation with my wife, and we thought that call was enough and that Brittany and Steven would work things out. (He never got back to me to answer the question.) The only other time I ever discussed the wedding with Steven was during a time when he called me about a non-wedding related topic, and I asked him if my wife and I would be able to meet his parents before the wedding. He replied, "Not my dad, but maybe my mom when she comes to town to help me finish planning the wedding." He made it sound as if he and his mom would be doing the wedding planning and not Brittany. I asked, "Does Brittany know about this?" He said yes. I then asked if there would be anything that Mrs. Hutchinson (Brittany's mom) would be able to help with. He replied, "Maybe my mom can find something for her to do." I then repeated an earlier question, "And Brittany knows about this?" He again replied, yes. I then calmly said, "Oh, ok." That's as far as the conversation went. The only time I discussed the wedding with Brittany was when she came to me with a concern about their wedding photographer. I gave her some advice on how to approach Steven to discuss this topic, and nothing more. Compare this to my oldest daughter's wedding. I caused no problems whatsoever, did not interfere with any planning, and they will both testify to that. I would have done the same for Brittany and Steven's wedding, except Steven had other plans to exclude me as the evidence will show. Steven and Brittany were married on June 19 th , 2021. I believe Steven pushed for this day to be chosen because the following day was Father's Day, and I would not be able to celebrate it with my

daughter, Brittany. I allege that he did this intentionally to further harm me emotionally. Phone Calls. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17 – 18 "The frequency and hostility in Respondent's telephone calls increased. Amidst these interactions Brittany and I decided it would be best to set boundaries around our wedding planning and conversations with us about them." My response; There never were any harassing phone calls. This claim is a lie. I only made 6 phone calls to Steven in total the entire time I have known him. Two of those were about allowing him to borrow one of my wife's rings to propose to our daughter. Another call was to offer him the same advice my Father-in-law gave me prior to my marrying his daughter nearly 30 years prior. Steven became agitated and responded rudely to me. Another call was to ask if he wanted to get together and hang out – he said "No" , then hung up on me. There was a call about us doing a video chat to discuss some potential changes to his fund-raising presentation (I volunteered to help him with this). The other call was months later. I left a voicemail when I was upset with him after a long period of him intentionally doing things to harm both myself and my family. (More on this later.)

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 5 of 31 It should be noted that Steven made plenty of calls to me, however. He would say things such as; a) "You're not a very good father." b) "Brittany deserves someone better than you." c) "If you want to have a relationship with your daughter, then you need to submit to me and let me control you." Here again I gave him a wide swath of grace and patience because of what he told my daughter about not being able to properly express himself. During these times I tried to steer the conversation away from negative comments and towards something more positive and family oriented. 3. Steven Anderson manipulated my daughter Brittany into thinking I am dangerous. Brittany does Steven's laundry at our house, which I honestly didn't mind. He makes it clear that he is concerned about Covid, so when I discovered some information about that virus and fabric, I wanted to share this with him. Soon afterwards as Brittany was leaving the house, she said Steven's laundry was in the dryer and asked that once done I place it outside the front door for him to pick up. I figured this was out of concern for Covid, so I decided to write him a letter, and placed in in the laundry basket along with his clean clothes. It basically said I had done some research on Covid and was surprised it could last up to 48-hours on our clothes. Said I could appreciate his concern for being exposed to Covid. Maybe it's best his clothes are not washed at our house - at least now for now. I then said it would have been nice to see him today. That we could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just the day before for Covid. I signed it by saying "Hope you have a wonderful day." (A copy of this letter is included in Exhibit / Evidence – see end of this section.) When his clothes were done, I placed them outside the front door as requested. When Steven arrived, he read the note, threw the clothes into his car, slammed the door, then stared at our house for a full minute with an angry look on his face. He then got into the car and sped off running through a stop sign and speeding up the street at approximately double the speed limit. My intentions in writing that letter was pure – I really wanted to have a good relationship with

him, but it seemed he turned it around and used it as an opportunity to have a tantrum. Then, just a day later, I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for several hours. So, he can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell and say hi, but just a day later he can spend hours inside our home? And he's here without any acknowledgment of the letter. This seemed very disrespectful. As Brittany and Steven were leaving, Brittany said "Hi dad" – I responded, "Hi honey!" Then Steven said, "Hello Mr. Hutchinson" . I responded with a rather dry "Hi" . It bothered me that he was so rude just the day before, as well as multiple times previously, and now today when my daughter is there, he's acting nice and innocent. I didn't want to say or do anything that would be upsetting to Brittany, so I did not ask him for any explanation – at least not at that time. Several hours later my wife came home, and I told her about this and that it bothered me. After all, he had a history of being rude to my family and myself. I spoke loudly about how upset I was and said that I did not want Steven at our house again. I then texted Steven and said he was not welcome in our home until there was mediation. At no time when I was venting did I make any threats against Steven. My only comments were that he was disrespecting me in my own home, and I did not want him at our house anymore until differenced were resolved.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 6 of 31 I did not know that Brittany was in her bedroom and had overheard what I said. I honestly thought she had left and had specifically waited until I thought she was gone to vent my frustrations. My wife gave me a hug and we went and made dinner together. Within 10 minutes or so, we were in the kitchen laughing about some silly memes on Facebook. Yes, I raised my voice. Yes, I was upset. He's going to marry my daughter and he's treating me poorly. Any reasonable father would also be upset. But there was no threat. I later learned that Steven used this as an opportunity to convince my daughter to move out of our house by claiming she was in danger remaining there with me. Exhibits / Evidence; S. Laundry Letter. The Books. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18. Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1. "When I shared my desire to marry his daughter, months prior to asking for his blessing, he gave me two of his books, including an autobiographical work, Painful Secrets, wherein he claims to have almost committed a mass shooting as a teenager. With this context, the Respondent's placement of the gun on the banister was clearly meant and taken as a threat and intimidation towards me." My response; This is a gross exaggeration. In both filings he claims I gave him a copy of the books a "month" before in one and "months" before in another....it was actually 3 days prior to his asking to marry my daughter. During a previous time that we had met, he mentioned that he heard I wrote several books. I confirmed that I had. He said that he would like to read them. I sell these books on Amazon so I typically do not have any extra copies at the house. When he expressed an interest in reading them, I went to see if I had any available copies. I found one copy of 'Painful Secrets' and one copy of 'Casting Out Doubt'. I then generously gave him a free copy of each. Had he not mentioned my being an author, I would never have thought to give him a copy of any of the books. I have not previously given a copy to any of

my other daughter's current or former boyfriends, nor any of Brittany's former boyfriends. Soon after, he asked if we could hang out for a while. I said yes, and he came over to our house. Once here he mentioned that he had read both books. One was my memoir, and he didn't really talk about that - it was the other book, the one about miracles. I was surprised he had read them already as I had just given them to him three (3) days prior. When I commented on this, he said that he had stayed up late at night to finish them. We talked about the book 'Casting Out Doubt' for a few minutes, then began talking about other topics. At no time did he seem concerned about the contents of my memoir, nor was it ever mentioned. That evening, I had a feeling he was there to ask me if he could marry my daughter. This was an important evening, and I didn't want him to feel rushed - so I remained patient and waited. Finally, three hours later I asked if he was there, by chance, to ask me question. He said yes and asked if he could marry my daughter. I asked for there to be several conditions, and he agreed. One of them was not to interfere in my relationship with daughter. He promised that he wouldn't. Then we talked about a ring, and I offered to borrow him one of Brittany's mom's rings to

 propose with. That would give him time to go ring shopping. (He has since broken the promise to not interfere in my relationship with Brittany many times over). It is important to note that I have used my memoir, 'Painful Secrets' as a tool to help make bullying awareness and prevention a national issue. Along the way I have prevented 6 school shootings and also prevented 300 teen suicides. I would never use this book as a threat to anyone. My wife is a high school science teacher who has given copies of this same book to some of her troubled students. She has witnessed their lives being changed by it and has seen them carry this book around with them every day as a sign of hope and overcoming life obstacles. (See exhibit P for feedback from notable individuals.) Here again, Steven is making wild claims that are simply not true at all. Exhibit / Evidence; B. Books on Amazon. Also, P. 'Painful Secrets' feedback. 4. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of displaying my gun in an attempt to intimidate him. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18. "At the time, my fiance lived in respondents home. I visited while the respondent was at work, to make plans for the wedding. He returned before I left, and we only exchanged a brief interaction on me way our the door, however, he placed his firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight. I couldn't help but feel as though he had intentionally placed the gun there for me to see." My response; This was around the time when there were riots in Minneapolis after what happened to George Floyd. There was talk about the riots going to the suburbs. At the time my wife asked me if I still had a gun. I replied yes. The fact that she asked this question shows how discreet I am with it. I never show it to anyone, open carry or even discuss it. She then said it would make her feel safer if she could see it regularly. So, for a short while, placing my firearm on the upstairs banister became a temporary routine for me. Again, she literally asked me to do this. This is the only reason I would ever leave my gun out; because it was at the request of my wife. I would come home from work and place it on the end railing at the top of the steps, go into the kitchen and greet my wife with a kiss,

unpack my lunch bag, then go put the gun away in our bedroom. It was only out for approximately 3 minutes. I did this only for a few weeks, then asked if she was OK with me not putting it out anymore, as I never was comfortable doing this. She said yes, and I stopped leaving it out for her to see. One day while Steven was over, he saw the handgun in its usual place and made an incorrect assumption. He then used this occasion to begin placing thoughts into my daughter's head that I am a dangerous person, and they are both at risk of me harming them with the gun. Steven claimed it was " on the banister by the front door, …". This is a false claim. It was actually on the 2 nd floor of the house – Steven remained in the lower level and was never near the gun. It was also holstered, and I never went near it while he was in the house. During this entire time, he never saw me – he only heard my voice coming from the kitchen.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 8 of 31 I have never waved this gun around or used it to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie; but Steven wants to falsely portray me as a crazy man with a weapon. 5. Steven Anderson gave me a list called "Ruled of Engagement" which he required my wife and I to agree to in order for us to continue being involved in the wedding planning. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17. "The respondent took issue with some of the wording in our Rules of Engagement..." My response; Brittany and Steven came up with something called 'Rules of Engagement' , and presented them to my wife and I. We both agreed to follow these rules. At this time, we were at our house sitting at the dining room table. Afterwards, Brittany and her mom went into the kitchen. I got up and said I was going to the bathroom. I began walking away but then stopped and turned around to retrieve something from the table. At that time Steven had stood up and was bent over picking up a bag from the floor with his back towards me. He did not realize I was standing there. Just then I heard him say "Oh, this is gonna be good!" He said it in a very deep voice as though he was almost growling. To me, it sounded demonic. He suddenly stood and spun around to face me. He began smiling and started trying to tell a joke. It seemed like he was trying to cover for something. I was too stunned to speak or respond. He then called to Brittany saying they should leave. Afterwards, my wife looked at me and asked, "Honey, are you OK?" I didn't want to make a scene so I just responded by saying I was OK. We then went into our bedroom together and prayed for them as a couple. The following day I shared what happened with my brother. Shortly after that I sent them both an email asking a question about how to ask a question. Nothing more. Simply asking how they preferred me to ask a question. Something in the 'Rules of Engagement' was not clear and I was merely asking for clarification. Steven immediately took offense to this and began accusing me of manipulation. This email conversation included Brittany, her mom, Steven and me. Suddenly I got an email from Steven stating that if I loved Brittany that I would "Lay down everything." This to me means submitting to him and goes along with his earlier comments about submitting to him if I want a relationship with my daughter. I then realized that he had sent the email directly to me and had

excluded Brittany and her mom. I then said I would not continue this conversation with him in that email thread and would only have a discussion involving Brittany and Mrs. Hutchinson. I then went back to the previous email thread and responded in a somewhat sharp manner. Afterwards I asked my wife to be the one to send out any emails from me to them. In that way I could be assured that both her and Brittany would be included in the emails. Exhibit / Evidence; C. Copy of the Rules of Engagement. Also, D. Seeking clarification.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 9 of 31 6. Steven Anderson claims that because I had an emotional response and I raised my voice that I cannot control my anger. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17. "On the same day he returned home and Brittany reports visible signs of anger (slamming doors, slamming things, yelling, etc.)" "This email and anger was the first clues towards irrational behavior and unwarranted responses. I only expressed my concern for effective conflict resolution....and he took it as an insult to his intelligence and he was unable to control his emotional response." Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18. "I then called my fiancé to share the news with her, [about a text I sent him banning him from my property} and I could hear him, yelling and angrily attacking my character because I had done laundry in his house. At this point I began to seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé." My response; Later, after the email exchange, I was talking with Brittany and my frustration boiled over, and I raised my voice about how Steven would not answer the seemly simple question about the wedding guest list, has made no effort to be a part of our family, and has been acting rudely towards me. I was also terribly upset that Brittany is showing signs of stress and I haven't seen her smile in days. I am very concerned and even heartbroken that she should have to go through this during a time when she should be celebrating. My being agitated certainly did not help matters. As I walked out of the kitchen, I was so disappointed in myself for having raised my voice (something I had never done before to her) that I slapped the doorway with my open hand. I truly felt awful about how I had acted. She later she came to me, and we discussed the guest list. I accepted her explanation, apologized for raising my voice, and asked that we move forward. The following day I went to her and asked if we could do a reset, one where we could together enjoy the journey of her getting married. She agreed and we spent some enjoyable time together. There was no slamming doors, slamming other things or emotional outbursts of any kind (other than raising my voice once to Brittany). His claim that I was " unable to control his emotional response" is completely false and unfounded. My wife was at home during this time – if any of this had actually happened, my wife would have come to investigate. She will testify that this never happened and it was a peaceful evening at home. This is yet another attempt by Steven at disparaging me through his lies and manipulation. In an earlier HRO filing he used words to describe my actions, such as; " RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP , and SCREAMING." No one has witnessed me doing any of these and this is nothing more than character assassination. I had only raised my voice twice. The second time I was unaware that Brittany was home and talked loudly to my wife. She did not feel any concern for her safety, and even walked over and

gave me a hug. I rarely, if ever, talk in an upset manner. My wife knew I was no danger to anyone and understood my need for a hug. His claim to have begun to "… seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé" is nonsense, completely baseless and all a part of his plan to cause a division in my family.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 10 of 31 Ever since I had given Steven permission to marry my daughter, he no longer made any efforts to be a part of our family or join any activities. It's like a switch was flipped and he became cold towards us. He has spoken rudely to myself, guests at our house and has acted this way towards my other children. It appears he was only being nice to obtain my blessing, then his real personality came out. One day I talked to Steven and told him that I do not hate him nor am I trying to stop the wedding. I have a good relationship with my other daughters' boyfriends and hoped to have the same with him. His only response was "thank you for sharing that" in a monotone voice. There was no appreciation or gratitude - no saying anything like, 'wow I'm hoping for that too.' Nothing. The fact that I had remained calm for so long given all the terrible things he had been saying to me, just shows how rational and peaceful of a person I really am. Since that time, over 3 years ago, not one person has witnessed me raising my voice at all. These two instances were rare and only due to the stress that Steven was causing in my family. 7. Steven Anderson refused to engage in mediation to resolve the conflict between us. Steven was texting me some inappropriate comments and I responded by asking him to not contact me anymore in this manner. He responded by saying that Brittany suggested mediation. I said yes, and that if he wanted to pick a place for that to happen, that I would pay for those services. He responded by saying that the only way he would agree to mediation is if it were at the church where he works. This seemed to put me at a disadvantage because they are familiar with him and do not know me. But I agreed to this anyway figuring I would just set the truth free and let it defend itself. Afterwards, as I thought about mediation it occurred to me that mediation meant all the bad and negative things Steven had done would be revealed and that could have very negative impacts on him. After a time of prayer I sent the following email, striving for peace, and once again apologizing for things I haven't done, and admitting to things I never did, all in an effort to have a relationship with my daughter. "This email is an open apology to my daughter, Brittany. I have failed you. Not just a little, but a lot. During the past weeks I have been so focused on being right, about winning a disagreement, that I lost sight of something far more important; our relationship and your happiness. I have always put you first in my life, and now just months before your wedding I have fallen far short of what I should have been doing. You have every reason to be mad at me, hurt, disappointed and feeling less than loved by me. For that I apologize - profusely. I love you more than life itself and literally live in daily agony over the state of our current relationship. In response I'll say this: I resign. Completely and fully resign. Not from being your dad of course, but rather from the disagreement that caused this. The [previous] letter I emailed states my position and stands as the truth as I know it. But that's as far as it goes. I'm done with any disagreement with Steven. My time and energy is now going to be focused on you, Brittany. To work hard restoring

our precious relationship and ensuring this never happens again. Ever. And, to help celebrate the upcoming wedding and make these months before the wedding as enjoyable as possible.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 11 of 31 With that in mind, I am inviting Steven to neutral ground. A place where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen, mi casa es tu casa. I don't want battles anymore - I just want to love my family and others with all my heart. To fully enjoy what time I have left on this planet. The past few weeks/months somehow turned ugly and I don't want that - never did. I ended up feeling ugly inside and that's not who I am. At all. I repent for my misdeeds and missteps. Peace, Tim/Dad" Comment: Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again. My wife replied: "Tim, I want you to know those words really touched my heart. They were absolutely beautiful and honest. I appreciate you putting Britt first and your highest priority .[Emphasis added] Im proud of you and very hopeful we can begin celebrating this engagement and wedding! This makes me very happy. I love you all so much. With Love, Jen" Our daughter replied; "Dad, Steven and I read your emails. We have found ourselves in the same position that we have felt trapped into for the past few weeks, unsure how to respond. We have set clear boundaries, laid out in our Rules of Engagement, and those have been violated repeatedly. The way Steven and I have been treated by you has been inappropriate and out of line. The last email we received was an apology directed towards me, and while I appreciate that I feel as if it dismissed core issues. This puts us into a difficult position of being perceived as the ones continuing conflict if we don't accept a "blank slate." As Steven and I prepare to start our new life together, we are concerned that these patterns of behavior will continue. We believe that there are significant changes that need to occur in order to move forward in a healthy manner. We desire growing towards healthier relationships with you. Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far, so we believe a mediated conversation is the appropriate next step. We are confirming with our mediator, but does Saturday at 10 am work for you and mom? It's important that all 4 of us will be there.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 12 of 31 Thanks, Britt" Concerned about "patterns" – what patterns? I've been apologizing for things I never did. "Significant changes" ? Should I then become an uncaring, unloving father? That's ridiculous and clearly not my daughter's voice. That has 'Steven' written all over it. "….and those have been violated repeatedly…" To date neither Brittany nor Steven can clearly state what any of this alleged violations are. Before meeting Steven, my daughter would never have written an email reply such as this. Never. I believe he is manipulating her. My reply; "Y es, mom and I can be available at that time. I am willing to attend based upon three conditions; 1) This is about moving forward, not digging up the past. There were clearly missteps on the part of several of us, not just myself. Going over those details will serve no

useful purpose other than igniting hurt feelings. 2) The meeting will be focused on ways to communicate in both directions; engaged couple to the parents, and vice-versa. 3) No expectations will be made that violate our First Amendment rights. While I agree there are acceptable ways to communicate, anything that prevents one of us from speaking at all to another person is something I won't consider. This is America - brave heroic people fought for our rights, and that should be respected." Steven replied; "Hi all, I agree with everything Britt said. As for your response, Mr. Hutchinson, I don't believe we can comply with them all of them the way you have requested. 1. There are things that have been done and said over the last two weeks that cannot be ignored, and it is not enough to ask for a "blank slate" and move forward. Some of this will be discussed in our conversation. 2. We agree that communication moving forward should be an element of this conversation. 3. We don't believe it's helpful for us to set expectations for the communication plan moving forward before our conversation.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 13 of 31 I have to admit, these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining for Britt and I as we have interacted with you and we desire to move forward in a healthier direction and would appreciate your willingness to enter into that being willing to lay anything down in order to make that happen. You have emphasized how important your relationship with Britt is, and if that is really true, we believe that you will listen to what Britt and I have to say about how we can all move forward in a healthy way, even if that means that interaction with one or both of us moves forward differently than your personal preference." Comment: "Things have been done and said that cannot be ignored". Such as? To date neither of them can name even one single thing. "…these past few weeks have been incredible challenging and emotionally draining for Brittany and I as we have interacted with you…" Here again, he cannot name one thing that I've done, other than ask a question, raise my voice (to Brittany once, and to my wife once), apologize for things I never did, and strive for peace. They literally cannot and have not named anything. Steven interjected himself into the equation with his comment. Other than a letter trying to make peace, I've had no interaction with Steven in the previous few weeks. But still, he tries to pretend to be a victim here. "We desire to move forward in a healthier direction…" Clearly we cannot move forward if Steven puts zero effort into anything and my daughter will not even speak to me because of Steven's lies. My reply; "Steven, Mrs. Hutchinson and I have also been directly/indirectly impacted in a negative way by some of your words & actions. We will also be presenting a list of expectations on how we will be communicated with. We look forward to the meeting. See you then. Mr. Hutchinson" The second attempt @ mediation was scheduled for Saturday, 2/27/2021 @ 10 AM. The previous week's mediation was cancelled by the mediator due to a scheduling conflict. It's important to note that Steven did not want to discuss any previous events in mediation. Then he changed his mind and now wants previous events to be discussed. That's a 180-degree turn-around. A few days later Steven sends me a text message which says, "Mediation is only about you

and Mrs. Hutchinson learning how you two are going to talk to me." I showed this text message to my wife (Mrs. Hutchinson), my brother and youngest sister. They were all shocked and appalled at this. I responded that he has been saying terrible things to me and that during mediation he would also learn how to communicate with us. Shortly afterwards he cancelled mediation and would not give us a valid reason for doing so. He also refused to reschedule mediation. It appears obvious to me that Steven has by now convinced my daughter that I have done things that are wrong,

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 14 of 31 without any evidence or proof whatsoever. In fact, it has been Steven who is the one causing the problems. I apologized to my daughter and said that I didn't want to focus on any disagreement any longer – instead I wanted to focus on our relationship. I invited Steven to a neutral ground where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where, and I quote, "You are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen – my house is your house." Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again. They both replied that they could not accept this. Brittany claimed I had violated the 'Rules of Engagement' , and Steven said; "…these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining…" What's interesting is during the weeks before this I actually had no contact with him at all. What interaction was he talking about? There literally was none. This is another example of Steven trying to invent a make-believe world and draw my daughter into it – a world in which I am a villain – a violent person unable to control my behavior. Yet here I am, 63+ years-old, no criminal record, love doing volunteer work, no problems with any one until Steven comes along and suddenly I am a super-villain. Yet he cannot name even one threat I've made to him. Not one. Because there weren't any. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17. "At this point it became clear there was significant issue, and so I suggested to the respondent that he and I find a time to connect to talk through the conflict." My response; Steven never made any such suggestion to me. I am the one who has been offering apologies for things I never did and trying to find a way to resolve conflict(s) when I have no idea what the issue(s) are/were. Had he reached out me to in this manner I would have gladly accepted. His claim to have reached out to try and connect to talk through the conflict is absolutely a lie. It should also be noted that at this point in time I had no idea what the problem(s) were/are. Neither Brittany nor Steven were communicating with me. My wife tried contacting them to find out what the issue/problem were/are, but neither of them were willing to discuss this with her either. It wasn't until the first HRO was filed that I had even a glimpse of the terrible things I was being falsely accused of. I tried a total of 15 times to make peace. Steven never suggested even one single thing to help get our relationship back on track. I came up with 100% of the ideas and have offered 100% of the apologies and have made 100% of the efforts. He could not and still cannot truthfully state one thing that I've done to threaten or even offend him. Not one. Up until the first HRO was filed, I had been offering blanket apologies in an effort to have a relationship

with my daughter. All along he took everything I've done, as pure and honest as my actions were, and greatly exaggerated them and spun them into falsely looking like it's all a threat to him. Those are all absolute lies. What's especially troubling is that he claims to be a Christian and works at a church. None of what he's done towards me reflects Christian behavior.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 15 of 31 8. Steven Anderson accused me of being in possession of a firearm and created a false narrative that my daughter was no safe in my home. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18. "Later that day I received a call from my finance that while the respondent was still visibly angry, he went out to the garage and collected his firearm, which he continued to hold while angry. Brittany felt unsafe and so she left to stay at a friend's home. At that point Brittany and I found an apartment to lease where she could live, in order to move out of the respondent's home. We decided to attempt to keep this address a secret from the respondent for fear of safety for Brittany and myself." My response; Within a few days Brittany moved out of our house. Supposedly because she is now suddenly afraid of me, because I raised my voice, twice. What's interesting is the very day she moved out, she returned that evening to retrieve something she had left behind. When she saw me, she gave me a hug and said "I love you so much." I told her it was weird seeing her move and that this was the first time she's ever moved that I didn't help. She replied that was true and yes this all seems weird. As she walked out of the house she looked back at me and though she smiled, I know her well enough that I could detect a hint of sadness – almost as if she didn't want to go. A few days later her youngest sister, Vanessa, told me that she had gone to Brittany's apartment (that she had just moved to), and that those two stood outside the apartment building and hugged as Brittany cried, saying she didn't understand why she had to move away from her home. Vanessa said that Brittany sounded very confused about what was happening. "…for fear of safety for Brittany and myself." This is fear that he created through a false narrative of me being a danger to either of them. He did this intentionally and with malice towards my myself and my family. 9. Steven Anderson accused me of being delusional and unpredictable, further misleading my daughter into thinking that she was in danger. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18. "On 2/2/21 Brittany reports hearing from the respondent that "[he's] so mad [he] could kill someone, so [he's] going to kill Charlie". Further claims Charlie is my "violent side" and was a "secret CIA assassin." He also states that I appear to be "delusional and out-of-touch with reality. I am afraid for my safety and Brittany's. His actions are clearly unpredictable. My response; In an effort to activate what I call my daughters "BS detector", by which I mean a healthy amount of skepticism, my well-meaning brother Steve, made up a story. This is really the only time in my life I've ever told Brittany something that wasn't true. But I had good intentions. He made the story so fantastical that any reasonable person would doubt it was real. That was the whole idea. To have her look at this and say wait a minute, that's not real. And then to have her look at what Steven was saying and realize what he's saying is also not real. My daughter Brittany is kind, sweet,

thoughtful and a really, really wonderful person. She was also gullible as any 22-year-old is a that time. And Steven clearly took advantage of that.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 16 of 31 I told her that "I'm so angry I could kill someone." This is a phrase I grew up hearing; no one actually killed anyone; it's like saying I'm so hungry I could eat a horse. No one eats horses and even if they did, they couldn't consume an entire horse. It's only a phrase with no factual meaning behind it. I also chose that phrase because I figured she would immediately realize that I wouldn't actually kill someone - she's only known me as a kind, loving, peaceful father who always puts his family first. I told her the person I would kill is Charlie (you can't kill Charlie - it's just a name I was given in the military.) I said that I was going to a DNR lab near Owatonna where I would be held down on a table and my head would be zapped with electricity. That's as crazy of a story that we could think of at the moment. The next morning, I said that it snowed the previous night (which it did) so we met at a closed gas station and performed the procedure in a van. They zapped me while I rolled my eyes side- to-side. I waited for her to say something like, 'Dad, this isn't real!' But she never did. I had every intention of explaining to her why I told this fabricated story, but I wanted to do so in person. Sadly, I never saw her again. When I shared this story with my son he began laughing. Anyone who knows me would immediately realize that this was a made-up story. Later, I shared this same story with my youngest daughter, Vanessa. Her response was the same; laughter. They both know I would never do anything like what I had described. Before the trip to Owatonna, I had told this story to my wife. She went with me on the trip and showed no concerns about my mental health. She recognized immediately it was just a story. The fact that Brittany took this seriously showed me how much Steven had been manipulating her. The Kyle Story Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18. Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1. "Throughout my relationship with his daughter, the respondent reminded me on several occasions of a story where he insinuates that a previous relationship one of his other daughters had, ended with him shoving the boyfriends head through the drywall of his apartment." My response; I never told him this story. Kyle was my daughter Vanessa's boyfriend. During their relationship he assaulted her. Afterwards, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. So, I called Kyle, and when he began shouting at me, I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So, I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified myself as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to, I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. He then came at me with a raised fist. At that point I felt the need to defend myself and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I responded, "Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No

 sir. It's done." So, I left. He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical – I only went there to ask that he stay away from my daughter. Again, I never told Steven this story. He only overheard me telling this story, twice. Both times the telling of the story was initiated by Vanessa. The first time was to her boyfriend as the family gathered at a restaurant to celebrate her mom's birthday. The second time was at a bonfire at our family house, and she was telling the story to two friends who stopped by. I took over the telling of the story both times in order to end it quickly. After the second time I asked my daughter, Vanessa, to not tell the story anymore. She stated that she was proud of me for defending her but agreed not to tell the story anymore. She said, "I love you dad." I replied, "I love you too. Thank you for agreeing to not tell this story anymore." Steven was not a part of either conversation, his name was not mentioned, nor did anyone acknowledge him and I never made eye contact with him at any time. During the first time, at the restaurant, he was seated 3 people away from us. At the bonfire, he was seated 4 people away from us. Both times there were other conversations happening closer to him, so there was no way he was included in the conversation pertaining to the Kyle story. His assertion that I reminded him is completely false. He overhead the story and presented it in the court filing for the HRO as if I told it directly to him and also as if it was intended to be a threat directly to him. Those assertions are completely false. It should be noted that after the bonfire, I had 4 people tell me that Steven had acted rudely towards them. Initially I defended him saying that he works at a church and so they must be mistaken. Later, as I thought more about it, it became apparent that some of these people did not know each other and therefore could not have collaborated on the story. That, to me, gave some credence they might be telling the truth. It should also be noted that during the bonfire my brother Steve began making what he thought were jokes about Steven. I quickly interrupted and told my brother that Steven worked at a church and asked that he please not make any jokes about him. He apologized and refrained from telling any further jokes. I defended Steven, only to have him later go and tell lies about me. 10. Steven Anderson falsely claimed I was trying to have him fired when I asked the church leadership for help in intervening in this conflict. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 19. Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1-2. "On March 1, 2021, the respondent appeared unannounced and uninvited at Calvary church.....which is my place of employment to deliver a defamatory letter address to my superior and senior pastor of the church...The letter made false and defamatory claims about me and my character with the intent to have Calvary terminate my employment." My response; Before contacting the church I reached out to Steven in yet another attempt to make peace. He never responded. So, I then reached out to Calvary church and approached them as respectfully as possible asking for help. I stated that Steven has been acting inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. I asked them to review the facts and prayerfully consider helping bring a resolution to this situation. There was no response to the first request, so I sent a second. Shortly afterwards a

 letter came in the mail from their attorney stating I was not welcome on either of their campuses and to not have any further contact with them. At that time, I respected their request and ceased contact with the church. Later, I learned that Steven claimed he was so afraid of me being violent that he was being escorted into the church from the parking lot each day, and then back to his car when he left. He also said he was always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him. The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. Steven went so far as to make a church unduly afraid of me, with no evidence whatsoever – nothing but his false claims. I will state this fact again; I never threatened him. Ever. If I am such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren't the police ever called? If Steven was really that afraid of me the police should be notified. But they weren't. Why? In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police - not even once. He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him - not one - because there weren't any. Because I don't want to - I never did. Any perceived threats are made-up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as my family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me. At no time have I ever asked the church to fire him. My request initially was simply to nudge him towards mediation. Later, that became a request for an investigation – but only after he told me, through his attorney, that he did not want to reconcile our relationship. "The letter made false and defamatory claims…" No, it was a respectful attempt to move things forward towards resolution. Everything in the letter and accompanying documents was/were factually true. Exhibit / Evidence; F. Letter to Steven before contacting the church. 11. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of ill intent when I went to his apartment to offer peace. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 20-21. Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 2. "APRIL 28, 2021 - UNINVITED INTRUSION AT PERITIONER'S PERSONAL RESIDENCE." The respondent visited my apartment building on 4/28/21 at 7:50am. Steven and his roommates were all sleeping. After receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the building, neither Steven nor his roommates unlocked the front door to the building, which they had previously discussed to do if he came to visit the apartment. But the respondent entered anyway. He knocked on the door repeatedly but I did not answer....my heart was racing...I began to think about having to jump from my second story balcony or calling the police just to get away....After some time of knocking, it stopped...." My response; This is another exaggeration Steven has made. What really occurred is this; shortly before my visit I asked for an extended break - to let things calm down a bit. I then went to each of their homes for one purpose; to let them know they are both loved and forgiven. I told my wife what

 my purpose was for going there, and she responded by saying my actions were beautiful and she was proud of me. Upon arrival at Steven's apartment building, I parked my car and exited. An African American woman

was walking out to her car and said hello. I responded by saying good morning. She then told me the buzzer box on the building was broken and that maintenance knew about it. She then told me I could just enter the building as the door was unlocked. I thanked her and walked towards the front door of the building. Once there I discovered the door was indeed unlocked and so I walked in without buzzing Steven's apartment. His claim of " receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the building,…´ is therefore completely false, as I never buzzed his apartment. I couldn't – the buzzer was broken. In an earlier filing he also claimed that I had "gained access" to the building, making it sound as though I had broken into the building as if I were a burglar or criminal. That also is false. I simply opened the door and walked in like any normal person would. I then went to his apartment and using the second knuckle on my right hand, knocked three times in a normal manner. I then stepped back across the hall and patiently waited. After several minutes no one answered the door, so I stepped forward and again knocked three times in a normal manner, again using the second knuckle of my right hand. It should also be noted that this was during the Covid pandemic, and I respectfully wore a mask during this entire time. When there was no answer after my second time of knocking normally, I peacefully walked out of the building and drove away calmly. From there I drove to a nearby Cub Foods store and purchased some flowers for my daughter. I went to her apartment building and buzzed her apartment; however, she didn't answer the door. So, I placed the flowers on her car and left peacefully. I later learned she had called Steven in a panicked state because I was at the door to the apartment building she lived in and she didn't know how to respond. I went there with flowers, to tell her that I love her, and she's too afraid to answer the door. Clearly this is because of the lies Steven has told her. Does it really make sense that I am trying to make peace and threatening violence at the same time? It's an incredibly sad day for a dad when his own daughter is afraid of him for no valid reason whatsoever. Let me be perfectly clear - I have never threatened either one of them with violence, at any time, in any way whatsoever. These are all fabricated lies of Steven. In my journal I wrote that I was going there to tell them they were LOVED and FORGIVEN, with both of those words in uppercase letters. It was repeated this several times on the same page in the journal. In his court filing, Steven left out that page intentionally, because it tells the real story and exposes some of the lies he has told. Since he dd not answer the door, Steven does not know the reason for my visit. He decided, without any evidence, to twist things around and use this against me in a manner that was intended to cause harm to myself and my family. 12. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of making threatening phone calls to him. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21. "2.....THREATENTING VOICE MESSAGE...."If you want to live in peace, as I do, then if I prepare for war, any adversary will know that and basically let me be. So when you haven't heard from my these past few weeks, that's I've been doing. I've been preparing for war." My response;

 I clearly stated my desire to live in peace. His actions have made that difficult. I was letting him know that I had been

preparing for war – which means to expose him on social media and contact law enforcement (which I ultimately did – more details later.) I have told him all along that I wanted to resolve things in a peaceful manner. His response has been to continue telling lies about me and/or ignore my multiple request(s) for a peaceful resolution. 13. Steven Anderson falsely accused my wife of sending harassing emails on my behalf. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21. "3. MARCH 24, 2021---HARASSING EMAIL. Email excerpt sent through Timothy's wife, Jennifer to Steven Anderson: "You've successfully stripped my daughter out of my life, and in the process hurt me at a level I have not been hurt before. But understand one thing; I could have stopped you by releasing the 'Epic Storm' I designed and planned." My response; The reason my wife began sending the emails is because during the time when Steven, Brittany, my wife and I were having an email conversation, Steven was also sending me emails directly stating things such as how he expects me to submit to him. But in the other emails which were including my wife and Brittany he was acting innocent and trying to make himself and Bittany look like victims. I showed these emails to my wife and requested that she be the one moving forward to send out the emails, that way we could be certain the four of us were seeing all the emails being sent. She readily agreed and I then blocked Steven from emailing me directly. An 'Epic Storm' is a term which describes a completely legal means of a cascading series of events designed to bring about change, or to protect someone. Again, these events are all completely legal. Nothing evil and no intent to cause physical harm at all. Several times I told Steven that I would do an epic storm if he continued ruining my relationship with Brittany and causing strife in my family. I never took any action though, because that would have been upsetting to my daughter and I was doing my best to shield her from any actions I was being forced to take. He falsely claimed this meant I was going to be violent with him, even though I told him everything I planned on doing ahead of time, and all of it was completely legal. It stated this in my journal and in emails. Again, he took advantage of every innocent thing I did and would twist things to make my daughter think I was a crazed violent person. Something she has never seen in me before, because it's not there and is all a fabrication of Steven's. Meanwhile, he's doing absolutely nothing to help settle things between us. His attempts thus far have amounted to exactly zero. He is a person who works in a church yet disobeys the teachings of the bible. His actions themselves expose him as a fraud. Bold Moves Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21. "04. April 26, 2021---EMAIL. Email excerpt sent to Brittany about Steven "My plan is to make some bold moves to resolve things between Steven and I. For us to not have a relationship is completely unacceptable. A father should not have to stop having a relationship with his daughter so she can marry someone. This is wrong on so many levels. Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language these "bold moves" have cause much concern for me and have included him visiting my home and place of work..."

 My response; " Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language…" I have never threatened him or used any violent language. Steven has again made all of this up. Bold moves would be to do as I stated on the

main page of my journal; go public with what I know about him, file a lawsuit, ask law enforcement to become involved, etc. None of the actions I mentioned were violent in nature and in fact are all completely legal. Steven has again fabricated all of the claims against me concerning threats of violence. See previous sections for what happened during the time(s) I visited his work and/or home. None of that was even close to being threatening. Asking a church to help move things forward towards meditation is a threat? Visiting his home to tell him he is " LOVED and FORGIVEN " is " violent language" ? It seems clear to me that Steven is seriously delusional and acting/acted on an evil plan to intentionally harm/destroy my family. 14. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of creating a public website he claimed was meant to publicly defame him. It was only an online journal. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24-25. "PUBLIC DEFAMATORY WEBSITE....Respondent created a defamatory website and threatened to spread the link publicly. My response: This was a personal and private online journal. I allowed Steven to see it one time. He violated copyright law by copying the entire journal, then attempted to use it against me. This private journal did not contain any threats of violence at all. The main part of the journal contained a collection of emails that had been sent back and forth between Steven, Brittany, my wife and I, as well as some letters I had sent Brittany, and some moments of personal reflection. Again, nothing threatening violence of any type. When Steven would not agree to meet or come together for mediation, I added a home page to the journal stating I would make this journal public if he did not work towards a resolution between us so I could have a relationship with my daughter. On that page I clearly stated that everything would be done legally. It also included a picture of Steven's face with the eyes made to look dark. That was to show how much darkness I believed was in Steven in order for him to act the way he had been, and beneath it was a call to prayer . [Emphasis added]. Should the private journal be made public, this would be a request for people to pray for him. Again, there was no threat of violence to him or anyone else. This was plain and obvious, but Steven instead chose to twist things around to make it seem that he was an intended victim. Exhibit / Evidence; H. Copy of private journal. 15. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of having said he was responsible for $350,000 in medical bills. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24. "Claimed I was responsible for $350,000 of medical damages..."

 My response; I have never claimed there were/are $350,000 of medical damages or bills. I have health insurance and most of the medical bills have been paid through them. In recent years I have gone to the doctor's office and there have been concerns about cancer and my heart. During those times Steven has not shown any care or concern for my health. Not even an offer of prayer. This falls far beneath the level expected of a Christian, and especially of church faculty or a pastor. More False Claims Steven Filing Part 1. Page 26. "...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature. In March of this year, he reported suicide ideation and holding a gun to his head, as a result of the deterioration with the relationship with his daughter....He seems unable to regulate his emotions, is willing to bring a firearm into the mix and is

fixated on me being the cause of his broken relationship with his daughter. I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me. After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me. By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation." My response; "...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature...." "He seems unable to regulate his emotions..." "I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me." "After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me." "By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation." Those are all gross exaggerations intended to falsely make him appear to be a victim. One evening I did very briefly hold a gun up to my head at a park, within hours of a painful counseling session with my daughter. Later, I told my wife about this. We agreed I should get some help at the hospital. Once there, they offered to let me stay with them for inpatient counseling, and clearly stated my stay was completely voluntary. I agreed, and over the next 24 hours worked with a mental health doctor (Dr. Brown) and her staff to develop coping skills. The following day I asked to be discharged, and the doctor agreed. She stated there were no concerns for suicide or that I would act out in a violent manner. I went to the hospital voluntarily, stayed voluntarily, and left voluntarily with no concerns that I was a threat either to myself or anyone else. Steven's statements, listed above, are completely false, fabricated, and being used as an attempt to slander and libel me, with the clear intent of causing immeasurable harm to myself and my family. The above statements made by Steven clearly show that he is making up these terrible claims about me. There is no evidence at all that I have ever threatened him. Steven is cleverly twisting

 words around in an attempt to make himself appear to be a victim, and to cause harm to my family. In doing so he has also caused undue concern from the church and congregation. Exhibit / Evidence G. Hospital papers from overnight stay. 16. Steven Anderson convinced my daughter to falsely accuse me of domestic violence. (First HRO.) Shortly after I went to tell them they were LOVED and FORGIVEN, I was served court papers by the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office. These papers were Steven and Brittany filing for a Harassment Restraining Order against me. Once I read their complaint(s) against me it became clear the type of lies Steven had been telling about me, specifically to my daughter, Brittany. I assembled all the evidence I had and planned to ask for a trial, during which I would fully expose Steven and his lies intended to harm both myself and my family. Especially concerning were allegations there was ‘domestic violence’ on the main page of Brittany’s filing. There never was any violence of any kind whatsoever between me and Brittany. That claim is false and is a horrible allegation. Their Attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh, told me beforehand that if I did not accept the HRO without finding of fact, it would

harm my daughter, her integrity and profession as a nurse. Though I could have presented an enormous amount of evidence and witnesses to refute any of Steven's claims (which are lies), out of an abundance of love for my daughter, Brittany, I accepted the HRO without finding of fact. Mr. Van Loh went on to tell me that "It's a tough day for a dad" and that he understands because of his own daughter's wedding, and that I am "Doing the right thing." During that same meeting, he asked that the website I had up about Steven be taken down. I specifically told him that was not a website and that it was my private journal - one that I discreetly shared with Steven. He then said, "That's what pen and paper are for." So, he knew that was a private journal, but in the future, he would act as though it was a public website that I used to disparage Steven. In one later email he even specifically referred to that website as a "public website." Why would anyone refer to a website in that manner? Unless of course they know it's private and are trying to pretend it is not. It should be noted that despite being out of my daughter's life was/is incredibly painful, during the next two (2) years I only violated the HRO one time, and only due to a medical emergency. This happened when I was feeling ill and was advised by my doctor's office to come see them soon. While driving there I began experiencing chest pains when only a few blocks away from the clinic. Instead of going my usual long way around to get there, and avoiding Calvary church on Highway 96 (a place where I was ordered to not go within two (2) blocks of) I instead opted for the direct route which took me past the church. I did not stop at the church or otherwise interact with anyone there. I only drove past it on in my attempt to get to the clinic as quickly as possible. My thoughts were focused on getting medical help. Once at the clinic an EKG was performed. The attending physician immediately recognized I was having a cardiac event and called for an ambulance. I was transported to the nearest hospital for treatment. Other than this one time due to a medical emergency, I obeyed the court order and did not violate the HRO. I am a peaceful and law-abiding citizen. Shortly after the HRO was accepted by me, Brittany and Steven were married. This occurred on June 19 th , 2021. Two of Brittany's three siblings did not attend the wedding, and neither did

 anyone from my side of the family. They read the HRO filing and do not believe the lies being told about me. In addition, several people who read the HRO said they were present when some of the events took place, and that Steven was lying in his description of what happened. Several of them stated another reason they did not attend was due to Steven's rude behavior towards them. I was told by several people in attendance at the wedding that Steven had hired two (2) off-duty police officers to provide security, and that he had done so claiming he was fearful I would show up at the wedding and become violent. I allege he did this for dramatic purposes only, because I never was a threat to him. Exhibit / Evidence; M. HRO cover page claiming domestic violence. Also, R. Background. 17. Steven Anderson falsely claimed I sent a threatening letter. Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4. "May 4, 2023---Threatening Letter to Petitioner: Respondent contacted me through my attorney threatening again to protest me at my place

of employment (See Exhibit C). In his letter, Respondent threatens that the only way to prevent this from occurring is to, "…Speak up now. Once [respondent]'s plan goes into action, there is no stopping or turning back" Respondent also accuses me of telling, "bullshit lies" and ends his letter "There is one question you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out? Tick-tock." [Emphasis Added]. My response; More false claims about my being a threat. There is nothing threatening about a peaceful protest. I even asked for his input on how to resolve this matter so I could have a relationship with my daughter. But here again, he twists things around with the help of his attorney, to make me seem like I am some violent crazed person. They even put an emphasis on the phrase " Tick-tock" as though it were a reference to a bomb. It was clearly a reference to a clock as I had stated earlier in the letter that the first HRO was expiring soon. He also falsely claims the protests would be against him – when in fact I clearly stated in the letter to the church it would be about them performing a proper investigation. How is that a threat to him, unless he is afraid of the truth coming out? A Danger to the Church? Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4. " Respondent has resumed and appears to be prepared to intensify the harassment against me and my wife, Brittany upon the expiration of the HRO on May 27, 2023. Although directed towards me, his threats of harassment involve and endanger 1,500+ congregants, including hundreds of children, at both campuses as well as the full and part time staff of both campuses. I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me or those around me."

## 124. Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Complaint - another copy.docx

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 26 of 31 The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. If the church considered me such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren't the police ever called? If Steven was that afraid of me, the police should have been notified. But they weren't. In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2 nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police – not even once. He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him – not one – because there weren't any. Because I don't want violence – I never did. Any perceived threats are made- up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me. Exhibit / Evidence; I. Copy of letter to the church seeking help. Contacting their attorney trying to make peace I contacted their attorney and asked if there was a way to make peace. After several emails exchanged between Mr. Van Loh and myself, he stated that Steven does not want to reconcile with me. Exhibit / Evidence; L. Van Loh emails. The Video Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3. "June 2021 YouTube Video. At some point following the May 27, 2021 HRO, Respondent created a 90+ minute YouTube video "responding" to the HRO, but specifically speaking out and challenging the allegations in the Petition, even though he agreed to an

entry of an HRO voluntarily without the court making findings of the alleged harassment. Respondent enlisted the help of my wife Brittany's sister, Vanessa to film who he then had it forwarded the video to my wife. The video was originally posted on a password protected webpage on his site, his "ministry" site, not the website he was required to take down after the HRO. He has since taken down his ministry website. Attached are screenshots of the video (Exhibit E) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary." My response; I agreed to an entry of (the first) HRO voluntarily because their attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh told me that if I did not accept it that it would be harmful to my daughter, her reputation and her career. I accepted it out of concern and love for my daughter. Shortly afterwards several members of my family came to me asking various questions about the HRO. Because of the nature of this matter and how emotionally hard it was for me to discuss it, my youngest daughter, Vanessa volunteered to help me make a video where I could both explain and reply to the terrible allegations that were made against me. After the video was created, I posted it on my website on a private & unlisted page, that was additionally password protected. I then shared the page address and password with five (5) family members. I asked that they let me know when they had watched the video so I could remove it from the private, unlisted and password protected webpage.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 27 of 31 To be clear, there is nothing that threatens violence in that video at all. Steven's allegations of that are completely false. Steven also states, " Attached are screenshots of the video (Exhibit E) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary." This is clearly an attempt to make it seem as it the video contains threats and/or contains content that is nefarious. This is completely false. Steven also claims that I had my daughter Vanessa forward it onto my daughter Brittany. This is also false, and he has no evidence to support this. Nonetheless, the referee (Elizabeth A. Clydesdale) believed this to be the truth, without any evidence to support this false claim, and ignoring the statement of my daughter, Brittany, said I was guilty of violating the first HRO. "…enlisted the help of my wife Brittany's sister, Vanessa…" Steven's wife, Brittany, is my daughter, So, it stands to reason that her sister is likely also my daughter (she is). He could have just referred to her as 'Respondents daughter, Vanessa', but he didn't. Here again he wants to interject himself into every scenario possible – especially if he can falsely make himself appear to be a victim. My daughter, Vanessa, and I have a close and loving relationship. Vanessa was originally a bridesmaid at the wedding of her sister. Brittany. She backed out after she saw the things that Steven was doing, and also after reading the first HRO and recognizing the lies he was telling. She knows he is a liar and that's why she volunteered to help with the making of the video. Exhibit / Evidence: Private video can be viewed by typing this link into a web browser. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZbYLElTAwO0 18. Steven Anderson made false claims that he feared I would bring harm to Calvary Church congregation and staff. Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3. " 12. With the pending dismissal of the HRO on May 27, 2023, the Respondent has stated his intent to resume the same level of harassment which includes picketing at the place of my

employment, Calvary Church, Roseville and White Bear Township. The Respondent violated Paragraph 1A of the HRO by sending threatening emails to my employer, Calvary Church, a threatening letter to me, and by sending a letter threatening me to my wife, Brittany Anderson, who also has a Harassment Restraining Order against the Respondent." My response; My youngest sister, who knew the HRO was about to expire, suggested that she take some action. She was doing this with good intentions. Just a few months prior, her only daughter had suddenly and unexpectedly passed away at the age of 40. I stood by her throughout this horrible ordeal. She wanted to find a way to help me with the situation concerning my daughter, Brittany. Her idea was to form a peaceful protest at Calvary Church and continue doing so until they agreed to do a proper investigation. I initially said no, don't do anything. But she was insistent and even looked up the laws concerning a peaceful protest. I eventually and reluctantly agreed to go along with this idea of hers. But I made it abundantly clear that I would not participate in those protests. The most I would do is to send a letter to Steven and Calvary Church explaining the intention of an upcoming protest. The letter to the church was very respectful. The letter to Steven was more pointed. Because, after all, this was all his fault. And because of his actions, there were many negative impacts on my life and those of my family. But there was no threat in

 any of my communication(s). They are stating it as such for dramatic effect. Meanwhile, back in reality, I have obeyed the HRO and was not posing a threat to anyone. The response I got was to be served papers that Steven and Brittany had filed for an extension of the HRO's. They requested a hearing on an emergency basis, claiming that I was such a threat that they feared for their lives, and were concerned I would go to their church and harm/murder hundreds of children. He went as far as to falsely state that I previously claimed to be a CIA assassin. That is not the truth, and he is including this merely for dramatic effect. I have never been a CIA assassin, an employee of the CIA, or employed as a federal officer or any letter agency, nor have I ever made such a claim. My intention was to ask for a trial, at which time I would bring forth all the evidence I have, including the things mentioned in this Civil Complaint. At that time, I asked my sister to abandon any intentions of protesting, and she agreed. During the first hearing for the second HRO I requested a trial. Their attorney, Mr. Van Loh suggested that nothing from the first HRO be allowed at trial. The referee, Elizabeth A Clydesdale agreed. At the trial, I became aware that I had improperly submitted my evidence and none of it would be allowed. Though I had sent it to the State evidence collection system properly, I did not understand that it also needed to be sent to their attorney. I emailed a list of the evidence and incorrectly assumed they would obtain the evidence from the State evidence system. I emailed Mr. Van Loh’s office to ensure they got the evidence, and the email reply came back with one word; “Received”. They could have said the evidence was missing from the email, but they didn’t. Instead, they used my inexperience as an opportunity to have the evidence to not be allowed. This is another example of how this trial was not about the truth or justice in any way, shape, or form. This was yet another attack on myself and my

family. The only evidence they presented was my private journal, which was from the first HRO. Referee Clydesdale allowed it, despite her previous statement. That private journal ended up being a primary focus of their case. In addition to it being improperly allowed as evidence, Mr. Van Loh was using this journal to present their case claiming that I was somehow a threat to Steven and/or my daughter. The journal contains no threats of violence towards anyone. The referee did not explain that I was not obligated to answer any of their attorneys' questions. In the beginning of the trial, I was sworn in, and their attorney began questioning me. I believe the court should have informed me that I have a right to refuse to be questioned by their attorney. I felt like it was an obligation to answer their attorney's questions. If I had known that I did not have to answer any questions, I would have remained silent during his questioning. During the trial Mr. Van Loh acted rudely and was clearly not interested in truth and justice. This was purely a seek-and-destroy mission for him. He was also rude to my witnesses and suggested to the court that my witnesses were lying, without presenting any evidence supporting his allegation(s). I felt as though his goal was clearly to make me appear as though I was one of the worst humans on this earth, without any supporting evidence, and went as far as to ask the HRO's be for life. Though I was allowed to present a few witnesses, their attorney treated them harshly. This is the same attorney who previously would claim that any attempt by me to settle this matter by filing a lawsuit amounted to "Threatening legal attacks." [Emphasis added.] Anytime I attempted to find ways to reconcile matters, Mr. Van Loh would state in an email, that my continued efforts to work things out with my daughter were only pushing her away. He intentionally made things worse and more difficult for me to restore peace and harmony in my family.

 My first witness was my sister, Sunnie. She wanted to read a statement but was denied the opportunity by the referee. When I tried questioning her about the content(s) of the statement, their attorney objected to almost everything and her testimony was largely not allowed. Afterwards, their attorney called my sister a liar. (Her statement is included as evidence and listed on the Exhibit / Evidence list as item "Q".) Since my witnesses were being treated in a manner which I viewed as being unfair and too harshly, I decided to present the remainder of the case myself. However, when I tried to do so, the referee stopped the proceedings and said she would hear closing comments before issuing a ruling. So, I was literally not allowed to fully present my case. Had I been allowed to, part of that would have been to ask for this matter be referred to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office for investigation and criminal proceedings. In the Spring of 2023, I met with someone from the Sheriff's Office and showed them the info / evidence I had. They stated if I could have the civil court refer this matter to them, they would proceed with a criminal investigation against Steven. They further stated that Steven would likely be arrested and put in jail. I asked what I had done wrong, if anything. The response was "Nothing sir, you're a good father". They had no witnesses other than myself, and no evidence other than my private journal; which should not have been allowed based upon the agreement between the attorney and the referee.

The second HRO was granted for two-years for my daughter, and ten-years for Steven. The reasons for this were due to the inappropriate actions of their attorney as well as the referee's actions not being conducive for the truth to be presented. Exhibit / Evidence; Q. Statement my sister was not allowed to present in court. 19. Steven Anderson has caused me emotional distress leading to the dissolution of my marriage and impacting me financially. Here is a list of ways his actions have impacted me. 1. Marriage of 31 years ended in divorce. Due to the emotional stress caused by the words and actions of Steven, I became withdrawn and difficult to be married to. The decision to divorce was deeply sad and painful for both my wife and I. 2. Loss of relationship with my daughter, Brittany. This is the most painful thing I have ever experienced in my life. 3. As part of the divorce, we had to sell our family home where we had lived for 24 years. On my last day there, after moving my personal possessions out, I returned one last time to shower. From the time I entered the bathroom, showered, got dressed and walked out the front door one last time, I cried. The entire time I was in tears. My ex-wife shared that she too cried, almost every day for a month after moving out. After selling the house I became homeless and lived in hotels for weeks until being able to rent an apartment. 4. My career ended due to performance reasons directly because of the stress from this terrible situation. I was making many mistakes at work because of the stress. I quit and later was hired by a Target Stores contractor as a manager to perform a job I previously held and excelled at. This time, however, I could not perform the job and stepped down after a month. They kept me on as a driver. After one week, while

 performing an action I had done approximately 30,000 times previously, I made a mistake that caused significant damage to a piece of equipment. I was immediately fired. 5. My health has declined in various ways, including mental and physical. In addition to the depression and sadness over the loss of a relationship with my daughter, there was a near suicide attempt (as mentioned earlier). My heart has always been healthy in the past. Now I am on several medications. This is because of the stress. I decline to share any further information about my health. 6. More stress and heartache than I have ever experienced in my life. The pain and suffering I have experienced, as well as my family, has been unimaginable. 7. Loss of enjoyment of activities I normally engaged in; i.e. motorcycle riding. In a typical year I would ride approximately 5,000-7,000 miles. During 2023 and 2024 I have ridden about 500 miles each year. Have also missed several longer multi-day trips that I normally go on with my brother and friends. Exhibit / Evidence; N. Pics of trailer I damaged at work just prior to being fired. 20. Steven Anderson has falsely claimed that I did not fulfil my financial commitment to support him. At the beginning of the relationship between Steven and my daughter, he asked me to help support him financially. I agreed to help and began sending him monthly contributions through an organization called Reliant. Despite all the harm he caused both myself and my family, I had remained hopeful this relationship could be fixed. Because of that I continued sending him financial help every month and continued doing so for 2 ½ years. I stopped in June of 2023 because that is the month my wife moved out of our family home.

Again, our marriage ended only due to the incredible amount of stress caused by Steven's lies. So, when my wife moved out, I stopped making monthly payments to help him. Later I learned that Steven had told my daughter that I promised to help them financially, but then never did. That is a lie – I helped financially support him for 2 ½ years. Exhibit / Evidence: E. Reliant Receipts for years 2021, 2022, 2023.

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Complaint - another copy.docx ***

Complaint

My daughter, Brittany, and I were very close and spent time together frequently. See Facebook screenshots, (Exhibit A). She began dating Steven Anderson, director of youth services @ Calvary Church in 2020. Within a few months Brittany came to me and said she was breaking up with him. She said that she felt as though she couldn't be herself around him because was too controlling. She later said that she had another meeting with him, during which time he "shared his heart" with her. Brittany said that Steven told her due to his upbringing he had difficulty properly expressing himself. Because his explanation she decided to again date him. Upon hearing that I decided to give him a wide swath of grace and patience when dealing with him. He took advantage of this, as documented below.

Shortly thereafter Steven approached me to help support his ministry – which is his full-time job. He says the church cannot afford to pay him a salary, so he fundraises as a substitute. I began supporting his endeavor financially and even worked with him to improve his presentation to find others who would be supportive. I continued sending money every month for 2 ½ years – even during the time when he would file an HRO against me, as I still had hope we would settle things peacefully. My wife later said that Steven told Brittany that I wasn't sending money and had refused to help them. After 2 ½ years of supporting them, I stopped sending money after the June 2024 payment when my wife moved out of our family home due to the stress of the problems Steven would cause after becoming engaged to our daughter, Brittany.

Within months Steven approached me and asked what it would take to have my blessing for him to marry my daughter. One of the things I mentioned was spending more time with our family - we barely knew him. I told Steven that family was important to us, and that if he was to marry my daughter then we would want him to feel welcomed in our family and hopefully would be an active part of it.

Afterwards he made an effort to be at our house almost on a weekly basis, and things seemed to be going well.

One day he called to ask if we could hang out sometime. I said yes and we picked a date and time. When that time came, he arrived at our house and we talked for quite a while. I sensed he had something he wanted to say or possibly ask me - maybe even permission to marry Brittany. Finally, after 3 hours I asked him "Is there something you wanted to ask me?" He then asked for my blessing on marrying my

daughter, and I gave him that blessing. My wife and I even helped with a temporary ring until he could buy one.

Once he proposed and my daughter accepted, his entire demeanor towards myself and our family changed. Documented below are lies and exaggerations he said about me in an HRO (Harassment Restraining Order) and my response which reveals how Steven has engaged in a campaign of libel, slander and harassment which has caused significant harm to both myself, and my family.

Shortly after he manipulated her and convinced her to stop talking with me for a while so they could "focus on their relationship". That was the last time I ever spoke with her. The last thing she said to me was "Dad, I love you so so so so much!"

This campaign has included making my daughter fearful of me through a false narrative of his claim of me threatening him with a gun, being a overall dangerous person to him, her, and the church, and convincing her to join him in filing for an HRO under false pretenses.

I asked many times what the problem was and asked to resolve any differences. He never responded. After trying for a long time without any response, I texted him the following;: You lost far more than you gained.” Meaning, we could have had a great relationship, but he had another agenda. I had actually tried to make peace and amends 15 times. Steven made zero attempts, and refused all of my attempts at making peace.

During this time I had been offering blanket apologies for things I had not done, just to have a relationship with my daughter. He never responded and instead continued taking everything I’ve done, as pure and honest as my actions were, and greatly exaggerated them and spun them into my looking like it’s all a threat to him.

His deliberate actions, hyper-exaggerated claims and outright lies have caused significant harm; due to the stress of Steven's actions, my wife and I ended our 31-year marriage. We sold the family home where we lived for 24 years, and I became homeless. I had to quit my job as a Safety and Compliance Manager. I enjoyed a corner office and had 70 people working for me - but had to quit due to my declining work performance as a result of the stress caused by Steven's actions and words. The day prior to selling our home I moved my belongings into a storage unit. Within a short period of time that unit was broken into and most of my valuable possessions were stolen.

I am a peaceful person and the victim of lies. By filing this lawsuit, I am seeking two things; A) A trial by jury and asking for damages exceeding $50,000.00. B) A referral to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office to complete their criminal investigation into this matter. (More details further in this filing.)

It is important to note that I have enjoyed a great relationship with the others my children have dated or married. With one notable exception; a man named Kyle, who assaulted my daughter and subsequently

assaulted myself. Prior to Steven, my daughter Brittany dated a man name David Zimmerman for several years. David and I got along exceptionally well. When Brittany decided to break up with David, he came directly to our family home to spend time with me. I explained that since Brittany is my daughter, I need to advocate for her. He responded, “I know, but I feel so much better being with you.”

My youngest daughter, Vanessa, was dating a man named James Anderson (a common last name – no relation to Steven.) When he was homeless, I took him into our home just a week prior to our home being sold due to my divorce. Despite going through my own personal challenges, I still helped him. One of the ways was to help James come up with a life plan and even rented him a hotel room. Later, when my daughter decided to end that relationship, I continued paying for his hotel room, and gave him money for expenses.

My oldest daughter, Victoria, dated and married a man named Jacob Rademacher. During the 12-years my daughter has been with him, Jake (Jacob) and I have enjoyed a great relationship. He has never felt fearful of me, nor felt threatened in any way, shape, form or manner. Jake and I have been to hockey games, on vacation, road trips and more together. He’s a fantastic son-in-law who calls me “Dad”. I hoped for the same with Steven, but the evidence will show he has a different agenda.

Exhibit / Evidence; A. Facebook screenshots.

Guest List Phone Call

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

"Within a week after Brittany and I began our engagement, the respondent began to take issue with our wedding planning. He began to call me to argue for things to be different on decisions that my finance and I had made."

My response;

His statement is false. One day Brittany was at our family house speaking to her mom and I about the guest list. It sounded as if Steven had already invited so many people there was barely any room for our side of the family. At least that was the impression I got from the conversation. At one point I heard the number of guests was already more than the total amount they agreed upon. Brittany seemed stressed by this process. Her mom approached me afterwards and asked me if I could help with this matter. She suggested I make a friendly call to Steven and I agreed. I called him and asked one question: "How does the guest list honor the mother and father of the bride?" His reply was all about his family and never directly answered my question. He then stated that he didn’t think his response answered my question. I replied, “Not really.” He then said he would get back to me about this. I offered a suggestion on how they might decide on a guest list, and he said that he would discuss this with Brittany. We then talked for a short while about other non-wedding related things and ended the call on a positive note. He

never got back to me to answer the question. I shared the details of this conversation with my wife, and we thought that call was enough and those two (Brittany and Steven) would work things out.

The only other time I ever discussed the wedding with Steven was during a time when he called me about a non-wedding related topic, and I asked him if my wife and I would be able to meet his parents before the wedding. He replied, “Not my dad, but maybe my mom when she comes to town to help me finish planning the wedding.” He made it sound as if he and his mom would be doing the wedding planning and not Brittany. I asked, “Does Brittany know about this?” He said yes. I then asked if there would be anything that Mrs. Hutchinson (Brittany’s mom) would be able to help with. He replied, “Maybe my mom can find something for her to do.” I then repeated an earlier question, “And Brittany knows about this?” He again replied, yes. I then said, “Oh, ok.” That’s as far as the conversation went.

The only time I discussed the wedding with Brittany was when she came to me with a concern about their wedding photographer. I gave her some advice on how to approach Steven to discuss this topic.

Compare this to my oldest daughters (Victoria & Jake) wedding. I caused no problems whatsoever, did not interfere with any planning, and they will both testify to that. I would have done the same for Brittany and Steven’s wedding, except Steven had other plans to exclude me as the evidence will show.

Steven and Brittany were married on June 19th, 2021. This is the day before Father’s Day. I believe Steven pushed for this day to be chosen because the following day, June 20th, 2021, on their first full day of marriage it was Father’s Day, and I would not be able to celebrate it with my daughter, Brittany. It is alleged he did this intentionally to further harm me emotionally.

Phone calls

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17 – 18

"The frequency and hostility in Respondent's telephone calls increased. Amidst these interactions Brittany and I decided it would be best to set boundaries around our wedding planning and conversations with us about them."

My response;

There never were any harassing phone calls. This claim is a lie. I only made 6 phone calls to Steven total the entire time I have known him. Two of those were about allowing him to borrow one of my wife’s rings to propose to our daughter. Another call was to offer him the same advice my Father-in-law gave me prior to my marrying his daughter nearly 30 years prior. He became agitated and responded rudely to me. Another call was to ask if he wanted to get together and hang out – he said “No” and hung up on me. There was a call about us doing a video chat to discuss some potential changes to his fund-raising presentation (I volunteered to help him with this). The other call was months later when I left a

voicemail during a time I was upset with him after a long period of him intentionally doing things to harm both myself and my family.

It should be noted that Steven made plenty of calls to me, however. He would say things such as;a) “You’re not a very good father.”b) “Brittany deserves someone better than you.” c) “If you want to have a relationship with your daughter, then you need to submit to me and let me control you.”

Here again I gave him a wide swath of grace and patience because of what he told my daughter about not being able to properly express himself. During these times I tried to steer the conversation away from negative comments and towards something more positive and family oriented.

Laundry

Brittany does Stevens laundry at our house, which I honestly didn't mind. He makes it clear that he is concerned about Covid, so when I discovered some information about that virus and fabric, I wanted to share this with him. Soon afterwards as Brittany was leaving the house, she said Steven’s laundry was in the dryer and asked that once done I place it outside the front door for him to pick up. I figured this was out of concern for Covid, so decided to write him a letter, and placed in in the laundry basket along with his clean clothes. It basically said I had done some research on Covid and was surprised it could last up to 48-hours on our clothes. Said I could appreciate his concern for being exposed to Covid. Maybe it’s best his clothes are not washed at our house - at least now for now.

I then said it would have been nice to see him today. That we could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just the day before for Covid. I signed it by saying "Hope you have a wonderful day."

When his clothes were done, I placed them outside the front door as requested. When Steven arrived, he read the note, threw the clothes into his car, slammed the door, then stood there staring at our house for a full minute with an angry look on his face. He then got into the car and sped off running through a stop sign and speeding up the street at approximately double the speed limit.

My intentions in writing that letter was pure – I really wanted to have a good relationship with him, but it seemed he turned it around and used it as an opportunity to have a tantrum.

Then just a day later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for several hours. He can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell and say hi, but just a day later he can spend hours inside our home? And he's here without any acknowledgment of the letter. This seemed very disrespectful. As Brittany and Steven were leaving, Brittany said “Hi dad” – I responded “Hi honey!” Then Steven said, “Hello Mr. Hutchinson”. I responded with a rather dry “Hi”. It bothered me that he was so rude just the day before, as well as multiple times previously, and now

today when my daughter is there, he’s acting nice and innocent. I didn’t want to say or do anything that would be upsetting to Brittany, so I did not ask him for any explanation – at least not at that time.

Several hours later my wife came home, and I told her about this and that it bothered me. After all, he had a history of being rude to my family and myself. I spoke loudly about how upset I was and said that I did not want Steven at our house again. I then texted Steven and said he was not welcome in our home until there was mediation.

At no time when I was venting did I make any threats against Steven. My only comments were that he was disrespecting me in my own home, and I did not want him at our house anymore.

I did not know that Brittany was in her bedroom and had overheard what I said. I honestly thought she had left and had specifically waited until I thought she was gone to vent my frustrations. My wife gave me a hug and we went and made dinner together. Within 10 minutes or so we were in the kitchen laughing about some silly memes on Facebook. Yes, I raised my voice. Yes, I was upset. He’s going to marry my daughter and he’s treating me poorly. Any reasonable father would also be upset. But there was no threat.

I later learned that Steven used this as an opportunity to convince my daughter to move out of our house by claiming she was in danger remaining there with me.

Exhibits / Evidence; S. Laundry Letter.

Kyle situation

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1.

"Throughout my relationship with his daughter, the respondent reminded me on several occasions of a story where he insinuates that a previous relationship one of his other daughters had, ended with him shoving the boyfriends head through the drywall of his apartment."

My response;

I never told him this story. Kyle was my daughter Vanessa's boyfriend. During their relationship he assaulted her. Afterwards, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. So, I called Kyle, and he began shouting at me, and then I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So, I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified myself as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to, I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. He then came at me with a raised fist.

At that point I felt the need to defend myself and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I responded, "Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left. He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical.

Again, I never told Steven this story. He only overheard me telling this story, twice. Both times the telling of the story was initiated by Vanessa. The first time was to her current boyfriend as the family gathered at a restaurant to celebrate her mom's birthday. The second time was at a bonfire at our family house, and she was telling the story to two of my friends who stopped by. I took over the telling of the story both times in order to end it quickly. After the second time I asked my daughter, Vanessa, to not tell the story anymore. She stated that she was proud of me for defending her but agreed not to tell the story anymore. She said, "I love you dad." I replied, "I love you too. Thank you for agreeing to not tell this story anymore."

Steven was not a part of either conversation, his name was not mentioned, nor did anyone acknowledge him or even make eye contact at any time. During the first time, at the restaurant, he was seated 3 people away from us. At the bonfire, he was seated 4 people away from us. Both times there were other conversations happening closer to him, so there was no way he was included in the conversation pertaining to the Kyle story. His assertion that I reminded him is completely false. He overhead the story and presented it in the court filing for the HRO as if I told it directly to him and also as if it was intended to be a threat directly to him. Those assertions are completely false.

It should be noted that after the bonfire, I had 4 people tell me that Steven had acted rudely towards them. Initially I defended him saying that he works at a church and so they must be mistaken. Later, as I thought more about it, it became apparent that some of these people did not know each other and therefore could not have collaborated on the story. That, to me, gave some credence they might be telling the truth.

It should also be noted that during the bonfire my brother Steve began making what he thought were jokes about Steven. I quickly interrupted and told my brother that Steven worked at a church and asked that he please not make any jokes about him. He apologized and refrained from telling any further jokes. I defended Steven, only to have him later go and tell lies about me.

The Books

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1.

"When I shared my desire to marry his daughter, months prior to asking for his blessing, he gave me two of his books, including an autobiographical work, Painful Secrets, wherein he claims to have almost committed a mass shooting as a teenager. With this context, the Respondent's placement of the gun on the banister was clearly meant and taken as a threat and intimidation towards me."

My response;

This is a gross exaggeration. In both filings he claims I gave him a copy of the books a "month" before in one and "months" before in another....it was actually 3 days prior to his asking to marry my daughter.

During a previous time that we had met, he mentioned that he heard I wrote several books. I confirmed that I had. He said that he would like to read them. I sell these books on Amazon so do not store any extra copies at the house. When he expressed an interest in reading them, I went to see if I had any available copies at the house. I found one copy of 'Painful Secrets' and one copy of 'Casting Out Doubt'. I then generously gave him a free copy of each. Had he not mentioned my being an author, I would never have thought to give him a copy of any of the books. I have not previously given a copy to any of my other daughter's current or former boyfriends, nor any of Brittany's former boyfriends.

Soon after, he asked if we could hang out for a while. I said yes, and he came over to our house. Once here he mentioned that he had read both books. One was my memoir, and he didn't really talk about that - it was the other book, the one about miracles. I was surprised he had read them already as I had just given them to him three (3) days prior. When I commented on this, he said that he had stayed up late at night to finish them. We talked about the book 'Casting Out Doubt' for a few minutes, then began talking about other topics. At no time did he seem concerned about the contents of the other book, nor was it ever mentioned.

That evening, I had a feeling he was there to ask me if he could marry my daughter. This was an important evening, and I didn't want him to feel rushed - so I remained patient and waited. Finally, three hours later I asked if he was there, by chance, to ask me question. He said yes and asked if he could marry my daughter. I asked for there to be several conditions, and he agreed. One of them was not to interfere in my relationship with daughter. He promised that he wouldn't. Then we talked about a ring, and I offered to borrow him one of Brittany's mom's rings to propose with. That would give him time to go ring shopping. (He has since broken the promise to not interfere in my relationship with Brittany many times over).It is important to note that I have used my memoir, 'Painful Secrets' as a tool to help make bullying awareness and prevention a national issue. Along the way I have prevented 6 school shootings and also prevented 300 teen suicides. I would never use this book as a threat to anyone. My wife is a high school science teacher who has given copies of this same book to some of her troubled students. She has witnessed their lives being changed by it and has seen them carry this book around with them every day as a sign of hope and overcoming great life obstacles. See exhibit P for feedback from notable individuals.

Here again, Steven is making wild claims that are simply not true at all.

Exhibit / Evidence; B. Books on Amazon. Also, P. ‘Painful Secrets’ feedback.

False claim about the gun

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"At the time, my fiance lived in respondents home. I visited while the respondent was at work, to make plans for the wedding. He returned before I left, and we only exchanged a brief interaction on me way our the door, however, he placed his firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight. I couldn't help but feel as though he had intentionally placed the gun there for me to see."

My response;

This was around the time when there were riots in Minneapolis after what happened to George Floyd. There was talk about the riots going to the suburbs. At the time my wife asked me if I still had a gun. I replied yes. The fact that she asked this question shows how discreet I was with it. I never showed it to anyone, open carried or even discussed it.

She then said it would make her feel safer if she could see it regularly. So, for a short while, placing my firearm on the upstairs banister became a temporary routine for me.

Again, she literally asked me to do this. This is the only reason I would ever leave my gun out; because it was at the request of my wife. I would come home from work and place it on the end railing at the top of the steps, go into the kitchen and greet my wife with a kiss, unpack my lunch bag, then go put the gun away in our bedroom. It was only out for approximately 3 minutes. I did this only for a few weeks, then asked if she was OK with me not putting it out anymore, as I never was comfortable doing this. She said yes, and I stopped leaving it out for her to see.

One day while Steven was over, he saw the handgun in its usual place and made an incorrect assumption. He then used this occasion to begin placing thoughts into my daughter’s head that I am a dangerous person, and they are both at risk of me harming them with the gun.

Steven claimed it was “on the banister by the front door, …”. This is a false claim. It was actually on the 2nd floor of the house – Steven remained in the lower level and was never near the gun. It was also holstered, and I never went near it while he was in the house. During this entire time, he never saw me – he only heard my voice coming from the kitchen.

I have never waved this gun around or used it to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie; but Steven wants to falsely portray me as a crazy man with a weapon.

Rules of Engagement

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

"The respondent took issue with some of the wording in our Rules of Engagement..."

My response;

Brittany and Steven came up with some Rules of Engagement, and presented them to my wife and I. We both agreed to follow these rules. At this time, we were at our house sitting at the dining room table. Afterwards, Brittany and her mom went into the kitchen. I got up and said I was going to the bathroom. I began walking away but then stopped and turned around to retrieve something from the table. At that time Steven had stood up and was bent over picking up a bag from the floor with his back towards me. He did not realize I was standing there. Just then I heard him say “Oh, this is gonna be good!” He said it in a very deep voice as though he was almost growling. To me, it sounded demonic. He suddenly stood and spun around to face me. He began smiling and started trying to tell a joke. It seemed like he was trying to cover for something. I was too stunned to speak or respond. He then called to Brittany saying they should leave.

Afterwards, my wife looked at me and asked, “Honey, are you OK?” I didn’t want to make a scene so I just responded by saying I was OK. We then went into our bedroom together and prayed for them as a couple. The following day I shared what happened with my brother.

Shortly after that I sent them both an email asking a question about how to ask a question. Nothing more. Simply asking how they preferred me to ask a question.

Something in the Rules of Engagement was not clear and I merely was asking for clarification. Steven immediately took offense to this and began accusing me of manipulation. This email conversation included Brittany, her mom, Steven and me.

Suddenly I got an email from Steven stating that if I loved Brittany that I would “Lay down everything.” This to me means submitting to him and goes along with his earlier comments about submitting to him if I want a relationship with my daughter.

I then realized that he had sent the email directly to me and had excluded Brittany and her mom. I then said I would not continue this conversation with him in that email thread and would only have a discussion involving Brittany and Mrs. Hutchinson. I then went back to the previous email thread and responded in a somewhat sharp manner. Afterwards I asked my wife to be the one to send out any emails from me to them. In that way I could be assured that both her and Brittany would be included in the emails.

Exhibit / Evidence; C. Copy of the Rules of Engagement. Also, D. Seeking clarification.

Emotional Response & Raising My Voice

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

"On the same day he returned home and Brittany reports visible signs of anger (slamming doors, slamming things, yelling, etc.)" "This email and anger was the first clues towards irrational behavior and unwarranted responses. I only expressed my concern for effective conflict resolution....and he took it as an insult to his intelligence and he was unable to control his emotional response."

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"I then called my fiancé to share the news with her, [about a text I sent him banning him from my property} and I could hear him, yelling and angrily attacking my character because I had done laundry in his house. At this point I began to seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé."

My response;

Later, after the email exchange, I was talking with Brittany and my frustration boiled over, and I raised my voice about how Steven would not answer the seemly simple question about the wedding guest list, has made no effort to be a part of our family, and has been acting rudely towards me. I was also terribly upset that Brittany is showing signs of stress and I haven't seen her smile in days. I am very concerned and even heartbroken that she should have to go through this during a time when she should be celebrating. My being agitated certainly did not help matters.

As I walked out of the kitchen, I was so disappointed in myself for having raised my voice (something I had never done before to her) that I slapped the doorway with my open hand. I truly felt awful about how I had acted.

She later she came to me and we discussed the guest list. I accepted her explanation, apologized for raising my voice, and asked that we move forward. The following day I went to her and asked if we could do a reset, one where we could together enjoy the journey of her getting married. She agreed and we spent some enjoyable time together.

There was no slamming doors, slamming other things or emotional outbursts of any kind. His claim that I was “unable to control his emotional response" is completely false and unfounded. My wife was at home during this time – if any of this had actually happened, my wife would have come to investigate. She will testify that this never happened and it was a peaceful evening at home. This is yet another attempt by Steven at disparaging me through his lies and manipulation. In an earlier HRO filing he used words to describe my actions that are wrong, such as; “RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP, and SCREAMING.” No one has witnessed me doing any of these and this is nothing more than character assassination.

I had only raised my voice twice. The second time I was unaware that Brittany was home and talked loudly to my wife. She did not feel any concern for her safety, and even walked over and gave me a hug. I rarely, if ever, talk in an upset manner. My wife knew I was no danger to anyone and understood my need for a hug.

His claim to have begun to "…seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé" is nonsense, completely baseless and all a part of his plan to cause a division in my family.

Ever since I had given Steven permission to marry my daughter, he no longer made any efforts to be a part of our family or join any activities. It's like a switch was flipped and he became cold towards us. He has spoken rudely to myself, guests at our house and has acted this way towards my other children. It appears he was only being nice to obtain my blessing, then his real personality came out. One day I talked to Steven and told him that I do not hate him nor am I trying to stop the wedding. I have a good relationship with my other daughters' boyfriends and hoped to have the same with him. His only response was "thank you for sharing that" in a monotone voice. There was no appreciation or gratitude - no saying anything like, "wow I'm hoping for that too." Nothing. Just another stonewall.

The fact that I had remained calm for so long given all the terrible things he had been saying to me, just shows how rational and peaceful of a person I really am. Since that time over 3 years ago, not one person has witnessed me raising my voice at all. These two instances were rare and only due to the distressful situations that Steven was causing in my family.

Mediation

Steven was texting me some inappropriate comments and I responded by asking him to not contact me anymore in this manner. He responded by saying that Brittany suggested mediation. I said yes, and that if he wanted to pick a place for that to happen, that I would pay for those services. He responded by saying that the only way he would agree to mediation is if it were at the church where he works. This seemed to put me at a disadvantage because they are familiar with him and do not know me. But I agreed to this anyway figuring I would just set the truth free and let it defend itself.

Afterwards, as I thought about mediation it occurred to me that mediation meant all the bad and negative things Steven had done would be revealed and that could have very negative impacts on him. After a time of prayer I sent the following email, striving for peace, and once again apologizing for things I haven't done, and admitting to things I never did, all in an effort to have a relationship with my daughter.

"This email is an open apology to my daughter, Brittany. I have failed you. Not just a little, but a lot. During the past weeks I have been so focused on being right, about winning a disagreement, that I lost sight of something far more important; our relationship and your happiness. I have always put you first in my life, and now just months before your wedding I have fallen far short of what I should have been

doing. You have every reason to be mad at me, hurt, disappointed and feeling less than loved by me. For that I apologize - profusely. I love you more than life itself and literally live in daily agony over the state of our current relationship. In response I'll say this:

I resign.

Completely and fully resign.

Not from being your dad of course, but rather from the disagreement that caused this. The [previous] letter I emailed states my position and stands as the truth as I know it. But that's as far as it goes. I'm done with any disagreement with Steven. My time and energy is now going to be focused on you, Brittany. To work hard restoring our precious relationship and ensuring this never happens again. Ever. And, to help celebrate the upcoming wedding and make these months before the wedding as enjoyable as possible.

With that in mind, I am inviting Steven to neutral ground. A place where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen, mi casa es tu casa.

I don't want battles anymore - I just want to love my family and others with all my heart. To fully enjoy what time I have left on this planet. The past few weeks/months somehow turned ugly and I don't want that - never did. I ended up feeling ugly inside and that's not who I am. At all. I repent for my misdeeds and missteps.

Peace,

Tim/Dad”

Comment: Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again.

My wife replied:

“Tim,

I want you to know those words really touched my heart. They were absolutely beautiful and honest. I appreciate you putting Britt first and your highest priority.[Emphasis added] Im proud of you and very hopeful we can begin celebrating this engagement and wedding! This makes me very happy. I love you all so much.

With Love,

Jen”

Our daughter replied;

“Dad,

Steven and I read your emails. We have found ourselves in the same position that we have felt trapped into for the past few weeks, unsure how to respond. We have set clear boundaries, laid out in our Rules of Engagement, and those have been violated repeatedly. The way Steven and I have been treated by you has been inappropriate and out of line. The last email we received was an apology directed towards me, and while I appreciate that I feel as if it dismissed core issues. This puts us into a difficult position of being perceived as the ones continuing conflict if we don’t accept a “blank slate.”

As Steven and I prepare to start our new life together, we are concerned that these patterns of behavior will continue. We believe that there are significant changes that need to occur in order to move forward in a healthy manner. We desire growing towards healthier relationships with you. Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far, so we believe a mediated conversation is the appropriate next step.

We are confirming with our mediator, but does Saturday at 10 am work for you and mom? It’s important that all 4 of us will be there.

Thanks,

Britt”

Concerned about “patterns” – what patterns? I’ve been apologizing for things I never did.

“Significant changes”? Should I then become an uncaring, unloving father? That’s ridiculous and clearly not my daughter’s voice. That has ‘Steven’ written all over it.

“….and those have been violated repeatedly…” To date neither Brittany nor Steven can clearly state what any of this alleged violations are.

Before meeting Steven, my daughter would never have written an email reply such as this. Never. I believe he is manipulating her.

My reply;

“Yes, mom and I can be available at that time.

I am willing to attend based upon three conditions;

1) This is about moving forward, not digging up the past. There were clearly missteps on the part of several of us, not just myself. Going over those details will serve no useful purpose other than igniting hurt feelings.

2) The meeting will be focused on ways to communicate in both directions; engaged couple to the parents, and vice-versa.

3) No expectations will be made that violate our First Amendment rights. While I agree there are acceptable ways to communicate, anything that prevents one of us from speaking at all to another person is something I won't consider. This is America - brave heroic people fought for our rights, and that should be respected."

Steven replied;

"Hi all,

I agree with everything Britt said. As for your response, Mr. Hutchinson, I don't believe we can comply with them all of them the way you have requested.

There are things that have been done and said over the last two weeks that cannot be ignored, and it is not enough to ask for a "blank slate" and move forward. Some of this will be discussed in our conversation.

We agree that communication moving forward should be an element of this conversation.

We don't believe it's helpful for us to set expectations for the communication plan moving forward before our conversation.

I have to admit, these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining for Britt and I as we have interacted with you and we desire to move forward in a healthier direction and would appreciate your willingness to enter into that being willing to lay anything down in order to make that happen. You have emphasized how important your relationship with Britt is, and if that is really true, we believe that you will listen to what Britt and I have to say about how we can all move forward in a healthy way, even if that means that interaction with one or both of us moves forward differently than your personal preference."

Comment: "Things have been done and said that cannot be ignored". Such as? To date neither of them can name even one single thing.

"…these past few weeks have been incredible challenging and emotionally draining for Brittany and I as we have interacted with you…" Here again, he cannot name one thing that I've done, other than ask a question, raise my voice (to Brittany once, and to my wife once), apologize for things I never did, and strive for peace. They literally cannot and have not named anything.

Steven interjected himself into the equation with his comment. Other than a letter trying to make peace, I've had no interaction with Steven in the previous few weeks. But still he tries to pretend to be a victim here.

“We desire to move forward in a healthier direction…” Clearly we cannot move forward if Steven puts zero effort into anything and my daughter will not even speak to me because of Steven’s lies.

My reply;

“Steven,

Mrs. Hutchinson and I have also been directly/indirectly impacted in a negative way by some of your words & actions. We will also be presenting a list of expectations on how we will be communicated with. We look forward to the meeting. See you then.

Mr. Hutchinson”

The second attempt @ mediation was scheduled for Saturday, 2/27/2021 @ 10 AM. The previous week’s mediation was cancelled by the mediator due to a scheduling conflict. It’s important to note that Steven did not want to discuss any previous events in mediation. Then he changed his mind and now wants previous events be discussed. That’s a 180-degree turn-around.

A few days later Steven sends me a text message which says, “Mediation is only about your and Mrs. Hutchinson learning how you two are going to talk to me.” I showed this text message to my wife (Mrs. Hutchinson), my brother and youngest sister. They were all shocked and appalled at this.

I responded that he has been saying terrible things to me and that during mediation he would also learn how to communicate with us. Shortly afterwards he cancelled mediation and would not give us a valid reason for doing so. He also refused to reschedule mediation. It appears obvious to me that Steven has by now convinced my daughter that I have done things that are wrong, without any evidence or proof whatsoever. In fact, it has been Steven who is the one causing the problems.

I apologized to my daughter and said that I didn’t want to focus on any disagreement any longer – instead I wanted to focus on our relationship. I invited Steven to a neutral ground where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where, and I quote, “you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen – my house is your house.” Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again. They both replied that they could not accept this. Brittany claimed I had violated the Rules of Engagement, and Steven said, and I quote; “these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining…” What’s interesting is during the weeks before this I actually had no contact with him at all. What interaction was he talking about? There literally was NONE! This is another example of Steven trying to invent a make-believe world and draw my daughter into it – a world in which I am a villain – a violent person unable to control my behavior. Meanwhile, back in reality, the opposite is true. On Facebook I tell people when I’m going into my prayer room and offer to pray for others. Because of the miracles they experience, I

now have 4,700 more people following me on my personal page – all from them experiencing real miracles and sharing the results with others. If I am such a horrible person, then why is God answering my prayers? Why is the Creator of the Heavens and the earth blessing so many others when I pray? Because God knows my heart the best – and he knows the allegations against me are false. There is no one else, literally no one who thinks of me in any way remotely close to what Steven is alleging. So here I am, 60+ years-old, no criminal record, love doing volunteer work, no problems with any one until Steven comes along and suddenly I am a super-villain. Yet he cannot name even one threat I've made to him. Not one. Because there weren't any.

Connecting to talk about the conflict

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

"At this point it became clear there was significant issue, and so I suggested to the respondent that he and I find a time to connect to talk through the conflict."

My response;

Steven never made any such suggestion to me. I am the one who has been offering apologies for things I never did and trying to find a way to resolve some conflict when I have no idea what the issue(s) are/were. Had he reached out me to in this manner I would have gladly accepted. His claim to have reached out to try and connect to talk through the conflict is absolutely a lie. It should also be noted that at this point in time I had no idea what the problem(s) were/are. Neither Brittany nor Steven were communicating with me.

My wife tried contacting them to find out what the issue/problem were/are, but neither of them were willing to discuss this with her either. It wasn't until the first HRO was filed that I had even a glimpse of the terrible things I was being falsely accused of.

I tried a total of 15 times to make peace. Steven never suggested even one single thing to help get our relationship back on track. I came up with 100% of the ideas and have offered 100% of the apologies and have made 100% of the efforts. He could not and still cannot truthfully state one thing that I've done to threaten or even offend him. Not one. Up until the first HRO was filed, I had been offering blanket apologies in an effort to have a relationship with my daughter.

All along he took everything I've done, as pure and honest as my actions were, and greatly exaggerated them and spun them into my looking like it's all a threat to him. Those are all absolute lies. What's especially troubling is that he claims to be a Christian and works at a church. None of what he's done towards me reflects Christian behavior.

Brittany Moving Out

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"Later that day I received a call from my finance that while the respondent was still visibly angry, he went out to the garage and collected his firearm, which he continued to hold while angry. Brittany felt unsafe and so she left to stay at a friend's home. At that point Brittany and I found an apartment to lease where she could live, in order to move out of the respondent's home. We decided to attempt to keep this address a secret from the respondent for fear of safety for Brittany and myself."

Within a few days Brittany moved out of our house. Supposedly because she is now suddenly afraid of me, because I raised my voice, twice. What's interesting is the very day she moved out, she returned that evening to retrieve something she had left behind. When she saw me, she gave me a hug and said "I love you so much." I told her it was weird seeing her move and that this was the first time she's ever moved that I didn't help. She replied that was true and yes this all seems weird. As she walked out of the house she looked back at me and though she smiled, I know her well enough that I could detect a hint of sadness – almost as if she didn't want to go.

A few days later her youngest sister, Vanessa, told me that she had gone to Brittany's apartment (that she had just moved to), and that those two stood outside the apartment building and hugged as Brittany cried, saying she didn't understand why she had to move away from her home. Vanessa said that Brittany sounded very confused about what was happening.

"…for fear of safety for Brittany and myself." This is fear that he created through a false narrative of me being a danger to either of them. He did this intentionally and with malice towards my myself and my family.

Owatonna

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"On 2/2/21 Brittany reports hearing from the respondent that "[he's] so mad [he] could kill someone, so [he's] going to kill Charlie". Further claims Charlie is my "violent side" and was a "secret CIA assassin." He also states that I appear to be "delusional and out-of-touch with reality. I am afraid for my safety and Brittany's. His actions are clearly unpredictable.

My response;

In an effort to activate what I call my daughters "BS detector", by which I mean a healthy amount of skepticism, my well-meaning brother Steve, made up a story. This is really the only time in my life I've ever told Brittany something that wasn't true. But I had good intentions. I made the story so fantastical that any reasonable person would doubt it was real. That was what I was after. To have her look at this and say wait a minute, that's not real. And then to have her look at what Steven was saying and realize

what he's saying is also not real. My daughter Brittany is kind, sweet, thoughtful and a really, really wonderful person. She's gullible as any 22-year-old is. And Steven clearly took advantage of that.

I told her that "I'm so angry I could kill someone." This is actually a phrase I grew up hearing; no one actually killed anyone; like saying I'm so hungry I could eat a horse; no one eats horses and even if they did, they couldn't consume an entire horse. It's only a phrase with no factual meaning behind it. I also chose that phrase because I figured she would immediately realize that I wouldn't actually kill someone - she's only known me as a kind, loving, peaceful father who always puts his family first.

I told her the person I would kill is Charlie (you can't kill Charlie - it's just a name I was given in the military.)

I said that I was going to a DNR lab near Owatonna where I would be held down on a table and my head will be zapped with electricity. That's as crazy of a story that I could think of at the moment.

The next morning I said that it snowed the previous night (which it did) so we met at a closed gas station and performed the procedure in a van. They zapped me while I rolled my eyes side-to-side.

I waited for her to say something like, "Dad, this isn't real!" But she never did.

I had every intention of explaining to her why I told this fabricated story, but I wanted to do so in person. Sadly, I never saw her again.

When I shared this story with my son he began laughing. Anyone who knows me would immediately realize that this was a made-up story. Later, I shared this same story with my youngest daughter, Vanessa. Her response was the same; laughter. They both know I would never do anything like what I had described.

Before the trip to Owatonna, I told this story to my wife. She went with me on the trip and showed no concerns about my mental health. She recognized immediately it was just a story.

The fact that Brittany took this seriously showed me how much Steven had been manipulating her.

Visting the Church

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 19.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1-2.

"On March 1, 2021, the respondent appeared unannounced and uninvited at Calvary church.....which is my place of employment to deliver a defamatory letter address to my superior and senior pastor of the church...The letter made false and defamatory claims about me and my character with the intent to have Calvary terminate my employment."

My response;

I reached out to Calvary church and approached them as respectfully as possible asking for help. I stated that Steven has been acting inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. I asked them to review the facts and prayerfully consider helping bring a resolution to this situation. There was no response to the first request, so I sent a second. Shortly afterwards a letter came in the mail from their attorney stating I was not welcome on either of their campuses and to not have any further contact with them.

Later, I learned that Steven claimed he was so afraid of me being violent that he was being escorted into the church from the parking lot each day, and then back to his car when he left. He also said he was always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him.

The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. Steven went so far as to make a church unduly afraid of me, with no evidence whatsoever – nothing but his false claims.

I will state this fact again; I never threatened him. Ever. If I am such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren't the police ever called? If Steven was really that afraid of me the police should be notified. But they weren't. Why? In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police - not even once.

He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him - not one - because there weren't any. Because I don't want to - I never did. Any perceived threats are made-up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as my family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me.

At no time have I ever asked the church to fire him. My request initially was simply to nudge him towards mediation. Later that became a request for an investigation – but only after he told me, through an attorney, that he did not want to reconcile our relationship.

Before contacting the church I reached out to Steven in yet another attempt to make peace. He never responded.

“The letter made false and defamatory claims…” No, it was a respectful attempt to move things forward towards resolution. Everything in the letter and accompanying documents was/were factually true.

Exhibit / Evidence; F. Letter to Steven before contacting the church.

Letter to the Church

"Respondent's threatening letter sent to Calvary's legal counsel is not only shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. His letters to Brittany demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me, and which he intends to resume his harassment on May 27, 2023."

My response:

“"Respondent's threatening letter sent to Calvary's legal counsel …” What threat? I mentioned a peaceful protest and asked for them to perform an investigation.

“…shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. “ Harassment? There wasn't any. Steven made all of that up. I am the person who tried to make peace over a dozen times. Steven is the person whose intent was to cause harm to myself and my family.

”… demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me…”

The facts clearly show that the damaged relationship with my daughter was caused by Steven. That he intentionally caused these damages, and it was also his intention to harm my family.

Several times I reached out to Calvary church. I approached them as respectfully as possible asking for help, stating that Steven has been acting inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. I asked they review the facts and prayerfully consider helping bring a resolution to this situation. There was no response to the first request, so I sent a second. Shortly afterwards a letter came in the mail from their attorney stating I was not welcome on either of their campuses and to not have any further contact with them.

Later I learned that Steven claimed he was so afraid of me being violent that he was being escorted into the church from the parking lot each day, and then back to his car when he left. He also said he was always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him.

The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. If the church considered me such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren't the police ever called? If Steven was that afraid of me, the police should have been notified. But they weren't.

In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police – not even once. He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him – not one – because there weren't any. Because I don't want violence – I never did. Any perceived threats are made-up. Purely fabricated. I have always

tried to settle this as family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me.

Exhibit / Evidence; I. Copy of letter to the church seeking help.

Loved and forgiven

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 20-21.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 2.

"APRIL 28, 2021 - UNINVITED INTRUSION AT PERITIONER'S PERSONAL RESIDENCE." The respondent visited my apartment building on 4/28/21 at 7:50am. Steven and his roommates were all sleeping. After receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the building, neither Steven nor his roommates unlocked the front door to the building, which they had previously discussed to do if he came to visit the apartment. But the respondent entered anyway. He knocked on the door repeatedly but I did not answer....my heart was racing...I began to think about having to jump from my second story balcony or calling the police just to get away....After some time of knocking, it stopped...."

My response;

This is another exaggeration Steven has made. What really occurred is this; shortly before my visit I asked for an extended break - to let things calm down a bit. I then went to each of their homes for one purpose; to let them know they are both loved and forgiven. I told my wife what my purpose was for going there, and she responded by saying my actions were beautiful and she was proud of me.

Upon arrival at Steven's apartment building I parked my car and exited. An African-American woman was walking out to her car and said hello. I responded by saying good morning. She then told me the buzzer box on the building was broken and that maintenance knew about it. She then told me I could just enter the building as the door was unlocked. I thanked her and walked towards the front door of the building. Once there I discovered the door was indeed unlocked and so I walked in without buzzing Steven's apartment. His claim of "receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the building,…´ is therefore completely false, as I never buzzed his apartment. I couldn't – the buzzer was broken.

In an earlier filing he also claimed that I had "gained access" to the building, making it sound as though I had broken into the building as if I were a burglar or criminal. That also is false. I simply opened the door and walked in like any normal person would.

I then went to his apartment and using the second knuckle on my right hand, knocked three times in a normal manner. I then stepped back across the hall and patiently waited. After several minutes no one answered the door, so I stepped forward and again knocked three times in a normal manner, again using

the second knuckle of my right hand. It should also be noted that this was during the Covid pandemic, and I respectfully wore a mask during this entire time.

When there was no answer after my second time knocking normally, I peacefully walked out of the building and drove away calmly. From there I drove to a nearby Cub Foods store and purchased some flowers for my daughter. I went to her apartment building and buzzed her apartment; however, she didn't answer the door. So, I placed the flowers on her car and left peacefully.

I later learned she had called Steven in a panicked state because I was at the door to the apartment building she lived in and she didn't know how to respond. I went there with flowers, to tell her that I love her, and she's too afraid to answer the door. Clearly this is because of the lies Steven has told her. Does it really make sense that I am trying to make peace and threatening violence at the same time?

It's an incredibly sad day for an older dad when his own daughter is afraid of him for no valid reason whatsoever. Let me be perfectly clear - I have never threatened either one of them with violence, at any time, in any way whatsoever. These are all fabricated lies of Steven.

In my journal I wrote that I was going there to tell them they were LOVED and FORGIVEN, with both of those words in uppercase letters. It was repeated this several times in the journal. In his court filing, Steven left out that page, intentionally because it tells the real story and exposes some of the lies he has told. Since he dd not answer the door, Steven does not know the reason for my visit. He decided, without any evidence, to twist things around and use this against me in a manner that was intended to cause harm to myself and my family.

Voice Message

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21.

"2.....THREATENTING VOICE MESSAGE...."If you want to live in peace, as I do, then if I prepare for war, any adversary will know that and basically let me be. So when you haven't heard from my these past few weeks, that's I've been doing. I've been preparing for war."

My response;

I clearly stated my desire to live in peace. His actions have made that difficult. I was letting him know that I had been preparing for war – which means to expose him on social media and contact law enforcement (which I ultimately did.) I have told him all along that I wanted to resolve things in a peaceful manner. His response has been to continue telling lies about me and/or ignore my multiple request(s) for a peaceful resolution.

Brittany Stops Communicating

Brittany stopped communicating with me in February of 2021. She said it was because Steven asked her to do this so they could focus on “building” their “relationship”. Immediately I thought Steven wanted to further drive a wedge between my daughter and me. From the very beginning I saw a change in my daughter; she has chronic headaches and I often used to massage her neck and shoulders. After the engagement I noticed her shoulders began to stick up more – seemed she was losing weight. I asked her mom, and she confirmed our daughter was losing weight, unintentionally. She thought maybe it was because of stress. At the time Brittany and I were getting along fine. Now she says she cannot communicate with me at all. Very concerning – especially since before meeting Steven, her and I were like 2-peas-in-a-pod. Now she sees me through a filter that Steven created, a filter which I can prove are lies and deceit.

That’s why she is the only child of mine who does not have a relationship with me. The others see me as I really am; the great father who raised them. I haven’t changed – am a lot sadder but am still that great dad who loves his family more than anything.

Epic Storm

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21.

"3. MARCH 24, 2021---HARASSING EMAIL. Email excerpt sent through Timothy's wife, Jennifer to Steven Anderson: "You've successfully stripped my daughter out of my life, and in the process hurt me at a level I have not been hurt before. But understand one thing; I could have stopped you by releasing the 'Epic Storm' I designed and planned."

My response;

The reason my wife began sending the emails is because during the time when Steven, Brittany, my wife and I were having an email conversation, Steven was also sending me emails directly stating things such as how he expects me to submit to him. But in the other emails which were including my wife and Brittany he was acting innocent and trying to make himself and Bittany look like victims. I showed these emails to my wife and requested that she be the one moving forward to send out the emails, that way we could be certain the four of us were seeing all the emails being sent. She readily agreed and I then blocked Steven from emailing me directly.

An ’Epic Storm’ is a term which describes a completely legal means of a cascading series of events designed to bring about change, or to protect someone. Again, these events are all completely legal. Nothing evil and no intent to cause physical harm at all. Several times I told Steven that I would do an epic storm if he continued ruining my relationship with Brittany and causing strife in my family. I never took any action though, because that would have been upsetting to my daughter and I was doing my best to shield her from any actions I was being forced to take.

He falsely claimed this meant I was going to be violent with him, even though I told him everything I planned on doing ahead of time, and all of it was completely legal. It stated this in my journal and in emails. Again, he took advantage of every innocent thing I did and would twist things to make my daughter think I was a crazed violent person. Something she has never seen in me before, because it's not there and is all a fabrication of Steven's.

Meanwhile, he's doing absolutely nothing to help settle things between us. His attempts thus far have amounted to exactly zero. He is a person who works in a church yet disobeys the teachings of the bible. His actions themselves expose him as a fraud.

Bold Moves

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21.

"04. April 26, 2021---EMAIL. Email excerpt sent to Brittany about Steven "My plan is to make some bold moves to resolve things between Steven and I. For us to not have a relationship is completely unacceptable. A father should not have to stop having a relationship with his daughter so she can marry someone. This is wrong on so many levels. Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language these "bold moves" have cause much concern for me and have included him visiting my home and place of work..."

My response;

"Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language…" I have never threatened him or used any violent language. Steven has again made all of this up. Bold moves would be to do as I stated on the main page of my journal; go public with what I know about him, file a lawsuit; ask law enforcement to become involved, etc. None of the actions I mentioned were violent in nature and in fact are all completely legal. Steven has again fabricated all of the claims against me concerning threats of violence.

See previous sections for what happened during the time(s) I visited his work and/or home (apartment). None of that was even close to being threatening. Asking a church to help move things forward towards meditation is a threat? Visiting his home to tell him he is "LOVED and FORGIVEN" is "violent language"?? It is clear that Steven is seriously delusional and acting/acted on an evil plan to intentionally destroy my family.

Journal (falsely listed and referred to as a public website)

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24-25.

"PUBLIC DEFAMATORY WEBSITE....Respondent created a defamatory website and threatened to spread the link publicly.

My response:

This was a personal and private online journal. I allowed Steven to see it one time. He took then violated copyright law by copying the entire journal. He then attempted to use it against me. This private journal did not contain any threats of violence at all. The main part of the journal contained a collection of emails that had been sent back and forth between Steven, Brittany, my wife and I, as well as some letters I had sent Brittany, and some moments of personal reflection. Again, nothing threatening violence of any type.

When Steven would not agree to meet or come together for mediation, I added a home page to the journal stating I would make this journal public if he did not work towards a resolution between us so I could have a relationship with my daughter. On that page I clearly stated that everything would be done legally. It also included a picture of Steven's face with the eyes made to look dark. That was to show how much darkness I believed was in Steven in order for him to act the way he had been, and beneath it was a call to prayer. [Emphasis added]. Should the private journal be made public, this would be a request for people to pray for him.

Again, there was no threat of violence to him or anyone else. This was plain and obvious, but instead chose to twist things around to make it seem that he was an intended victim.

Exhibit / Evidence; H. Copy of private journal.

Medical Bills

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24.

"Claimed I was responsible for $350,000 of medical damages..."

My response;

I have never claimed there were/are $350,000 of medical damages or bills. I have health insurance and most of the medical bills have been paid through them. In recent years I have gone to the doctor's office and there have been concerns about cancer and my heart. During those times Steven has not shown any care or concern for my health. Not even an offer of prayer. This falls far beneath the level expected of a Christian, and especially of church faculty or a pastor.

More False Claims

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 26.

"...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature. In March of this year, he reported suicide ideation and holding a gun to his head, as a result of the deterioration with the relationship with his daughter....He seems unable to regulate his emotions, is willing to bring a firearm into the mix and is fixated on me being the cause of his broken relationship with his daughter. I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me. After he visited my place of work, I felt as though

I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me. By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation."

My response;

"...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature...."

"He seems unable to regulate his emotions..."

"I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me."

"After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me."

"By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation."

Those are all gross exaggerations intended to falsely make him appear to be a victim. One evening I did very briefly hold a gun up to my head at a park, within hours of a painful counseling session with my daughter. Later, I told my wife about this. We agreed I should get some help at the hospital. Once there, they offered to let me stay with them for inpatient counseling, and clearly stated my stay there was completely voluntary. I agreed to stay, and over the next 24 hours worked with a mental health doctor (Dr. Brown) and her staff to develop coping skills. The following day I asked to be discharged, and the doctor agreed. She stated there were no concerns for suicide or that I would act out in a violent manner. I went to the hospital voluntarily, stayed voluntarily, and left voluntarily with no concerns that I was a threat either to myself or anyone else.

Steven's statements, listed above, are completely false, fabricated, and being used as an attempt to slander and libel me, with the clear intent of causing immeasurable harm to myself and my family.

The above statements made by Steven clearly show that he is making up these terrible claims about me. There is no evidence at all that I have ever threatened him. Steven is cleverly twisting words around in an attempt to make himself appear to be a victim, and to cause harm to my family. In doing so he has also caused undue concern from the church and congregation.

Exhibit / Evidence G. Hospital papers from overnight stay.

False Claim About Escalating Things

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 26.

"...He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany's on 4/28/2021."

My response;

I have already addressed this in another section, but here again Steven is making up lies and fabricating things against me, with the intent to ruin my relationship my daughter Brittany, and also to cause harm to my family.

In stark contrast to his false claims, I sent a letter to my family calling for peace and love. See evidence for a copy. Exhibit / Evidence; J. Letter to my children before the first HRO was filed.

First HRO

Shortly after I went to tell them they were LOVED and FORGIVEN, I was served court papers by the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office. These papers were Steven and Brittany filing for a Harassment Restraining Order against me. Once I read their complaint(s) against me it became obvious the type of lies Steven had been telling about me, specifically to my daughter, Brittany. I assembled all the evidence I had and planned to ask for a trial, during which I would fully expose Steven and his lies intended to harm both myself and my family. Especially concerning were allegations there was “domestic violence” on the main page of Brittany’s filing. There never was any violence of any kind whatsoever between me and Brittany. That claim is false and a horrible allegation.

Their Attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh, told me beforehand that if I did not accept the HRO without finding of fact, it would harm my daughter, her integrity and profession as a nurse. Though I could have presented an enormous amount of evidence and witnesses to refute any of Stevens claims (which are lies), out of an abundance of love for my daughter, Brittany, I accepted the HRO without finding of fact. Mr. Van Loh went on to tell me that “It’s a tough day for a dad” and that he understands because of his own daughter's wedding, and that I am “Doing the right thing.”

During that same meeting, he asked that the website I had up about Steven be taken down. I specifically told him that was not a public website and that it was my private journal - one that I discreetly shared with Steven. He then said, "That's what pen and paper are for." So, he knew that was a private journal, but in the future he would act as though it was a public website that I used to disparage Steven.

In one later email he even specifically referred to that website as a "public website." Why would anyone refer to a website in that manner? Unless of course they know it's private and are trying to pretend it is not a private journal.

It should be noted that despite being out of my daughter’s life was/is incredibly painful, during the next two (2) years I only violated the HRO one time, and only due to a medical emergency. This happened when I was feeling ill and was advised by my doctor’s office to come see them soon. While driving there I began experiencing chest pains when only a few blocks away from the clinic. Instead of going my usual long-way around to get there, and avoiding Calvary church on Highway 96 (a place where I was ordered

to not go within two (2) blocks of) I instead opted for the direct route which took me past the church. I did not stop at the church or otherwise interact with anyone there. I only drove past it on in my attempt to get to the clinic as quickly as possible. My thoughts were focused on getting medical help. Once at the clinic an EKG was performed. The attending physician immediately recognized I was having a cardiac event and called for an ambulance. I was transported to the nearest hospital for treatment.

Other than this one time due to a medical emergency, I obeyed the court order and did not violate the HRO. I am a peaceful and law abiding citizen.

Shortly after the HRO was accepted by me, Brittany and Steven were married. This occurred on June 19th, 2021. Two of Brittany's three siblings did not attend the wedding, and neither did anyone from my side of the family. They read the HRO filing and do not believe the lies being told about me. In addition, several people who read the HRO said they were present when some of the events took place, and that Steven was lying in his description of what happened. Several of them stated another reason they did not attend was due to Steven's rude behavior towards them.

I was told by several people in attendance at the wedding that Steven had hired two (2) off-duty police officers to provide security, and that he had done so claiming he was fearful I would show up at the wedding and become violent. I allege he did this as a show, because I never was a threat to him.

Exhibit / Evidence; M. HRO cover page claiming domestic violence. Also, R. Background.

False Claim of Threatening Letter

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4.

"May 4, 2023---Threatening Letter to Petitioner: Respondent contacted me through my attorney threatening again to protest me at my place of employment (See Exhibit C). In his letter, Respondent threatens that the only way to prevent this from occurring is to, "…Speak up now. Once [respondent]'s plan goes into action, there is no stopping or turning back" Respondent also accuses me of telling, "bullshit lies" and ends his letter "There is one question you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out? Tick-tock." [Emphasis Added].

My response;

More false claims about my being a threat. There is nothing threatening about a peaceful protest. I even asked for his input on how to resolve this matter so I could have a relationship with my daughter. But here again he twists things around, with the help of his attorney, to make me seem like I am some violent crazed person. They even put an emphasis on the phrase "Tick-tock" as though it were a reference to a bomb. It was clearly a reference to a clock as I stated earlier in the letter that the first HRO was expiring soon.

He also falsely claims the protests would be against him – when in fact I clearly stated in the letter to the church it would be about them performing a proper investigation. How is that a threat to him, unless he is afraid of the truth coming out?

Contacting their attorney trying to make peaceI contacted their attorney and asked if there was a way to make peace. After several emails exchanged between Mr. Van Loh and myself, he stated that Steven does not want to reconcile with me.

Exhibit / Evidence; L. Van Loh emails.

The Video

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3.

"June 2021 YouTube Video. At some point following the May 27, 2021 HRO, Respondent created a 90+ minute YouTube video “responding” to the HRO, but specifically speaking out and challenging the allegations in the Petition, even though he agreed to an entry of an HRO voluntarily without the court making findings of the alleged harassment. Respondent enlisted the help of my wife Brittany’s sister, Vanessa to film who he then had it forwarded the video to my wife. The video was originally posted on a password protected webpage on his site, his “ministry” site, not the website he was required to take down after the HRO. He has since taken down his ministry website. Attached are screenshots of the video (Exhibit E) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary."

My response;

I agreed to an entry of (the first) HRO voluntarily because their attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh told me that if I did not accept it that it would be harmful to my daughter, her reputation and her career. I accepted it out of concern and love for my daughter. Shortly afterwards several members of my family came to me asking various questions about the HRO. Because of the nature of this matter and how emotionally hard it was for me to discuss it, I enlisted the help of my youngest daughter, Vanessa and made a video where I replied to the terrible allegations made against me. I then posted it on a website on a private & unlisted page, that was additionally password protected. I then shared the page address and password with five (5) family members. I asked that they let me know when they had watched the video so I could then remove it from the private, unlisted and password protected webpage.

To be clear, there is nothing that threatens violence in that video at all. Steven’s allegations of that are completely false. Steven also states, “Attached are screenshots of the video (Exhibit E) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary." This is clearly an attempt to make it seem as it the video contains threats and/or contains content that is nefarious. This is completely false.

Steven also claims that I had my daughter Vanessa forward it onto my daughter Brittany. This is also false, and he has no evidence to support this. Nonetheless, the referee (Elizabeth A. Clydesdale)

believed this to be the truth, without any evidence to support this false claim, and ignoring the statement of my daughter, Brittany,  said I was guilty of violating the first HRO.

"…enlisted the help of my wife Brittany's sister, Vanessa…" Steven's wife, Brittany, is my daughter, So, it stands to reason that her sister is likely also my daughter (she is). He could have just referred to her as "Respondents daughter, Vanessa", but he didn't. Here again he wants to interject himself into every scenario possible – especially if he can falsely make himself appear to be a victim. My daughter, Vanessa and I have a close and loving relationship. Vanessa was originally a bridesmaid for her sister, Brittany. She backed out after she saw the things that Steven was doing, and also after reading the first HRO and recognizing the lies he was telling. She knows he is a liar and that's why she volunteered to help with the making of the video.

Exhibit / Evidence: Private video can be viewed by typing this link into a web browser. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZbYLElTAwO0

A Danger to the Church?

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4.

" Respondent has resumed and appears to be prepared to intensify the harassment against me and my wife, Brittany upon the expiration of the HRO on May 27, 2023. Although directed towards me, his threats of harassment involve and endanger 1,500+ congregants, including hundreds of children, at both campuses as well as the full and part time staff of both campuses. I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me or those around me."

My response;

"…intensity the harassment…" By having a peaceful protest at a church asking them to perform an investigation? A protest I would not even be attending. How does a peaceful protest endanger "…1,500+ congregants, including hundreds of children…" ?

"…. I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me or those around me."

Here again he is twisting the truth in an attempt to make me appear to be a violent crazy person who the entire church should be afraid of. Meanwhile I am spending time with my family, friends, and coworkers. None of whom have any concerns about their safety around me. They think Steven's claims are outrageous. Where is the evidence that I have ever threatened Steven, my daughter or the church? There is nothing except Steven's twisted version of the truth. All of the letters I sent to the church were respectful, even asking them to prayerfully consider helping, and peacefully requesting their help, etc. I would never threaten any violence against a church, or its members. This claim is false and made-up by Steven.

SECOND HRO

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3.

" 12. With the pending dismissal of the HRO on May 27, 2023, the Respondent has stated his intent to resume the same level of harassment which includes picketing at the place of my employment, Calvary Church, Roseville and White Bear Township. The Respondent violated Paragraph 1A of the HRO by sending threatening emails to my employer, Calvary Church, a threatening letter to me, and by sending a letter threatening me to my wife, Brittany Anderson, who also has a Harassment Restraining Order against the Respondent."

My response;

My youngest sister, who knew the HRO was about to expire, suggested that she take some action. She was doing this with good intentions. Just a few months prior, her only daughter had suddenly and unexpectedly passed away at the age of 40. I stood by her throughout this horrible ordeal. She wanted to find a way to help me with the situation concerning my daughter, Brittany. Her idea was to form a peaceful protest at Calvary church and continue doing so until they agreed to do a proper investigation. I initially said no, don't do anything. But she was insistent and even looked up the laws concerning a peaceful protest. I reluctantly agreed to go along with this idea of hers. But I made it abundantly clear that I would not participate in those protests. The most I would do is to send a letter to Steven and Calvary church explaining the intention of an upcoming protest. The letter to the church was very respectful. The letter to Steven was more pointed. Because, after all, this was all his fault. And because of this, there were many negative impacts on my life and those of my family.  But there was no threat in any of my communication(s). They are stating it as such for dramatic effect. Meanwhile, back in reality, I have obeyed the HRO and was not posing a threat to anyone.

The response I got was to be served papers that Steven and Brittany had filed for an extension of the HRO's. They requested a hearing on an emergency basis, claiming that I was such a threat that they feared for their lives, and were concerned I would go to their church and harm/murder hundreds of children. He went as far as to falsely claim that I previously claimed to be a CIA assassin. That is not the truth, and he is including this merely for dramatic effect. I have never been a CIA assassin, an employee of the CIA, or employed as a federal officer or any letter agency, nor have I ever made such a claim.

My intention was to ask for a trial, at which time I would bring forth all the evidence I have, including things mentioned in this filing. At that time, I asked my sister to abandon any intentions of protesting, and she agreed.

During the first hearing for the second HRO I requested a trial. Their attorney, Mr. Van Loh suggested that nothing from the first HRO be allowed at trial. The referee, Elizabeth A Clydesdale agreed.

At the trial, I became aware that I had improperly submitted my evidence and none of it would be allowed. Though I had sent it to the State evidence collection system properly, I did not understand that it also needed to be sent to their attorney. I emailed a list of the evidence and incorrectly assumed they would obtain the evidence from the State evidence system. I emailed Mr. Van Loh's office to ensure they got the evidence and the email reply came back with one word; "Received". They could have said the evidence was missing from the email, but the didn't. Instead, they used my inexperience as an opportunity to have the evidence to not be allowed. This is another example of how this trial was not about the truth or justice in any way, shape, or form. This was yet another attack on myself and my family.

the only evidence they presented was my private journal, which was from the first HRO. Referee Clydesdale allowed it, despite previously stating otherwise. That private journal ended up being a primary focus of their case. In addition to it being improperly allowed as evidence, Mr. Van Loh was using this journal to present their case claiming that I was somehow a threat to Steven and/or my daughter. The journal contains no threats of violence towards anyone.

The referee did not explain that I was not obligated to answer any of their attorneys' questions. In the beginning of the trial, I was sworn in and their attorney began questioning me. I believe the court should have informed me that I have a right to refuse to be questioned by their attorney. I felt like it was an obligation to answer their attorney's questions. If I had known that I did not have to, I would have remained silent during his questioning.

During the trial Mr. Van Loh acted rudely and was clearly not interested in truth and justice. This was purely a seek-and-destroy mission for him. He was also rude to my witnesses and suggested to the court that my witnesses were lying, without presenting any evidence supporting his allegation(s).

I felt as though his goal was clearly to make me appear as though I was one of the worst humans on this earth, without any supporting evidence, and went as far as to ask the HRO's be for life.

Though I was allowed to present a few witnesses, their attorney treated them harshly and went on to call my witnesses liars without any evidence to prove this claim. This is the same attorney who previously would claim that any attempt by me to settle this matter by filing a lawsuit amounted to "Threatening legal attacks." [Emphasis added.] Anytime I attempted to find ways to reconcile matters, Mr. Van Loh would state in an email, that my continued efforts to work things out with my daughter were only pushing her away. He intentionally made things worse and more difficult for me to restore peace and harmony in my family.

My first witness was my sister, Sunnie. She wanted to read a statement but was denied the opportunity by the referee. When I tried questioning her about the content(s) of the statement, their attorney objected to almost everything and her testimony was largely not allowed. Afterwards, their attorney

called my sister a liar. Her statement is included as evidence and listed on the Exhibit / Evidence list as item “Q”.

Since my witnesses were being treated in a manner which I viewed as being unfair and too harshly, I decided to present the remainder of the case myself. However, when I tried to do so, the referee stopped the proceedings and said she would hear closing comments before issuing a ruling. So, I was literally not allowed to fully present my case.

Had I been allowed to, part of that would have been to ask for this matter be referred to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office for investigation and criminal proceedings. In the Spring of 2023 I met with someone from the Sheriff’s Office and showed them the info / evidence I had. They stated if I could have the civil court refer this matter to them, they would proceed with a criminal investigation against Steven. They further stated that Steven would likely be arrested and put in jail. I asked what I had done wrong, if anything. The response was “Nothing sir, you’re a good father”.

They had no witnesses other than myself, and no evidence other than my private journal; which should not have been allowed based upon the agreement between the attorney and the referee.

The second HRO was granted for two-years for my daughter, and ten-years for Steven. The reasons for this were due to the inappropriate actions of their attorney as well as the referee’s actions not being conducive for the truth to be presented.

Exhibit / Evidence; Q. Statement my sister was not allowed to present in court.

Ways His Actions Have Impacted Me

Marriage of 31 years ended in divorce. Due to the emotional stress caused by the words and actions of Steven, I became withdrawn and difficult to be married to. The decision to divorce was deeply sad and painful.

Loss of relationship with my daughter, Brittany. This is the most painful thing I have ever experienced in my life.

Had to sell our family home where we had lived for 24 years. As part of the divorce we sold the house. On my last day there, after moving my personal possessions out, I returned one last time to shower. From the time I entered the bathroom, showered, got dressed and walked out the front door one last time, I cried. The entire time I was in tears. My ex-wife shared that she too cried, almost every day for a month after moving out. After selling the house I became homeless and lived in hotels for weeks until being able to rent an apartment.

My career ended due to performance reasons directly as a result of the stress from this terrible situation. I was making many mistakes at work as a result of the stress. I quit and later was hired by a

Target Stores contractor to perform a job I previously held, and excelled at. This time, however, I could not perform the job and stepped down after a month. They kept me on as a driver. After one week, while performing an action I had done approximately 30,000 times previously, I made a mistake that caused significant damage to a piece of equipment. I was immediately fired.

My health has declined in various ways, including mental and physical. In addition to the depression and sadness over the loss of a relationship with my daughter, there was a near suicide attempt (as mentioned earlier). My heart has always been healthy in the past. Now I am on several medications. This is because of the stress. I decline to share any further information about my health.

More stress and heartache than I have ever experienced in my life. The pain and suffering I have experienced, as well as my family, has been unimaginable.

# 125. Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Complaint - First Served.docx

Exhibit / Evidence; N. Pics of trailer I damaged at work just prior to being fired.

Financial Help

At the beginning of the relationship between Steven and my daughter, he asked me to help support him financially. I agreed to help and began sending him monthly contributions through an organization called Reliant. Despite all the harm he caused both myself and my family, I had remained hopeful this relationship could be fixed. Because of that I continued sending him financial help every month, and continued doing so for 2 ½ years. I stopped in June of 2023 because that is the month my wife moved out of our family home. Again, our marriage ended only due to the incredible amount of stress caused by Steven's lies. I no longer felt as though there was any possibility of reconciliation, especially since he had told me, through his attorney, (Mr. Van Loh) that he did not want to reconcile with me. At that point, when my wife moved out, I stopped making monthly payments to help him.

Later I learned that Steven had told my daughter that I promised to help them financially, but then never did. That is a lie – I helped for 2 ½ years.

Exhibit / Evidence: E. Reliant Receipts for years 2021, 2022, 2023.

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Complaint - First Served.docx ***

Complaint

My daughter, Brittany, and I were very close and spent time together frequently. See Facebook screenshots, (Exhibit A). She began dating Steven Anderson, director of youth services @ Calvary Church in 2020. Within a few months Brittany came to me and said she was breaking up with him. She said that she felt as though she couldn't be herself around him because was too controlling. She later said that

she had another meeting with him, during which time he “shared his heart” with her. Brittany said that Steven told her due to his upbringing he had difficulty properly expressing himself. Because of his explanation she decided to again date him. Upon hearing that I decided to give him a wide swath of grace and patience when dealing with him. He took advantage of this, as documented below.

Shortly thereafter Steven approached me to help support his ministry – which is his full-time job. He says Calvary church cannot afford to pay him a salary, so he fundraises as a substitute. I began supporting his endeavor financially and even worked with him to improve his presentation to find others who would be supportive. I continued sending him money every month for 2 ½ years – even during the time when he would file an HRO against me, as I still had hope we would settle things peacefully. My wife later said that Steven told Brittany I wasn’t sending money and had refused to help them. I stopped sending money after the June 2024 payment when my wife moved out of our family home. This happened due to the stress of the problems Steven caused after becoming engaged to our daughter, Brittany.

Within months Steven approached me and asked what it would take to have my blessing for him to marry my daughter. One of the things I mentioned was spending more time with our family - we barely knew him. I told Steven that family was important to us, and that if he was to marry my daughter then we would want him to feel welcomed in our family and hopefully would be an active part of it.

Afterwards he made an effort to be at our house almost on a weekly basis, and things seemed to be going well.

One day he called to ask if we could hang out sometime. I said yes and we picked a date and time. When that time came, he arrived at our house and we talked for quite a while. I sensed he had something he wanted to say or possibly ask me - maybe even permission to marry Brittany. Finally, after 3 hours I asked him "Is there something you wanted to ask me?" He then asked for my blessing on marrying my daughter, and I gave him that blessing. My wife and I even helped with a temporary ring until he could buy one.

Once he proposed and my daughter accepted, his entire demeanor towards myself and our family changed. Documented below are lies and exaggerations he said about me in an HRO (Harassment Restraining Order) and my response, which reveals how Steven has engaged in a campaign of libel, slander and harassment which has caused significant harm to both myself, and my family.

Shortly after the engagement he began manipulating her and convinced her to stop talking with me so they could "focus on their relationship". That was the last time I ever spoke with her. The last thing she said to me was "Dad, I love you so so so so much!"

This campaign has included making my daughter fearful of me through a false narrative of his claim of me threatening him with a gun, being a overall dangerous person to him, her, and the church, and convincing her to join him in filing for an HRO under false pretenses.

I asked many times what the problem was and asked to resolve any differences. He never responded. After trying for a long time without any response, I texted him the following;: You lost far more than you gained." Meaning, we could have had a great relationship, but he had another agenda. I had actually tried to make peace and amends 15 times. Steven made zero attempts, and refused all of my attempts at making peace.

During this time I had been offering blanket apologies for things I had not done, just to have a relationship with my daughter. He never responded and instead continued taking everything I've done, as pure and honest as my actions were, and greatly exaggerated them and spun them into my looking like it's all a threat to him.

His deliberate actions, hyper-exaggerated claims and outright lies have caused significant harm; due to the stress of Steven's actions, my wife and I ended our 31-year marriage. We sold the family home where we lived for 24 years, and I became homeless. I had to quit my job as a Safety and Compliance Manager. I enjoyed a corner office and had 70 people working for me - but had to quit due to my declining work performance as a result of the stress caused by Steven's actions and words. The day prior to selling our home I moved my belongings into a storage unit. Within a short period of time that unit was broken into and most of my valuable possessions were stolen.

It is important to note that I have enjoyed a great relationship with the others my children have dated or married. With one notable exception; a man named Kyle, who assaulted my daughter and subsequently assaulted myself. Prior to Steven, my daughter Brittany dated a man name David Zimmerman for several years. David and I got along exceptionally well. When Brittany decided to break up with David, he came directly to our family home to spend time with me. I explained that since Brittany is my daughter, I needed to advocate for her. He responded, "I know, but I feel so much better being with you."

My youngest daughter, Vanessa, was dating a man named James Anderson (a common last name – no relation to Steven.) When he was homeless, I took him into our home just a week prior to our home being sold due to my divorce. Despite going through my own personal challenges, I still helped him. One of the ways was to help James come up with a life plan and even rented him a hotel room. Later, when my daughter decided to end that relationship, I continued paying for his hotel room, and gave him money for expenses.

My oldest daughter, Victoria, dated and married a man named Jacob Rademacher. During the 12-years my daughter has been with him, Jake (Jacob) and I have enjoyed a great relationship. He has never felt fearful of me, nor felt threatened in any way, shape, form or manner. Jake and I have been to hockey

games, on vacation, road trips and more together. He's a fantastic son-in-law who calls me "Dad". I hoped for the same with Steven, but the evidence will show he has a different agenda.

Exhibit / Evidence; A. Facebook screenshots.

Guest List Phone Call

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

"Within a week after Brittany and I began our engagement, the respondent began to take issue with our wedding planning. He began to call me to argue for things to be different on decisions that my finance and I had made."

My response;

His statement is false. One day Brittany was at our family house speaking to her mom and I about the guest list. It sounded as if Steven had already invited so many people there was barely any room for our side of the family. At least that was the impression I got from the conversation. At one point I heard the number of guests was already more than the total amount they agreed upon. Brittany seemed stressed by this process. Her mom approached me afterwards and asked me if I could help with this matter. She suggested I make a friendly call to Steven and I agreed. I called him and asked one question: "How does the guest list honor the mother and father of the bride?" His reply was all about his family and never directly answered my question. He then stated that he didn't think his response answered my question. I calmly replied, "Not really." He then said he would get back to me about this. I offered a suggestion on how they might decide on a guest list, and he said that he would discuss this with Brittany. We then talked for a short while about other non-wedding related things and ended the call on a positive note. I shared the details of this conversation with my wife, and we thought that call was enough and those two (Brittany and Steven) would work things out. (He has never gotten back to me with an answer.)

The only other time I ever discussed the wedding with Steven was during a time when he called me about a non-wedding related topic, and I asked him if my wife and I would be able to meet his parents before the wedding. He replied, "Not my dad, but maybe my mom when she comes to town to help me finish planning the wedding." He made it sound as if he and his mom would be doing the wedding planning and not Brittany. I asked, "Does Brittany know about this?" He said yes. I then asked if there would be anything that Mrs. Hutchinson (Brittany's mom) would be able to help with. He replied, "Maybe my mom can find something for her to do." I then repeated an earlier question, "And Brittany knows about this?" He again replied, yes. I then said, "Oh, ok." That's as far as the conversation went.

The only time I discussed the wedding with Brittany was when she came to me with a concern about their wedding photographer. I gave her some advice on how to approach Steven to discuss this topic, and nothing more.

Compare this to my oldest daughters (Victoria & Jake) wedding. I caused no problems whatsoever, did not interfere with any planning, and they will both testify to that. I would have done the same for Brittany and Steven's wedding, except Steven had other plans to exclude me as the evidence will show.

Steven and Brittany were married on June 19th, 2021. I believe Steven pushed for this day to be chosen because the following day, June 20th, 2021, on their first full day of marriage it was Father's Day, and I would not be able to celebrate it with my daughter, Brittany. I allege he did this intentionally to further harm me emotionally.

Phone calls

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17 – 18

"The frequency and hostility in Respondent's telephone calls increased. Amidst these interactions Brittany and I decided it would be best to set boundaries around our wedding planning and conversations with us about them."

My response;

There never were any harassing phone calls. This claim is a lie. I only made 6 phone calls to Steven total in the entire time I have known him. Two of those were about allowing him to borrow one of my wife's rings to propose to our daughter. Another call was to offer him the same advice my Father-in-law gave me prior to my marrying his daughter nearly 30 years prior. He became agitated and responded rudely to me. Another call was to ask if he wanted to get together and hang out – he said "No" and hung up on me. There was a call about us doing a video chat to discuss some potential changes to his fund-raising presentation (I volunteered to help him with this). The other call was months later when I left a voicemail during a time I was upset with him after a long period of him intentionally doing things to harm both myself and my family.

It should be noted that Steven made plenty of calls to me, however. He would say things such as;a) "You're not a very good father."b) "Brittany deserves someone better than you." c) "If you want to have a relationship with your daughter, then you need to submit to me and let me control you."

Here again I gave him a wide swath of grace and patience because of what he told my daughter about not being able to properly express himself. During these times I tried to steer the conversation away from negative comments and towards something more positive and family oriented.

Laundry

Brittany does Stevens laundry at our house, which I honestly didn't mind. He makes it clear that he is concerned about Covid, so when I discovered some information about that virus and fabric, I wanted to share this with him. Soon afterwards as Brittany was leaving the house, she said Steven's laundry was in

the dryer and asked that once done I place it outside the front door for him to pick up. I figured this was out of concern for Covid, so decided to write him a letter, and placed in in the laundry basket along with his clean clothes. It basically said I had done some research on Covid and was surprised it could last up to 48-hours on our clothes. Said I could appreciate his concern for being exposed to Covid. Maybe it's best his clothes are not washed at our house - at least now for now.

I then said it would have been nice to see him today. That we could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just the day before for Covid. I signed it by saying "Hope you have a wonderful day."

When his clothes were done, I placed them outside the front door as requested. When Steven arrived, he read the note, threw the clothes into his car, slammed the door, then stood there staring at our house for a full minute with an angry look on his face. He then got into the car and sped off running through a stop sign and speeding up the street at approximately double the speed limit.

My intentions in writing that letter was pure – I really wanted to have a good relationship with him, but it seemed he turned it around and used it as an opportunity to have a tantrum.

Then just a day later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for several hours. He can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell and say hi, but just a day later he can spend hours inside our home? And he's here without any acknowledgment of the letter. This seemed very disrespectful. As Brittany and Steven were leaving, Brittany said "Hi dad" – I responded "Hi honey!" Then Steven said, "Hello Mr. Hutchinson". I responded with a rather dry "Hi". It bothered me that he was so rude just the day before, as well as multiple times previously, and now today when my daughter is there, he's acting nice and innocent. I didn't want to say or do anything that would be upsetting to Brittany, so I did not ask him for any explanation – at least not at that time.

Several hours later my wife came home, and I told her about this and that it bothered me. After all, he had a history of being rude to my family and myself. I spoke loudly about how upset I was and said that I did not want Steven at our house again. I then texted Steven and said he was not welcome in our home until there was mediation.

At no time when I was venting did I make any threats against Steven. My only comments were that he was disrespecting me in my own home, and I did not want him at our house anymore.

I did not know that Brittany was in her bedroom and had overheard what I said. I honestly thought she had left and had specifically waited until I thought she was gone to vent my frustrations. My wife gave me a hug and we went and made dinner together. Within 10 minutes or so we were in the kitchen laughing about some silly memes on Facebook. Yes, I raised my voice. Yes, I was upset. He's going to marry my daughter and he's treating me poorly. Any reasonable father would also be upset. But there was no threat.

I later learned that Steven used this as an opportunity to convince my daughter to move out of our house by claiming she was in danger remaining there with me.

Exhibits / Evidence; S. Laundry Letter.

Kyle situation

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1.

"Throughout my relationship with his daughter, the respondent reminded me on several occasions of a story where he insinuates that a previous relationship one of his other daughters had, ended with him shoving the boyfriends head through the drywall of his apartment."

My response;

I never told him this story. Kyle was my daughter Vanessa's boyfriend. During their relationship he assaulted her. Afterwards, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. So, I called Kyle, and he began shouting at me, and then I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So, I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified myself as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to, I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. He then came at me with a raised fist.

At that point I felt the need to defend myself and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I responded, "Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left. He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical.

Again, I never told Steven this story. He only overheard me telling this story, twice. Both times the telling of the story was initiated by Vanessa. The first time was to her current boyfriend as the family gathered at a restaurant to celebrate her mom’s birthday. The second time was at a bonfire at our family house, and she was telling the story to two of my friends who stopped by. I took over the telling of the story both times in order to end it quickly. After the second time I asked my daughter, Vanessa, to not tell the story anymore. She stated that she was proud of me for defending her but agreed not to tell the story anymore. She said, “I love you dad.” I replied, “I love you too. Thank you for agreeing to not tell this story anymore.”

Steven was not a part of either conversation, his name was not mentioned, nor did anyone acknowledge him or even make eye contact at any time. During the first time, at the restaurant, he was seated 3 people away from us. At the bonfire, he was seated 4 people away from us. Both times there were other conversations happening closer to him, so there was no way he was included in the conversation pertaining to the Kyle story. His assertion that I reminded him is completely false. He overhead the story and presented it in the court filing for the HRO as if I told it directly to him and also as if it was intended to be a threat directly to him. Those assertions are completely false.

It should be noted that after the bonfire, I had 4 people tell me that Steven had acted rudely towards them. Initially I defended him saying that he works at a church and so they must be mistaken. Later, as I thought more about it, it became apparent that some of these people did not know each other and therefore could not have collaborated on the story. That, to me, gave some credence they might be telling the truth.

It should also be noted that during the bonfire my brother Steve began making what he thought were jokes about Steven. I quickly interrupted and told my brother that Steven worked at a church and asked that he please not make any jokes about him. He apologized and refrained from telling any further jokes. I defended Steven, only to have him later go and tell lies about me.

The Books

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1.

"When I shared my desire to marry his daughter, months prior to asking for his blessing, he gave me two of his books, including an autobiographical work, Painful Secrets, wherein he claims to have almost committed a mass shooting as a teenager. With this context, the Respondent's placement of the gun on the banister was clearly meant and taken as a threat and intimidation towards me."

My response;

This is a gross exaggeration. In both filings he claims I gave him a copy of the books a "month" before in one and "months" before in another....it was actually 3 days prior to his asking to marry my daughter.

During a previous time that we had met, he mentioned that he heard I wrote several books. I confirmed that I had. He said that he would like to read them. I sell these books on Amazon so do not store any extra copies at the house. When he expressed an interest in reading them, I went to see if I had any available copies at the house. I found one copy of ‘Painful Secrets’ and one copy of ’Casting Out Doubt’. I then generously gave him a free copy of each. Had he not mentioned my being an author, I would never have thought to give him a copy of any of the books. I have not previously given a copy to any of my other daughter’s current or former boyfriends, nor any of Brittany’s former boyfriends.

Soon after, he asked if we could hang out for a while. I said yes, and he came over to our house. Once here he mentioned that he had read both books. One was my memoir, and he didn't really talk about that - it was the other book, the one about miracles. I was surprised he had read them already as I had just given them to him three (3) days prior. When I commented on this, he said that he had stayed up late at night to finish them. We talked about the book 'Casting Out Doubt' for a few minutes, then began talking about other topics. At no time did he seem concerned about the contents of the other book, nor was it ever mentioned.

That evening, I had a feeling he was there to ask me if he could marry my daughter. This was an important evening, and I didn't want him to feel rushed - so I remained patient and waited. Finally, three hours later I asked if he was there, by chance, to ask me question. He said yes and asked if he could marry my daughter. I asked for there to be several conditions, and he agreed. One of them was not to interfere in my relationship with daughter. He promised that he wouldn't. Then we talked about a ring, and I offered to borrow him one of Brittany's mom's rings to propose with. That would give him time to go ring shopping. (He has since broken the promise to not interfere in my relationship with Brittany many times over).It is important to note that I have used my memoir, 'Painful Secrets' as a tool to help make bullying awareness and prevention a national issue. Along the way I have prevented 6 school shootings and also prevented 300 teen suicides. I would never use this book as a threat to anyone. My wife is a high school science teacher who has given copies of this same book to some of her troubled students. She has witnessed their lives being changed by it and has seen them carry this book around with them every day as a sign of hope and overcoming great life obstacles. See exhibit P for feedback from notable individuals.

Here again, Steven is making wild claims that are simply not true at all.

Exhibit / Evidence; B. Books on Amazon. Also, P. 'Painful Secrets' feedback.

False claim about the gun

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"At the time, my fiance lived in respondents home. I visited while the respondent was at work, to make plans for the wedding. He returned before I left, and we only exchanged a brief interaction on me way our the door, however, he placed his firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight. I couldn't help but feel as though he had intentionally placed the gun there for me to see."

My response;

This was around the time when there were riots in Minneapolis after what happened to George Floyd. There was talk about the riots going to the suburbs. At the time my wife asked me if I still had a gun. I

replied yes. The fact that she asked this question shows how discreet I was with it. I never showed it to anyone, open carried or even discussed it.

She then said it would make her feel safer if she could see it regularly. So, for a short while, placing my firearm on the upstairs banister when coming home from work became a temporary routine for me.

Again, she literally asked me to do this. This is the only reason I would ever leave my gun out; because it was at the request of my wife. I would come home from work and place it on the end railing at the top of the steps, go into the kitchen and greet my wife with a kiss, unpack my lunch bag, then go put the gun away in our bedroom. It was only out for approximately 3 minutes. I did this only for a few weeks, then asked if she was OK with me not putting it out anymore, as I never was comfortable doing this. She said yes, and I stopped leaving it out for her to see.

One day while Steven was over, he saw the handgun in its usual place and made an incorrect assumption. He then used this occasion to begin placing thoughts into my daughter's head that I am a dangerous person, and they are both at risk of me harming them with the gun.

Steven claimed it was "on the banister by the front door, …". This is a false claim. It was actually on the 2nd floor of the house – Steven remained in the lower level and was never near the gun. It was also holstered, and I never went near it while he was in the house. During this entire time, he never saw me – he only heard my voice coming from the kitchen.

I have never waved this gun around or used it to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie; but Steven wants to falsely portray me as a crazy man with a weapon.

Rules of Engagement

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

"The respondent took issue with some of the wording in our Rules of Engagement..."

My response;

Brittany and Steven came up with some Rules of Engagement, and presented them to my wife and I. We both agreed to follow these rules. At this time, we were at our house sitting at the dining room table. Afterwards, Brittany and her mom went into the kitchen. I got up and said I was going to the bathroom. I began walking away but then stopped and turned around to retrieve something from the table. At that time Steven had stood up and was bent over picking up a bag from the floor with his back towards me. He did not realize I was standing there. Just then I heard him say "Oh, this is gonna be good!" He said it in a very deep voice as though he was almost growling. To me, it sounded demonic. He suddenly stood and spun around to face me. He began smiling and started trying to tell a joke. It seemed like he was

trying to cover for something. I was too stunned to speak or respond. He then called to Brittany saying they should leave.

Afterwards, my wife looked at me and asked, “Honey, are you OK?” I didn’t want to make a scene so I just responded by saying I was OK. We then went into our bedroom together and prayed for them as a couple. The following day I shared what happened with my brother.

Shortly after that I sent them both an email asking a question about how to ask a question. Nothing more. Simply asking how they preferred me to ask a question.

Something in the Rules of Engagement was not clear and I merely was asking for clarification. Steven immediately took offense to this and began accusing me of manipulation. This email conversation included Brittany, her mom, Steven and me.

Suddenly I got an email from Steven stating that if I loved Brittany that I would “Lay down everything.” This to me means submitting to him and goes along with his earlier comments about submitting to him if I want a relationship with my daughter.

I then realized that he had sent the email directly to me and had excluded Brittany and her mom. I then said I would not continue this conversation with him in that email thread and would only have a discussion involving Brittany and Mrs. Hutchinson. I then went back to the previous email thread and responded in a somewhat sharp manner. Afterwards I asked my wife to be the one to send out any emails from me to them. In that way I could be assured that both her and Brittany would be included in the emails.

Exhibit / Evidence; C. Copy of the Rules of Engagement. Also, D. Seeking clarification.

Emotional Response & Raising My Voice

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

"On the same day he returned home and Brittany reports visible signs of anger (slamming doors, slamming things, yelling, etc.)" "This email and anger was the first clues towards irrational behavior and unwarranted responses. I only expressed my concern for effective conflict resolution....and he took it as an insult to his intelligence and he was unable to control his emotional response."

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"I then called my fiancé to share the news with her, [about a text I sent him banning him from my property} and I could hear him, yelling and angrily attacking my character because I had done laundry in his house. At this point I began to seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé."

My response;

Later, after the email exchange, I was talking with Brittany and my frustration boiled over, and I raised my voice about how Steven would not answer the seemly simple question about the wedding guest list, has made no effort to be a part of our family, and has been acting rudely towards me. I was also terribly upset that Brittany is showing signs of stress and I haven't seen her smile in days. I am very concerned and even heartbroken that she should have to go through this during a time when she should be celebrating. My being agitated certainly did not help matters.

As I walked out of the kitchen, I was so disappointed in myself for having raised my voice (something I had never done before to her) that I slapped the doorway with my open hand. I truly felt awful about how I had acted.

She later she came to me and we discussed the guest list. I accepted her explanation, apologized for raising my voice, and asked that we move forward. The following day I went to her and asked if we could do a reset, one where we could together enjoy the journey of her getting married. She agreed and we spent some enjoyable time together.

There was no slamming doors, slamming other things or emotional outbursts of any kind. His claim that I was “unable to control his emotional response" is completely false and unfounded. My wife was at home during this time – if any of this had happened, (other than raising my voice to Brittany one time) my wife would have come to investigate. She will testify that this never happened and it was a peaceful evening at home. This is yet another attempt by Steven at disparaging me through his lies and manipulation. In an earlier HRO filing he used words to describe my actions that are wrong, such as; “RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP, and SCREAMING.” No one has witnessed me doing any of these and this is nothing more than character assassination.

I had only raised my voice twice. The second time I was unaware that Brittany was home and talked loudly to my wife. She did not feel any concern for her safety, and even walked over and gave me a hug. I rarely, if ever, talk in an upset manner. My wife knew I was no danger to anyone and understood my need for a hug.

His claim to have begun to “…seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé” is nonsense, completely baseless and all a part of his plan to cause a division in my family.

Ever since I had given Steven permission to marry my daughter, he no longer made any efforts to be a part of our family or join any activities. It's like a switch was flipped and he became cold towards us. He has spoken rudely to myself, guests at our house and has acted this way towards my other children. It appears he was only being nice to obtain my blessing, then his real personality came out. One day I talked to Steven and told him that I do not hate him nor am I trying to stop the wedding. I have a good relationship with my other daughters' boyfriends and hoped to have the same with him. His only

response was "thank you for sharing that" in a monotone voice. There was no appreciation or gratitude - no saying anything like, ‘wow I'm hoping for that too.’ Nothing.

The fact that I had remained calm for so long given all the terrible things he had been saying to me, just shows how rational and peaceful of a person I really am. Since that time over 3 years ago, not one person has witnessed me raising my voice at all. These two instances were rare and only due to the distressful situations that Steven was causing in my family.

Mediation

Steven was texting me some inappropriate comments and I responded by asking him to not contact me anymore in this manner. He responded by saying that Brittany suggested mediation. I said yes, and that if he wanted to pick a place for that to happen, that I would pay for those services. He responded by saying that the only way he would agree to mediation is if it were at the church where he works. This seemed to put me at a disadvantage because they are familiar with him and do not know me. But I agreed to this anyway figuring I would just set the truth free and let it defend itself.

Afterwards, as I thought about mediation it occurred to me that mediation meant all the bad and negative things Steven had done would be revealed and that could have very negative impacts on him. After a time of prayer I  sent the following email, striving for peace, and once again apologizing for things I haven’t done, and admitting to things I never did, all in an effort to have a relationship with my daughter.

“This email is an open apology to my daughter, Brittany. I have failed you. Not just a little, but a lot. During the past weeks I have been so focused on being right, about winning a disagreement, that I lost sight of something far more important; our relationship and your happiness. I have always put you first in my life, and now just months before your wedding I have fallen far short of what I should have been doing. You have every reason to be mad at me, hurt, disappointed and feeling less than loved by me. For that I apologize - profusely. I love you more than life itself and literally live in daily agony over the state of our current relationship. In response I'll say this:

I resign.

Completely and fully resign.

Not from being your dad of course, but rather from the disagreement that caused this. The [previous] letter I emailed states my position and stands as the truth as I know it. But that's as far as it goes. I'm done with any disagreement with Steven. My time and energy is now going to be focused on you, Brittany. To work hard restoring our precious relationship and ensuring this never happens again. Ever. And, to help celebrate the upcoming wedding and make these months before the wedding as enjoyable as possible.

With that in mind, I am inviting Steven to neutral ground. A place where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen, mi casa es tu casa.

I don't want battles anymore - I just want to love my family and others with all my heart. To fully enjoy what time I have left on this planet. The past few weeks/months somehow turned ugly and I don't want that - never did. I ended up feeling ugly inside and that's not who I am. At all. I repent for my misdeeds and missteps.

Peace,

Tim/Dad"

Comment: Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again.

My wife replied:

"Tim,

I want you to know those words really touched my heart. They were absolutely beautiful and honest. I appreciate you putting Britt first and your highest priority.[Emphasis added] Im proud of you and very hopeful we can begin celebrating this engagement and wedding! This makes me very happy. I love you all so much.

With Love,

Jen"

Our daughter replied;

"Dad,

Steven and I read your emails. We have found ourselves in the same position that we have felt trapped into for the past few weeks, unsure how to respond. We have set clear boundaries, laid out in our Rules of Engagement, and those have been violated repeatedly. The way Steven and I have been treated by you has been inappropriate and out of line. The last email we received was an apology directed towards me, and while I appreciate that I feel as if it dismissed core issues. This puts us into a difficult position of being perceived as the ones continuing conflict if we don't accept a "blank slate."

As Steven and I prepare to start our new life together, we are concerned that these patterns of behavior will continue. We believe that there are significant changes that need to occur in order to move forward in a healthy manner. We desire growing towards healthier relationships with you. Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far, so we believe a mediated conversation is the appropriate next step.

We are confirming with our mediator, but does Saturday at 10 am work for you and mom? It's important that all 4 of us will be there.

Thanks,

Britt"

Concerned about "patterns" – what patterns? I've been apologizing for things I never did.

"Significant changes"? Should I then become an uncaring, unloving father? That's ridiculous and clearly not my daughter's voice. That has 'Steven' written all over it.

"….and those have been violated repeatedly…" To date neither Brittany nor Steven can clearly state what any of this alleged violations are.

Before meeting Steven, my daughter would never have written an email reply such as this. Never. I believe he is manipulating her.

My reply;

"Yes, mom and I can be available at that time.

I am willing to attend based upon three conditions;

1) This is about moving forward, not digging up the past. There were clearly missteps on the part of several of us, not just myself. Going over those details will serve no useful purpose other than igniting hurt feelings.

2) The meeting will be focused on ways to communicate in both directions; engaged couple to the parents, and vice-versa.

3) No expectations will be made that violate our First Amendment rights. While I agree there are acceptable ways to communicate, anything that prevents one of us from speaking at all to another person is something I won't consider. This is America - brave heroic people fought for our rights, and that should be respected."

Steven replied;

"Hi all,

I agree with everything Britt said. As for your response, Mr. Hutchinson, I don't believe we can comply with them all of them the way you have requested.

There are things that have been done and said over the last two weeks that cannot be ignored, and it is not enough to ask for a "blank slate" and move forward. Some of this will be discussed in our conversation.

We agree that communication moving forward should be an element of this conversation.

We don't believe it's helpful for us to set expectations for the communication plan moving forward before our conversation.

I have to admit, these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining for Britt and I as we have interacted with you and we desire to move forward in a healthier direction and would appreciate your willingness to enter into that being willing to lay anything down in order to make that happen. You have emphasized how important your relationship with Britt is, and if that is really true, we believe that you will listen to what Britt and I have to say about how we can all move forward in a healthy way, even if that means that interaction with one or both of us moves forward differently than your personal preference."

Comment: "Things have been done and said that cannot be ignored". Such as? To date neither of them can name even one single thing.

"…these past few weeks have been incredible challenging and emotionally draining for Brittany and I as we have interacted with you…" Here again, he cannot name one thing that I've done, other than ask a question, raise my voice (to Brittany once, and to my wife once), apologize for things I never did, and strive for peace. They literally cannot and have not named anything.

Steven interjected himself into the equation with his comment. Other than a letter trying to make peace, I've had no interaction with Steven in the previous few weeks. But still he tries to pretend to be a victim here.

"We desire to move forward in a healthier direction…" Clearly we cannot move forward if Steven puts zero effort into anything and my daughter will not even speak to me because of Steven's lies.

My reply;

"Steven,

Mrs. Hutchinson and I have also been directly/indirectly impacted in a negative way by some of your words & actions. We will also be presenting a list of expectations on how we will be communicated with. We look forward to the meeting. See you then.

Mr. Hutchinson"

The second attempt @ mediation was scheduled for Saturday, 2/27/2021 @ 10 AM. The previous week's mediation was cancelled by the mediator due to a scheduling conflict. It's important to note that Steven did not want to discuss any previous events in mediation. Then he changed his mind and now wants previous events be discussed. That's a 180-degree turn-around.

A few days later Steven sends me a text message which says, “Mediation is only about your and Mrs. Hutchinson learning how you two are going to talk to me.” I showed this text message to my wife (Mrs. Hutchinson), my brother and youngest sister. They were all shocked and appalled at this.

I responded that he has been saying terrible things to me and that during mediation he would also learn how to communicate with us. Shortly afterwards he cancelled mediation and would not give us a valid reason for doing so. He also refused to reschedule mediation. It appears obvious to me that Steven has by now convinced my daughter that I have done things that are wrong, without any evidence or proof whatsoever. In fact, it has been Steven who is the one causing the problems.

I apologized to my daughter and said that I didn’t want to focus on any disagreement any longer – instead I wanted to focus on our relationship. I invited Steven to a neutral ground where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where, and I quote, “you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen – my house is your house.” Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again. They both replied that they could not accept this. Brittany claimed I had violated the Rules of Engagement, and Steven said, and I quote; “these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining…” What’s interesting is during the weeks before this I actually had no contact with him at all. What interaction was he talking about? There literally was NONE! This is another example of Steven trying to invent a make-believe world and draw my daughter into it – a world in which I am a villain – a violent person who is supposedly unable to control his behavior. Meanwhile, back in reality, the opposite is true. I am 63+ years-old, no criminal record, love doing volunteer work, no problems with any one until Steven comes along and suddenly I am a super-villain. Yet he cannot name even one threat I’ve made to him. Not one. Because there weren’t any.

Connecting to talk about the conflict

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

"At this point it became clear there was significant issue, and so I suggested to the respondent that he and I find a time to connect to talk through the conflict."

My response;

Steven never made any such suggestion to me. I am the one who has been offering apologies for things I never did and trying to find a way to resolve some conflict when I have no idea what the issue(s) are/were. Had he reached out me to in this manner I would have gladly accepted. His claim to have reached out to try and connect to talk through the conflict is absolutely a lie. It should also be noted that at this point in time I had no idea what the problem(s) were/are. Neither Brittany nor Steven were communicating with me.

My wife tried contacting them to find out what the issue/problem were/are, but neither of them were willing to discuss this with her either. It wasn't until the first HRO was filed that I had even a glimpse of the terrible things I was being falsely accused of.

I tried a total of 15 times to make peace. Steven never suggested even one single thing to help get our relationship back on track. I came up with 100% of the ideas and have offered 100% of the apologies and have made 100% of the efforts. He could not and still cannot truthfully state one thing that I've done to threaten or even offend him. Not one. Up until the first HRO was filed, I had been offering blanket apologies in an effort to have a relationship with my daughter.

All along he took everything I've done, as pure and honest as my actions were, and greatly exaggerated them and spun them into my looking like it's all a threat to him. Those are all absolute lies. What's especially troubling is that he claims to be a Christian and works at a church. None of what he's done towards me reflects Christian behavior.

Brittany Moving Out

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"Later that day I received a call from my finance that while the respondent was still visibly angry, he went out to the garage and collected his firearm, which he continued to hold while angry. Brittany felt unsafe and so she left to stay at a friend's home. At that point Brittany and I found an apartment to lease where she could live, in order to move out of the respondent's home. We decided to attempt to keep this address a secret from the respondent for fear of safety for Brittany and myself."

Within a few days Brittany moved out of our house. Supposedly because she is now suddenly afraid of me, because I raised my voice, twice. What's interesting is the very day she moved out, she returned that evening to retrieve something she had left behind. When she saw me, she gave me a hug and said "I love you so much." I told her it was weird seeing her move and that this was the first time she's ever moved that I didn't help. She replied that was true and yes this all seems weird. As she walked out of the house she looked back at me and though she smiled, I know her well enough that I could detect a hint of sadness – almost as if she didn’t want to go.

A few days later her youngest sister, Vanessa, told me that she had gone to Brittany’s apartment (that she had just moved to), and that those two stood outside the apartment building and hugged as Brittany cried, saying she didn’t understand why she had to move away from her home. Vanessa said that Brittany sounded very confused about what was happening.

“…for fear of safety for Brittany and myself."  This is fear that he created through a false narrative of me being a danger to either of them. He did this intentionally and with malice towards my myself and my family.

Owatonna

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"On 2/2/21 Brittany reports hearing from the respondent that "[he's] so mad [he] could kill someone, so [he's] going to kill Charlie". Further claims Charlie is my "violent side" and was a "secret CIA assassin." He also states that I appear to be "delusional and out-of-touch with reality. I am afraid for my safety and Brittany's. His actions are clearly unpredictable.

My response;

In an effort to activate what I call my daughters “BS detector”, by which I mean a healthy amount of skepticism, my well-meaning brother Steve, made up a story. This is really the only time in my life I've ever told Brittany something that wasn't true. But I had good intentions. I made the story so fantastical that any reasonable person would doubt it was real. That was what I was after. To have her look at this and say wait a minute, that's not real. And then to have her look at what Steven was saying and realize what he's saying is also not real. My daughter Brittany is kind, sweet, thoughtful and a really, really wonderful person. She's was also gullible as any 22-year-old is. And Steven clearly took advantage of that.

I told her that "I'm so angry I could kill someone." This is actually a phrase I grew up hearing; no one actually killed anyone; it’s like saying I'm so hungry I could eat a horse; no one eats horses and even if they did, they couldn't consume an entire horse. It's only a phrase with no factual meaning behind it. I also chose that phrase because I figured she would immediately realize that I wouldn't actually kill someone - she's only known me as a kind, loving, peaceful father who always puts his family first.

I told her the person I would kill is Charlie (you can't kill Charlie - it's just a name I was given in the military.)

I said that I was going to a DNR lab near Owatonna where I would be held down on a table and my head will be zapped with electricity. That's as crazy of a story that I could think of at the moment.

The next morning I said that it snowed the previous night (which it did) so we met at a closed gas station and performed the procedure in a van. They zapped me while I rolled my eyes side-to-side.

I waited for her to say something like, "Dad, this isn't real!" But she never did.

I had every intention of explaining to her why I told this fabricated story, but I wanted to do so in person. Sadly, I never saw her again.

When I shared this story with my son he began laughing. Anyone who knows me would immediately realize that this was a made-up story. Later, I shared this same story with my youngest daughter,

Vanessa. Her response was the same; laughter. They both know I would never do anything like what I had described.

Before the trip to Owatonna, I told this story to my wife. She went with me on the trip and showed no concerns about my mental health. She recognized immediately it was just a story.

The fact that Brittany took this seriously showed me how much Steven had been manipulating her.

Visting the Church

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 19.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1-2.

"On March 1, 2021, the respondent appeared unannounced and uninvited at Calvary church.....which is my place of employment to deliver a defamatory letter address to my superior and senior pastor of the church...The letter made false and defamatory claims about me and my character with the intent to have Calvary terminate my employment."

My response;

I reached out to Calvary church and approached them as respectfully as possible asking for help. I stated that Steven has been acting inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. I asked them to review the facts and prayerfully consider helping bring a resolution to this situation. There was no response to the first request, so I sent a second. Shortly afterwards a letter came in the mail from their attorney stating I was not welcome on either of their campuses and to not have any further contact with them.

Later, I learned that Steven claimed he was so afraid of me being violent that he was being escorted into the church from the parking lot each day, and then back to his car when he left. He also said he was always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him.

The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. Steven went so far as to make a church unduly afraid of me, with no evidence whatsoever – nothing but his false claims.

I will state this fact again; I never threatened him. Ever. If I am such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren't the police ever called? If Steven was really that afraid of me the police should be notified. But they weren't. Why? In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police - not even once.

He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him - not one - because there weren't any. Because I don't want to - I never did. Any perceived threats are made-up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as my family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me.

At no time have I ever asked the church to fire him. My request initially was simply to nudge him towards mediation. Later that became a request for an investigation – but only after he told me, through an attorney, that he did not want to reconcile our relationship.

Before contacting the church I reached out to Steven in yet another attempt to make peace. He never responded.

“The letter made false and defamatory claims…” No, it was a respectful attempt to move things forward towards resolution. Everything in the letter and accompanying documents was/were factually true.

Exhibit / Evidence; F. Letter to Steven before contacting the church.

Letter to the Church

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4.

"Respondent’s threatening letter sent to Calvary’s legal counsel is not only shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. His letters to Brittany demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me, and which he intends to resume his harassment on May 27, 2023."

My response:

“"Respondent’s threatening letter sent to Calvary’s legal counsel …” What threat? I mentioned a peaceful protest and asked for them to perform an investigation.

“…shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. “ Harassment? There wasn’t any. Steven made all of that up. I am the person who tried to make peace over a dozen times. Steven is the person whose intent was to cause harm to myself and my family.

”… demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me…”

The facts clearly show that the damaged relationship with my daughter was caused by Steven. That he intentionally caused these damages, and it was also his intention to harm my family.

Several times I reached out to Calvary church. I approached them as respectfully as possible asking for help, stating that Steven has been acting inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. I

asked they review the facts and prayerfully consider helping bring a resolution to this situation. There was no response to the first request, so I sent a second. Shortly afterwards a letter came in the mail from their attorney stating I was not welcome on either of their campuses and to not have any further contact with them.

Later I learned that Steven claimed he was so afraid of me being violent that he was being escorted into the church from the parking lot each day, and then back to his car when he left. He also said he was always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him.

The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. If the church considered me such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren't the police ever called? If Steven was that afraid of me, the police should have been notified. But they weren't.

In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police – not even once. He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him – not one – because there weren't any. Because I don't want violence – I never did. Any perceived threats are made-up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me.

Exhibit / Evidence; I. Copy of letter to the church seeking help.

Loved and forgiven

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 20-21.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 2.

"APRIL 28, 2021 - UNINVITED INTRUSION AT PERITIONER'S PERSONAL RESIDENCE." The respondent visited my apartment building on 4/28/21 at 7:50am. Steven and his roommates were all sleeping. After receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the building, neither Steven nor his roommates unlocked the front door to the building, which they had previously discussed to do if he came to visit the apartment. But the respondent entered anyway. He knocked on the door repeatedly but I did not answer....my heart was racing...I began to think about having to jump from my second story balcony or calling the police just to get away....After some time of knocking, it stopped...."

My response;

This is another exaggeration Steven has made. What really occurred is this; shortly before my visit I asked for an extended break - to let things calm down a bit. I then went to each of their homes for one

purpose; to let them know they are both loved and forgiven. I told my wife what my purpose was for going there, and she responded by saying my actions were beautiful and she was proud of me.

Upon arrival at Steven's apartment building I parked my car and exited. An African-American woman was walking out to her car and said hello. I responded by saying good morning. She then told me the buzzer box on the building was broken and that maintenance knew about it. She then told me I could just enter the building as the door was unlocked. I thanked her and walked towards the front door of the building. Once there I discovered the door was indeed unlocked and so I walked in without buzzing Steven's apartment. His claim of "receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the building,…´ is therefore completely false, as I never buzzed his apartment. I couldn't – the buzzer was broken.

In an earlier filing he also claimed that I had "gained access" to the building, making it sound as though I had broken into the building as if I were a burglar or criminal. That also is false. I simply opened the door and walked in like any normal person would.

I then went to his apartment and using the second knuckle on my right hand, knocked three times in a normal manner. I then stepped back across the hall and patiently waited. After several minutes no one answered the door, so I stepped forward and again knocked three times in a normal manner, again using the second knuckle of my right hand. It should also be noted that this was during the Covid pandemic, and I respectfully wore a mask during this entire time.

When there was no answer after my second time knocking normally, I peacefully walked out of the building and drove away calmly. From there I drove to a nearby Cub Foods store and purchased some flowers for my daughter. I went to her apartment building and buzzed her apartment; however, she didn't answer the door. So, I placed the flowers on her car and left peacefully.

I later learned she had called Steven in a panicked state because I was at the door to the apartment building she lived in and she didn't know how to respond. I went there with flowers, to tell her that I love her, and she's too afraid to answer the door. Clearly this is because of the lies Steven has told her. Does it really make sense that I am trying to make peace and threatening violence at the same time?

It's an incredibly sad day for an older dad when his own daughter is afraid of him for no valid reason whatsoever. Let me be perfectly clear - I have never threatened either one of them with violence, at any time, in any way whatsoever. These are all fabricated lies of Steven.

In my journal I wrote that I was going there to tell them they were LOVED and FORGIVEN, with both of those words in uppercase letters. It was repeated this several times in the journal. In his court filing, Steven left out that page, intentionally because it tells the real story and exposes some of the lies he has told. Since he dd not answer the door, Steven does not know the reason for my visit. He decided,

without any evidence, to twist things around and use this against me in a manner that was intended to cause harm to myself and my family.

Voice Message

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21.

"2.....THREATENTING VOICE MESSAGE...."If you want to live in peace, as I do, then if I prepare for war, any adversary will know that and basically let me be. So when you haven't heard from my these past few weeks, that's I've been doing. I've been preparing for war."

My response;

I clearly stated my desire to live in peace. His actions have made that difficult. I was letting him know that I had been preparing for war – which means to expose him on social media and contact law enforcement (which I ultimately did.) I have told him all along that I wanted to resolve things in a peaceful manner. His response has been to continue telling lies about me and/or ignore my multiple request(s) for a peaceful resolution.

Brittany Stops Communicating

Brittany stopped communicating with me in February of 2021. She said it was because Steven asked her to do this so they could focus on “building” their “relationship”. Immediately I thought Steven wanted to further drive a wedge between my daughter and me. From the very beginning I saw a change in my daughter; she has chronic headaches and I often used to massage her neck and shoulders. After the engagement I noticed her shoulders began to stick up more – seemed she was losing weight. I asked her mom, and she confirmed our daughter was losing weight, unintentionally. She thought maybe it was because of stress. At the time Brittany and I were getting along fine. Now she says she cannot communicate with me at all. Very concerning – especially since before meeting Steven, her and I were like 2-peas-in-a-pod. Now she sees me through a filter that Steven created, a filter which I can prove are lies and deceit.

That’s why she is the only child of mine who does not have a relationship with me. The others see me as I really am; the great father who raised them. I haven’t changed – am a lot sadder but am still that great dad who loves his family more than anything.

Epic Storm

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21.

"3. MARCH 24, 2021---HARASSING EMAIL. Email excerpt sent through Timothy's wife, Jennifer to Steven Anderson: "You've successfully stripped my daughter out of my life, and in the process hurt me at a level

I have not been hurt before. But understand one thing; I could have stopped you by releasing the 'Epic Storm' I designed and planned."

My response;

The reason my wife began sending the emails is because during the time when Steven, Brittany, my wife and I were having an email conversation, Steven was also sending me emails directly stating things such as how he expects me to submit to him. But in the other emails which were including my wife and Brittany he was acting innocent and trying to make himself and Bittany look like victims. I showed these emails to my wife and requested that she be the one moving forward to send out the emails, that way we could be certain the four of us were seeing all the emails being sent. She readily agreed and I then blocked Steven from emailing me directly.

An ’Epic Storm’ is a term which describes a completely legal means of a cascading series of events designed to bring about change, or to protect someone. Again, these events are all completely legal. Nothing evil and no intent to cause physical harm at all. Several times I told Steven that I would do an epic storm if he continued ruining my relationship with Brittany and causing strife in my family. I never took any action though, because that would have been upsetting to my daughter and I was doing my best to shield her from any actions I was being forced to take.

He falsely claimed this meant I was going to be violent with him, even though I told him everything I planned on doing ahead of time, and all of it was completely legal. It stated this in my journal and in emails. Again, he took advantage of every innocent thing I did and would twist things to make my daughter think I was a crazed violent person. Something she has never seen in me before, because it’s not there and is all a fabrication of Steven’s.

Meanwhile, he's doing absolutely nothing to help settle things between us. His attempts thus far have amounted to exactly zero. He is a person who works in a church yet disobeys the teachings of the bible. His actions themselves expose him as a fraud.

Bold Moves

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21.

"04. April 26, 2021---EMAIL. Email excerpt sent to Brittany about Steven "My plan is to make some bold moves to resolve things between Steven and I. For us to not have a relationship is completely unacceptable. A father should not have to stop having a relationship with his daughter so she can marry someone. This is wrong on so many levels. Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language these "bold moves" have cause much concern for me and have included him visiting my home and place of work..."

My response;

“Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language…” I have never threatened him or used any violent language. Steven has again made all of this up. Bold moves would be to do as I stated on the main page of my journal; go public with what I know about him, file a lawsuit; ask law enforcement to become involved, etc. None of the actions I mentioned were violent in nature and in fact are all completely legal. Steven has again fabricated all of the claims against me concerning threats of violence.

See previous sections for what happened during the time(s) I visited his work and/or home (apartment). None of that was even close to being threatening. Asking a church to help move things forward towards meditation is a threat? Visiting his home to tell him he is “LOVED and FORGIVEN” is “violent language"?? It is clear that Steven is seriously delusional and acting/acted on an evil plan to intentionally destroy my family.

Journal (falsely listed and referred to as a public website)

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24-25.

"PUBLIC DEFAMATORY WEBSITE....Respondent created a defamatory website and threatened to spread the link publicly.

My response:

This was a personal and private online journal. I allowed Steven to see it one time. He then violated copyright law by copying the entire journal. He then attempted to use it against me. This private journal did not contain any threats of violence at all. The main part of the journal contained a collection of emails that had been sent back and forth between Steven, Brittany, my wife and I, as well as some letters I had sent Brittany, and some moments of personal reflection. Again, nothing threatening violence of any type.

When Steven would not agree to meet or come together for mediation, I added a home page to the journal stating I would make this journal public if he did not work towards a resolution between us so I could have a relationship with my daughter. On that page I clearly stated that everything would be done legally. It also included a picture of Steven’s face with the eyes made to look dark. That was to show how much darkness I believed was in Steven in order for him to act the way he had been, and beneath it was a call to prayer. [Emphasis added]. Should the private journal be made public, this would be a request for people to pray for him.

Again, there was no threat of violence to him or anyone else. This was plain and obvious, but instead he chose to twist things around to make it seem he was an intended victim.

Exhibit / Evidence; H. Copy of private journal.

Medical Bills

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24.

"Claimed I was responsible for $350,000 of medical damages..."

My response;

I have never claimed there were/are $350,000 of medical damages or bills. I have health insurance and most of the medical bills have been paid through them. In recent years I have gone to the doctor's office and there have been concerns about cancer and my heart. During those times Steven has not shown any care or concern for my health. Not even an offer of prayer. This falls far beneath the level expected of a Christian, and especially of church faculty or a pastor.

More False Claims

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 26.

"...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature. In March of this year, he reported suicide ideation and holding a gun to his head, as a result of the deterioration with the relationship with his daughter....He seems unable to regulate his emotions, is willing to bring a firearm into the mix and is fixated on me being the cause of his broken relationship with his daughter. I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me. After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me. By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation."

My response;

"...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature...."

"He seems unable to regulate his emotions..."

"I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me."

"After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me."

"By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation."

Those are all gross exaggerations intended to falsely make him appear to be a victim. One evening I did very briefly hold a gun up to my head at a park, within hours of a painful counseling session with my daughter. Later, I told my wife about this. We agreed I should get some help at the hospital. Once there, they offered to let me stay with them for inpatient counseling, and clearly stated my stay there was completely voluntary. I agreed to stay, and over the next 24 hours worked with a mental health doctor

(Dr. Brown) and her staff to develop coping skills. The following day I asked to be discharged, and the doctor agreed. She stated there were no concerns for suicide or that I would act out in a violent manner. I went to the hospital voluntarily, stayed voluntarily, and left voluntarily with no concerns that I was a threat either to myself or anyone else.

Steven's statements, listed above, are completely false, fabricated, and are being used as an attempt to slander and libel me, with the clear intent of causing immeasurable harm to myself and my family.

The above statements made by Steven clearly show that he is making up these terrible claims about me. There is no evidence at all that I have ever threatened him. Steven is cleverly twisting words around in an attempt to make himself appear to be a victim, and to cause harm to my family. In doing so he has also caused undue concern from the church and congregation.

Exhibit / Evidence G. Hospital papers from overnight stay.

False Claim About Escalating Things

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 26.

"...He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany's on 4/28/2021."

My response;

I have already addressed this in another section, but here again Steven is making up lies and fabricating things against me, with the intent to ruin my relationship my daughter Brittany, and also to cause harm to my family.

In stark contrast to his false claims, I sent a letter to my family calling for peace and love. See evidence for a copy. Exhibit / Evidence; J. Letter to my children before the first HRO was filed.

First HRO

Shortly after I went to tell them they were LOVED and FORGIVEN, I was served court papers by the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office. These papers were Steven and Brittany filing for a Harassment Restraining Order against me. Once I read their complaint(s) against me it became obvious the type of lies Steven had been telling about me, specifically to my daughter, Brittany. I assembled all the evidence I had and planned to ask for a trial, during which I would fully expose Steven and his lies intended to harm both myself and my family. Especially concerning were allegations there was ‘domestic violence’ on the main page of Brittany’s filing. There never was any violence of any kind whatsoever between me and Brittany. That claim is false and a horrible allegation.

Their Attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh, told me beforehand that if I did not accept the HRO without finding of fact, it would harm my daughter, her integrity and profession as a nurse. Though I could have presented an enormous amount of evidence and witnesses to refute any of Stevens claims (which are lies), out of an abundance of love for my daughter, Brittany, I accepted the HRO without finding of fact. Mr. Van Loh went on to tell me that “It’s a tough day for a dad” and that he understands because of his own daughter's wedding, and that I am “Doing the right thing.”

During that same meeting, he asked that the website I had up about Steven be taken down. I specifically told him that was not a public website and that it was my private journal - one that I discreetly shared with Steven. He then said, "That's what pen and paper are for." So, he knew that was a private journal, but in the future he would act as though it was a public website that I used to disparage Steven.

In one later email he even specifically referred to that website as a "public website." Why would anyone refer to a website in that manner? Unless of course they know it's private and are trying to pretend it is not a private journal.

It should be noted that despite being out of my daughter’s life was/is incredibly painful, during the next two (2) years I only violated the HRO one time, and only due to a medical emergency. This happened when I was feeling ill and was advised by my doctor’s office to come see them soon. While driving there I began experiencing chest pains when only a few blocks away from the clinic. Instead of going my usual long-way around to get there, and avoiding Calvary church on Highway 96 (a place where I was ordered to not go within two (2) blocks of) I instead opted for the direct route which took me past the church. I did not stop at the church or otherwise interact with anyone there. I only drove past it on in my attempt to get to the clinic as quickly as possible. My thoughts were focused on getting medical help. Once at the clinic an EKG was performed. The attending physician immediately recognized I was having a cardiac event and called for an ambulance. I was transported to the nearest hospital for treatment.

Other than this one time due to a medical emergency, I obeyed the court order and did not violate the HRO. I am a peaceful and law abiding citizen.

Shortly after the HRO was accepted by me, Brittany and Steven were married. This occurred on June 19th, 2021. Two of Brittany’s three siblings did not attend the wedding, and neither did anyone from my side of the family. They read the HRO filing and do not believe the lies being told about me. In addition, several people who read the HRO said they were present when some of the events took place, and that Steven was lying in his description of what happened. Several of them stated another reason they did not attend was due to Steven’s rude behavior towards them.

I was told by several people in attendance at the wedding that Steven had hired two (2) off-duty police officers to provide security, and that he had done so claiming he was fearful I would show up at the wedding and become violent. I allege he did this as a show, because I never was a threat to him.

Exhibit / Evidence; M. HRO cover page claiming domestic violence. Also, R. Background.

False Claim of Threatening Letter

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4.

"May 4, 2023---Threatening Letter to Petitioner: Respondent contacted me through my attorney threatening again to protest me at my place of employment (See Exhibit C). In his letter, Respondent threatens that the only way to prevent this from occurring is to, "…Speak up now. Once [respondent]'s plan goes into action, there is no stopping or turning back" Respondent also accuses me of telling, "bullshit lies" and ends his letter "There is one question you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out? Tick-tock." [Emphasis Added].

My response;

More false claims about my being a threat. There is nothing threatening about a peaceful protest. I even asked for his input on how to resolve this matter so I could have a relationship with my daughter. But here again he twists things around, with the help of his attorney, to make me seem like I am some violent crazed person. They even put an emphasis on the phrase "Tick-tock" as though it were a reference to a bomb. It was clearly a reference to a clock as I stated earlier in the letter that the first HRO was expiring soon.

He also falsely claims the protests would be against him – when in fact I clearly stated in the letter to the church it would be about them performing a proper investigation. How is that a threat to him, unless he is afraid of the truth coming out?

Contacting their attorney trying to make peaceI contacted their attorney and asked if there was a way to make peace. After several emails exchanged between Mr. Van Loh and myself, he stated that Steven does not want to reconcile with me.

Exhibit / Evidence; L. Van Loh emails.

The Video

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3.

"June 2021 YouTube Video. At some point following the May 27, 2021 HRO, Respondent created a 90+ minute YouTube video "responding" to the HRO, but specifically speaking out and challenging the allegations in the Petition, even though he agreed to an entry of an HRO voluntarily without the court making findings of the alleged harassment. Respondent enlisted the help of my wife Brittany's sister, Vanessa to film who he then had it forwarded the video to my wife. The video was originally posted on a password protected webpage on his site, his "ministry" site, not the website he was required to take

down after the HRO. He has since taken down his ministry website. Attached are screenshots of the video (Exhibit E) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary."

My response;

I agreed to an entry of (the first) HRO voluntarily because their attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh told me that if I did not accept it that it would be harmful to my daughter, her reputation and her career. I accepted it out of concern and love for my daughter. Shortly afterwards several members of my family came to me asking various questions about the HRO. Because of the nature of this matter and how emotionally hard it was for me to discuss it, I enlisted the help of my youngest daughter, Vanessa and made a video where I replied to the terrible allegations made against me. I then posted it on a website on a private & unlisted page, that was additionally password protected. I then shared the page address and password with five (5) family members. I asked that they let me know when they had watched the video so I could then remove it from the private, unlisted and password protected webpage.

To be clear, there is nothing that threatens violence in that video at all. Steven's allegations of that are completely false. Steven also states, "Attached are screenshots of the video (Exhibit E) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary." This is clearly an attempt to make it seem as it the video contains threats and/or contains content that is nefarious. This is completely false.

Steven also claims that I had my daughter Vanessa forward it onto my daughter Brittany. This is also false, and he has no evidence to support this. Nonetheless, the referee (Elizabeth A. Clydesdale) believed this to be the truth, without any evidence to support this false claim, and ignoring the statement of my daughter, Brittany, went ahead and said said I was guilty anyway of violating the first HRO.

"…enlisted the help of my wife Brittany's sister, Vanessa…" Steven's wife, Brittany, is my daughter, So, it stands to reason that her sister is likely also my daughter (she is). He could have just referred to her as "Respondents daughter, Vanessa", but he didn't. Here again he wants to interject himself into every scenario possible – especially if he can falsely make himself appear to be a victim. My daughter, Vanessa and I have a close and loving relationship. Vanessa was originally a bridesmaid for her sister, Brittany. She backed out after she saw the things that Steven was doing, and also after reading the first HRO and recognizing the lies he was telling. She knows he is a liar and that's why she volunteered to help with the making of the video.

Exhibit / Evidence: Private video can be viewed by typing this link into a web browser.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZbYLElTAwO0

A Danger to the Church?

" Respondent has resumed and appears to be prepared to intensify the harassment against me and my wife, Brittany upon the expiration of the HRO on May 27, 2023. Although directed towards me, his threats of harassment involve and endanger 1,500+ congregants, including hundreds of children, at both campuses as well as the full and part time staff of both campuses. I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me or those around me."

My response;

"…intensity the harassment…" By having a peaceful protest at a church asking them to perform an investigation? A protest I would not even be attending. How does a peaceful protest endanger "…1,500+ congregants, including hundreds of children…" ?

"…. I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me or those around me."

Here again he is twisting the truth in an attempt to make me appear to be a violent crazy person who the entire church should be afraid of. Meanwhile I am spending time with my family, friends, and coworkers. None of whom have any concerns about their safety around me. They think Steven's claims are outrageous. Where is the evidence that I have ever threatened Steven, my daughter or the church? There is nothing except Steven's twisted version of the truth. All of the letters I sent to the church were respectful, even asking them to prayerfully consider helping, and peacefully requesting their help, etc. I would never threaten any violence against a church, or its members. This claim is false and made-up by Steven.

SECOND HRO

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3.

" 12. With the pending dismissal of the HRO on May 27, 2023, the Respondent has stated his intent to resume the same level of harassment which includes picketing at the place of my employment, Calvary Church, Roseville and White Bear Township. The Respondent violated Paragraph 1A of the HRO by sending threatening emails to my employer, Calvary Church, a threatening letter to me, and by sending a letter threatening me to my wife, Brittany Anderson, who also has a Harassment Restraining Order against the Respondent."

My response;

My youngest sister, who knew the HRO was about to expire, suggested that she take some action. She was doing this with good intentions. Just a few months prior, her only daughter had suddenly and unexpectedly passed away at the age of 40. I stood by her throughout this horrible ordeal. She wanted to find a way to help me with the situation concerning my daughter, Brittany. Her idea was to form a peaceful protest at Calvary church and continue doing so until they agreed to do a proper investigation. I

initially said no, don't do anything. But she was insistent and even looked up the laws concerning a peaceful protest. I reluctantly agreed to go along with this idea of hers. But I made it abundantly clear that I would not participate in those protests. The most I would do is to send a letter to Steven and Calvary church explaining the intention of an upcoming protest. The letter to the church was very respectful. The letter to Steven was more pointed. Because, after all, this was all his fault. And because of this, there were many negative impacts on my life and those of my family. But there was no threat in any of my communication(s). They are stating it as such for dramatic effect. Meanwhile, back in reality, I have obeyed the HRO and was not posing a threat to anyone.

The response I got was to be served papers that Steven and Brittany had filed for an extension of the HRO's. They requested a hearing on an emergency basis, claiming that I was such a threat that they feared for their lives, and were concerned I would go to their church and harm/murder hundreds of children. He went as far as to falsely claim that I previously claimed to be a CIA assassin. That is not the truth, and he is including this merely for dramatic effect. I have never been a CIA assassin, an employee of the CIA, or employed as a federal officer or any letter agency, nor have I ever made such a claim.

My intention was to ask for a trial, at which time I would bring forth all the evidence I have, including things mentioned in this filing. At that time, I asked my sister to abandon any intentions of protesting, and she agreed.

During the first hearing for the second HRO I requested a trial. Their attorney, Mr. Van Loh suggested that nothing from the first HRO be allowed at trial. The referee, Elizabeth A Clydesdale agreed.

At the trial, I became aware that I had improperly submitted my evidence and none of it would be allowed. Though I had sent it to the State evidence collection system properly, I did not understand that it also needed to be sent to their attorney. I emailed a list of the evidence and incorrectly assumed they would obtain the evidence from the State evidence system. I emailed Mr. Van Loh's office to ensure they got the evidence and the email reply came back with one word; "Received". They could have said the evidence was missing from the email, but the didn't. Instead, they used my inexperience as an opportunity to have the evidence to not be allowed. This is another example of how this trial was not about the truth or justice in any way, shape, or form. This was yet another attack on myself and my family.

The only evidence they presented was my private journal, which was from the first HRO. Referee Clydesdale allowed it, despite previously stating otherwise. That private journal ended up being a primary focus of their case. In addition to it being improperly allowed as evidence, Mr. Van Loh was using this journal to present their case claiming that I was somehow a threat to Steven and/or my daughter. The journal contains no threats of violence towards anyone.

The referee did not explain that I was not obligated to answer any of their attorneys' questions. In the beginning of the trial, I was sworn in and their attorney began questioning me. I believe the court should have informed me that I have a right to refuse to be questioned by their attorney. I felt like it was an obligation to answer their attorney's questions. If I had known that I did not have to, I would have remained silent during his questioning.

During the trial Mr. Van Loh acted rudely and was clearly not interested in truth and justice. This was purely a seek-and-destroy mission for him. He was also rude to my witnesses and suggested to the court that my witnesses were lying, without presenting any evidence supporting his allegation(s).

I felt as though his goal was clearly to make me appear as though I was one of the worst humans on this earth, without any supporting evidence, and went as far as to ask the HRO's be for life.

Though I was allowed to present a few witnesses, their attorney treated them harshly and went on to call my witnesses liars without any evidence to prove this claim. This is the same attorney who previously would claim that any attempt by me to settle this matter by filing a lawsuit amounted to "Threatening legal attacks." [Emphasis added.] Anytime I attempted to find ways to reconcile matters, Mr. Van Loh would state in an email, that my continued efforts to work things out with my daughter were only pushing her away. He intentionally made things worse and more difficult for me to restore peace and harmony in my family.

My first witness was my sister, Sunnie. She wanted to read a statement but was denied the opportunity by the referee. When I tried questioning her about the content(s) of the statement, their attorney objected to almost everything and her testimony was largely not allowed. Afterwards, their attorney called my sister a liar. Her statement is included as evidence and listed on the Exhibit / Evidence list as item "Q".

Since my witnesses were being treated in a manner which I viewed as being unfair and too harshly, I decided to present the remainder of the case myself. However, when I tried to do so, the referee stopped the proceedings and said she would hear closing comments before issuing a ruling. So, I was literally not allowed to fully present my case.

Had I been allowed to, part of that would have been to ask for this matter to be referred to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office for investigation and criminal proceedings. In the Spring of 2023 I met with someone from the Sheriff's Office and showed them the info / evidence I had. They stated if I could have the civil court refer this matter to them, they would proceed with a criminal investigation against Steven. They further stated that Steven would likely be arrested and put in jail. I asked what I had done wrong, if anything. The response was "Nothing sir, you're a good father".

They had no witnesses other than myself, and no evidence other than my private journal; which should not have been allowed based upon the agreement between the attorney and the referee.

The second HRO was granted for two-years for my daughter, and ten-years for Steven. The reasons for this were due to the inappropriate actions of their attorney as well as the referee's actions not being conducive for the truth to be presented.

Exhibit / Evidence; Q. Statement my sister was not allowed to present in court.

Ways His Actions Have Impacted Me

Marriage of 31 years ended in divorce. Due to the emotional stress caused by the words and actions of Steven, I became withdrawn and difficult to be married to. The decision to divorce was deeply sad and painful.

Loss of relationship with my daughter, Brittany. This is the most painful thing I have ever experienced in my life.

Had to sell our family home where we had lived for 24 years. As part of the divorce we sold the house. On my last day there, after moving my personal possessions out, I returned one last time to shower. From the time I entered the bathroom, showered, got dressed and walked out the front door one last time, I cried. The entire time I was in tears. My ex-wife shared that she too cried, almost every day for a month after moving out. After selling the house I became homeless and lived in hotels for weeks until being able to rent an apartment.

My career ended due to performance reasons directly as a result of the stress from this terrible situation. I was making many mistakes at work as a result of the stress. I quit and later was hired by a Target Stores contractor to perform a job I previously held, and excelled at. This time, however, I could not perform the job and stepped down after a month. They kept me on as a driver. After one week, while performing an action I had done approximately 30,000 times previously, I made a mistake that caused significant damage to a piece of equipment. I was immediately fired.

My health has declined in various ways, including mental and physical. In addition to the depression and sadness over the loss of a relationship with my daughter, there was a near suicide attempt (as mentioned earlier). My heart has always been healthy in the past. Now I am on several medications. This is because of the stress. I decline to share any further information about my health.

More stress and heartache than I have ever experienced in my life. The pain and suffering I have experienced, as well as my family, has been unimaginable.

## 126. Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Complaint - First Served.pdf

Exhibit / Evidence; N. Pics of trailer I damaged at work just prior to being fired.

Financial Help

At the beginning of the relationship between Steven and my daughter, he asked me to help support him financially. I agreed to help and began sending him monthly contributions through an organization called Reliant. Despite all the harm he caused both myself and my family, I had remained hopeful this relationship could be fixed. Because of that I continued sending him financial help every month, and continued doing so for 2 ½ years. I stopped in June of 2023 because that is the month my wife moved out of our family home. Again, our marriage ended only due to the incredible amount of stress caused by Steven's lies. I no longer feel as though there was any possibility of reconciliation with Steven, especially since he had told me, through his attorney, (Mr. Van Loh) that he did not want to reconcile with me. At that point, when my wife moved out, I stopped making monthly payments to help him.

Later I learned that Steven had told my daughter that I promised to help them financially, but then never did. That is a lie – I helped for 2 ½ years.

Exhibit / Evidence: E. Reliant Receipts for years 2021, 2022, 2023.

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Complaint - First Served.pdf ***

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 1 of 30 State of Minnesota District Court County RAMSEY Judicial District: Court File Number: Case Type: TIMOTHY HUTCHINSON Civil Complaint Plaintiff vs STEVEN ANDERSON Defendant The Plaintiff makes the following complaints against the Defendant: If you have more than 1 complaint against Defendant, list each complaint separately, including any supporting facts. 1. Steven Anderson caused undue stress and separation between my daughter and I. My daughter, Brittany, and I were very close and spent time together frequently. See Facebook screenshots, (Exhibit A). She began dating Steven Anderson, director of youth services @ Calvary Church in 2020. Within a few months Brittany came to me and said she was breaking up with him. She said that she felt as though she couldn't be herself around him because was too controlling. She later said that she had another meeting with him, during which time he "shared his heart" with her. Brittany said that Steven told her due to his upbringing he had difficulty properly expressing himself. Because his explanation she decided to again date him. Upon hearing that I decided to give him a wide swath of grace and patience when dealing with him. He took advantage of this, as documented below. Shortly thereafter Steven approached me to help support his ministry – which is his full- time job. He says the church cannot afford to pay him a salary, so he fundraises as a substitute. I began supporting his endeavor financially and even worked with him to improve his presentation to find others who would be supportive. I continued sending money every month for 2 ½ years – even during the time when he would file an HRO against me, as I still had hope we would settle things peacefully. My wife later said that Steven told Brittany that I wasn't sending money and had refused to help them. After 2 ½ years of supporting them, I stopped sending money after the June 2024 payment when my wife moved out of our family home due to the stress of the problems Steven would cause after becoming engaged to our daughter, Brittany. Within months Steven approached me and

asked what it would take to have my blessing for him to marry my daughter. One of the things I mentioned was spending more time with our family - we barely knew him. I told Steven that family was important to us, and that if he was to marry my daughter then we would want him to feel welcomed in our family and hopefully would be an active part of it.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 2 of 30 Afterwards he made an effort to be at our house almost on a weekly basis, and things seemed to be going well. One day he called to ask if we could hang out sometime. I said yes and we picked a date and time. When that time came, he arrived at our house and we talked for quite a while. I sensed he had something he wanted to say or possibly ask me - maybe even permission to marry Brittany. Finally, after 3 hours I asked him "Is there something you wanted to ask me?" He then asked for my blessing on marrying my daughter, and I gave him that blessing. My wife and I even helped with a temporary ring until he could buy one. Once he proposed and my daughter accepted, his entire demeanor towards myself and our family changed. Documented below are lies and exaggerations he said about me in an HRO (Harassment Restraining Order) and my response which reveals how Steven has engaged in a campaign of libel, slander and harassment which has caused significant harm to both myself, and my family. Shortly after he manipulated her and convinced her to stop talking with me for a while so they could "focus on their relationship". That was the last time I ever spoke with her. The last thing she said to me was "Dad, I love you so so so so much!" This campaign has included making my daughter fearful of me through a false narrative of his claim of me threatening him with a gun, being a overall dangerous person to him, her, and the church, and convincing her to join him in filing for an HRO under false pretenses. I asked many times what the problem was and asked to resolve any differences. He never responded. After trying for a long time without any response, I texted him the following;: You lost far more than you gained.” Meaning, we could have had a great relationship, but he had another agenda. I had actually tried to make peace and amends 15 times. Steven made zero attempts, and refused all of my attempts at making peace. During this time I had been offering blanket apologies for things I had not done, just to have a relationship with my daughter. He never responded and instead continued taking everything I’ve done, as pure and honest as my actions were, and greatly exaggerated them and spun them into my looking like it’s all a threat to him. His deliberate actions, hyper-exaggerated claims and outright lies have caused significant harm; due to the stress of Steven's actions, my wife and I ended our 31-year marriage. We sold the family home where we lived for 24 years, and I became homeless. I had to quit my job as a Safety and Compliance Manager. I enjoyed a corner office and had 70 people working for me - but had to quit due to my declining work performance as a result of the stress caused by Steven's actions and words. The day prior to selling our home I moved my belongings into a storage unit. Within a short period of time that unit was broken into and most of my valuable possessions were stolen. I am a peaceful person and the victim of lies. By filing this lawsuit, I am seeking two things; It is important to note that I have enjoyed a great relationship with the others my children have dated or

married. With one notable exception; a man named Kyle, who assaulted my daughter and subsequently assaulted myself. Prior to Steven, my daughter Brittany dated a man name David Zimmerman for several years. David and I got along exceptionally well. When Brittany decided to break up with David, he came directly to our family home to spend time with me. I explained that since Brittany is my daughter, I need to advocate for her. He responded, “I know, but I feel so much better being with you.”

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 3 of 30 My youngest daughter, Vanessa, was dating a man named James Anderson (a common last name – no relation to Steven.) When he was homeless, I took him into our home just a week prior to our home being sold due to my divorce. Despite going through my own personal challenges, I still helped him. One of the ways was to help James come up with a life plan and even rented him a hotel room. Later, when my daughter decided to end that relationship, I continued paying for his hotel room, and gave him money for expenses. My oldest daughter, Victoria, dated and married a man named Jacob Rademacher. During the 12-years my daughter has been with him, Jake (Jacob) and I have enjoyed a great relationship. He has never felt fearful of me, nor felt threatened in any way, shape, form or manner. Jake and I have been to hockey games, on vacation, road trips and more together. He’s a fantastic son-in-law who calls me “Dad”. I hoped for the same with Steven, but the evidence will show he has a different agenda. 2. Steven Anderson lied about the conversation regarding phone call about wedding guest list. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17. "Within a week after Brittany and I began our engagement, the respondent began to take issue with our wedding planning. He began to call me to argue for things to be different on decisions that my finance and I had made." My response; His statement is false. One day Brittany was at our family house speaking to her mom and I about the guest list. It sounded as if Steven had already invited so many people there was barely any room for our side of the family. At least that was the impression I got from the conversation. At one point I heard the number of guests was already more than the total amount they agreed upon. Brittany seemed stressed by this process. Her mom approached me afterwards and asked me if I could help with this matter. She suggested I make a friendly call to Steven and I agreed. I called him and asked one question: "How does the guest list honor the mother and father of the bride?" His reply was all about his family and never directly answered my question. He then stated that he didn’t think his response answered my question. I replied, “Not really.” He then said he would get back to me about this. I offered a suggestion on how they might decide on a guest list, and he said that he would discuss this with Brittany. We then talked for a short while about other non-wedding related things and ended the call on a positive note. He never got back to me to answer the question. I shared the details of this conversation with my wife, and we thought that call was enough and those two (Brittany and Steven) would work things out. The only other time I ever discussed the wedding with Steven was during a time when he called me about a non-wedding related topic, and I asked him if my wife and I would be able to meet his parents before the wedding. He replied, “Not my dad, but maybe my mom when she comes to town to help me finish planning the

wedding." He made it sound as if he and his mom would be doing the wedding planning and not Brittany. I asked, "Does Brittany know about this?" He said yes. I then asked if there would be anything that Mrs. Hutchinson (Brittany's mom) would be able to help with. He replied, "Maybe my mom can find something for her to do." I then repeated an earlier question, "And Brittany knows about this?"

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 4 of 30 He again replied, yes. I then said, "Oh, ok." That's as far as the conversation went. The only time I discussed the wedding with Brittany was when she came to me with a concern about their wedding photographer. I gave her some advice on how to approach Steven to discuss this topic. Compare this to my oldest daughters (Victoria & Jake) wedding. I caused no problems whatsoever, did not interfere with any planning, and they will both testify to that. I would have done the same for Brittany and Steven's wedding, except Steven had other plans to exclude me as the evidence will show. Steven and Brittany were married on June 19 th , 2021. This is the day before Father's Day. I believe Steven pushed for this day to be chosen because the following day, June 20 th , 2021, on their first full day of marriage it was Father's Day, and I would not be able to celebrate it with my daughter, Brittany. It is alleged he did this intentionally to further harm me emotionally. Phone calls Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17 – 18 "The frequency and hostility in Respondent's telephone calls increased. Amidst these interactions Brittany and I decided it would be best to set boundaries around our wedding planning and conversations with us about them." My response; There never were any harassing phone calls. This claim is a lie. I only made 6 phone calls to Steven total the entire time I have known him. Two of those were about allowing him to borrow one of my wife's rings to propose to our daughter. Another call was to offer him the same advice my Father-in-law gave me prior to my marrying his daughter nearly 30 years prior. He became agitated and responded rudely to me. Another call was to ask if he wanted to get together and hang out – he said "No" and hung up on me. There was a call about us doing a video chat to discuss some potential changes to his fund-raising presentation (I volunteered to help him with this). The other call was months later when I left a voicemail during a time I was upset with him after a long period of him intentionally doing things to harm both myself and my family. It should be noted that Steven made plenty of calls to me, however. He would say things such as; a) "You're not a very good father." b) "Brittany deserves someone better than you." c) "If you want to have a relationship with your daughter, then you need to submit to me and let me control you." Here again I gave him a wide swath of grace and patience because of what he told my daughter about not being able to properly express himself. During these times I tried to steer the conversation away from negative comments and towards something more positive and family oriented. 3. Steven Anderson manipulated my daughter Brittany into thinking I was dangerous. Brittany does Stevens laundry at our house, which I honestly didn't mind. He makes it

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 5 of 30 clear that he is concerned about Covid, so when I discovered some information about that virus and fabric, I wanted to

share this with him. Soon afterwards as Brittany was leaving the house, she said Steven's laundry was in the dryer and asked that once done I place it outside the front door for him to pick up. I figured this was out of concern for Covid, so decided to write him a letter, and placed in in the laundry basket along with his clean clothes. It basically said I had done some research on Covid and was surprised it could last up to 48-hours on our clothes. Said I could appreciate his concern for being exposed to Covid. Maybe it's best his clothes are not washed at our house - at least now for now. I then said it would have been nice to see him today. That we could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just the day before for Covid. I signed it by saying "Hope you have a wonderful day." When his clothes were done, I placed them outside the front door as requested. When Steven arrived, he read the note, threw the clothes into his car, slammed the door, then stood there staring at our house for a full minute with an angry look on his face. He then got into the car and sped off running through a stop sign and speeding up the street at approximately double the speed limit. My intentions in writing that letter was pure – I really wanted to have a good relationship with him, but it seemed he turned it around and used it as an opportunity to have a tantrum. Then just a day later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for several hours. He can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell and say hi, but just a day later he can spend hours inside our home? And he's here without any acknowledgment of the letter. This seemed very disrespectful. As Brittany and Steven were leaving, Brittany said "Hi dad" – I responded "Hi honey!" Then Steven said, "Hello Mr. Hutchinson". I responded with a rather dry "Hi". It bothered me that he was so rude just the day before, as well as multiple times previously, and now today when my daughter is there, he's acting nice and innocent. I didn't want to say or do anything that would be upsetting to Brittany, so I did not ask him for any explanation – at least not at that time. Several hours later my wife came home, and I told her about this and that it bothered me. After all, he had a history of being rude to my family and myself. I spoke loudly about how upset I was and said that I did not want Steven at our house again. I then texted Steven and said he was not welcome in our home until there was mediation. At no time when I was venting did I make any threats against Steven. My only comments were that he was disrespecting me in my own home, and I did not want him at our house anymore. I did not know that Brittany was in her bedroom and had overheard what I said. I honestly thought she had left and had specifically waited until I thought she was gone to vent my frustrations. My wife gave me a hug and we went and made dinner together. Within 10 minutes or so we were in the kitchen laughing about some silly memes on Facebook. Yes, I raised my voice. Yes, I was upset. He's going to marry my daughter and he's treating me poorly. Any reasonable father would also be upset. But there was no threat.

 I later learned that Steven used this as an opportunity to convince my daughter to move out of our house by claiming she was in danger remaining there with me. Exhibits / Evidence; S. Laundry Letter. 4. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of displaying my gun in an attempt to intimidate him. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"At the time, my fiance lived in respondents home. I visited while the respondent was at work, to make plans for the wedding. He returned before I left, and we only exchanged a brief interaction on me way our the door, however, he placed his firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight. I couldn't help but feel as though he had intentionally placed the gun there for me to see." My response; This was around the time when there were riots in Minneapolis after what happened to George Floyd. There was talk about the riots going to the suburbs. At the time my wife asked me if I still had a gun. I replied yes. The fact that she asked this question shows how discreet I was with it. I never showed it to anyone, open carried or even discussed it. She then said it would make her feel safer if she could see it regularly. So, for a short while, placing my firearm on the upstairs banister became a temporary routine for me. Again, she literally asked me to do this. This is the only reason I would ever leave my gun out; because it was at the request of my wife. I would come home from work and place it on the end railing at the top of the steps, go into the kitchen and greet my wife with a kiss, unpack my lunch bag, then go put the gun away in our bedroom. It was only out for approximately 3 minutes. I did this only for a few weeks, then asked if she was OK with me not putting it out anymore, as I never was comfortable doing this. She said yes, and I stopped leaving it out for her to see. One day while Steven was over, he saw the handgun in its usual place and made an incorrect assumption. He then used this occasion to begin placing thoughts into my daughter's head that I am a dangerous person, and they are both at risk of me harming them with the gun. Steven claimed it was " on the banister by the front door, …". This is a false claim. It was actually on the 2 nd floor of the house – Steven remained in the lower level and was never near the gun. It was also holstered, and I never went near it while he was in the house. During this entire time, he never saw me – he only heard my voice coming from the kitchen. I have never waved this gun around or used it to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie; but Steven wants to falsely portray me as a crazy man with a weapon.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 7 of 30 5. Steven Anderson gave me a list called "Rules of Engagement" by which he required my wife and I to agree to in order to continue being involved in the wedding planning. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17. "The respondent took issue with some of the wording in our Rules of Engagement..." My response; Brittany and Steven came up with some Rules of Engagement, and presented them to my wife and I. We both agreed to follow these rules. At this time, we were at our house sitting at the dining room table. Afterwards, Brittany and her mom went into the kitchen. I got up and said I was going to the bathroom. I began walking away but then stopped and turned around to retrieve something from the table. At that time Steven had stood up and was bent over picking up a bag from the floor with his back towards me. He did not realize I was standing there. Just then I heard him say "Oh, this is gonna be good!" He said it in a very deep voice as though he was almost growling. To me, it sounded demonic. He suddenly stood and spun around to face me. He began smiling and started trying to tell a joke. It seemed like he

was trying to cover for something. I was too stunned to speak or respond. He then called to Brittany saying they should leave. Afterwards, my wife looked at me and asked, “Honey, are you OK?” I didn’t want to make a scene so I just responded by saying I was OK. We then went into our bedroom together and prayed for them as a couple. The following day I shared what happened with my brother. Shortly after that I sent them both an email asking a question about how to ask a question. Nothing more. Simply asking how they preferred me to ask a question. Something in the Rules of Engagement was not clear and I merely was asking for clarification. Steven immediately took offense to this and began accusing me of manipulation. This email conversation included Brittany, her mom, Steven and me. Suddenly I got an email from Steven stating that if I loved Brittany that I would “Lay down everything.” This to me means submitting to him and goes along with his earlier comments about submitting to him if I want a relationship with my daughter. I then realized that he had sent the email directly to me and had excluded Brittany and her mom. I then said I would not continue this conversation with him in that email thread and would only have a discussion involving Brittany and Mrs. Hutchinson. I then went back to the previous email thread and responded in a somewhat sharp manner. Afterwards I asked my wife to be the one to send out any emails from me to them. In that way I could be assured that both her and Brittany would be included in the emails. Exhibit / Evidence; C. Copy of the Rules of Engagement. Also, D. Seeking clarification. 6. Steven Anderson claims that because I had an Emotional Response & Raising My Voice I cannot control my anger. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 8 of 30 "On the same day he returned home and Brittany reports visible signs of anger (slamming doors, slamming things, yelling, etc.)" "This email and anger was the first clues towards irrational behavior and unwarranted responses. I only expressed my concern for effective conflict resolution....and he took it as an insult to his intelligence and he was unable to control his emotional response." Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18. "I then called my fiancé to share the news with her, [about a text I sent him banning him from my property} and I could hear him, yelling and angrily attacking my character because I had done laundry in his house. At this point I began to seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé." My response; Later, after the email exchange, I was talking with Brittany and my frustration boiled over, and I raised my voice about how Steven would not answer the seemly simple question about the wedding guest list, has made no effort to be a part of our family, and has been acting rudely towards me. I was also terribly upset that Brittany is showing signs of stress and I haven't seen her smile in days. I am very concerned and even heartbroken that she should have to go through this during a time when she should be celebrating. My being agitated certainly did not help matters. As I walked out of the kitchen, I was so disappointed in myself for having raised my voice (something I had never done before to her) that I slapped the doorway with my open hand. I truly felt awful about how I had acted. She later she came to me and we discussed the guest list. I accepted her explanation, apologized for raising my voice, and asked that we move forward. The following day I went to her and asked if we could do a reset, one

where we could together enjoy the journey of her getting married. She agreed and we spent some enjoyable time together. There was no slamming doors, slamming other things or emotional outbursts of any kind. His claim that I was " unable to control his emotional response" is completely false and unfounded. My wife was at home during this time – if any of this had actually happened, my wife would have come to investigate. She will testify that this never happened and it was a peaceful evening at home. This is yet another attempt by Steven at disparaging me through his lies and manipulation. In an earlier HRO filing he used words to describe my actions that are wrong, such as; "RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP, and SCREAMING." No one has witnessed me doing any of these and this is nothing more than character assassination. I had only raised my voice twice. The second time I was unaware that Brittany was home and talked loudly to my wife. She did not feel any concern for her safety, and even walked over and gave me a hug. I rarely, if ever, talk in an upset manner. My wife knew I was no danger to anyone and understood my need for a hug. His claim to have begun to "… seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé" is nonsense, completely baseless and all a part of his plan to cause a division in my family. Ever since I had given Steven permission to marry my daughter, he no longer made any efforts to be a part of our family or join any activities. It's like a switch was flipped and he became cold towards us. He has spoken rudely to myself, guests at our house and has acted this way towards my other children. It appears he was only being nice to obtain my

 blessing, then his real personality came out. One day I talked to Steven and told him that I do not hate him nor am I trying to stop the wedding. I have a good relationship with my other daughters' boyfriends and hoped to have the same with him. His only response was "thank you for sharing that" in a monotone voice. There was no appreciation or gratitude - no saying anything like, "wow I'm hoping for that too." Nothing. Just another stonewall. The fact that I had remained calm for so long given all the terrible things he had been saying to me, just shows how rational and peaceful of a person I really am. Since that time over 3 years ago, not one person has witnessed me raising my voice at all. These two instances were rare and only due to the distressful situations that Steven was causing in my family. 7. Steven Anderson refused to engage in mediation to resolve the conflict between us. Mediation Steven was texting me some inappropriate comments and I responded by asking him to not contact me anymore in this manner. He responded by saying that Brittany suggested mediation. I said yes, and that if he wanted to pick a place for that to happen, that I would pay for those services. He responded by saying that the only way he would agree to mediation is if it were at the church where he works. This seemed to put me at a disadvantage because they are familiar with him and do not know me. But I agreed to this anyway figuring I would just set the truth free and let it defend itself. Afterwards, as I thought about mediation it occurred to me that mediation meant all the bad and negative things Steven had done would be revealed and that could have very negative impacts on him. After a time of prayer I sent the following email, striving for peace, and once again apologizing for things I haven't done, and admitting to things I

never did, all in an effort to have a relationship with my daughter. “This email is an open apology to my daughter, Brittany. I have failed you. Not just a little, but a lot. During the past weeks I have been so focused on being right, about winning a disagreement, that I lost sight of something far more important; our relationship and your happiness. I have always put you first in my life, and now just months before your wedding I have fallen far short of what I should have been doing. You have every reason to be mad at me, hurt, disappointed and feeling less than loved by me. For that I apologize - profusely. I love you more than life itself and literally live in daily agony over the state of our current relationship. In response I'll say this: I resign. Completely and fully resign. Not from being your dad of course, but rather from the disagreement that caused this. The [previous] letter I emailed states my position and stands as the truth as I know it. But that's as far as it goes. I'm done with any disagreement with Steven. My time and energy is now going to be focused on you, Brittany. To work hard restoring our precious relationship and ensuring this never happens again. Ever.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 10 of 30 And, to help celebrate the upcoming wedding and make these months before the wedding as enjoyable as possible. With that in mind, I am inviting Steven to neutral ground. A place where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen, mi casa es tu casa. I don't want battles anymore - I just want to love my family and others with all my heart. To fully enjoy what time I have left on this planet. The past few weeks/months somehow turned ugly and I don't want that - never did. I ended up feeling ugly inside and that's not who I am. At all. I repent for my misdeeds and missteps. Peace, Tim/Dad” Comment: Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again. My wife replied: “Tim, I want you to know those words really touched my heart. They were absolutely beautiful and honest. I appreciate you putting Britt first and your highest priority .[Emphasis added] Im proud of you and very hopeful we can begin celebrating this engagement and wedding! This makes me very happy. I love you all so much. With Love, Jen” Our daughter replied; “Dad, Steven and I read your emails. We have found ourselves in the same position that we have felt trapped into for the past few weeks, unsure how to respond. We have set clear boundaries, laid out in our Rules of Engagement, and those have been violated repeatedly. The way Steven and I have been treated by you has been inappropriate and out of line. The last email we received was an apology directed towards me, and while I appreciate that I feel as if it dismissed core issues. This puts us into a difficult position of being perceived as the ones continuing conflict if we don’t accept a “blank slate.”

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 11 of 30 As Steven and I prepare to start our new life together, we are concerned that these patterns of behavior will continue. We believe that there are significant changes that need to occur in order to move forward in a healthy manner. We desire growing towards healthier relationships with you. Conversations with you have not

lead to that thus far, so we believe a mediated conversation is the appropriate next step. We are confirming with our mediator, but does Saturday at 10 am work for you and mom? It's important that all 4 of us will be there. Thanks, Britt" Concerned about "patterns" – what patterns? I've been apologizing for things I never did. "Significant changes" ? Should I then become an uncaring, unloving father? That's ridiculous and clearly not my daughter's voice. That has 'Steven' written all over it. "….and those have been violated repeatedly…" To date neither Brittany nor Steven can clearly state what any of this alleged violations are. Before meeting Steven, my daughter would never have written an email reply such as this. Never. I believe he is manipulating her. My reply; "Y es, mom and I can be available at that time. I am willing to attend based upon three conditions; 1) This is about moving forward, not digging up the past. There were clearly missteps on the part of several of us, not just myself. Going over those details will serve no useful purpose other than igniting hurt feelings. 2) The meeting will be focused on ways to communicate in both directions; engaged couple to the parents, and vice-versa. 3) No expectations will be made that violate our First Amendment rights. While I agree there are acceptable ways to communicate, anything that prevents one of us from speaking at all to another person is something I won't consider. This is America - brave heroic people fought for our rights, and that should be respected." Steven replied; "Hi all,

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 12 of 30 I agree with everything Britt said. As for your response, Mr. Hutchinson, I don't believe we can comply with them all of them the way you have requested. 1. There are things that have been done and said over the last two weeks that cannot be ignored, and it is not enough to ask for a "blank slate" and move forward. Some of this will be discussed in our conversation. 2. We agree that communication moving forward should be an element of this conversation. 3. We don't believe it's helpful for us to set expectations for the communication plan moving forward before our conversation. I have to admit, these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining for Britt and I as we have interacted with you and we desire to move forward in a healthier direction and would appreciate your willingness to enter into that being willing to lay anything down in order to make that happen. You have emphasized how important your relationship with Britt is, and if that is really true, we believe that you will listen to what Britt and I have to say about how we can all move forward in a healthy way, even if that means that interaction with one or both of us moves forward differently than your personal preference." Comment: "Things have been done and said that cannot be ignored". Such as? To date neither of them can name even one single thing. "…these past few weeks have been incredible challenging and emotionally draining for Brittany and I as we have interacted with you…" Here again, he cannot name one thing that I've done, other than ask a question, raise my voice (to Brittany once, and to my wife once), apologize for things I never did, and strive for peace. They literally cannot and have not named anything. Steven interjected himself into the equation with his comment. Other than a letter trying to make peace, I've had no interaction with Steven in the previous few weeks. But still he

tries to pretend to be a victim here. "We desire to move forward in a healthier direction…" Clearly we cannot move forward if Steven puts zero effort into anything and my daughter will not even speak to me because of Steven's lies. My reply; "Steven, Mrs. Hutchinson and I have also been directly/indirectly impacted in a negative way by some of your words & actions. We will also be presenting a list of expectations on how we will be communicated with. We look forward to the meeting. See you then. Mr. Hutchinson"

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 13 of 30 The second attempt @ mediation was scheduled for Saturday, 2/27/2021 @ 10 AM. The previous week's mediation was cancelled by the mediator due to a scheduling conflict. It's important to note that Steven did not want to discuss any previous events in mediation. Then he changed his mind and now wants previous events be discussed. That's a 180-degree turn-around. A few days later Steven sends me a text message which says, "Mediation is only about your and Mrs. Hutchinson learning how you two are going to talk to me." I showed this text message to my wife (Mrs. Hutchinson), my brother and youngest sister. They were all shocked and appalled at this. I responded that he has been saying terrible things to me and that during mediation he would also learn how to communicate with us. Shortly afterwards he cancelled mediation and would not give us a valid reason for doing so. He also refused to reschedule mediation. It appears obvious to me that Steven has by now convinced my daughter that I have done things that are wrong, without any evidence or proof whatsoever. In fact, it has been Steven who is the one causing the problems. I apologized to my daughter and said that I didn't want to focus on any disagreement any longer – instead I wanted to focus on our relationship. I invited Steven to a neutral ground where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where, and I quote, "you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen – my house is your house." Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again. They both replied that they could not accept this. Brittany claimed I had violated the Rules of Engagement, and Steven said, and I quote; "these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining…" What's interesting is during the weeks before this I actually had no contact with him at all. What interaction was he talking about? There literally was NONE! This is another example of Steven trying to invent a make-believe world and draw my daughter into it – a world in which I am a villain – a violent person unable to control my behavior. Meanwhile, back in reality, the opposite is true. On Facebook I tell people when I'm going into my prayer room and offer to pray for others. Because of the miracles they experience, I now have 4,700 more people following me on my personal page – all from them experiencing real miracles and sharing the results with others. If I am such a horrible person, then why is God answering my prayers? Why is the Creator of the Heavens and the earth blessing so many others when I pray? Because God knows my heart the best – and he knows the allegations against me are false. There is no one else, literally no one who thinks of me in any way

remotely close to what Steven is alleging. So here I am, 60+ years-old, no criminal record, love doing volunteer work, no problems with any one until Steven comes along and suddenly I am a super- villain. Yet he cannot name even one threat I've made to him. Not one. Because there weren't any

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 14 of 30 8. Steven Anderson accused my of being in possession of a firearm and created a false narrative that my daughter was not safe in my home. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18. "Later that day I received a call from my finance that while the respondent was still visibly angry, he went out to the garage and collected his firearm, which he continued to hold while angry. Brittany felt unsafe and so she left to stay at a friend's home. At that point Brittany and I found an apartment to lease where she could live, in order to move out of the respondent's home. We decided to attempt to keep this address a secret from the respondent for fear of safety for Brittany and myself." Within a few days Brittany moved out of our house. Supposedly because she is now suddenly afraid of me, because I raised my voice, twice. What's interesting is the very day she moved out, she returned that evening to retrieve something she had left behind. When she saw me, she gave me a hug and said "I love you so much." I told her it was weird seeing her move and that this was the first time she's ever moved that I didn't help. She replied that was true and yes this all seems weird. As she walked out of the house she looked back at me and though she smiled, I know her well enough that I could detect a hint of sadness – almost as if she didn't want to go. A few days later her youngest sister, Vanessa, told me that she had gone to Brittany's apartment (that she had just moved to), and that those two stood outside the apartment building and hugged as Brittany cried, saying she didn't understand why she had to move away from her home. Vanessa said that Brittany sounded very confused about what was happening. "…for fear of safety for Brittany and myself." This is fear that he created through a false narrative of me being a danger to either of them. He did this intentionally and with malice towards my myself and my family. 9. Steven Anderson accused me of being delusional and unpredictable, further misleading my daughter into thinking that she was in danger. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18. "On 2/2/21 Brittany reports hearing from the respondent that "[he's] so mad [he] could kill someone, so [he's] going to kill Charlie". Further claims Charlie is my "violent side" and was a "secret CIA assassin." He also states that I appear to be "delusional and out-of-touch with reality. I am afraid for my safety and Brittany's. His actions are clearly unpredictable. My response; In an effort to activate what I call my daughters "BS detector", by which I mean a healthy amount of skepticism, my well-meaning brother Steve, made up a story. This is really the only time in my life I've ever told Brittany something that wasn't true. But I had good intentions. I made the story so fantastical that any reasonable person would doubt it was real. That was what I was after. To have her look at this and say wait a minute, that's not real. And then to have her look at what Steven was saying and realize what he's saying is also not real. My daughter Brittany is kind, sweet, thoughtful and a really, really wonderful person. She's gullible as any 22-year-old is. And Steven clearly took advantage of that.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 15 of 30 I told her that "I'm so angry I could kill someone." This is actually a phrase I grew up hearing; no one actually killed anyone; like saying I'm so hungry I could eat a horse; no one eats horses and even if they did, they couldn't consume an entire horse. It's only a phrase with no factual meaning behind it. I also chose that phrase because I figured she would immediately realize that I wouldn't actually kill someone - she's only known me as a kind, loving, peaceful father who always puts his family first. I told her the person I would kill is Charlie (you can't kill Charlie - it's just a name I was given in the military.) I said that I was going to a DNR lab near Owatonna where I would be held down on a table and my head will be zapped with electricity. That's as crazy of a story that I could think of at the moment. The next morning I said that it snowed the previous night (which it did) so we met at a closed gas station and performed the procedure in a van. They zapped me while I rolled my eyes side-to-side. I waited for her to say something like, "Dad, this isn't real!" But she never did. I had every intention of explaining to her why I told this fabricated story, but I wanted to do so in person. Sadly, I never saw her again. When I shared this story with my son he began laughing. Anyone who knows me would immediately realize that this was a made-up story. Later, I shared this same story with my youngest daughter, Vanessa. Her response was the same; laughter. They both know I would never do anything like what I had described. Before the trip to Owatonna, I told this story to my wife. She went with me on the trip and showed no concerns about my mental health. She recognized immediately it was just a story. The fact that Brittany took this seriously showed me how much Steven had been manipulating her. 10. Steven Anderson falsely claimed I was trying to have him fired when I asked the church leadership for help in intervening in this conflict. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 19. Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1-2. "On March 1, 2021, the respondent appeared unannounced and uninvited at Calvary church.....which is my place of employment to deliver a defamatory letter address to my superior and senior pastor of the church...The letter made false and defamatory claims about me and my character with the intent to have Calvary terminate my employment." My response; I reached out to Calvary church and approached them as respectfully as possible asking for help. I stated that Steven has been acting inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. I asked them to review the facts and prayerfully consider helping bring a resolution to this situation. There was no response to the first request, so I sent a second. Shortly afterwards a letter came in the mail from their attorney stating I was not welcome on either of their campuses and to not have any further contact with them. Later, I learned that Steven claimed he was so afraid of me being violent that he was being escorted into the church from the parking lot each day, and then back to his car

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 16 of 30 when he left. He also said he was always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him. The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. Steven went so far as to make a church unduly afraid of me,

with no evidence whatsoever – nothing but his false claims. I will state this fact again; I never threatened him. Ever. If I am such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren't the police ever called? If Steven was really that afraid of me the police should be notified. But they weren't. Why? In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police - not even once. He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him - not one - because there weren't any. Because I don't want to - I never did. Any perceived threats are made-up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as my family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me. At no time have I ever asked the church to fire him. My request initially was simply to nudge him towards mediation. Later that became a request for an investigation – but only after he told me, through an attorney, that he did not want to reconcile our relationship. Before contacting the church I reached out to Steven in yet another attempt to make peace. He never responded. "The letter made false and defamatory claims…" No, it was a respectful attempt to move things forward towards resolution. Everything in the letter and accompanying documents was/were factually true. Exhibit / Evidence; F. Letter to Steven before contacting the church. Letter to the Church Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4. Respondent's threatening letter sent to Calvary's legal counsel is not only shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. His letters to Brittany demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me, and which he intends to resume his harassment on May 27, 2023." My response: " "Respondent's threatening letter sent to Calvary's legal counsel …" What threat? I mentioned a peaceful protest and asked for them to perform an investigation. "… shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. " Harassment? There wasn't any. Steven made all of that up. I am the person who tried to make peace over a dozen times. Steven is the person whose intent was to cause harm to myself and my family. "… demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me…" The facts clearly show that the damaged relationship with my daughter was caused by Steven. That he intentionally caused these damages, and it was also his intention to harm my family.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 17 of 30 Several times I reached out to Calvary church. I approached them as respectfully as possible asking for help, stating that Steven has been acting inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. I asked they review the facts and prayerfully consider helping bring a resolution to this situation. There was no response to the first request, so I sent a second. Shortly afterwards a letter came in the mail from their attorney stating I was not welcome on either of their campuses and to not have any further contact with them. Later I learned that Steven claimed he was so afraid of me being violent that he was being escorted into the church from the parking lot each day, and then back to his car when he left. He also

said he was always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him. The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. If the church considered me such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren't the police ever called? If Steven was that afraid of me, the police should have been notified. But they weren't. In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2 nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police – not even once. He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him – not one – because there weren't any. Because I don't want violence – I never did. Any perceived threats are made-up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me. Exhibit / Evidence; I. Copy of letter to the church seeking help. 11. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of ill intent when I went to his apartment to offer a peace agreement. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 20-21. Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 2. "APRIL 28, 2021 - UNINVITED INTRUSION AT PERITIONER'S PERSONAL RESIDENCE." The respondent visited my apartment building on 4/28/21 at 7:50am. Steven and his roommates were all sleeping. After receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the building, neither Steven nor his roommates unlocked the front door to the building, which they had previously discussed to do if he came to visit the apartment. But the respondent entered anyway. He knocked on the door repeatedly but I did not answer....my heart was racing...I began to think about having to jump from my second story balcony or calling the police just to get away....After some time of knocking, it stopped...." My response; This is another exaggeration Steven has made. What really occurred is this; shortly before my visit I asked for an extended break - to let things calm down a bit. I then went to each of their homes for one purpose; to let them know they are both loved and forgiven. I told my wife what my purpose was for going there, and she responded by saying my actions were beautiful and she was proud of me. Upon arrival at Steven's apartment building I parked my car and exited. An African-American woman was walking out to her car and said hello. I responded

 by saying good morning. She then told me the buzzer box on the building was broken and that maintenance knew about it. She then told me I could just enter the building as the door was unlocked. I thanked her and walked towards the front door of the building. Once there I discovered the door was indeed unlocked and so I walked in without buzzing Steven's apartment. His claim of " receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the building,…´ is therefore completely false, as I never buzzed his apartment. I couldn't – the buzzer was broken. In an earlier filing he also claimed that I had "gained access" to the building, making it sound as though I had broken into the building as if I were a burglar or criminal. That also is false. I simply opened the door and walked in like any normal person would. I then went to his apartment and using the second knuckle on my right hand, knocked three times in a normal manner. I then stepped back across the hall and patiently waited. After several minutes no one

answered the door, so I stepped forward and again knocked three times in a normal manner, again using the second knuckle of my right hand. It should also be noted that this was during the Covid pandemic, and I respectfully wore a mask during this entire time. When there was no answer after my second time knocking normally, I peacefully walked out of the building and drove away calmly. From there I drove to a nearby Cub Foods store and purchased some flowers for my daughter. I went to her apartment building and buzzed her apartment; however, she didn't answer the door. So, I placed the flowers on her car and left peacefully. I later learned she had called Steven in a panicked state because I was at the door to the apartment building she lived in and she didn't know how to respond. I went there with flowers, to tell her that I love her, and she's too afraid to answer the door. Clearly this is because of the lies Steven has told her. Does it really make sense that I am trying to make peace and threatening violence at the same time? It's an incredibly sad day for an older dad when his own daughter is afraid of him for no valid reason whatsoever. Let me be perfectly clear - I have never threatened either one of them with violence, at any time, in any way whatsoever. These are all fabricated lies of Steven. In my journal I wrote that I was going there to tell them they were LOVED and FORGIVEN, with both of those words in uppercase letters. It was repeated this several times in the journal. In his court filing, Steven left out that page, intentionally because it tells the real story and exposes some of the lies he has told. Since he dd not answer the door, Steven does not know the reason for my visit. He decided, without any evidence, to twist things around and use this against me in a manner that was intended to cause harm to myself and my family. 12. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of threatening phone calls. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21. "2.....THREATENTING VOICE MESSAGE...."If you want to live in peace, as I do, then if I prepare for war, any adversary will know that and basically let me be. So

 when you haven't heard from my these past few weeks, that's I've been doing. I've been preparing for war." My response; I clearly stated my desire to live in peace. His actions have made that difficult. I was letting him know that I had been preparing for war – which means to expose him on social media and contact law enforcement (which I ultimately did.) I have told him all along that I wanted to resolve things in a peaceful manner. His response has been to continue telling lies about me and/or ignore my multiple request(s) for a peaceful resolution. 13. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of sending harassing emails. Epic Storm Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21. "3. MARCH 24, 2021---HARASSING EMAIL. Email excerpt sent through Timothy's wife, Jennifer to Steven Anderson: "You've successfully stripped my daughter out of my life, and in the process hurt me at a level I have not been hurt before. But understand one thing; I could have stopped you by releasing the 'Epic Storm' I designed and planned." My response; The reason my wife began sending the emails is because during the time when Steven, Brittany, my wife and I were having an email conversation, Steven was also sending me emails directly stating things such as how he expects me to submit to him. But in the other emails which were

including my wife and Brittany he was acting innocent and trying to make himself and Bittany look like victims. I showed these emails to my wife and requested that she be the one moving forward to send out the emails, that way we could be certain the four of us were seeing all the emails being sent. She readily agreed and I then blocked Steven from emailing me directly. An 'Epic Storm' is a term which describes a completely legal means of a cascading series of events designed to bring about change, or to protect someone. Again, these events are all completely legal. Nothing evil and no intent to cause physical harm at all. Several times I told Steven that I would do an epic storm if he continued ruining my relationship with Brittany and causing strife in my family. I never took any action though, because that would have been upsetting to my daughter and I was doing my best to shield her from any actions I was being forced to take. He falsely claimed this meant I was going to be violent with him, even though I told him everything I planned on doing ahead of time, and all of it was completely legal. It stated this in my journal and in emails. Again, he took advantage of every innocent thing I did and would twist things to make my daughter think I was a crazed violent person. Something she has never seen in me before, because it's not there and is all a fabrication of Steven's. Meanwhile, he's doing absolutely nothing to help settle things between us. His attempts thus far have amounted to exactly zero. He is a person who works in a church yet disobeys the teachings of the bible. His actions themselves expose him as a fraud.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 20 of 30 Bold Moves Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21. "04. April 26, 2021---EMAIL. Email excerpt sent to Brittany about Steven "My plan is to make some bold moves to resolve things between Steven and I. For us to not have a relationship is completely unacceptable. A father should not have to stop having a relationship with his daughter so she can marry someone. This is wrong on so many levels. Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language these "bold moves" have cause much concern for me and have included him visiting my home and place of work..." My response; " Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language…" I have never threatened him or used any violent language. Steven has again made all of this up. Bold moves would be to do as I stated on the main page of my journal; go public with what I know about him, file a lawsuit; ask law enforcement to become involved, etc. None of the actions I mentioned were violent in nature and in fact are all completely legal. Steven has again fabricated all of the claims against me concerning threats of violence. See previous sections for what happened during the time(s) I visited his work and/or home (apartment). None of that was even close to being threatening. Asking a church to help move things forward towards meditation is a threat? Visiting his home to tell him he is " LOVED and FORGIVEN " is " violent language"?? It is clear that Steven is seriously delusional and acting/acted on an evil plan to intentionally destroy my family. 14. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of creating a public website meant to defame him. It was only an online journal. Journal (falsely listed and referred to as a public website) Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24-25. "PUBLIC DEFAMATORY WEBSITE....Respondent created a defamatory website and threatened to spread the link publicly. My response: This was a personal and private online journal. I allowed Steven

to see it one time. He took then violated copyright law by copying the entire journal. He then attempted to use it against me. This private journal did not contain any threats of violence at all. The main part of the journal contained a collection of emails that had been sent back and forth between Steven, Brittany, my wife and I, as well as some letters I had sent Brittany, and some moments of personal reflection. Again, nothing threatening violence of any type. When Steven would not agree to meet or come together for mediation, I added a home page to the journal stating I would make this journal public if he did not work towards a resolution between us so I could have a relationship with my daughter. On that page I clearly stated that everything would be done legally. It also included a picture of Steven's face with the eyes made to look dark. That was

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 21 of 30 to show how much darkness I believed was in Steven in order for him to act the way he had been, and beneath it was a call to prayer . [Emphasis added]. Should the private journal be made public, this would be a request for people to pray for him. Again, there was no threat of violence to him or anyone else. This was plain and obvious, but instead chose to twist things around to make it seem that he was an intended victim. Exhibit / Evidence; H. Copy of private journal. 15. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of having said he was responsible for $350,000 in medical bills. Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24. "Claimed I was responsible for $350,000 of medical damages..." My response; I have never claimed there were/are $350,000 of medical damages or bills. I have health insurance and most of the medical bills have been paid through them. In recent years I have gone to the doctor's office and there have been concerns about cancer and my heart. During those times Steven has not shown any care or concern for my health. Not even an offer of prayer. This falls far beneath the level expected of a Christian, and especially of church faculty or a pastor. More False Claims Steven Filing Part 1. Page 26. "...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature. In March of this year, he reported suicide ideation and holding a gun to his head, as a result of the deterioration with the relationship with his daughter....He seems unable to regulate his emotions, is willing to bring a firearm into the mix and is fixated on me being the cause of his broken relationship with his daughter. I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me. After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me. By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation." My response; "...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature...." "He seems unable to regulate his emotions..." "I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me." "After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me." "By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation."

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 22 of 30 Those are all gross exaggerations intended to falsely make him appear to be a victim. One evening I did very briefly hold a gun up to my head at a park, within hours of a painful counseling session with my daughter. Later, I told my wife about this. We agreed I should get some help at the hospital. Once there, they offered to let me stay with them for inpatient counseling, and clearly stated my stay there was completely voluntary. I agreed to stay, and over the next 24 hours worked with a mental health doctor (Dr. Brown) and her staff to develop coping skills. The following day I asked to be discharged, and the doctor agreed. She stated there were no concerns for suicide or that I would act out in a violent manner. I went to the hospital voluntarily, stayed voluntarily, and left voluntarily with no concerns that I was a threat either to myself or anyone else. Steven's statements, listed above, are completely false, fabricated, and being used as an attempt to slander and libel me, with the clear intent of causing immeasurable harm to myself and my family. The above statements made by Steven clearly show that he is making up these terrible claims about me. There is no evidence at all that I have ever threatened him. Steven is cleverly twisting words around in an attempt to make himself appear to be a victim, and to cause harm to my family. In doing so he has also caused undue concern from the church and congregation. Exhibit / Evidence G. Hospital papers from overnight stay. False Claim About Escalating Things Steven Filing Part 1. Page 26. "...He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany's on 4/28/2021." My response; I have already addressed this in another section, but here again Steven is making up lies and fabricating things against me, with the intent to ruin my relationship my daughter Brittany, and also to cause harm to my family. In stark contrast to his false claims, I sent a letter to my family calling for peace and love. See evidence for a copy. Exhibit / Evidence; J. Letter to my children before the first HRO was filed. 16. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of domestic violence. First HRO Shortly after I went to tell them they were LOVED and FORGIVEN, I was served court papers by the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office. These papers were Steven and Brittany filing for a Harassment Restraining Order against me. Once I read their complaint(s) against me it became obvious the type of lies Steven had been telling about me, specifically to my daughter, Brittany. I assembled all the evidence I had and planned to ask for a trial, during which I would fully expose Steven and his lies intended to harm both myself and my family. Especially concerning were allegations there was “domestic violence” on the main page of Brittany’s filing. There never was any violence of any kind whatsoever between me and Brittany. That claim is false and a horrible allegation.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 23 of 30 Their Attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh, told me beforehand that if I did not accept the HRO without finding of fact, it would harm my daughter, her integrity and profession as a nurse. Though I could have presented an enormous amount of evidence and witnesses to refute any of Stevens claims (which are lies), out of an abundance of love for my daughter, Brittany, I accepted the HRO without finding of fact. Mr. Van Loh went on to

tell me that “It’s a tough day for a dad” and that he understands because of his own daughter's wedding, and that I am “Doing the right thing.” During that same meeting, he asked that the website I had up about Steven be taken down. I specifically told him that was not a public website and that it was my private journal - one that I discreetly shared with Steven. He then said, "That's what pen and paper are for." So, he knew that was a private journal, but in the future he would act as though it was a public website that I used to disparage Steven. In one later email he even specifically referred to that website as a "public website." Why would anyone refer to a website in that manner? Unless of course they know it's private and are trying to pretend it is not a private journal. It should be noted that despite being out of my daughter’s life was/is incredibly painful, during the next two (2) years I only violated the HRO one time, and only due to a medical emergency. This happened when I was feeling ill and was advised by my doctor’s office to come see them soon. While driving there I began experiencing chest pains when only a few blocks away from the clinic. Instead of going my usual long-way around to get there, and avoiding Calvary church on Highway 96 (a place where I was ordered to not go within two (2) blocks of) I instead opted for the direct route which took me past the church. I did not stop at the church or otherwise interact with anyone there. I only drove past it on in my attempt to get to the clinic as quickly as possible. My thoughts were focused on getting medical help. Once at the clinic an EKG was performed. The attending physician immediately recognized I was having a cardiac event and called for an ambulance. I was transported to the nearest hospital for treatment. Other than this one time due to a medical emergency, I obeyed the court order and did not violate the HRO. I am a peaceful and law abiding citizen. Shortly after the HRO was accepted by me, Brittany and Steven were married. This occurred on June 19 th , 2021. Two of Brittany’s three siblings did not attend the wedding, and neither did anyone from my side of the family. They read the HRO filing and do not believe the lies being told about me. In addition, several people who read the HRO said they were present when some of the events took place, and that Steven was lying in his description of what happened. Several of them stated another reason they did not attend was due to Steven’s rude behavior towards them. I was told by several people in attendance at the wedding that Steven had hired two (2) off-duty police officers to provide security, and that he had done so claiming he was fearful I would show up at the wedding and become violent. I allege he did this as a show, because I never was a threat to him. Exhibit / Evidence; M. HRO cover page claiming domestic violence. Also, R. Background.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 24 of 30 17. Steven Anderson falsely claimed I sent a threatening letter. False Claim of Threatening Letter Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4. "May 4, 2023---Threatening Letter to Petitioner: Respondent contacted me through my attorney threatening again to protest me at my place of employment (See Exhibit C). In his letter, Respondent threatens that the only way to prevent this from occurring is to, “…Speak up now. Once [respondent]’s plan goes into action, there is no stopping or turning back” Respondent also accuses me of telling, “bullshit lies” and ends his letter “There is one question you need to ask yourself;

what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out? Tick-tock." [Emphasis Added]. My response; More false claims about my being a threat. There is nothing threatening about a peaceful protest. I even asked for his input on how to resolve this matter so I could have a relationship with my daughter. But here again he twists things around, with the help of his attorney, to make me seem like I am some violent crazed person. They even put an emphasis on the phrase " Tick-tock" as though it were a reference to a bomb. It was clearly a reference to a clock as I stated earlier in the letter that the first HRO was expiring soon. He also falsely claims the protests would be against him – when in fact I clearly stated in the letter to the church it would be about them performing a proper investigation. How is that a threat to him, unless he is afraid of the truth coming out? Contacting their attorney trying to make peace I contacted their attorney and asked if there was a way to make peace. After several emails exchanged between Mr. Van Loh and myself, he stated that Steven does not want to reconcile with me. Exhibit / Evidence; L. Van Loh emails. The Video Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3. "June 2021 YouTube Video. At some point following the May 27, 2021 HRO, Respondent created a 90+ minute YouTube video "responding" to the HRO, but specifically speaking out and challenging the allegations in the Petition, even though he agreed to an entry of an HRO voluntarily without the court making findings of the alleged harassment. Respondent enlisted the help of my wife Brittany's sister, Vanessa to film who he then had it forwarded the video to my wife. The video was originally posted on a password protected webpage on his site, his "ministry" site, not the website he was required to take down after the HRO. He has since taken down his ministry website. Attached are screenshots of the video (Exhibit E) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary." My response;

## 127. Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Complaint part of lawsuit.docx

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 26 of 30 threatening emails to my employer, Calvary Church, a threatening letter to me, and by sending a letter threatening me to my wife, Brittany Anderson, who also has a Harassment Restraining Order against the Respondent." My response; My youngest sister, who knew the HRO was about to expire, suggested that she take some action. She was doing this with good intentions. Just a few months prior, her only daughter had suddenly and unexpectedly passed away at the age of 40. I stood by her throughout this horrible ordeal. She wanted to find a way to help me with the situation concerning my daughter, Brittany. Her idea was to form a peaceful protest at Calvary church and continue doing so until they agreed to do a proper investigation. I initially said no, don't do anything. But she was insistent and even looked up the laws concerning a peaceful protest. I reluctantly agreed to go along with this idea of hers. But I made it abundantly clear that I would not participate in those protests. The most I would do is to send a letter to Steven and Calvary church explaining the intention of an upcoming protest. The letter to the church was very respectful. The letter to Steven was more pointed. Because, after all, this was all his fault. And because of this, there were many negative impacts on my life and those of my family. But

there was no threat in any of my communication(s). They are stating it as such for dramatic effect. Meanwhile, back in reality, I have obeyed the HRO and was not posing a threat to anyone. The response I got was to be served papers that Steven and Brittany had filed for an extension of the HRO's. They requested a hearing on an emergency basis, claiming that I was such a threat that they feared for their lives, and were concerned I would go to their church and harm/murder hundreds of children. He went as far as to falsely claim that I previously claimed to be a CIA assassin. That is not the truth, and he is including this merely for dramatic effect. I have never been a CIA assassin, an employee of the CIA, or employed as a federal officer or any letter agency, nor have I ever made such a claim. My intention was to ask for a trial, at which time I would bring forth all the evidence I have, including things mentioned in this filing. At that time, I asked my sister to abandon any intentions of protesting, and she agreed. During the first hearing for the second HRO I requested a trial. Their attorney, Mr. Van Loh suggested that nothing from the first HRO be allowed at trial. The referee, Elizabeth A Clydesdale agreed. At the trial, I became aware that I had improperly submitted my evidence and none of it would be allowed. Though I had sent it to the State evidence collection system properly, I did not understand that it also needed to be sent to their attorney. I emailed a list of the evidence and incorrectly assumed they would obtain the evidence from the State evidence system. I emailed Mr. Van Loh's office to ensure they got the evidence and the email reply came back with one word; "Received". They could have said the evidence was missing from the email, but the didn't. Instead, they used my inexperience as an opportunity to have the evidence to not be allowed. This is another example of how this trial was

 not about the truth or justice in any way, shape, or form. This was yet another attack on myself and my family. the only evidence they presented was my private journal, which was from the first HRO. Referee Clydesdale allowed it, despite previously stating otherwise. That private journal ended up being a primary focus of their case. In addition to it being improperly allowed as evidence, Mr. Van Loh was using this journal to present their case claiming that I was somehow a threat to Steven and/or my daughter. The journal contains no threats of violence towards anyone. The referee did not explain that I was not obligated to answer any of their attorneys' questions. In the beginning of the trial, I was sworn in and their attorney began questioning me. I believe the court should have informed me that I have a right to refuse to be questioned by their attorney. I felt like it was an obligation to answer their attorney's questions. If I had known that I did not have to, I would have remained silent during his questioning. During the trial Mr. Van Loh acted rudely and was clearly not interested in truth and justice. This was purely a seek-and-destroy mission for him. He was also rude to my witnesses and suggested to the court that my witnesses were lying, without presenting any evidence supporting his allegation(s). I felt as though his goal was clearly to make me appear as though I was one of the worst humans on this earth, without any supporting evidence, and went as far as to ask the HRO's be for life. Though I was allowed to present a few witnesses, their attorney treated them harshly and went on to call my witnesses liars without any

evidence to prove this claim. This is the same attorney who previously would claim that any attempt by me to settle this matter by filing a lawsuit amounted to "Threatening legal attacks." [Emphasis added.] Anytime I attempted to find ways to reconcile matters, Mr. Van Loh would state in an email, that my continued efforts to work things out with my daughter were only pushing her away. He intentionally made things worse and more difficult for me to restore peace and harmony in my family. My first witness was my sister, Sunnie. She wanted to read a statement but was denied the opportunity by the referee. When I tried questioning her about the content(s) of the statement, their attorney objected to almost everything and her testimony was largely not allowed. Afterwards, their attorney called my sister a liar. Her statement is included as evidence and listed on the Exhibit / Evidence list as item "Q". Since my witnesses were being treated in a manner which I viewed as being unfair and too harshly, I decided to present the remainder of the case myself. However, when I tried to do so, the referee stopped the proceedings and said she would hear closing comments before issuing a ruling. So, I was literally not allowed to fully present my case. Had I been allowed to, part of that would have been to ask for this matter be referred to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office for investigation and criminal proceedings. In the Spring of 2023 I met with someone from the Sheriff's Office and showed them the info / evidence I had. They stated if I could have the civil court refer this matter to them, they would proceed with a criminal investigation

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 28 of 30 against Steven. They further stated that Steven would likely be arrested and put in jail. I asked what I had done wrong, if anything. The response was "Nothing sir, you're a good father". They had no witnesses other than myself, and no evidence other than my private journal; which should not have been allowed based upon the agreement between the attorney and the referee. The second HRO was granted for two-years for my daughter, and ten-years for Steven. The reasons for this were due to the inappropriate actions of their attorney as well as the referee's actions not being conducive for the truth to be presented. Exhibit / Evidence; Q. Statement my sister was not allowed to present in court. 19. Steven Anderson has caused me emotional stress leading to dissolution of my marriage and impacting me financially. Ways His Actions Have Impacted Me 1. Marriage of 31 years ended in divorce. Due to the emotional stress caused by the words and actions of Steven, I became withdrawn and difficult to be married to. The decision to divorce was deeply sad and painful. 2. Loss of relationship with my daughter, Brittany. This is the most painful thing I have ever experienced in my life. 3. Had to sell our family home where we had lived for 24 years. As part of the divorce we sold the house. On my last day there, after moving my personal possessions out, I returned one last time to shower. From the time I entered the bathroom, showered, got dressed and walked out the front door one last time, I cried. The entire time I was in tears. My ex-wife shared that she too cried, almost every day for a month after moving out. After selling the house I became homeless and lived in hotels for weeks until being able to rent an apartment. 4. My career ended due to performance reasons directly as a result of the stress

from this terrible situation. I was making many mistakes at work as a result of the stress. I quit and later was hired by a Target Stores contractor to perform a job I previously held, and excelled at. This time, however, I could not perform the job and stepped down after a month. They kept me on as a driver. After one week, while performing an action I had done approximately 30,000 times previously, I made a mistake that caused significant damage to a piece of equipment. I was immediately fired. 5. My health has declined in various ways, including mental and physical. In addition to the depression and sadness over the loss of a relationship with my daughter, there was a near suicide attempt (as mentioned earlier). My heart has always been healthy in the past. Now I am on several medications. This is because of the stress. I decline to share any further information about my health. 6. More stress and heartache than I have ever experienced in my life. The pain and suffering I have experienced, as well as my family, has been unimaginable. 7. Loss of enjoyment of activities I normally engaged in; i.e. motorcycle riding. In a typical year I would ride approximately 5,000-7,000 miles. During 2023 and 2024 I

 have ridden about 500 miles each year. Have also missed several longer multi-day trips that I normally go on with my brother and friends. Exhibit / Evidence; N. Pics of trailer I damaged at work just prior to being fired. 20. Steven Anderson has falsely claimed that I did not fulfill my financial commitment to support him. Financial Help At the beginning of the relationship between Steven and my daughter, he asked me to help support him financially. I agreed to help and began sending him monthly contributions through an organization called Reliant. Despite all the harm he caused both myself and my family, I had remained hopeful this relationship could be fixed. Because of that I continued sending him financial help every month, and continued doing so for 2 ½ years. I stopped in June of 2023 because that is the month my wife moved out of our family home. Again, our marriage ended only due to the incredible amount of stress caused by Steven's lies. I no longer felt as though there was any possibility of reconciliation, especially since he had told me, through his attorney, (Mr. Van Loh) that he did not want to reconcile with me. At that point, when my wife moved out, I stopped making monthly payments to help him. Later I learned that Steven had told my daughter that I promised to help them financially, but then never did. That is a lie – I helped for 2 ½ years. Exhibit / Evidence: E. Reliant Receipts for years 2021, 2022, 2023. Based on the complaints above, Plaintiff demands the following relief: 1. A trial by jury and asking for damages exceeding $50,000.00. 2. A referral to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office to complete their criminal investigation into this matter. (More details further in this filing.) ACKNOWLEDGMENT By presenting this form to the court, I certify that to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, the following statements are true. I understand that if a statement is not true, the court can order a penalty against me (such as to pay money to the other party, pay court costs, and/or other penalties). 1. The information I included in this form is based on facts and supported by existing law. 2. I am not presenting this form for any improper purpose. I am not using this form to: a.

Harass anyone; b. Cause unnecessary delay in the case; or c. Needlessly increase the cost of litigation. 3. No judicial officer has said I am a frivolous litigant.

Civil Complaint CIV803 State ENG 11/20 www.mncourts.gov/forms Page 30 of 30 4. There is no court order saying I cannot serve or file this form. 5. This form does not contain any "restricted identifiers" or confidential information as defined in Rule 11 of the General Rules of Practice ( https://www.revisor.mn.gov/court_rules/gp/id/11/ ) or the Rules of Public Access to Records of the Judicial Branch ( https://www.revisor.mn.gov/court_rules/rule/ra-toh/ ). 6. If I need to file "restricted identifiers," confidential information, or a confidential document, I will use Form 11.1 and/or Form 11.2, as required by Rule 11. Date Signature Name: Address: City, State, Zip: Telephone: E-mail:

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Complaint part of lawsuit.docx ***

Complaint part of lawsuit

Some background information: My daughter, Brittany, began dating Steven Anderson, director of youth services @ Calvary Church in 2020. Within a few months Brittany came to me and said she was breaking up with him. She said that she felt as though she couldn't be herself around him because was too controlling. She later said that she had another meeting with him, during which time he "shared his heart" with her. Brittany said that Steven told her due to his upbringing he had difficulty properly expressing himself. Because of that she decided to again date him. Upon hearing that I decided to give him a wide swath of grace and patience when dealing with him. He took advantage of this, as documented below.

Shortly thereafter Steven approached me to help support his ministry – which is his full-time job. He says the church cannot afford to pay him a salary, so he fundraises as a substitute. I began supported his endeavor financially and even worked with him to improve his presentation to find others who would be supportive. I continued sending money every month for 2 ½ years – even during the time when he would file an HRO against me, as I still had hope we would settle things peacefully. My wife later said that Steven told Brittany that I wasn't sending money and had refused to help them. After 2 ½ years of supporting them, I stopped sending money after the June, 2024 payment when my wife moved out of our family home.

Within months Steven approached me and asked what it would take to have my blessing for him to marry my daughter. One of the things I mentioned was spending more time with our family - we barely knew him. I told Steven that family was important to us, and that if he was to marry my daughter then we would want him to feel welcomed in our family and to be an active part of it.

Afterwards he made an effort to be at our house almost on a weekly basis, and things seemed to be going well.

One day he called to ask if we could hang out sometime. I said yes and we picked a date and time. When that time came, he arrived at our house and we talked for quite a while. I sensed he had something he wanted to say or possibly ask me - maybe even permission to marry Brittany. Finally, after 3 hours I asked him "Is there something you wanted to ask me?" He then asked for my blessing on marrying my daughter, I said there were three things he needed to promise me. He agreed and I gave him the blessing. My wife and I even helped with a temporary ring until he could buy one.

Once he proposed and my daughter accepted, his entire demeanor towards myself and our family changed. Documented below is the lies he told about me in an HRO (Harassment Restraining Order) and my response which reveals how Steven has engaged in a campaign of libel, slander and harassment which has caused significant harm to both myself, and my family.

He manipulated her and convinced her to stop talking with me for a while so they could "focus on their relationship". That was the last time I ever spoke with her. The last thing she said to me was "Dad, I love you so so so so much!"

This campaign has included making my daughter fearful of me through a false narrative of my threatening him with a gun, being a overall dangerous person to him, her, and the church, and convincing her to join him in filing for an HRO under false pretenses.

I asked many times what the problem was and asked to resolve any differences. He never responded. After trying for a long time without any response, I texted him the following;: You lost far more than you gained.” Meaning, we could have had a great relationship, but he had another agenda. I had actually tried to make peace and amends 15 times. Steven made zero attempts, and refused all of my attempts and making peace.

During this time I had been offering blanket apologies for things I had not done, just to have a relationship with my daughter. He never responded and instead continued taking everything I’ve done, as pure and honest as my actions were, and greatly exaggerated them and spun them into my looking like it’s all a threat to him.

His deliberate actions, hyper-exaggerated claims and outright lies have caused significant harm; due to the stress of Steven's actions, my wife and I ended our 31-year marriage. We sold the family home where we lived for 24 years, and I became homeless. I had to quit my job as a Safety and Compliance Manager. I enjoyed a corner office and had 70 people working for me - but had to quit due to my declining work performance as a result of the stress caused by Steven's actions and words. The day prior to selling our home I moved my belongings into a storage unit. Within a short period of time that unit was broken into and most of my valuable possessions were stolen.

I am a peaceful person and the victim of lies. By filing this lawsuit, I am seeking two things; A) A trial by jury and asking for damages exceeding $50,000.00. B) A referral to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office to complete their criminal investigation into this matter. (More details further in this filing.)

It is important to note that I have enjoyed a great relationship with the others my children have dated or married. With one notable exception; a man named Kyle, who assaulted my daughter and subsequently assaulted myself. Prior to Steven, my daughter Brittany dated a man name David Zimmerman for several years. David and I got along exceptionally well. When Brittany decided to break up with David, he came directly to our family home to spend time with me. I explained that since Brittany is my daughter, I need to advocate for her. He responded, “I know, but I feel so much better being with you.”

My youngest daughter, Vanessa, was dating a man named James Anderson (a common last name – no relation to Steven.) When he was homeless I took him into our home, and just a week prior to our home being sold due to my divorce. Despite going through my own personal hell, I still helped him. One of the ways was to help James come up with a life plan, Later, when my daughter decided to end that relationship, I continued paying for his hotel room, and gave him money for expenses.

My oldest daughter, Victoria, dated and married a man named Jacob Rademacher. During the 13-years my daughter has been with him, Jake (Jacob) and I have enjoyed a great relationship. He has never felt fearful of me and has been felt threatened in any way, shape, form or manner. Jake and I have been to hockey games, vacation, road trips and more together. He’s a fantastic son-in-law who calls me “Dad”. I hoped for the same with Steven, but he has a different agenda.

Exhibit / Evidence; 0

Exhibit / Evidence; E

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

FIRST HRO

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

"Within a week after Brittany and I began our engagement, the respondent began to take issue with our wedding planning. He began to call me to argue for things to be different on decisions that my finance and I had made."

My response;

One day Brittany was at our family house speaking to her mom and I about the guest list. It sounded as if Steven had already invited so many people there was barely any room for our side of the family. At least that was the impression I got from that conversation. At one point I heard the number of guests was already more than the total amount they agreed upon. Brittany seemed stressed by this process. Her

mom approached me afterwards and asked me if I could help with this matter. I agreed to make a friendly call to Steven. So, I did and asked one question: "How does the guest list honor the mother and father of the bride?" His reply was all about his family and never directly answered my question. He then stated that he didn't think his response answered my question. I replied, "Not really." He then said he would get back to me about this. I offered a suggestion on how they might decide on a guest list, and he said that he would discuss this with Brittany. We then talked for a short while about other non-wedding related things and ended the call on a positive note. He never got back to me to answer the question. I shared the details of this conversation with my wife, and we thought that call was enough and those two (Brittany and Steven) would work things out.

The only other time I ever discussed the wedding with Steven was during a time when he called me about a non-wedding related topic, and I asked him if my wife and I would be able to meet his parents before the wedding. He replied, "Not my dad, but maybe my mom when she comes to town to help me finish planning the wedding." He made it sound as if he and his mom would be doing the wedding planning and not Brittany. I asked, "Does Brittany know about this?" He said yes. I then asked if there would be anything that Mrs. Hutchinson (Brittany's mom) would be able to help with. He replied, "Maybe my mom can find something for her to do." I then repeated an earlier question, "And Brittany knows about this?" He again replied, yes. That's as far as the conversation went.

The only time I discussed the wedding with Brittany was when she came to me with a concern about their wedding photographer. I gave her some advice on how to approach Steven to discuss this topic.

Compare this to my oldest daughters (Victoria & Jake) wedding. I caused no problems whatsoever, did not interfere with any planning, and they will both testify to that. I would have done the same for Brittany and Steven's wedding, except Steven had other plans to exclude me as the evidence will show.

Phone calls

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17 – 18

"The frequency and hostility in Respondent's telephone calls increased. Amidst these interactions Brittany and I decided it would be best to set boundaries around our wedding planning and conversations with us about them."

My response;

I only made 6 phone calls to Steven total the entire time I have known him. Two of those were about allowing him to borrow one of my wife's rings to propose to our daughter. Another call was to offer him the same advice my Father-in-law gave me prior to my marrying his daughter nearly 30 years prior. He became agitated and responded rudely to me. Another call was to ask if he wanted to get together and hang out – he said "No" and hung up on me. There was a call about us doing a video chat to discuss

some changes to his fund-raising presentation. The other call was months later when I left a voicemail during a time I was upset with him after a long period of intentionally doing things to harm both myself and my family.

It should be noted that Steven made plenty of calls to me, however. He would say things such as;a) “You’re not a very good father.”b) “Brittany deserves someone better than you.” c) “If you want to have a relationship with your daughter, then you need to submit to me and let me control that.”

Here again I gave him a wide swath of grace and patience because of what he told my daughter about not being able to properly express himself. During these times I tried to steer the conversation away from negative comments and towards something more positive and family oriented.

Laundry

Brittany does Stevens laundry at our house, which I honestly didn't mind. He makes it clear that he is concerned about Covid, so when I discovered some information about that virus and fabric, I wanted to share this with him. Soon afterwards as Brittany was leaving the house, she said Steven’s laundry was in the dryer and asked that once done I place it outside the front door for him to pick up. I figured this was out of concern for Covid, so decided to write him a letter, and placed in in the laundry basket along with his clean clothes. It basically said I had done some research on Covid and was surprised it could last up to 48-hours on our clothes. Said I could appreciate his concern for being exposed to Covid. Maybe it’s best his clothes are not washed at our house - at least now for now. I then said it would have been nice to see him today. That we could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just the day before for Covid. I signed it by saying "Hope you have a wonderful day."

When his clothes were done, I placed them outside the front door as requested. When Steven arrived, he read the note, threw the clothes into his car, slammed the door, then stood there staring at our house for a full minute with an angry look on his face. He then got into the car and sped off running through a stop sign and speeding up the street at approximately double the speed limit.

My intentions in writing that letter was pure – I really wanted to have a good relationship with him, but it seemed he turned it around and used it as an opportunity to have a tantrum.

Then just a day later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for several hours. He can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell and say hi, but just a day later he can spend hours inside our home? And he's here without any acknowledgment of the letter. This to me was yet another stonewall and quite honestly, seemed very disrespectful. As Brittany and Steven were leaving, Brittany said “Hi dad” – I responded “Hi honey!” Then Steven said, “Hello Mr. Hutchinson”. I responded with a rather dry “Hi”. It bothered me that he was so rude just the day before, as well as multiple times previously, and now today when my daughter is there, he’s acting all nice and innocent. I didn’t want to say or do anything that would be upsetting to Brittany, so I did not ask him for

any explanation – at least not at that time. Several hours later my wife came home, and I told her about this and that it bothered me. After all, he had a history of being rude to my family and myself. I spoke loudly about how upset I was and said that I did not want Steven at our house again. I then texted Steven and said he was not welcome in our home until there was mediation.

At no time when I was venting did I make any threats against Steven. My only comments were that he was disrespecting me in my own home, and I did not want him at our house anymore.

I did not know that Brittany was in her bedroom and had overheard what I said. I honestly thought she had left, and had specifically waited until I thought she was gone to vent my frustrations. My wife gave me a hug and we went and made dinner together. Within 10 minutes or so we were in the kitchen laughing about some silly memes on Facebook. Yes, I raised my voice. Yes, I was upset. He's going to marry my daughter and he's treating me poorly. Any reasonable father would also be upset. But there was no threat.

I later learned that Steven used this as an opportunity to convince my daughter to move out of our house, by claiming she was in danger remaining there with me.

Fast-forward 30-days. During that time, Steven made no efforts to be a part of our family or join any activities. Since I gave my blessing for him to marry our daughter, it's like a switch was flipped and he became cold towards us. He has spoken rudely to myself, guests at our house and has acted this way towards my other children. It seems as though he was only being nice to obtain my blessing, then his real personality came out. Had I known any of this I would not have said yes to him marrying our daughter.

Mediation

Steven was texting me some inappropriate comments and I responded by asking him to not contact me anymore in this manner. He responded by saying that Brittany suggested mediation. I said yes, and that if he wanted to pick a place for that to happen, that I would pay for those services. He responded by saying that the only way he would agree to mediation is if it were at the church where he works. This seemed to put me at a disadvantage because they are familiar with him and do not know me. But I agreed to this anyway figuring I would just set the truth free and let it defend itself.

Afterwards, as I thought about mediation it occurred to me that mediation meant all the bad and negative things Steven had done would be revealed and that could have very negative impacts on him. After a time of prayer I sent the following email, striving for peace, and once again apologizing for things I haven't done;

"This email is an open apology to my daughter, Brittany. I have failed you. Not just a little, but a lot. During the past weeks I have been so focused on being right, about winning a disagreement, that I lost

sight of something far more important; our relationship and your happiness. I have always put you first in my life, and now just months before your wedding I have fallen far short of what I should have been doing. You have every reason to be mad at me, hurt, disappointed and feeling less than loved by me. For that I apologize - profusely. I love you more than life itself and literally live in daily agony over the state of our current relationship. In response I'll say this:

I resign.

Completely and fully resign.

Not from being your dad of course, but rather from the disagreement that caused this. The [previous] letter I emailed states my position and stands as the truth as I know it. But that's as far as it goes. I'm done with any disagreement with Steven. My time and energy is now going to be focused on you, Brittany. To work hard restoring our precious relationship and ensuring this never happens again. Ever. And, to help celebrate the upcoming wedding and make these months before the wedding as enjoyable as possible.

With that in mind, I am inviting Steven to neutral ground. A place where we speak and act kindly and respectfully to each other. A place where you are fully welcomed at our house to visit, hang out, do laundry, grab a snack from the kitchen, mi casa es tu casa.

I don't want battles anymore - I just want to love my family and others with all my heart. To fully enjoy what time I have left on this planet. The past few weeks/months somehow turned ugly and I don't want that - never did. I ended up feeling ugly inside and that's not who I am. At all. I repent for my misdeeds and missteps.

Peace,

Tim/Dad”

Comment: Even though I felt as though I had done nothing wrong and was apologizing for things Steven did and the stress he caused, I just want a happy relationship with my daughter again.

My wife replied:

“Tim,

I want you to know those words really touched my heart. They were absolutely beautiful and honest. I appreciate you putting Britt first and your highest priority.[Emphasis added] Im proud of you and very hopeful we can begin celebrating this engagement and wedding! This makes me very happy. I love you all so much.

With Love,

Jen”

Our daughter replied;

“Dad,

Steven and I read your emails. We have found ourselves in the same position that we have felt trapped into for the past few weeks, unsure how to respond. We have set clear boundaries, laid out in our Rules of Engagement, and those have been violated repeatedly. The way Steven and I have been treated by you has been inappropriate and out of line. The last email we received was an apology directed towards me, and while I appreciate that I feel as if it dismissed core issues. This puts us into a difficult position of being perceived as the ones continuing conflict if we don’t accept a “blank slate.”

As Steven and I prepare to start our new life together, we are concerned that these patterns of behavior will continue. We believe that there are significant changes that need to occur in order to move forward in a healthy manner. We desire growing towards healthier relationships with you. Conversations with you have not lead to that thus far, so we believe a mediated conversation is the appropriate next step.

We are confirming with our mediator, but does Saturday at 10 am work for you and mom? It’s important that all 4 of us will be there.

Thanks,

Britt”

Concerned about “patterns” – what patterns? I’ve been apologizing for things I never did.

“Significant changes”? Should I then become an uncaring, unloving father? That’s ridiculous and clearly not my daughters voice. That has ‘Steven’ written all over it.

Before meeting Steven, my daughter would never have written an email reply such as this. Never. I believe he is manipulating her.

My reply;

“Yes, mom and I can be available at that time.

I am willing to attend based upon three conditions;

1) This is about moving forward, not digging up the past. There were clearly missteps on the part of several of us, not just myself. Going over those details will serve no useful purpose other than igniting hurt feelings.

2) The meeting will be focused on ways to communicate in both directions; engaged couple to the parents, and vice-versa.

3) No expectations will be made that violate our First Amendment rights. While I agree there are acceptable ways to communicate, anything that prevents one of us from speaking at all to another person is something I won't consider. This is America - brave heroic people fought for our rights, and that should be respected."

Steven replied;

"Hi all,

I agree with everything Britt said. As for your response, Mr. Hutchinson, I don't believe we can comply with them all of them the way you have requested.

There are things that have been done and said over the last two weeks that cannot be ignored, and it is not enough to ask for a "blank slate" and move forward. Some of this will be discussed in our conversation.

We agree that communication moving forward should be an element of this conversation.

We don't believe it's helpful for us to set expectations for the communication plan moving forward before our conversation.

I have to admit, these last few weeks have been incredibly challenging and emotionally draining for Britt and I as we have interacted with you and we desire to move forward in a healthier direction and would appreciate your willingness to enter into that being willing to lay anything down in order to make that happen. You have emphasized how important your relationship with Britt is, and if that is really true, we believe that you will listen to what Britt and I have to say about how we can all move forward in a healthy way, even if that means that interaction with one or both of us moves forward differently than your personal preference."

Comment: "Things have been done and said that cannot be ignored". Such as? To date neither of them can name even one single thing.

"…these past few weeks have been incredible challenging and emotionally draining for Brittany and I as we have interacted with you…" Here again, he cannot name one thing that I've done, other than ask a question, raise my voice (to Brittany once, and to my wife once), apologize for things I never did, and strive for peace. They literally cannot and have not named anything.

Steven interjected himself into the equation with his comment. Other than a few emails and a letter trying to make peace, I've had no interaction with Steven in the previous few weeks. But he tries to pretend to be a victim here.

"We desire to move forward in a healthier direction…" Clearly we cannot move forward if Steven puts zero effort into anything and my daughter will not even speak to me because of Steven's lies.

My reply;

“Steven,

Mrs. Hutchinson and I have also been directly/indirectly impacted in a negative way by some of your words & actions. We will also be presenting a list of expectations on how we will be communicated with. We look forward to the meeting. See you then.

Mr. Hutchinson”

The second attempt @ mediation was scheduled for Saturday, 2/27/2021 @ 10 AM. The previous week’s mediation was cancelled by the mediator due to a scheduling conflict. It’s important to note that Steven did not want to discuss any previous events in mediation. Then he changed his mind and now wants previous events be discussed. That’s a 180-degree turn-around.

A few days later Steven sends me a text message which says, “Mediation is only about your and Mrs. Hutchinson learning how you two are going to talk to me.” I showed this text message to my wife (Mrs. Hutchinson), my brother and youngest sister. They were all shocked and appalled at this.

I responded that he has been saying terrible things to me and that during mediation he would also learn how to communicate with us. Shortly afterwards he cancelled mediation and would not give us a valid reason for doing so. He also refused to reschedule mediation. It appears obvious to me that Steven has by now convinced my daughter that I have done things that are wrong, without any evidence or proof whatsoever. In fact, it has been Steven who is the one causing the problems.

Rules of Engagement

Steven Fiing Part 1. Page 17.

"The respondent took issue with some of the wording in our Rules of Engagement..."

My response;

Brittany and Steven came up with some Rules of Engagement, and presented them to my wife and I. We both agreed to follow these rules. At this time, we were at our house sitting at the dining room table. Afterwards, Brittany and her mom went into the kitchen. I got up and said I was going to the bathroom. I began walking away but then stopped and turned around to retrieve something from the table. At that time Steven had stood up and was bent over picking up a bag from the floor with his back towards me. He did not realize I was standing there. Just then I heard him say “Oh, this is gonna be good!” He said it in a very deep voice as though he was almost growling. To me, it sounded demonic. He suddenly stood and spun around to facing me. He began smiling and started trying to tell a joke. It seemed like he was trying to cover for something. I was too stunned to speak or respond. He then called to Brittany saying they should leave.

Afterwards, my wife looked at me and asked, “Honey, are you OK?” I didn’t want to make a scene so I just responded by saying I was OK. We then went into our bedroom together and prayed for them as a couple. The following day I shared what happened with my brother.

Shortly after that I sent them both an email asking a question about how to ask a question.

Something in the Rules of Engagement were not clear and I merely was asking for clarification. Steven immediately took offense to this and began accusing me of manipulation. This email conversation included Brittany, her mom, Steven and myself.

Suddenly I got an email from Steven stating that if I loved Brittany that I would “Lay down everything.” This to me means submitting to him and goes along with his earlier comments about submitting to him if I want a relationship with my daughter.

I then realized that he had sent the email directly to me and had excluded Brittany and her mom. I then said I would not continue this conversation with him in that email thread and would only have a discussion involving Brittany and Mrs. Hutchinson. I then went back to the previous email thread and responded in a somewhat sharp manner. Afterwards I asked my wife to be the one to send out any emails from me to them. In that way I could be assured that both her and Brittany would be included in the emails.

Exhibit / Evidence; B, C, H

Emotional Response

Steven Fiing Part 1. Page 17.

"On the same day he returned home and Brittany reports visible signs of anger (slamming doors, slamming things, yelling, etc.)" "This email and anger was the first clues towards irrational behavior and unwarranted responses. I only expressed my concern for effective conflict resolution....and he took it as an insult to his intelligence and he was unable to control his emotional response."

My response;

Later, after the email exchange, I was talking with Brittany and my frustration boiled over, and I raised my voice about how Steven would not answer the seemly simple question about the wedding guest list, has made no effort to be a part of our family, and has been acting rudely towards me. I was also terribly upset that Brittany is showing signs of stress and I haven't seen her smile in days. I am very concerned and even heartbroken that she should have to go through this during a time when she should be celebrating. My being agitated certainly did not help matters.

As I walked out of the kitchen I was so disappointed in myself for having raised my voice that I slapped the doorway with my open hand. I truly felt awful about how I had acted.

She later she came to me and we discussed the guest list. I accepted her explanation, apologized for raising my voice, and asked that we move forward. The following day I went to her and asked if we could do a reset, one where we could together enjoy the journey of her getting married. She agreed and we spent some enjoyable time together.

There was no slamming doors, slamming other things or emotional outbursts of any kind. His claim that I was “unable to control his emotional response" is completely false and unfounded. If any of this had happened my wife would have come to investigate. She will testify that this never happened. Yet another attempt by Steven at disparaging me through his lies and manipulation.

Brittany Moving Out

Within a few days Brittany moved out of our house. Supposedly because she is now suddenly afraid of me, because I raised my voice, twice. What's interesting is the very day she moved out, she returned that evening to retrieve something she had left behind. When she saw me, she gave me a hug and said "I love you so much." I told her it was weird seeing her move and that this was the first time she's ever moved that I didn't help. She replied that was true and yes this all seems weird. As she walked out of the house she looked back at me and though she smiled, I know her well enough that I could detect a hint of sadness – almost as if she didn’t want to go.

Connecting to talk about the conflict

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 17.

"At this point it became clear there was significant issue, and so I suggested to the respondent that he and I find a time to connect to talk through the conflict."

My response;

This never happened. I am the one who has been offering apologies for things I never did and trying to find a way to resolve some conflict when I have no idea what the issue(s) are/were. Had he reached out me to in this manner I would have gladly accepted. His claim to have reached out to try and connect to talk through the conflict is absolutely a lie. It should also be noted that at this point in time I have no idea what the problem(s) were/are. Neither Brittany nor Steven were communicating with me. My wife has tried contacting them to find out what the issue/problem were/are, but neither of them were willing to discuss this with her. It wasn’t until the first HRO was filed that I had even a glimpse of the terrible things I was being falsely accused of.

False claim about the gun

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"At the time, my fiance lived in respondents home. I visited while the respondent was at work, to make plans for the wedding. He returned before I left, and we only exchanged a brief interaction on me way our the door, however, he placed his firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight. I couldn't help but feel as though he had intentionally placed the gun there for me to see."

My response;

This was around the time when there were riots in Minneapolis after what happened to George Floyd. There was talk about the riots going to the suburbs. At the time my wife asked me if I still had a gun. I replied yes. The fact that she asked this question shows how discreet I was with it. I never showed it to anyone, open carried or even discussed it.

She then said it would make her feel safer if she could see it regularly. So, for a short while, placing my firearm on the upstairs banister became a temporary routine for me.

Again, she literally asked me to do this. I would come home from work and place it on the end railing at the top of the steps, go into the kitchen and greet my wife with a kiss, unpack my lunch bag, then go put the gun away in our bedroom. It was only out for approximately 3 minutes. I did this only for a few weeks, then asked if she was OK with me not putting it out anymore, as I never was comfortable doing this. She said yes, and I stopped leaving it out for her to see.

One day while Steven was over, he saw the handgun in its usual place and made an incorrect assumption. He then used this occasion to begin placing thoughts into my daughters head that I am some sort of dangerous person and they are both at risk of me harming them with the gun.

I have never waved this gun around or used it to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. The entire time it was holstered, and on the 2nd floor of the house – Steven remained in the lower level and was never near the gun. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie and crazy. I made it to over 63-years old without a criminal record, but Steven wants to falsely portray me as a crazy man with a gun.

Kyle situation

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1.

"Throughout my relationship with his daughter, the respondent reminded me on several occasions of a story where he insinuates that a previous relationship one of his other daughters had, ended with him shoving the boyfriends head through the drywall of his apartment."

My response;

Kyle was my daughter Vanessa's boyfriend. During their relationship he assaulted her. Afterwards, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. I called Kyle, and he began shouting at me, so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So, I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified myself as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to, I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. He then came at me with a raised fist.

At that point I felt the need to defend myself and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I responded, "Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left. He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical.

I never told Steven this story. He only overheard me telling this story, twice. Both times the telling of the story was initiated by Vanessa. The first time was to her current boyfriend as the family gathered at a restaurant to celebrate her mom’s birthday. The second time was at a bonfire at our family house, and she was telling the story to two of my friends who stopped by. I took over the telling of the story and ended it quickly. After the second time I asked my daughter, Vanessa, to not tell the story anymore. She stated that she was proud of me for defending her but agreed to not tell the story anymore.

Steven was not a part of either conversation, his name was not mentioned, nor did anyone acknowledge him either time. During the first time, at the restaurant, he was seated 3 people away from us. At the bonfire, he was seated 4 people away from us. So there is no way he was included in the conversation. His assertion that I reminded him is completely false. He was never mentioned in the conversation, I never referred to him nor did I look at him at all. He overhead the story and presented it in the court filing for the HRO as it I told it directly to him and also as if it was intended to be a threat directly to him. Those assertions are completely false.

It should also be noted that after the bonfire, I had 4 people tell me that Steven had acted rudely towards them.

The Books

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1.

"When I shared my desire to marry his daughter, months prior to asking for his blessing, he gave me two of his books, including an autobiographical work, Painful Secrets, wherein he claims to have almost

committed a mass shooting as a teenager. With this context, the Respondent's placement of the gun on the banister was clearly meant and taken as a threat and intimidation towards me."

My response;

In both filings he claims I gave him a copy of the books a "month" before in one and "months" before in another....it was actually 3 days prior to his asking to marry my daughter.

During a previous time that we had met, he mentioned that he heard I wrote several books. I confirmed that I had. He said that he would like to read them. I sell these books on Amazon so do not store any extra copies at the house. When he expressed an interest in reading them I went to see if I had any available copies at the house. I found one copy of 'Painful Secrets' and one copy of 'Casting Out Doubt'. I then generously gave him a free copy of each.

Soon after, he asked if we could hang out for a while. I said yes, and he came over to our house. Once here he mentioned that he had read both books. One was my memoir, and he didn't really talk about that - it was the other book, the one about miracles. I was surprised he had read them already as I had just given them to him three (3) days prior. When I commented on this, he said that he had stayed up late at night to finish them. We talked about the book 'Casting Out Doubt' for a few minutes, then began talking about other topics. At no time did he seem concerned about the contents of the other book, nor was it ever mentioned.

That evening, I had a feeling he was there to ask me if he could marry my daughter. This was an important evening, and I didn't want him to feel rushed - so I remained patient and waited. Finally, three-hours later I asked if he was there, by chance, to ask me question. He said yes and asked if he could marry my daughter. I said there were three conditions he had to promise to, and he agreed. One of them was not to interfere in my relationship with daughter. He promised that he wouldn't. Then we talked about a ring, and I offered to borrow him one of Brittany's mom's rings to propose with. That would give him time to go ring shopping. (He has since broken the promise to not interfere in my relationship with Brittany many times over).It is important to note that I have used my memoir, 'Painful Secrets' as a tool to help make bullying awareness and prevention a national issue. Along the way I have prevented 6 school shootings and also prevented 300 teen suicides. I would never use this book as a threat to anyone. My wife is a high school science teacher who has given copies of this same book to some of her troubled students. She has witnessed their lives being changed by it and has seen them carry this book around with them every day as a sign of hope and overcoming great life obstacles.

Here again, Steven is making wild claims that are simply not true at all.

See evidence attached with testimonies and feedback from others.

Raising my voice

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"I then called my fiancé to share the news with her, [about a text I sent him banning him from my property} and I could hear him, yelling and angrily attacking my character because I had done laundry in his house. At this point I began to seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé."

My response:

I had only raised my voice twice. The second time I was unaware that Brittany was home and talked loudly to my wife I. She did not feel any concern for her safety, and even walked over and gave me a hug. I rarely, if ever, talk in an upset manner. My wife knew I was no danger to anyone and understood my need for a hug.

His claim to have begun to "…seriously fear for the emotional impact on my fiancé" is nonsense, completely baseless and all a part of his plan to cause a division in my family.

The fact that I had remained calm for so long given all the terrible things he had been saying to me, just shows how rational and peaceful of a person I really am. Since that time over 3 years ago, not one person has witnessed me raising my voice at all. These two instances were rare and only due to the distressful situations that Steven was causing in my family.

Brittany Moving Out

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"Later that day I received a call from my finance that while the respondent was still visibly angry, he went out to the garage and collected his firearm, which he continued to hold while angry. Brittany felt unsafe and so she left to stay at a friend's home. At that point Brittany and I found an apartment to lease where she could live, in order to move out of the respondent's home. We decided to attempt to keep this address a secret from the respondent for fear of safety for Brittany and myself."

Within a few days Brittany moved out of our house. Supposedly because she is now suddenly afraid of me, because I raised my voice, twice. What's interesting is the very day she moved out, she returned that evening to retrieve something she had left behind. When she saw me, she gave me a hug and said "I love you so much." I told her it was weird seeing her move and that this was this first time she's ever moved that I didn't help. She replied that was true and yes this all seems weird.

A few days later her youngest sister, Vanessa, told me that she had gone to Brittany's apartment (that she had just moved to), and that those two stood outside the apartment building and hugged as Brittany cried, saying she didn't understand why she had to move away from her home. Vanessa said that Brittany sounded very confused about what was happening.

Owatonna

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 18.

"On 2/2/21 Brittany reports hearing from the respondent that "[he's] so mad [he] could kill someone, so [he's] going to kill Charlie". Further claims Charlie is my "violent side" and was a "secret CIA assassin." He also states that I appear to be "delusional and out-of-touch with reality. I am afraid for my safety and Brittany's. His actions are clearly unpredictable.

My response;

In an effort to activate what I call my daughters “BS detector”, by which I mean a healthy amount of skepticism, my well-meaning brother Steve, made up a story. This is really the only time in my life I've ever told Brittany something that wasn't true. But I had good intentions. I made the story so fantastical that any reasonable person would doubt it was real. That was what I was after. To have her look at this and say wait a minute, that's not real. And then to have her look at what Steven was saying and realize what he's saying is also not real. My daughter Brittany is kind, sweet, thoughtful and a really, really wonderful person. She's also, well, a little gullible as any 22-year-old is. And Steven clearly took advantage of that.

I told her that "I'm so angry I could kill someone." This is actually a phrase I grew up hearing; no one actually killed anyone; like saying I'm so hungry I could eat a horse; no one eats horses and even if they did, they couldn't consume an entire horse. It's only a phrase with no factual meaning behind it I also chose that phrase because I figured she would immediately realize that I wouldn't actually kill someone - she's only known me as a kind, loving, peaceful father who always puts his family first.

I told her the person I would kill is Charlie (you can't kill Charlie - it's just a name I was given in the military.)

I said that I was going to a DNR lab near Owatonna where I would be held down on a table and my head will be zapped with electricity. That's as crazy of a story that I could think of at the moment.

The next morning I said that it snowed the previous night (which it did) so we met at a closed gas station and performed the procedure in a van. They zapped me while I rolled my eyes side-to-side.

I waited for her to say something like, "Dad, this isn't real!" But she never did.

I had every intention of explaining to her why I told this fabricated story, but I wanted to do so in person. Sadly, I never saw her again.

When I shared this story with my son he began laughing. Anyone who knows me would immediately realize that this was a made-up story. Later, I shared this same story with my youngest daughter, Vanessa. Her response was the same; laughter. They both know I would never do anything like what I had described.

Before the trip to Owatonna, I told this story to my wife. She went with me on the trip and showed no concerns about my mental health. She recognized immediately it was just a story.

The fact that Brittany took this seriously showed me how much Steven had been manipulating her.

Visting the Church

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 19.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 1-2.

"On March 1, 2021, the respondent appeared unannounced and uninvited at Calvary church.....which is my place of employment to deliver a defamatory letter address to my superior and senior pastor of the church...The letter made false and defamatory claims about me and my character with the intent to have Calvary terminate my employment."

My response;

I reached out to Calvary church and approached them as respectfully as possible asking for help. I stated that Steven has been acting inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. I asked them to review the facts and prayerfully consider helping bring a resolution to this situation. There was no response to the first request, so I sent a second. Shortly afterwards a letter came in the mail from their attorney stating I was not welcome on either of their campuses and to not have any further contact with them.

Later, I learned that Steven claimed he was so afraid of me being violent that he was being escorted into the church from the parking lot each day, and then back to his car when he left. He also said he was always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him.

The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. Steven went so far as to make a church unduly afraid of me.

I will state this fact again; I never threatened him. Ever. If I am such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren't the police ever called? If Steven was really that afraid of me the police should be notified. But they weren't. Why? In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2nd story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police - not even once.

He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him - not one - because there weren't any. Because I don't want to - I never did. Any perceived threats are made-up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as my family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me.

At no time have I ever asked the church to fire him. My request initially was simply to nudge him towards mediation. Later that became a request for an investigation – but only after he told me, through an attorney, that he did not to reconcile our relationship.

Exhibit / Evidence; M

Letter to Steven just before sending letter to the Church for the first time

Exhibit / Evidence List; I (Includes W-letter formatted that was included with the email)

Loved and forgiven

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 20-21.

Repeated in Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 2.

"APRIL 28, 2021 - UNINVITED INTRUSION AT PERITIONER'S PERSONAL RESIDENCE." The respondent visited my apartment building on 4/28/21 at 7:50am. Steven and his roommates were all sleeping. After receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the building, neither Steven nor his roommates unlocked the front door to the building, which they had previously discussed to do if he came to visit the apartment. But the respondent entered anyway. He knocked on the door repeatedly but I did not answer....my heart was racing...I began to think about having to jump from my second story balcony or calling the police just to get away....After some time of knocking, it stopped...."

My response;

Shortly before this happened I asked for an extended break - to let things calm down a bit. I then went to each of their homes for one purpose; to let them know they are both loved and forgiven. I told my wife what my purpose was for going there, and she responded by saying my actions were beautiful and she was proud of me.

Upon arrival at Steven's apartment building I parked my car and exited. An African-American woman was walking out to her car and said hello. I responded by saying good morning. She then told me the buzzer box on the building was broken and that maintenance knew about it. She then told me I could just enter the building as the door was unlocked. I thanked her and walked towards the front door of the building. Once there I discovered the door was indeed unlocked and walked in without buzzing Steven's apartment. His claim of "receiving notification that the respondent was at the front door to the building,…´ is therefore completely false, as I never buzzed his apartment.

He also claimed that I had "gained access" to the building, making it sound as though I had broken into the building. That also is false. I simply opened the door and walked in like any normal person would.

I then went to his apartment and using the second knuckle on my right hand, knocked three times in a normal manner. I then stepped back across the hall and patiently waited. After several minutes no one answered the door, so I stepped forward and again knocked three times in a normal manner, again using the second knuckle of my right hand. It should also be noted that this was during the Covid pandemic, and I respectfully wore a mask during this entire time.

When there was no answer after my second time knocking normally, I peacefully walked out of the building and drove away calmly. From there I drove to a nearby Cub Foods store and purchased some flowers for my daughter. I went to her apartment building and buzzed her apartment; however, she didn't answer the door. So, I placed the flowers on her car and left peacefully.

I later learned she had called Steven in a panicked state because I was at the door to the apartment building she lived in and she didn't know how to respond. I went there with flowers, to tell her that I love her, and she's too afraid to answer the door, because of the lies Steven has told her. Does it really make sense that I am trying to make peace and threatening violence at the same time?

It's an incredibly sad day for an old dad when his own daughter is afraid of him for no valid reason whatsoever. Let me be perfectly clear - I have never threatened either one of them with violence, at any time, in any way whatsoever. These are all fabricated lies of Steven.

In my journal I wrote that I was going there to tell them they were LOVED and FORGIVEN, with both of those words in uppercase letters. It was repeated this several times in the journal. In his court filing, Steven left out that page, intentionally I believe, because it tells the real story and exposes some of the lies he has told. Since he dd not answer the door, he does not know the reason for my visit. Steven decided, without any evidence, to twist things around and use this against in a manner that was intended to harm myself.

Voice Message

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21.

"2.....THREATENTING VOICE MESSAGE...."If you want to live in peace, as I do, then if I prepare for war, any adversary will know that and basically let me be. So when you haven't heard from my these past few weeks, that's I've been doing. I've been preparing for war."

My response;

I clearly stated my desire to live in peace. His actions have made that difficult. I was letting him know that I had been preparing for war – which means to expose him on social media and contact law enforcement (which I ultimately did.) I have told him all along that I wanted to resolve things in a peaceful manner. His response has been to continue telling lies about me or ignore my request for a peaceful resolution.

See video @ 54:25.

Epic Storm

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21.

"3. MARCH 24, 2021---HARASSING EMAIL. Email excerpt sent through Timothy's wife, Jennifer to Steven Anderson: "You've successfully stripped my daughter out of my life, and in the process hurt me at a level I have not been hurt before. But understand one thing; I could have stopped you by releasing the 'Epic Storm' I designed and planned."

My response;

The reason my wife began sending the emails is because during the time when Steven, Brittany, my wife and I were having an email conversation, Steven was also sending me emails directly stating things such as how he expects me to submit to him. But in the other emails which were including my wife and Brittany he was acting innocent and trying to make himself and Bittany look like victims. I showed these emails to my wife and requested that she be the one moving forward to send out the emails, that way we could be certain the four of us were seeing all the emails being sent. She readily agreed and I then blocked Steven from emailing me directly.

An ’Epic Storm’ is a term which describes a completely legal means of a cascading series of events designed to bring about change, or to protect someone. These events are all completely legal. Nothing evil and no intent to cause physical harm at all. Several times I told Steven that I would do an epic storm if he continued ruining my relationship with Brittany and causing strife in my family. I never took any action though, because that would have been upsetting to my daughter and I was doing my best to shield her from any actions I was being forced to take.

He falsely claimed this meant I was going to be violent with him, even though I told him everything I planned on doing ahead of time, and all of it was completely legal. It stated this in my journal and in emails. Again, he took advantage of every innocent thing I did and would twist things to make my daughter think I was a crazed violent person. Something she has never seen in me before, because it’s not there and is all a fabrication of Steven’s.

Meanwhile, he's doing absolutely nothing to help settle things between us. His attempts thus far have amounted to exactly zero. He is a person who works in a church yet disobeys the teachings of the bible. His actions themselves expose him as a fraud. The church has helped cover this up. A lawsuit against Calvary Church is forthcoming.

Bold Moves

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 21.

"04. April 26, 2021---EMAIL. Email excerpt sent to Brittany about Steven "My plan is to make some bold moves to resolve things between Steven and I. For us to not have a relationship is completely unacceptable. A father should not have to stop having a relationship with his daughter so she can marry someone. This is wrong on so many levels. Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language these "bold moves" have cause much concern for me and have included him visiting my home and place of work..."

My response;

“Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language…” I have never threatened him or used any violent language. Steven has again made all of this up. Bold moves would be to do as I stated on the main page of my journal; go public with what I know about him, file a lawsuit; ask law enforcement to become involved, etc. None of the actions I mentioned were violent in nature. Steven has again fabricated all of the false claims against me about threats of violence.

See previous sections for what happened during the time(s) I visited his work and/or home (apartment). None of that was even close to being threatening. Asking a church to help move things forward towards meditation is a threat? Visiting his home to tell him he is “LOVED and FORGIVEN” is “violent language"?? It seems clear to me that Steven is either seriously delusional or acting on an evil plan to intentionally destroy my family.

Journal (falsely listed and referred to as a public website)

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24-25.

"PUBLIC DEFAMATORY WEBSITE....Respondent created a defamatory website and threatened to spread the link publicly.

My response: This was a personal and private online journal. I allowed Steven to see it one time. He took then violated copyright law by copying the entire journal. He then attempted to use it against me. This private journal did not contain any threats of violence at all. The main part of the journal contained a collection of emails that had been sent back and forth between Steven, Brittany, my wife and I, as well as some letters I had sent Brittany, and some moments of personal reflection. Again, nothing threatening violence of any type.

When Steven would not agree to meet or come together for mediation, I added a home page to the journal stating I would make this journal public if he did not work towards a resolution so I could have a relationship with my daughter. On that page I clearly stated that everything would be done legally. It also included a picture of Steven’s face with the eyes made to look dark. That was to show how much darkness I believed was in Steven in order for him to act the way he had been, and beneath it was a call to prayer. Should the private journal be made public, I would ask people to pray for him.

Again, there was no threat of violence to him or anyone else. He knew and understood this, but instead chose to twist things around to make it seem that he was an intended victim.

Exhibit / Evidence; L. Copy of private journal.

Medical Bills

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24.

"Claimed I was responsible for $350,000 of medical damages..."

My response;

I have never claimed there were/are $350,000 of medical damages or bills. I have health insurance and most of the medical bills have been paid through them. In recent years I have gone to the doctor's office and there have been concerns about cancer and my heart. During those times Steven has not shown any care or concern for my health. Not even an offer of prayer. This falls far beneath the level expected of a Christian, and especially of church faculty or a pastor.

See video @ 47:00.

More False Claims

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 26.

"...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature. In March of this year, he reported suicide ideation and holding a gun to his head, as a result of the deterioration with the relationship with his daughter....He seems unable to regulate his emotions, is willing to bring a firearm into the mix and is fixated on me being the cause of his broken relationship with his daughter. I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me. After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me. By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation."

My response;

"...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature...."

"He seems unable to regulate his emotions..."

"I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me."

"After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me."

"By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation."

The truth is that I did very briefly hold a gun up to my head at a park, within hours of a failed counseling session with my daughter. Later, I told my wife about this. We agreed I should get some help at the hospital. Once there, they suggested I stay with them for inpatient counseling, and clearly stated my stay there was completely voluntary. I agreed to stay, and over the next 24 hours worked with a mental health doctor (Dr. Brown) and her staff to develop coping skills. The following day I asked to be discharged, and the doctor agreed. She stated there were no concerns for suicide or that I would act out in a violent manner. I went to the hospital voluntarily, stayed voluntarily, and left voluntarily with no concerns that I was a threat either to myself or anyone else. Steven's statements, listed above, are completely false, fabricated, and being used as an attempt to slander and libel me causing immeasurable harm to myself and my family.

The above statements made by Steven clearly show that he is making up these terrible claims about me. There is no evidence at all that I have ever threatened him. Steven is cleverly twisting words around in an attempt to make himself appear to be a victim, and to cause harm to my family. In doing so he has also caused undue concern from the church and congregation.

False Claim About Escalating Things

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 26.

"...He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany's on 4/28/2021."

My response;

I have already addressed this in another section, but here again Steven is making up lies and fabricating things against me, with the intent to ruin my relationship my daughter Brittany, and also to cause harm to my family.

SECOND HRO

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3.

" 12. With the pending dismissal of the HRO on May 27, 2023, the Respondent has stated his intent to resume the same level of harassment which includes picketing at the place of my employment, Calvary Church, Roseville and White Bear Township. The Respondent violated Paragraph 1A of the HRO by sending threatening emails to my employer, Calvary Church, a threatening letter to me, and by sending a letter threatening me to my wife, Brittany Anderson, who also has a Harassment Restraining Order against the Respondent."

My response;

My youngest sister, who knew the HRO was about to expire, suggested that she take some action. She was doing this with good intentions. Just a few months prior, her only daughter had suddenly and unexpectedly passed away at the age of 40. I stood by her throughout this horrible ordeal. She wanted to find a way to help me with the situation concerning my daughter, Brittany. Her idea was to form a peaceful protest at Calvary church and continue doing so until they agreed to do a proper investigation. I initially said no, don't do anything. But she was insistent and even looked up the laws concerning a peaceful protest. I reluctantly agreed to go along with this idea of hers. But I made it abundantly clear that I would not participate in those protests. The most I would do is to send a letter to Steven and Calvary church explaining the intention of an upcoming protest. The letter to the church was very respectful. The letter to Steven was more pointed. Because, after all, this was all his fault. And because of this, there were many negative impacts on my life and those of my family, including my marriage of 31 years ending and we would have to give up the house we lived in for 24 years, and raised our children in.

The response I got was to be served papers that Steven and Brittany had filed for an extension of the HRO's. And requested a hearing on an emergency basis, claiming that I was such a threat that they feared for their lives, and were concerned I would go to their church and harm/murder hundreds of children. At that time, I asked my sister to abandon any intentions of protesting, and she agreed.

False Claim of Threatening Letter

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4.

"May 4, 2023---Threatening Letter to Petitioner: Respondent contacted me through my attorney threatening again to protest me at my place of employment (See Exhibit C). In his letter, Respondent threatens that the only way to prevent this from occurring is to, "…Speak up now. Once [respondent]'s plan goes into action, there is no stopping or turning back" Respondent also accuses me of telling, "bullshit lies" and ends his letter "There is one question you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out? Tick-tock." [Emphasis Added].

My response;

More false claims about my being a threat. There is nothing threatening about a peaceful protest. I even asked for his input on how to resolve this matter so I could have a relationship with my daughter. But here again he twists things around, with the help of his attorney, to make me seem like I am some violent crazed person. They even put an emphasis on the phrase "Tick-tock" as though it were a reference to a bomb. It was clearly a reference to a clock as I stated earlier in the letter that the first HRO was expiring soon. He also falsely claims the protests would be against him – when in fact I clearly

stated in the letter to the church it would be about them performing a proper investigation. How is that a threat to him, unless he is afraid of the truth coming out?

The Video

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3.

"June 2021 YouTube Video. At some point following the May 27, 2021 HRO, Respondent created a 90+ minute YouTube video “responding” to the HRO, but specifically speaking out and challenging the allegations in the Petition, even though he agreed to an entry of an HRO voluntarily without the court making findings of the alleged harassment. Respondent enlisted the help of my wife Brittany’s sister, Vanessa to film who he then had it forwarded the video to my wife. The video was originally posted on a password protected webpage on his site, his “ministry” site, not the website he was required to take down after the HRO. He has since taken down his ministry website. Attached are screenshots of the video (Exhibit E) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary."

My response;

I agreed to an entry of (the first) HRO voluntarily because their attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh told me that if I did not accept it that it would be harmful to my daughter, her reputation and her career. I accepted it out of concern and love for my daughter. Shortly afterwards several members of my family came to me asking various questions about the HRO. Because of the nature of this matter and how emotionally hard it was for me to discuss it, I enlisted the help of my youngest daughter, Vanessa and made a video where I replied to the terrible allegations made against me. I then posted it on a website on a private & unlisted page, that was additionally password protected. I then shared the page address and password with five (5) family members. I asked that they let me know when they had watched the video so I could then remove it from the private, unlisted and password protected webpage.

To be clear, there is nothing that threatens violence in that video at all. Steven’s allegations of that are completely false. He also claims that I had my daughter Vanessa forward it onto my daughter Brittany. This is also false, and he has no evidence to support this.

Nonetheless, the referee (Elizabeth A. Clydesdale) believed this to be the truth, without any evidence to support this false claim, and said I was guilty of violating the first HRO. For this and her other behavior during the 2nd HRO hearing a lawsuit is forthcoming against her.

A Danger to the Church?

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4.

" Respondent has resumed and appears to be prepared to intensify the harassment against me and my wife, Brittany upon the expiration of the HRO on May 27, 2023. Although directed towards me, his

threats of harassment involve and endanger 1,500+ congregants, including hundreds of children, at both campuses as well as the full and part time staff of both campuses. I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me or those around me."

My response;

“…intensity the harassment…” By having a peaceful protest at a church asking them to perform an investigation? A protest I would not even be attending. How does a peaceful protest endanger “…1,500+ congregants, including hundreds of children…” ?

“…. I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me or those around me."

Here again he is twisting the truth in an attempt to make me appear to be a violent crazy person who the entire church should be afraid of. Meanwhile I am spending time with my family, friends, and coworkers. None of whom have any concerns about their safety around me and think Steven’s claims are outrageous. Where is the evidence that I have ever threatened Steven, my daughter or the church? There is nothing except Steven’s twisted version of the truth. All of the letters I sent to the church were respectful, even asking them to prayerfully consider helping, and peacefully requesting their help, etc. I would never threaten any violence against a church, or it’s members. This claim is false and made-up by Steven.

Letter to the Church

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4.

"Respondent’s threatening letter sent to Calvary’s legal counsel is not only shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. His letters to Brittany demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me, and which he intends to resume his harassment on May 27, 2023."

My response:

“"Respondent’s threatening letter sent to Calvary’s legal counsel …”  What threat? I mentioned a peaceful protest and asked for them to perform an investigation.

“…shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. “ Harassment? There wasn’t any. Steven made all of that up. I am the person who tried to make peace over a dozen times. Steven is the person whose intent was to cause harm to myself and my family.

”… demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me…”

The facts clearly show that the damaged relationship with my daughter was caused by Steven. That he intentionally caused these damages, and it was also his intention to harm my family. His actions are clearly laid out in this filing, and he was further protected in his efforts by the church, a court referee and his attorney, all of whom will have lawsuits filed against them soon.

Misc.

My Response Video; 1;29;30 pulled over by the police for driving erratically

Resign email - 2 video response #11 + Exhibit / Evidence; F

“Threatening Legal Attacks” Background; 98

Various comments Background; 105

Victoria wedding. Background; 135

Relationships with other boyfriends. Background; 136

Leaving AAT and fired from Target. Background; 137

Day of the wedding; Background 40

Extra; page 1 (3 paragraphs)

HRO 2 updates; 15;35 Brittany copied and pasted parts of her filing. Admitted this to her mom.

Background; 99-102, 106 2nd HRO filed, 65

Exhibit / Evidence List; V, T

ALSO SEE: HRO 2 updates; 17:00 sees gun at the house. How is any of this justice? 18;15 how they stopped the truth at the trial.18;50 – 20;10 I was in a diminished state to defend myself.All I wanted was for the truth to be told so I could have a relationship with my daughter, and to restore peace in my family.

2 Video Overview; page Section 25

3:15 that’s what pen and paper are for. 6:59 their only evidence at trial. 7:25 seek and destroy8:00 Sunnie’s letter13;30 disrespectful questioning by their attorney. 14;45 Steven lied, his attorney acted in an unethical manner, and the judge did not care about the truth, justice or my family. 15;05 private journal description + not informed I did not have to testify.

Background;27-28, 65, 109, 114-117, 141 – 143

Background; 99 – private journal, 53

My response; 2 Video Overview; Section 19

Page 8 1/ 2

1st HRO

2 video overview Section 26

Background; 37-38

Page 8 ¾

Sheriff; Background 44, 66 – also; obtain report for Sheriff dept.

Word choices; Background 49. No one saw me doing this and he wasn't around either.

# 128. Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/HRO 2 UPDATES.txt

Extra; page 1 (2 paragraphs) + page 2

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

Prior to the 1st HRO expiring

Exhibit / Evidence List; O, P, Q Prior to the 2nd HRO being filed

Exhibit / Evidence List; U

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/HRO 2 UPDATES.txt ***

HRO 2 UPDATES

2:30 journal not a website - that's what pen and paper are for

3:15 HRO 2 filed on a emergency basis for no reason other than dramatic effect

I am a peaceful person and the victim of lies

5:23 the hidden video

6:59 their only evidence in the trial

7:25 seek and destroy mission

8:00 Sunnies letter

13:30 disprectful questioning by the attornet during the trial

14:45 Steven lied, his attorney acted in an unethical manner, and the judge did not care about truth, justice, or my family

15:05 private journal description + not informed I did not have to testify

15:35 Brittany copied and pasted parts of her first HRO filing

# 129. Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Painful Secrets Feedback.docx

Hyperexaggerated claims and outright lies

How is any of this justice?

18:15 how they stopped the truth in the trial

18:50 - 20:10 I was in a dimished state to defend myself

All I every wanted was the truth to be told so I could have a relationship with my daughter, and to restore peace in my family

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Painful Secrets Feedback.docx ***

"My daughter, Rachel Scott, was the first student murdered at Columbine High School on April 20, 1999. The book 'Painful Secrets' by Tim Hutchinson is a book every parent and student should read. It is the compelling, dramatic story of a man who found his solution through genuine personal faith. This is what carried us through the pain we suffered when Rachel was killed" -Darrell ScottFounder: Rachel's Challenge

"If I would have been able to read this book beforehand, I probably wouldn´t have shot those people. It would have helped me to learn from someone who´s life was more screwed up than mine, and was able to turn it around."

-Charles Andrew Williams, currently serving a 50 year to life sentence in a California prison after he went on a six- minute shooting spree on March 5, 2001, killing 2 students and wounding 11 others, including a teacher and a campus monitor.

"Tim Hutchinson´s story is very inspiring for me … I read this book as a concerned father and also as a police officer currently working in a public high school. In this book, Tim tells his story of being bullied and the horrible life changing road that he was on in his early years. The big difference in this story is that Tim takes the next step and digs into the "why?" and "how do we change the conditions?" for today's youth. I have seen Tim in his interactions with people and I believe he is an amazing person with an even more amazing message that needs to be heard by everybody."

-Chris Goodreau, Police OfficerBurnsville, MN

"This is a great book, it helped me learn that even if times are so unbelievably hard just to hold on and that in the end it could turn out great. I have never read a book with so much bravery and courage that the author had. I couldn't put down this book almost the whole time I was reading it! It helped me overcome some problems I have in my life and made me want to just hold on to the hope that I have left. I have a much more determined perspective in life after reading ' Life Extreme'!

-Shadai Schwartz Survivor, Columbine High School

"Great - easy to read and captivating!! I was able to relate to many of your feelings as you experienced them and expressed them. In my own life journey of going to juvenile custody at age 8 - living on the streets at age 10 - - gang banging - drug dealing - ending with a 20 year drug addiction and 21 years in prison before I was able to overcome and find redemption. Your book would have helped me tremendously...in the Special Handing Unit in a maximum security penitentiary - your words struck a cord in my very soul!!"

-Serge LeClerc Once called "The Most Dangerous Criminal in Canada" by the Canadian Royal Mounted Police

"I must applaud Tim for his courage to write this book. It was brutally honest and very thought provoking. I am going to purchase a couple of these books to share with fellow co-workers. Our agency's mission is to help prevent violence. This book should help all of us. Thank you to Tim for his help in breaking the chain of violence. I am sure this book will help many teenagers in the coming years." -Sue Serley Community Action Counsel

"Having made some choices that were not so productive, I found myself in prison - a direct result of living life similar to what Hutchinson described - trying to deal with the stigma for what I had done. I could relate to virtually everything that the author had been through ... Hutchinson not only tells his life story, he takes you on an emotional roller coaster … and let[s] you know that there is hope for those who have seen the "bottom" in life. I could not put the book down once I started reading it. If you want something that isn't full of fluff, read this book because it is real life." -Alan Lawton Omaha, NE

"Painful Secrets is very highly recommended reading for teachers and counselors - an excellent source for identifying students likely to commit acts of violence against fellow students." -Sumant Pendharkar Author of the award-winning book, "Raising Yourself: Making The Right Choices"

"Having raised five children in the Projects, I know a lot about the trouble teens can get into. Now I have a bookshelf filled with some of the world's best authors - Stephen King, Dean Koontz and others. 'Life Extreme' discloses REAL LIFE situations, is easier reading and more exciting than ANY of the others! ALL of my friends with teen-aged children will know about this book." -Linda H. Carter Lake, IA

"This book is absolutely riveting. I couldn't put it down. I plan on having my teenaged grandchildren read it. I think it will make a difference in the choices that they make in life." -Lorraine Cote Massachusetts

"A MUST READ FOR ALL EDUCATORS!!! Hutchinson 's book is a must read for all educators who work with middle and high school teens. It was impossible to put down until the last page was read. He shows a true life story of triumph over tragedy. When asked why I am in education, my usual response is to make the difference in a child's life. Imagine if we could use this book in schools, especially with our 'at risk kids'! How much more real life lessons can you ask for!! As a society, this is what could rescue so many kids from a empty life on the streets." -Jennifer S.K-12 licensed Principal

"...your story hooked me from page one. I found it to be a captivating story of redemption." -Sal Greco Actor Lecturer, Author - LEFT FOR DEAD... True story about a Pittsburgh man who 'retired' from the mob and became a minister

"He has learned for life to have meaning we must overcome self...the new way of life has altered his whole existence, the words he writes and speaks and influence he has. His words spring from the new life within him." -Max Glupter High School Science Teacher &Vietnam Veteran

"Tim Hutchinson recognizes that the home and family are in severe trouble today. It is by far the most unbelievable book I have ever read which has impacted my life beyond words. It is proof that one's personal faith can bring you to the truth of who you are and out of the depths of despair. He is a wonderful husband, and father not only to his own, but to many thousands that have heard and read what he has had to say throughout the country. It is a MUST read for everyone regardless of your age."- Ellen S. - British Royalty (Her family owns the castle where the Harry Potter movies are filmed)

"His success in turning around his life into something positive - makes for an uplifting and motivational tale that readers of all backgrounds can understand and learn from. Highly recommended. -Midwest Book Review www.midwestbookreview.com

"I'm reading the book now - great writing!!!!!! This is a wonderful story. No doubt, very hard to tell, but important. Once I finish, I'm going to suggest it as required reading at my children's high school." - Roxanne Jekot Georgia

## 130. Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Steven lawsuit.docx

"Unbelievably Candid, A Must-Read - From the minute I started reading this book I couldn't put it down…the way he came out of the horribleness of it all is simply amazing." -Jeanette K.Minnesota

"I read it through the day after it arrived, could hardly put it down. It is an amazing story. A life that has been touched by grace. I live about 20 miles from Columbine High School and was moved by the impulsion you felt to be on the scene. Much good will come from your book...a vehicle to touch hearts."

-Nancy Savage Golden, Colorado

"This was a great book. You did an awesome job. I was not a teen trouble maker, just the opposite, but your story helped me understand my dad. Haven't spoken with him in many years but now I can be OK with that."

-Michele H. Anoka, MN

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Lawsuit Against Steven Archive/Steven lawsuit.docx ***

Timothy Hutchinson3637 Bellaire Ave, Apt. 303White Bear Lake, MN 55110 Steven Anderson725 E. 4th St. Saint Paul, MN 55106

OVERVIEW

The Defendant, Steven Anderson, engaged in frequent and deliberate acts of libel, slander and other acts of defamation of character which caused a strained and subsequently nonexistent relationship with Plaintiff's daughter, and further caused Plaintiff to become divorced after 31 years of marriage, the loss of a family home of 24 years, loss of employment and suicidal ideations.

LEGAL CAUSE(S) OF ACTION

Defamation

A false or offensive statement, either verbal or written, about the plaintiff was made by the defendant

The defendant made such statement to a third party (someone other than the plaintiff)

The defendant acted negligently or with malice in making such statement

The plaintiff suffered damages, including damage to his reputation specifically caused by the defamatory statement

Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

The actions or conduct of the defendant were extreme and outrageous

The defendant acted intentionally and/or recklessly

The defendant's actions or conduct caused severe emotional distress to the plaintiff

//////////////////////////////////////////

WHAT HAPPENED / FACTS

# 131. Reasons to sue .docx

EVIDENCE

//////////////////////////////////////////

RELIEF THAT I AM REQUESTING FROM THE DEFENDANT

Judgment against the Defendant for more than $50,000.

The court to order a criminal investigation through law enforcement.

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Reasons to sue .docx ***

Reasons to File Complaints & Lawsuit(s)

Attorney

Lied to me during first HRO hearing. He said that if I did not accept the HRO and instead fought against it, that would affect Brittany negatively. He said that in the future anytime an employer or volunteer organization that she would travel internationally as a volunteer for performs a background check on her, that this would come up and disqualify her.

During that same meeting, he also said “This is what Brittany wants.” Subsequently, there were numerous times that he would say that I should just leave her alone, because contacting her was making a difficult for her to heal. Even when I suggested a possible way to work things out, he took a negative approach to this and did nothing to help our family heal.

During that same meeting, I asked that the website I had up about Steven be taken down. I specifically told him that was not a public website and that it was my private journal – one that I discreetly shared with Steven. He then said, “That’s what pen and paper are for.” So, he knew that was a private journal, but in the future he would act as though it was a public website that I used to disparage Steven. In one later email he even specifically referred to that website as a “public website.” Why would anyone refer to a website in that manner? Unless of course they know it’s private and are trying to overlook the fact that they know it was a private journal. In the second HRO, over half of their so-called evidence was that website. However, during the previous meeting when I asked for a trial, both their attorney and the referee agreed that no evidence from the first HRO was to be allowed into the HRO trial. But that didn’t happen. That private journal ended up being a primary focus of their case.

During the trial he acted rudely and was not at all interested in truth and justice. This was purely a seek-and-destroy mission for him. He introduced evidence (the website) which he knew was not an attack or threat to Steven and used that as most of the evidence presented. He was also rude to my witnesses and suggested to the court that my witnesses were lying.

He also filed court papers which presented the case in a manner which was worded to make me appear as though I was one of the worst humans on this earth (at least that's what it felt like), and went as far as to ask the HRO's be for life.

Court

First, though I was allowed to present a few witnesses (which their attorney treated harshly and poorly), when it came time for me to present my case, the referee stopped the proceedings and said she would hear closing comments before issuing a ruling. So, I was literally not allowed to present my case.

During the trial she (the referee) spoke harshly to me. Even at times when I was objecting to something their attorney was saying, she seemed to be talking down to me. I made a simple mistake in presenting my evidence before trial, and when that came up at the beginning of the trial, she spoke in a manner that could easily be viewed as condescending.

Every time I made an objection it was overruled. Every time their attorney made an objection it was sustained.

She claimed that I had violated the previous HRO by posting a video on a private website, which was password protected, and contained my side of the story for the first HRO. This was a private communication between myself and five members of my immediate family. Once they viewed the video I removed it. One of them shared the website address and password with Brittany. (And that's violation of the HRO?? So, I don't have the First Amendment Right to freedom of speech anymore?) Nothing in that video was a threat or could be perceived as harassment. None of the video was shown in court and no transcript was presented. Nonetheless, the referee claimed it was a violation of the first HRO without knowing any of the content.

My sister was prevented from reading a letter which explained the information she had to share in my defense. It is important to note that several months prior to this hearing, my sisters oldest child (and only daughter) suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Still very much in the process of grieving such a tremendous loss, she was not allowed to present her information in a manner that was easy for her, especially considering the circumstances. When I tried questioning her about the content(s) of the letter, their attorney objected to almost everything and her testimony was largely not allowed. Afterwards, their attorney called my sister a liar.

The referee allowed information that was previously agreed to not be allowed as evidence in this trial, notably my private online journal.

During the portion of the trial where they were presenting evidence, I was not informed by the court that I did not have to testify for them. In the beginning of the trial, I was sworn in and their attorney began questioning me. I feel that the court should have informed me that I have a right to refuse to be

questioned by their attorney. I felt like it was an obligation to answer their attorney's questions. If I had known that I did not have to, I would have remained silent during his questioning.

Had I been allowed to present my case, part of that would have been to ask for this matter be referred to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office for investigation and criminal proceedings. I had previously been in touch with them, and they asked for this matter to be sent to them via the civil courts.

Ways in Which I Have Been Harmed / Affected

Suicide ideations and near attempt.

Depression and loss of interest in many aspects of life.

Divorce.

Loss of house of 24 years.

Career of 30 years ended (unable to perform duties.)

Various health impacts; heart, pre-diabetic, sleep, etc.

And more…

Five reasons to report their attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh to the MN State Bar Association;

3)He suggested to the court referee that no information or evidence from the first hearing be allowed at the trial for the second hearing, but then the website (my private journal) was introduced as evidence and became the bulk of their claim against me.

4)He went along with all of the blatantly false accusations against me and threw reasoning out the window and went along with all of their hateful claims.

## 132. Sunnie Statement.docx

The reasons the referee should be reported (Judicial Misconduct Complaint) are;

1)She allowed information to be presented that she previously said would not be allowed.

2)Allowed their attorney to speak harshly about my witnesses without any evidence to prove his statements.

3)Did not explain that I was not obligated to answer any of their attorneys' questions.

4)Was not there to seek truth and ultimately justice, but instead allowed their attorney to do whatever he please, despite it being obvious I was unable to defend myself.

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Sunnie Statement.docx ***

Good morning your honor. I am here today to make a statement in support of my brother, Tim Hutchinson. I have known him all of my life, which is now more than 50 years. Nothing in these court filings comes even close to who my brother really is.

To begin, I will share with you about my only daughter, Jessica. Several months ago she suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Children should bury their parents, not the other way around. This was one of the most devastating things I've experienced in my life. In that time of enormous grief, my brother Tim rushed to my side and remained there as a steady rock for me to hold onto. Without him I don't even know how I would have made it through all of this.

My brother Tim and I are close, and we talk on the phone at least 3 or 4 times a week. At least once a week during our calls, as Tim will be talking about his daughter Brittany, and how much he loves her and wants to see her, he will cry. Not just a little, but actually sobbing. He is truly hurt by these terrible lies about him.

I know my brother had contacted several attorneys looking for a way to resolve this matter outside of a court proceeding - he wanted this to be settled peacefully and privately as a family should. His desire was for this family to come together and resolve this using mediators. The attorneys told him that it was unlikely that Steven would voluntarily agree to this, and that going to the church he worked at would be the best solution. Especially since Steven had previously talked with the church about doing mediation.

My brother had said that he tried contacting the church several times previously and they wouldn't agree to any mediation or investigation. He said he wasn't sure what the next steps were and that he was going to wait until the restraining order expires and see if they can move forward with coming together as a family and working through this.

Because we are family, and especially that he helped me so much when my daughter died, I wanted to find a way to help ease his pain. My thought was to try and get the church to agree to do an investigation or at least mediate this matter. So I contacted family members and friends to see if they would help with a protest at the church. Every person said yes, they absolutely believe Tim is innocent of these outrageous claims.

# 133. Talking Points.docx

I called my brother a third time and explained how we would act. He agreed and said that everyone there must promise to act in a calm and peaceful manner. My brother also said that he would not attend these protests, due to the lies about him being a danger to the church. He then sent an email to the church's attorney requesting they do an investigation, stating if they didn't there would be a protest.

They declined his request. He also sent an email to Steven, through his attorney letting him know what the plan was. He also offered Steven an opportunity to share what ideas he had about how to resolve this. The response was to file another restraining order against my brother.

Tim said he is going to ask for a trial, and that I should call off the protests. So I cancelled them. It's a sad day when a court needs to get involved in order for the truth to come out between family members.

Your honor, I have never heard my brother say anything about being violent or do anything illegal. Instead, he has encouraged his family to remain peaceful and calm, and to act with love and forgiveness. I'm not sure if Tim wants me to say anything about this, but Steven had approached my brother asking him to send him money to help with living expenses. My brother said yes, absolutely. And he has been sending this help every month now for the past 2 and a half years. Even though they say horrible lies about him, he still helps. That's who my brother really is - not some demon crawling out of the pit of hell as they want you to believe.

Our family looks up to Tim and respects him greatly. And we stand beside him 100% because we know who he really is.

Thank you, your honor for the opportunity to come here today and speak this truth on behalf of a great man, husband, father, brother, uncle and American citizen.

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Talking Points.docx ***

Talking Points

A. "If you want a relationship with Brittany then you need to submit to me and let me control you both." He also said in an email that if I cared about my daughter that I would "lay down everything" which to me basically says the same thing.

B. Bob Roby - Cambridge Law (Minnesota Mediation Services) My sister contacted him to try and help me because of how I stood by her after her daughter passed away. That's also why she organized the protests (which I initially said no to, and once I agreed also said that I would not be a part of it.) Mr. Roby is the person who suggested (twice) that I file a complaint against Mr. Van Loh for what Mr. Roby said was “misconduct”.

C. False claims about my harassment stemming from their wedding plans. I literally talked to Steven one time about the guest list (explain why, including her AVM), and another time when he called me, I asked if we would be able to meet his parents before the wedding. How is that a problem??

D. 2 of 3 siblings did not attend the wedding, and no one from my side of the family did either. 2 of Brittany's siblings read the HRO and know that at least some of the situations Steven described are lies

because they were present and say the event was absolutely not the way he described it at all. They also do not like him because he is rude to them.

E. Victoria got married and I did not cause any issues whatsoever. I did, however, see Brittany cry several times about how sad she was that we did not have a relationship. I was later told she actually broke down crying 8 times and left shortly after the wedding ceremony. Brittany goes to counseling every week because she is traumatized by not having a relationship with me. And all because of lies that Steven tells and Van Loh protects him!

F. Van Loh said to me that if I fought back against the HRO that would impact my daughter.... also said a tough day for a dad, and he understands that because of his daughter either recently getting married or would be getting married in the next 2 months...he also said that I was doing the right thing.

G. They have no witnesses and no evidence. I have all the witnesses and real evidence. Van Loh's actions were partly responsible for my not being able to present these at trial.

H. My witnesses include family members who read the HRO and in the parts where Steven describes an event, they realize it did not happen the way he described it - they will testify under oath that he is lying.

I. Steven asked for my help with money and I agreed. I later learned that he told Brittany that I had promised to send him money and had not done so. In fact I had sent him money - every month for 2 1/2 years!

## 134. Van Loh Letters.docx

K. Ramsey County Sheriff's Dept. "You did nothing wrong sir, you're a good good father!"

L. Jen saying "Well, if Brittany sat in jail, maybe then she would begin to realize that Steven is manipulating her."

M. 31 years of marriage, 24 years in the house, 22 year relationship with my daughter, and so much heartache my family has concerns about me possibly becoming suicidal. I ended up being homeless because of this.

N. My relationship with Jake, David Zimmerman (when Brittany broke up with him and also when he damaged my truck), and when James Anderson and how I helped when he and Vanessa became homeless (I hugged him, etc. and when Vanessa broke up with him I still paid for his hotel room and gave him money).

O. Their only evidence was my private journal and the lies Steven told and manipulated my daughter into believing. During the time when I asked for a trial, Van Loh and the judge agreed nothing from the

first HRO could be used in the trial. And that was ALL of their evidence; my private journal from the first HRO.

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Van Loh Letters.docx ***

Letter to Van Loh about settling this

September 2021.

I am considering hiring an attorney to sue Steven for the damages. Contacted the attorney who represented him and Brittany in the restraining order to see if he was still representing him (them.) This is the email exchange we had:

Hello Mr. Van Loh,

Checking to see if you are still representing Steven Anderson? I ask because I would like to give him a chance to settle our disagreement. Starting in October I will begin searching for an attorney. Once I hire legal representation, this window of opportunity will be closed.

Thank you for your attention to this matter,

Tim Hutchinson

Tim, Thank you for your email. Yes, I am still representing Steven Anderson. I appreciate your reaching out to me in an attempt to settle your disagreement. Can you please clarify for me which disagreement you wish to settle with Steven so that I can apprise him accordingly? What is your proposal for resolution or request of Steven?

I look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you,

Dan

Hello Mr. Van Loh,

My goal is a relationship with my daughter Brittany, and healing for broken relationships in my family; most notably her siblings that do not have any contact with her. As a father, this is heartbreaking to see.

Arbitration seems like a reasonable move. Right now, mediation would not offer a resolution I'd be happy with - I need accountability for past actions. At this time I cannot simply "kiss-and-makeup". My family, Brittany included, deserves to know whether Steven's accusations against me are accurate or not.

If he/they are agreeable to arbitration, then I further suggest we split the cost of the arbitration services. If the ruling is in my favor, then they should request the court to dismiss the restraining order, so Brittany and I can go to counseling to try and heal our relationship.

At this time I am not open to having a relationship with Steven. If things work out to where Brittany and I are back on speaking terms, then I can be sociable with Steven, but nothing more.

Awaiting their response,

Tim Hutchinson

Tim,

Thank you for the explanation. I'm not sure that reconciliation of a father-daughter relationship for and adult daughter is something that can be arbitrated. The accusations to which you refer have not been adjudicated because you agreed to accept the HRO without a hearing and without admitting anything. Hence, there is no public sharing of the allegations, unless you have shared Steven and Brittany's affidavits with others, otherwise the allegations remain between you and them. On this note, can you please verify that you have removed the public website that contained your disparaging comments about Steven? This was required as part of the HRO.

I'm sorry that I cannot offer a better solution, Tim. I'm sure this is difficult. I'm happy to speak with an attorney who contacts me on your behalf, but there is simply not a legal process through the HRO to force relational reconciliation with an adult child. This is not to say that there is never a hope for healing and reconciliation, just not through the legal process.

Thank you,

Dan

Mr. Van Loh,

The website you are referring to was offline before the conclusion of the HRO hearing. In fact, I had already notified the hosting company of my intent to cancel hosting prior to being originally served.

My intent in reaching out to you was to see if there was a way to handle this situation without my retaining a lawyer and suing Steven. Once I proceed in that direction there is no turning back, and it will become a publicly known matter. I firmly maintain that I am a victim and am innocent of all accusations of Steven. It is only out of a desire to peacefully restore a relationship with my daughter that I extend this one-time offer to settle things before hiring an attorney.

Regards,

Tim,

What are the damages that you are alleging and what is the legal basis for any claims? Are you just referring to the allegations in Steven's HRO petition because these allegations were repeated by Brittany as well. I'm still trying to understand what you feel that Steven has done that can be remedied for me to see if there is a solution.

Can you clarify this for me?

Dan,

As for the damages, let's start with the relationship between Brittany and I. Next there is the wedding I did not get to attend, and did not walk my daughter down the aisle. There's also the suicide attempt for which I ended up in the hospital. Other relationships have been affected, and so has my job. There have been other deep impacts on myself as well. This has been a living nightmare. And all as a result of Steven's lies.

The allegations in Steven's HRO are a lie. They are repeated in Brittany's because it appears they were copied from Steven's. At one point in Brittany's HRO it refers to "Brittany and I..." I'm convinced Brittany went along blindly with this and doesn't really know what the HRO says.

Let me be perfectly clear; I fully intend to take him to court and sue for damages resulting from his lies. It is only because I am a peaceful person that this opportunity to handle things outside a courtroom is being presented. With all due respect to you, sir, I really don't want to go back-and-forth through email with you like this. Either they want an opportunity to resolve this between us, or they are sticking with their story and not willing to move forward towards a resolution.

Thank you,

Tim Hutchinson

Tim,

Thank you for the clarification. I will discuss your proposal with Steven and get back to you. I just needed to be sure what the legal issues are so that I can advise him. Feel free to check back with me next week if I don't get back to you by next Thursday.

Mr. Van Loh,

Thank you. Hope you have an enjoyable weekend.

Kind regards,

Tim

# 135. Witnesses.docx

Checking to see if ther are any updates?

Tim

Mr. Van Loh responded saying that Steven does not want reconciliation.

*** Main Files/Lawsuit Against Steven/Witnesses.docx ***

WITNESSES

Name

Contact Info

What they know

Steve Hutchinson

Younger brother. I talk with him nearly everyday, and we are also best friends. Knows I've never mentioned using any sort of violence.

Victoria Rademacher

Oldest daughter. Not afraid of me and has never heard me threaten anyone. Was married a year ago and I walked her down the aisle.

Alex Hutchinson

Son. We hang out together and knows I haven't threatened anyone. Doesn't believe the lies in the HRO and didn't go to Brittany's wedding.

Vanessa Hutchinson

Youngest daughter. Has read the HRO several times, believes it's all lies. Did not go to Brittany's wedding.

Jake Rademacher

Son-in-law. Have known him for 10 years, and we have a great relationship. We sometimes hang out together. Has never heard me threaten anyone.

# Letters to Brittany included in Back Story

## 136. BH Letter.pdf

Ex-spouse / Mom to our 4 children. She knows basically everything and has never heard me threaten anyone. We were married over 31 years.

Sunnie Pesina

Youngest sister. I speak with her almost daily. She has heard many details of what's happening. Has never heard me threaten anyone or known me to act in any violent manner.

Pastor Al Best

Pastor of Light in Anoka Church. I have spoken with Pastor Best on numerous occasions about this situation and we have prayed together. He has also had his church pray about this as well.

*** Main Files/Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/BH Letter.pdf ***

Dear Brittany, Amazing how he has you believing that after 22 years of being an amazing father, that somehow, I have become a monster. Apparently, he has super - powers because only he can see that…and he has convinced you that his fantasy is real. I am so grateful and thankful for my children who know my heart and have stood by my side, unwavering during these difficult times. They will always have a special place in my heart. I have proven to them, over the decades, that I always put my family first. Alway s. They will not just give up on me when someone tells a lie . They came to me and asked what my side of the story was. I shared my heart and truth as best as possible, which again confirmed that I have put this family first, even when it caused me tremendo us pain (and even resulted in my being hospitalized.) They are my family, and I am so proud of them! All I have been doing has been to try and resolve things through making the truth known. His response has been to escalate his lies and twisting of the t ruth to cover his previous lies. He has done so by making even the slightest ant hill seem like a ginormous mountain. He has scrutinized every single step I have taken and found fault with it - even if that means twisting the truth, or outright lying. Qu ick example: For weeks I had been coming home and placing my handgun on the top railing for a few minutes (since the riots, doing this made your mom feel safe), entering the kitchen and unpacking my lunch bag, then taking the handgun and putting it away in the bedroom. For weeks this was a daily routine (unless someone was walking down the street towards the park when I got home - in that case I waited until later to bring it into the house, when no one was around.) One day when he was over, he noticed th e gun on the railing, and automatically assumed it was there to intimidate him. That is what he stated in the Restraining Order. Again, just a small example of how he has twisted things to fit his agenda. An agenda to strip us out of each other's lives. An d you just caved him and let him get away with this. That makes me feel like I really do not matter to you - it seems you just gave up so easily. Here I am fighting to get you back and you are blindly going along with his evil plan. He has been avoiding the truth being told. He does not want mediation because he knows the truth will come out and his evil deeds with be exposed. That is also the reason why he continues to keep you isolated from me. He

is afraid of the truth. That also explains why he blatan tly lied to Calvary about me; so they would not help with mediation, and instead have a lawyer ask me to stay away. I am no threat to them whatsoever. Yet he has them thinking I am such a threat they have supposedly hired additional security. That is how f ar he will go to cover his lies. They are a CHURCH - I am a PASTOR. They are my brothers and sisters in Christ. I would never go there and commit any sort of act of violence. Not ever. I only went to them because previously when we were going to have med iation, someone from the church was going to be the mediator. This was in no way an attempt to have him fired - they are a church; they are supposed to help people. I went there seeking their help in resolving things. Had he worked at any other place besid es a church I would have never contacted them. But he twisted this also to make it seem I was going there to somehow attack him. More lies on top of the other lies.

Remember when I said let us take a 6 - month break. That took control away from him, Once I took control away, he felt a need to take it back. That is the real reason for the Restraining Order. Why file that if I am going away for 6 months? Control. The only thing I did during that time was to come over and attempt to tell you both that you are loved and forgiven. Just one time and you would never hear from me again for at least 6 months. This is about control - he wants to control, and I am in his way. Even worse; he has you believing that I am a threat and/or danger to you. Above all else that especially troubles me!! Let me be perfectly clear on this one point: I love you. More than life itself. There is no way, and I mean literally zero chance I would ever physically hurt you. Ever. Never. You are absolutely one of the greatest things to eve r happen in my life. Period. Even the mere thought of any type of harm to you is so repulsive it makes me ill to my stomach. Literally. I know that you have been emotionally hurt - some of that is likely my fault. For that I wholeheartedly apologize. I tru ly do. It was never my intention in any way, shape, or form to cause you any kind of pain. None whatsoever. That is a promise. A solid promise from a father to his precious daughter. I pinky swear. I think this has been tremendously difficult for you. Af ter all, it is not normal to become engaged, then need therapy. What's next, medication? He has painted

a picture of a fairy tale, but after the wedding he will slowly turn it into somethin g else . There are literally thousands of stories exactly like this o n the internet about guys like him. Everything seemed almost magical. No way could he ever turn out like all the others, he special, he different, he really loves me - then they changed. And the ladies who were lucky and escaped, ended up just another stat istic with a sad story to tell and deep emotional scars. Sadly, I beli eve you will see this firsthand. Once you walk down the aisle and I am not next to you, the door of opportunity to resolve things with him closes . After that time, I will never ack nowledge him as my son - in - law. He will never call me "dad". I will never have any type of relationship with him . Something else is going to happen after the wedding: my response. I t will then be my turn, an d I will expose his lies and evil ways. You see, I have been patiently waiting to see how far he would take things – and all the while I have been trying to resolve this (apologizing, offering forgiveness, seeking mediation, etc.) I've been patient, very p atient, for a good reason; I want to be sure that I've given every chance possible to resolve this another way. I don't want to think about the "What if's" afterwards, wondering if there was another chance to settl e this. Filing the Restraining Order was enough to show me there isn't another way – I'm left with literally no choice. This entire time he has only done one thing: continue playing his game. So, remember this phrase: "He Treated Me Like a Game - Now I'm Going to Show Him How It's Played." I am fairly certain your lawyer is also reading this, so here's the disclaimer (as I've stated over and over and over again, repeatedly since this conflict began...) Nothing stated here is meant to infer or condone any illegal activities, or anything of a violent nature. I am a law - abiding citizen and will comply with all laws, including the Restraining Order.

Step #1 is to file a lawsuit against St ev en, and possibly Calvary. There will be other ( le gal ) events that will happen as well. Again, all fully legal and in co mpliance wi th the Re straining Order. B ut, that does not make them any less effective. My enti re goal is to show you, my beau tifu l daught er , the lies he has been telling. As I ' ve stated so many times before; I just want t he truth revealed! I will not stop until t ha t happens. For th e rest of my life I will not rest until you k now the truth. I promise you this. As these events unfold, you will reach a point in time when you realize that you joined the wrong team. When that happens, come home. No judgement, no 'I told you so' comments, no holding a grudge. Nothing but love is waiting for you here. That is a real father to daughter promise. We all make mistakes in life (myself included), and it is comforti ng to know we have a family that will take us back, no matter what. Because that's how real families roll. His real battle is not with me, it is with himself. He clearly does not know how to express himself or his emotions in a healthy way and as a resul t, pushed me to my limits – then blamed me for the outcome ; all the while lying about what he has done to cause the problem(s) to begin with. Then, in order for you to remain in a relationship with him, you had to hurt someone; me. Are you happy with that? Seems fair, right? I mean all you have to do is treat your dad like crap after he made you a priority in his life for 23 years. And did anything and everything he possibly could for you. Here's a hint: if you have to give that up to be with someone, then you're with the wrong guy! Especially if he's lying to keep you away from your own dad. During all of this time he has not once shown any care or compassion towards me. Zip. Zilch. Nada. Nothing. How is this Christian behavior? Answer: it's not! I've off ered love, forgiveness and multiple opportunities to resolve this. He's offered nothing except lies in an at tempt to exacerbate things. Again, that is not Christian. At all. But somehow, he just turns things around in your mind to make me the bad guy. Again, it's amazing how awesome of a relationship we had, until he came along. I now present to you some answers to the false claims made in the Restraining Order. In the interest of brevity, I've not covered everything. However, if there is

something you would like to know, sh are that with your attorney and I'll answer through him. Love, Dad

I have a challenge for you. Read this alone and do not let S teven read this. It was agreed upon in court that I got to share a letter with you. But just you. I want you to read this with your heart and meditate on it. My Response to Your Order The Kyle Situation Kyle was Vanessa's ex, who assaulted her. After he assaulted her, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa didn't want me to call the police because sh e was embarrassed. I called Kyle, and he started to verbally assault me so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified my self as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. At that point I felt the need to defen d myself, and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head

made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said “Okay, okay. I’m done” I responded, “ Never come near my daughter again.” He responded “No sir. It’s done.” So, I left. He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical – and I learned that in the future I would insist on calling the police and letting them handle the situation. The Phone Calls to Steven It was alleged that I made an increasing number of harassing calls to Steven. But I have checked my phone records, and they show that I have called Steven a total of 4 times ever. Not in a week, a month, but ever. 4 times. Acting Out? It’s claim ed that I, at one time, were stomping, slamming cabinets, and slamming doors, and raising my voice while talking about steven. I only raised my voice to you once about Steven, but only after he treated me several times very disrespectfully. I was so disapp ointed in myself for having raised my voice to you (something I’ve not done before) that I slapped the doorway as I walked out of the kitchen. I cannot slam the doors in our kitchen, there are none. I cannot slam the kitchen cabinets as they have easy clos e stoppers. I never stomp my feet as I am not a child. Inappropriate Use of My Firearm? You said I “placed the firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment towards Steven.” The truth of the matter is that I have been placing my firearm there for weeks, based on a request from your mom. It made her feel safer. Placing my firearm on the banister became an everyday routine for me. After what happened in Minneapolis with George Floyd and the protests and then the protests threatening to go to the suburbs, it made your mom feel safer to have it visible at times when I got home from work. Again, she literally asked me to do

this! One day while Steven is over he sees the handgun in its usual place, and made an incorrect assumption. Later it’s claim ed that I went to the garage to retrieve my firearm and returned looking very angry. This is not true . I am very careful when retrieving my firearm from the car. In fact, for 20+ years, you didn’t even know I had one . It was only after the events in Minneapolis that I started to display my firearm – and only at the specific request of your mom. Over the years, I have been so discreet, that when ever there are people anywhere around (going to the park, out walking, working in their yard, etc. ) , I will wait until later to retrieve the firearm from the car so I can be as to be as safe and discreet as possible. We have lived in that house for 22 years and our neighbors ha ve never seen me carrying it. I only have it out in the open inside the house, and only for a short period of time. I have since asked your mom if she was okay with me being completely discreet and she said yes. I have never waved this gun around; I have never used this firearm to threaten or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To imply that I have used my firearm to harm or threaten someone is a lie and crazy. I made it to 60 without a criminal record, but Steven want s to paint me a s this crazy man with a gun. The second time that I raised my voice, I did not know you were even home, I thought you had left with Steven. And when I did raise my voice, it lasted maybe 15 seconds. Your mom was at the top of the stairs and I was by the do or. I raised my voice towards your mom about the frustrations I was feeling with this situation. And I was shouting towards her, but not at her.

After the 15 seconds had passed, your mom came and gave me a hug and said “I can see that this is clearly hurti ng you” She said “Let’s go make supper together and have a glass of wine”; to which I responded with “Okay, the things Steven are doing and saying are bothering me.” Within 10 minutes, your mom and I were in the kitchen laughing and joking about Facebook m emes. You then claim I went to the car and returned with the gun, still visibly angry. That’s a lie. (It should also be noted that I have not raised my voice at all since then. Not once, under any circumstances. Again, just trying to make me appear to be a bad person, which I am not.) In the order it says, “The presence of his firearm in several incidences, along with his emotional instability, and refusal to respect boundaries that I’ve set in our relationship concern me that this will continue to escalat e and that the result may involve his firearm and Steven or I” To that I say: First of all to talk about boundaries - the only way I have stepped over boundaries is to try to resolve this. That’s all that I have been doing for months. The display of my hand gun, again, was because of your mom's request. That has nothing to do with the situation between you, Steven and I. Nothing. Other than raising my voice twice, there has been no outward signs of aggression or anything being escalated. This is all a complet e fabrication. Yes, this is a bold face lie. All I’ve been trying to do, repeatedly, is to have the truth come out. I believe the truth doesn’t mind being questioned, whereas lies want to hide. (Sound familiar?)

## 137. BH Response.docx

again. I felt as though the therapist was condescending from the beginning. My only goal for that session was to tell you that I loved you and to validate your pain . I know you’ve been hurt, and it’s incredibly painful to see you go through this . T he t herapist interrupted me repeatedly . When I didn’t recite things back exactly, it seemed she was a bit condescending . Half the time I didn’t look at the screen as it was too hard to see the pain on your face. I didn’t even get to say anything what I really wanted to say; that I lo ve you and to validate your feelings. At one point in time, I muted the microphone then I leaned forward to cry. You may have thought I got up and w alked around the room, but I was bent over forward crying into my hands. I truly and sincerely hurt throughout this session. Having you out of my life hurts more than my brothers dying – and still does to this very day. At this point it was clear that my message of love was not going to be conveyed to you, I became frustrated , rambled on about something and left the meeting abruptly. I think I mentioned not knowing if I wanted a relationship with you, but what I really meant was for a temporary amount of t ime. ( P.S. I did not slam my laptop close d – this laptop is too expensive to be slammed shut. ) With the thought of that session fresh in my mind. I ended up in a park later that night with a gun to my head. That’s the reason I had suicidal ideations; the pain on your face was more than I could handle. Word Choices I notice that throughout the order, words were used to misdescribe the situations. “RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP, SCREAMING”. This is noth ing more than character assassination. Can someone name even one person who’s actually witnessed me doing anything like

that? I didn't think so - because it's not true. Trying to Get an Answer I have been trying repeatedly for months to hear what Steven and your complaints/problems/concerns were, what the problems were. And no one has told me anything. It was only when I got the restraining order that I was informed of the problems. Not once before that did I know truly what the problems were as n either o f you had told me. I had called for a 6 month break, and immediately, the 2 of you filed for a restraining order. Was this because Steven thought he was losing control? The only thing I did within that 6 months was to come to you to tell you were loved and forgiven. And now I hear that Steven thought about jumping out his 2 nd story window and call 911 all because he heard the story of Kyle. Meanwhile, Jake, James and I are hanging out having a good time. Clarifications So let's talk about that story again, it was told twice in one year. Both times Vanessa started to tell the story. Both times I finished it quickly. After the second time, I leaned over to Vanessa and said "Please let's not tell this story again" And she res ponded with

"but I like to brag about you" And I said "okay thank you but let's leave this story in the past" and she said "okay dad I love you" and I said "I love you too". Both of these times, James and Jake were present. And neither of them felt threate ned by this. I did not tell this one on one with Steven. It was told in a group setting. I have since hung out m any times with Jake and James. James has even shared his working schedule with me so I can call before/after he is off work to chat. Neither of them feel threatened by me at all. You say that on February 16 th , I came home and discovered Steven's laundry, I immediately responded with anger and rage. That is not true. It was an hour later, and it was in the presence of your mom, lasting about 15 se conds, as mentioned before. I was upset, but there was mo "rage". Again, character assassination. My Military Record Charlie was the name given to me in the military as a result of ha ving an elevated security clearance. I served courageously, and sometime s heroically. I was honorably discharged. My military records are now sealed. That's all anyone need s to know. As I made it clear over the years, I really don't want to talk about my service to our country and I avoid the topic . Owatonna There is a solid, logical reason behind this event. If you heard the reason you would immediately realize it's not what it seem ed at first glance. However, I am unable to write about this, and can only share this with you verbally. Perhaps some day down the road when we can speak again. I only ask that you trust me on this. I Have Doubts Did you even write this yourself? There are at least 5 times that it is cop ied and pasted from Steven's order. Also, one thing I find interesting is that when the question is asked "Do you believe that the harassment will continue?" You respond with "The respondent has made several claims that he 'resigns' or is done with the 'conflict' yet he has continued to produce more emails, the website, etc. . There seems to be no indication this will end. He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany's on 4/28/21. " This is word for word copied from Steven's order. How much of any of this did you even write yourself? Do you even know what half your order against me says? And up until now, all I have been doing is trying to resolve this. At least I'm trying. That's more than Steven

and you have done collectively. Yet every single time I try to resolve things, Steven turns it around to make it seem like I am a violent person and a threat to him. What's even worse, is because of his lies, you think that I am a threat to yourself. As a father I would never be violent towards any one of my kids, ever. That's nonnegotiable in my mind.

I'm Not Violent I was going to take the restraining order to trial, until your attorney told me that this is what you wanted personally. At that point in time, I asked myself "Why am I trying to fix anything?" I just want to be able to go to bed at night, knowing I have d one everything I possibly could to resolve this matter peacefully. And the only reason it hasn't been, is because of Steven's lies and twisting of the truth. To date, you have not seen me respond to the damage he has done, but you will. What's especially t roubling is the fact I have to add a disclaimer to everything I write now which states "Everything I do will be accomplished by legal means" all because of Steven's lies. You know I'm not a violent person. Again, 60 years old, no criminal record. That woul dn't be possible if I was such a violent person. I'm this amazing father until Steven comes around and it's like Dr. Jekyll & Mr. Hyde that only he can see. As it only exists in his mind. And he has you convinced it's real. No one else can see it. Why? It' s not real or true. There is literally no one else that I know who thinks this of me or believes any of these lies being told. That alone speaks volumes. How I Have Handled this Emotionally You say in your order "The respondent has shown an inability to c ontrol and regulate his emotions. He has lived out delusions of a violent nature." (Again, this is copy and pasted right from Steven's order) This has been a very emotional time for me, which it would be for any father that has their daughter unfairly stri pped from their life. Considering the depth of this tragedy, aside from the regrettable evening that I held a gun to my head, I feel as though I have handled this quite well. As far as the reference of violence, this is only an illusion in Steven's mind, t hat he has gotten you to believe. No one else in my life believes this whatsoever. They have seen no signs of violence in me. Why? It is simply not real, it is just lies. How else do you explain that I went from an amazing dad, who has done a tremendous am ount of work preventing bullying in schools, has chaperoned dances and prom for more than 25 years, and even done volunteer work at a hospice center sitting with dying people who have no family or friends to sit by their side, just so that they feel loved and cared for in their last moments, to a crazy homicidal maniac who threatens violence all in just a few months? And only Steven can see it. Maybe there's another answer? Maybe he's jealous of our relationship and set out to ruin it? That's a more likely answer in my eyes. An Epic Storm Let's talk about some of the things I've mentioned - starting with an epic storm. This is a real thing. I've done two so far in my life. Everything I do is completely legal in nature. We have a wonderful legal system in this country that helps defend innocent people like myself. Without going into too many details, suffice it to say, the first person ended up in prison. After which time I started dating his wife, and every month for a year, we would mail him a picture of us t ogether. How do you know this is true? I was living with her when I met your mom. For the second time, a man

threatened our family. He was well respected in the community, had a 6 figure job, a nice home and a family. In a very short period of time, again using all legal means, he lost all of that. Afterwards, sitting alone in a motel outside of town, he called me and said “It’s over, you won!” I said “No, it’s not over. There are 3 more steps left.” He begged, “Please, no. I have 2 daughters.” I responded, “If you ever come near my family again, or come near our home again, I will finish what I started.” He responded , “I’m gone.” Want to know if this is true? Ask your mom, she knows. You see here again that Steven is twisting things to seem like I'm this c razy, violent man. But I know how to defend my family using legal means. I prefer to live in peace, which is why I use the phrase “If you want peace, prepare for war.” This just means to be prepare d to defend yourself against people who want to take that p eace away, which I am fully prepared to do through legal means. Steven has been rude to me, disrespectful, twisting my words, and pulling you out of my life. “Prepare for war” simply meant to stop what he was doing or prepare for legal consequences. The “E pic Storm'' and “Prepare for war” was a deterrent to stop him from doing what he was doing, which he didn’t. My Books Let's set the record straight. Steven had heard that I had written several books. I said I had. He said he would be interested in reading them. So , I went into the other room and g ot a copy of each for him. He said “Oh okay.” And we changed the subject. It was after that we hung out and he mentioned he read my books. He only wanted to talk about the miracles in ‘Casting Out Doubt’, not my memoir. You know all the good things that have come from writing this book. I have given out hundreds of copies and no one has ever felt threatened by it. Final Thought About Your Order And lastly, your restraining order was centered around a claim of domestic violence. I (regrettably) raised my voice around you, but how is that violent? The a nswer ; it is not. It doesn’t even rise to the level of bullying. Therefore, your restraining orde r was based around a false premise to begin with. I think it was filed that way just for dramatic effect. That rises to the level of bearing false witness against your neighbor, just like Steven's. My Response to Steven’s Order He says that I am unable to control my emotional response. Unable to control my emotional response? He was trying to talk down to me, and I was telling him to not talk down to me. He was being disrespectful to me. He is a 25 - year - old man and I am a 60 - year - old father. He does not ha ve the right to talk down to me. From the beginning, all along was to control me. If he can’t control me, he wants to make me look like a terrible person. Every time I have tried to stand up for myself, he has turned it around to seem like I am aggressive or violent. He was also rude to Alex, Vanesaa, and my friends Heather and Henry. They all told me independently that Steven acted very rudely towards them, and all 4 are willing to make written statements about how poorly he treated them. Interestingly eno ugh, Alex and Vanessa do not know Heather and Henry, so there was not, nor could be, any collusion.

My Letters to the Church About my letter to the church and that it was a threat to him. I went to the church to ask them politely and calmly to ask if they would help resolve this peacefully and without conflict. Along with the letter, I included the history of the challenges we have faced in our relationship.

This documentation included emails and texts we had sent between each. Nowhere in any of the docume ntation did it reference any violence or threats. This was sent to the senior pastor only, in confidentiality, and he never responded. Anyone reading this letter would ask “How is this defamatory?” Steven twisted things around, again. As for the second letter, the senior pastor did not respond, so this was addressed to the elders at the church. Nowhere in any communication do I ask to terminate his employment. All I have ever asked was that they get involved in the conflict. As far as showing up “ unannounced”, I left 2 voicemails for the senior pastor the week before. As far as me being “uninvited”, I went into the lobby, rang the buzzer, and asked if I could leave the package there. I left the package in the “Leave Deliveries Here” area (the same as UPS or FedEx would) . I then went back out to my car peacefully. Also “uninvited”? How can someone not be invited to a church?” P.S. At the time I wrote this letter, there were markers that were off that led doctors to believe that I might have cancer. I had to go through numerous tests, including a colonoscopy. Along the way, the real problem was discovered after I was taken to the emergency room by my wife after being in excruciating pain one night. Once there, after going through some more tests, they discovered the real problem. For privacy reasons I will not disclose what the problem is. I have since been undergoing treat ment (and as of June 4 th , 2021 continue to be under the care of doctors for this condition) . In conclusion, there was a serious conc ern that I had cancer. Fortunately, it was not cancer, but is still of great concern, and I am thankful for the medical community in helping through this difficult time. I say in the letter that I am prepared to make some bold moves. I went to the church to ask them to help. I wanted to get mediation. Bold moves - asking you over to have cheesesteaks and talk about what each other needs to resolve the issues. Nowhere have I threatened anyone. The Website The website was intended as a warning. Nothing more t han a warning. Yet again he has twisted things around to seem that I am going to use violence against him, even though I clearly state that everything will be done in a legal manner and not a violent one. I also had the website coded so that search engines will not pull it up or catalog it. I did that intentionally to protect privacy. It was a warning that if he continues or tries to pull anything else like what he has done to me, the site would go live. Even the screenshot Steven used in the filing says, “ To be perfectly clear, everything listed above will be accomplished through legal means, I do not condone violence or any illegal activities. I

am an otherwise peaceful person who has been unfairly put in a position of having to fight back for his family.” How does that say that I am a violent man? It doesn’t! His Claim about My Gun His whole claim about me, my gun, and him - it is baseless. All these allegations of me using my gun for a violent purpose is something he invented in his own mind. And he has ca used undue concern to that church, both campuses, because of his lies. Name one time that he has seen the handgun in my hand. He has never! He is doing this to get my daughter out of my life, precisely. FYI A bout a week before we had our court meeting, I spoke to David (your ex) . He said when you and Steven first broke up, you started texting and calling him trying to get back with him. Does this mean Steven is forever your

second choic e? He also mentioned the issue with Alex Rodriguez . But the bottom line here is that David clearly said that I treated him wonderfully and it was truly a sad day when we said good - bye for the last time. I honestly wish you were marrying David, or someone like him. He is awesome.

*** Main Files/Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/BH Response.docx ***

Brittany,

Amazing how he has you believing that after 22 years of being an amazing father, that somehow, I have become a monster. Apparently, he has super-powers because only he can see that…and he has convinced you that his fantasy is real. I am so grateful and thankful for my children who know my heart and have stood by my side, unwavering during these difficult times. They will always have a special place in my heart. I have proven to them, over the decades, that I always put my family first. Always. They will not just give up on me when someone tells a lie. They came to me and asked what my side of the story was. I shared my heart and truth as best as possible, which again confirmed that I have put this family first, even when it caused me tremendous pain (and even resulted in my being hospitalized.) They are my family, and I am so proud of them!

All I have been doing has been to try and resolve things through making the truth known. His response has been to escalate his lies and twisting of the truth to cover his previous lies. He has done so by making even the slightest ant hill seem like a ginormous mountain. He has scrutinized every single step I have taken and found fault with it - even if that means twisting the truth, or outright lying.

Quick example: For weeks I had been coming home and placing my handgun on the top railing for a few minutes (since the riots, doing this made your mom feel safe), entering the kitchen and unpacking my lunch bag, then taking the handgun and putting it away in the bedroom. For weeks this was a daily routine (unless someone was walking down the street towards the park when I got home - in that case I waited until later to bring it into the house, when no one was around.)

One day when he was over, he noticed the gun on the railing, and automatically assumed it was there to intimidate him. That is what he stated in the Restraining Order. Again, just a small example of how he has twisted things to fit his agenda. An agenda to strip us out of each other's lives. And you just caved him and let him get away with this. That makes me feel like I really do not matter to you - it seems you just gave up so easily.

Here I am fighting to get you back and you are blindly going along with his evil plan. He has been avoiding the truth being told. He does not want mediation because he knows the truth will come out and his evil deeds with be exposed. That is also the reason why he continues to keep you isolated from me. He is afraid of the truth. That also explains why he blatantly lied to Calvary about me; so they would not help with mediation, and instead have a lawyer ask me to stay away. I am no threat to them

whatsoever. Yet he has them thinking I am such a threat they have supposedly hired additional security. That is how far he will go to cover his lies.

They are a CHURCH - I am a PASTOR. They are my brothers and sisters in Christ. I would never go there and commit any sort of act of violence. Not ever. I only went to them because previously when we were going to have mediation, someone from the church was going to be the mediator. This was in no way an attempt to have him fired - they are a church; they are supposed to help people. I went there seeking their help in resolving things. Had he worked at any other place besides a church I would have never contacted them. But he twisted this also to make it seem I was going there to somehow attack him. More lies on top of the other lies.

Remember when I said let us take a 6-month break. That took control away from him, Once I took control away, he felt a need to take it back. That is the real reason for the Restraining Order. Why file that if I am going away for 6 months? Control. The only thing I did during that time was to come over and attempt to tell you both that you are loved and forgiven. Just one time and you would never hear from me again for at least 6 months. This is about control - he wants to control, and I am in his way. Even worse; he has you believing that I am a threat and/or danger to you. Above all else that especially troubles me!!

Let me be perfectly clear on this one point: I love you. More than life itself. There is no way, and I mean literally zero chance I would ever physically hurt you. Ever. Never. You are absolutely one of the greatest things to ever happen in my life. Period. Even the mere thought of any type of harm to you is so repulsive it makes me ill to my stomach. Literally. I know that you have been emotionally hurt - some of that is likely my fault. For that I wholeheartedly apologize. I truly do. It was never my intention in any way, shape, or form to cause you any kind of pain. None whatsoever. That is a promise. A solid promise from a father to his precious daughter. I pinky swear.

I think this has been tremendously difficult for you. After all, it is not normal to become engaged, then need therapy. What's next, medication? He has painted a picture of a fairy tale, but after the wedding he will slowly turn it into a living hell. There are literally thousands of stories exactly like this on the internet about guys like him. Everything seemed almost magical. No way could he ever turn out like all the others, he special, he different, he really loves me - then they changed. And the ladies who were lucky and escaped, ended up just another statistic with a sad story to tell and deep emotional scars. Sadly, you will see this firsthand.

Once you walk down the aisle and I am not next to you, the door of opportunity to resolve things with him closes - permanently. After that time, I will never acknowledge him as my son-in-law. He will never call me "dad". I will never have any type of friendly relationship with him, ever. Maybe years down the road we might reach a point where we can both be at a family function and act civil. But that is a long way off. In other words, he will permanently be on my "shit list".

Something else is going to happen after the wedding: my response. I will give you ninety (90) days to enjoy your honeymoon, then amateur hour is over. After that time, it will be my turn, and I will expose his lies and evil ways. You see, I have been patiently waiting to see how far he would take things – and all the while I have been trying to resolve this (apologizing, offering forgiveness, seeking mediation, etc.) I've been patient, very patient, for a good reason; when I respond it's going to be profound. I want to be sure that I've given every chance possible to resolve this another way. I don't want to think about the "What if's" afterwards, wondering if there was another chance to settle this. Filing the Restraining Order was enough to show me there isn't another way – I'm left with literally no choice.

This entire time he has only done one thing: continue playing his game. So, remember this phrase:

"He Treated Me Like a Game - Now I'm Going to Show Him How It's Played."

I am fairly certain your lawyer is also reading this, so here's the disclaimer (as I've stated over and over and over again, repeatedly since this conflict began...)

## 138. Brittany 12-7-2021.pdf

As these events unfold, you will reach a point in time when you realize that you joined the wrong damn team. When that happens, come home. No judgement, no 'I told you so' comments, no holding a grudge. Nothing but love is waiting for you here. That is a real father to daughter promise. We all make mistakes in life (myself included), and it is comforting to know we have a family that will take us back, no matter what. Because that's how real families roll.

## 139. Brittany August 22 2021.pdf

During all of this time he has not once shown any care or compassion towards me. Zip. Zilch. Nada. Nothing. How is this Christian behavior? Answer: it's not! I've offered love, forgiveness and multiple opportunities to resolve this. He's offered nothing except to exacerbate things. Again, that is not Christian. At all. But somehow he just turns things around in your mind to make me the bad guy. Again, it's amazing how awesome of a relationship we had, until he came along.

I now present to you some answers to the false claims made in the Restraining Order. In the interest of brevity, I've not covered everything. However, if there is something you would like to know, share that with your attorney and I'll answer through him.

## 140. Brittany Letter.txt

Dad

*** Main Files/Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Brittany 12-7-2021.pdf ***

Brittany, I have a heart condition. This isn't exactly the way I wanted to tell you but thought you should know. I first became aware after having chest pains that radiated both into my left arm as well as my left shoulder. After a trip to the ER, a cardiologist visit and testing (including a heart scan) they discovered the problem. About a year ago I had some routine tests done just to check where I was at as a 60-year- old, and my heart was perfectly fine. Since then, the results are 247% worse. They are telling me this is stress related. At this level it's treatable. If I do nothing and it progresses to the next level, well, I don't want to think about that. If you have any doubts about the authenticity of what I am saying, ask your mom. She was at the ER with me; she met the cardiologist; was there during the testing and read the results. It's real. This past year has been the worst year of my life. By far. I sleep, at most, 3 hours per night. Most nights I lay awake in bed between 1 - 4 AM thinking sad thoughts. But none of that is your fault. Not any of it. In fact, and I want you to know this; you don't owe me an apology or any explanation for your actions. Not at all. You've not done anything wrong. You are truly a wonderful person, and I am still very proud of you. I've been patient, peaceful and prayerful. My reward has been a heart condition. Sorry I had to tell you this way. Love you, Dad

*** Main Files/Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Brittany August 22 2021.pdf ***

Hi Brittany, Been a while and I wanted you to know that I think about you every day. Literally. Not surprising considering how much I love you. Sometimes I ask mom how your headaches are - truly feel badly that you have those so much. Wish there was something that could be done. A goal of mine is to lose weight. There is a lady on Facebook who's always sharing pics of others who are losing a lot of weight. I mean, their transformation is incredible. So, I reached out to her and she let me know about the plan. Talked with your mom and she said that she'd join as well to be supportive of my goal. After the first week I lost 7 lbs! Out of curiosity I looked up the ingredients to the pre-packaged foods we were eating. Turns out every meal has a lot of calcium in it. That's bad for me due to being very prone to kidney stones (yikes!) So now am off the plan - however, your mom was/is losing weight and decided to remain on it. So, it worked out for her and I'm happy about that. I going to figure something else out - likely all salads and daily trips to the gym :-) Won't end up looking like a body-builder, but that's OK, I just want to look in the mirror and be comfortable with how I look. So, your mom and I have been discussing my retirement, and decided it can happen in November of 2022. It's going to be so weird waking up and not having to run off to a job. I've been working over 40 years now - most of it in the same industry. I hope things are worked out by then so my whole family can come to the retirement party. That would mean a lot! After retirement I'm going to increase the amount of volunteering I do and will likely start up again at the hospice. If I ever become a grandpa, I'll open up "Grandpa Day Care" for my grandkids (free of course!) The ministry is getting closer to launching. My gosh, it's been a long time in the making, right?! But it WILL happen! I feel blessed that we hired a consulting firm - they have helped the ministry move forward by leaps and bounds. If things continue going forward at the pace they're on now, the launch could happen as soon as next month. Otherwise, October would be the

absolute latest. Just thinking about all the people this ministry will reach for Christ quite literally gets me teary-eyed. This has been at the forefront of my daily efforts for months and months. When it finally happens, I'll be overcome with joy!!

Once the ministry is launched, there is something I've been wanting to do for a long time; fill out the "My Dad - in his own words" book you gave me. It sits on a ledge in front of my desk, and I look at it every day. If you ever have children, I hope you'll let them read it. I want them to know how much I love my kids, and how much joy they brought into my life, despite the challenges. That's it for now. Going back to copywriting and moving through the action steps my coach gave me. Love you, Dad

*** Main Files/Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Brittany Letter.txt ***

A personal letter from an old father to his beautiful daughter:

I've come to a place in my life path where I think it's a good idea for us to take a break. Instead of my waiting for you to decide when we can have a relationship again (or not).

I'm taking a break; from waiting.

From someone else controlling my happiness.

From someone else deciding if I should have a good day or not.

From someone else making me feel like I'm a good person or not.

How long of a break?

6 months.

You see Brittany, I've been patient even though I too feel wronged by everything that's happened. My voice was literally not heard. Yet I am being blamed for everything. In our country I have the right to face my accuser (which never happened), the right to hear the charges, (which no one can clearly state - saying "you broke the rules of engagement" does not clarify how), the right to defend myself (which isn't being allowed), and the right to be presumed innocent until proven guilty (clearly that's not happening).

Instead, there are many things being thrown out - things that can easily be explained.

His face on the website with dark eyes? That's actually from a poem by Shakespeare.

Open carrying the gun? Your mom asked me to do that because in light of the violence in Mpls it made her feel safer.

The website? I had to. There was no other clear choice, (you could have asked instead of making assumptions).

These things would all make sense if there was communication, instead of them being used to further drive us apart.

Brittany, you are a young lady. I am an older man. A married man. With children. Your options are different than mine. I have a responsibility to protect my wife. To protect our children. To protect our home and way of life. That's my job. I don't have all the freedoms of decision that you do.

Your experiences with Steven are also different than mine. Yet you choose to judge me through the lens of a younger lady engaged to someone, with whom I've had different experiences, and a lens focused on my family.

Example: When Steven spoke harshly to me on the phone (which did happen - I will swear to that even on my deathbed), and he used that to begin driving a wedge between you and I, then I had decisions to make. I tried having a meeting with him, many times, to seek clarity on things. But he refused and made many excuses to not meet. That left many unanswered questions. As the head of this household and family, I cannot leave open gaps like that - so, as your mom said, I "filled in the gaps" and oftentimes with the worse case scenario - because that is what keeps our family the safest.

## 141. Brittany.pdf

I love you more than life itself. And I'm truly sorry, but I'm simply not going to allow my heart, emotional well being and good name to be dragged through the mud any more. So, I'm calling for a break. 6 months.This should take the pressure off of you. No need to think about me while you are adjusting to married life. After that time if you want a relationship with me, say something. Otherwise, I'll assume you don't.

## 142. Dear Brittany 3-28-2021.docx

I wish you love, light and happiness.

Dad

P.S. You don't have to wonder whether typing / sending this was easy for me or not. It was pure hell. But it seems to be my only move that might bring you some relief. You're not talking to me, so here again I have to fill in the blanks. And I'm just over here trying to figure out how to make things easier for you. That's what love does.

P.P.S Happy belated birthday, DG. Yesterday was the first time I've missed any of my kids birthdays. 4 kids and 93 birthdays so far. And I had to miss one. Tough day for an old dad.

*** Main Files/Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Brittany.pdf ***

Hi Brittany, Well, today is my birthday and all I really want is to say that I love you. Very much. Every day I think about you. Literally every day. And I miss you more than you know. I haven't smiled in months. Just can't. I am so sad that I cannot talk to you, hug you, or have us spend time together. At Victoria's wedding I saw you crying. It was really hard for me to not come hug you. But I couldn't do that because if I had, then I would be in violation of the restraining order and could possibly have gone to jail. Then I wouldn't have been able to walk Victoria down the aisle. Seeing you so sad really hurt me. In fact, several times I went into the bathroom and cried. For real. I hoped later in the evening we would encounter each other on the dance floor and possibly have a dance together. That would have been amazing. But I understand you had to leave early. I know you've been hurt, and I am so sorry for my role in that. The restraining order is 3/4 of the way over. In about 6 months it will be done. Perhaps we should go to some counseling to prepare for us to have a relationship again. I'm open to working things out with Steven as well, but he and I will have to figure that out ourselves. Well, I love you and pray for you often. I hope this is the last birthday I'll ever have to spend without you. Love, Dad

*** Main Files/Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Dear Brittany 3-28-2021.docx ***

Dear Brittany,

I'd like to take a few moments and share my heart with you. Lots has been going on and my heart is heavy. First, I want to say that I love you – deeply, profoundly and forever. You are literally one of the best things that has ever happened in my life. I cannot imagine living without you, and recent times are cutting deep into me.

## 143. Letter to Britt.docx

Just thinking about all the pain you're experiencing pushes me deeper into a dark place – one in which I worry about you every day. Literally. And cry for you – everyday.

Looking back, I'm not sure how things evolved to the point where you were so involved, but that's never anything I wanted. This is between he and I, and it's up to us to work towards resolving things. I know you and Steven are working towards a life together but know this; you don't have to fight his battles. That's never your place. I'm speaking as your father and from 29 years of marriage. Please step aside and let Steven handle his problem(s). And this is one of them.

Brittany, what would it look like if you had a relationship with both of us? Instead of choosing sides, you stepped aside and encouraged us to work out our differences. When you are with each of us, you wouldn't talk about this situation, but instead tell us to go work it out. In that way you benefit from not only having a relationship with your finance, and your father, but this would also minimize any stress you feel – and would put that responsibility back where it belongs; between Steven and I. This would help move us towards a peaceful resolution.

One thing is for sure; things cannot remain the same any longer. Look at where it's gotten us; you're no longer speaking to me and are clearly stressed. I ended up alone, in the dark, crying and holding a gun to my head. Does that seem OK? Unless something changes, I am concerned that I may end up back in that place again. Only next time, things might not end the same.

I appeal to you as my daughter, a child I played a role in creating (literally), and to whom I put first in my life, every time and in everything; please stop this. The time to let healing begin is long overdue.

Dad

*** Main Files/Letters to Brittany included in Back Story/Letter to Britt.docx ***

I have a challenge for you. Read this alone and not let steven read this. It was agreed upon in court that I got to share of letter with you. But just you. I want you to read this with your heart.

The Kyle Situation

Kyle- this is Vanessa's ex, who assaulted her. He assaulted her, and Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. Vanessa did want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. I called Kyle, and her started to verbally assault me so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So I went to Kyle's apartment in person. I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified myself as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah and if I wanted to I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me back with both hands. At that point I felt the need to defend myself, and struck him twice. And assumed a self defense stance I had learned in the military. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay okay I'm done" I responded "If you ever come near my daughter again, I will come back and finish what I started." He responded "No sir its done" So I left.

The Phone Calls to Steven

You allege that I made several calls to Steven. But I have checked my phone records, and they show that I have called Steven a total of 4 times ever. Not in a week, a month, but ever. 4 times.

Acting Out?

You claim that I, at one time, were stomping, slamming cabinets, and slamming doors, and raising my voice while talking about steven. I only raised my voice to you once about steven, but only after he treated me several times very disrespectfully. I slapped the doorway as I walked out of the kitchen. I cannot slam the doors in our kitchen, there are none. I cannot slam the kitchen cabinets as they have easy close stoppers. I never stomp my feet as I am not a child.

Inappropriate Use of My Firearm?

You said I “placed the firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment towards steven. The truth of the matter is that I have been placing my firearm there for weeks, based on a request from your mom. It made her feel safer. Placing my firearm on the banister became an everyday routine for me. After what happened in Minneapolis with George Floyd and the protests and the protesters threatening to go to the suburbs, it made your mom feel safer to have it visible at times when I got home from work.

Later you claim that I went to the garage to retrieve my firearm and returned looking very angry. This is a lie. I am very careful when retrieving my firearm from the car. In fact, for 20+ years, you didn’t even know I had a firearm. It was only after the events in Minneapolis that I started to display my firearm.

Over the years, I am so discrete, there are people directly across the street that I will wait to grab my firearm from the car. I wait until no one is around to retrieve my firearm from the car as to be as safe and discrete as possible. I have since asked your mom if she was okay with me being completely discrete and she said yes. I have never waved this gun around, I have never used this firearm to threatened or to harm anyone. I am a very responsible gun owner. To infer that I have used my firearm to harm or threatened someone is a lie and crazy. I made it to 60 without a criminal record, but you guys want to paint me as this crazy man with a gun.

The second time that I raised my voice, I did not know Britt was home, I thought she had left with steven. It lasted maybe 10 seconds. And I was shouting towards her, but not at her. After the 10 seconds had passed, your mom came and gave me a hug and said “I can see that this is clearly hurting you” She said lets go make supper together and have a glass of wine, I responded with okay, the things steven are doing and saying are bothering me. Within 10 minutes, your mom and I were in the kitchen laughing and joking about Facebook memes. You then claim I went to the car and returned with the gun, still visibly angry. That’s a lie.

The Night You Moved Out

You then went to a friend’s house and a few days later moved out. You were so afraid of me, that the night you moved, you came back because you forgot something. I was in the bedroom with your mom. I heard something moving around in the house, so I went out into the hallway to see what was going on. When I noticed it was you I said hi. You gave me a hug and said “I love you dad.” I said “I love you too.” I asked if you were okay, and you said yes. I then said, “It’s kind of weird because this is the first time you have moved and I didn’t help you.” You smiled and said, “Yeah, it is kind of weird.” YOU SMILED AND SAID YEAH ITS KINDA WEIRD. You said you had to go, and I said, “Okay, have a good night.” This is the day you moved out because you were supposedly afraid of me. How does that say you are afraid of me?

The Last Page

It's interesting that when Steven came up with this order, in his evidence, he left out the last page of my website where it talks about you guys being loved and forgiven. LOVED AND FORGIVEN. But that would go against his order claiming I am this crazed violent man.

The Therapy Session

You approached me to go to therapy with you. Before you, your therapist, and I got together for a meeting, the therapist wanted to get together with me alone in a zoom type meeting. She was rude, condescending, and I really did not feel as though she cared about this topic. It was very frustrating talking to her. Nonetheless, I said any therapy sessions I was involved in I would pay for and gave her my credit card number so you would not be burdened with that. What no one, not even the therapist, knows is that I was not alone in that room. When the session with just me and the therapist was scheduled, I asked your mom to be in the room with me. She sat off to one side, out of view to the camera. She witnessed how stressful this was for me. When you and I had our session with the therapist, it was immediately stressful again. I felt as though the therapist was condescending from the beginning. My only goal for that session was to tell you that I loved you and validate your pain. Therapist interrupted repeatedly. Half the time I didn't look at the screen as it was too hard to see the pain on your face. I didn't even get to say anything. Not even that I loved my own daughter and I validate her feelings. At one point in time, I muted the microphone then I leaned forward to cry. You may have thought I got up and walked around the room, but I was bent over forward crying into my hands.

At this point it was clear that my message of love was not going to be conveyed to you, I became frustrated and left the meeting abruptly.

P.S. I did not slam my laptop close, my laptop is too expensive to be slammed shut.

With the thought of that session fresh in my mind. I ended up in a park later that night with a gun to my head as a result of that session.

Word Choices

I notice that throughout the order, words were used to misdescribe the situations. "RAGE, ANGER, IMPATIENCE, SLAM, STOMP, SCREAMING". This is nothing more than character assassination.

I have been trying repeatedly for months to hear what their complaints/problems/concerns were, what the problems were. And no one has told me anything. It was been in the restraining order. Not once before that did I know truly what the problems were as no one had told me. I had called for a 6 month break, and immediately, the 2 of you filed for a restraining order. Was this because steven thought he was losing control? The only thing I did within that 6 months was to come to you to tell you were loved and forgiven. And now I hear that Steven thought about jumping out his 2nd story window and call 911 all because he heard the story of Kyle. So lets talk about that story again, it was told twice in one year.

Both times Vanessa started to tell the story. Both times I finished it quickly. After the second time, I leaned over to Vanessa and said “Please lets not tell this story again” And she responded with “but I like to brag about you” And I said “oaky thank you but lets leave this story in the past” and she said “okay dad I love you” and I said “I love you too”. Both of these times, James and Jake were present. And neither of the, felt threatened by this. I did not tell this one on one with Steven. It was told in a group setting. I have since hung out multiple times with Jake, and several times with James as well. James has even shared his working schedule with me so I can call before/after he is off work to chat. Neither of them feel threatened by me at all. In fact, both have offered to write a letter, in support of me, for court.

Never speak about Charlie again. Ever. That was the name given to me in the military. I had an elevated security clearance. I served courageously, and sometimes heroically. I was honorably discharged. My military records are now sealed. That’s all you need to know.

You say that on February 16th, I came home and discovered Steven’s laundry, I immediately responded with anger and rage. That is not true. It was an hour later, and it was in the presence of your mom, lasting about 10 seconds, as mentioned before.

Did you even write this yourself? There are at least 5 times that it is copy and pasted from Steven’s order. Also, one thing I find interesting is that when the question is asked “Do you believe that the harassment will continue?” You respond with “The respondent has made several claims that he ‘resigns’ or is done with the ‘conflict’ yet he has continued to produce more emails. The website, etc.. There seems to be no indication this will end. He continues to escalate the harassment, demonstrated by showing up at both my apartment complex and Brittany’s on 4/28/21.” This is word for word copied from Steven’s order. How much of any of this did you even write yourself? Do you even know what half your order against me says? And up until now, all I have been doing is trying to resolve this. At least I’m trying. That’s more than Steven and Britt have done collectively. Yet every single time I try to resolve things, Steven turns it around to make it seem like I am a violent person and a threat to him. What’s even worse, is because of his lies you think that I am a threat to yourself. As a father I would never be violent towards any one of my kids, ever. That’s non negotiable in my mind.

I was going to take the restraining order to trial, until your attorney told me that this is what you wanted personally. At that point in time, I asked myself “Why the hell am I trying to fix anything?” I just want to be able to go to bed at night, knowing I have done everything I possibly could to resolve this matter peacefully. And the only reason it hasn’t been, is because of Steven’s lies and twisting of the truth. To date, you have not seen me respond to the damage he has done, but you will. What’s especially troubling is the fact I have to add a disclaimer to everything I write now which states “Everything I do will be accomplished by legal means” all because of stevens lies. You know I’m not a violent person. Again, 60 years old, no criminal record. That wouldn’t be possible if I was such a violent person. I’m this

amazing farther until steven comes around and its like Dr. Jekyll & Mr. Hyde that only he can see. As it only exists in his mind. And he has you convinced its real. No one else can see it. Why? Its not real or true.

You say in your order “The respondent has shown an inability to control and regulate his emotions. He has lived out delusions of a violent nature.” This has been a very emotional time for me, which it would be for any father that has their daughter unfairly stripped from their life. Considering the dept of this tragedy, aside from the regrettable evening that I held a gun to my head, I feel as though I have handled this quite well. As far as the reference of violence, this is only an illusion in Steven’s mind, that he has gotten you to believe. No one else in my life believes this whatsoever. They have seen no signs of violence in me. Why? Because it is simply not real, it is just lies. How else do you explain that I went from an amazing dad, who has done a tremendous amount of work preventing bullying in schools, has chaperoned dances and prom for more than 25 years, and even done volunteer work at a hospice center sitting with dying people who have no family or friends to sit by their side, just so that they feel loved and cared for in their last moments, to a crazy homicidal maniac who threatens violence in just a few months, and only Steven can see it. Maybe there’s another answer? Maybe he’s jealous of our relationship and set out to ruin it? That’s a more likely answer in my eyes.

Let’s talk about some of the things I’ve mentioned- starting with an epic storm. This is a real thing. I’ve done two so far in my life. Everything I do is completely legal in nature. WE have a wonderful legal system in this country that helps defend innocent people like myself. Without going into too many details, suffice it to say, the first person ended up in prison. After which time is started dating his wife, and every month for a year, we would mail him a picture of us together. How do you know this is true? I was living with her when I met your mom. For the second time, a man threatened our family. He was well respected in the community, has a 6 figure job, a nice home and a family. In a very short period of time, again using all legal means, he lost all of that. Afterwards, sitting alone in a motel outside of town, he called me and said “It’s over, you won!” I said “NO its not over. There are 3 more steps left.” He begged please no I have 2 daughters. I responded, “If you ever come near my family again, or come near us again, I will finish what I started.” He responded “I’m gone.” Want to know if this is true? Ask your mom, she knows. You see here again that steven is twisting things to seem like im this crazy violent man. But I know how to defend my family using legal means. I prefer to live in peace, which is why I use the phrase “If you want peace, prepare for war.” This just means to prepare yourself to defend yourself against people who want to take that peace away, which I am fully prepared to do through legal means. Steven has been rude to me, disrespectful, twisting my words, and pulling you out of my life. “Prepare for war” simply meant to stop what he was doing or prepare for legal consequences. The “Epic Storm” and “Prepare for war” was a deterrent to stop him from doing what he was doing, which he didn’t.

You also said in your order “The presence of his firearm in several incidences, along with his emotional instability, and refusal to respect boundaries that I’ve set in our relationship concern me that this will continue to escalate and that the result may involve his firearm and Steven or I” To that I say: First of all to talk about boundaries- the only way I have stepped over boundaries is to try to resolve this. That’s all that I have been doing for months. The display of my handgun, again, was because of your moms request. That has nothing to do with the situation between you and steven and I. Nothing. Other than raising my voice twice, there has been no outward signs of aggression or anything being escalated. This is all a complete fabrication. Yes, this is a bold face lie.

Lets set the record straight. Steven had heard that I had written several books. I said I had. He said he would be interested in reading them. So I went into the other room and grabbed a copy of each for him. He said “Oh okay.” And we changed the subject. It was after that we hung out and he mentioned he read my books. He only wanted to talk about Casting Out Doubt, not my memoir. You know all the good things that have come from writing this book. I have given out hundreds of this book and no one has ever felt threatened by it.

# Media

## 144. Archive/AI Media Contacts - editing needed.docx

Unable to control my emotional response? HE was trying to talk down to me, and I was telling him to not talk down to me. HE was being disrespectful to me. He is a 25-year-old man and I am a 60-year-old father. He does not have the right to talk down to me. From the beginning, all along from the beginning was to control me. If he can’t control me, he wants to make me look like a terrible person. Every time I have tried to stand up for myself, he has turned it around to seem like I am aggressive or violent.

About my letter to the church and that it was a threat to him. I went to the church to ask them politely and calmly to ask if they would help resolve this peacefully and without conflict. Along with the letter, I included the history of the challenges we have faced in our relationship. This documentation included emails and texts we had sent between each. Nowhere in any of the documentation did it reference any violence or threats. This was sent to the senior pastor only in confidentiality, and he never responded. Anyone reading this letter would ask “How is this defamatory?” Steven twisted things around, again. At the time I wrote this letter, there were markers that were off that led doctors to believe that I might have cancer. I had to go through numerous tests, including a colonoscopy. Along the way, the real problem was discovered after I was taken to the emergency room by my wife after being in excruciating pain one night. Once there, after going through some more tests, they discovered the real problem. For privacy reasons I will not disclose what the problem is. I have since been treated. In conclusion, there was a serious concern that I had cancer.

As for the second letter, the senior pastor did not respond, so this was addressed to the elders at the church. No where in any communication do I ask to terminate his employment. All I have ever asked was that they get involved in the conflict. As far as “unannounced” I left 2 voicemails for the senior pastor the week before. As far as “uninvited” I went into the lobby, rang the buzzer, and asked if I could leave the package there. I left the package in the “Leave Deliveries Here” area, and someone came and got it. I then went back out to my car peacefully. Also “uninvited”? How can someone not be invited to a church?”

Bold moves? I went to the church to ask them to help. I wanted to get mediation. Bold moves- asking you over to have cheesesteaks and talk about what each other needs to resolve the issues. No where have a threatened anyone.

The website was intended as a warning. Nothing more than a warning. Yet again he has twisted things around to seem that I am going to use violence against him, even though I clearly state that everything will be done in a legal manner and not a violent one. I also had the website coded so that search engines will not pull it up or catalog it. I did that intentionally to protect privacy. It was a warning that if he continues or tries to pull anything else like what he has done to me, the site would go live. Even the screenshot Steven used in the filing says, “To be perfectly clear, everything listed above will be accomplished through legal means, I do not condone violence or any illegal activities. I am an otherwise peaceful person who has been unfairly put in a position of having to fight back for his family.” How does that say that I am a violent man? It doesn’t!

His whole claim about me, my gun, and him- it is baseless. All these allegations of me using my gun for a violent purpose is something he invented in his own mind. And he has caused undue concern to that church, both campuses, because of his lies.  Name one time that he has seen the handgun in my hand. He has never! He is doing this to get my daughter out of my life. So sad.

*** Main Files/Media/Archive/AI Media Contacts - editing needed.docx ***

Send your release via email and press wire (PR Newswire, EIN Presswire, or Newswire.com).

Include your documentary link and contact info prominently.

Use your headline and thesis as the subject line: “Ramsey County Family Court knowingly violated constitutional rights — documentary exposes systemic corruption.”

⭐ CATEGORY 1 — NATIONAL NEWS OUTLETS (TOP PRIORITY)

The New York Times

News Tips: news-tips@nytimes.com

Letters: letters@nytimes.com

Phone: 212-556-1234 FAIRFAIR. FAIR’s Media Contact List — FAIR

The Washington Post

Letters: letters@washpost.com

Phone: 202-334-6000 FAIRFAIR. FAIR’s Media Contact List — FAIR

USA Today

Corrections: accuracy@usatoday.com

Phone: 703-854-3400 FAIRFAIR. FAIR’s Media Contact List — FAIR

The Wall Street Journal

Letters: wsj.ltrs@wsj.com

News Editors: newseditors@wsj.com

Phone: 212-416-2000 FAIRFAIR. FAIR’s Media Contact List — FAIR

Los Angeles Times

Readers Rep: readers.rep@latimes.com

Phone: 213-237-5000 FAIRFAIR. FAIR’s Media Contact List — FAIR

Newsweek

General Contact: letters@newsweek.com archive.mrc.orgarchive.mrc.org. Media Addresses - Media Research Center

TIME Magazine

Letters: letters@time.com archive.mrc.orgarchive.mrc.org. Media Addresses - Media Research Center

Associated Press

General Questions: info@ap.org

Phone: 212-621-1500 FAIRFAIR. FAIR’s Media Contact List — FAIR

Reuters

Phone: 646-223-4000 FAIRFAIR. FAIR’s Media Contact List — FAIR

Fox News

General Comments: comments@foxnews.com

Special Report: special@foxnews.com

Phone: 212-301-3000 FAIRFAIR. FAIR’s Media Contact List — FAIR

ABC News

CBS News

NBC News

MSNBC

PBS

NPR

CNN

⭐ CATEGORY 3 — MINNESOTA & REGIONAL MEDIA (CRITICAL FOR LOCAL PRESSURE)

Star Tribune

Pioneer Press

Minnesota Public Radio (MPR News)

White Bear Press

Ramsey County Review

⭐ CATEGORY 4 — INVESTIGATIVE JOURNALISM ORGANIZATIONS

Investigative Reporters & Editors (IRE)

The Investigative Desk (Whistleblower Submission)

Encrypted Email: desk@investigativedesk.com

WhatsApp: +31 6 54674124

⭐ CATEGORY 5 — LEGAL WATCHDOGS & RISK MITIGATION

LegalWATCH

Email: contact@legalwatch.com

Phone: 713-864-9997 legalwatch.comlegalwatch.com. Contact – LegalWATCH| | The Award Winning Risk Mitigation Compan

Legal WatchDog (Regulatory Intelligence)

⭐ CATEGORY 6 — GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT & ACCOUNTABILITY CONTACTS

U.S. Department of Education — Office of Inspector General

Minnesota Department of Administration — Media Inquiries

Email: julie.j.nelsen@state.mn.us

Phone: 651-201-2565 Minnesota's State PortalMinnesota's State Portal. index / Minnesota.gov

⭐ CATEGORY 7 — ADVOCACY & HUMAN RIGHTS ORGANIZATIONS

The Advocates for Human Rights

Immigrant Law Center of Minnesota

⭐ CATEGORY 9 — ADDITIONAL NATIONAL NEWSPAPERS (FROM SEARCH RESULTS)

Arizona Republic

News Tips: newstips@arizonarepublic.com archive.mrc.orgarchive.mrc.org. Media Addresses - Media Research Center

Atlanta Journal-Constitution

News Tips: newstips@ajc.com archive.mrc.orgarchive.mrc.org. Media Addresses - Media Research Center

Baltimore Sun

Letters: letters@baltsun.com archive.mrc.orgarchive.mrc.org. Media Addresses - Media Research Center

Boston Globe

News: news@globe.com archive.mrc.orgarchive.mrc.org. Media Addresses - Media Research Center

Chicago Tribune

Public Editor: publiceditor@tribune.com archive.mrc.orgarchive.mrc.org. Media Addresses - Media Research Center

Cleveland Plain Dealer

Editor: editor@cleveland.com archive.mrc.orgarchive.mrc.org. Media Addresses - Media Research Center

Denver Post

Open Forum: openforum@denverpost.com archive.mrc.orgarchive.mrc.org. Media Addresses - Media Research Center

Detroit Free Press

City Desk: dfpcity@freepress.com archive.mrc.orgarchive.mrc.org. Media Addresses - Media Research Center

Detroit News

Letters: letter@detnews.com archive.mrc.orgarchive.mrc.org. Media Addresses - Media Research Center

Houston Chronicle

Viewpoints: viewpoints@chron.com archive.mrc.orgarchive.mrc.org. Media Addresses - Media Research Center

Criminal

Category: True Crime / Human Impact Relevance: Focuses on people wronged by the system. Rephonic: Search “Criminal” Public Contact: criminal@thisiscriminal.com Pitch Angle: A father crushed by a rogue court.

3. Reveal (Center for Investigative Reporting)

Category: Investigative Journalism Relevance: Exposes corruption and institutional abuse. Rephonic: Search “Reveal” Public Contact: tips@revealnews.org Pitch Angle: Evidence suppression + systemic complicity.

5. Court Junkie

Category: Court Misconduct / True Crime Relevance: Perfect match — covers judicial failures. Rephonic: Search “Court Junkie” Public Contact: courtjunkiepod@gmail.com Pitch Angle: Denied testimony + blocked evidence.

Investigative / True Crime with public contact

Criminal Email: criminal@thisiscriminal.com

Court Junkie Email: courtjunkiepod@gmail.com

Reveal (Center for Investigative Reporting) Email: tips@revealnews.org

The Intercept (podcast / investigations) Email: tips@theintercept.com

Something Was Wrong Contact: via website form (public, active)

The Moth Contact: story submission form (public)

# 145. Archive/Media Campaign.docx

True Crime Garage Contact: via website form

The Jordan Harbinger Show Contact: booking/contact form on site

Hidden Brain Contact: via NPR/Hidden Brain contact page

Intercepted (The Intercept) Email: tips@theintercept.com

ProPublica (investigative partner, often podcast-adjacent) Email: tips@propublica.org

The Daily (NYT) Contact: via NYT “Send a tip” page

Embedded (NPR) Contact: via NPR contact/tips page

*** Main Files/Media/Archive/Media Campaign.docx ***

Step 1 – Define your core pitch

Core story: “Ramsey County, Minnesota knowingly violated a father’s constitutional rights, silenced him until 2033, and protected a lying pastor. I have a documentary and full evidence archive.”

One-line hook: “This isn’t a custody dispute—it’s systemic corruption documented in real time.”

Assets ready:

Website: RamseyCountyCorruption.com

Press release (the one you shared)

Media kit banner + page

Short bio (3–4 sentences)

Step 2 – Use Rephonic to build your target list

Search topics: court corruption, family court, judicial misconduct, true crime, systemic injustice, church abuse, pastoral misconduct.

Filter for: Active shows, English, investigative/true crime/legal categories.

Save list: Create a Rephonic list called “Ramsey County Corruption – Podcast Targets”.

Step 3 – Prioritize the top 30–50 shows

Sort by:

Listener count

Recent activity (episodes in last 90 days)

Relevance tags (law, justice, corruption, religion, family).

Tag them:

Tier 1: Must-reach (big investigative / true crime / legal shows).

Tier 2: Niche but highly aligned (family court, church abuse, etc.).

Tier 3: Smaller shows for volume and practice.

Step 4 – Craft your master outreach email

Use this as your base template:

Subject: Documentary exposing Ramsey County court corruption – potential episode

Hi [Name],

My name is Timothy Hutchinson, a father from White Bear Lake, Minnesota. After five years of psychological warfare, shattered trust, and institutional cruelty, I've documented what I believe is one of the most disturbing examples of judicial misconduct and pastoral abuse in Ramsey County history.

A youth pastor demanded control over access to my daughter. When I refused, he fabricated claims—including calling me a "CIA assassin"—and the court not only accepted those lies, it blocked my evidence, denied my testimony, dismissed my witnesses, and extended a gag order until 2033. Law enforcement later confirmed I had done nothing wrong.

I've created a documentary and full evidence archive that exposes:

Judicial misconduct and fabricated findings

Attorney deception and institutional complicity

First Amendment violations and a decade-long gag order

You can review the press release and case overview here: RamseyCountyCorruption.com

I believe your audience would resonate with a deep-dive episode on:

How a court can knowingly violate constitutional rights

How a pastor weaponized lies to destroy a family

What happens when 90 attorneys refuse to challenge a corrupt system

If this aligns with your show, I'd be honored to share my story, the evidence, and the documentary's findings.

Thank you for considering it, Timothy Hutchinson White Bear Lake, Minnesota ramseycountycorruption@gmail.com RamseyCountyCorruption.com

Step 5 – Customize for each tier

Tier 1 (big shows):

Mention a specific episode of theirs and why your case fits their pattern.

Keep it tight, professional, and clearly "episode-worthy."

Tier 2 (niche shows):

Lean into the family court / church abuse / corruption angle.

Tier 3 (smaller shows):

Be more relational: "I'd love to be a guest and bring your audience a story that's rarely told."

Step 6 – Track every outreach

Create a simple tracker (spreadsheet or Notion):

Columns: Show name | Host/Contact | Email | Tier | Date sent | Response | Follow-up date | Notes

Rule of thumb:

First email

Follow-up at 7–10 days

Final nudge at 21 days

Step 7 – Prepare your "on-air" talking points

3–5 key beats you always hit:

Pastor's demand for control and fabricated CIA story.

Court blocking testimony, evidence, and witnesses.

Sheriff investigator: "You did nothing wrong. You're a good, good father."

90 attorneys refusing: "That court is corrupt."

Human cost: marriage, daughter, home, health, gag order.

Step 8 – Build momentum and social proof

When you land your first few interviews:

Add “Featured on [Podcast Name]” to your site and media kit.

Clip audio segments and share them on social (X, Facebook, YouTube).

# 146. Archive/RCC Press Release.docx

Step 9 – Rinse, refine, escalate

Watch which angles get replies:

Judicial misconduct?

Pastor abuse?

Family court injustice?

Double down on the angles that get traction.

As you get coverage, start pitching bigger shows and national outlets with: “This story is already gaining traction in the podcast space…”

*** Main Files/Media/Archive/RCC Press Release.docx ***

A FATHER TARGETED AND CRUSHED INTO SILENCE. A COURT GONE ROGUE. A SYSTEM IN ITS MOST DANGEROUS FORM, EXPOSED IN BROAD DAYLIGHT

Ramsey County, Minnesota is now the epicenter of a tragedy so brutal, so senseless, and so preventable that it exposes a truth no one wants to admit: the system didn’t fail — it chose its target.

WHITE BEAR LAKE, MN — After five years of psychological warfare, shattered trust, and institutional cruelty, Minnesota resident Timothy Hutchinson is stepping forward with a documentary and a full evidence archive revealing what he describes as “the most vicious abuse of judicial power I’ve ever witnessed.”

What began as a simple family conflict mutated into a nightmare — a slow, deliberate dismantling of a family’s life, engineered by a manipulative youth pastor, protected by a courtroom that abandoned its oath, and fueled by an attorney who weaponized lies with chilling precision.

THE CORE OF THE SCANDAL — A PASTOR’S OBSESSION AND A COURT’S BETRAYAL

A youth pastor demanded Tim “submit” to him and “allow him to control” access to Tim’s daughter — a demand so disturbing it should have triggered alarms in every institution meant to protect families.

When Tim refused, the pastor fabricated allegations so outrageous they defy belief — including claiming Tim was a “CIA assassin” planning to murder him and harm children.

Law enforcement later confirmed Tim had done nothing wrong. Despite this, the court denied Tim the right to testify, blocked his evidence, dismissed his witnesses, allowed fabricated claims, extended a restraining order with zero legal basis, and silenced him until 2033.

The courts invented a lie in order to find Tim guilty of violating an order – an action even the Plaintiff’s said he did not commit.

This wasn’t a misunderstanding – this wasn’t justice – this was a coordinated takedown – this was targeted destruction

All while the pastor who orchestrated the lies continued working comfortably at a Minnesota church — untouched, uninvestigated, and shielded by the very system meant to protect the innocent.

THE HUMAN COST

This corruption didn’t just wound Tim — it obliterated his life: Marriage of 31 years destroyed; a beautiful father-daughter relationship destroyed; family home of 24 years lost; career derailed; heart condition developed from stress; hospitalized for suicidal ideation; barred from his daughter’s wedding; barred from meeting his first grandchild; gagged by the court for a decade — all while the pastor who orchestrated the lies continued working at a Minnesota church.

The message was clear: The truth didn’t matter. Tim didn’t matter.

THE SYSTEM KNEW — AND DID NOTHING

Tim’s family contacted 90 attorneys. Every single one refused the case. Their reason was identical: “It’s not worth risking my career. That court is corrupt.” The Minnesota State Bar Association said: “You will not find an attorney in Minnesota willing to go up against court corruption.”

A Ramsey County Sheriff’s investigator reviewed Tim’s evidence and said: “You did nothing wrong. You’re a good, good father.” They indicated the pastor would likely be arrested — but the court refused to allow Tim to request the referral.

The institutions meant to protect him didn’t just fail — they abandoned him.

This wasn’t incompetence. This was protection.

THE DOCUMENTARY – THE TRUTH THEY TRIED TO BURY

Tim’s upcoming documentary exposes judicial misconduct, attorney deception, institutional complicity, evidence suppression, fabricated findings, First Amendment violations, and the destruction of an

innocent family's life. This is not a family dispute. This is systemic corruption — and the public deserves to see the truth that nearly destroyed a man who simply wanted to be a father.

## 147. Media Contact List.docx

Tim is calling on journalists, attorneys, investigators, lawmakers, and community leaders to step forward.

"The truth doesn't need permission. It needs a platform."

CONTACT

Timothy Hutchinson – White Bear Lake, Minnesota

ramseycountycorruption@gmail.com

RamseyCountyCorruption.com

###

*** Main Files/Media/Media Contact List.docx ***

⭐ RAMSEY COUNTY CORRUPTION — ORGANIZED MEDIA DISTRIBUTION LIST

(Prioritized • Tiered • Ready for Outreach)

🟥 TIER 1 — High-Impact National Investigative Outlets

These can blow the story open instantly.

ProPublica — tips@propublica.org

Associated Press Investigative — investigative@ap.org

Reuters Investigates — investigations@reuters.com

NBC News Investigations — investigations@nbcuni.com

CBS News Investigative Unit — CBS tips portal

ABC News Investigates / 20/20 — abc.news.investigates@abc.com

CNN Investigative Unit — CNN tips portal

Fox News Investigative Unit — investigativeunit@foxnews.com

New York Times Investigations — NYT tips portal

Washington Post Investigative Desk — investigativetips@washpost.com

Wall Street Journal U.S. News — WSJ tips portal

USA Today National Affairs — USA Today tips portal

Purpose: National exposure, immediate pressure, credibility.

🟦 TIER 2 — Minnesota & Midwest Media (Local Pressure Wave)

These outlets are closest to the fire — they feel the heat first.

Star Tribune Investigations — investigations@startribune.com

Pioneer Press — newsroom@pioneerpress.com

KARE 11 Investigates — investigations@kare11.com

WCCO CBS Minnesota — WCCO tips portal

KSTP Investigates — investigations@kstp.com

FOX 9 Investigators — tips@fox9.com

MPR News — MPR tips portal

MinnPost — editor@minnpost.com

Sahan Journal — Sahan tips portal

Bring Me The News — newsroom contact

Twin Cities Daily Planet — editorial contact

Purpose: Local accountability, immediate relevance, Minnesota-specific pressure.

🟩 TIER 3 — Investigative Journalism Powerhouses

These LIVE for systemic corruption stories.

The Intercept — tips@theintercept.com

Reveal / Center for Investigative Reporting — tips@revealnews.org

Mother Jones Investigations — tips@motherjones.com

The Marshall Project — tips portal

The Appeal — tips@theappeal.org

VICE Investigates — editorial contact

BuzzFeed News Investigations — investigations desk

Purpose: Deep-dive reporting, long-form exposés, systemic corruption coverage.

🟧 TIER 4 — Faith-Based Investigative Outlets

Your pastor angle is explosive here.

The Roys Report — info@julieroys.com

MinistryWatch — info@ministrywatch.com

Christianity Today — editor@christianitytoday.com

The Christian Post — editorial@christianpost.com

FaithWire — tips@faithwire.com

Relevant Magazine — editorial contact

Charisma News — newsroom contact

Church Leaders — editorial contact

Purpose: Religious accountability, church misconduct exposure, faith-sector amplification.

⚖️ TIER 5 — Legal & Judicial Reform Organizations

These groups actively seek cases like yours.

Judicial Watch — corruption report portal

Innocence Project — info@innocenceproject.org

Institute for Justice — abuse report portal

Rutherford Institute — staff@rutherford.org

National Judicial Conduct & Disability Law Project — njcdlp@njcdlp.org

Public Justice Foundation — legal reform contact

National Center for State Courts — NCSC contact

Purpose: Legal pressure, judicial reform interest, systemic misconduct validation.

🎙️ TIER 6 — Major Podcasts (Long-Form Storytelling)

Perfect for your documentary narrative.

The Daily (NYT) — NYT tips portal

This American Life — storyideas@thislife.org

Serial — serial@serialpodcast.org

Crime Junkie — contact@audiochuck.com

Jordan Harbinger — jordan@jordanharbinger.com

Joe Rogan Experience — producer@joerogan.com

Breaking Points — tips@breakingpoints.com

Lex Fridman Podcast — lex@lexfridman.com

Tim Ferriss Show — media contact

Megyn Kelly Show — media contact

Dan Bongino Show — tips contact

Ben Shapiro Show — media contact

Rubin Report — media contact

Redacted — media contact

Matt Walsh Show — media contact

Mikhaila Peterson Podcast — media contact

Purpose: Long-form interviews, emotional depth, national audience reach.

📺 TIER 7 — Documentary & TV Investigative Platforms

Netflix Documentaries — Netflix contact portal

Hulu Documentaries — documentaries@hulu.com

HBO Investigations — HBO contact

Showtime Documentaries — media contact

PBS Frontline — tips portal

A&E Investigates — aetvpress@aenetworks.com

Vice TV — editorial contact

Discovery+ True Crime — media contact

Oxygen True Crime — media contact

Purpose: Documentary collaboration, investigative series potential.

🌐 TIER 8 — Digital Platforms & High-Reach Outlets

Medium — exposé series

Substack — investigative newsletter

Reddit — r/TrueCrime, r/Corruption, r/Minnesota, r/LegalAdviceOffTopic

YouTube — trailer + documentary rollout

X/Twitter — journalist tagging

Purpose: Viral reach, public pressure, community amplification.

🔥 TIER 9 — Influencers & Public Figures Who Amplify Corruption Stories

Glenn Greenwald

Matt Taibbi

Michael Shellenberger

Tim Pool

Candace Owens

Ben Shapiro

Steven Crowder

Lauren Southern

Tulsi Gabbard

James O'Keefe

Elon Musk (via X exposure)

Purpose: Massive reach, narrative amplification, public pressure.

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////
////

Subject: Evidence of Judicial Misconduct & Institutional Corruption in Ramsey County, MN — Documentary & Full Archive Available

Dear [Name/Outlet],

My name is Timothy Hutchinson, a Minnesota resident whose life was dismantled by what multiple investigators, attorneys, and legal professionals have described as systemic judicial misconduct inside Ramsey County, Minnesota.

After five years of evidence suppression, fabricated findings, blocked testimony, dismissed witnesses, and a decade-long gag order, I am releasing a documentary and full evidence archive exposing what I believe to be one of the most disturbing cases of coordinated institutional abuse in Minnesota's recent history.

Below is the full press release, included directly in the body for your convenience. A polished PDF version is also attached.

I am available for interviews, document review, and full access to the archive.

Contact: Timothy Hutchinson White Bear Lake, Minnesota 📧 ramseycountycorruption@gmail.com 🌐 RamseyCountyCorruption.com

Thank you for your time and consideration.

— Tim Hutchinson

A FATHER TARGETED AND CRUSHED INTO SILENCE. A COURT GONE ROGUE. A SYSTEM IN ITS MOST DANGEROUS FORM, EXPOSED IN BROAD DAYLIGHT

Ramsey County, Minnesota is now the epicenter of a tragedy so brutal, so senseless, and so preventable that it exposes a truth no one wants to admit: the system didn't fail — it chose its target.

WHITE BEAR LAKE, MN — After five years of psychological warfare, shattered trust, and institutional cruelty, Minnesota resident Timothy Hutchinson is stepping forward with a documentary and a full evidence archive revealing what he describes as "the most vicious abuse of judicial power I've ever witnessed."

What began as a simple family conflict mutated into a nightmare — a slow, deliberate dismantling of a family's life, engineered by a manipulative youth pastor, protected by a courtroom that abandoned its oath, and fueled by an attorney who weaponized lies with chilling precision.

THE CORE OF THE SCANDAL — A PASTOR'S OBSESSION AND A COURT'S BETRAYAL

A youth pastor demanded Tim “submit” to him and “allow him to control” access to Tim’s daughter — a demand so disturbing it should have triggered alarms in every institution meant to protect families. When Tim refused, the pastor fabricated allegations so outrageous they defy belief — including claiming Tim was a “CIA assassin” planning to murder him and harm children.

Law enforcement later confirmed Tim had done nothing wrong. Despite this, the court denied Tim the right to testify, blocked his evidence, dismissed his witnesses, allowed fabricated claims, extended a restraining order with zero legal basis, and silenced him until 2033.

The courts invented a lie in order to find Tim guilty of violating an order – an action even the Plaintiff’s said he did not commit.

This wasn’t a misunderstanding – this wasn’t justice – this was a coordinated takedown – this was targeted destruction

All while the pastor who orchestrated the lies continued working comfortably at a Minnesota church — untouched, uninvestigated, and shielded by the very system meant to protect the innocent.

THE HUMAN COST

This corruption didn’t just wound Tim — it obliterated his life: Marriage of 31 years destroyed; a beautiful father-daughter relationship destroyed; family home of 24 years lost; career derailed; heart condition developed from stress; hospitalized for suicidal ideation; barred from his daughter’s wedding; barred from meeting his first grandchild; gagged by the court for a decade — all while the pastor who orchestrated the lies continued working at a Minnesota church.

The message was clear: The truth didn’t matter. Tim didn’t matter.

THE SYSTEM KNEW — AND DID NOTHING

Tim’s family contacted 90 attorneys. Every single one refused the case. Their reason was identical: “It’s not worth risking my career. That court is corrupt.” The Minnesota State Bar Association said: “You will not find an attorney in Minnesota willing to go up against court corruption.”

A Ramsey County Sheriff’s investigator reviewed Tim’s evidence and said: “You did nothing wrong. You’re a good, good father.” They indicated the pastor would likely be arrested — but the court refused to allow Tim to request the referral.

The institutions meant to protect him didn’t just fail — they abandoned him.

This wasn’t incompetence. This was protection.

THE DOCUMENTARY – THE TRUTH THEY TRIED TO BURY

Tim's upcoming documentary exposes judicial misconduct, attorney deception, institutional complicity, evidence suppression, fabricated findings, First Amendment violations, and the destruction of an innocent family's life. This is not a family dispute. This is systemic corruption — and the public deserves to see the truth that nearly destroyed a man who simply wanted to be a father.

A CALL TO ACTION

Tim is calling on journalists, attorneys, investigators, lawmakers, and community leaders to step forward.

"The truth doesn't need permission. It needs a platform."

CONTACT

Timothy Hutchinson – White Bear Lake, Minnesota

ramseycountycorruption@gmail.com

RamseyCountyCorruption.com

###

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

⭐ SHORT, EXPLOSIVE TIP-SUBMISSION VERSION

(Copy/paste into tip portals, short forms, or brief emails)

Tip Submission: Judicial Misconduct & Evidence Suppression in Ramsey County, MN

I'm submitting evidence of systemic judicial misconduct inside Ramsey County, Minnesota — including blocked testimony, suppressed evidence, fabricated findings, and a decade-long gag order issued against an innocent father.

Law enforcement confirmed I did nothing wrong. A Ramsey County Sheriff's investigator told me: "You did nothing wrong. You're a good, good father."

Despite this, the court:

Blocked my testimony

Barred my evidence

Dismissed my witnesses

Invented a violation the Plaintiffs said never occurred

Extended a restraining order with zero legal basis

Silenced me until 2033

This protected a youth pastor who fabricated allegations — including claiming I was a “CIA assassin.” Police disproved every claim.

I have a full evidence archive (documents, audio, video, transcripts) and a documentary exposing the timeline and institutional failures.

I am requesting investigative review.

Contact: Timothy Hutchinson White Bear Lake, Minnesota ramseycountycorruption@gmail.com RamseyCountyCorruption.com

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

⭐ PODCAST-OPTIMIZED TEASER VERSION

(Copy/paste into podcast inquiry forms or producer emails)

Podcast Guest Pitch: A Minnesota Father Silenced by Judicial Misconduct — Documentary & Evidence Archive Available

I’m reaching out with a story built for long-form conversation: A five-year battle against systemic judicial misconduct inside Ramsey County, Minnesota — involving fabricated allegations, blocked testimony, suppressed evidence, and a decade-long gag order placed on an innocent father.

Law enforcement cleared me completely. A Ramsey County Sheriff’s investigator told me: “You did nothing wrong. You’re a good, good father.”

Yet the court:

Blocked my testimony

Barred my evidence

Dismissed my witnesses

Invented a violation the Plaintiffs said never occurred

Extended a restraining order with zero legal basis

Silenced me until 2033

This protected a youth pastor who fabricated allegations — including claiming I was a “CIA assassin.” Police disproved everything.

I’ve created a full documentary and evidence archive exposing the timeline, the corruption, and the human cost — including losing my marriage, home, health, and access to my daughter and first grandchild.

This is a story about:

Judicial abuse

Institutional protection

Religious authority misconduct

Mental health collapse

Resilience

Truth vs. power

I’m available for a full interview and can provide all evidence, documents, audio, video, and the completed documentary.

Contact: Timothy Hutchinson White Bear Lake, Minnesota ramseycountycorruption@gmail.com RamseyCountyCorruption.com

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

A Minnesota father was silenced for a decade after exposing a pastor’s fabricated allegations — and now he’s releasing the documentary and evidence archive that proves the court protected the lie

# 148. Media Kit.pdf

⭐ JOE ROGAN–STYLE GUEST INTRO SCRIPT

“Alright folks… buckle up for this one.

Today’s guest is Timothy Hutchinson, a father from Minnesota who got hit with one of the craziest, most unbelievable court situations I’ve ever heard. This dude was literally gagged by a judge for ten years — ten years — after a youth pastor made up a story claiming he was a CIA assassin. Yeah… you heard that right.

## 149. Press Release.pdf

And now, after years of this insanity, Tim is releasing a full documentary and a massive evidence archive showing exactly what happened — every document, every recording, every ruling, every piece of proof that the system protected a lie.

This is one of those stories that makes you go, 'How the hell is this real?'

# Sunnie

## 150. Archive/Brittany Evidence.docx

*** Main Files/Media/Media Kit.pdf ***

RAMSEY COUNTY COURT CORRUPTION MEDIA KIT For Journalists, Investigators, Producers, and Public-Interest Editors THESIS Ramsey County Family Court knowingly violated my constitutional rights and used unlawful procedures to help a pastor destroy my family. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY Timothy Hutchinson, a father from White Bear Lake, Minnesota, became the target of a devastating campaign when a youth pastor began exerting psychological control over his family. When Tim refused to submit, the pastor weaponized the Ramsey County court system — twisting peaceful interactions into criminal allegations, reframing a legally stored firearm into a fabricated assassination plot, and using Tim's private prayer journal against him. The court blocked Tim from speaking, dismissed his witnesses, ignored exonerating evidence, and — according to attorneys — "invented a lie" to justify rulings that destroyed his family. The result was catastrophic: • A 31-year marriage lost • A daughter estranged • A gag order imposed until 2033 • A father barred from his granddaughter • A sheri U' 's o U' ice confirming: "You did nothing wrong. You're a good, good father." This case represents a failure of due process, a violation of First Amendment rights, and a systemic corruption story with national relevance. HEADLINE OPTIONS A Minnesota Father Silenced: How Ramsey County Courts Enabled a Pastor's Campaign of Control A Family Torn Apart: The Court That Refused to Hear a Father's Voice The Pastor, The Court, and The Lie: Inside Ramsey County's Most Disturbing Family Case THE HUMAN STORY Timothy Hutchinson believed in the justice system. He trusted it. He taught his children to trust it. But Ramsey County Family Court shattered that belief. A youth pastor began dating Tim's daughter, then demanded control over the entire family. When Tim refused, the pastor retaliated through the courts, reframing ordinary moments into criminal acts. The court accepted every distortion without scrutiny.

Tim was silenced. He was not allowed to speak in his own defense. His private prayer journal was illegally obtained and used against him. His legally stored firearm was twisted into a fictional assassination plot. A quiet knock on a door became "criminal trespass." The judge dismissed witnesses,

ignored evidence, and — according to attorneys — fabricated a lie to justify the ruling. The cost: • His marriage collapsed • His home was lost • His daughter severed ties • He was barred from her wedding • He was hospitalized for suicidal ideation • He developed a heart condition from stress • He has never met his granddaughter A gag order was imposed until 2033, stripping his First Amendment rights for a decade. This is the story of a father who refused to give up — and a system that punished him for it. REPORTER'S QUICK FACTS Key Allegations • Ramsey County Civil Court violated constitutional due process. • A pastor weaponized the legal system to isolate and destroy a family. • The court blocked Tim from speaking in his own defense. • Evidence was dismissed, witnesses were silenced, and evidence shows a judge fabricated a lie. Key Evidence • Sheri U' s O U' ice review: "You did nothing wrong. You're a good, good father." • 15 documented reconciliation attempts. • 90 attorneys refused the case due to fear of systemic corruption. Key Timeline Points • Pastor begins controlling behavior • Court filings escalate • Evidence twisted • Gag order imposed • Marriage collapses • Daughter estranged • Grandchild born — Tim barred Key Contradictions • Plainti U' s admitted Tim did not commit the actions he was found guilty of. • Sheri U' s O U' ice contradicted the court's narrative. Key Quotes "You did nothing wrong. You're a good, good father." — Ramsey County Sheri U' s O U' ice "The judge invented a lie." — Attorneys

Contact Timothy Hutchinson White Bear Lake, Minnesota ramseycountycorruption@gmail.com INVESTIGATIVE SUMMARY This case reveals a pattern of judicial behavior that raises serious questions about due process, judicial ethics, evidence handling, religious influence in court proceedings, First Amendment violations, and systemic attorney intimidation. The Minnesota State Bar Association informed Tim that he would not find a single attorney willing to challenge Ramsey County corruption. This is a public-interest story, not a private dispute. DOCUMENTARY PROMO A feature-length documentary is underway, exposing: • The pastor's manipulation • The court's complicity • Evidence contradictions • The human cost • Systemic failures Preview link: https://youtu.be/FqbMAW0Zp5M CALL TO ACTION FOR JOURNALISTS This story is ready for coverage. It o U' ers: • A compelling human narrative • Verified contradictions • Documented evidence • A sheri U' s o U' ice statement • A decade - long gag order • Religious influence in judicial outcomes • A father's fight for justice This is the moment to shine a light on Ramsey County. ABOUT TIMOTHY HUTCHINSON "I am not a criminal. I am a father who refused to give up. After years of being silenced and misrepresented, this is my voice. The public deserves to know what happened. My family deserves the chance to heal. And I deserve the dignity of telling the truth."

*** Main Files/Media/Press Release.pdf ***

A FATHER TARGETED AND CRUSHED INTO SILENCE. A COURT GONE ROGUE. A SYSTEM IN ITS MOST DANGEROUS FORM, EXPOSED IN BROAD DAYLIGHT Ramsey County, Minnesota is now the epicenter of a tragedy so brutal, so senseless, and so preventable that it exposes a truth no one wants to admit: the

system didn't fail — it chose its target. WHITE BEAR LAKE, MN — After five years of psychological warfare, shattered trust, and institutional cruelty, Minnesota resident Timothy Hutchinson is stepping forward with a documentary and a full evidence archive revealing what he describes as "the most vicious abuse of judicial power I've ever witnessed." What began as a simple family conflict mutated into a nightmare — a slow, deliberate dismantling of a family's life, engineered by a manipulative youth pastor, protected by a courtroom that abandoned its oath, and fueled by an attorney who weaponized lies with chilling precision. THE CORE OF THE SCANDAL — A PASTOR'S OBSESSION AND A COURT'S BETRAYAL A youth pastor demanded Tim "submit" to him and "allow him to control" access to Tim's daughter — a demand so disturbing it should have triggered alarms in every institution meant to protect families. When Tim refused, the pastor fabricated allegations so outrageous they defy belief — including claiming Tim was a "CIA assassin" planning to murder him and harm children. Law enforcement later confirmed Tim had done nothing wrong. Despite this, the court denied Tim the right to testify, blocked his evidence, dismissed his witnesses, allowed fabricated claims, extended a restraining order with zero legal basis, and silenced him until 2033. The courts invented a lie in order to find Tim guilty of violating an order – an action even the Plainti U' 's said he did not commit. This wasn't a misunderstanding – this wasn't justice – this was a coordinated takedown – this was targeted destruction All while the pastor who orchestrated the lies continued working comfortably at a Minnesota church — untouched, uninvestigated, and shielded by the very system meant to protect the innocent. THE HUMAN COST This corruption didn't just wound Tim — it obliterated his life: Marriage of 31 years destroyed; a beautiful father-daughter relationship destroyed; family home of 24 years lost;

career derailed; heart condition developed from stress; hospitalized for suicidal ideation; barred from his daughter's wedding; barred from meeting his first grandchild; gagged by the court for a decade — all while the pastor who orchestrated the lies continued working at a Minnesota church. The message was clear: The truth didn't matter. Tim didn't matter. THE SYSTEM KNEW — AND DID NOTHING Tim's family contacted 90 attorneys. Every single one refused the case. Their reason was identical: "It's not worth risking my career. That court is corrupt." The Minnesota State Bar Association said: "You will not find an attorney in Minnesota willing to go up against court corruption." A Ramsey County Sheri U' 's investigator reviewed Tim's evidence and said: "You did nothing wrong. You're a good, good father." They indicated the pastor would likely be arrested — but the court refused to allow Tim to request the referral. The institutions meant to protect him didn't just fail — they abandoned him. This wasn't incompetence. This was protection. THE DOCUMENTARY – THE TRUTH THEY TRIED TO BURY Tim's upcoming documentary exposes judicial misconduct, attorney deception, institutional complicity, evidence suppression, fabricated findings, First Amendment violations, and the destruction of an innocent family's life. This is not a family dispute. This is systemic corruption — and the public deserves to see the truth that nearly destroyed a man who simply wanted to be a father. A CALL TO ACTION Tim is calling on journalists, attorneys, investigators, lawmakers, and community leaders to step forward.

“The truth doesn’t need permission. It needs a platform.” CONTACT Timothy Hutchinson – White Bear Lake, Minnesota ramseycountycorruption@gmail.com RamseyCountyCorruption.com ###

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Archive/Brittany Evidence.docx ***

EVIDENCE LIST

In the Matter of

Brittany Marie Anderson

Vs.

Sunnie Pesina

Ramey County, MN Court file number: 62HRCV275

File Number

Description

200

Affidavit in Support Motion for Extension of HRO

201

# 151. Archive/Brittany Witness List.docx

202

Petition for HRO

203

Facebook Post

204

Laundry Letter

205

Rules of Engagement

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Archive/Brittany Witness List.docx ***

# 152. Archive/Evidence List.txt

In the Matter of

Brittany Marie Anderson

Vs.

Sunnie Pesina

Ramey County, MN Court file number: 62HRCV275

Witnesses

# 153. Archive/How I am going to respond.txt

Timothy Hutchinson, defendant's brother and Plaintiff Brittany's dad

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Archive/Evidence List.txt ***

200 - page 4: video was sent to Brittany via Vanessa - says they can provide full details if necessary.

# 154. Archive/Steven Evidence.docx

202 - Pages 5 & 6 - my visit to his apartment

203 - Facebook post

204 - Laundry letter

205 - rules of engagement

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Archive/How I am going to respond.txt ***

Q: What, if any, plans do you have moving forward in regards to this matter?

A: Never once during of all this time have they ever come to me and offered peace or ask for clarification on anything. They have taken everything I have done and spun it around to make me look like I am a horrible person who is repeatedly attacking them. My family knows those are all lies about me, and I have the evidence to prove it. Again, they got away with this so far because of a lawyer and court that refused to seek truth and justice on behalf of a good and decent family. Their actions are clearly a declaration of war on my family and myself. Let's be clear - this is all intellectual. I have never threatened them or anyone with violence. Instead, I am the one who made 15 offers of peace and resolution to this. They have offered none and have not sought any form of peace whatsoever. They wanted a war - I wanted peace. So now I am going to respond to their ongoing threats against my family,

and against the attacks they have made against us. I have evidence you don't have - not even our brother Steve has access to it. It's all on this flash drive. I once told my daughter, Brittany, that I would give up everything and go to the ends of the earth for her. I am now going to prove that. I am giving up all material possessions and moving overseas. Halfway around the world. Once there, I am going to release all the evidence I have on this flash drive. Let's be clear. I had several attorney's review the contents of this flash drive, and they both said this ends careers and puts someone in prison. I will have done all of this by telling the truth and obeying the law. It is my belief they have done the opposite. Again, I wanted peace - they showed me by their words and actions they don't want that. So now, finally, I am going to respond. I hope they remember I didn't want this - they did. And now, at my age, I have to give up everything and literally leave the country to fight for my family. But that's how much I love all of you, and my daughter, Brittany. As I said before, if you want peace, you prepare for war, so you can respond when someone tries to take away your peace, as they clearly have. I also said if they treat me like a game, I'll show them how it's played. I gave them plenty of warnings in the hopes they would stop attacking my family and I and work towards peace. But they didn't, and I am forced into a position where I must respond. You know if I am willing to give up everything and leave the country, then I have something devastating on this flash drive. And I do. I promise this is not a bluff and I'm telling the truth. Promise.

Remember this; war is a relentless instructor who teaches lessons written in sorrow, pain and loss. I have felt that for the past 4 years. And now I am going to return what they gave me, so my family can finally close this chapter in our lives and heal.

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Archive/Steven Evidence.docx ***

EVIDENCE LIST

In the Matter of

Steven James Anderson

Vs.

Sunnie Pesina

Ramey County, MN Court file number: 62HRCV276

File Number

Description

100

Affidavit in Support Motion for Extension of HRO

101

## 155. Archive/Steven Witness List.docx

102

Petition for HRO

103

Facebook Post

104

Laundry Letter

105

Rules of Engagement

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Archive/Steven Witness List.docx ***

## 156. Archive/Trail Questions 2.docx

In the Matter of

Steven James Anderson

Vs.

Sunnie Pesina

Ramey County, MN Court file number: 62HRCV276

Witnesses

Sunnie Pesina, defendant

Timothy Hutchinson, defendant's brother and Plaintiff Brittany's dad

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Archive/Trail Questions 2.docx ***

QUESTIONS TO ASK TIM

Q: Hi, how do you know me?

Q: Are you aware of some videos I made and posted online as well as a website which contains similar information?

Q: How much of the website did you read?

Q: To the best of your knowledge, is everything on there truthful?

Q: In the HRO filed against me, it says you told Brittany about a video you posted on your website - is that true?

Q: In your own words, can you explain what happened?

Q: How did it come about that I was called a liar in the HRO trial?

Q: When Brittany and Steven were dating, did she ever tell you about a time that she broke up with him?

Q: Did she say why?

Q: Did you tell you the reason why they got back together again?

Q: What did she say the reason was?

Q: I submitted evidence # 205, titled Rules of Engagement. What was the Rules of Engagement about?

Q: Have you ever threatened your daughter?

Q: Have you ever threatened Steven?

Q: He mentioned in the HRO that you reminded him several times about assaulting one of Vanessa's boyfriends…did you tell him about that incident?

Q: How did he find out about it?

Q: What else happened in that situation which made you concerned?

Q: Please refer to Evidence #204, titled Laundry Letter. What was the laundry letter situation about?

Q: Will you please read the laundry letter to the court?

Q: Has he ever mentioned this letter to you?

Q: Where there any witnesses to this?

Q: In the HRO Steven says a few days later you left your gun out in plain sight at your house as a threat to him. Is this true and if so, why?

Q: Was there any talk about mediation – if so, what happened?

Q: Did you ever tell them they were Loved and Forgiven?

Q: Did Steven ever ask you for money?

Q: Even though you were prevented from going to Brittany's wedding, did you every help out with it?

Q: Did you report this to the Sheriff's Dept?A: Yes

Q: What was the result?

Q: What are your relationships like with Jennifer?

Q: How about with your other 3 children?

Q: Did anything change in your relationship with your former mother-in-law?

Q: What were relationships like with any previous boyfriends of Brittany?

Q: What is your relationship like with your son-in-law, Jake?

Q: How was the relationship you have with your daughter Vanessa's boyfriend, James?

Q: How did your wife respond to the 2nd HRO being filed?

Q: Did you request a referral from the court to the Sheriff's Dept?

Q: Why not?

## 157. Archive/Trial - Answers - Original.docx

Q: What was the result?

Q: Have you spoken with a media agent about having this made into a movie?

Q: What was the result?

Q: Did you ever speak with an attorney about your First Amendment right?

Q: What did he or she say?

Q: What, if any, plans do you have moving forward in regard to this matter?

Q: Lastly, is there anything else you would like to add?

Your Honor, that's all the questions I have for this witness.

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Archive/Trial - Answers - Original.docx ***

QUESTIONS TO ASK TIM

Q: Hi, how do you know me? A: Hi, you are my youngest sister.

Q: Are you aware of some videos I made and posted online?A; Yes.

Q: Are you aware of a website that has similar information?A: Yes.

Q: How did you find out about this? A: I saw a post on Facebook.

Q: Did you watch any of the videos? A: Yes.

Q: Did you notice anything familiar about the videos? A: You were clearly reading my personal journal.

Q: Do you know how I got a copy of that journal? A: I asked our brother about this. He has power-of-attorney for my affairs. He said that he gave you a copy of my personal journal and some of the evidence.

Q: Did you go to the website? A: Yes.

Q: How much of the website did you read?

A: All of it – every word.

Q: To the best of your knowledge, is everything on there truthful?A: Absolutely.

Q: Did you read the HRO that was filed against me?A: Yes

Q: It says you told Brittany about a video you posted on your website - is that true?A: No

Q: In your own words, can you explain what happened?A: During the first HRO hearing I was led to believe that I had to accept the HRO without finding of fact in order to protect my daughter, Brittany's credibility. My family is close and they kept asking me questions about what happened. Talking about this over and over again is emotionally painful. So, in an effort to answer all their questions just one time, I decided to make a video and post it privately on my website. My youngest daughter, Vanessa, interviewed me by reading the HRO filed by the Plaintiff's, during which I gave my side of the story. This video was posted on my website on a private and hidden page, which was additionally password protected. I then emailed login information to 5 family members and asked they tell me once they watched the video so I could take it down. My daughter, Vanessa, decided to tell my daughter Brittany and shared the link to the page as well as the password. Brittany and Steven, who were unauthorized, accessed my private password protected page, and while there they also made a screenshot of my webpage.

They later claimed that they, quote "Can provide a transcript if necessary." That statement gives the illusion my video contains lies and/or threats - which it does not. Why would I lie to my family? And why would I make threats to them? Quite simply, I wouldn't.

And if they really had a transcript, why didn't they submit it as evidence if it contained any lies or threats?

Anyway, back on point, they both admitted in their HRO filing that my daughter Vanessa told my daughter Brittany about this. Vanessa admits she did it. Steven in addition to admitting that Vanessa told Brittany about this, then tried to weave me into this story and attempted to make me responsible by making a false claim about my telling Vanessa to share this with Brittany. He has no evidence that ever happened -- because it didn't.

So, everyone agrees that Vanessa told Brittany about this.

But then the court referee removes Vanessa from the equation and said I was the one who told Brittany - and for that found me in violation of the HRO. The referee's decision was obviously wrong. And now the plaintiffs are presenting this as a fact, when they know it's not true. It seems clear this is an attempt to be deceptive to this court.

Q: How did it come about that I was called a liar in the HRO trial?A: Their attorney, Mr. Van Loh was generally rude to my witnesses, and when he couldn't catch them in a lie (because they were being truthful), during the closing statements he told the court that all my witnesses were liars. Without any supporting evidence, that referee somehow magically determined that you and the others were not being truthful.

Q: When Brittany and Steven were dating, did she ever tell you about a time that she broke up with him? A: Yes

Q: Did she say why? A; She said it was because she felt like he was too controlling.

Q: Did you tell you the reason why they got back together again? A: Yes.

Q: What did she say the reason was? A: She said it was because they had a meeting during which he explained that due to his upbringing he was not taught how to properly express himself emotionally. Because of that explanation she decided to date him again.

Q: Steven claimed you took issues with decisions he and your daughter Brittany already made with the wedding - is that true?A: I have only talked with either of them about the wedding three times.1) Brittany's mom concerned about Brittany being stressed. Suggested I call Steven about the guest list.2) Brittany came to me and expressed her frustration over the choice of a wedding photographer. 3) Steven called me and I asked if we would be able to meet his parents before the wedding.

Q: What was the Rules of Engagement about?A: Brittany and Steven presented my wife and I with a letter titled Rules of Engagement. It contained rules they expected us as parents to follow. My wife and I thought this was strange but hesitantly agreed to them.

A few days later, I was unsure about something in the Rules of Engagement, and asked a question, in an email, about how to ask a question and was immediately accused of manipulation by Steven. The 4 of us

(Brittany, Steven my wife and myself) were in an email conversation about this and suddenly Steven contacts me directly saying terrible things – I replied that I won't continue that conversation without Brittany or Mrs. Hutchinson being involved.

I went back to the group email and addressed him in a more pointed manner. Nothing ended up being resolved due to Steven acting like a victim in the email conversation with the four of us, when in the private email to me he said that if I love my daughter Brittany that I would lay down everything and let him control me.

Afterwards, my wife asked me about how I had approached him later in the conversation. I showed her the emails Steven had sent directly to me and she was upset by what he had said. It was then she understood my response.

I will also say that I was accused of one time violating these Rules of Engagement, but when asked how, neither of them has ever given a clear explanation. Brittany's mother and I and our family still have no idea what they are talking about. They have repeated this accusation several times about the same incident, but can't explain what happened. They have done this over and over again where they make claims about my behavior, then fail to offer any details about what happened. Everything is just so vague.

Q: Have you ever threatened your daughter?A: I have never threatened my daughter Brittany. I literally love her more than life itself. I would lay down my very life anytime for her. She is absolutely one of the best things that has ever happened to me. I miss her so much. I still remember the last time we talked. It was February 22nd, 2021 at 11:20 AM. The last thing she said to me was, "Dad, I love you so so so so much!" And even though we haven't talked since then, my heart has conversations with her every day.

Q; Can you share a story about a time when you went over and above as a father to Brittany? A: I remember a time when I was awake at 3 AM and Brittany was up walking around. I asked why she was awake. She said it was because something was bothering her, and she asked if she could talk with me. I said sure, and we went out to a restaurant that was open all night. We talked for several hours during which time she shared what was on her heart. Afterwards she hugged me and said she felt much better. We went back home and she went to bed and was finally able to sleep. What she didn't know was the reason I was awake was because I had just gotten home from working 22 hours straight. 22 hours – no breaks. I was exhausted. But my daughter needed me, and I stepped up like a good dad would who loves her and his family. Now Steven expects people to believe that I want to kill her and harm hundreds of children at a church? That's what it says in the HRO. Meanwhile, back in reality, I am still the same great dad that Brittany knew for over 20 years while growing up.

Q: Have you ever threatened Steven?A: No – I tried to have a good relationship with him, but he kept being rude to me and eventually my wife and I came to believe he was lying to Brittany about me. He

once called and said, quote “If you want a relationship with Brittany, then you need to submit to me and allow me to control you.” But he wants the court and my daughter to believe that I am the real monster.

Q: He mentioned in the HRO that you reminded him several times about assaulting one of Vanessa's boyfriends…did you tell him about that incident?A: No. Absolutely not. I have no reason to tell him that. I was trying to have a good relationship with him, the same as the others my daughters have dated.

Q: How did he find out about it?A: I think it’s important to begin with what this story is about. Kyle was my daughter Vanessa's boyfriend she had just begun dating, and had physically assaulted her, by throwing her against the side of a car, then onto the ground. Afterwards, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. She didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. Kyle was calling Vanessa repeatedly and harassing her. So, I called Kyle, and he started yelling at me, so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So, I went to Kyle's apartment in person. Probably not the smartest thing I’ve done as a parent. But sometimes we live and learn through the experiences.

I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified myself as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to, I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me backwards with both hands. I stumbled back across the hallway and then he immediately came at me with a raised fist. At that point I felt the need to defend myself and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I told him, "Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left.

He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical, and I only responded in a manner that was clearly self-defense. I told Vanessa that in the future I would insist on calling the police and letting them handle the situation, and she agreed.

Vanessa told this story at her mom’s birthday party that I had arranged at Outback Steak House in Maplewood on Beam Ave – which is her favorite restaurant. I suggested to my 3 daughters that they invite their boyfriends, and said everything was a treat from myself in celebration of their mom’s birthday. During the meal Vanessa began telling the story to her new boyfriend, James. I intervened and quickly finished telling the story. James did not seem bothered by it at all. About a week or so later, at a bonfire at our house, Vanessa again began telling this story again – this time to several of my friends. I quickly ended it and asked her not to tell this story again. She said, “I am so proud of you dad.” I said thank you, but really let’s not repeat this anymore, it makes me look like something I am not. She said OK, and I love you dad. I said thank you and I love you too.

During the times she told this story, twice, Steven was not involved in the conversation at all. At my wife’s birthday party, he was seated across the table from us and several seats away and engaged in a

different conversation. At the bonfire, the chairs were in a half-circle around the fire pit, and he was seated 4 chairs over from us and again, engaged in a different conversation. His name was not mentioned – we did not otherwise refer to him – nor make any type of eye contact whatsoever. My daughter started telling the story both times – I quickly ended it and asked her not to repeat the story – yet Steven claims in his HRO filing that I reminded him several times about this. Clearly, he was not telling the truth.

Q: What else happened in that situation which made you concerned? A: At the bonfire my brother made a couple of jokes towards Steven. I have a reverence for churches and people who work there, so I stepped in and explained that Steven works at a church and asked my brother not to joke about him. My brother quickly apologized and changed the topic.

The next day my daughter Vanessa told me that Steven had been rude to her. My son Alex said this also. So did two of my friends. My wife asked our daughter about this and confirmed it happened. She then asked our son who said the same thing. My wife and I met the two friends a week later at Bear Town restaurant on Highway 61 in White Bear Lake, and they talked about how Steven was rude to them. When the HRO was filed we then learned that Steven had lied about me telling him the story about Kyle.

So, I am defending him at the bonfire, meanwhile he is rude to my daughter, rude to my son, rude to several of my friends, at my house, and later on lies about me.

Q: What was the laundry letter situation about?A: My work was covid aware and we wore masks when not in our own offices, and several times a day an assigned person, including myself, would wipe down doorknobs and other surfaces in common areas. We shared news stories about covid through email, and one day there was an article about how long covid can last on clothes.

Several days later upon arriving home from work, my daughter Brittany said she was going out somewhere and explained that Steven's laundry was in the dryer. He washes his clothes at our house, and I honestly don't mind. She asked that when it was done that I put his clothes in a basket and place it outside the front door of the house. She said the reason is that Steven is concerned about Covid and does not want to come inside. I agreed to do this. I wrote him a letter to be helpful and told him about how I had learned about the Covid virus being able to live on clothes for up to 48 hours. I then suggested that since he is concerned about Covid in our house, that he might want to consider washing his clothes at his apartment, at least until the pandemic had gotten better. Later, when I placed his clothes outside the front door, I placed that letter on top of the clothes basket. I then finished preparing to leave the house and go somewhere.

The letter was very nicely written and showed an abundance of care and concern for Steven's health.

As I was about to leave the house I noticed Steven's car in the driveway. He was standing at the front door reading the letter. I began walking to the front door to say hello. Before I go to the door, he turned

and carried the laundry basket back to his car, put it into the back seat, slammed the car door, and stood there glaring angrily at our house. Then he gets into his car and squeals his tires backing out of the driveway. He then races off, runs a stop sign without ever slowing down, and continued to accelerate to nearly double the speed limit.

Q: We have submitted that letter as evidence, will you please read it. A: <<< READ THE LETTER _ SUBMITTED AS EVIDENCE>>> Where's the threat?

Q: Has he ever mentioned this letter to you? A: He has never said anything about this letter. Nothing. No thank you for your concern, maybe we can meet up another time, nothing like that.

Then just two days later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for hours. So, he can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell and say hello, yet two days later he can spend hours inside our home? Neither my wife or I could make any sense of this.

Q: Where there any witnesses to this? A: Later I went to the mailbox and met our neighbor, who told me he heard a car door slamming. He saw what Steven did in the driveway and how he drove away, running the stop sign and speeding. He asked who that was – I said my future son-in-law. He laughed and said "You're joking!" I replied no, that's him. He said that he would not allow that kind of behavior at his house. I said, yeah, I'm thinking that too.

But I wanted to give another chance at resolving whatever issues he clearly was having – whatever they were. So, later that evening I called Steven to invite him to hang out sometime – he sharply said "No" and immediately hung up on me.

Q: In the HRO Steven says a few days later you left your gun out in plain sight at your house as a threat to him. Is this true and if so, why? A: The HRO claims that I, quote "placed the firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment towards Steven."

First of all, what anger and resentment? The last communication I had with Steven was the letter I had left a few days prior on his laundry basket, and a phone call later the same day asking him to hang out sometime.

So why did I leave the gun on the banister? Because Brittany's mom asked me to.

After what happened in Minneapolis with George Floyd and the protests and then the protesters threatening to go to the suburbs, it made my wife feel safer to have it visible for a few minutes when I got home from work. What am I supposed to say, No?! Just keep on feeling afraid?

Again, she literally asked me to do this! In fact, she first asked me if I still owned a gun. That shows how rarely I ever bring it out. And I only placed it on the banister when coming home from work, going into

the kitchen, greeting my wife and unpacking my lunch bag. That took maybe 3 or 4 minutes. Then I went and put the gun away in a safe in our bedroom. I only started carrying it recently because my job required me to go to Minneapolis at random times to visit customers. Many of them were close by to where the protests were happening. At the time I had a concealed carry permit I carried lawfully.

One day while Steven is over he sees the handgun in its usual place, and made an incorrect assumption. And the gun was NOT by the front door - it was on the banister up on the 2nd floor. He never went upstairs. He and Brittany were in the lower level of the house in the family room the entire time. When he saw it, he was at the bottom of the stairs near the front door. My weapon was in a holster and there was nothing illegal or threatening about the placement of my gun, not at all. He was never near it - he looked up a flight of stairs and saw it. He never saw me, I was never near the gun. At the time I was in the kitchen, which is not visible from the front door. Brittany and Steven were leaving the house and said hi to me. I said hi back to them, then Brittany said they were leaving. I said OK, good bye – have a good day!

Where is the threat??

Now, the one time he saw my gun, over time has grown to where according to an HRO filing I want to kill him, kill my daughter, and possibly go to a church and harm hundreds of children. All because he saw my gun one time, at my house, where it was placed in response to my wife's request to make her feel safer. Can anyone make this make sense?

Tell you what, I recently asked my kids about the gun. They don't know what kind it is. They don't know what color it is. I asked when the last time was they saw me holding it. They said they've never seen me holding that gun. I asked when was the last time they've seen me hold any gun. They said, dad, we've never seen you holding any gun, ever. I asked if they had ever heard me threaten anyone with a gun. They all said no.

Again, make this make sense.

Q: Later that day,, when you raised your voice at the house, did you know Brittany was home at the time?A: No, I didn't. Had I known I would have waited until later or asked my wife to come with me to the garage for a conversation.

Q: What were you upset about?A: Steven growling like a demon. Being rude to Vanessa, Alex, my two friends, hanging up on me, the way he acted at our house recently by slamming his car door, looking angrily at our house, screeching his tires in our driveway, running a stop sign, speeding away, plus my daughter Brittany has been losing weight unintentionally and I hadn't seen her smile in several weeks. Plus, how he acts differently around Brittany than when she is not around.

During this time my wife was nodding her head, yes, she was agreeing with me there is a problem and we should be concerned as parents about this.

Meanwhile, Steven is on the phone with Brittany, in her bedroom, telling her she should move out of our house because of concern she might be in danger. That's what it states in the HRO. No one was ever in any danger at all. It was harmless venting for a brief moment by a dad who cares deeply for his daughter – and is saying this to his wife, who is completely agreeing with everything being said.

I am in my own home, a safe place, harmlessly venting to my wife and she is listening and agreeing with her husband. No one was being threatened.

I then told my wife that especially because of his recent behavior I didn't think it was a good idea for him to be at our house. She agreed. I texted this to Steven and he acknowledged the message. Again, there was no threat. Then my wife hugged me and we went into the kitchen and made supper.

She was never afraid of me – and again, there were no threats made to anyone.

My ex-wife is a high school science teacher – she has 5 college degrees. She's smart – she knows what's up! In our 35 years together, she has never felt unsafe around me. Neither has any of our children, including Brittany – at least not until she met Steven.

Q: Were you making any threats to Steven?A: Absolutely not.

Q: Since then, 4 years ago, how many times have you raised your voice?A: Not once. Never. Doing so was uncommon as my wife and I strived to make our house a peaceful place where our children felt loved, accepted and safe. We are good parents who truly love our kids. No one anywhere will ever tell you otherwise.

Q: A few days later Brittany moved out - did you see her after that?A: Yes, she came back later that evening to pick something up. We hugged and she said that she loved me. At this time, I literally did not know why she was moving out. There was no communication about her feeling unsafe – and also no communication about Steven being concerned about seeing my gun at the house. If they would come talk with her mom and I, we could have easily resolved this.

Q; Did your daughter Vanessa make a comment about seeing Brittany after she moved out?A; Yes, she said within a day of Brittany moving out that she was with Brittany standing outside the building she just moved into. She said that Brittany was hugging her, and crying…saying she was not sure what was happening and not sure why she had to move out of our family home.

Q: Was there any talk about mediation – if so, what happened?

A: I saw increased sadness in my daughter's eyes and felt horrible for her. So, I told Brittany and her mom that I would contact Steven and offer to let bygones be bygones and to set simple expectations of

how we communicate. I texted him and he refused to meet and instead said he would not talk with me without mediation. I asked if he was sure he wanted to do that and said we could work things out. He said no that our next talk must be mediated. I told him to go ahead and pick out any place he wanted to go for mediation, and Mrs. Hutchinson and I would pay for it. He said no, the only place he would go for mediation is at the church where he works. I said OK, set something up. I also told him that we didn't have to bring up everything in the past and could just focus on moving forward. He said no, in mediation we will talk about everything that has happened in the past. I asked if he was sure, because that would mean things would come out that Brittany does not know about. That would not be good for him. He said yes, he was sure. I suggested he take a screenshot of that conversation as a reminder that he asked for this. I'm trying to be nice and helpful to him here.

The first date and time was set for mediation, but was soon cancelled because the mediator had something come up. OK, no problem – life happens. So, another date was set – again at the church and with the same person.

Once that date was set, Steven began texting me about it. I said I was OK with talking about the past as he previously requested. He then began demanding we not talk about the past and said mediation is only about how, quote "You and Mrs. Hutchinson are going to talk to me." I showed this to my wife, who commented, quote "This is bullshit – what is wrong with him?"

I replied back to him saying that mediation is a time for us to learn how to communicate with each other.

Steven then canceled mediation and refused to reschedule.

Q: Did you ever tell them they were Loved and Forgiven?A: I suggested we take a few months off from the disagreement. I felt as though it was not right to let things set the way they were and instead decided to tell them they were LOVED AND FORGIVEN.

I drove first to Stevens Apt. Arican-American lady in parking lot. I was wearing a mask and practicing social distancing. He said I repeatedly buzzed his apt. and then said I quote "gained access" making it sound like I had broken into the building like a thief, when actually, thanks to the information from the nice lady in the parking lot, I walked in like a normal person.

Then bought flowers and drove to Brittany's apartment.

My wife knew about this and said how proud she was of me. Said she appreciated my actions, and she loved how I always put our family first.

I updated my private journal and when I wrote about this, I used the words LOVED AND FORGIVEN and had them typed out all in upper case – it was clear and obvious to anyone reading it what my intent was in going there.

When they filed the first HRO – Steven included a copy of my personal journal – except the page where I described this event. You see, that page tells the real story. And he excluded it from his filing.

This was one of 15 attempts I made at peace – I tried until when he said though his attorney that he does not want to reconcile with me, at all.

Q: Did Steven ever ask you for money?A: Yes, and I gave them money every month for 2 ½ years – on the 20th of the month because that was the day Brittany was born. Jen later said that Steven told Brittany that I had promised to help them, but then never did. I showed Jen receipts which proved that we (husband and wife) were sending them money every month. I stopped after 2 ½ years because Jen moved out of our house due to the stress caused by this situation.

Q: How was your involvement in Brittany's wedding different from your oldest daughter Victoria's wedding?

A: During the planning of Victoria's wedding my only involvement was to write checks. Victoria described that as my moment to shine! Her wedding took place about a year after Brittany's. I asked her if I could be the only one to walk her down the aisle – was jealous her mom got to do that for Brittany and I did not.

Father of the bride speech was amazing.

<< SHOW PICTURE ON SCREEN OF MY PHONE>>

One Direction video for Brittany – pulled out all the stops for my daughter who called herself daddy's girl.

The night before the wedding I helped iron the table cloths – because that's love in action. I love my daughter so much that I am willing to do that for her.

Q: Did you report this to the Sheriff's Dept?A: Yes

Q: What was the result? A: Ramsey County Sheriff's Office – investigation

Q: What are your relationships like with Jennifer?A: We still see each other multiple times each week – dinner, movies, hockey games. She texts me almost every night saying Sweet Dreams. Says she will never date anyone else, unless it's me. Says she will never get married again, unless it is to remarry me. Says I am literally her best friend. She cried every day for a month after she moved out of our family home. She called the court and asked them to stop the divorce. She still texts and / or calls me at least twice a day. And when we are together, she smiles almost constantly – because she is in her happy place.

Q: How about with your other 3 children? A: Vanessa. We lived together in an apartment. She said you're my home dad! When we get pedicures, whatever color she gets her nails painted, I have my two big toes done in the same color. She absolutely loves this!

Alex – he's a mail carrier for the post office. lunch at the park. Jersey Mike's secret menu #99

Victoria – mostaccioli

Q: Did anything change in your relationship with your former mother-in-law? A: Will you still call me mom? Said she doesn't want to lose me.

Plus, we go to bingo each Tuesday evening and I often take her out to lunch, dinner, walks at the shopping mall, doctor appts, salon appointments and more.

Q: What were relationships like with any previous boyfriends of Brittany?A: David – I taught him how to shave. He came to our house after Brittany broke up with him, saying because he just felt better being with me.

Q: How is the relationship you have with your daughter Vanessa's boyfriend, James? A: He told me that he looked up to me as a father figure. Said he was thankful I treated him so well and how I welcomed him into our family. When he ended up homeless, I'm the person who gave him money for food and other expenses, plus I paid for his hotel room until he found a place he could move to.

Q: Why did they file a 2nd HRO against you?A: Because my sister, you, wanted to organize a protest at the church. I said no three times and also said I would not participate. The Plaintiff's blamed this on me and filed for an extension of the HRO without even having the facts of what happened.

Q: How did your wife respond to this? A: Jen, "Well, if Brittany sat in jail, maybe then she would begin to realize that Steven is manipulating her." Recently she said in an email to me, that she does not have a relationship with Steven, rather she only tolerates him so that she can have a relationship with Brittany. She has also told me in the past that she is afraid of Steven – that he will do to her what he's been doing to me. And will get away with it quote, "because of that lawyer and that court." Again, she is a smart woman – she knows what's up.

Q: Did you request a referral from the court to the Sheriff's Dept? A: No.

Q: Why not? A: Because I was prevented from doing that. That was not even a real trial. For example, their only evidence was my personal journal which the court had previously said would not be allowed because it was from the first HRO. My evidence was not allowed. I was not allowed to testify. Not a search for truth or justice. This reminded me of the story of the Salem witch hunt. The outcome was not based upon the truth nor did this reflect any sort of justice.

Q: Was your gun stolen?A: Yes

Q: How did that happen and what was the outcome? A: My gun being stolen and I requested it be processed by the BCA.

Q: Did I ever help you search for an attorney? A: Yes.

Q: What was the result? A: An attorney recommended I file a complaint with the MN State Bar Association – I was sent a copy of his response and it contains lies.

Another attorney said this is corruption. I offered $10k to represent me. Not as a retainer – but a fee which he can keep all of. I just want an opportunity for my daughter to hear the truth.

Q: Have you spoken with a media agent about having this made into a movie?A: Yes.

Q: What was the result? A: I have signed an agreement.

I asked for two things; First. A one dollar payment – to show this was about my family and not money. And Secondly, when the film the scene where Mr. Van Loh calls my family liars, I want a special emphasis put on the fact that one of them was my wife.

You know, all these clever moves they've been making, how rude they were to me, and the way the courts were weaponized against my family and I, it's almost like all along they've been writing the movie script.

Q: Did you ever speak with an attorney about your First Amendment right?A: Yes.

Q: What did he or she say? A: All along the Plaintiff's and their attorney have positioned things to make it seem as though the only thing required for them to take me back into court and possibly have me put in jail was for Steven to feel disparaged by something I say. In other words, it has nothing to do with something being the truth, but only about how Steven feels about it.

So, I spoke with any attorney who said our First Amendment Rights do not hinge upon whether or not Steven feels disparaged. It only has to be the truth. I have a legal right to have a movie made about this. So, honestly, he can go take his precious little feelings and screw off. I don't care about his feelings – it's all about what the truth is. And the truth is those three, Steven, the attorney and the referee, have engaged in activities that intentionally hid the truth from my daughter. And in doing so, have caused significant damage to my family and myself.

Q: What, if any, plans do you have moving forward in regards to this matter? A: Never once during of all this time have they ever come to me and offered peace or even ask for clarification on anything. They have taken everything I have said and done and spun it around to make me look like I am a horrible person who is repeatedly attacking them. My family knows those are all lies about me, and I have the evidence to prove it.

So now I am going to respond to their ongoing threats against my family, and against the attacks they have made against us. I have evidence you don't have – not even our brother has access to it. It's all on this flash drive. I once told my daughter, Brittany, that I would give up everything and go to the ends of the earth for her. I am now going to prove that. I am giving up all material possessions and moving overseas. Halfway around the world.

Once there, I am going to release all the evidence I have on this flash drive.

Let's be clear. I had several attorney's review the contents of this flash drive, and they both said this ends careers and puts someone in prison. I will have done all of this by telling the truth and obeying the law. It is my belief they have done the opposite. Again, I wanted peace – they showed me by their words and actions they don't want that. So now, finally, I am going to respond. I hope they remember I didn't want this – they did. And now, at my age, I have to give up everything and literally leave the country to fight for my family. But that's how much I love all of you, and my daughter, Brittany.

As I said before, if you want peace, you prepare for war, so you can respond when someone tries to take away your peace, as they clearly have. I also said if they treat me like a game, I'll show them how it's played. I gave them plenty of warnings in the hopes they would stop attacking my family and I and work towards peace. But they didn't, and I am forced into a position where I must respond.

You know if I am willing to give up everything and leave the country, then I have something devastating on this flash drive. And I do. I promise this is not a bluff.

They have given my nothing but sorrow, pain and loss the past 4 years. And now I am going to return what they gave me, so my family can finally close this chapter in our lives and heal.

Q: In addition to starting a ministry in Africa, what other types of volunteer work have you done or other activities that show a high level of trust and safety?

A: I was trusted to visit patients at a hospice, nursing home, private homes and other health care settings. Never a problem at all.

During the time I worked as a truck driver, I was cleared by the FBI and trusted to haul up to 45,000 lbs. of explosives and hazardous chemicals nationwide without any supervision. There was never a concern that I would ever act dangerously.

Volunteer at high schools for 25 years – worked closely with police officers and school administrators. Trusted to have all-access to anywhere in the school and serve in any chaperone capacity or duties. Never caused any issues or gave them any reason to not trust me, not ever. During that time, one of the police officers read my memoir and said this;

"Tim Hutchinson's story is very inspiring for me … I read this book as a concerned father and also as a police officer currently working in a public high school. [he goes on to say] I have seen Tim in his interactions with people and I believe he is an amazing person with an even more amazing message that needs to be heard by everybody." -Chris Goodreau, Police OfficerBurnsville, MN

Yes, Burnsville – the city that a little more than a year ago lost 2 police officers and a paramedic in a horrific incident.

It's amazing that the 3 people who know me the least are the ones telling these big damn lies about me – and 2 of them have never even met me. Meanwhile, every other person who knows me is standing by me 100%. Except my daughter Brittany -- and her mom and I firmly believe she is being manipulated.

Q: Lastly, is there anything else you would like to add? A; Just thank you to you and our family & friends for standing up for me. No matter the courts decision today, I will always appreciate all of your efforts.

I hope you win – you deserve to win and have your right to Freedom of Speech protected. Same as any other good and decent American. And hey, I love you!

## 158. Archive/Trial - Answers - Shortened 2.docx

Jail is not a deterrent for me when I am already living in hell.

Closing Statement

Your Honor, after hearing what my brother and Brittany's dad has said as a witness, I am confident his words spoke volumes. So, my closing comments will be brief.

This is about my freedom of speech. It's about my rights being protected. It's about my rights to publicly speak the truth.

It's not them against my brother either – more accurately, it's about them against Tim and his ex-wife. Against Tim and his ex-mother-law. Against Tim and myself. Against Tim and our brother. Against Tim and his other 3 children. Against Tim and our sisters. Against Tim and his nieces and nephews, Against Tim and family friends. Against Tim and neighbors. Against Tim and many others who know him and know he's not the kind of person the Plaintiffs are untruthfully claiming he is and are attacking.

As I mentioned before, your Honor, some of us have read the harassment restraining orders and know for sure that Steven lied. No 2-ways about that.

All I am asking for is to be able to speak the truth, the real truth that we have witnesses and evidence to support and to do so publicly. That's what they are really afraid of. Being exposed. They have operated in the dark for too long, and we want the lies to be exposed, so our family can finally begin healing.

Thank you, your Honor.

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Archive/Trial - Answers - Shortened 2.docx ***

QUESTIONS TO ASK TIM

Q: Hi, how do you know me? A: Hi, you are my youngest sister.

Q: Are you aware of some videos I made and posted online as well as a website which contains similar information?A; Yes.

Q: How much of the website did you read?

A: All of it – every word.

Q: To the best of your knowledge, is everything on there truthful?A: Absolutely.

Q: In the HRO filed against me, it says you told Brittany about a video you posted on your website - is that true?A: No.

Q: In your own words, can you explain what happened?A: During the first HRO hearing I was led to believe that I had to accept the HRO without finding of fact in order to protect my daughter Brittany's credibility. My family is close and they kept asking me questions about what happened. Talking about this over and over again is emotionally painful. So, in an effort to answer all their questions just one time, I decided to make a video and post it privately on my website. My youngest daughter, Vanessa, interviewed me by reading the HRO filed by the Plaintiff's, during which I gave my side of the story based upon the facts. This video was posted on my website on a private and hidden page, which was additionally password protected. I then emailed the login information to 5 family members and asked they tell me once they watched the video so I could take it down. My daughter, Vanessa, decided to tell my daughter Brittany and shared the link to the page as well as the password. Brittany and Steven, who were unauthorized, then accessed my private password protected page, and while there they also made a screenshot of my webpage.

They later claimed that they can provide a transcript if necessary. That statement gives the illusion my video contains lies and/or threats - which it does not. Why would I lie to my family? And why would I make threats to them? Quite simply, I wouldn't.

And if they really had a transcript, why didn't they submit it as evidence if it contained any lies or threats?

Anyway, back on point, they both admitted in their HRO filing that my daughter Vanessa told my daughter Brittany about this. Vanessa also says did it.

Bottom line is everyone agrees that Vanessa told Brittany about this.

But then the court referee removes Vanessa from the equation and said I was the one who told Brittany - and for that found me in violation of the HRO. The referee's decision was obviously wrong. And I was surprised to read that the plaintiffs are presenting this as a fact, when they know it's not true. So why are they including this in the HRO filing since they obviously know it's wrong. Seems like an attempt to be deceptive to this court.

Q: How did it come about that I was called a liar in the HRO trial?A: Their attorney, Mr. Van Loh was generally rude to my witnesses, and when he couldn't catch them in a lie (because they were being truthful), during the closing statements he told the court that all my witnesses were liars. Without any supporting evidence, that referee somehow magically determined that you and the others were lying.

Q: When Brittany and Steven were dating, did she ever tell you about a time that she broke up with him? A: Yes

Q: Did she say why? A; She said it was because she felt like he was too controlling.

Q: Did she tell you the reason why they got back together again? A: Yes.

Q: What did she say the reason was? A: She said it was because they had a meeting during which he explained that due to his upbringing he was not taught how to properly express himself emotionally. And then he convinced her to date him again.

Q: I submitted evidence # 205, titled Rules of Engagement. What was the Rules of Engagement about?A: Brittany and Steven presented my wife and I with a letter titled Rules of Engagement. It contained rules they expected us as parents to follow. My wife and I thought this was strange but hesitantly agreed to this.

A few days later, I was unsure about something and asked a question, in an email, about how to ask a question and was immediately accused of manipulation by Steven. The 4 of us (my wife, our daughter Brittany, Steven and myself) were in an email conversation about this and suddenly Steven contacts me directly saying terrible things – I replied that I won't continue that conversation without Brittany or Mrs. Hutchinson being involved.

I went back to the group email and addressed him in a more pointed manner. Nothing ended up being resolved due to Steven acting like a victim, when in the private email to me he said that if I loved my daughter Brittany that I would lay down everything and let him control me.

Afterwards, my wife asked me about how I had approached him in the conversation. I showed her the emails Steven had sent directly to me and she was appalled by what he had said. It was then she understood my response.

I will also say that I was accused of only one time supposedly violating these Rules of Engagement, but when asked how, neither of them has ever given a clear explanation. Brittany's mother and I and our family still have no idea what they are talking about. They have repeated this accusation several times about the same incident but can't explain what happened. They have done this over and over again where they make claims about my behavior, then fail to offer any details. Everything is just so vague.

Q: Have you ever threatened your daughter?A: I have never threatened my daughter Brittany. I literally love her more than life itself. I would lay down my very life anytime for her. She is absolutely one of the best things that has ever happened to me. I miss her so much. I still remember the last time we talked. It was February 22nd, 2021 at 11:20 AM. The last thing she said to me was, "Dad, I love you so so so so much!" And even though we haven't talked since then, my heart has conversations with her every day.

Q: Have you ever threatened Steven?A: No – I tried to have a good relationship with him, but he kept being rude to me and eventually my wife and I came to believe he was lying to Brittany about me. He once called and said, quote "If you want a relationship with Brittany, then you need to submit to me and allow me to control you." But he wants the court and my daughter to believe that I am the real monster.

Q: He mentioned in the HRO that you reminded him several times about assaulting one of Vanessa's boyfriends…did you tell him about that incident?A: No. Absolutely not. I have no reason to tell him that. I was trying to have a good relationship with him, the same as the others my daughters have dated.

Q: How did he find out about it?A: I think it's important to begin with what this story is about. Kyle was my daughter Vanessa's boyfriend she had just begun dating, and had physically assaulted her, by throwing her against the side of a car, then onto the ground. Afterwards, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. She didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. Kyle was calling Vanessa repeatedly and harassing her. So, I called Kyle, and he started yelling at me, so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So, I went to Kyle's apartment in person. Probably not the smartest thing I've done as a parent. But sometimes we live and learn through the experiences.

I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified myself as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to, I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me backwards with both hands. I stumbled back across the hallway and then he immediately came at me with a raised fist. At that point I felt the need to defend myself and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I told him, "Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left.

He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical, and I only responded in a manner that was clearly self-defense.

Vanessa told this story at her mom's birthday party that I had arranged at Outback Steak House in Maplewood on Beam Ave – which is her favorite restaurant. I suggested to my 3 daughters that they invite their boyfriends, and said everything was a treat from myself in celebration of their mom's birthday. During the meal Vanessa began telling the story to her new boyfriend, James. I intervened and quickly finished telling the story. James did not seem bothered by it at all. About a week or so later, at a bonfire at our house, Vanessa began telling this story again – this time to several of my friends. I quickly ended it and asked her not to tell this story again. She said, "I am so proud of you dad." I said thank you, but really let's not repeat this anymore, it makes me look like something I am not. She said OK, and I love you dad. I said thank you and I love you too.

During the times she told this story, twice, Steven was not involved in the conversation at all. At my wife's birthday party, he was seated across the table from us and several seats away and engaged in a different conversation. At the bonfire, the chairs were in a half-circle around the fire pit, and he was seated 4 chairs over from us and again, engaged in a different conversation. His name was not mentioned – we did not otherwise refer to him – nor make any type of eye contact whatsoever. My friends were on mine and Vanessa's right side and Steven was on our left, 4 chairs away so we were not even looking in his direction. My daughter started telling the story both times – I quickly ended it and asked her not to repeat it anymore – yet Steven claims in his HRO filing that I reminded him several times about this. Clearly, he is not telling the truth.

Q: What else happened in that situation which made you concerned? A: At the bonfire our brother made a couple of jokes towards Steven. I have a reverence for churches and people who work there, so I stepped in and explained that Steven works at a church and asked my brother not to joke about him. Our brother is a good guy – he quickly apologized and changed the topic. That was it.

The next day my daughter Vanessa told me that Steven had been rude to her. My son Alex said this also. So did two of my friends. My wife asked our daughter about this and confirmed it happened. She then asked our son who said the same thing. My wife and I met the two friends a week later at Bear Town restaurant on Highway 61 in White Bear Lake, and they talked about how Steven was rude to them. Afterwards, when the HRO was filed, we learned that Steven had lied about me telling him the story about Kyle.

So, I am defending him at the bonfire, meanwhile he is rude to my daughter, rude to my son, rude to several of my friends, at my house, and later on lies about me.

Q: Please refer to Evidence #204, titled Laundry Letter. What was the laundry letter situation about?A: My work was covid aware and we wore masks when not in our own offices, and several times a day an assigned person, including myself, would wipe down doorknobs and other surfaces in common areas. We shared news stories about covid through email, and one day there was an article about how long covid can last on clothes.

Several days later upon arriving home from work, my daughter Brittany said she was going out somewhere and explained that Steven's laundry was in the dryer. He washes his clothes at our house, and I honestly don't mind. She asked that when it was done that I put his clothes in a basket and place it outside the front door of the house. She said the reason is that Steven is concerned about Covid and does not want to come inside. I agreed to do this. I wrote him a letter to be helpful and told him about how I had learned about the Covid virus being able to live on clothes for up to 48 hours. I then suggested that since he is concerned about Covid in our house, that he might want to consider washing his clothes at his apartment, at least until the pandemic had gotten better. Later, when I placed his clothes outside the front door, I placed that letter on top of the clothes basket. I then finished preparing to leave the house and go somewhere.

The letter was very nicely written and showed an abundance of care and concern for Steven's health.

As I was about to leave the house I noticed Steven's car in the driveway. He was standing at the front door reading the letter. I began walking to the front door to say hello. Before I go to the door, he turned and carried the laundry basket back to his car, put it into the back seat, slammed the car door, and stood there glaring angrily at our house. Then he gets into his car and squeals his tires backing out of the driveway. He then races off, runs a stop sign without ever slowing down, and continued to accelerate to nearly double the speed limit.

Q: Will you please read the laundry letter to the court?

A: <<< READ THE LETTER _ SUBMITTED AS EVIDENCE>>>

So…where's the threat?

Q: Has he ever mentioned this letter to you? A: He has never said anything about this letter. Nothing. No thank you for your concern, maybe we can meet up another time, nothing like that.

Then just two days later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for hours. So, he can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell and say hello, yet two days later he can spend hours inside our home? Neither my wife or I could make any sense of this.

Q: Where there any witnesses to this? A: Later I went to the mailbox and met our neighbor, who told me he heard a car door slamming. He saw what Steven did in the driveway and how he drove away, running the stop sign and speeding. He asked who that was – I said my future son-in-law. He laughed and said "You're joking!" I replied no, that's him. He said that he would not allow that kind of behavior at his house. I said, yeah, I'm thinking that too.

But I wanted to give another chance at resolving whatever issues he was having – whatever they were are not clear to me. So, later that evening I called Steven to invite him to hang out sometime – he sharply said “No” and immediately hung up.

Q: In the HRO Steven says a few days later you left your gun out in plain sight at your house as a threat to him. Is this true and if so, why? A: The HRO claims that I, quote "placed the firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment towards Steven."

First of all, what anger and resentment? The last communication I had with Steven was the letter I had left a few days prior on his laundry basket, and a phone call later the same day asking him to hang out sometime.

So why did I leave the gun on the banister? Because Brittany's mom asked me to.

After what happened in Minneapolis with George Floyd and the protests and then the protesters threatening to go to the suburbs, it made my wife feel safer to have it visible for a few minutes when I got home from work. What am I supposed to say, No?! Just keep on feeling afraid?

Again, she literally asked me to do this! In fact, she first asked me if I still owned a gun. That shows how rarely I ever bring it out. And I only placed it on the banister when coming home from work, going into the kitchen, greeting my wife and unpacking my lunch bag. That took maybe 3 or 4 minutes. Then I went and put the gun away in a safe in our bedroom. I only started carrying it very recently at that time because my job required me to go to Minneapolis at random times to visit customers. Many of them were close by to where the protests were happening. I had a concealed carry permit, so I was able to carry a weapon lawfully. And it always remained in my car during the day, I never brought it into my workplace – then at night I brought it into the house and placed it into a safe.

One day while Steven is over he sees the handgun in its usual place, and made an incorrect assumption. And the gun was NOT by the front door - it was on the banister, up on the 2nd floor. He never went upstairs. He and Brittany were in the lower level of the house in the family room the entire time. When he saw it, he was at the bottom of the stairs near the front door. My weapon was in a holster and there was nothing illegal or threatening about its placement, not at all. He was never near it - he looked up a flight of stairs and saw it. He never saw me, I was never near the gun. At the time I was in the kitchen, which is not visible from the front door. Brittany and Steven were leaving the house and called out saying hi to me. I said hi back to them, then Brittany said they were leaving. I said OK, good bye – have a good day! I never saw them. We only heard each other’s voices.

Where is the threat??

Since the one time he saw my gun, this story has grown to where according to an HRO filing I want to kill him, kill my daughter, and go to a church and harm hundreds of children. All because he saw my gun

one time, at my house, where it was placed in response to my wife's request to make her feel safer. Can anyone make this make sense?

Tell you what, I recently asked my kids about the gun. They don't know what kind it is. They don't know what color it is. I asked when the last time was they saw me holding it. They said they've never seen me holding that gun. I asked when was the last time they've seen me hold any gun. They said, dad, we've never seen you holding any gun, ever. I asked if they had ever heard me threaten anyone with a gun. They all said no.

Again, make this make sense.

Q: Was there any talk about mediation – if so, what happened?

A: I saw increased sadness in my daughter's eyes and felt horrible for her. So, I told Brittany and her mom that I would contact Steven and offer to let bygones be bygones and to set simple expectations of how we communicate. I texted him and he refused to meet and instead said he would not talk with me without mediation. I asked if he was sure he wanted to do that and said we could work things out. He said no that our next talk must be mediated. I told him to go ahead and pick out any place he wanted to go for mediation, and Mrs. Hutchinson and I would pay for it. He said no, the only place he would go for mediation is at the church where he works. I said OK, set something up. I also told him that we didn't have to bring up everything in the past and could just focus on moving forward. He said no, in mediation we will talk about everything that has happened in the past. I asked if he was sure, because that would mean things would come out that Brittany does not know about. That would not be good for him. He said yes, he was sure.

The first date and time was set for mediation, but was soon cancelled because the mediator had something come up. OK, no problem – life happens. So, another date was set – again at the church and with the same person.

Once that date was set, Steven began texting me about it. I said I was OK with talking about the past as he previously requested. He then began demanding we not talk about the past and said quote, "Mediation is only about how you and Mrs. Hutchinson are going to talk to me." I immediately showed this to my wife, who commented, quote "This is bullshit – what is wrong with him?"

I replied back to him saying that mediation is a time for us to learn how to communicate with each other.

Steven then canceled mediation and refused to reschedule.

Q: Did you ever tell them they were Loved and Forgiven?A: I suggested we take a few months off from the disagreement. I felt as though it was not right to let things set the way they were and instead decided to tell them they were LOVED AND FORGIVEN.

I drove first to Stevens Apt.  I exited my car and an Arican-American lady walking to her car. She greeted me by saying good morning sir! I replied good morning. She then said the buzzer box to the building door was broken so I could just walk in. She also said that maintenance was aware and would get it fixed. I thanked her and she replied, have a blessed day sir!

In the HRO it says I repeatedly buzzed his apt – umm, how? The buzzer box was broken, He also says I "gained access" making it sound like I had broken into the building like a thief, when thanks to the information from the nice lady in the parking lot, I walked in like a normal person.

During this time I was wearing a mask.

I went to his apartment and knocked three times in a normal manner. Then stepped back across the hall, practicing social distancing. When there was no answer, I knocked three more times, again in a normal manner. When there was no answer, I left.

Then bought flowers and drove to Brittany's apartment. She didn't answer. I noticed her car was in the parking lot, so I left the flowers on her car and drove away.

My wife knew about this and said how proud she was of me. Said she appreciated my actions, and she loved how I always put our family first.

I updated my private journal and when I wrote about this, I used the words LOVED AND FORGIVEN and had typed that all in upper case, 4 times on the same page – it was clear and obvious to anyone reading it what my intent was in going there.

This was one of 15 attempts I made at peace – I tried until when he said though his attorney that he does not want to reconcile with me, at all.

When they filed the HRO – Steven included a copy of my personal journal – except the page where I described this event. You see, that page tells the real story. And he excluded it from his filing. He did say though that he was so afraid that he thought about jumping out of a 2nd floor window and fleeing for this life and possibly calling the police. Which he never did. He later attended my oldest daughters wedding – I walked by him and nodded my head. He nodded back. Why wasn't he afraid then?? Because there never was a threat – his claims were all clearly for dramatic effect.

Q: Did Steven ever ask you for money?A: Yes, and I gave them money every month for 2 ½ years – on the 20th of the month because that was the day Brittany was born. My wife later said that Steven told Brittany that I had promised to help them, but then never did. I showed her receipts which proved that we (husband and wife) were sending them money every month. I stopped after 2 ½ years because my wife moved out of our house due to the stress caused by this situation.

Q: Even though you were prevented from going to Brittany's wedding, did you ever help out with it?

A: The night before the wedding my wife was tasked with ironing all of the tablecloths. There were a lot and it was obvious she would not get them done. I made the suggestion of double layering our dining room table with towels and thus turning it into a large ironing board. We had 2 irons and stood on opposite sides of the table and ironed these table cloths for 3 hours until they were done.

I helped iron table cloths, for my daughters wedding that I couldn't attend – because that's love in action. I love my daughter so much that I am willing to do that for her. Look how important my oldest daughter Victoria's wedding was to me….<<SHOW PIC OF ME DANCING WITH HER ON HOME SCREEN OF MY PHONE>> That's me dancing with her at her wedding. You want the truth – there it is!!! 2 ½ years later and it's still on the home screen of my phone.

Q: Did you report this to the Sheriff's Dept?A: Yes

Q: What was the result? A: I showed them the evidence and asked what I had done wrong? They said nothing, I had done nothing wrong and that I am a good good father. I asked where do I go from here. They said since this was currently a civil matter that if I could get the court overseeing the HRO to refer this back to them, they would complete their investigation and likely put Steven in jail. Later that day I showed my wife the card they had given me which has the case number on it. She knows I went there.

Q: What are your relationships like with Jennifer?A: We still see each other multiple times each week – dinner, movies, hockey games. She texts me almost every night saying Sweet Dreams. Says she will never date again, unless it's me. Says she will never get married again, unless it is to remarry me. Says I am literally her best friend. She cried every day for a month after she moved out of our family home. She called the court and asked them to stop the divorce. She still contacts me anytime she has questions about technology or just random life questions. I did her taxes recently. And when we are together, she smiles almost constantly – because she is in her happy place. And she knows the reason for our divorce, and the loss of our family home, and the reason I had to give up my career. It's because of the stress from this whole situation and the barrage of lies being told about me. She knows this and wants this to be resolved as much as I do so our family can heal – and believes it will happen when the truth finally comes out.

Q: How about with your other 3 children? A: Wonderful – no problems at all. For example, when Vanessa and I get pedicures, whatever color she gets her nails painted, I have my two big toes done in the same color. She absolutely loves this! My kids know that I always put them first in my life and my love and care for them is obvious.

Q: Did anything change in your relationship with your former mother-in-law? A: I was at her house one day and she asked if it was true that her daughter and I were getting a divorce. I said yes, it's true. She then walked across the room and stood right in front of me and asked, will you still call me mom? I said, I would love to do that. She then hugged me and said she doesn't want to lose me.

We go to bingo almost every Tuesday evening and I often take her out to lunch, dinner, walks at the shopping mall, doctor appts, salon appointments and more. One day while visiting she gave me a hug and whispered, I left something in your shoe. It was a note asking me to take her out soon for ice cream. I still have that note and it's on my refrigerator. That's my mom!

Q: What were relationships like with any previous boyfriends of Brittany?A: David – I taught him how to shave. He came to our house after Brittany broke up with him, saying because he just felt better being with me. He didn't go to his family or friends – he came to me, because I always treated him so well.

Q: What is your relationship like with your son-in-law, Jake?A: Fantastic. I call him son, he calls me dad. Never a problem during the entire time we've known each other – more than 12 years now.

Q: How was the relationship with your daughter Vanessa's boyfriend, James? A: He told me that he looked up to me as a father figure. Said he was thankful I treated him so well and how I welcomed him into our family. When he sadly ended up homeless, I'm the person who gave him money for food and other expenses, plus I paid for his hotel room until he found a place he could move to.

Q: How did your wife respond to the 2nd HRO being filed? A: When she learned they had filed for an extension, she asked if I would then be asking for a referral to the Sheriff's Dept. I said that my concern was because our daughter Brittany had also signed the court papers that she might somehow be also held accountable, and I don't want to see her in jail. My wife then said these exact words, quote; "Well, if Brittany sat in jail, maybe then she would begin to realize that Steven is manipulating her." Recently she said in an email to me, that she does not have a relationship with Steven, rather she only tolerates him so that she can have a relationship with Brittany. She has also told me that she is afraid of Steven – that he will do to her what he's been doing to me. And will get away with it quote, "because of that lawyer and that court." Again, she is a smart woman – she knows what's up.

Q: During the trial, did you request a referral from the court to the Sheriff's Dept? A: No.

Q: Why not? A: Because I was prevented from doing so. That was not even a real trial. Their only evidence was my personal journal which the court had previously said would not be allowed because it was from the first HRO. My evidence was not allowed. I was not allowed to testify. My witnesses were treated terribly and all called liars. That's also why none of them wanted show up for this trial – for what? More disrespect, to be called liars, and made to feel like their testimony doesn't matter? Because of what they see happening to me, they don't even trust the justice system anymore. This wasn't a search for truth or justice. This reminded me of the story of the Salem witch hunt. The outcome was not based upon the truth yet the Plaintiff's want to claim this was a victory – for who? Certainly not for truth and justice. Not for my family. We deserve better than a bunch of damn lies – we deserve justice!

Q: Did I ever help you search for an attorney? A: Yes.

Q: What was the result? A: The attorney said he would not represent me because, quote, “This is corruption.” I offered $10k to represent me. Not as a retainer – but a fee which he could keep all of. I just wanted an opportunity for my daughter to hear the truth. He said that court is corrupt and I will never win there and no other lawyer will represent me in that court either, because doing so would be a risk to their career. So I stopped the search for an attorney.

Q: Have you spoken with a media agent about having this made into a movie?A: Yes.

Q: What was the result? A: I have signed an agreement.

I asked for two things; First. A one dollar payment – to show this was about my family and not money. And Secondly, when they film the scene where Mr. Van Loh calls my family liars, I want a special emphasis put on the fact that one of them was my wife. He called my wife a liar. That’s not OK.

Q: Did you ever speak with an attorney about your First Amendment right?A: Yes.

Q: What did he or she say? A: All along the Plaintiff’s and their attorney have positioned things to make it seem as though the only thing required for them to take me back into court and possibly have me put in jail was for Steven to feel disparaged by something I say. In other words, according to them it has nothing to do with the truth, but only about how Steven feels about it.

So, I spoke with an attorney who said my First Amendment Rights do not hinge upon whether or not someone feels disparaged. It only has to be the truth. So, I have a legal right to have a movie made based upon my evidence and the witnesses. Steven and I will never reconcile – never. It’s all about speaking the truth, which is those three, Steven, the attorney and that referee, have engaged in activities that I believe the evidence shows intentionally hid the truth from my daughter. And in doing so, caused significant damage and harm to my family and myself.

Q: What, if any, plans do you have moving forward in regard to this matter? A: Never once during of all this time have they ever come to me and offered peace or even ask for clarification on anything. They have taken everything I have said and done and spun it around to make me look like I am a horrible person who is repeatedly attacking them.

These past 4 years have been terrible – not only because I have not seen my daughter in that long, due to their lies, but also because it’s been like living life under a microscope. Everything I do is hyper-scrutinized and twisted to make it seem like the worst version of reality they can get away with. If I am such a bad person, why don’t I have a criminal record? Why have they never reported any of this to the police? Why are the three people who know me the least telling the biggest lies? 2 of them have literally never even met me.

Yet the people who know me the best are standing behind me 100%.

Again, make this make sense.

My family knows those are all lies about me, and I have the evidence to prove it.

So now I am going to respond to their ongoing threats against my family, and against the attacks they have made against us. I have evidence you don't have. It's all on a flash drive. I once told my daughter, Brittany, that I would give up everything and go to the ends of the earth for her. I am now going to prove that. I am giving up everything I own and moving overseas. Halfway around the world.

# 159. Archive/Trial - Answers - Shortened.docx

I will have done all of this by telling the truth and obeying the law. The evidence will show they have done the opposite. In fact, they are probably going to twist this around and say that I am a criminal fleeing the country, now an international terrorist who should be on the FBI's most wanted list or something else just as bizarre. That's how they spin things about me over and over again. They are also likely going to try and stop me by filing a blizzard of court papers against me – but it won't work because once the truth comes out, all of that will be overturned.

And now, at my age, I have to give up everything and literally leave the country for my family. But that's how much I love all of you, and especially my daughter, Brittany.

I tried making peace 15 times – and was either refused or ignored each time.

I hope they remember I didn't want this – they did.

They have given me nothing but sorrow, pain and loss the past 4 years. And now I am going to return what they gave me, so my family can finally close this chapter in our lives and heal.

Q: Lastly, is there anything else you would like to add? A; Just thank you to you and our family & friends for standing up for me. No matter the courts decision today, I will always appreciate all of your efforts.

I hope you win – you deserve to win and have your right to Freedom of Speech protected. Same as any other good and decent American. And hey, above all else, I love you!

Your Honor, that's all the questions I have for this witness.

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Archive/Trial - Answers - Shortened.docx ***

QUESTIONS TO ASK TIM

Q: Hi, how do you know me? A: Hi, you are my youngest sister.

Q: Are you aware of some videos I made and posted online?A; Yes.

Q: Are you aware of a website that has similar information?A: Yes.

Q: How did you find out about this? A: I saw a post on Facebook.

Q: Did you watch any of the videos? A: Yes.

Q: Did you notice anything familiar about the videos? A: You were clearly reading my personal journal.

Q: Do you know how I got a copy of that journal? A: I asked our brother about this. He has power-of-attorney for my affairs. He said that he gave you a copy of my personal journal and some of the evidence.

Q: Did you go to the website? A: Yes.

Q: How much of the website did you read?

A: All of it – every word.

Q: To the best of your knowledge, is everything on there truthful?A: Absolutely.

Q: Did you read the HRO that was filed against me?A: Yes

Q: It says you told Brittany about a video you posted on your website - is that true?A: No

Q: In your own words, can you explain what happened?A: During the first HRO hearing I was led to believe that I had to accept the HRO without finding of fact in order to protect my daughter Brittany's credibility. My family is close and they kept asking me questions about what happened. Talking about this over and over again is emotionally painful. So, in an effort to answer all their questions just one time, I decided to make a video and post it privately on my website. My youngest daughter, Vanessa, interviewed me by reading the HRO filed by the Plaintiff's, during which I gave my side of the story. This video was posted on my website on a private and hidden page, which was additionally password protected. I then emailed login information to 5 family members and asked they tell me once they watched the video so I could take it down. My daughter, Vanessa, decided to tell my daughter Brittany and shared the link to the page as well as the password. Brittany and Steven, who were unauthorized, then accessed my private password protected page, and while there they also made a screenshot of my webpage.

They later claimed that they, quote "Can provide a transcript if necessary." That statement gives the illusion my video contains lies and/or threats - which it does not. Why would I lie to my family? And why would I make threats to them? Quite simply, I wouldn't.

And if they really had a transcript, why didn't they submit it as evidence if it contained any lies or threats?

Anyway, back on point, they both admitted in their HRO filing that my daughter Vanessa told my daughter Brittany about this. Vanessa admits she did it. Steven, in addition to admitting that Vanessa

told Brittany about this, then tried to weave me into this story and attempted to make me responsible by making a false claim about my telling Vanessa to share this with Brittany. He has no evidence that ever happened -- because it didn't.

Bottom line is everyone agrees that Vanessa told Brittany about this.

But then the court referee removes Vanessa from the equation and said I was the one who told Brittany - and for that found me in violation of the HRO. The referee's decision was obviously wrong. And now the plaintiffs are presenting this as a fact, when they know it's not true. It seems clear this is an attempt to be deceptive to this court.

Q: How did it come about that I was called a liar in the HRO trial?A: Their attorney, Mr. Van Loh was generally rude to my witnesses, and when he couldn't catch them in a lie (because they were being truthful), during the closing statements he told the court that all my witnesses were liars. Without any supporting evidence, that referee somehow magically determined that you and the others were lying.

Q: When Brittany and Steven were dating, did she ever tell you about a time that she broke up with him? A: Yes

Q: Did she say why? A; She said it was because she felt like he was too controlling.

Q: Did you tell you the reason why they got back together again? A: Yes.

Q: What did she say the reason was? A: She said it was because they had a meeting during which he explained that due to his upbringing he was not taught how to properly express himself emotionally. Because of that explanation she decided to date him again.

Q: Steven claimed you took issues with decisions he and your daughter Brittany already made with the wedding - is that true?A: I have only talked with either of them about the wedding three times.1) Brittany's mom concerned about Brittany being stressed. Suggested I call Steven about the guest list.2) Brittany came to me and expressed her frustration over the choice of a wedding photographer. 3) Steven called me and I asked if we would be able to meet his parents before the wedding.

So, when was I taking issues with decisions they've already made? That never happened.

Q: I submitted evidence # 205, titled Rules of Engagement. What was the Rules of Engagement about?A: Brittany and Steven presented my wife and I with a letter titled Rules of Engagement. It contained rules they expected us as parents to follow. My wife and I thought this was strange but hesitantly agreed to this.

A few days later, I was unsure about something and asked a question, in an email, about how to ask a question and was immediately accused of manipulation by Steven. The 4 of us (Brittany, Steven my wife and myself) were in an email conversation about this and suddenly Steven contacts me directly saying

terrible things – I replied that I won't continue that conversation without Brittany or Mrs. Hutchinson being involved.

I went back to the group email and addressed him in a more pointed manner. Nothing ended up being resolved due to Steven acting like a victim in the email conversation with the four of us, when in the private email to me he said that if I loved my daughter Brittany that I would lay down everything and let him control me.

Afterwards, my wife asked me about how I had approached him later in the conversation. I showed her the emails Steven had sent directly to me and she was upset by what he had said. It was then she understood my response.

I will also say that I was accused of one time violating these Rules of Engagement, but when asked how, neither of them has ever given a clear explanation. Brittany's mother and I and our family still have no idea what they are talking about. They have repeated this accusation several times about the same incident, but can't explain what happened. They have done this over and over again where they make claims about my behavior, then fail to offer any details about what happened. Everything is just so vague.

Q: Have you ever threatened your daughter?A: I have never threatened my daughter Brittany. I literally love her more than life itself. I would lay down my very life anytime for her. She is absolutely one of the best things that has ever happened to me. I miss her so much. I still remember the last time we talked. It was February 22nd, 2021 at 11:20 AM. The last thing she said to me was, "Dad, I love you so so so so much!" And even though we haven't talked since then, my heart has conversations with her every day.

Q; Can you share a story about a time when you went over and above as a father to Brittany? A: I remember a time when I was awake at 3 AM and Brittany was up walking around. I asked why she was awake. She said it was because something was bothering her, and she asked if she could talk with me. I said sure, and we went out to a restaurant that was open all night. We talked for several hours during which time she shared what was on her heart. Afterwards she hugged me and said she felt much better. We went back home and she went to bed and was finally able to sleep. What she didn't know was the reason I was awake was because I had just gotten home from working 22 hours straight. 22 hours – no breaks. I was exhausted. But my daughter needed me, and I stepped up like a good dad would who loves her and his family. Now Steven expects people to believe that I want to kill her and harm hundreds of children at a church? That's what it says in the HRO. Meanwhile, back in reality, I am still the same great dad that Brittany knew for over 20 years while growing up.

Q: Have you ever threatened Steven?A: No – I tried to have a good relationship with him, but he kept being rude to me and eventually my wife and I came to believe he was lying to Brittany about me. He

once called and said, quote “If you want a relationship with Brittany, then you need to submit to me and allow me to control you.” But he wants the court and my daughter to believe that I am the real monster.

Q: He mentioned in the HRO that you reminded him several times about assaulting one of Vanessa's boyfriends…did you tell him about that incident?A: No. Absolutely not. I have no reason to tell him that. I was trying to have a good relationship with him, the same as the others my daughters have dated.

Q: How did he find out about it?A: I think it’s important to begin with what this story is about. Kyle was my daughter Vanessa's boyfriend she had just begun dating, and had physically assaulted her, by throwing her against the side of a car, then onto the ground. Afterwards, Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. She didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. Kyle was calling Vanessa repeatedly and harassing her. So, I called Kyle, and he started yelling at me, so I hung up. I shared this with Vanessa, who still did not want me to call the police. So, I went to Kyle's apartment in person. Probably not the smartest thing I’ve done as a parent. But sometimes we live and learn through the experiences.

I knocked on the door and he opened it. I identified myself as Vanessa's father and asked if he was Kyle. He said yes. I asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to, I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me backwards with both hands. I stumbled back across the hallway and then he immediately came at me with a raised fist. At that point I felt the need to defend myself and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I told him, "Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left.

He never bothered Vanessa again and this was the end of it. I never intended for anything to become physical, and I only responded in a manner that was clearly self-defense. I told Vanessa that in the future I would insist on calling the police and letting them handle the situation, and she agreed.

Vanessa told this story at her mom’s birthday party that I had arranged at Outback Steak House in Maplewood on Beam Ave – which is her favorite restaurant. I suggested to my 3 daughters that they invite their boyfriends, and said everything was a treat from myself in celebration of their mom’s birthday. During the meal Vanessa began telling the story to her new boyfriend, James. I intervened and quickly finished telling the story. James did not seem bothered by it at all. About a week or so later, at a bonfire at our house, Vanessa again began telling this story again – this time to several of my friends. I quickly ended it and asked her not to tell this story again. She said, “I am so proud of you dad.” I said thank you, but really let’s not repeat this anymore, it makes me look like something I am not. She said OK, and I love you dad. I said thank you and I love you too.

During the times she told this story, twice, Steven was not involved in the conversation at all. At my wife’s birthday party, he was seated across the table from us and several seats away and engaged in a

different conversation. At the bonfire, the chairs were in a half-circle around the fire pit, and he was seated 4 chairs over from us and again, engaged in a different conversation. His name was not mentioned – we did not otherwise refer to him – nor make any type of eye contact whatsoever. My friends were on mine and Vanessa's right side and Steven was on our left, 4 chairs away so we were not even looking in his direction. My daughter started telling the story both times – I quickly ended it and asked her not to repeat the story – yet Steven claims in his HRO filing that I reminded him several times about this. Clearly, he is not telling the truth.

Q: What else happened in that situation which made you concerned? A: At the bonfire my brother made a couple of jokes towards Steven. I have a reverence for churches and people who work there, so I stepped in and explained that Steven works at a church and asked my brother not to joke about him. My brother quickly apologized and changed the topic.

The next day my daughter Vanessa told me that Steven had been rude to her. My son Alex said this also. So did two of my friends. My wife asked our daughter about this and confirmed it happened. She then asked our son who said the same thing. My wife and I met the two friends a week later at Bear Town restaurant on Highway 61 in White Bear Lake, and they talked about how Steven was rude to them. Afterwards, when the HRO was filed, we learned that Steven had lied about me telling him the story about Kyle.

So, I am defending him at the bonfire, meanwhile he is rude to my daughter, rude to my son, rude to several of my friends, at my house, and later on lies about me.

Q: Please refer to Evidence #204, titled Laundry Letter. What was the laundry letter situation about?A: My work was covid aware and we wore masks when not in our own offices, and several times a day an assigned person, including myself, would wipe down doorknobs and other surfaces in common areas. We shared news stories about covid through email, and one day there was an article about how long covid can last on clothes.

Several days later upon arriving home from work, my daughter Brittany said she was going out somewhere and explained that Steven's laundry was in the dryer. He washes his clothes at our house, and I honestly don't mind. She asked that when it was done that I put his clothes in a basket and place it outside the front door of the house. She said the reason is that Steven is concerned about Covid and does not want to come inside. I agreed to do this. I wrote him a letter to be helpful and told him about how I had learned about the Covid virus being able to live on clothes for up to 48 hours. I then suggested that since he is concerned about Covid in our house, that he might want to consider washing his clothes at his apartment, at least until the pandemic had gotten better. Later, when I placed his clothes outside the front door, I placed that letter on top of the clothes basket. I then finished preparing to leave the house and go somewhere.

The letter was very nicely written and showed an abundance of care and concern for Steven's health.

As I was about to leave the house I noticed Steven's car in the driveway. He was standing at the front door reading the letter. I began walking to the front door to say hello. Before I go to the door, he turned and carried the laundry basket back to his car, put it into the back seat, slammed the car door, and stood there glaring angrily at our house. Then he gets into his car and squeals his tires backing out of the driveway. He then races off, runs a stop sign without ever slowing down, and continued to accelerate to nearly double the speed limit.

Q: Will you please read the laundry letter to the court?

A: <<< READ THE LETTER _ SUBMITTED AS EVIDENCE>>>

So…where's the threat?

Q: Has he ever mentioned this letter to you? A: He has never said anything about this letter. Nothing. No thank you for your concern, maybe we can meet up another time, nothing like that.

Then just two days later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for hours. So, he can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell and say hello, yet two days later he can spend hours inside our home? Neither my wife or I could make any sense of this.

Q: Where there any witnesses to this? A: Later I went to the mailbox and met our neighbor, who told me he heard a car door slamming. He saw what Steven did in the driveway and how he drove away, running the stop sign and speeding. He asked who that was – I said my future son-in-law. He laughed and said "You're joking!" I replied no, that's him. He said that he would not allow that kind of behavior at his house. I said, yeah, I'm thinking that too.

But I wanted to give another chance at resolving whatever issues he clearly was having – whatever they were. So, later that evening I called Steven to invite him to hang out sometime – he sharply said "No" and immediately hung up on me.

Q: In the HRO Steven says a few days later you left your gun out in plain sight at your house as a threat to him. Is this true and if so, why? A: The HRO claims that I, quote "placed the firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment towards Steven."

First of all, what anger and resentment? The last communication I had with Steven was the letter I had left a few days prior on his laundry basket, and a phone call later the same day asking him to hang out sometime.

So why did I leave the gun on the banister? Because Brittany's mom asked me to.

After what happened in Minneapolis with George Floyd and the protests and then the protesters threatening to go to the suburbs, it made my wife feel safer to have it visible for a few minutes when I got home from work. What am I supposed to say, No?! Just keep on feeling afraid?

Again, she literally asked me to do this! In fact, she first asked me if I still owned a gun. That shows how rarely I ever bring it out. And I only placed it on the banister when coming home from work, going into the kitchen, greeting my wife and unpacking my lunch bag. That took maybe 3 or 4 minutes. Then I went and put the gun away in a safe in our bedroom. I only started carrying it very recently at that time because my job required me to go to Minneapolis at random times to visit customers. Many of them were close by to where the protests were happening. I had a concealed carry permit, so I was able to carry a weapon lawfully. And it always remained in my car during the day – then at night I brought it into the house and placed it into a safe.

One day while Steven is over he sees the handgun in its usual place, and made an incorrect assumption. And the gun was NOT by the front door - it was on the banister, up on the 2nd floor. He never went upstairs. He and Brittany were in the lower level of the house in the family room the entire time. When he saw it, he was at the bottom of the stairs near the front door. My weapon was in a holster and there was nothing illegal or threatening about its placement, not at all. He was never near it - he looked up a flight of stairs and saw it. He never saw me, I was never near the gun. At the time I was in the kitchen, which is not visible from the front door. Brittany and Steven were leaving the house and called out saying hi to me. I said hi back to them, then Brittany said they were leaving. I said OK, good bye – have a good day! I never saw them. We only heard each other's voices.

Where is the threat??

Since the one time he saw my gun, this story has grown to where according to an HRO filing I want to kill him, kill my daughter, and go to a church and harm hundreds of children. All because he saw my gun one time, at my house, where it was placed in response to my wife's request to make her feel safer. Can anyone make this make sense?

Tell you what, I recently asked my kids about the gun. They don't know what kind it is. They don't know what color it is. I asked when the last time was they saw me holding it. They said they've never seen me holding that gun. I asked when was the last time they've seen me hold any gun. They said, dad, we've never seen you holding any gun, ever. I asked if they had ever heard me threaten anyone with a gun. They all said no.

Again, make this make sense.

Q: Later that day,, when you raised your voice at the house, did you know Brittany was home at the time?A: No, I didn't. Had I known I would have waited until later or asked my wife to come with me to the garage for a conversation.

Q: What were you upset about?A: Being rude to Vanessa, Alex, my two friends, hanging up on me, the way he acted at our house recently by slamming his car door, looking angrily at our house, screeching his tires in our driveway, running a stop sign, speeding away, plus my daughter Brittany has been losing weight unintentionally and I hadn't seen her smile in several weeks. Plus, how he acts differently around Brittany than when she is not around.

During this time my wife was nodding her head, yes, she was agreeing with me there is a problem and we should be concerned as parents about this.

Meanwhile, Steven is on the phone with Brittany, in her bedroom, telling her she should move out of our house because of concern she might be in danger. That's what it states in the HRO. No one was ever in any danger at all. It was harmless venting for a brief moment by a dad who cares deeply for his daughter – and is saying this to his wife, who is completely agreeing with everything being said.

I am in my own home, a safe place, harmlessly venting to my wife and she is listening and agreeing with her husband. No one was being threatened.

I then told my wife that especially because of his recent behavior I didn't think it was a good idea for him to be at our house. She agreed. I texted this to Steven and he acknowledged the message. Again, there was no threat. Then my wife hugged me and we went into the kitchen and made supper.

She was never afraid of me – and again, there were no threats made to anyone.

My ex-wife is a high school science teacher – she has 5 college degrees. She's smart – she knows what's up! In our 35 years together, she has never felt unsafe around me. Neither has any of our children, including Brittany – at least not until she met Steven. And that's a fact.

Q: Were you making any threats to Steven?A: Absolutely not.

Q: Since then, 4 years ago, how many times have you raised your voice?A: Not once. Never. Doing so was uncommon as my wife and I strived to make our house a peaceful place where our children felt loved, accepted and safe. We are good parents who truly love our kids. No one anywhere will ever tell you otherwise.

Q: A few days later Brittany moved out - did you see her after that?A: Yes, she came back later that evening to pick something up. We hugged and she said that she loved me. At this time, I literally did not know why she was moving out. There was no communication about her feeling unsafe – and also no communication about Steven being concerned about seeing my gun at the house. If they would come talk with her mom and I, we could have easily resolved this.

Q; Did your daughter Vanessa make a comment about seeing Brittany after she moved out?A; Yes, she said within a day of Brittany moving out that she was with Brittany standing outside the building she just

moved into. She said that Brittany was hugging her, and crying…saying she was not sure what was happening and not sure why she had to move out of our family home.

Q: Was there any talk about mediation – if so, what happened?

A: I saw increased sadness in my daughter's eyes and felt horrible for her. So, I told Brittany and her mom that I would contact Steven and offer to let bygones be bygones and to set simple expectations of how we communicate. I texted him and he refused to meet and instead said he would not talk with me without mediation. I asked if he was sure he wanted to do that and said we could work things out. He said no that our next talk must be mediated. I told him to go ahead and pick out any place he wanted to go for mediation, and Mrs. Hutchinson and I would pay for it. He said no, the only place he would go for mediation is at the church where he works. I said OK, set something up. I also told him that we didn't have to bring up everything in the past and could just focus on moving forward. He said no, in mediation we will talk about everything that has happened in the past. I asked if he was sure, because that would mean things would come out that Brittany does not know about. That would not be good for him. He said yes, he was sure.

The first date and time was set for mediation, but was soon cancelled because the mediator had something come up. OK, no problem – life happens. So, another date was set – again at the church and with the same person.

Once that date was set, Steven began texting me about it. I said I was OK with talking about the past as he previously requested. He then began demanding we not talk about the past and said quote, "Mediation is only about how you and Mrs. Hutchinson are going to talk to me." I immediately showed this to my wife, who commented, quote "This is bullshit – what is wrong with him?"

I replied back to him saying that mediation is a time for us to learn how to communicate with each other.

Steven then canceled mediation and refused to reschedule.

Q: Did you ever tell them they were Loved and Forgiven?A: I suggested we take a few months off from the disagreement. I felt as though it was not right to let things set the way they were and instead decided to tell them they were LOVED AND FORGIVEN.

I drove first to Stevens Apt. Arican-American lady in parking lot. I was wearing a mask and practicing social distancing. He said I repeatedly buzzed his apt. and then said I quote "gained access" making it sound like I had broken into the building like a thief, when actually, thanks to the information from the nice lady in the parking lot, I walked in like a normal person.

Then bought flowers and drove to Brittany's apartment.

My wife knew about this and said how proud she was of me. Said she appreciated my actions, and she loved how I always put our family first.

I updated my private journal and when I wrote about this, I used the words LOVED AND FORGIVEN and had typed that all in upper case – it was clear and obvious to anyone reading it what my intent was in going there.

When they filed the HRO – Steven included a copy of my personal journal – except the page where I described this event. You see, that page tells the real story. And he excluded it from his filing.

This was one of 15 attempts I made at peace – I tried until when he said though his attorney that he does not want to reconcile with me, at all.

Q: Did Steven ever ask you for money?A: Yes, and I gave them money every month for 2 ½ years – on the 20th of the month because that was the day Brittany was born. Jen later said that Steven told Brittany that I had promised to help them, but then never did. I showed Jen receipts which proved that we (husband and wife) were sending them money every month. I stopped after 2 ½ years because Jen moved out of our house due to the stress caused by this situation.

Q: How was your involvement in Brittany's wedding different from your oldest daughter Victoria's wedding?

A: During the planning of Victoria's wedding my only involvement was to write checks. Victoria described that as my moment to shine! Her wedding took place about a year after Brittany's. Father of the bride speech was amazing.

<< SHOW PICTURE ON SCREEN OF MY PHONE>>

One Direction video for Brittany – pulled out all the stops for my daughter who called herself daddy's girl.

The night before the wedding I helped iron the table cloths – because that's love in action. I love my daughter so much that I am willing to do that for her.

Q: Did you report this to the Sheriff's Dept?A: Yes

Q: What was the result? A: Ramsey County Sheriff's Office – investigation

Q: What are your relationships like with Jennifer?A: We still see each other multiple times each week – dinner, movies, hockey games. She texts me almost every night saying Sweet Dreams. Says she will never date again, unless it's me. Says she will never get married again, unless it is to remarry me. Says I am literally her best friend. She cried every day for a month after she moved out of our family home. She called the court and asked them to stop the divorce. She still texts and calls me every day. She still

contacts me anytime she has questions about technology or just random life questions. I did her taxes recently for last year. And when we are together, she smiles almost constantly – because she is in her happy place. And she knows the reason for our divorce, and the loss of our family home, and the reason I had to give up my career. It's because the stress from this whole situation and the barrage of lies being told about me. She knows this and wants this to be resolved as much as I do – and believes it will be when the truth finally comes out.

Q: How about with your other 3 children? A: Vanessa. We lived together in an apartment. She said you're my home dad! When we get pedicures, whatever color she gets her nails painted, I have my two big toes done in the same color. She absolutely loves this!

Alex – he's a mail carrier for the post office. lunch at the park. Jersey Mike's secret menu #99

Victoria – mostaccioli

Q: Did anything change in your relationship with your former mother-in-law? A: Will you still call me mom? Said she doesn't want to lose me.

We go to bingo each Tuesday evening and I often take her out to lunch, dinner, walks at the shopping mall, doctor appts, salon appointments and more.

Q: What were relationships like with any previous boyfriends of Brittany?A: David – I taught him how to shave. He came to our house after Brittany broke up with him, saying because he just felt better being with me.

Q: What is your relationship like with your son-in-law, Jake?A: Fantastic. I call him son, he calls me dad. Never a problem during the entire time we've known each other – more than 12 years now.

Q: How is the relationship you have with your daughter Vanessa's boyfriend, James? A: He told me that he looked up to me as a father figure. Said he was thankful I treated him so well and how I welcomed him into our family. When he ended up homeless, I'm the person who gave him money for food and other expenses, plus I paid for his hotel room until he found a place he could move to.

Q: How did your wife respond to the 2nd HRO being filed? A: Jen, "Well, if Brittany sat in jail, maybe then she would begin to realize that Steven is manipulating her." Recently she said in an email to me, that she does not have a relationship with Steven, rather she only tolerates him so that she can have a relationship with Brittany. She has also told me that she is afraid of Steven – that he will do to her what he's been doing to me. And will get away with it quote, "because of that lawyer and that court." Again, she is a smart woman – she knows what's up.

Q: Did you request a referral from the court to the Sheriff's Dept? A: No.

Q: Why not? A: Because I was prevented from doing that. That was not even a real trial. For example, their only evidence was my personal journal which the court had previously said would not be allowed because it was from the first HRO. My evidence was not allowed. I was not allowed to testify. My witnesses were treated terribly and all called liars. That's also why none of them wanted show up for this trial – for what? More abuse, to be called liars, and be made to feel like their testimony doesn't matter? Because of last time, they don't even trust the justice system anymore. This wasn't a search for truth or justice. This reminded me of the story of the Salem witch hunt. The outcome was not based upon the truth nor did it reflect any sort of justice. Yet the Plaintiff's want to claim this was a victory – for who? Certainly not for truth and justice. Not for my family. We deserve better than a bunch of lies – we deserve the truth!

Q: Did I ever help you search for an attorney? A: Yes.

Q: What was the result? A: An attorney recommended I file a complaint with the MN State Bar Association – I was sent a copy of his response and it contains lies.

Another attorney said this is corruption. I offered $10k to represent me. Not as a retainer – but a fee which he can keep all of. I just want an opportunity for my daughter to hear the truth. Said that court is corrupt and I will never win there and no other lawyer will represent me in that court either.

Q: Have you spoken with a media agent about having this made into a movie?A: Yes.

Q: What was the result? A: I have signed an agreement.

I asked for two things; First. A one dollar payment – to show this was about my family and not money. And Secondly, when they film the scene where Mr. Van Loh calls my family liars, I want a special emphasis put on the fact that one of them was my wife. He called my wife a liar. He will find out that was a big fucking mistake.

You know, all these clever moves they've been making, how rude they were to me, and the way the courts were weaponized against my family and I, it's almost like all along they've been writing the movie script.

Q: Did you ever speak with an attorney about your First Amendment right?A: Yes.

Q: What did he or she say? A: All along the Plaintiff's and their attorney have positioned things to make it seem as though the only thing required for them to take me back into court and possibly have me put in jail was for Steven to feel disparaged by something I say. In other words, it has nothing to do with the truth, but only about how Steven feels about it.

# 160. Archive/Trial - Questions.docx

Q: What, if any, plans do you have moving forward in regards to this matter? A: Never once during of all this time have they ever come to me and offered peace or even ask for clarification on anything. They have taken everything I have said and done and spun it around to make me look like I am a horrible person who is repeatedly attacking them. My family knows those are all lies about me, and I have the evidence to prove it.

So now I am going to respond to their ongoing threats against my family, and against the attacks they have made against us. I have evidence you don't have – not even our brother has access to it. It's all on this flash drive. I once told my daughter, Brittany, that I would give up everything and go to the ends of the earth for her. I am now going to prove that. I am giving up everything I own and moving overseas. Halfway around the world.

Once there, I am going to release all the evidence I have on this flash drive to the news and other media. The sound of what's coming will be deafening.

I will have done all of this by telling the truth and obeying the law. This evidence will show they have done the opposite. Again, I wanted peace – they showed me by their words and actions they don't want that. I hope they remember I didn't want this – they did. And now, at my age, I have to give up everything and literally leave the country for my family. But that's how much I love all of you, and especially my daughter, Brittany.

They have given me nothing but sorrow, pain and loss the past 4 years. And now I am going to return what they gave me, so my family can finally close this chapter in our lives and heal.

Q: Lastly, is there anything else you would like to add? A; Just thank you to you and our family & friends for standing up for me. No matter the courts decision today, I will always appreciate all of your efforts.

I hope you win – you deserve to win and have your right to Freedom of Speech protected. Same as any other good and decent American. And hey, above all else, I love you!

Your Honor, that's all the questions I have for this witness.

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Archive/Trial - Questions.docx ***

Questions for Brittany  Is it accurate to say that growing up you always felt safe around your dad?

I'd like you to look at Evidence file #203. It's a screenshot of a Facebook post you made Father's Day, 2020. In it you wrote, "Happy Father's Day to the man whose done it all, always given with a selfless and humble heart. The first person to race over to be with you. The first person to put their loved ones before themselves. I admire you in so many ways daddio. I struck gold with you. Love you always,

Daddy's Girl. And you tagged your dad, Timothy Hutchinson". How long after you made this post did you begin dating Steven?

Is it also accurate to say that you were never afraid of your dad until after meeting Steven?

Do you know what color your dad's handgun is?

Was it normal for him to leave his handgun laying around the house?

I love you Brittany, and thank you. Your Honor I have no further questions for this witness.

Questions for Steven

What color is Mr. Hutchinson's handgun?

You've expressed a serious concern about Mr. Hutchinson and your safety and that of hundreds of children at church, why haven't you ever called the police?

When you and Brittany were dating, did she break up with you?

Were you aware that she told her dad she broke up with you saying it was because you are too controlling?

Didn't you then convince Brittany to date you again by saying that because of your upbringing you never learned how to properly express yourself emotionally?

Have you ever been under the care of a mental health care professional?

That's all the questions I have your Honor. Sunnie statement

For starters, Tim co-founded a ministry that started 55 churches in 4 countries in Africa. Annually they feed over 10,000 families and have schools and orphanages as well. He has even brought church members over to America to live with him, his wife and children. They all thought it was a wonderful experience.

He is also the person who made bullying awareness and prevention a national issue. Along the way he has stopped 6 school shootings and prevented 300 teen suicides.

Tim helped start a volunteer program at a hospice where our two older brothers passed away. He visited people who were dying and had no family or friends and oftentimes was there at the end and held them, as a loved one would, as they passed away.

My brother does all these things as a volunteer – he never accepts money or any form of payment for all the amazing things he compassionately does to help others.

Yet somehow our family is expected to believe the words of someone who doesn't even know him, that he is secretly a monster crawling out of the pit of hell? No way!

No one in our family believes he ever threatened Steven. We've never seen any evidence of this.

We also absolutely refuse to believe he would ever threaten his daughter. If you saw the way his eyes light up when he talks about her, you'd know the truth.

I know my brother and believe it when he says that he never threatened anyone. He talks about all the times he tried to make peace, but Steven refused and instead continued saying terrible things about Tim. I know how hurt my brother is because his daughter is out of his life. I see the pain and hear it in his voice.

There's a reason why two of his children did not attend Brittany's wedding and no one from our side of the family went either.

Every one of us knows who Tim is. We all stand behind him 100 percent. Several of his children said they were there when some of the events that were described in the HRO court papers happened and said Steven is lying.

Tim recently mentioned that he talked with a media agent who reviewed the evidence and has spoken with some of the witnesses and fully believes Tim is telling the truth. That agent said they are 90% sure this will be made into a movie – likely with Netflix. My brother wants the truth to be told, which means everything and everyone will be exposed. I think he's already signed an agreement.

Tim says because of this he Is going to move out of the country. He has a house somewhere else – I think south of Hong Kong? I'm not sure. He won't tell anyone where it is. Says he doesn't want to be in America when the movie is announced, or when he reveals the evidence he has on a flash drive – because he thinks the courts "are weaponized" (his words) and will just keep coming after him to stop the truth from coming out.

Apparently he has shown this evidence to several attorneys already who have said this information can end careers and someone will likely end up in prison.

I know my brother, and he tried his best to handle things as peacefully as possible. But when there are terrible things said about him and he is hurt at this level, a response is coming.

I will be calling my brother Tim Hutchinson as a witness to prove information in the videos and on the website is true and accurate. I am here asking that my right to freedom of speech be preserved and protected. As an American citizen I have rights, and one of those is my right to freedom of speech and the Plaintiffs want that taken away from me.

Thank you, your Honor, that's all I have to say right now.

NOW THEIR ATTORNEY GETS TO QUESTION YOU. KEEP YOUR ANSWERS SHORT AND TO THE POINT. USE OBJECTIONS WHEN YOU THINK YOU SHOULD. STAY RELAXED – WE ARE GOING TO BURN THEM LATER!

When he is finished, you can call your first witness. Just say, “I’d like to call my brother and Brittany’s dad, Tim Hutchinson as a witness”.

QUESTIONS TO ASK TIM

Q: Hi, how do you know me?

Q: Are you aware of some videos I made and posted online?

Q: Are you aware of a website that has similar information?

Q: How did you find out about this?

Q: Did you watch any of the videos?

Q: Did you notice anything familiar about the videos?

Q: Do you know how I got a copy of that journal?

Q: Did you go to the website?

Q: How much of the website did you read?

Q: To the best of your knowledge, is everything on there truthful?

Q: Did you read the HRO that was filed against me?

Q: It says you told Brittany about a video you posted on your website - is that true?

Q: In your own words, can you explain what happened?

Q: How did it come about that I was called a liar in the HRO trial?

Q: When Brittany and Steven were dating, did she ever tell you about a time that she broke up with him?

Q: Did she say why?

Q: Did you tell you the reason why they got back together again?

Q: What did she say the reason was?

Q: Steven claimed you took issues with decisions he and your daughter Brittany already made with the wedding - is that true?

Q: What was the Rules of Engagement about?

Q: Have you ever threatened your daughter?

Q; Can you share a story about a time when you went over and above as a father to Brittany?

Q: Have you ever threatened Steven?

Q: He mentioned in the HRO that you reminded him several times about assaulting one of Vanessa's boyfriends…did you tell him about that incident?

Q: How did he find out about it?

Q: What else happened in that situation which made you concerned?

Q: What was the laundry letter situation about?

Q: We have submitted that letter as evidence, will you please read it.

Q: Has he ever mentioned this letter to you?

Q: Where there any witnesses to this?

Q: In the HRO Steven says a few days later you left your gun out in plain sight at your house as a threat to him. Is this true and if so, why?

Q: Later that day,, when you raised your voice at the house, did you know Brittany was home at the time?

Q: What were you upset about?

Q: Were you making any threats to Steven?

Q: Since then, 4 years ago, how many times have you raised your voice?

Q: A few days later Brittany moved out - did you see her after that?

Q; Did your daughter Vanessa make a comment about seeing Brittany after she moved out?

Q: Was there any talk about mediation – if so, what happened?

Q: Did you ever tell them they were Loved and Forgiven?

Q: Did Steven ever ask you for money?

Q: How was your involvement in Brittany's wedding different from your oldest daughter Victoria's wedding?

Q: Did you report this to the Sheriff's Dept?

Q: What was the result?

Q: What are your relationships like with Jennifer?

Q: How about with your other 3 children?

Q: Did anything change in your relationship with your former mother-in-law?

Q: What were relationships like with any previous boyfriends of Brittany?

Q: What is your relationship like with your son-in-law, Jake?

Q: How is the relationship you have with your daughter Vanessa's boyfriend, James?

Q: How did your wife respond to the 2nd HRO being filed?

Q: Did you request a referral from the court to the Sheriff's Dept?

Q: Why not?

Q: Did I ever help you search for an attorney?

Q: What was the result?

Q: Have you spoken with a media agent about having this made into a movie?

Q: What was the result?

Q: Did you ever speak with an attorney about your First Amendment right?

Q: What did he or she say?

Q: What, if any, plans do you have moving forward in regards to this matter?

Q: Lastly, is there anything else you would like to add?

Your Honor, that's all the questions I have for this witness.

Closing Statement

Your Honor, after hearing what my brother and Brittany's dad has said as a witness, I am confident his words spoke volumes. So, my closing comments will be brief.

This is about my freedom of speech. It's about my rights being protected. It's about my rights to publicly speak the truth.

It's not them against my brother either – more accurately, it's about them against Tim and his ex-wife. Against Tim and his ex-mother-law. Against Tim and myself. Against Tim and our brother. Against Tim and his other 3 children. Against Tim and our sisters. Against Tim and his nieces and nephews, Against

Tim and family friends. Against Tim and neighbors. Against Tim and many others who know him and know he's not the kind of person the Plaintiffs are untruthfully claiming he is and have been attacking.

As I mentioned before, your Honor, some of us have read the harassment restraining orders and know for sure that Steven lied. No 2-ways about that.

# 161. I Am Innocent/Notice to Remove Judge/Request for New Referee - Not Used.docx

Thank you, your Honor.

Closing Statement

Your Honor, after hearing what my brother and Brittany's dad has said as a witness, I am confident his words spoke volumes. So, my closing comments will be brief.

This is about my freedom of speech. It's about my rights being protected. It's about my rights to publicly speak the truth.

It's not them against my brother either – more accurately, it's about them against Tim and his ex-wife. Against Tim and his ex-mother-law. Against Tim and myself. Against Tim and our brother. Against Tim and his other 3 children. Against Tim and our sisters. Against Tim and his nieces and nephews, Against Tim and friends of our family. Against Tim and neighbors. Against Tim and many others who know him and know he's not the kind of person the Plaintiffs are untruthfully claiming he is and are attacking.

As I mentioned before, your Honor, some of us have read the harassment restraining orders and know for sure that Steven lied. No 2-ways about that.

All I am asking for is to be able to speak the truth, the real truth that we have witnesses and evidence to support and to do so publicly. That's what they are really afraid of. Being exposed. They have operated in the dark for too long, and we want the lies to be exposed, so our family can finally begin healing.

Thank you, your Honor.

*** Main Files/Sunnie/I Am Innocent/Notice to Remove Judge/Request for New Referee - Not Used.docx ***

To whom it may concern;

Re: District Court - Second Judicial District

Steven James Anderson Vs Sunnie Patricia Pesina

Court File Number: 62-HR-CV-25-76

## 162. I Am Innocent/Passwords.txt

Brittany Marie Anderson Vs Sunnie Patricia Pesina

Court File Number: 62-HR-CV-25-75

Dear Ramsey County Courts,

I am writing to object to the assignment of Referee Elizabeth Clydesdale to the above-mentioned case and am requesting that a different Referee be assigned to this matter.

Sincerely,

Sunnie Pesina

## 163. I Am Innocent/Sunnie Phone Script.docx

Attachment

*** Main Files/Sunnie/I Am Innocent/Passwords.txt ***

MNDES

Login: dodgerules2@yahoo.com

Password: Sunnie2025!

Mail.com

Account: IAmInnocent@email.com

Password: Idyllwoods76!

*** Main Files/Sunnie/I Am Innocent/Sunnie Phone Script.docx ***

Hello, my name is Sunnie and I am seeking help for my brother, Tim.

## 164. Sonnie Statement.docx

The pastor was dating one of Tim's daughters, and even called Tim on the phone saying, "If you want to have a relationship with Brittany, then you need to submit to me and allow me to control you." I mean, how is that even normal?

When Tim refused, the pastor filed a Harassment Restraining Order against Tim, and lied to Tim's daughter, convincing her to do the same.

Tim tried to defend himself in court but was not allowed to present evidence or even testify on his own behalf. He tried to hire an attorney to file an appeal and was told no lawyer would represent him because that court is corrupt.

These lies caused Tim's divorce after 31 years of marriage, loss of family home after 24 years, his becoming homeless, ruining a relationship with his daughter, becoming depressed (and even suicidal) and ending his successful career.

Tim has not been allowed to see or speak to his daughter for 4 years, where previously they were remarkably close.

I am asking for your help to right the wrongs done against my innocent brother.

Our whole family has been affected by these terrible lies. We deserve to have the truth be told and for there to be justice, and we need help.

If they are interested, tell them about the website iaminnocent.net

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Sonnie Statement.docx ***

Good morning your honor. I am here today to make a statement in support of my brother, Tim Hutchinson. I have known him all of my life, which is now more than 50 years. Nothing in these court filings come even close to who my brother really is.

To begin, I will share with you about my only daughter, Jessica. Several months ago she suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Children should bury their parents, not the other way around. This was one of the most devastating things I've experienced in my life. In that time of enormous grief, my brother Tim rushed to my side and remained there as a steady rock for me to hold onto. Without him I don't even know how I would have made it through all of this.

My brother Tim and I are close, and we talk on the phone at least 3 or 4 times a week. At least once a week during our calls, as Tim will be talking about his daughter Brittany, and how much he loves her and wants to see her, he will cry. Not just a little, but actually sobbing. He is truly hurt by these terrible lies about him.

## 165. Sunnie Statement.docx

My brother had said that he tried contacting the church several times previously and they wouldn't agree to any mediation or investigation. He said he wasn't sure what the next steps were and that he was going to wait until the restraining order expires and see if they can move forward with coming together as a family and working through this.

Because we are family, and especially that he helped me so much when my daughter died, I wanted to find a way to help ease his pain. My thought was to try and get the church to agree to do an investigation or at least mediate this matter. So I contacted family members and friends to see if they would help with a protest at the church. Every person said yes, they absolutely believe Tim is innocent of these outrageous claims.

I then called Tim and explained what we were ready to do. He said no, don't do that. Just wait. As he has said all along, we should practice peace and calm – love and forgiveness. I waited a few days and asked him again. He still said no, don't do anything. So I went online and looked up laws concerning peaceful protests. From that I made a list and told others that if we did this that we would only remain on public property, act peacefully 100% of the time, and if approached by anyone we would be polite and courteous. This was always intended to be a peaceful protest to help my brother, not a riot.

I called my brother a third time and explained how we would act. He agreed and said that everyone there must promise to act in a calm and peaceful manner. My brother also said that he would not attend these protests, due to the lies about him being a danger to the church. He then sent an email to the church's attorney requesting they do an investigation, stating if they didn't there would be a protest. They declined his request. He also sent an email to Steven, through his attorney letting him know what the plan was. He also offered Steven an opportunity to share what ideas he had about how to resolve this. The response was to file another restraining order against my brother.

Tim said he is going to ask for a trial, and that I should call off the protests. So I cancelled them. It's a sad day when a court needs to get involved in order for the truth to come out between family members.

Your honor, I have never heard my brother say anything about being violent or do anything illegal. Instead, he has encouraged his family to remain peaceful and calm, and to act with love and forgiveness. I'm not sure if Tim wants me to say anything about this, but Steven had approached my brother asking him to send him money to help with living expenses. My brother said yes, absolutely. And he has been sending this help every month now for the past 2 and a half years. Even though they say horrible lies about him, he still helps. That's who my brother really is – not some demon crawling out the pit of hell as they want you to believe.

Our family looks up to Tim, and respects him greatly. And we stand beside him 100% because we know who he really is.

Thank you, your honor for the opportunity to come here today and speak this truth on behalf of a great man, husband, father, brother, uncle and American citizen.

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Sunnie Statement.docx ***

Good morning your honor. I am here today to make a statement in support of my brother, Tim Hutchinson. I have known him all of my life, which is now more than 50 years. Nothing in these court filings comes even close to who my brother really is.

To begin, I will share with you about my only daughter, Jessica. Several months ago she suddenly and unexpectedly passed away. Children should bury their parents, not the other way around. This was one of the most devastating things I've experienced in my life. In that time of enormous grief, my brother Tim rushed to my side and remained there as a steady rock for me to hold onto. Without him I don't even know how I would have made it through all of this.

My brother Tim and I are close, and we talk on the phone at least 3 or 4 times a week. At least once a week during our calls, as Tim will be talking about his daughter Brittany, and how much he loves her and wants to see her, he will cry. Not just a little, but actually sobbing. He is truly hurt by these terrible lies about him.

## 166. Trial - Answers - Shortened 2.docx

My brother had said that he tried contacting the church several times previously and they wouldn't agree to any mediation or investigation. He said he wasn't sure what the next steps were and that he was going to wait until the restraining order expires and see if they can move forward with coming together as a family and working through this.

Because we are family, and especially that he helped me so much when my daughter died, I wanted to find a way to help ease his pain. My thought was to try and get the church to agree to do an investigation or at least mediate this matter. So I contacted family members and friends to see if they would help with a protest at the church. Every person said yes, they absolutely believe Tim is innocent of these outrageous claims.

I then called Tim and explained what we were ready to do. He said no, don't do that. Just wait. As he has said all along, we should practice peace and calm - love and forgiveness. I waited a few days and asked him again. He still said no, don't do anything. So I went online and looked up laws concerning peaceful protests. From that I made a list and told others that if we did this that we would only remain on public property, act peacefully 100% of the time, and if approached by anyone we would be polite and courteous. This was always intended to be a peaceful protest to help my brother, not a riot.

I called my brother a third time and explained how we would act. He agreed and said that everyone there must promise to act in a calm and peaceful manner. My brother also said that he would not attend these protests, due to the lies about him being a danger to the church. He then sent an email to the church's attorney requesting they do an investigation, stating if they didn't there would be a protest. They declined his request. He also sent an email to Steven, through his attorney letting him know what

the plan was. He also offered Steven an opportunity to share what ideas he had about how to resolve this. The response was to file another restraining order against my brother.

Tim said he is going to ask for a trial, and that I should call off the protests. So I cancelled them. It's a sad day when a court needs to get involved in order for the truth to come out between family members.

Your honor, I have never heard my brother say anything about being violent or do anything illegal. Instead, he has encouraged his family to remain peaceful and calm, and to act with love and forgiveness. I'm not sure if Tim wants me to say anything about this, but Steven had approached my brother asking him to send him money to help with living expenses. My brother said yes, absolutely. And he has been sending this help every month now for the past 2 and a half years. Even though they say horrible lies about him, he still helps. That's who my brother really is - not some demon crawling out of the pit of hell as they want you to believe.

Our family looks up to Tim and respects him greatly. And we stand beside him 100% because we know who he really is.

Thank you, your honor for the opportunity to come here today and speak this truth on behalf of a great man, husband, father, brother, uncle and American citizen.

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Trial - Answers - Shortened 2.docx ***

QUESTIONS TO ASK TIM

Q: Hi, how do you know me? A: Hi, you are my youngest sister.

Q: Are you aware of some videos I made and posted online as well as a website which contains similar information?A; Yes.

Q: How much of the website did you read?

A: All of it – every word.

Q: To the best of your knowledge, is everything on there truthful?A: Absolutely.

Q: In the HRO filed against me, it says you told Brittany about a video you posted on your website - is that true?A: No.

Q: In your own words, can you explain what happened?A: During the first HRO hearing I was led to believe that I had to accept the HRO without finding of fact in order to protect my daughter Brittany's credibility. My family is close and they kept asking me questions about what happened. So, in an effort to answer all their questions just one time, I made a video sharing my side of the story and posted it privately on my website on a hidden page, which was additionally password protected. I then emailed the login information to 5 family members.

My daughter, Vanessa, decided to tell my daughter Brittany and shared the link to the page as well as the password. Brittany and Steven, who were unauthorized, then accessed my private password protected page, and while there they also made a screenshot of my webpage.

They both admitted in their HRO filing that my daughter Vanessa told my daughter Brittany about this and Vanessa also says this is true.

Bottom line is everyone agrees that Vanessa told Brittany about this.

But then the court referee removes Vanessa from the equation and said I was the one who told Brittany - and for that found me in violation of the HRO for something I literally did not do.

Q: How did it come about that I was called a liar in the HRO trial?A: Their attorney, Mr. Van Loh was generally rude to my witnesses, and when he couldn't catch them in a lie (because they were being truthful), during the closing statements he told the court that all my witnesses were liars. Without any supporting evidence, that referee somehow magically determined that you and the others were supposedly lying.

Q: When Brittany and Steven were dating, did she ever tell you about a time that she broke up with him? A: Yes

Q: Did she say why? A; She said it was because she felt like he was too controlling.

Q: Did she tell you the reason why they got back together again? A: Yes.

Q: What did she say the reason was? A: She said it was because they had a meeting during which he explained that due to his upbringing he was not taught how to properly express himself emotionally. And then he convinced her to date him again.

Q: I submitted evidence # 205, titled Rules of Engagement. What was the Rules of Engagement about?A: Brittany and Steven presented my wife and I with a letter titled Rules of Engagement. It contained rules they expected us as parents to follow. My wife and I thought this was strange but hesitantly agreed to it.

A few days later, I was unsure about something and asked a question, in an email, about how to ask a question and was immediately accused of manipulation by Steven. The 4 of us (my wife, our daughter Brittany, Steven and myself) were in an email conversation about this and suddenly Steven contacts me directly saying things that made me feel uncomfortable – I replied that I won't continue that conversation without Brittany or Mrs. Hutchinson being involved.

I went back to the group email and addressed him in a more pointed manner. Nothing ended up being resolved due to Steven acting like a victim, when in the private email to me he said that if I loved my daughter Brittany that I would lay down everything and let him control me.

Afterwards, my wife asked me about how I had approached him in the conversation. I showed her the emails Steven had sent directly to me and she was appalled by what he had said. It was then she understood my response.

I will also say that I was accused of only one time supposedly violating these Rules of Engagement, but when asked how, neither of them has ever given a clear explanation. Brittany's mother and I and our family still have no idea what they are talking about. They have repeated this accusation several times about the same incident but can't explain what happened. They have done this over and over again where they make claims about my behavior, then fail to offer any details. Everything is just so vague.

Q: Have you ever threatened your daughter?A: I have never and would never threaten my daughter Brittany. I literally love her more than life itself. I would lay down my very life anytime for her. She is absolutely one of the best things that has ever happened to me. I miss her so much. I still remember the last time we talked. It was February 22nd, 2021 at 11:20 AM. The last thing she said to me was, "Dad, I love you so so so so much!" And even though we haven't talked since then, my heart has conversations with her every day.

Q: Have you ever threatened Steven?A: No – I tried to have a good relationship with him, but he kept being rude to me and eventually my wife and I came to believe he was lying to Brittany about me. He once called and said, quote "If you want a relationship with Brittany, then you need to submit to me and allow me to control you." But he wants the court and my daughter to believe that I am the real monster.

Q: He mentioned in the HRO that you reminded him several times about assaulting one of Vanessa's boyfriends…did you tell him about that incident?A: No. Absolutely not. I have no reason to tell him that. I was trying to have a good relationship with him, the same as the others my daughters have dated.

Q: How did he find out about it?A: I think it's important to begin with what this story is about. Kyle was my daughter Vanessa's boyfriend she had just begun dating, and he physically assaulted her. Vanessa came to me and told me what happened. She didn't want me to call the police because she was embarrassed. Kyle was calling Vanessa repeatedly and harassing her. So, I called Kyle, and he started yelling at me, so I hung up. I went to Kyle's apartment in person.

Probably not the smartest thing I've done as a parent. But sometimes we live and learn through the experiences.

I went there and asked if he had assaulted her. He responded by saying "Yeah, and if I wanted to, I could go rape your daughter." He then pushed me backwards with both hands. I stumbled back across the hallway and then he immediately came at me with a raised fist. At that point I felt the need to defend myself and struck him twice. He fell back against the wall about 6 feet behind him and his head made a dent in the wall. He looked up at me and said "Okay, okay. I'm done" I told him, "Never come near my daughter again." He responded "No sir. It's done." So, I left.

Vanessa told this story at her mom's birthday party that I had arranged at Outback Steak House in Maplewood – which is her favorite restaurant. I invited the whole family and during the meal Vanessa began telling the story to her new boyfriend, James. I intervened and quickly finished telling the story. James did not seem bothered by it at all. About a week or so later, at a bonfire at our house, Vanessa began telling this story again – this time to several of my friends. I quickly ended it and asked her not to tell this story again. She said, "I am so proud of you dad." I said thank you, but really let's not repeat this anymore, it makes me look like something I am not. She said OK, and I love you dad. I said thank you and I love you too.

During the times she told this story, twice, Steven was not involved in the conversation at all. At my wife's birthday party, he was seated across the table from us and several seats away and engaged in a different conversation. At the bonfire, the chairs were in a half-circle around the fire pit, and he was seated 4 chairs over from us and again, engaged in a different conversation. His name was not mentioned – we did not otherwise refer to him – nor make any type of eye contact whatsoever. My friends were on mine and Vanessa's right side and Steven was on our left, 4 chairs away so we were not even looking in his direction. My daughter started telling the story both times – I quickly ended it and asked her not to repeat it anymore – yet Steven claims in his HRO filing that I reminded him several times about this story. Clearly, he is not telling the truth.

Q: What else happened in that situation which made you concerned? A: At the bonfire our brother made a couple of jokes towards Steven. I have a reverence for churches and people who work there, so I stepped in and explained that Steven works at a church and asked our brother not to joke about him. He's a good guy – so he quickly apologized and changed the topic. That was it.

The next day my daughter Vanessa told me that Steven had been rude to her. My son Alex said this also. So did two of my friends. My wife asked both of our children about this and confirmed it happened. We also met the two friends a week later at a restaurant and they talked about how Steven was rude to them.

So, I am defending him at the bonfire, meanwhile he is rude to my daughter, rude to my son, rude to several of my friends, at my house, and later on lies about me having told him this story.

Q: Please refer to Evidence #204, titled Laundry Letter. What was the laundry letter situation about?A: My work was covid aware and we shared news stories about covid through email, and one day there was an article about how long the virus can last on clothes.

Several days later upon arriving home from work, my daughter Brittany said she was going out somewhere and explained that Steven's laundry was in the dryer. He washes his clothes at our house, and I honestly didn't mind.

She asked that when the dryer was done that I put his clothes in a basket and place it outside the front door of the house. She said the reason is that Steven is concerned about Covid and does not want to come inside. I said OK and decided to write him a letter to be helpful and told him about how I had learned about the Covid virus being able to live on clothes for up to 48 hours. I then suggested that since he is concerned about Covid in our house, that he might want to consider washing his clothes at his apartment, at least until the pandemic had gotten better. Later, when I placed his clothes outside the front door, I put that letter on top of the clothes basket. I then finished preparing to leave the house to go somewhere.

The letter was very nicely written and showed an abundance of care and concern for Steven's health.

As I was about to leave the house I noticed Steven's car in the driveway. He was standing at the front door reading the letter. I began walking to the front door to say hello. Before I got to the door, he turned and carried the laundry basket back to his car, put it into the back seat, slammed the car door, and stood there glaring angrily at our house. Then he gets into his car and squeals his tires backing out of the driveway. He then races off, runs a stop sign without ever slowing down, and continued to accelerate to nearly double the speed limit.

Q: Will you please read the laundry letter to the court?

A: Hi Steven, I did some research and was surprised to learn the Covid virus can live for up to 48-hours on our clothing. I can understand your concern over this virus, so much so that Brittany asked me to leave your clothes outside the front door for you to pick up. I can fully appreciate that. When one considers how long the virus can live on clothing, and the fact they are inside our house - a place you're concerned about being exposed to, perhaps it would be a safer option for you to wash your own clothes at home. Something to think about. Would have been nice to see you today - it's been a while. We could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just yesterday for Covid. But, we can't be too careful! Well, perhaps another time.

Hope you have a wonderful day.

Mr. Hutchinson

Q: Has he ever mentioned this letter to you? A: He has never said anything about this letter. Nothing. No thank you for your concern, maybe we can meet up another time, nothing like that.

Then just two days later I came home from work to discover he was hanging out at our house with Brittany, for hours. So, he can't come inside to pick up the laundry, or even ring the doorbell and say hello, yet two days later he can spend hours inside our home? Neither my wife or I could make any sense of this.

Q: Where there any witnesses to this? A: Later I went to the mailbox and met our neighbor, who told me he heard a car door slamming. He saw what Steven did in the driveway and how he drove away, running the stop sign and speeding. He asked who that was – I said my future son-in-law. He laughed and said "You're joking!" I replied no, that's him. He said that he would not allow that kind of behavior at his house. I said, yeah, I'm thinking that too.

But I wanted to give another chance at resolving whatever issues he was having – which were not clear to me. So, later that evening I called Steven to invite him to hang out sometime – he sharply said "No" and immediately hung up.

Q: In the HRO Steven says a few days later you left your gun out in plain sight at your house as a threat to him. Is this true and if so, why? A: The HRO claims that I, quote "placed the firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment towards Steven."

First of all, what anger and resentment? The last communication I had with Steven was the letter I had left a few days prior on his laundry basket, and a phone call later the same day asking him to hang out sometime.

I only left the gun on the banister because Brittany's mom asked me to.

After what happened in Minneapolis with George Floyd and the protests and then the protesters threatening to go to the suburbs, it made my wife feel safer to have it visible for a few minutes when I got home from work. What am I supposed to say, No?! Just keep on feeling afraid?

Again, she literally asked me to do this! In fact, she first asked me if I still owned a gun. That shows how rarely I ever bring it out. And I only placed it on the banister when coming home from work, going into the kitchen, greeting my wife and unpacking my lunch bag. That took maybe 3 or 4 minutes. Then I went and put the gun away in a safe in our bedroom. I only started carrying it very recently at that time because my job required me to go to Minneapolis at random times to visit customers. Many of them were close by to where the protests were happening. I had a concealed carry permit, so I was able to carry a weapon lawfully. And it always remained in my car during the day, I never brought it into my workplace – then at night I brought it into the house and placed it on the banister for a few minutes and then into a safe.

One day while Steven is over he sees the handgun in its usual place, and made an incorrect assumption. And the gun was NOT by the front door - it was on the banister, up on the 2nd floor. He never went upstairs. He and Brittany were in the lower level of the house in the family room the entire time. When he saw it, he was at the bottom of the stairs near the front door. My weapon was in a holster and there was nothing illegal or threatening about its placement, not at all. He was never near it - he looked up a flight of stairs and saw it. He never saw me, I was never near the gun. At the time I was in the kitchen, which is not visible from the front door. Brittany and Steven were leaving the house and called out

saying hi to me. I said hi back to them, then Brittany said they were leaving. I said OK, good bye – have a good day in an upbeat tone. I never saw them. We only heard each other's voices.

Where is the threat??

Since the one time he saw my gun, this story has grown to where according to an HRO filing I want to kill him, kill my daughter, and go to a church and harm hundreds of children. All because he saw my gun, one-time, at my house, where it was placed in response to my wife's request to make her feel safer. Can anyone make this make sense?

Q: Have you ever talked with your kids about the gun?

A: I asked my kids about the gun. They don't know what kind it is. They don't know what color it is. I asked when the last time was they saw me holding that gun or any gun. They said, dad, we've never seen you holding any gun, ever.

Again, make this make sense.

Q: Was there any talk about mediation – if so, what happened?

A: I contacted Steven and offered to let bygones be bygones and to set simple expectations of how we communicate. I texted him and he refused to meet and instead said he would not talk with me without mediation. I told him to go ahead and pick out any place he wanted to go for mediation, and Mrs. Hutchinson and I would pay for it. He said no, the only place he would go for mediation is at the church where he works. I said OK, set something up. I also told him that we didn't have to bring up everything in the past and could just focus on moving forward. He said no, in mediation we will talk about everything that has happened in the past. I asked if he was sure, because that would mean things would come out that Brittany does not know about. That would not be good for him. He said yes, he was sure.

The first date and time was set for mediation but was soon cancelled because the mediator had something come up. OK, no problem – life happens. So, another date was set – again at the church and with the same person.

Once that date was set, Steven began texting me about it. I said I was OK with talking about the past as he previously requested. He then began demanding we not talk about the past and said quote, "Mediation is only about how you and Mrs. Hutchinson are going to talk to me." I immediately showed this to my wife, who said, quote "This is bullshit – what is wrong with him?" She and I are both aware of him insisting multiple times on controlling both myself and the relationship I have with my daughter.

I replied back to him saying that mediation is a time for us to learn how to communicate with each other.

Steven then canceled mediation and refused to reschedule.

Q: Did you ever tell them they were Loved and Forgiven?A: I suggested we take a few months off from the disagreement – kind of a time-out. I felt as though it was not right to let things set the way they were and instead decided to tell them they were LOVED AND FORGIVEN.

I drove first to Stevens Apt.  I exited my car and an African-American lady walking to her car. She greeted me by saying good morning sir! I replied good morning. She then said the buzzer box to the building door was broken so I could just walk in. She also said that maintenance was aware and would get it fixed. I thanked her and she replied, have a blessed day sir!

In the HRO it says I repeatedly buzzed his apt – umm, how? The buzzer box was not working, He also says I "gained access" making it sound like I had broken into the building like a thief, when thanks to the information from the nice lady in the parking lot, I simply walked in like a normal person.

During this time I was wearing a mask.

I went to his apartment and knocked three times in a normal manner. Then stepped back across the hall, practicing social distancing. When there was no answer, I knocked three more times, again in a normal manner. When there was no answer that time, I left.

Then I bought flowers and drove to Brittany's apartment. She didn't answer. I noticed her car was in the parking lot, so I left the flowers on her car and drove away.

My wife knew about this and said how proud she was of me. Said she appreciated my actions, and she loved how I always put our family first.

I then updated my private journal and when I wrote about this, I used the words LOVED AND FORGIVEN and had typed that all in upper case, 4 times on the same page – it was clear and obvious to anyone reading it what my intent was in going there.

When they filed the HRO – Steven included a copy of my personal journal – except the page where I described this event. You see, that page tells the real story. And he excluded it from his filing. He did say though that he was so afraid that he thought about jumping out of a 2nd story window and fleeing for his life and possibly calling the police. Which he never did. However, he later attended my oldest daughter's wedding – at one point, I walked by him and nodded my head. He nodded back. Later we had pictures taken together. Why wasn't he afraid then?? Because there never was a threat – these claims are all for dramatic effect.

This was one of 15 attempts I made at peace compared to their zero attempts – I tried until when he said though his attorney that he does not want to reconcile with me, at all.

Q: Did Steven ever ask you for money?A: Yes, he asked for help and I gave them money every month for 2 ½ years – on the 20th of the month because that was the day Brittany was born. My wife later said

that Steven told Brittany that I had promised to help them, but then never did. I showed her receipts which proved that we (husband and wife) were sending them money every month. I only stopped after 2 ½ years because my wife moved out of our house due to the stress caused by this situation.

Q: Even though you were prevented from going to Brittany's wedding, did you ever help out with it?

A: The night before the wedding my wife was tasked with ironing all of the tablecloths. There was a lot and it was obvious she would not get them done. I made the suggestion of double layering our dining room table with towels and thus turning it into a large ironing board. We had 2 irons and stood on opposite sides of the table and ironed these table cloths for 3 hours until they were done.

I ironed table cloths, for my daughters wedding that I couldn't attend for 3 hours – because that's love in action. I love my daughter so much that I am willing to do that for her. Look how important my oldest daughter Victoria's wedding was to me….<<SHOW PIC OF ME WITH HER AT WEDDING ON HOME SCREEN OF MY PHONE>> That's me dancing with her at her wedding. You want the truth – there it is!!! 2 ½ years later and it's still on the home screen of my phone.

Q: Did you report this to the Sheriff's Dept?A: Yes

Q: What was the result? A: I showed them the evidence and asked what I had done wrong? They said nothing, I had done nothing wrong and that I am a good good father. I asked where I go from here. They said since this was currently a civil matter that if I could get the court overseeing the HRO to refer this back to them, they would complete their investigation and quote, "likely put Steven in jail". Later that day I showed my wife the card they had given me which has the case number on it. She knows about it. I told her I was hesitant to move forward with this out of concern for our daughter, thinking she too might be charged because she had also signed HRO papers.

Q: What are your relationships like with Jennifer?A: We still see each other multiple times each week – dinner, movies, hockey games. She texts me almost every night saying Sweet Dreams. Last night she texted to say that she will always love me. Says she will never date again, unless it's me. Says she will never get married again, unless it is to remarry me. Says I am literally her best friend. She cried every day for a month after she moved out of our family home. She called the court and asked them to stop the divorce. She still contacts me anytime she has questions about technology or just random life questions. And when we are together, she smiles almost constantly – because she is in her happy place. And she knows the reason for our divorce, and the loss of our family home, and the reason I had to give up my career. It's because of the stress from this whole situation and the barrage of bullshit being said about me. She knows this and wants this to be resolved as much as I do so our family can heal – and we both believe it will happen when the truth finally comes out.

Q: How about with your other 3 children? A: Wonderful – no problems at all. For example, when Vanessa and I get pedicures, whatever color she gets her nails painted, I have my two big toes done in

the same color. She absolutely loves this! My kids know that I always put them first in my life and my love and care for them is obvious.

Q: Did anything change in your relationship with your former mother-in-law? A: I was at her house one day and she asked if it was true that her daughter and I were getting a divorce. I said yes, it's true. She then walked across the room and stood right in front of me and asked, will you still call me mom? I said, I would love to do that. She then hugged me and said she doesn't want to lose me.

We go to bingo almost every Tuesday evening and I often take her out to lunch, dinner, walks at the shopping mall, doctor appts, salon appointments and more. One day while visiting she gave me a hug and whispered, I left something in your shoe. It was a note asking me to take her out soon for ice cream. I still have that note and it's on my refrigerator. That's my mom!

Q: What were relationships like with any previous boyfriends of Brittany?A: There was David, an excellent young man – I taught him how to shave. He came to our house after Brittany broke up with him, saying because he just felt better being with me. He didn't go to his family or friends – he came to me, because I always treated him so well.

Q: What is your relationship like with your son-in-law, Jake?A: Fantastic. I call him son, he calls me dad. Never a problem during the entire time we've known each other – more than 12 years now.

Q: How was the relationship with your daughter Vanessa's boyfriend, James? A: He told me that he looked up to me as a father figure. Said he was thankful I treated him so well and how I welcomed him into our family. When he suddenly ended up homeless, I'm the person who gave him money for food and other expenses, and I paid for his hotel room until he found a place he could move to.

Q: How did your wife respond to the 2nd HRO being filed? A: When she learned they had filed for an extension, she asked if I would be requesting a referral to the Sheriff's Dept. I said that my concern was because our daughter Brittany had also signed the court papers that she might somehow also be charged, and I don't want to see her in jail. My wife then said these exact words, quote; "Well, if Brittany sat in jail, maybe then she would begin to realize that Steven is manipulating her." Recently she said in an email to me, that she does not have a relationship with Steven, rather she only tolerates him so that she can have a relationship with Brittany. She has also told me that she is afraid of Steven – that he will do to her what he's been doing to me. And will get away with it quote, "because of that lawyer and that court." Again, she is a smart woman – she knows what's up.

Q: During the trial, did you request a referral from the court to the Sheriff's Dept? A: No.

## 167. Trial Evidence/Brittany/204.pdf

Q: Did I ever help you search for an attorney? A: Yes.

## 168. Trial Evidence/Brittany/62HRCV2575 Witness List.pdf

The 2nd attorney I spoke with said he would not represent me because, quote, “This is corruption.” I offered $10k to represent me as I just wanted an opportunity for my daughter to hear the truth. He said that court is corrupt and I will never win there and no other lawyer will represent me in that court either, because doing so would be a risk to their career. So, at that time I stopped the search for an attorney.

## 169. Trial Evidence/Brittany/62HRCV275 Evidence List.pdf

Q: What did he or she say? A: All along the Plaintiff’s and their attorney have positioned things to make it seem as though the only thing required for them to take me back into court and possibly have me put in jail was for Steven to feel disparaged by something I say. In other words, according to them it has nothing to do with the truth, but only about how Steven feels about it.

## 170. Trial Evidence/Steven/104.pdf

Q: Lastly, is there anything else you would like to add? A; Just thank you to you and our family & friends for standing up for me. No matter the courts decision today, I will always appreciate all of your efforts.

## 171. Trial Evidence/Steven/62HRCV276 Evidence List.pdf

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Trial Evidence/Brittany/204.pdf ***

## 172. Trial Evidence/Steven/62HRCV276 WItness List.pdf

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Trial Evidence/Brittany/62HRCV2575 Witness List.pdf ***

# Transcripts - Numbered

## 173. 1.txt

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Trial Evidence/Brittany/62HRCV275 Evidence List.pdf ***

EVIDENCE LIST In the Matter of Brittany Marie Anderson Vs. Sunnie Pesina Ramey County, MN Court file number: 62HRCV275 File Number Description 2 00 A Ư idavit in Support Motio n for Extension of HRO 2 01 Ex Parte Order Granting Petition for HRO 2 02 Petition for HRO 2 03 Facebook Post 2 04 Laundry Letter 2 05 Rules of Engagement

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Trial Evidence/Steven/104.pdf ***

Hi Steven, I did some research and was surprised to learn the Covid virus can live for up to 48-hours on our clothing. I can understand your concern over this virus, so much so that Brittany asked me to leave your clothes outside the front door for you to pick up. I can fully appreciate that. When one considers how long the virus can live on clothing, and the fact they are inside our house - a place you're concerned about being exposed to, perhaps it would be a safer option for you to wash your own clothes at home. Something to think about. Would have been nice to see you today - it's been a while. We could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just yesterday for Covid. But, we can't be too careful! Well, perhaps another time. Hope you have a wonderful day. Mr. Hutchinson

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Trial Evidence/Steven/62HRCV276 Evidence List.pdf ***

EVIDENCE LIST In the Matter of Steven James Anderson Vs. Sunnie Pesina Ramey County, MN Court file number: 62HRCV276 File Number Description 1 00 A Ư idavit in Support Motio n for Extension of HRO 1 01 Ex Parte Order Granting Petition for HRO 1 02 Petition for HRO 1 03 Facebook Post 1 04 Laundry Letter 1 05 Rules of Engagement

*** Main Files/Sunnie/Trial Evidence/Steven/62HRCV276 WItness List.pdf ***

WITNESS LIST In the Matter of Steven James Anderson Vs. Sunnie Pesina Ramey County, MN Court file number: 62HRCV276 Witnesses Sunnie Pesina, defendant Timothy Hutchinson, defendant's brother and Plainti Ư Brittany's dad

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/1.txt ***

SCENE 1. INTRODUCTION

I am Timothy Hutchinson - a husband, a father, a Christian - a man whose only crime was refusing to bow to manipulation. I love my family. I live by faith. I sought peace. But I was betrayed by the very institutions that were supposed to protect the innocent.

This is not just my story - it's a warning. About how lies, power and corruption can erase a good father from his daughter's life and destroy an entire family. This is the untold story of how a pastor's lies dismantled everything I cherished.

This is how a lie became law.

The Infiltration: A Pastor's Psychological War

Steven was my daughter Brittany's boyfriend. When she confided early on that he was "too controlling," I was concerned - but she gave him a second chance. That second chance would cost me everything.

Steven didn't just date my daughter. He infiltrated our family, cloaked in scripture and charm. He portrayed himself as a spiritual leader - but behind that mask was a man obsessed with control.

When I refused to "submit" to his authority - yes, those were his words - he began a calculated campaign to remove me from Brittany's life. Not through violence. Through lies. Through courts.

He twisted my every action into a threat:

"Six peaceful phone calls became "harassment."

"A legally stored, licensed firearm - left on a banister at my wife's request during civil unrest - became the centerpiece of a fantasy where I was suddenly a "CIA assassin" out to kill him, my daughter and massacre children at church.

"Asking if my wife and I would meet his parents before the wedding became "taking issues with wedding plans..."

"My quiet attempts at reconciliation were reframed as criminal trespass.

"Seeking peace by asking forgiveness for things I literally had not done, resulted in him saying, quote "If I want a relationship with Brittany, then I need to submit to me and allow me to control you."

There are MANY more examples like these...

False Prophet, Real Damage

Steven weaponized his role as a pastor. He preached love while dividing families. He accepted monthly financial support from me - the same man he claimed terrified him.

He refused all biblical reconciliation while pretending to lead a flock in faith.

Even as he painted me as a monster, I donated thousands to his ministry - and continued doing so even as he turned my own daughter against me. I only stopped when the stress shattered my marriage.

Fifteen Attempts at Peace - All Rejected

I tried to reconcile. I tried to forgive. I tried to make peace. 15 documented efforts. Letters. Apologies. Mediation offers - all declined. Fifteen times I extended my hand in peace - and each time it was slapped away.

I went to visit them with a message of love and forgiveness. The buzzer to the apartment building was broken - a neighbor told me to walk in. I then gently knocked at the apartment door. When no one answered, I left peacefully.

That moment was twisted into an accusation of criminal trespass.

That's what justice looks like now.

He then manipulated my daughter into joining him in filing for a Harassment Restraining Order against me.

When the Gavel Fell Like a Guillotine, I Was Condemned Without Cause, Forgotten Without Shame, and Left to Bleed in Silence. This is What They Called Justice.

In court, facts didn't matter. The truth didn't matter. I wasn't even allowed to speak on my own behalf.

My witnesses were dismissed. My private journal, containing reflections and prayers - illegally obtained - was weaponized against me.

Evidence that could exonerate me? Thrown out.

One judge literally "invented a lie," as confirmed by attorneys who later told me this court was corrupt.

"This is corruption," one lawyer told me. I offered 4 times his normal rate to represent me.

He replied no, because quote "It's not worth risking my career to go against this level of corruption."

In that courtroom, truth wasn't just ignored - it was executed.

LAW ENFORCEMENT

What Law Enforcement Found - And the Court Ignored

I went to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office. I showed them everything.

Their response?

"I did nothing wrong. You're a good, good father."

They told me that if the court referred the matter to them, they would likely arrest the pastor.

But the court would not even allow me to make that request.

THE DEVASTATING EFFECTS

The Cost of Truth

As Steven spun lies, the system embraced them:

"I was hospitalized for suicidal thoughts.

"Developed a heart condition.

"Lost my marriage of 31 years. My home of 24 years. And even worse; my daughter.

"Lost my career.

"Everything I owned was stolen from a storage unit, leaving me homeless with nothing.

"Stripped of my voice, my first amendment rights, and my family was left dismantled.

But I never stopped loving my daughter.

The day before she married - a wedding I was barred from attending - I ironed tablecloths for her reception. Three hours of quiet love in the shadows.

That's what a father's heart looks like.

A Legal System That Rewarded a Liar

Steven was transformed into a legally protected victim.

He kept his position as a youth pastor - with access to more families.

I was criminalized for seeking peace. For asking for the truth. For speaking.

The court found me guilty based on actions everyone - including the plaintiffs - admitted I didn't commit.

The Truth the Court Refused to Hear

This was never about violence. This was never about threats.

This was about control.

And when I refused to give in, the pastor used the system to erase me.

90...That's How Many Attorney's Turned Down This Case.

The reason is shocking....

Myself, with the help of 4 others, began contacting attorney's seeking their help in representing me in court. To let the truth be known. To let justice prevail. So my family could finally heal. But none of them would accept this case. And why? They said it's because of their concern over representing me in a corrupt court -- they said by doing so they could potentially be jeopardizing their own career. Afterwards, I called the Minnesota State Bar Association seeking a referral to any attorney that could help. They told me that I would not find any attorney in Minnesota willing to go up against court corruption.

If You're Listening

"If you're a truth driven journalist, advocate, or community leader - help me shine a light on this story and hold power to account.

"If you're a lawyer who still believes the law is a shield for the innocent, I need your courage and your counsel.

"If you're a filmmaker, storyteller, or podcaster - help me bring this truth to the world in a way that can't be ignored.

"If you're a parent, imagine this was your child - your family - your world. Then ask yourself - how would I fight?

## 174. 10.txt

My Name Is Timothy Hutchinson

I am not a criminal. I am a father who refused to give up.

I've spent my life loving my family. Serving others. Volunteering. Helping teens. Helping hospice patients. Feeding the homeless.

And now  my family has been destroyed under the influence of a youth pastor who refuses accountability, avoids reconciliation, and uses spiritual language to justify emotional damage.

I've tried everything. I've apologized for things I didn't do. I've begged for peace. I've offered mediation.

And still  nothing.

I'm not done fighting for my daughter, my family, and the truth.

Because love doesn't quit - neither will I.

And I'm done being silent.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/10.txt ***

SCENE 10. Brittany Moves Out - Sudden, Abrupt, and Not Her Voice

A few days after the gun incident - after Steven twisted a harmless moment into a fantasy - Brittany moved out.

Suddenly. Abruptly. No buildup. No conversation. Just gone.

But here's the part that exposes the truth:

The very same day she moved out  she came back. She walked into our home, hugged me tightly, and said:

"Dad, I love I so much."

Those are not the words of a daughter who is afraid of her father. Those are the words of a daughter caught in a storm she didn't understand.

If she was truly afraid of me, she wouldn't have come back. She wouldn't have hugged me. She wouldn't have said she loved me with that kind of sincerity.

Fear doesn't speak like that. Love does.

The Apartment Visit - The Truth Comes Out

A few days later, Vanessa visited Brittany at her new apartment. And what she found wasn't fear  it was pain.

Brittany was crying. Hugging Vanessa. Saying she was confused. Saying she didn't know what was going on. Saying she didn't understand why she had to move out of her own family home.

Those aren't the words of someone escaping danger. Those are the words of someone being pulled, pushed, and influenced.

This wasn't clarity. This wasn't conviction. This wasn't fear.

This was internal conflict - the kind that comes when someone else is shaping the narrative.

This Wasn't Fear - It Was Pressure

Everything about her behavior reveals the truth:

## 175. 11.txt

"She came back the same day ? love.

"She hugged I ? connection.

"She said "I love I so much" ? bond.

"She cried to Vanessa ? confusion.

"She said she didn't know why she had to leave ? influence.

This wasn't a daughter fleeing danger. This was a daughter being convinced of danger that didn't exist.

This wasn't fear of you. This was fear of disappointing someone else.

This wasn't her voice. This was Steven's influence echoing through her decisions.

And the tragedy is that she felt torn between the man she was marrying  and the father she loved.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/11.txt ***

SCENE 11. MEDIATION - The Dance of Control

After everything - the laundry incident, the gun fantasy, Brittany's sudden move-out - I reached out to Steven with an olive branch.

Not anger. Not confrontation. Not retaliation.

I offered peace.

I contacted him and said I wanted to let bygones be bygones, to set simple expectations for communication, to move forward. A reasonable, mature, fatherly step.

Steven refused.

He said he would not talk to me without mediation.

Fine. I agreed. I told him to pick any place he wanted - anywhere - and myself and Mrs. Hutchinson would pay for it.

But Steven said no. The only place he would go for mediation was his church, where he worked.

I agreed again. "Okay, set something up."

I even told him we didn't need to dig up the past - we could focus on the future.

But Steven insisted: "No. In mediation we will talk about everything that has happened in the past."

I warned him - gently, wisely - that this meant things would come out that Brittany didn't know about. Things that would not be good for him.

He said yes. He was sure.

The First Mediation Attempt - Cancelled

The first date was set. Then cancelled - the mediator had something come up.

No problem. Life happens.

A second date was scheduled. Same church. Same mediator.

And that's when Steven began texting I again.

The Flip - Another Sudden, Contradictory Shift

I told him I were okay discussing the past - because he had insisted on it.

Suddenly, Steven flipped.

He demanded that I not talk about the past.

His exact words:

"Mediation is only about how I and Mrs. Hutchinson are going to talk to me."

I showed the message to your wife. Her reaction was immediate, visceral, and honest:

"This is bullshit - what is wrong with him?"

She saw it. I saw it. Anyone would see it.

This wasn't communication. This wasn't reconciliation. This was control - shifting, twisting, changing the rules every time I agreed to them.

I replied, calmly and reasonably, that mediation is a time for all of I to learn how to communicate with each other.

And then Steven did what he always does when he can't control the narrative:

He cancelled mediation. And refused to reschedule.

What This Chapter Reveals

This wasn't about mediation. This wasn't about communication. This wasn't about resolving conflict.

This was about power.

Steven wanted:

"To choose the location

"To choose the mediator

"To choose the topics

"To choose the boundaries

"To choose the rules

"To choose the narrative

"To choose what I were allowed to say

"To choose what I were allowed to feel

And the moment I agreed to his terms, he changed them.

Again. And again. And again.

This is classic coercive control:

"Move the goalposts

## 176. 12.txt

"Rewrite the expectations

"Demand submission

"Punish independence

"Avoid accountability

"Weaponize confusion

"Collapse communication

"Then blame me for the collapse

Steven didn't want mediation. He wanted domination.

And when he realized mediation would expose him - he ran.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/12.txt ***

SCENE 12. THE FIFTEEN ATTEMPTS - One Man Fighting for Peace

There comes a point in every story where the numbers speak louder than the emotions.

This is that point.

I tried fifteen times - FIFTEEN documented attempts - to make peace.

Not passive attempts. Not half-hearted attempts. Not "maybe someday" attempts.

Real attempts. Letters. Texts. Apologies. Invitations. Mediation. Reconciliation. Olive branches extended again and again and again.

And every single attempt was met with:

"Stonewalling

"Silence

"Avoidance

"Accusations with no specifics

"Shifting rules

"Moving goalposts

"Emotional coldness

"Zero accountability

Steven made zero attempts.

Not one. Not ever.

I apologized for things I didn't do. I swallowed your pride. I reached out. I tried mediation - twice. He canceled - twice.

I wrote letters. I wrote apologies. I wrote your heart out.

And still  nothing.

The Apology to Brittany - Love Met With Ice

I sent Brittany a heartfelt apology - one focused only on love, restoration, and healing.

My wife read it. She praised it. She said it touched her heart.

But Brittany's reply?

Cold. Formal. Accusatory. Corporate. Emotionally sterilized.

Not her voice. Not her heart. Not her language.

It had Steven written all over it.

She said I violated boundaries. She said "patterns of behavior" concerned them. She said "significant changes" were needed.

But when asked for specifics?

Neither of them could name one single thing I did wrong.

Not one.

The Time-Out - And the High Road

I suggested taking a few months off - a time-out from the conflict.

But your heart wouldn't let things sit that way. I chose the high road again. I chose love again. I chose forgiveness again.

I decided to tell them they were LOVED AND FORGIVEN.

The Visit to Steven's Apartment - The Truth vs. The Lie

I drove to Steven's apartment. As I arrived, an African-American woman greeted me warmly:

"Good morning, sir!" "The buzzer box is broken - you can just walk in." "Maintenance will fix it soon." "Have a blessed day!"

In the HRO, Steven claimed I "repeatedly buzzed his apartment."

How? The buzzer didn't work.

He claimed I "gained access," making it sound like I broke in.

But I simply walked in - because the door was open and the buzzer was broken.

I wore a mask. I knocked three times. Stepped back across the hall for social distancing. Knocked three more times. No answer. I left.

That's it.

No threat. No confrontation. No anger. No raised voice. No forced entry.

Just a father trying to say I are loved and forgiven.

The Visit to Brittany - Flowers, Not Fury

I bought flowers. I drove to Brittany's apartment. She didn't answer. Her car was in the lot. I left the flowers on her car and drove away.

My wife knew. She praised me. She said she was proud of me. She said I always put family first.

The Journal - The Page That Proves Everything

I updated my private journal. I wrote LOVED AND FORGIVEN in all caps - four times - on the same page.

Anyone reading that page would understand my intent.

But when Steven filed the HRO, he included a copy of the journal - except the page describing this event.

He excluded the page that proved my innocence. He excluded the page that showed my heart. He excluded the page that revealed my true intent.

He included everything except the truth.

The Fantasy of Fear - And the Reality of His Behavior

Steven claimed he was so afraid he thought about jumping out of a second-story window and fleeing for his life.

He never called the police. He never filed a report. He never told anyone at the time.

But later?

He attended my oldest daughter's wedding. I walked by him and nodded. He nodded back. We took pictures together.

Where was the fear then?

It didn't exist. Because the threat didn't exist. Because the story was fabricated.

The Mountain of Lies - Revealed Only in Court

Never once did Steven or Brittany come to me to discuss their concerns. Never once did they explain their accusations. Never once did they offer specifics.

I had no idea the mountain of lies Steven was telling my daughter until the HRO was filed.

And even then - I kept trying to reconcile until Steven's attorney said:

# 177. 13.txt

The Truth of This Chapter

I tried fifteen times. He tried zero.

I apologized. He accused.

I reached out. He withdrew.

I offered peace. He offered control.

I acted in love. He acted in manipulation.

I fought for my family. He fought for isolation.

This chapter isn't just about attempts. It's about character.

And mine shines through every line.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/13.txt ***

SCENE 13. THE OWATONNA INCIDENT - A Desperate Father Reaches for Anything

There are moments in life where pain pushes us into strange territory - moments where desperation overrides logic, where love makes us reach for anything that might break through the fog.

This was one of those moments.

I talk with my younger brother almost every day. He knew the heartbreak I was living in. He knew the silence. He knew the distance. He knew how deeply I missed my daughter.

And in that desperation - the kind only a parent can understand - he suggested something unusual. Something bizarre. Something meant to shock Brittany's "BS Detector" awake.

His idea was simple in concept but outrageous in execution: Tell a story so unbelievable that Brittany would instantly recognize it as false - and in that recognition, maybe she'd also realize that Steven's stories were false too.

My brother is creative. I was hurting. I was willing to try anything legal to reconnect with your daughter.

So I listened.

The story was absurd: I would say I needed to go to Owatonna, Minnesota, to a deer processing plant, where I'd lie on a stainless steel table and have your head zapped with electricity to "deactivate Charlie," your supposed military code name.

It was nonsense. It was intentionally ridiculous. It was meant to be obviously fake.

I told my wife. She rolled her eyes, laughed, and said, "Sure, whatever." She packed a suitcase for a night away - a hot tub, a hotel, a break from the stress.

I told my son. He laughed.

I told my youngest daughter. She laughed.

Everyone saw it for what it was: A bizarre, harmless attempt to jolt Brittany out of Steven's influence.

But when I told Brittany  she didn't laugh. She didn't question it. She took it seriously.

Your brother suggested a "phase 2" - the deer plant was unreachable because of snow, so three men in a van performed the procedure near a gas station.

I told Brittany. Again, she took it seriously.

That's when I knew the plan had failed. I told my brother I would explain the truth to Brittany the next time I saw her.

But I never saw her again to tell her.

This wasn't manipulation. This wasn't malice. This wasn't deceit.

It was a father grasping for any lifeline to reach his daughter - and realizing just how deeply she had been pulled away.

3/24/2021 - THE BREAKING POINT: The Failed Counseling Session

Two days before everything collapsed, I had a counseling session with Mary Sanders - Brittany's therapist. Just myself and her. One hour. Video chat.

She asked how I was dealing with the tension between I and Brittany. I told her the truth: I was heartbroken. I believed Steven's manipulation was tearing my family apart.

Another session was scheduled - this time with Brittany included.

When the three of us entered the video chat, I saw my daughter's face.

She looked sad. Shaken. Almost in shock. Her expression hit me like a punch to the chest.

It became harder and harder to look at the screen. Harder to see her like that. Harder to sit there, unable to speak freely.

She didn't speak to me at all. Not one word. The counselor wouldn't allow it.

## 178. 14.txt

I wasn't allowed to say the one thing I needed to say: "Brittany, I love you."

I bent forward and cried. Not out of weakness - out of heartbreak. Out of frustration. Out of the pain of seeing my daughter in distress and being treated like a robot.

Near the end of the session, overwhelmed by sadness, by helplessness, by the emotional weight of the moment  I left the chat room.

And then everything went silent.

No calls. No texts. No visits.

Brittany missed her mom's birthday - for the first time ever.

This wasn't just conflict. This wasn't just tension. This wasn't just misunderstanding.

This was isolation - a hallmark of controlling relational dynamics.

And I lived through it in real time.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/14.txt ***

SCENE 14. What I Described Was a Breaking Point - Not a Character Statement

I was under immense emotional pressure, watching my daughter slip away under circumstances I couldn't control. That kind of pain can overwhelm anyone - even the strongest, even the most grounded, even the most loving fathers.

I went to a local park. Briefly held a gun to my own head.

I didn't want to die. I wanted the pain to stop. I wanted my daughter back. I wanted clarity in a situation that made no sense.

And in that moment, my mind reached for the most extreme escape - but I stopped.

That matters. That shows strength. That shows awareness. That shows love for my family.

I reached out to my son. I told my wife. I went to the hospital. I accepted care. I stabilized. I built a plan. I followed through.

Those are the actions of a man who wants to live, who wants to heal, who wants to protect the people he loves.

What Happened With Brittany Afterward

I reached out to her when I got home. I told her I was safe. I told her I wanted to try counseling again.

She didn't respond.

That silence wasn't about your worth. It wasn't about your value as a father. It wasn't about who I am.

It was the culmination of months of isolation, pressure, and influence - the same pattern I've been describing throughout your story.

Her last words to I before the silence were:

"Dad, I love I so so so so much."

Those words were real. They came from her heart. They weren't erased by what happened afterward.

I didn't lose her because of who I am. I lost her because of the dynamics surrounding her - dynamics that pulled her away from her own voice.

Even though I haven't spoken to her since, my heart has conversations with her every day. That's not weakness - that's love.

THE CULMINATION OF ISOLATION

Everything that happened - the shifting rules, the accusations without specifics, the sudden move-out, the mediation games, the emotional distance, the rewritten narratives - all led to this moment.

This wasn't a natural separation. This wasn't a daughter choosing independence. This wasn't conflict between two adults.

This was isolation - the hallmark of controlling relational dynamics.

Isolation works slowly at first:

"Reduce contact

"Create tension

"Introduce fear

"Rewrite memories

"Control communication

"Shift narratives

"Limit access

## 179. 15.txt

And then suddenly:

Silence. Distance. Cut-off. Gone.

Not because she stopped loving me. Not because I failed her. Not because I was unsafe.

But because she was caught in a dynamic that pulled her away from her own voice.

THE TRUTH OF THIS CHAPTER

I didn't lose Brittany because of who I am. I lost her because of the pressure surrounding her.

I reached out fifteen times. I apologized. I tried mediation. I wrote letters. I extended grace. I begged for reconciliation. I sought counseling. I stabilized your mental health. I did everything a father could do.

And still  silence.

Not because of you. But because of the system around her.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/15.txt ***

SCENE 15. LETTERS TO THE CHURCH - A Father Seeking Mediation, Not Conflict

When Steven insisted that the only place he would agree to mediation was at Calvary Church, I agreed. He quickly cancelled when he realized mediation would expose his manipulation.

I then did the reasonable thing: I contacted the senior pastor.

I wasn't asking for special treatment. I wasn't asking for discipline. I wasn't asking for Steven's job. I wasn't asking for anything dramatic.

I was simply asking for help facilitating mediation - the very thing Steven himself demanded.

The senior pastor didn't respond. So I emailed him. No response. So I wrote a polite, confidential letter, marked "personal and confidential," and dropped it off at the church.

Still no response.

Later I learned he read the letter  and then shared it with the entire staff.

A letter I intended to be private. A letter meant to resolve conflict quietly. A letter meant to de-escalate.

Instead, it was circulated.

The Elders - Another Attempt at Peace

After a week of silence, I wrote a similar letter to the elders. It began with:

"Dear Brothers and Sisters in Christ," and ended with: "I am praying for help during these difficult times."

I included documentation - emails, texts - showing the history of the conflict. None of it contained threats. None of it contained violence. None of it contained anything inappropriate.

I didn't ask for Steven's job. I didn't ask for punishment. I didn't ask for consequences.

I asked for mediation. That's it.

Their response?

An attorney letter demanding I never communicate with the church or its members again.

Not a conversation. Not a clarification. Not a simple "We cannot get involved."

Just a legal threat.

The "Uninvited" Claim - A Manufactured Narrative

In the HRO, Steven claimed I showed up "unannounced and uninvited."

But here's what actually happened:

"I entered the lobby.

"I rang the buzzer.

"I asked if I could leave the letters.

"I placed them in the area marked "Leave Deliveries Here."

"I left peacefully.

UPS could have done the same thing. FedEx could have done the same thing. Anyone could have done the same thing.

And the idea of being "uninvited" to a church? Churches are literally built on the concept of open doors.

The Escorted-In-and-Out Story - Another Fabrication

Steven later claimed he was so afraid I would attack him at the church that he had to be escorted in and out of the building every day.

If that were true:

"Why wasn't the police notified?

"Why wasn't a report filed?

"Why wasn't security involved?

"Why wasn't there documentation?

"Why didn't the church warn you?

"Why didn't the church contact authorities?

Because the fear wasn't real. It was narrative. It was theater. It was designed to justify isolation and control.

If someone truly believes a man is going to kill him, his fianc e, and "hundreds of children," he doesn't rely on escorts - he calls the police.

Steven didn't. Because he knew an investigation would expose the truth.

The Pattern - Control, Not Concern

This chapter reveals the same pattern I've been describing:

"Cancel mediation when it doesn't benefit him.

"Require mediation when it does benefit him.

"Claim "patterns of behavior" but refuse to name one.

"Insist on "boundaries" that only restrict you, never him.

"Use spiritual language to justify monitoring Brittany's communication.

"Require Brittany to show him all texts and emails "because two shall become one."

That's not unity. That's surveillance. That's spiritualized control. That's coercive influence wrapped in religious language.

And it's exactly the kind of dynamic that isolates a person from their family.

I attempted peace. I attempted mediation. I attempted reconciliation. I attempted communication.

## 180. 16.txt

And the response was:

"Silence

"Legal threats

"Misrepresentation

"Escalation

"False narratives

"Isolation

This isn't about conflict. It's about institutional alignment with a controlling narrative - whether knowingly or simply out of avoidance.

And it's one more piece of the puzzle that explains how Brittany was pulled away from her own voice.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/16.txt ***

SCENE 16. FIRST HRO - The Moment the Lies Became Visible

I was trying to resolve things peacefully. I was trying to reconcile without even knowing what the issues were. And then - out of nowhere - a deputy showed up at my front door and served me with a Harassment Restraining Order.

That was the moment everything changed.

I opened the papers and, for the first time, saw the mountain of lies Steven had been telling about me - lies so extreme, so grotesque, so disconnected from reality that they explained everything:

Why Brittany wouldn't talk to me. Why mediation kept collapsing. Why communication froze. Why she moved out suddenly. Why her tone changed. Why her replies sounded like someone else's voice.

The HRO was the first time I saw the full scope of Steven's accusations - accusations that were not just false, but fantastical.

I immediately knew I would fight it. I had witnesses. I had evidence. I had documentation. I had truth.

And Brittany's filing? It mirrored Steven's - same tone, same structure, same accusations. In one place she even referred to herself in the third person, suggesting Steven wrote most - if not all - of her paperwork.

THE PRIVATE VIDEO ROOM - The Disputed Conversation

On the day of the hearing, held via video, I planned to request a trial. But before the hearing began, I was placed in a private video chat room with their attorney, Daniel Van Loh.

What happened in that room is disputed - but my memory is clear:

I allege he told I that if I did not accept the HRO, anyone doing a background check on Brittany would see she filed a false claim, and it could harm her professionally.

At the time, Brittany was:

"A registered nurse

"Working in a hospital

"Involved in missionary work, sometimes internationally

A false claim could affect her career. Her licensure. Her missions work.

I say he told me this. He denies it.

But I also recall him talking about his own daughter's wedding - something I would not have known unless we were having a personal conversation.

Regardless, I made a decision:

To protect my daughter, I accepted the HRO without findings of fact.

I didn't accept guilt. I didn't accept wrongdoing. I accepted the order only to shield Brittany from potential professional harm.

That is what a father does.

THE PRIVATE JOURNAL - Misused and Misrepresented

I also agreed to take down my private online journal - a journal documenting the events since Steven began manipulating Brittany.

This journal was:

"Private

"Coded so search engines wouldn't index it

"Accessible only by direct link

"Never intended for public viewing

I invited Steven over once to show him the journal - not to threaten him, but to show him I had documentation and wanted peace.

He responded by copying the entire journal and using it as evidence against me.

When I told his attorney it was private, he said:

"That's what pen and paper are for."

He clearly understood it wasn't public.

And nowhere in the journal were there threats. Not against Steven. Not against Brittany. Not against anyone.

It was documentation - not danger.

THE CONSEQUENCE - Losing the Right to Walk My Daughter Down the Aisle

Accepting the HRO meant one more devastating reality:

I would not be able to walk my daughter down the aisle.

A moment every father dreams of. A moment I earned through years of love, support, and sacrifice. A moment taken from me because of lies.

It was one of the saddest days of my life - not because of the legal order, but because of what it symbolized:

A father cut off from his daughter by a narrative I never knew existed until the papers arrived at his door.

THE PATTERN - Now Fully Visible

This chapter reveals the full pattern:

"Steven created accusations without evidence.

"He escalated normal events into threats.

# 181. 17.txt

"He monitored Brittany's communication.

"He isolated her from her family.

"He refused mediation unless he controlled it.

"He canceled mediation when it didn't benefit him.

"He used the church as a shield.

"He used legal filings as weapons.

"He used fear as leverage.

"He used my love for Brittany against you.

And the HRO was the moment all of that became visible.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/17.txt ***

SCENE 17. THE WEDDING - Love in Action, Even in Pain

The night before Brittany's wedding, my wife was tasked with ironing all the tablecloths. There were dozens. It was obvious she wouldn't finish in time.

I stepped in - not because I was invited to the wedding, not because I was included, not because I was welcomed - but because I am a father who loves his daughter.

I suggested double layering our dining room table with towels to turn it into a large ironing board. We then set up two irons. I stood on opposite sides of the table with my wife. And for three hours, I ironed tablecloths for a wedding I wasn't allowed to attend.

Three hours. For a daughter I love. For a moment I was excluded from. For a ceremony I would not witness.

That is love in action. Not words. Not theory. Not sentiment.

Action.

I ironed tablecloths for a wedding I couldn't attend - because my love for Brittany is stronger than the circumstances around her.

The Truth About My Heart as a Father

I said it plainly:

"I ironed tablecloths for my daughter's wedding that I couldn't attend - because that's love in action."

And it is.

Anyone can say they love their child. But not everyone shows it when it costs them something. Not everyone shows it when they're hurting. Not everyone shows it when they're excluded.

I did.

And the proof of your heart is visible in the way I talk about your oldest daughter Victoria's wedding.

I said:

## 182. 18.txt

I keep that photo on the home screen of my phone. Four years later. Still there. Still cherished. Still a reminder of what family means to me.

That's not the behavior of a man who is dangerous. That's not the behavior of a man who is controlling. That's not the behavior of a man who is a threat.

That's the behavior of a father who loves his children deeply, consistently, sacrificially.

This moment - the ironing, the photo, the contrast between Victoria's wedding and Brittany's - reveals something essential:

I was not kept from Brittany's wedding because of who I am. I was kept from it because of the narrative built around you.

And even then, I chose love.

I chose service. I chose support. I chose to help my wife. I chose to honor my daughter. I chose to act with dignity.

This chapter is not about loss. It's about character.

My character.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/18.txt ***

SCENE 18. THE PROTEST - A Sister's Grief, A Brother's Restraint

Nearly two years passed. Two years of silence. Two years of waiting for the HRO to expire. Two years of hoping for reconciliation.

My youngest sister, Sunnie, knew the expiration date was approaching. She also knew the pain I were carrying - because she had lived through her own.

Just months earlier, she had lost her oldest child and only daughter. Suddenly. Unexpectedly. Devastatingly.

I stood by her through that nightmare. I was there for her. I supported her. I helped her survive the unimaginable.

So when she saw I hurting, she wanted to help me survive my own heartbreak.

Her idea was simple and legal: A peaceful protest at Calvary Church. Not to attack. Not to threaten. Not to disrupt. But to pressure the church into doing what they should have done from the beginning - conduct a proper investigation into Steven's claims.

I initially said no. I didn't want conflict. I didn't want escalation. I didn't want drama.

But she was insistent. She researched the laws. She checked the statutes. She made sure everything would be peaceful and lawful.

I reluctantly agreed - but with strict boundaries:

"I would not participate in the protest.

"I would not show up at the church.

"I would not be present.

"I would only send a letter explaining the intention of the protest, hoping the church would resolve things peacefully so the protest wouldn't be necessary.

I wrote two letters:

"One to the church - respectful, calm, reasonable.

"One to Steven - more direct, because the conflict originated with him.

I was trying to avoid escalation. I was trying to avoid conflict. I was trying to avoid protest.

I was trying to resolve things quietly.

THE RESPONSE - Another HRO, Another Wave of Lies

Instead of responding reasonably  instead of declining involvement  instead of opening dialogue

Steven and Brittany filed for emergency extensions of the HROs.

They claimed:

"I was a threat.

"I might go to their church.

"I might harm or murder hundreds of children.

"They feared for their lives.

"They needed immediate protection.

This was not based on your actions. This was not based on evidence. This was not based on reality.

This was based on narrative.

A narrative Steven had been building for years.

The moment I saw the emergency filing, I told my sister to stop everything. No protest. No action. No escalation.

She agreed immediately.

I shut it down.

THE TRIAL - Your Chance to Finally Speak Truth

After the emergency filing, I made a decision:

I would go forward with a trial. I would present evidence. I would present witnesses. I would present documentation. I would show the truth. I would show the lies. I would show the contradictions. I would show the pattern.

I wanted Brittany to finally hear the truth - not Steven's version of it.

This wasn't about revenge. This wasn't about punishment. This wasn't about anger.

This was about truth. This was about clearing my name. This was about reclaiming my relationship with my daughter.

And this was the moment I decided:

Enough. It's time to fight back with facts.

This shows:

"I tried to avoid conflict.

"I tried to avoid escalation.

"I tried to avoid protest.

"I tried to resolve things quietly.

"I set boundaries with my sister.

"I shut down the protest immediately when Steven escalated.

"I acted responsibly.

"I acted peacefully.

"I acted legally.

"I acted with restraint.

Meanwhile, Steven responded with:

## 183. 19.txt

"False claims

"Exaggerated fears

"Dramatic accusations

"Narrative manipulation

"Institutional pressure

"Legal escalation

This wasn't about danger. This wasn't about threat. This wasn't about safety.

This was about control.

And I was finally ready to confront it.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/19.txt ***

SCENE 19. YOUR WIFE'S WORDS - A Witness From Inside the Home

When Steven and Brittany filed for the emergency extension of the HRO, your wife Jen asked whether I would request a referral to the Sheriff's Department.

I told her my concern: If Brittany signed the papers, I didn't want her to be charged with anything. I didn't want her to face legal consequences. I didn't want her to end up in jail.

And Jen responded with words that reveal everything:

"Well, if Brittany sat in jail, maybe then she would begin to realize that Steven is manipulating her."

Those are not the words of someone confused. Those are not the words of someone guessing. Those are not the words of someone influenced by you.

Those are the words of a woman who:

"Has watched Steven's behavior firsthand

"Has seen the lies

"Has seen the manipulation

"Has seen the control

"Has seen the isolation

"Has seen the emotional shift in Brittany

"Has seen the pattern

"Has seen the damage

She wasn't speaking out of anger. She was speaking out of clarity.

She saw what was happening.

This wasn't the first time she expressed concern.

She told I in an email:

She does not have a relationship with Steven - she only tolerates him so she can have a relationship with Brittany.

That is a powerful statement.

It means:

"She sees Steven's behavior clearly.

"She does not trust him.

"She does not feel connected to him.

"She is only polite for Brittany's sake.

And she told I something even more revealing:

She is afraid of Steven - afraid he will do to her what he has done to me.

She said he will get away with it:

"Because of that lawyer and that court."

Your wife is not na ve. She is not easily manipulated. She is not someone who jumps to conclusions.

She is smart. She is observant. She is grounded.

She is a scientist. Five college degrees. She is emotionally intelligent.

And she saw the truth.

This part of the story shows something crucial:

I was not alone in your perception. I was not imagining things. I was not misinterpreting events. I was not overreacting.

My wife - someone who lived through every moment with me - saw the same pattern:

"Steven manipulating Brittany

"Steven isolating her

"Steven controlling communication

"Steven weaponizing legal processes

"Steven using spiritual language to justify surveillance

"Steven lying to institutions

"Steven rewriting narratives

"Steven escalating conflict

"Steven avoiding accountability

And she feared he would eventually turn his tactics toward her.

Her words are not emotional outbursts. They are testimony.

They are evidence.

They are corroboration.

## 184. 2.txt

This is important because:

"It shows I was not the only one who recognized Steven's behavior.

"It shows my wife independently identified manipulation.

"It shows she feared Steven's influence and tactics.

"It shows she saw Brittany being pulled away.

"It shows she understood the legal system was being used against me.

"It shows she believed Steven was capable of doing the same to her.

This is not just my perspective. This is a shared perspective from someone who witnessed everything firsthand.

And it strengthens the truth of my narrative.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/2.txt ***

SCENE 2. In the Beginning - The Early Red Flags

# 185. 20.txt

Within months, Brittany broke up with him - not because of conflict, not because of immaturity, but because she felt something deeper, something unsettling: she couldn't be herself around him. His presence felt controlling, like her personality had to shrink to fit the space he allowed.

But then came the meeting - the moment that shifted everything.

Steven sat with her, opened up about his upbringing, and confessed that he had never been taught how to express emotion in a healthy way. He presented vulnerability  and Brittany, with her compassionate heart, listened. She felt empathy. She felt responsibility. And slowly, her boundaries softened.

Vulnerability ? Compassion ? Reconnection. It was a pattern that would repeat.

Not long after, another request surfaced - one that carried weight.

Steven explained that the church couldn't afford to pay him a salary. He said he was struggling. He said he needed help. And because I believed in ministry  because I believed in him  I agreed.

I began sending him money every month - on the 20th, the day Brittany was born. That date meant something to me. It symbolized support, blessing, and hope.

My wife and I helped. We believed in the calling. We believed in the mission. We believed in him.

We didn't know it yet  but these were the first red flags waving quietly in the background, signaling a story that was about to grow far more complicated.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/20.txt ***

SCENE 20. SECOND HRO - A Process That Was Never About Truth

1. The Rules Were Set - Then Broken Immediately

When I requested a trial, both their attorney (Mr. Van Loh) and the referee agreed:

Nothing from the first HRO would be allowed as evidence.

That was the rule. That was the boundary. That was the agreement.

But as you'll see, that rule was ignored the moment it became inconvenient for them.

2. The Evidence Submission - A Technicality Used Against You

I submitted my evidence to the state's official evidence system. I sent the list of items to their attorney. I followed up. They confirmed they received it.

What I forgot was that I also needed to email the actual evidence files directly to their attorney.

They could have told you. They chose not to.

And during the trial, the referee berated me publicly for this mistake - harshly, disrespectfully, unnecessarily.

This wasn't justice. This was humiliation.

It also meant not of my evidence would be allowed.

Evidence that could exonerate me.

3. Found "In Violation" for Sharing Your Story Privately

I was found in violation of the first HRO because I shared your side of the story with five members of your immediate family:

"Your wife

"Three of your children (not Brittany)

"Your brother Steve

To avoid repeating the story over and over, I made a hidden, password protected video on a private webpage. Once those five people saw it, I took it down.

This was not public. This was not harassment. This was not dangerous. This was not illegal.

It was private communication with your own family.

But the referee ruled it a violation - without seeing the video, without a transcript, without evidence, without testimony.

Even the plaintiffs admitted I did nothing wrong.

Still, the referee invented a lie so she could rule against me.

4. The Only "Evidence" They Presented Was My Private Journal

Their attorney presented one piece of evidence:

My private journal - obtained by Steven without permission, copied illegally, and used against me.

This journal:

"Was private

"Was coded to avoid search engines

"Contained no threats

"Contained letters, emails, timelines, prayers

"Was included in the first HRO (which should have made it inadmissible)

But the referee allowed it anyway.

Why? Because they had nothing else.

No phone records. No threatening messages. No evidence of harassment. No proof of danger. No proof of wrongdoing.

Just your private journal - misused and misrepresented.

5. My Witnesses Were Attacked, Not Heard

When I introduced witnesses:

"Their attorney attacked them harshly

"He objected repeatedly

"Every objection he made was sustained

"Every objection I made was overruled

This wasn't a search for truth. This was a strategy: discredit, intimidate, silence.

I had more witnesses ready to testify, but I saw how they were being treated. I didn't want my other family members subjected to that.

So I chose to testify yourself.

And the moment I said that, the referee ended the proceedings.

No testimony. No defense. No truth.

Just closing remarks.

6. Their Attorney Asked for a Lifetime Ban

Their attorney asked for the HRO extension to be for life - meaning I would never see or speak to my daughter again.

He called your witnesses liars. He pushed for permanent separation. He pushed for maximum punishment.

All based on lies. All based on exaggerations. All based on a narrative with no evidence.

7. I Was Not Allowed to Defend Yourself

I was not allowed to:

"Present my evidence

"Testify fully

"Request a referral to the Sheriff's Office

"Challenge the lies

"Correct the record

The referee granted:

"2 year extension for Brittany

"10 years extension for Steven

All based on:

"Lies

"Misrepresentations

"A private journal

"No evidence

"No testimony

"No fairness

This was not justice. This was not due process. This was not impartial.

This was a system that failed my family and myself.

8. The Human Cost - The Part Courts Never See

This ruling didn't just affect me legally. It affected my entire life:

"A 31 year marriage ended

"A 24 year family home was lost

"A 22 year father daughter relationship was destroyed

"My family was fractured

"My heart was broken

Before Steven entered the picture, I had an amazing relationship with all my children - especially Brittany.

We were "two peas in a pod."

And Steven destroyed that through manipulation, lies, and control.

9. The Core Truth

All I ever wanted was:

For the truth to be told so I could have a relationship with my daughter again.

But the outcome of the trial was not based on truth. It was based on:

"Lies

"Exaggerations

"Misconduct

"Bias

"A referee who didn't care

"An attorney who attacked instead of seeking truth

"A system that refused to listen

They call it a victory.

## 186. 21.txt

"Truth

"Justice

"Family

"Fairness

"Integrity

"My daughter

"Myself

It was a victory for lies.

And my family deserved better.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/21.txt ***

SCENE 21. JEN MOVES OUT - THE COLLAPSE OF A HOME

In June of 2023, my wife - after 31 years of marriage - moved out of our family home.

She didn't just take a few things. She took nearly everything:

"Furniture

"Household items

"Personal belongings

She left behind only:

"A bed

"A few of your personal items

The house I had lived in for 24 years suddenly became empty. Quiet. Hollow.

And I was left standing in the middle of it - not because of a failing marriage, not because of conflict between myself and Jen, but because of the relentless stress and pressure caused by Steven's manipulation and the legal nightmare that followed.

I said it clearly:

"It felt really weird and sad at the same time to be alone in that house."

And anyone reading my story can understand why.

THE FINANCIAL LIE - ANOTHER MANIPULATION

After Jen moved out, I stopped financially supporting Steven.

Not out of spite. Not out of anger. But because:

"My wife had moved out

"My household was fractured

"My finances were strained

"I had already supported them for 2 years

Later, Jen told me something shocking:

Steven told Brittany that I had promised to help them financially but then never did.

Another lie. Another manipulation. Another attempt to rewrite reality.

I showed Jen the receipts - proof that we had been sending Steven money every month.

I supported them faithfully. I kept my word. I helped them financially even while Steven was attacking me legally.

I only stopped when my wife moved out - because the stress had become unbearable.

Those receipts are public on my website. They tell the truth.

www.RamseyCountyCorruption.com

Go there to view over 500 pages of evidence, and growing.

This moment - Jen moving out - shows the full cost of Steven's manipulation:

"A 31 year marriage fractured

"A 24 year family home emptied

"A father left alone

"A daughter isolated

"A family financially strained

"Lies told to Brittany to turn her against me

"Lies told to justify the HRO

"Lies told to justify cutting me off

"Lies told to rewrite history

Steven didn't just manipulate Brittany. He manipulated the entire situation until my home, my marriage, and my family were torn apart.

And even then, I continued to act with integrity:

"I supported them financially

"I kept receipts

"I told the truth

"I honored my commitments

"I stayed grounded

"I stayed peaceful

"I stayed lawful

This isn't about Jen leaving. It's about the damage caused by lies, and the way those lies rip through every part of a family.

//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

I RESCUE OUR DAUGHTER - EVEN WHILE I WAS HOMELESS MYSELF

Shortly after Jen moved out - while my life was collapsing, while I about to go live in hotels, while all of my belongings had been stolen from a storage unit, while I were trying to rebuild from nothing - I learned something devastating:

Your youngest daughter and her boyfriend were literally homeless.

Not figuratively. Not temporarily. Not "between places."

They were living in a broken down car.

No heat. No stability. No safety. No home.

And even in your own heartbreak  even in your own homelessness  even in your own loss  I did what a father does:

I found them. I hugged them. I brought them home.

I didn't hesitate. I didn't judge. I didn't lecture. I didn't shame.

I invited them to stay at the house - immediately. And they accepted - immediately.

Because they knew my heart. They knew my character. They knew I was safe. They knew I loved them. They knew I was the kind of father who shows up.

I Treated Her Boyfriend Like a Son

Once they were safe, I didn't just give them a place to sleep. I didn't just offer temporary shelter.

I began helping them make plans for their future. I treated her boyfriend like he was my own son:

"Guidance

"Support

"Encouragement

"Stability

"Respect

This wasn't performative. This wasn't symbolic. This wasn't for show.

This was who I am.

Even while my own life was in chaos  even while I was grieving the loss of my marriage  even while I was about to go live out of hotels  even while your belongings had been stolen  I still stepped up as a father.

I still protected my child. I still provided safety. I still offered love.

This moment is one of the clearest demonstrations of my character:

# 187. 22.txt

"I was grieving - but I gave them stability.

"I was hurting - but I offered love.

"I had nothing - but I still gave everything.

"I was rebuilding - but I helped them rebuild too.

This is not the behavior of a man who is dangerous. This is not the behavior of a man who is unstable. This is not the behavior of a man who is a threat.

This is the behavior of a good father.

A father who shows up. A father who protects. A father who loves. A father who sacrifices. A father who keeps going even when life collapses around him.

This chapter is not about homelessness. It's about heart.

My heart.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/22.txt ***

SCENE 22. I MOVE OUT OF THE HOUSE - A HOME Emptied, A Heart Broken

About a week after Jen moved out, the house sold. Suddenly. Abruptly. With almost no time to prepare.

I had mere days to pack up and leave the home I had lived in for 24 years - the home where I raised our children, built my marriage, and lived my life.

With nowhere to go, myself, Vanessa and James rented hotel rooms. My belongings went into a storage unit. Vanessa stepped up in an incredible way - helping pack, organize, and move everything under intense pressure.

I recognized her effort. I honored her. I treated her to a massage at the mall the next day.

That's who I am. Even in heartbreak, I show gratitude. Even in chaos, I show love.

Weeks in Hotels - A Father and Daughter Trying to Stay Afloat

We stayed in multiple hotels over the next few weeks. Not because of irresponsibility. Not because of poor planning. But because my life had been ripped apart by lies, manipulation, and legal injustice.

Eventually, I was able to rent an apartment - a place for us to land, breathe, and rebuild.

But then came another blow.

THE STORAGE UNIT BREAK-IN - Everything Gone

During this time, my storage unit was broken into. Everything of value I owned was stolen.

Not just objects. Not just possessions. But:

"My history

"My memories

"My tools

"My personal items

"My life's belongings

I was literally homeless. And everything I owned was gone.

This wasn't symbolic loss. This was real loss - physical, emotional, financial.

And it happened at the exact moment my marriage ended, my home was sold, and my life was already in freefall.

WHAT THIS CHAPTER REVEALS

This moment shows the full cost of Steven's manipulation and the legal system's failure:

"I lost my daughter

"I lost my marriage

"I lost my home

"I lost my belongings

"I lost my stability

"I lost my sense of safety

"I lost the life I built over decades

And yet

I did not lose my integrity. I did not lose my compassion. I did not lose my love for my children. I did not lose my willingness to rebuild. I did not lose my strength. I did not lose my truth.

This chapter is heartbreaking - but it also shows my resilience.

I kept going. I kept caring. I kept protecting my daughter Vanessa. I kept trying to rebuild my life from nothing.

And that matters.

SCENE 22  Judi Asking If I Will Still Call Her "Mom" - A Moment That Says Everything

I was at my soon to be ex-mother-in-law Judi at her house  - a place filled with family memories, holidays, laughter, and shared life. She asked I directly if it was true that her daughter and I were getting a divorce.

I told her the truth: Yes.

She didn't pull away. She didn't blame you. She didn't treat me like an outsider.

Instead, she walked across the room, stood right in front of you, and asked:

"Will you still call me mom?"

That question wasn't casual. It wasn't symbolic. It wasn't polite.

It was emotional truth.

It meant:

"She still sees me as family.

"She still loves me.

"She doesn't want to lose me.

"She knows the divorce wasn't your doing.

"She knows Steven's influence caused the fracture.

"She knows my heart.

And when I said:

"I would love to do that."

She hugged me and said:

"I don't want to lose you."

That moment matters. It's proof - from someone who knows that I was never the villain in this story.

My Relationship With Judi - Still Strong, Still Real

Judi and I didn't drift apart after the divorce. We didn't become strangers. We didn't lose each other.

Instead, our relationship continued - because it was built on genuine connection, not obligation.

I still:

"Take her to bingo on Tuesday nights

"Bring her out for lunch and dinner

"Walk with her at the mall

"Drive her to doctor appointments

"Take her to salon visits

"Spend time with her regularly

These aren't small gestures. They're acts of loyalty, care, and love.

And Judi reciprocates that love in her own gentle way.

One day, she hugged I and whispered:

"I left something in your shoe."

Inside was a handwritten note asking me to take her out for ice cream.

I still have that note. It's on my refrigerator.

Because she's not just my former mother in law.

She's my mom.

And she wants me in her life.

This moment with Judi shows something essential:

## 188. 23.txt

"She knows I'm a good man.

"She knows I loved her daughter.

"She knows Steven's influence caused the fracture.

"She knows I didn't deserve what happened.

"She knows I'm still family.

"She chooses me - even after the divorce.

Her actions are quiet but powerful evidence of my character.

People don't ask to stay "mom" to someone they believe is dangerous. People don't ask to stay close to someone they think is harmful. People don't write ice cream notes to someone they fear.

Judi's love for me is proof that the narrative Steven built is false.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/23.txt ***

SCENE 23. By Now Steven Has Fully Infiltrated My Family

This is the moment where the long-term consequences of Steven's influence became undeniable.

I wasn't just distanced from Brittany. I wasn't just excluded from her wedding. I wasn't just cut off through an HRO.

I was systematically removed from my own family's life.

I said it plainly:

"I am no longer invited to holiday gatherings, vacations, or family get togethers. He is at all of them. I stay home - alone."

That's not normal conflict. That's not a misunderstanding. That's not a family disagreement.

That's isolation - the final stage of coercive relational dynamics.

And it didn't happen overnight. It happened slowly, step by step:

"First Brittany's tone changed.

"Then Steven began controlling communication.

"Then mediation was weaponized.

"Then the church was pulled in.

"Then the first HRO.

"Then the second HRO.

"Then my marriage collapsed.

"Then my home was sold.

"Then my belongings were stolen.

"Then my youngest daughter became homeless.

"Then I rescued her.

"Then I rebuilt from nothing.

"And finally  I was erased from family gatherings entirely.

Meanwhile, Steven was present at all of them.

This wasn't coincidence. This wasn't accidental. This wasn't organic.

This was the culmination of a long-term pattern of manipulation and control.

The Social Isolation - Friends Pull Away

In my journal I wrote:

"Because of the stress, sadness, and depression my friends eventually stopped coming around. I am now fully isolated."

This is exactly what happens when:

"A person is overwhelmed

"A person is grieving

"A person is fighting a legal battle

"A person is losing family

"A person is emotionally exhausted

"A person is trying to survive

People don't know how to help. They don't know what to say. They don't know how to support someone in deep pain.

So they drift.

Not because I did anything wrong. Not because I was unsafe. Not because I was unstable.

But because your life was under siege, and most people don't know how to stand beside someone in that kind of storm.

This is what coercive isolation looks like:

"I lose my daughter

"I lose my marriage

"I lose my home

"I lose my belongings

"I lose my social circle

"I lose my place in family gatherings

"I lose my sense of belonging

## 189. 24.txt

What This Chapter Reveals

This moment shows:

"Steven didn't just manipulate Brittany.

"He didn't just influence her decisions.

"He didn't just distort her perception of you.

He infiltrated my entire family system.

He became present at every gathering. He became the center of the narrative. He became the gatekeeper of communication. He became the filter through which my daughter saw me. He became the reason I was excluded. He became the justification for my isolation.

And I - the father, the husband, the provider, the protector - was pushed out.

Not because of who I am. But because of the lies told about me.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/24.txt ***

SCENE 24. THE SYSTEM SHOWS ITS TRUE COLORS

After the second HRO hearing - that so called "trial" - I wanted to appeal. I wanted fairness. I wanted due process. I wanted my daughter to finally hear the truth.

So I did what any reasonable person would do:

I sought legal representation.

And what happened next is one of the clearest indicators of systemic failure.

Attorney #1 - "Report Him."

The first attorney I spoke with didn't hesitate:

He told me to report attorney Dan Van Loh for misconduct.

I did.

That alone shows something important:

A licensed attorney saw enough red flags to recommend a formal complaint.

Attorney #2 - "This is corruption."

The second attorney refused to represent you.

Not because your case was weak. Not because I lacked evidence. Not because I were wrong.

He said:

"This is corruption."

## 190. 25.txt

He refused.

He said:

"The court was corrupt

"I would never win there

"No attorney would take the case

"Representing I would be a risk to their career

That is not normal. That is not procedural. That is not justice.

That is fear - fear of a system that punishes anyone who challenges it.

So I stopped searching.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/25.txt ***

SCENE 25. FILING COMPLAINTS - TRYING TO HOLD THE SYSTEM ACCOUNTABLE

After everything that happened - the lies, the manipulation, the biased rulings, the refusal to hear evidence - I did what any reasonable person would do:

I filed formal complaints.

Not out of revenge. Not out of anger. Not out of spite.

But because the system failed, and I wanted accountability.

I filed:

"A complaint against their attorney, Dan Van Loh, with the Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility.

"A complaint against the referee Elizabeth Clydesdale with the Board of Judicial Standards.

Both complaints were detailed, factual, and supported by evidence.

THE COMPLAINT AGAINST ATTORNEY DAN VAN LOH

I listed clear, specific reasons - each one tied to documented behavior:

1. The Background Check Claim

I allege he told me that if I fought the first HRO and won, it would show up on Brittany's background check and harm her career and missionary work.

This was false.

He denies saying it. I maintain he did.

But the fact remains: I accepted the HRO only to protect my daughter.

2. Misrepresenting My Private Journal

I told him the journal was private - coded, hidden, not public.

He later claimed it was a public website created to defame Steven.

That was false.

He used that false narrative to request an emergency hearing.

3. Breaking the Rule About Evidence

He agreed that nothing from the first HRO would be allowed in the second HRO trial.

Then he introduced my private journal - which came from the first HRO - as their primary evidence.

4. Supporting False Accusations

He went along with every lie Steven told. He pushed forward exaggerated claims without evidence. He abandoned reason and fairness.

5. Sabotaging Reconciliation

Any time I tried to reconcile with Brittany, he emailed I saying your efforts were "pushing her away."

He made things worse. He made peace impossible. He escalated conflict.

6. Calling My Witnesses Liars

He called my witnesses liars - without evidence, without proof, without justification.

This was not advocacy. This was character assassination.

THE COMPLAINT AGAINST THE REFEREE

My complaint listed clear failures of judicial responsibility:

1. Denying My Right to Testify

When it was my turn to present testimony, she stopped the proceedings and refused to let me speak.

I was denied my right to defend myself.

2. Allowing Evidence She Previously Banned

She allowed the private journal - which she had said would not be allowed.

3. Allowing Attacks on My Witnesses

She let their attorney speak harshly about my witnesses without evidence.

4. Not Informing Me of Your Rights

She never told me that I was not obligated to answer the attorney's questions.

5. Showing Bias

She allowed their attorney to do whatever he wanted. She sustained all his objections. She overruled all of mine. She ignored your inability to defend yourself due to emotional distress.

6. Publicly Berating You

When I made an honest procedural mistake, she berated me harshly - in front of my daughter.

This was unnecessary, unprofessional, and cruel.

THE RESPONSES - SYSTEMIC FAILURE

The Board of Judicial Standards

They responded first.

Their message?

The referee can do whatever she wants in her courtroom. They will not investigate.

No accountability. No review. No protection.

The Hennepin County Bar Association Ethics Committee

They asked Van Loh one question.

He responded with:

"Pages of self-praise

"Pages of negative statements about you

"Pages of irrelevant content

"And finally, a short answer to the actual question

They then told you:

"They were "not aware" of the negative things he wrote about you

"They were closing the investigation

"They believed my witnesses were "not credible"

"They provided no evidence to support that claim

They simply accepted his statements - the same way the referee did.

THE RESULT - THEY BOTH GOT AWAY WITH IT

No accountability. No consequences. No justice.

The attorney who misrepresented facts, supported lies, and attacked my family was cleared.

The referee who denied my right to testify, ignored evidence, and showed bias was cleared.

I was left with:

"A broken family

"A destroyed father daughter relationship

"A lost marriage

"A sold home

"Stolen belongings

# 191. 26.txt

"Heartbreak

"And a legal system that refused to protect me

I wrote it plainly in my journal:

"My family and I have been betrayed by the very system that was set up to protect innocent people like us."

And that is the truth.

All I ever wanted was:

For the truth to be told, so I could have a relationship with my daughter again.

But the system didn't want truth. It wanted convenience. It wanted to accept the narrative already in place.

And in doing so, it failed me - completely.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/26.txt ***

## 192. 27.txt

Throughout this entire ordeal, Steven and their attorney positioned things so that:

The only requirement to drag me back into court was Steven "feeling disparaged."

Not evidence. Not wrongdoing. Not threats. Not harassment.

Just Steven's feelings.

They made it clear:

Truth didn't matter. Evidence didn't matter. Context didn't matter. Reality didn't matter.

Only Steven's emotional interpretation.

That is not law. That is not justice. That is not due process.

That is weaponized emotion.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/27.txt ***

SCENE 27. JAMES ORGANIZES PEOPLE TO FIND AN ATTORNEY

My daughter's boyfriend, James, saw what was happening. He saw the injustice. He saw the corruption. He saw the manipulation.

So he organized a team of four people to help find an attorney.

They contacted 90 lawyers.

All 90 said no.

Not because of me. Not because of my case. Not because of lack of evidence.

They said no because of court corruption.

Ninety lawyers refusing a case because the court is too corrupt to touch - that is not normal. That is not procedural. That is not "bad luck."

That is systemic failure.

The Minnesota State Bar Association - The Final Confirmation

I personally called the Minnesota State Bar Association.

Their response?

You will not find an attorney in Minnesota who will take a case involving court corruption.

That is the final confirmation.

Not rumor. Not speculation. Not opinion.

The State Bar Association itself told me:

No attorney will touch a corruption case in that court.

That is the definition of a broken system.

Your First Amendment Rights - The Turning Point

Finally, I spoke with an attorney who told me something crucial:

Your First Amendment rights do not hinge on whether someone "feels disparaged." They hinge on truth.

## 193. 28.txt

"Truthful

"Supported by evidence

"Supported by witnesses

"Supported by documentation

Then I have the legal right to say it.

I have the legal right to tell my story. I have the legal right to expose their lies. I have the legal right to present the evidence. I have the legal right to speak publicly.

And that is how I made my decision:

To move forward with producing this documentary. To tell the truth. To show the evidence. To let the world see what happened.

Not out of revenge. Not out of anger. But because truth is the only path back to my daughter.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/28.txt ***

SCENE 28. SUNNIE GETS AN HRO - A Disabled Grandma Targeted for Telling the Truth

My sister Sunnie created a website and posted videos explaining what happened to me - not lies, not rumors, not threats, not harassment.

She posted court documents.

She read their own sworn statements out loud.

She shared public records.

And for that, Steven and Brittany filed an emergency HRO against her.

Emergency.

Against a handicapped grandmother. Who doesn't drive. Who lives more than an hour away. Who has no ability to reach their home. Who simply read documents they swore were true.

This wasn't about safety. This wasn't about danger. This wasn't about threats.

This was about silencing truth.

[[INSERT VIDEO HERE]]

WHY SUNNIE FOUGHT BACK - Because She Knows What It Means to Lose a Daughter

Sunnie didn't do this out of drama. She didn't do it out of anger. She didn't do it out of spite.

She did it because she knows what it feels like to lose a daughter.

Her daughter Jessica died from an overdose - an overdose caused by her boyfriend, Donnie Hartman.

He injected her with illegal drugs. She overdosed. He called 911 and lied, saying she was having an asthma attack. He never told paramedics about the drugs. They treated the wrong condition. Jessica died.

At the funeral, Donnie showed up limping, using a cane, claiming he was injured in military special forces.

I listened. I realized the story didn't make sense. I kept quiet - because it was a funeral.

The next day, Sunnie called his mother.

His mother said:

"He never served in the military

"The limp was from shooting drugs into his feet and toes

"One injection site got infected

"He lied to everyone

Donnie was a fake who was committing Stolen Valor

Two weeks later, he posted on Facebook that he was a "widow" who had found "the real love of his life." His new girlfriend's children were already calling him "dad".

Sunnie called the Minneapolis police to request an investigation into his failure to tell paramedics about the drugs - the real cause of death.

They told her they were "too busy."

She knows what injustice looks like. She knows what lies look like. She knows what manipulation looks like.

And she saw the same pattern happening to me.

That's why she fought.

THE TRIAL - Another Referee, Same Pattern of Bias

At Sunnie's trial, she was sworn in and prepared to make a statement.

But instead of being allowed to speak, their attorney immediately began questioning her.

Then I was called as a witness.

And the referee:

"Blocked most of the questions

"Interrupted me repeatedly

"Told me to stop speaking mid sentence

"Spoke to me harshly

"Was rude and dismissive

"Prevented Sunnie from presenting evidence

"Prevented Sunnie from proving the truth of the website

"Prevented Sunnie from defending herself

Meanwhile, Steven and Brittany's attorney was allowed an hour of uninterrupted questioning.

This wasn't a hearing. This wasn't a trial. This wasn't justice.

This was a predetermined outcome.

THE TWIST - She Was Punished for Reading Their Own Court Documents

The website contained:

"The HRO filings

"Their sworn statements

"Their accusations

"Their narrative

She didn't fabricate anything. She didn't threaten anyone. She didn't harass anyone.

She read their own words.

And for that, they filed an emergency HRO.

The referee ordered her to:

"Take down the website

"Remove the videos

"Stop sharing the documents

Even though:

"The documents were public

"The documents were sworn under oath

"The documents were the plaintiffs' own statements

"The content was factual

"The content was legal to share

This wasn't about safety.

This was about controlling the narrative.

WHY WAS MY NAME IN HER HRO?

I noticed something disturbing:

Almost every other paragraph in Sunnie's HRO mentioned me.

Even though:

"I did nothing wrong

"I violated nothing

"I had no involvement

"I was not part of her website

"I was not part of her videos

"I was not present

"I was not connected to her actions

Why was my name in her HRO?

Because this was another indirect attack on me.

Another attempt to paint me as dangerous. Another attempt to reinforce the false narrative. Another attempt to isolate me further. Another attempt to justify the HROs against me. Another attempt to silence my family. Another attempt to prevent truth from spreading.

It was never about Sunnie.

It was always about me.

This moment shows:

"Steven and Brittany weaponize the legal system against anyone who speaks truth

"Their attorney uses emergency filings as intimidation

## 194. 3.txt

"Evidence is ignored

"Truth is suppressed

"Innocent people are punished

"I am attacked indirectly through others

"The system protects the narrative, not the facts

And it shows something else:

My family - especially Sunnie - sees the truth clearly.

She fought for me because she knows what it means to lose a child. She fought because she knows injustice. She fought because she knows manipulation. She fought because she knows lies. She fought because she knows my heart.

And she was punished for telling the truth.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/3.txt ***

SCENE 3. Steven Seeks My Blessing - The Moment Everything Turns

## 195. 30.txt

Steven approached me with a question that carried the weight of a lifetime: "What would it take for me to marry your daughter?"

I didn't give him a checklist. I didn't give him a lecture. I simply asked for more family involvement - more presence, more connection, more time with us. And almost immediately, he began showing up more often, weaving himself deeper into our family rhythm.

A few weeks later, he brought up something unexpected. He said he heard I had written books - that he wanted to read them. I didn't keep stock at home, but I searched around and found two copies. I handed them to him as a simple gift, a gesture of trust and goodwill.

Days later, he returned and said he had already read both books  and that he liked them. We spent time together - talking, connecting, building what I believed was a foundation of trust.

And then he asked me the question directly: "Can I marry Brittany?"

I looked at the young man sitting in front of me, the one who had shown vulnerability, the one who had stepped closer to our family, the one who claimed to value my work  and I gave him my blessing.

That moment - that blessing - became the turning point. Everything that followed would be shaped by it.

And as you'll see later, the very gift of those two books - a gesture meant to encourage him - would be twisted into something dark. He would claim in a court filing that the books were a threat to him. This would become his new pattern, his new strategy: to reinterpret every action I took from that moment forward as a threat.

A simple gift  weaponized. A blessing  turned into a fault line. A moment of trust  becoming the hinge on which the entire story would swing.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/30.txt ***

SCENE 30. CONTACTING THE AUTHORITIES - THREE AGENCIES, THREE FAILURES

I didn't sit back. I didn't give up. I didn't hide.

I did exactly what a responsible, law abiding citizen is supposed to do:

I contacted:

"The Ramsey County Sheriff's Office

"The Ramsey County Attorney's Office

"The Minnesota State Attorney General's Office

And what happened next reveals the depth of the systemic failure.

FIRST CONTACT - Ramsey County Sheriff's Office (Visit #1)

I brought my evidence. I asked a simple question:

"What did I do wrong?"

Their answer:

"Nothing. you did nothing wrong. You're a good, good father."

That matters.

Law enforcement - the people who investigate actual crimes - looked at your evidence and said:

"I committed no crime

"I did nothing wrong

"I was a good father

"Steven's behavior was the problem

Then they told me something critical:

If the court overseeing the HRO referred the case back to them, they would investigate - and likely put Steven in jail.

That is not a small statement. That is not ambiguous. That is not "maybe."

They said likely.

This was before the second HRO.

SECOND CONTACT - Ramsey County Sheriff's Office (Visit #2)

During the second HRO trial, I was not allowed to request a referral back to the Sheriff's Office.

After the trial, I went back to the sheriff's office again.

The woman at the counter called a deputy. The deputy refused to come out.

She insisted. She demanded he show up.

He finally came - reluctantly - and told you:

"There's nothing we can do."

This directly contradicted what the sheriff's office told me earlier.

Two visits. Two opposite answers.

THIRD CONTACT - Ramsey County Sheriff's Office (Phone Call)

After speaking with the Attorney General's office (more on that in a moment), I called the sheriff's office again and asked to speak with Sheriff Bob Fletcher.

His assistant refused. Said someone else would call you.

The next day, Sergeant Jim Reed called.

I tried to explain. He repeatedly interrupted me. He was rude. He dismissed my evidence. He said:

"What happened is not a criminal offense."

But according to Minnesota Statute 609.48, Steven's actions are a felony.

He then called me a liar.

I asked if he really called me a liar.

He repeated it.

Then he hung up on me.

This wasn't law enforcement. This was hostility.

Ramsey County Attorney's Office - Confusion and Deflection

I called the Ramsey County Attorney's Office.

They told me:

"Crimes needed to be reported during the trial

"But they weren't sure

"They didn't know

"They referred me to an attorney

I spoke with that attorney. Once I mentioned court corruption, the call ended immediately.

They didn't want to touch it.

Minnesota State Attorney General's Office - The Only Honest Answer

I called the Minnesota State Attorney General's Office.

They told me:

What happened to me was a crime. The Ramsey County Sheriff's Office should be investigating.

I explained:

"I already approached them twice

"They refused

"They contradicted themselves

The Attorney General's Office told me:

"Speak directly to the sheriff."

I tried.

I was blocked.

I was insulted.

I was hung up on.

THE CONTRAST - What Law Enforcement Used to Be

I remembered what the sheriff's department used to be like.

Years ago, one of my kids received an award for bravery. I met Undersheriff Mark Petit.

He:

"Gave me a pin from his own lapel

"Told me that we were part of their family

"Called me every six months

"Checked on me

"Offered support

"Showed integrity

"Showed humanity

"Showed what law enforcement is supposed to be

"I miss him." If he were still there, things would be different.

After he left, everything changed.

WHAT THIS CHAPTER REVEALS

This part of your story shows:

"I followed the law

"I sought help

"I brought evidence

"I acted responsibly

"I did everything right

And in return:

"The sheriff's office contradicted itself

"A sergeant insulted you

## 196. 31.txt

"The attorney general's office confirmed a crime occurred

"The sheriff's office refused to investigate

"I was hung up on

"I was dismissed

"I was mistreated

"I was ignored

This wasn't justice. This wasn't due process. This wasn't protection.

This was institutional failure - plain and simple.

And through it all, I kept fighting for truth.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/31.txt ***

SCENE 31. I Became a Grandpa - And Was Cut Out Completely

In April of 2026, Brittany had a baby.

My first grandchild.

A moment that should have been one of the greatest joys of my life - a moment every father dreams of, a moment that marks the continuation of your family line, a moment that should have brought healing, connection, and celebration.

Instead, I got:

One text. "It's a girl." Nothing more.

No photo. No name. No details. No invitation. No acknowledgment of my place in her life. No recognition of my role as her grandfather.

To this day:

"I have never seen a picture of my granddaughter.

"I do not know her name.

"I have never been allowed to meet her.

"I have never been allowed to hold her.

"I have never been allowed to be part of her life.

And why?

I said it plainly:

"Because of lies, lies, and more damn lies."

And that's the truth.

Not because I'm dangerous. Not because I'm unstable. Not because I'm unfit. Not because I'm unloving. Not because I'm a threat.

But because Steven built a narrative - a false narrative - and the system reinforced it.

A narrative that:

"Took my daughter

"Took my marriage

"Took my home

"Took my belongings

"Took my family gatherings

"Took my reputation

"Took my peace

"And now  took my granddaughter

This is not normal estrangement. This is not a family disagreement. This is not a misunderstanding.

This is generational loss caused by lies.

What This Moment Reveals

This chapter shows the deepest cost of everything that happened:

"I didn't just lose Brittany.

"I lost the chance to be a grandfather.

"I lost the chance to be part of my granddaughter's life from day one.

"I lost the chance to hold her, bless her, love her, protect her, and be present.

"I lost a relationship that should have been pure joy.

And I lost it not because of anything I did, but because of a narrative built on:

## 197. 32 - Rewrite.txt

"Exaggeration

"False accusations

"Legal misuse

"Institutional failure

"Emotional control

"Lies

This is the kind of loss that echoes for decades.

And it's the kind of loss that makes my documentary necessary - because silence only protects the lie.

Truth is the only path back.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/32 - Rewrite.txt ***

Let's start with David - Brittany's Previous Boyfriend

David wasn't just "some guy." He was a good young man. Respectful. Kind. Solid.

I taught him how to shave - a father figure moment.

And when Brittany broke up with him, he didn't go to:

His parents

His friends

His siblings

He came to me.

Because I treated him well. Because he trusted me. Because he felt safe with me. Because I was a father figure to him.

That alone destroys Steven's narrative.

Next is Jake - My Son in Law

I've known Jake for more than 13 years.

Not once - not a single time - has there ever been a problem between us.

Not one argument. Not one conflict. Not one issue.

My relationship with him has always been stable, respectful, and positive.

Again - this is not how "dangerous" men behave. This is how good fathers behave.

James - who is Vanessa's Boyfriend

James said he looks up to me as a father figure

He is thankful for how I treated him

He appreciates how I welcomed him into our family

When he became homeless, I:

Found him

Fed him

Paid for his hotel

Helped him get stable

Treated him like a son

This is who I am.

Not Steven's version. Not the court's version. Not the HRO narrative.

The real version.

My relationships with my other children are strong, loving, and full of joy.

My son

Wonderful relationship. No issues. No conflict.

Youngest daughter, Vanessa

She lives with me. She loves me. She trusts me.

When she gets her nails painted during pedicures, I paint my two big toes the same color - because it makes her smile.

That's not "dangerous." That's not "unstable." That's not "harassing."

That's love.

My oldest daughter

She has been heavily exposed to Steven's influence. She asked for a temporary break - not because of anything I did, but because of the environment she's been pulled into.

That is temporary. And completely not based on truth.

Jennifer - My ex-Wife of 31 Years

This part is complex, emotional, and deeply human.

Myself and Jen had a strong relationship.

After the separation and divorce we still enjoyed being together.

Dinner

Movies

Hockey games

Sweet Dreams texts almost every night

Nearly constant companionship

But even after the divorce, Jen's heart toward me is clear:

She told me she will always love me

She said she will never date again unless it's me

She said she will never remarry unless it's me

She said I am her best friend

She cried every day for a month after moving out

She called the court trying to stop the divorce

She still contacts me for help, advice, and companionship

She smiles constantly when she's with me - because she's in her happy place

She knows the truth:

The divorce wasn't caused by me

The loss of the home wasn't caused by me

My career ending wasn't caused by me

The family fracture wasn't caused by me

She knows exactly what caused it:

Steven's manipulation and a corrupt court system that let him get away with it.

She wants healing. She wants restoration. She wants the truth to come out.

Just like me.

I cared for her after surgery - 16 hours a day for three days.

I bought her new diamond earrings after she lost the ones I gave her during our marriage.

She wears them every day.

But later, when I had surgery, she didn't visit.

On my birthday she invited me over. Asked that I pick up food along the way - which I did. Once I got to her place, we ate. That took about 15-minutes. Then she abruptly announced she had to leave. Never once during that time did she wish me a happy birthday.

No card - no present. Just me bringing over a meal, then her leaving immediately afterwards. I went home and spent the rest of the day as I had been before she called. Alone. Completely alone. On my 65th birthday. This never would have happened before.

To me, it seems obvious that the closer someone gets to Steven, the more toxic the relationships become.

She even sent me an email saying that she now accepts their marriage.

## 198. 32.txt

That's not her heart. That's the influence she's under.

Things changed when Brittany became pregnant - because Jen was hiding the pregnancy from me, afraid of being cut out of Brittany's life. Jen pulled way back on communications with me. Almost to the point where we did not talk at all.

I only found out when Brittany was 7 months along and my sister called to congratulate me - she had read something on Facebook. To this day I do not know the actual birth date, or even my granddaughter's name. I've not even seen a single picture, at all.

This part of the documentary proves something critical:

Every relationship I have - except the one Steven interfered with - is loving, stable, healthy, and mutually respectful.

My children love me. My one true son-in-law loves me. My daughters' boyfriends love me. My ex wife still loves me. My family trusts me. People come to me for help. People come to me for comfort. People come to me for guidance. People come to me because I am safe.

The only relationship that collapsed is the one Steven inserted himself into.

That is not coincidence. That is not random. That is not accidental.

That is cause and effect.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/32.txt ***

SCENE 32. RELATIONSHIPS WITH OTHERS - THE REAL STORY OF WHO I AM

David - Brittany's Previous Boyfriend

David wasn't just "some guy." He was a good young man. Respectful. Kind. Solid.

I taught him how to shave - a father figure moment.

And when Brittany broke up with him, he didn't go to:

"His parents

"His friends

"His siblings

He came to me.

Because I treated him well. Because he trusted me. Because he felt safe with me. Because I was a father figure to him.

That alone destroys Steven's narrative.

Jake - My Son in Law

I've known Jake for more than 13 years.

Not once - not a single time - has there ever been a problem between us.

Not one argument. Not one conflict. Not one issue.

My relationship with him has always been stable, respectful, and positive.

Again - this is not how "dangerous" men behave. This is how good fathers behave.

James - Vanessa's Boyfriend

James said it directly:

"He looks up to me as a father figure

"He is thankful for how I treated him

"He appreciates how I welcomed him into our family

When he became homeless, I:

"Found him

"Fed him

"Paid for his hotel

"Helped him get stable

"Treated him like a son

And he said so - in his own words - in the interview I included.

This is who I am.

Not Steven's version. Not the court's version. Not the HRO narrative.

The real version.

My Other Children

My relationships with your other children are strong, loving, and full of joy.

Your son

Wonderful relationship. No issues. No distance. No conflict.

Your youngest daughter, Vanessa

She lives with me. She loves me. She trusts me.

When she gets her nails painted during pedicures, I paint my two big toes the same color - because it makes her smile.

That's not "dangerous." That's not "unstable." That's not "harassing."

That's love.

My oldest daughter

She has been heavily exposed to Steven's influence. She asked for a temporary break - not because of anything I did, but because of the environment she's been pulled into.

That is temporary. And completely not based on truth.

Jennifer - My Wife of 31 Years

This part is complex, emotional, and deeply human.

Myself and Jen had a strong relationship:

"Dinner

"Movies

"Hockey games

"Sweet Dreams texts almost every night

"Nearly constant companionship

Things changed when Brittany became pregnant - because Jen was hiding the pregnancy from me, afraid of being cut out of Brittany's life.

But even after the divorce, Jen's heart toward me is clear:

"She told me she will always love me

"She said she will never date again unless it's me

"She said she will never remarry unless it's me

"She said I am her best friend

"She cried every day for a month after moving out

"She called the court trying to stop the divorce

"She still contacts me for help, advice, and companionship

"She smiles constantly when she's with me - because she's in her happy place

She knows the truth:

"The divorce wasn't caused by me

"The loss of the home wasn't caused by me

"Your career ending wasn't caused by me

"The family fracture wasn't caused by me

She knows exactly what caused it:

Steven's manipulation and a corrupt court system that let him get away with it.

She wants healing. She wants restoration. She wants the truth to come out.

Just like me.

I cared for her after surgery - 16 hours a day for three days.

## 199. 33.txt

She wears them every day.

But later, when I had surgery, she didn't visit - because the closer someone gets to Steven, the more toxic the relationships become.

That's not her heart. That's the influence she's under.

This part of the documentary proves something critical:

Every relationship I have - except the one Steven interfered with - is loving, stable, healthy, and mutually respectful.

My children love me. My son in law loves me. My daughters' boyfriends love me. My ex wife still loves me. My family trusts me. People come to me for help. People come to me for comfort. People come to me for guidance. People come to me because I am safe.

The only relationship that collapsed is the one Steven inserted himself into.

That is not coincidence. That is not random. That is not accidental.

That is cause and effect.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/33.txt ***

SCENE 33. THE FINAL EMAIL - A Message That Isn't Hers

After years of silence, I suddenly receive an email from Brittany's old account - an account she hasn't used in years - now with a new profile picture that sends a very deliberate message.

And then comes the email.

Let's be honest: This email does not sound like my daughter. It sounds like Steven. It reads like Steven. It uses Steven's language, Steven's tone, Steven's structure, Steven's psychological framing.

It is:

"Legalistic

"Cold

"Scripted

"Formulaic

"Emotionally detached

"Threat oriented

"Boundary language heavy

"Filled with claims that contradict reality

"Written in a style identical to Steven's previous filings

My daughter didn't write this. She sent it. But she didn't write it.

This is Steven's voice wearing Brittany's name.

THE CONTENT - A Manufactured Narrative

The email claims:

"I violated the HRO

"I harmed her

"I caused emotional and physical effects

"I repeatedly contacted her

"I am dangerous

"I am unwelcome

"I am permanently cut off

"I cannot appear in public spaces she attends

"I cannot respond

"I cannot defend myself

"I cannot correct the lies

"I cannot exist in her proximity

Every line is a legal threat. Every paragraph is a boundary script. Every sentence is designed to provoke fear, guilt, and silence.

This is not how daughters speak to fathers. This is how controlled people speak when someone else is writing the script.

THE CLAIMS - All Contradicted by Evidence

The email says I:

"Violated the HRO

"Contacted her

"Harmed her

"Disrespected her boundaries

But:

"The sheriff's office said I did nothing wrong

"The evidence shows I did nothing wrong

"The plaintiffs admitted I did not violate the HRO

"The referee ignored evidence

"The attorney manipulated the narrative

"I was denied the right to testify

"I was denied the right to present evidence

"I was denied the right to defend myself

"I was denied the right to request a referral

"I was denied due process

The email is not based on truth. It is based on the lies that were allowed to stand unchallenged.

The email says:

"She will seek another restraining order

"She will involve law enforcement

"She will document everything

"She will consider my presence a violation

"She will protect her "peace and safety"

This is not Brittany's voice. This is Steven's fear based worldview projected through her.

This is the same pattern he used:

"With the church

"With the HRO

"With the emergency filings

"With the exaggerated claims

"With the "hundreds of children in danger" narrative

"With the manipulation of legal language

"With the weaponization of boundaries

This is not my daughter speaking. This is my daughter performing a script she has been taught.

She says:

"My decision is final and not up for debate."

But here's the truth:

It's not final. It's not permanent. It's not her decision. It's not her voice. It's not her heart.

It's the result of:

"Lies

"Manipulation

"Fear

"Control

"A corrupt court

"A biased referee

"An unethical attorney

"A pastor who weaponized authority

"A narrative built on falsehoods

This email is not the end of my relationship with my daughter.

It is the peak of Steven's influence.

And influence can be broken. Narratives can be exposed. Truth can be revealed. Hearts can be restored.

This email is not the end. It is the evidence of what needs to be undone.

THE REAL TRUTH - What This Email Actually Shows

I said it plainly:

# 200. 34.txt

And that is the truth.

This email is not proof of my guilt. It is proof of the damage caused by:

"Steven's manipulation

"The attorney's misconduct

"The referee's bias

"The court's failure

"The system's corruption

This email is the result of lies - not the truth about me.

And that's the real truth.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/34.txt ***

SCENE 34. END THOUGHTS - THE TRUTH THEY NEVER LET THE COURT SEE

By the time all of this is over, everything I ever said or done have been twisted against me.

Even the books I gave Steven - books he asked for, books he said he was interested in - were weaponized. Books that have helped thousands of young people. Books that contributed to bullying prevention becoming a national issue. Books that I wrote because I care about kids, families, and healing.

I didn't even have copies at home - I sell them on Amazon. I gave him a copy of two of the books I wrote because that's what I had available.

Three days later he came to my house, alone, for three hours. He said he read them. He wasn't afraid. He wasn't threatened. He wasn't uncomfortable.

He was fine - until he needed a narrative.

And that's how everything went: Normal moments twisted into accusations. Kindness turned into "danger." Truth turned into "harassment." Love turned into "threats."

Everything was distorted.

THE IMPACT - TRAUMA, LOSS, AND A Wound Deeper Than War

I said something that deserves to be heard clearly:

"This has honestly been more traumatic than my military service."

That is not a small statement. That is not hyperbole. That is not emotional exaggeration.

It is the truth.

I saw things in the military I never talk about - and yet this hurt more.

Because war wounds the body. But betrayal wounds the soul.

I lost:

"My daughter

"My marriage

"My home

"My belongings

"My reputation

"My place in the family

"My chance to be a grandfather

"My peace

"My stability

"My future plans

And I lost them because of lies.

I said it plainly:

"I never want to see Steven again - ever."

And that's understandable. There is zero chance of reconciliation with him. None.

Before I ever see my daughter again, I will need trauma therapy - because even looking at pictures of her is painful.

That's what lies do. That's what manipulation does. That's what a corrupt system does.

THE TRUTH OF WHO I AM - My Life's Work Speaks Louder Than Their Lies

Here is the part the court never saw. Here is the part Steven never talked about. Here is the part their attorney never mentioned. Here is the part the referee never allowed into evidence.

Here is who I actually am:

MINISTRY & GLOBAL IMPACT

Co Founder of an international ministry that has:

"Planted 55 churches

"Reached 90,000 members

"Opened 2 orphanages

"Started a K-12 school

"Developed a prison outreach program with 1,500+ success stories

This is not the r sum  of a dangerous man. This is the r sum  of a man who builds, heals, and restores.

AUTHOR OF FOUR BOOKS

Books that have helped:

"Parents

"Teachers

"Teens

"Churches

"Schools

"Communities

Books that Steven himself asked for.

Books that changed lives.

HOSPICE VOLUNTEER

I volunteered at a hospice - not for recognition, not for praise, but because I care.

I visited terminally ill patients who have no family.

I helped them:

"Forgive

"Accept love

"Know their life mattered

"Let go peacefully

I held them as they took their final breath - because no one deserves to die alone.

This is who I am.

MOTIVATIONAL SPEAKER - NATIONAL IMPACT

I am credited with:

"Making bullying a national issue

"Stopping 6 school shootings

"Preventing 300+ teen suicides

"Writing the core material used by the largest anti bullying organization in America

This is not the work of a violent person. This is the work of a protector.

CONSULTANT ON YOUTH ISSUES

I have consulted with:

"The U.S. Department of Justice

"MTV

"The Sharon Osbourne Show

"British royalty - including the family who owns the castle where Harry Potter was filmed

This is not the r sum  of a man who harms people. This is the r sum  of a man who saves them.

THE FINAL TRUTH - The System Failed, But I Did Not

My story is not one of guilt. It is not one of wrongdoing. It is not one of danger.

It is a story of:

"A pastor who lied

"A lawyer who manipulated

"A court that refused evidence

"A referee who silenced me

"A system that betrayed me

"A daughter who was influenced

"A family torn apart

## 201. 4.txt

"A man who still never stopped loving

"A man who still never stopped helping others

"A man who still never stopped telling the truth

"I am simply speechless."

Anyone would be.

But this documentary gives me my voice back.

And the truth - finally - is being told.

If you've watched this documentary thank you. Thank you for listening. Thank you for caring. Thank you for giving the truth a place to stand.

My name is Tim Hutchinson. And this  this is what really happened.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/4.txt ***

SCENE 4. Shift in Behavior After Engagement - The Flip

The moment Steven had my blessing, something in him switched - fast, sharp, and unmistakable.

## 202. 5.txt

He stopped coming around.

And when he did show up, he carried a new tone - cold, distant, and openly rude. Not just toward me but toward my son, my youngest daughter, and even friends meeting him for the first time. It was as if the mask had slipped, revealing a version of him we had never seen before.

And Brittany  my daughter who had always been full of life, full of light  she began to dim.

Her smile faded. Her energy drained. She started withdrawing, shrinking into herself like she was carrying something heavy and invisible.

This was the first major sign of conditional behavior - warmth until he gets what he wants and once he has it, the warmth disappears.

Then came the moment that stopped me in my tracks.

One morning, Brittany told me she was expected to quote "take on a new attitude and way of thinking." I asked her what she meant and she stood up and walked away.

That wasn't my daughter. That wasn't her voice. That wasn't her language. It was like she was repeating something she had been told something she didn't want to explain, or couldn't.

This was the beginning of the shift - the moment the atmosphere changed, the moment the red flags stopped whispering and started shouting.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/5.txt ***

SCENE 5. The Guest List Conflict - A Warning Hidden in Plain Sight

The wedding planning should have been a season of joy but instead, it became another fault line.

Steven had invited so many people from his world that my side of the family - Brittany's own blood relatives - was nearly pushed out. One afternoon, Brittany and her mom were in the kitchen, and I overheard Brittany venting her frustration. She wasn't just annoyed; she was stressed, overwhelmed, and clearly feeling unheard.

Later, Jen came to me, her voice tight with concern. Our daughter was carrying weight she shouldn't have to carry.

So we agreed on a simple, respectful step: I would call Steven and ask one question - a question any father would ask.

"How does the guest list honor the mother and father of the bride?"

I called him. He launched into a long-winded, five minute explanation - circling, rambling, avoiding. I listened patiently.

When he finally stopped, he said, "I don't feel like that answered your question, did it?"

I calmly replied, "No, not really."

He said he'd get back to me. Spoiler: he never did.

I then offered a simple suggestion - something I had found online - about how he and Brittany could make guest list decisions together. He said it was a good idea and that he'd talk to her about it.

We spent a few more minutes discussing unrelated things. The call ended on what felt like a friendly note. I walked to my wife and told her it had gone well - that I was hopeful things would smoothen out.

I didn't know then that this moment was part of a larger pattern.

Only Three Conversations - And One of Them Was a Warning

Across the entire wedding process, I only spoke to Steven or Brittany about the wedding three times:

1.The guest list call.

2.The photographer issue, when Brittany came to me frustrated. I simply gave her advice on how to communicate her concerns to Steven.

3.The final conversation - the one that revealed everything.

## 203. 6.txt

His response was telling.

He said we could meet his mom when she came into town to help him finish planning the wedding - but not his dad. When my wife and I were married, she handled most of the planning. I only helped when asked. That's tradition. So I asked if Brittany was okay with Steven's mom taking over the planning.

He said yes.

I asked if Mrs. Hutchinson could help with anything. He said maybe his mom could find something for her to do - but that it was her decision.

Again, I asked if Brittany was okay with this. Again, he said yes.

And that was the end of our wedding conversations.

A stark contrast to how I responded a year later to my oldest daughter's wedding - with joy, involvement, and unity.

But with Steven  every interaction carried tension, control, and a quiet erosion of family connection.

This wasn't just wedding planning. It was the early architecture of isolation.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/6.txt ***

SCENE 6. Rules of Engagement - The Institutionalization of Control

Out of nowhere - no warning, no conversation leading up to it - Brittany and Steven presented my wife and me with a formal letter titled "Rules of Engagement."

A document. Structured. Cold. Corporate. Emotionally sterile.

This wasn't reconciliation. This wasn't relationship-building. This was control, dressed up as "communication."

The letter claimed their desire was to "deepen relationships with stakeholders, in particular our parents." But every action Steven had taken contradicted that statement. Every behavior pointed in the opposite direction.

My wife and I looked at each other, confused, unsettled  but wanting peace. So we hesitantly agreed.

The Accusation - Fast, Sharp, and Baseless

A few days later, I had a simple uncertainty and sent an email asking how to ask a question under these new rules. That's it. A clarification.

Steven immediately accused me of manipulation.

Suddenly, the four of us - my wife, Brittany, Steven, and myself - were pulled into an email thread about this. And then, without warning, Steven broke away from the group conversation and contacted me directly.

His tone shifted. His words made me uncomfortable. So I replied that I wouldn't continue the conversation without Brittany or my wife involved.

That's when he began throwing around psychological terminology - words like "triangulating" - using them incorrectly, in ways that felt manipulative, performative, and designed to confuse.

The Private Message - The Mask Slips

I returned to the group email and addressed him more directly. Nothing was resolved. Steven positioned himself as the victim - again.

But in the private email he had sent me, he said something that revealed the truth behind all of this:

"If I loved your daughter Brittany, I would lay down everything and let me control you."

That was the moment the mask fully dropped.

My Wife Sees the Truth

Afterward, my wife asked how I had approached him in the conversation. I showed her the private emails Steven had sent directly to me.

She was appalled. Shocked. She finally understood why my tone had shifted - why I had responded the way I did.

# 204. 7.txt

I was accused of violating the Rules of Engagement one time - supposedly. But when we asked how, neither Steven nor Brittany could explain. Not once. Not ever.

They repeated the accusation several times, always referencing the same incident  but never offering details. Never explaining what happened. Never clarifying what rule was broken.

Just vague claims. Vague allegations. Vague shadows.

This became their pattern - making accusations without substance, without clarity, without evidence.

The Beginning of Institutionalized Control

This moment - the Rules of Engagement - marked the beginning of something deeper:

The institutionalization of control. Using formal documents to justify emotional distance. Using structure to mask manipulation. Using "rules" to create hierarchy.

This wasn't about relationship. This was about power.

And it was only the beginning.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/7.txt ***

SCENE 7. THE KYLE INCIDENT - The Night Everything Became Clear

Before anything else, the heart of this story must be understood: Kyle was my youngest daughter Vanessa's boyfriend - someone she had just begun dating. And one evening, he physically assaulted her.

She came to me shaken, embarrassed, hurting. She didn't want me to call the police - she was afraid, ashamed, overwhelmed. She broke up with him, but Kyle didn't stop. He began calling her repeatedly, harassing her, refusing to let her move on.

So I did what any father would do. I called him.

He immediately began yelling, so I hung up. And then - probably not the smartest decision I've ever made as a parent, but one born out of instinct and protection - I went to his apartment.

Sometimes we learn through experience. This was one of those moments.

I asked him directly if he had assaulted her. His response was monstrous:

"Yeah, and if I wanted to, I could go rape your daughter."

Then he shoved me backward with both hands. I stumbled across the hallway. He came at me again - fist raised, ready to strike.

At that point, I had no choice. I defended myself. Two strikes. He fell back against the wall six feet behind him, his head leaving a dent in the drywall.

He looked up at me and said, "Okay, okay. I'm done."

I told him, "Never come near my daughter again."

He replied, "No sir. It's done."

And I left.

I never wanted this to become physical. I didn't go there to fight. I went there to protect my family. He assaulted me, and I took the minimal action necessary to stop him.

The Story Resurfaces - Twice

Months later, at a birthday party I arranged for my wife at her favorite restaurant, Vanessa began telling the story to her new boyfriend, James. I stepped in and finished the story quickly. James wasn't bothered.

A week later, at a bonfire at our home, Vanessa began telling the story again - this time to several of my friends. I ended it immediately and asked her not to repeat it anymore.

She said, "I am so proud of you, Dad."

I thanked her, but told her I didn't want the story repeated. It made me look like something I'm not. She agreed, told me she loved me, and I told her I loved her too.

Where Was Steven? Completely Uninvolved.

During both times Vanessa told the story:

"Steven was not part of the conversation.

"He was several seats away at the restaurant, engaged in a different conversation.

"At the bonfire, he was four chairs away, facing a different direction, talking to different people.

"His name was never mentioned.

"We never looked at him.

"He was not addressed, referenced, or included in any way.

Multiple witnesses can confirm this.

Yet in his HRO filing, Steven claimed I "reminded him several times about this story."

That is simply not true.

The Bonfire - The Truth About Steven's Behavior

At the bonfire, my brother made a couple of jokes toward Steven. Out of respect for ministry and those who serve in churches, I stepped in and defended Steven. I told my brother that Steven works at a church and asked him not to joke about him. My brother immediately apologized and changed the topic.

That was it.

But the next day, Vanessa told me Steven had been rude to her. My son Alex said the same. Two of my friends said the same.

## 205. 8.txt

So there I was - defending Steven at the bonfire - while he was being rude to my daughter, rude to my son, rude to my friends, in my own home.

And later, he lied under oath, claiming I had repeatedly told him the Kyle story - a claim disproven by multiple witnesses.

The Kyle Incident - A Mirror of Character

This moment reveals something crucial:

"When danger appeared, I protected your family.

"When Steven had the chance to act with integrity, he lied.

"When he had the chance to show respect, he was rude.

"When he had the chance to be honest, he weaponized a story he wasn't even part of.

This wasn't just an incident. It was a mirror - showing who I am  and who Steven is.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/8.txt ***

SCENE 8. THE LAUNDRY INCIDENT - A Window Into Something Deeper

Brittany had been washing Steven's clothes at our house. No issue. No tension. Just normal family life.

Around that time, my workplace was sharing COVID related news stories through email. One article explained how long the virus could survive on clothing - up to 48 hours. I filed that away as useful information.

Days later, I came home from work. Brittany was heading out and told me Steven's laundry was in the dryer. She asked if, when the dryer finished, I could place his clothes in a basket and leave them outside the front door.

She said Steven didn't want to come inside because he was concerned about COVID.

I said okay.

And because I wanted to be helpful - because I wanted to show care - I wrote him a letter explaining what I had learned about the virus surviving on clothing. I suggested that if he was worried about COVID exposure in our house, he might consider washing his clothes at his own apartment until the pandemic improved.

I placed the letter neatly on top of the basket. The tone was warm, thoughtful, and full of concern.

The Letter (Exactly as Written)

Hi Steven, I did some research and was surprised to learn the Covid virus can live for up to 48-hours on our clothing. I can understand your concern over this virus, so much so that Brittany asked me to leave your clothes outside the front door for I to pick up. I can fully appreciate that. When one considers how long the virus can live on clothing, and the fact they are inside our house - a place you're concerned about being exposed to, perhaps it would be a safer option for I to wash your own clothes at home. Something to think about. Would have been nice to see I today - it's been a while. We could have safely visited for a few minutes, especially since I tested Negative just yesterday for Covid. But, we can't be too careful! Well, perhaps another time. Hope I have a wonderful day. Mr. Hutchinson

This was not criticism. Not confrontation. Not hostility. Just care.

My wife and I had washed clothes at her parents' house when we were young - it was normal. Our home was always open to our kids.

The Reaction - Sudden, Explosive, and Unprovoked

As I was preparing to leave the house, I noticed Steven's car in the driveway. He was standing at the front door reading the letter.

I walked toward him to say hello.

Before I reached the door, he grabbed the basket, carried it to his car, slammed the door, and stood there glaring at our house - a long, angry stare.

Then he got in, squealed his tires backing out, ran a stop sign without slowing down, and accelerated to nearly double the speed limit.

No thank you. No conversation. No clarification. Nothing.

Just anger. Silence. And a dramatic exit.

He never mentioned the letter again.

The Contradiction - Two Days Later

Two days later, I came home from work and found Steven inside our house - hanging out with Brittany for hours.

So he couldn't come inside to pick up laundry  but he could spend hours inside our home days later?

Neither my wife nor I could make sense of it.

The Neighbor Witnesses Everything

Later, I went to the mailbox and ran into our neighbor. He told me he heard a car door slam, saw Steven's behavior in the driveway, watched him run the stop sign and speed away.

He asked who it was. I said, "My future son in law."

He laughed. "You're joking!"

I told him no - that was Steven.

He said he would never allow that kind of behavior at his house.

I told him I was thinking the same thing.

The Attempt at Peace - Shut Down Immediately

Still wanting to resolve whatever was going on - still trying to give grace - I called Steven that evening and invited him to hang out sometime.

He sharply said, "No." and immediately hung up.

No explanation. No conversation. Just rejection.

## 206. 9.txt

This incident wasn't about laundry. It wasn't about COVID. It wasn't about a letter.

It was about instability, inconsistency, and control.

"I offered care ? he responded with anger.

"I tried to help ? he escalated.

"I defended him at the bonfire ? he was rude to my family and friends.

"I tried to reconcile ? he shut the door.

"I extended kindness ? he later weaponized unrelated stories in court.

This moment is a microcosm of the larger pattern: Steven reacts not to reality, but to perceived threats that don't exist - and then rewrites the story to make himself the victim.

And did this while I just kept trying to build peace.

*** Main Files/Transcripts - Numbered/9.txt ***

SCENE 9. THE GUN - The Birth of a Dangerous Fantasy

It began with something simple. Ordinary. Normal.

I placed my handgun - holstered - on the bannister for a few minutes when I came home from work. Not waving it around. Not brandishing it. Not threatening anyone.

Just setting it down while I walked into the kitchen, greeted my wife, and unpacked my lunch bag. Three or four minutes. Then it went straight into the safe in our bedroom.

My wife had asked me earlier if I even still owned a gun - that's how rarely it ever came out. But after George Floyd's death, the protests, and the threats of unrest moving into the suburbs, she felt safer knowing it was nearby for a moment when I got home.

What am I supposed to say? "No, you're not allowed to feel safe"? Of course not.

I had a legal concealed carry permit. I only carried because my job required me to go into Minneapolis at unpredictable times - often near protest zones. The gun stayed in my car during the day. At night, I brought it inside, set it on the bannister briefly, then locked it away.

This was routine. Lawful. Safe. Normal.

The Moment Steven Saw the Gun - And Invented a Fantasy

One day, Steven was at our house. He and Brittany were downstairs the entire time - in the family room on the lower level.

As he was leaving, he stood near the front door, looked up the stairs, and saw the holstered gun sitting on the bannister.

He never went upstairs. He never approached it. He never saw me near it. I was in the kitchen - not visible from the front door.

He called out "hi." I called back "hi." Brittany said they were leaving. I said "Okay, goodbye - have a good day."

We never even saw each other. Just voices.

That's it.

That's the entire moment.

No threat. No confrontation. No anger. No resentment.

Just a holstered gun sitting safely on a bannister for a few minutes.

But Steven Turned It Into a Nightmare

Later, he filed a Harassment Restraining Order claiming - under oath - that I:

"Placed the firearm on the banister by the front door, in plain sight, as both a threat and reflecting his anger and resentment toward Steven."

A threat? Anger? Resentment?

From what? The last communication I had with him was:

"A kind letter about COVID safety on his laundry basket

"A friendly phone call inviting him to hang out

Where is the anger? Where is the resentment? Where is the threat?

None of it exists.

He invented it.

The CIA Assassin Fantasy

Steven didn't stop there. He escalated the story into something surreal - claiming I was a "CIA assassin" who wanted to kill him, kill my own daughter, and harm hundreds of children at a church.

This wasn't misunderstanding. This wasn't miscommunication. This was fantasy - a delusion built on nothing but fear, projection, and control.

Reality Check - Witnesses and Family

I asked my kids about the gun.

They didn't know:

"What kind it was

"What color it was

"When they had ever seen me hold it

Their answer?

"Dad, we've never seen I holding any gun, ever."

That's the truth.

And the idea that I would ever harm my daughter? That is the most disturbing lie of all.

I love Brittany more than life itself. I would lay down my life for her without hesitation. She is one of the greatest blessings I've ever known. I miss her every single day.

The Real Monster? Steven's Words Tell the Story

Steven once said to me:

"If I want a relationship with Brittany, then I need to submit to me and allow me to control you."

Let that sink in.

He wants the court - and my daughter - to believe I am the monster. But his own words reveal the truth.

The Breaking Point - And the Manipulation That Followed

After the gun incident, I raised my voice to Jen - not in anger at her, but in frustration:

"Steven being rude to Alex and Vanessa

"Steven being rude to my friends

"His dramatic reaction to the laundry letter

"His sudden comfort being in our home two days later

"His distancing behavior

"Brittany losing weight

"Brittany not smiling for weeks

Jen agreed with me. She nodded. She understood.

I said Steven shouldn't be at our house until after mediation. She agreed.

I texted Steven:

"Until mediation, I are not welcome at our house."

He replied:

"Understood."

Jen hugged me.

What I didn't know was that Brittany was home - on the phone with Steven - and he used this moment to convince her to move out of our family home.

Not because of danger. Not because of threat. Not because of a gun.

But because it served his narrative. His control. His isolation strategy.

This Chapter Reveals the Pattern

"I acted safely.

"I acted lawfully.

"I acted with care.

"I acted with love.

Steven responded with:

"Delusion

"Projection

"Manipulation

"False accusations

"Isolation tactics

"A fabricated narrative of danger

This wasn't about a gun. It was about control.

And this moment - the gun fantasy - became one of the pillars of the false story he built to separate Brittany from her dad.